

River and Plateau Committee
Preliminary FY 2012 Issues Table
 Updated August 2011

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
River Corridor	100 & 300 Areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 B/C Area • 100 K Area • 100 N Area • 100 D & H Areas • 100 F Area • 300 Area 	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom	Bob Suyama Wade Riggsbee	6 RODs RI/FS & RD/RA to be issued between now & 2012	Are there issues preventing regulatory agreement on contaminates of potential concern, the conceptual site model, assumptions and uncertainties? How is the integration working between soil and groundwater remediation? What are the potential technology needs?	
	300 Area D&D 618-10 & 11	Pam Larsen	Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom Bob Suyama Maynard Plahuta	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	How clean is clean? What can be left and what is left? What are the lessons learned from exhuming 618-7 and how will those be Update on 618-10/11 and update on on-going work at 618-7	
	K-Basin and Sludge Disposition	Harold Heacock Dick Smith	Bob Suyama Dale Engstrom	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	What is the ultimate disposition? What is the path forward? What are the results of the Sludge alternatives analysis? What are the priorities for K-Area cleanup? What are the lessons learned from the reactor removal?	
Central Plateau	Central Plateau Cleanup Strategy – Shrink active cleanup footprint (includes prior issues on PW-1,3,6; CW-5; UW-1 BC Cribs, PFP; ZP-1)	Pam Larsen Dale Engstrom Wade Riggsbee	Shelly Cimon Bob Suyama, Maynard Plahuta	Review of ongoing strategy development, and determination of need for future actions	What are the proposed timelines for the proposed plan and when is it appropriate for committee input? What are the characterization efforts? What are the removal actions and does the HAB support these? What are the HAB values? What are the potential technology needs?	

River and Plateau Committee
Preliminary FY 2012 Issues Table
 Updated August 2011

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Central Plateau	Transuranic Waste (TRU) and M-91 that is going to WIPP	Harold Heacock	Dale Engstrom		How much treatment will be funded? Will there be a backlog? What are the characterization and treatment efforts and appropriate remedy? What is the status of remote and contact handled TRU waste scheduled for disposition at WIPP under M-91? What is the potential to lose our ability for disposition at WIPP?	TWC PIC
	Pre-1970 Transuranic Waste (TRU)	Harold Heacock Dale Engstrom	Maynard Plahuta	Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	What is the CERCLA process for TRU waste? What are the volume estimates? What are the risk factors for leaving it in place? What are the adequate characterization efforts and appropriate remedies? How do we ensure that DOE is characterizing all potential sites?	
	Greater than Class C (GTCC) EIS	Gerry Pollet Shelley Cimon Dale Engstrom		Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions [Watch List]	TBD	
Cross-Cutting	EPA's Sampling Program	Laura Hanses		Review of ongoing work, and determination of need for future actions	Committee update on input received and sampling done	
	Site-wide Permit	Pam Larsen Gerry Pollet (PIC) Steve Hudson (PIC) Liz Mattson (PIC) Jean Vanni		Spring '09		TWC PIC

River and Plateau Committee
Preliminary FY 2012 Issues Table
 Updated August 2011

Area	RAP Committee Area of Interest	Issue Manager(s) (*denotes lead)	Other interested committee members	Focus/Product For FY2012	Framing Questions (Articulated by Issue Managers)	Cross-cutting
Cross-Cutting	DOE-HQ Science & Technology Roadmap initiatives	Shelley Cimon Pam Larsen	Bob Suyama	Identify potential for Board advice on priority initiatives for Hanford Site. Science & technology Sharing Forum	What are the initiatives? Couple identified needs with research dollars.	TWC
	Institutional Controls (IC) / Long-Term Stewardship (LTS) / Legacy Management (LM) / End States	Bob Suyama Doug Mercer	Vince Panesko	LTS plan update to cover new DOE approaches to cleanup. Gap Analysis. Integration with other Site Plans. Invite Mike Belliot, Jay Pendegrass to provide presentation on IC at future HAB meeting or Workshop	In light of previous HAB Advice on Institutional Controls and Long Term Stewardship (#63, #132 & #141), Is additional advice needed to reflect the Board's position on on-going closure decisions? Are Cultural Resources, and Fish and Wildlife IC related requirