# COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES I.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Commodity Exchange Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C § 1 et seq. (2002) ("Act"), establishes a comprehensive system for regulating trading in commodity futures contracts and options on commodity futures contracts and those who are or should be registrants pursuant to the Act. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), which authorizes the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") to seek injunctive relief against any person or entity whenever it shall appear to the CFTC that such person or entity has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any CFTC rule, regulation or order.
- 2. Venue properly lies with the Court pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), in that defendants are found in, inhabit, or transact business in this district, and the acts and practices in violation of the Act occurred, are occurring, or are about to occur within this district.

**SUMMARY** 

3. From at least May 2007 and continuing to the present ("relevant time"), Safevest LLC, acting through its agents ("Safevest"), including Jon G. Ervin ("Ervin"), has fraudulently solicited over 500 members of the public to

transfer funds in excess of \$25.7 million to participate in a commodity futures

trading pool ("Safevest Pool"). Contrary to their representations, defendants have

not deposited customer funds into an account for trading commodity futures and

have misappropriated virtually all customer funds. At all relevant times, Safevest

acted as an unregistered commodity pool operator.

- 4. Safevest and Ervin have falsely represented to prospective pool participants that commodity futures trading in the Safevest Pool has consistently produced daily profits of at least 1% and provided pool participants with fictitious account statements showing large profits in the commodity pool. Safevest and Ervin have also falsely represented that Safevest utilized a profitable trading program that electronically cleared trades at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and at the Chicago Board of Trade.
- 5. Safevest and Ervin have deposited or caused to be deposited client funds into bank accounts controlled by Safevest and have misappropriated those funds. In addition to using client funds to pay their personal expenses, Safevest

4 5

7

6

9

10

8

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

and Ervin have misappropriated funds by using funds from certain pool participants to pay off other pool participants in a manner characteristic of a "Ponzi" scheme. After obtaining funds from new customers, Safevest and Ervin have used some of those funds to make payments to earlier customers and have falsely represented that these payments were trading profits.

- In order to conceal their fraudulent activities, Safevest and Ervin have 6. misrepresented to customers that they were receiving large profits as a result of profitable trading in the Safevest Pool. Contrary to claims by Safevest and Ervin that the funds of pool participants were liquid and could be returned on 72 hours notice, Safevest and Ervin have refused to comply with at least some client withdrawal requests.
- 7. Defendants have engaged in, are engaged in, or are about to engage in acts and practices that violate anti-fraud and registration provisions of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. (2002), and the CFTC Regulations promulgated thereunder (Regulations), 17 C.F.R. § 1.1 et seq. (2007).
- 8. Accordingly, the CFTC brings this action to enjoin defendants' unlawful acts and practices and to compel their compliance with the Act and the CFTC Regulations. In addition, the CFTC seeks civil monetary penalties, restitution to pool participants, disgorgement of defendants' ill-gotten gains, a

permanent trading ban, and such other relief as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate.

9. Unless restrained and enjoined by the Court, defendants will likely continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in this Complaint and similar acts and practices, as more fully described below.

#### III.

#### **PARTIES**

- 10. The **Commodity Futures Trading Commission** is an independent federal regulatory agency of the United States empowered to enforce the provisions of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.* (2002), and the CFTC Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1. *et seq.*(2007). The CFTC maintains its principal office at Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21<sup>st</sup> Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581.
- 11. **Safevest LLC** is a limited liability corporation registered with the Nevada Secretary of State on May 15, 2007. Defendants Jon G. Ervin and John V. Slye are listed as the sole corporate officers on Safevest's corporate documents. Safevest maintains an office in Mission Viejo, California. Safevest has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.
- 12. **Jon G. Ervin** is a founding officer of Safevest who is listed on firm records as a Director. He works in Safevest's California office and is listed as a principal contact person on Safevest account opening documents. Ervin resides in

Laguna Hills, California. He has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.

13. **John V. Slye** ("Slye") is the purported founder of Safevest and represents that he is its President and Chief Executive Officer. Slye also represents that he is an ordained minister and the pastor of a church in Washington, D.C. In addition, he represents that he is a founder and has been on the board of directors of the National Foundation for Cancer Research. Slye resides in Herndon, Virginia. He has never been registered with the CFTC in any capacity.

IV.

### STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

- 14. CFTC Regulation 4.10(d)(1), 17 C.F.R. § 4.10(1) (2007), provides that a "commodity pool" is any investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise operated for the purpose of trading commodity futures and/or options.
- 15. Section 1a(5) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(5) (2002), provides that a commodity pool operator is any firm or individual engaged in a business which is in the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, and that solicits, accepts, or receives funds or securities from others for the purpose of trading in any commodity futures or options contract subject to the rules of any commodity exchange.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

- 16. CFTC Regulation 4.10(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.10(c) (2007), defines a commodity pool "participant" as any person who has any direct financial interest in a commodity pool.
- Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (2002), provides that an 17. associated person ("AP") of a CPO is one who is:

associated with a commodity pool operator as a partner, officer, employee, consultant, or agent . . . in any capacity that involves (i) the solicitation of funds, securities, or property for a participation in a commodity pool or (ii) the supervision of any person or persons so engaged, unless such person is registered.).

- With certain exceptions not applicable here, any CPO that solicits 18. participant funds for the purpose of trading commodity futures or options contracts subject to the rules of a designated contract market must place those trades with a futures commission merchant ("FCM") registered with the CFTC. See Section 4d of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6d (2002). Section 1a(20) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(20), (2002), defines a FCM as an individual or organization which solicits or accepts orders to buy and sell futures contracts or commodity options and accepts funds from customers to support such orders.
- Pursuant to Section  $4\underline{o}(1)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{o}(1)$  (2002), it is 19. unlawful for any person, while acting as a CPO, to use the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to directly or indirectly employ a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud pool participants or prospective pool participants, or

engage in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate as a fraud or deceit upon pool participants or prospective pool participants.

- 20. With certain specified exclusions and exemptions not applicable here, CPOs are required to be registered with the CFTC pursuant to Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2002).
- 21. Pursuant to Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (2002), any person associated with a CPO as a partner, officer, employee, consultant or agent in any capacity that involves the solicitation of funds or the supervision of any person so engaged must be registered with the CFTC as an associated person.

·IV.

#### **FACTS**

#### **DEFENDANTS COMMITTED FRAUD**

#### A. Operation of the Safevest Pool

- 22. In May 2007, Ervin and Slye formed Safevest as a Nevada limited liability corporation and opened a Safevest office in Mission Viejo, California. Ervin and Slye represented to others that they were the officers of Safevest. During the relevant time, Safevest operated through agents or other persons acting within the scope of their employment or office with Safevest, including Ervin.
- 23. Since May 2007, Safevest fraudulently solicited over 500 persons to send Safevest over \$25.7 million to purchase interests in the Safevest Pool for the

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

26

purpose of trading commodity futures contracts on or subject to the rules of a contract market. Safevest did not use these funds to trade commodity futures contracts and misappropriated these funds. Safevest falsely represented to prospective pool participants that the Safevest Pool was a commodities fund and that funds in the pool were used to trade commodity futures contracts on commodity exchanges located in Chicago, Illinois. To induce persons to send them funds, Safevest misrepresented to prospective pool participants that Safevest used computerized trading software that consistently produced daily profits between 1.6% and 1.9% since June 2007.

Safevest and Ervin provided or caused to be provided, to prospective 24. pool participants "Safevest Client Participation Forms." These documents include the following:

Form A: Non-Solicitation Letter;

Form B: Non-Disclosure/Non-Circumvention Agreement;

Form C: Private Placement Joint Venture Finder's Fee Agreement;

Form D: Joint Venture Private Placement Agreement;

Form E: Overall Summary;

Form F: Reserve Authorization and Election of Participation Contract Addendum ("Participation Agreement");

Form G: Client Transmittal;

#### Form H: Transmittal Deposit/Withdrawal Information

- 25. The Safevest Client Participation Forms that Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to prospective pool participants contained numerous material misrepresentations and omissions regarding the existence and profitability of the Safevest Pool as more fully alleged *infra* at paragraphs 34-56.
- 26. Safevest and Ervin also provided or caused to be provided to prospective pool participants two documents entitled "Executive Summary" and "May Trading Track Record." The Executive Summary and the May Trading Track Record falsely represented that Safevest Pool participants have and will achieve almost certain profits through commodity futures trading.
- 27. Safevest solicited participants primarily through a multi-level marketing scheme whereby prospective participants were solicited by other individuals or entities, some of whom were existing Safevest participants. Safevest referred to these solicitors as "Consultants." These Consultants at all times acted as agents or other persons acting for Safevest within the scope of their employment or office.
- 28. Safevest distributed or caused to be distributed to Safevest
  Consultants forms to be executed as contracts between the respective Consultant
  and the Safevest client who was successfully solicited by the Consultant. The
  forms that Safevest provided to Consultants included one or more standardized

contract forms that provided, in relevant part, for Safevest to pay commissions or fees to the referring consultant from a stated percentage of the "net proceeds" from the client's account with Safevest. Consultants who successfully solicited new participants to the Safevest Pool typically received a 10% "referral fee" from the purported profits made by new participants they solicited.

29. Safevest also disseminated or caused to be disseminated to Safevest's Consultants false written promotional materials that were then distributed to pool participants including, but not limited to, the May Trading Track Record, the "Overall Summary" and the Executive Summary.

#### **Receipt of Participant Funds**

- 30. Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to pool participants and prospective pool participants Safevest Client Participation Forms that directed pool participants to send funds to bank accounts under Safevest's control. Safevest and Ervin included this directive as part of the "Safevest Client Participation Forms" designated as "Form G: Client Transmittal" and "Form H: Transmittal Deposit/Withdrawal Information."
- 31. Between May and December 2007, Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed, to pool participants and prospective pool participants directions to send funds to an account in Safevest's name at Wells Fargo Bank for the purpose of participating in the Safevest Fund.

- 32. Between May 2007 and November 2007, Safevest also had a bank account at UBS Financial Services, Inc. ("UBS") that served as a means for Safevest pool participants to deposit funds with Safevest for the purpose of participating in the Safevest Fund.
- 33. Between approximately January 2008 and the present, Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to pool participants an account opening form that directed pool participants to send funds to an account in Safevest's name at Wachovia Bank for the purpose of participating in the Safevest Pool.

#### B. Fraudulent Conduct by Safevest and Ervin

34. Safevest and Ervin defrauded prospective and actual pool participants by (a) distributing or causing to be distributed to pool participants Client Participation Forms that falsely represented the existence of commodity futures trading by the Safevest Pool; (b) distributing or causing to be distributed to pool participants Client Participation Forms that misrepresented the profits and risk of loss inherent in commodity futures trading and the Safevest Pool; (c) issuing or causing to be issued false trading records; (d) distributing or causing to be distributed to pool participants false account statements; and (e) misappropriating pool participant funds.

35. Safevest and Ervin used mail and wire instrumentalities of interstate commerce to defraud pool participants and to engage in practices that have operated as a fraud on clients. Safevest and Ervin accepted bank wire transfers from pool participants and made bank wire transfers to pool participants to misappropriate funds, and used U.S. mail and interstate telephone services to send false trading statements to pool participants, to send fraudulent account opening documents to pool participants, and to make numerous misrepresentations to pool participants.

#### a. Safevest and Ervin Misrepresented the Existence of the Safevest Pool Trading Account

- 36. Defendants did not establish any commodity pool trading account for the Safevest Pool. Contrary to the fact that no commodity pool trading account was established by defendants, Safevest and Ervin made numerous misrepresentations to pool participants by falsely stating that a Safevest Pool trading account existed.
- 37. As part of the Safevest Client Participation Forms, Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to pool participants the "Overall Summary, Form E." The "Overall Summary" falsely states that Safevest's trades "are electronically cleared trades at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange for E-mini S&P and, potentially, at the Chicago Board of Trade for electronic 30-year bond and 10 year note futures." The "Overall Summary" contains additional misrepresentations

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

that the Safevest Pool has a record of successful commodity futures trading. Such misrepresentations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. "virtually 90%-95% of all transactions are performed by computerization ... Strict rules are in place that assume "no gambling" with transaction amounts...";
- b. trading that is performed has a "loss" tolerance of two and one -half percent of principal per trading day";
- c. "Safevest minimal transaction is \$500k. If a lower amount is taken, that amount will be combined with funds from another source (IF AVAILABLE) in order to minimize risk and accentuate profitability";
- d. "[A]mounts in \$1 [million dollar] increments are excellent in that it allows a greater number of transactions to occur to minimize any risk and/or to accentuate profitability... please note that any transactions involving amounts of \$10 [million dollars] or more will, most likely (based on experience) average over 10,000 contract trades per year" (emphasis in original) and
- e. "[S]imulated trading, as well as real-time testing of past actual trades, confirmed the benefit of" described futures trading strategies.

38. Safevest and Ervin also made oral misrepresentations to pool participants about the existence of a Safevest Pool trading account. Safevest and Ervin falsely represented to some pool participants that Safevest had opened commodity futures accounts at one or more brokerage firms.

39. Safevest and Ervin represented to prospective pool participants that participant funds were pooled into an account at Wells Fargo Bank in the name of Safevest. Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to pool participants the Overall Summary which falsely represented that funds in the Safevest Wells Fargo Bank account were transferred to a Safevest commodity futures trading account. The Overall Summary further falsely represented to pool participants that 90-95% of Safevest commodity futures trades are conducted using computerized trading software. In fact, Safevest had no commodity futures trading account at UBS Bank or elsewhere.

#### b. Safevest and Ervin Misrepresented Profits and Minimized Risk of Loss

40. Safevest and Ervin falsely represented to pool participants that profits are virtually guaranteed and that risk of loss is minimal in connection with the Safevest Pool trading account. Not only were these representations fraudulent because no Safevest Pool trading account existed, they were also fraudulent because profits cannot be guaranteed and risk of loss cannot be minimized in commodity futures trading.

- 41. Safevest and Ervin fraudulently guaranteed profits by distributing or causing to be distributed to pool participants the "Participation Agreement," Form F, as part of the Safevest Client Participation Forms. The "Participation Agreement" falsely states that Safevest offers a "\$50 Million Blocked Account Trading Program" at UBS Bank that "guaranteed 200% annual yield to participant," a "100K+ Blocked Account Trading Platform" that "guaranteed 51% annual yield to participant," and a "Daily Commodities Trading Platform" that was described as "historically most aggressive of all platforms."
- participants, the Executive Summary which falsely represents that Safevest engages in three trading programs that guarantee profits for pool participants. The Executive Summary states that the first program is called the "\$50 Million Blocked Account Trading Program" and "offers a guaranteed 200% return per year." The second program is designated the "\$100K Blocked Trading Account Program." The Executive Summary states that this program offers "a guaranteed 51% return per year." The third program is called the "Commodities Daily Trading Program." The Executive Summary represents that this program requires a minimum of \$5,000 for participation and promises a daily yield on the investment of between .8% and 1%.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

- In addition to profit misrepresentations, Safevest and Ervin 43. fraudulently represented to Safevest Pool participants that there was minimal risk of loss associated with trading commodity futures contracts. Notwithstanding the fact that the Safevest Pool conducted no futures trading, Safevest and Ervin orally represented to pool participants that such trading was low risk because only 8-13% of pool participant funds were used for trading and that trading would stop if losses on any particular day reached 2.5% of funds being traded.
- Safevest and Ervin further misrepresented risk of loss in writing by 44. providing or causing to be provided to pool participants the Overall Summary. The Overall Summary represents that the Safevest Pool utilizes a computerized trading program that has a loss tolerance of 2.5% of principal per trading day and that no more than 8% to 13% of principal is exposed at any given time.

#### Safevest and Ervin Provided a False c. **Trading Record to Pool Participants**

Safevest and Ervin distributed or caused to be distributed to pool 45. participants a document captioned "May Trading Track Record." This document falsely represents that "these are the actual percentages for the month of May 2007 of best efforts, past financial performance is not an indication of future results (sic)." The daily percentages listed in this document include positive "gross" percentage figures for each and every "trading day" in May 2007, varying from 8/10ths of 1 percent (.008) to 2.8% (.028) returns, and that the average gross daily

return for the Safevest Pool during the month of May 2007 was 1.62%. The document further falsely claimed that "client has grossed for the month \$191,100 (est.) in dividends."

- 46. Safevest and Ervin provided or caused to be provided to pool participants false trading statements, and represented that the statements were summaries of the trading activity in the accounts of individual Safevest pool participants. The statements show deposits in the accounts and daily trading profits of between 1.25% and 3.27% during the period June 4, 2007 to July 7, 2007.
- 47. In fact, the representations in paragraphs 45-46 regarding profitable trading in May, June and July 2007 were false and materially misleading because defendants had no track record of any commodity futures trading in May 2007 or in any month thereafter.

#### d. Safevest and Ervin Provided False Account Statements to Pool Participants

- 48. Safevest and Ervin provided or caused to be provided to pool participants account statements that purported to show the current value of that individual participant's account with the Safevest Pool.
- 49. The periodic account statements that Safevest and Ervin provided or caused to be provided to pool participants routinely included a daily positive value percentage figure that purported to represent the actual "daily return," "market

gain," "client (\$)" and "[b]alance" for each trading day. The "daily return"

percentage figures set forth in these account statements were always positive

percentage numbers, typically with a value between 1% and 2% daily.

2.0

50. The periodic statements that Safevest and Ervin provided or caused to be provided to pool participants were false and materially misleading because defendants did not trade participants' funds in a commodity futures pool as promised and all claims of profitable futures trading, or futures trading of any sort, were fictitious.

# e. Safevest and Ervin Misappropriated Pool Participant Funds

- 51. Contrary to their representations that the funds of Safevest pool participants were being used to trade commodity futures contracts, Safevest and Ervin misappropriated virtually all of those funds. Safevest and Ervin have not deposited any pool participant funds in a commodity pool futures trading account pursuant to the requirements of the Act. Safevest and Ervin also have not established a commodity futures trading account for the benefit of participants in the Safevest Pool with a FCM registered with the CFTC.
- 52. Safevest and Ervin misappropriated the funds of Safevest Pool participants by making payments to pool participants from the funds of other pool participants, by using pool funds to pay personal and other expenses unrelated to commodity futures trading, and by paying sales agents.

- 53. Safevest and Ervin falsely represented to pool participants that their funds would be pooled and used to trade commodity futures contracts. Safevest and Ervin further represented that monthly returns paid to pool participants were the result of profits derived from commodity futures trading. Contrary to these representations, the funds that were sent by Safevest to pool participants were not derived from commodity futures trading profits, but instead were merely other pool participants' funds. In fact, defendants have made payments of at least \$18.5 million to existing pool participants from funds collected from pool participants.
- 54. Contrary to the claim that the pool participants' funds were devoted to the trading of commodity futures contracts, Safevest and Ervin used the pool participants' funds to pay personal expenses and to transfer amounts to persons and entities unrelated to commodity futures trading. Pool participants deposited in Safevest bank accounts under the control of Ervin and Slye were used to pay \$282,500 to Mission Loans, Inc., a corporate entity partially owned by Ervin and of which he is president, \$120,452 to Ervin and his family members, \$259,500 to Slye and his wife, and approximately \$170,000 for check card and ATM debits.
- 55. Safevest and Ervin represented that Safevest's Consultants received commissions from trading profits. Contrary to these representations, commissions of Consultants were, in fact, paid directly out of funds supplied by pool participants. Commissions were not, as claimed, funded from the profits of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26 futures trading. Safevest and Ervin Failed to Disclose Material Information f.

commodity futures trading, since defendants did not conduct any commodity

While Safevest and Ervin made the false and misleading claims 56. alleged supra in paragraphs 21-55, they also failed to disclose, or failed to cause to be disclosed, material information to pool participants and to prospective pool participants including but not limited to the following: (a) that Safevest in fact had no commodity futures trading account; (b) that Safevest was an unregistered commodity pool operator; (c) that Safevest had no profitable commodity futures trading track record, and that the track records provided to pool participants were fictitious, and d) that Safevest misappropriated clients' funds.

#### DEFENDANTS ILLEGALLY OPERATED A COMMODITY POOL

During the relevant time, Safevest was not registered with the CFTC 57. as a CPO as required under the Act. During this time, Safevest operated the Safevest Pool as an "investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise" see 7 U.S.C. § 1a(5) (2002), and, in connection therewith, has solicited, accepted, and received funds from others for the purpose of trading commodity futures contracts on designated contract markets. During the relevant time, Safevest permitted Ervin to be associated with Safevest in the capacity of a person engaged in the

solicitation of funds for participation in the commodity pool, or the supervision of any person or persons so engaged.

- 58. Safevest and Ervin have used mail and wire instrumentalities of interstate commerce in connection with their business as a CPO and an associated person of a CPO. Safevest and Ervin have accepted bank wire transfers from pool participants and have made bank wire transfers to pool participants to misappropriate funds, used mail and telephone wires to send false trading statements to pool participants, used mail and telephone wires to send fraudulent account opening documents to pool participants, and used telephone wires to make numerous misrepresentations to pool participants.
- 59. Safevest and Ervin represented that Safevest participant funds would be pooled and transferred to a commodity pool trading account for the benefit of Safevest Pool participants. Safevest and Ervin further represented that pool participant funds would be used to trade commodity futures contracts on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and the Chicago Board of Trade.

## ERVIN AND SLYE CONTROL THE OPERATIONS OF SAFEVEST

## A. Ervin Controls Safevest Operations

60. Ervin is one of the two officers of Safevest and represents that he is the Director of Safevest. Ervin controls the day-to-day operations of Safevest. He

has signatory authority over Safevest bank accounts and is listed as the principal contact on Safevest account opening documents.

- 61. Ervin authorizes and controls the content and dissemination of Safevest account opening documents and promotional materials. He controls access to information on Safevest pool participants and the design and content of account statements of pool participants.
- 62. Ervin actively managed and supervised Safevest's Consultant system of soliciting prospective pool participants. He provided or caused to be provided to Consultants written and oral descriptions of the Safevest Pool, as well as its claimed track return of steady profits. Ervin also provided Consultants with the Safevest Client Participation Forms designated Form C: Private Placement Joint Venture Finder's Fee Agreement and Form D: Joint Venture Private Placement Agreement, agreements providing that pool participants will pay Consultants 10% of the gross trading profits earned by pool participants.
- 63. As a principal of Safevest, Ervin has the power to make all major decisions concerning how Safevest is operated, to monitor the written content of the Safevest account opening documents and promotional materials, and to prevent the fraudulent activities at Safevest.

In addition to Ervin, Slye is the only other officer of Safevest. Slye

64.

represents that he is the founder of Safevest. He also represents that he is

Safevest's President and Chief Executive Officer. Slye is identified in bank and
corporate records as an officer of Safevest.

65. As a principal of Safevest, Slye has the power to make all major

- decisions concerning how Safevest is operated, to monitor the written content of the Safevest account opening documents and promotional materials, to monitor the banking activity of Safevest and to prevent the fraudulent activities at Safevest.
- 66. Slye also had the authority to transfer money from the Safevest primary account at Wells Fargo Bank into which pool participant funds was deposited. Slye misappropriated participant funds by converting a portion of those funds to his own use, and by not transferring participant funds to a commodity futures trading account. During the relevant time, Slye directly converted approximately \$250,000 to his own use by removing those funds from Safevest bank accounts, including Safevest's account at Wells Fargo Bank over which he had signatory authority.

V.

### **VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT**

#### **COUNT ONE**

#### FRAUD BY COMMODITY POOL OPERATOR Violations of Section 4<u>o</u>(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6<u>o</u>(1)(B) (Against Safevest, Ervin and Slye)

- 67. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 66 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 68. As defined in Section 1a(5) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(5) (2002), a CPO is

any person engaged in a business that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, and who, in connection therewith, solicits, accepts, or receives from others, funds, securities, or property . . . for the purpose of trading in any commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility.

- 69. Section 4o(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(B) (2002), prohibits CPOs from using the mails or any other means of interstate commerce to:
  - (B) engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or participant or prospective client or participant.
- 70. Since at least May 2007, Safevest, while acting as an unregistered CPO, solicited, accepted or received funds from others and engaged in a business

2526

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, for the purpose of trading in futures.

- Safevest, through its agents, engaged in a transaction, practice or 71. course of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon Safevest Pool participants and prospective Safevest Pool participants by (1) making or causing to be made fraudulent representations that Safevest operated a successful commodity pool that profitably traded exchange-traded commodity futures, when in fact no such commodity pool existed and no such trading occurred; (2) misrepresenting the profits and risk of loss inherent in commodity futures trading; (3) issuing false trading records to pool participants; (4) providing false account statements to pool participants; and (5) misappropriating participant funds, all in violation of Section 4o(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(B) (2002).
- Slye controls Safevest, directly or indirectly, and did not act in good 72. faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, Safevest's conduct alleged in this Count. Therefore, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002), Slye is liable for Safevest's violations of Section 4o(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(B) (2002).
- Ervin controls Safevest, directly or indirectly, and did not act in good 73. faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, Safevest's conduct alleged in this Count. Therefore, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C.

§ 13c(b) (2002), Ervin is liable for Safevest's violations of Section  $4\underline{o}(1)(B)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{o}(1)(B)$  (2002).

74. Each misrepresentation and omission of material fact, issuance of a false report, and misappropriation of customer funds, including but not limited to those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section  $4\underline{o}(1)(B)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{o}(1)$  (B) (2002).

#### **COUNT TWO**

# FRAUD BY AN ASSOCIATED PERSON OF A COMMODITY POOL OPERATOR lations of Section 40(1)(A) of the Act 7 U.S.C. 8 60(1)

Violations of Section  $4\underline{o}(1)(A)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{o}(1)(A)$  (Against Safevest and Ervin)

- 75. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 74 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 76. As defined by Section 4k(2) of the Act, an associated person of a CPO is one who is:

associated with a commodity pool operator as a partner, officer, employee, consultant, or agent . . . in any capacity that involves (i) the solicitation of funds, securities, or property for a participation in a commodity pool or (ii) the supervision of any person or persons so engaged, unless such person is registered.

7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (2002).

- 77. Ervin acted as an AP of a CPO, yet was not registered as such. Accordingly, Ervin violated Section 4k(2) of the Act.
- 78. Section  $4\underline{0}(1)(A)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{0}(1)(A)$  (2002), prohibits CPOs from using the mails or any other means of interstate commerce:
  - (A) to employ any device, scheme or artifice to defraud any client or participant or prospective client or participant.
- 79. Since at least May 2007, Ervin, while acting as an unregistered AP of a CPO, solicited, accepted or received funds from others and engaged in a business that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, for the purpose of trading in futures.
- 80. Ervin employed a device, scheme or artifice to defraud participants and prospective participants of Safevest: (1) making or causing to be made fraudulent representations that Safevest operated a successful commodity pool that profitably traded exchange-traded commodity futures, when in fact no such commodity pool existed and no such trading occurred; (2) misrepresenting or causing to be misrepresented to pool participants the profits and risk of loss inherent in commodity futures trading; (3) issuing or causing to be issued to pool participants false trading records; (4) providing or causing to be provided to pool participants false account statements; and (5) misappropriating participant funds, all in violation of Section  $4\underline{o}(1)(A)$  of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §  $6\underline{o}(1)(A)$  (2002).

81. The foregoing acts, misrepresentations, omissions, and failures of Ervin occurred within the scope of his employment or office with Safevest; therefore, Safevest is liable for these acts pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2 (a)(1)(B)(2002), and CFTC Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2007).

82. Each misrepresentation and omission of material fact, issuance of a false report, and misappropriation of customer funds, including but not limited to those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 4o(1)(A) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1)(A) (2002).

#### **COUNT THREE**

# FAILURE TO REGISTER AS A COMMODITY POOL OPERATOR Violations of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (Against Safevest, Ervin and Slye)

- 83. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 82 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 84. Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2002), provides that it is unlawful for any CPO, unless registered under the Act, to make use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection with his business as a CPO.
- 85. Since at least May 2007, Safevest has used the mails, wires, or other instrumentalities of interstate commerce in or in connection with its business as a

CPO while failing to register as a CPO, in violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2002).

- 86. Safevest does not qualify for a registration exemption under either the Act or the CFTC Regulations.
- 87. Ervin controls Safevest, directly or indirectly, and did not act in good faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, Safevest's conduct alleged in this Count. Therefore, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002), Ervin is liable for Safevest's violation of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2002).
- 88. Slye controls Safevest, directly or indirectly, and did not act in good faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, Safevest's conduct alleged in this Count. Therefore, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002), Slye is liable for Safevest's violations of Section 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2002).

#### **COUNT FOUR**

## FAILURE TO REGISTER AS AN ASSOCIATED PERSON OF A COMMODITY POOL OPERATOR

Violations of Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (Against Safevest and Ervin )

89. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 88 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2)(2002), states that it is: 90.

unlawful for any person to be associated with a [CPO] as a partner, officer, employee, consultant or agent . . . in any capacity that involves (i) the solicitation of funds, securities or property for participation in a commodity pool or (ii) the supervision of any person or persons so engaged, unless such person is registered with the Commission . . . as an associated person of such [CPO] . . . . It shall be unlawful for a [CPO] to permit such a person to become or remain associated with the [CPO] in any such capacity if the [CPO] knew or should have known that such person was not so registered . . .

- Since at least May 2007, Ervin has been associated with a CPO, 91. Safevest, and has been involved in the solicitation of funds for participation in pools or the supervision of any person so engaged, while failing to register as an AP of the CPO, in violation of Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (2002).
- Safevest has permitted Ervin to become and remain associated with 92. Safevest and knew, or should have known, that Ervin was not registered as an AP of Safevest, in violation of Section 4k(2) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2) (2002).

#### VI.

#### RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the CFTC respectfully requests that the Court, as authorized by Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2002), and pursuant to its own equitable powers, enter:

- (a) an order finding that Safevest violated Sections 4o(1)(B), 4k(2) and 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6o(1)(B), 6k(2) and 6m(1) (2002); that Ervin violated Sections 4o(1)(A) and 4k(2) of the Act; 7 U.S.C. §§ 6o(1)(A) & (B), 6k(2) and 6m(1) (2002); that Ervin and Slye are each liable for Safevest's violations of the Act, as alleged herein, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b) (2002); and that Safevest is liable for Ervin's violations of the Act, as alleged herein, pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) (2002), and CFTC Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2007);
- (b) an order of permanent injunction prohibiting defendants, and any other person or entity associated with them, including any successor thereof, from engaging in conduct violative of the sections of the Act and Regulations that they have been alleged to violate;
- (c) an order of permanent injunction prohibiting defendants from engaging, directly or indirectly, in any activity related to trading in any commodity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(4) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(4) (2002) including but not limited to, the following:
- 1. trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a(29) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(29) (2002);

 (d)

- 2. engaging in, controlling or directing the trading for any commodity interest account for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise;
- 3. soliciting or accepting any funds from any person in connection with the purchase or sale of any commodity interest;
- 4. entering into any commodity interest transactions for his own personal account, for any account in which he has a direct or indirect interest and/or having any commodity interests traded on his behalf; and
- 5. engaging in any business activities related to commodity interest trading.

an order of permanent injunction from applying for registration or

- claiming exemption from registration with the CFTC in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the CFTC, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2007), or acting as a principal, agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14 (a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2007);
- (e) an order directing defendants, as well as any other person or entity associated with them, including any successor thereof, to disgorge, pursuant to such

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

procedure as the Court may order, all benefits received from the acts or practices which constitute violations of the Act or Regulations, as described herein, and interest thereof from the date of such violations;

- (f) an order directing defendants, as well as any other person or entity associated with them, including any successor thereof, to make full restitution, pursuant to such procedure as the Court may order, to every pool participant whose funds were received by them as a result of acts and practices which constitute violations of the Act and Regulations, as described herein, and interest thereon from the date of such violations;
- an order directing each defendant to pay a civil monetary penalty in (g) the amount of not more \$130,000 for each violation of the Act and Regulations described herein or triple the monetary gain; and
- an order for such other and further remedial ancillary relief as the (h) Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter M. Haas phaas@cftc.gov

Richard P. Foelber rfoelber@cftc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission

1155 21st Street N.W.

Washington D.C. 20581

(202) 418-5000 (telephone)

	Case 8:08-cv-00474-JVS-MLG	Document 1	Filed 05/01/2008	Page 35 of 39					
		· .		1					
1	(202) 418-5523 (facsimile)								
2	THOMAS P. O'BRIEN								
3	United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney								
4									
5	Chief, Civil Division  MARCUS M. KERNER  Assistant United States Attorney								
6									
7	Californ	California Bar Number: 107014 United States Courthouse, Room 8000 411 West 4th Street							
. 8									
9	Santa A	ana, CA 92701							
10		one: (714) 338 ile: (714) 338-							
11	E-mail:	marcus.kerne	r@usdoj.gov						
12	<del> </del>	es Trading Co	f U.S. Commodity mmission						
13		C							
14	Dated: May 1, 2008								
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									

#### Page 36 of 39

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box	DEFENDANTS						
U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission				Safevest LLC, Jon G. Ervin & John V. Slye			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases):				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only): Orange County, CA			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Adyourself, provide same.) Peter M. Haas Richard P. Foelber U.S. Commodity Futur 1155 21st Street N.W.,	epresenting A	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)	I	III. CITIZENSI	HIP OF PRINCIPAL P in one box for plaintiff a	ARTIES	5 - For Diversity Case or defendant.)	s Only
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	)	Citizen of This S	PTF DEF PTF DEF			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	t	1	Citizen of Anotho		□2 □	2 Incorporated and of Business in A	
		C	Citizen or Subjec	t of a Foreign Country	□ 3   □	3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Reopened  2 Remanded from Reopened Reopened Reopened State Court Reopened St							rict Judge from
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗆 Y	Yes 🚺	No (Check 'Yes'	only if demanded in cor	nplaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☐ No		$\square$ M	IONEY DEMANDED I	N COM	PLAINT: \$	
7 U.S.C. Sections 60, 69	e the U.S. Civil Statute under whi g(2) and 6m(1) (2002)- Fraud I tor, & Unregistered Associated the an X in one box only.)	by Comi	modity Pool O	perator and Associated	ise. Do i	of Commodity Poo	ol Operator, Unregistered
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	TORTS		PRISONER	LABOR
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance	PERS	SONAL INJURY			PETITIONS	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards
☐ 410 Antitrust	☐ 120 Marine		Airplane Airplane Produc	PROPERTY t 370 Other Fraud		510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		Liability	371 Truth in Len	ding	Habeas Corpus	Relations
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of		Assault, Libel &			530 General 535 Death Penalty	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of		Slander Fed. Employers'	1			Disclosure Act
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability	Product Liab BANKRUPTCY		Other 550 Civil Rights	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor
Organizations  ☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 340 □ 345	Marine Marine Product	☐ 422 Appeal 28 U		555 Prison Condition	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	1	Liability	158	,,	FORFEITURE /	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act
☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities	Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of		Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 2 USC 157		PENALTY 510 Agriculture	PROPERTY RIGHTS
/Exchange	Overpayment of		Product Liability		D (	620 Other Food &	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		Other Personal Injury	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment		Drug 525 Drug Related	□ 840 Trademark
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	□ 190 Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury-	☐ 443 Housing/Acc		Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY
☐ 891 Agricultural Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		Med Malpractic Personal Injury-	e mmodations  □ 444 Welfare		881	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
Act	☐ 196 Franchise		Product Liability	/ ☐ 445 American wi		630 Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation		Asbestos Person Injury Product	al Disabilities Employment		640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs	(405(g))  ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 220 Foreclosure		Liability	☐ 446 American wi	th 🖂	660 Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405(g))
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	1		Disabilities - Other		Safety /Health 590 Other	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff
Access to Justice	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability			☐ 440 Other Civil			or Defendant)
□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 290 All Other Real Property Rights □ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609							
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed and dismissed, remanded or closed?   No  Yes							
If yes, list case number(s):							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV08-00474 AHS (ANx)							
			CIVIL COME				Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

			GLAL AL AND ARROOM COSCO TO NO. TO VOS
VIII(b). RELATED CAS			ously filed that are related to the present case? \( \subseteq \text{No} \)
If yes, list case number(s):	SACV	<u>08-00473</u>	3 JVS (MLGx)
Civil cases are deemed re	elated if a previou	sly filed case	and the present case:
(Check all boxes that apply	v) For A Arise fro	om the same of	or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or
(		determination	n of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
	C. For othe	er reasons wou	ald entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
	□ D. Involve	the same pater	ent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
•			
IX. VENUE: List the Califu Check here if the U.S. g	ifornia County, or S government, its age	State if other the	han California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) oyees is a named plaintiff.
List the California County	or State if other th	han California	a, in which <b>EACH</b> named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary).
Check here if the U.S.	. government, its ag	gencies or emp	ployees is a named defendant.
Safevest LLC- Oran	nge Co., CA		
Jon G. Ervin- Orang	ge Co., CA		
John V. Slye- Fairfa	ax Co., VA		
List the California Coun Note: In land condemnati Orange Co., CA	nty, or State if othe	er than Califor ocation of the t	
			Jeter M. Her Date 5-1-08
X. SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY (OR I	PRO PER): _	( fle /h, Ales
Notice to Counsel/P	Parties: The CV-7	71 (JS-44) Civ	vil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings wed by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not pose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions
Key to Statistical codes re	elating to Social Se	ecurity Cases:	
	Suit Code Abb		Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
Nature of	Suit Code Abi	of Cylation	риозимы с семень с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
861	НІА	<b>.</b>	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL		All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIV	WC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIV	ww	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSI	ID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RS	<b>I</b>	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Alicemarie H. Stotler and the ass	igned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Arthur Nakazato.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV08 - 474 AHS (ANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery	related mo	otions should	l be noticed	l on the cal	endar of the	Magistrate Judge

#### **NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

	Western Division						
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8						
	Los Angeles, CA 90012						

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

	L DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission	CASE NUMBER
	V08-00474 AHS (ANx)
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	
Safevest LLC,	4.2
Jon G. Ervin, &	
John V. Slye,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	SOMMONS
DLI LADINATIO).	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$
	L.
O: DEFENDANT(S): Safevest LLC	6. Equir John Visige
O: DEFENDANT(S): Safevest LLC	G. Cicosi
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 20 s after service of this summor	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you
ust serve on the plaint an answer to the attached \( \text{L} \)	complaint amended complaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer
counterclaim is cross-training a motion under Kule 1.	ter Haas or Richard Foelber, whose address is
commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st St	reet N.W., Washington, Dc 20581. If you fail to do so
dgment by default will be entered against you for the r	elief demanded in the complaint. You also must file
our answer or motion with the	
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
MAY - 1 2008	ROLLS ROYCE PASCHAL
Dated:	By: Deputy Clerk
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
	1144
lse 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowe
days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	
V-01A (12/07) SUMM	IONS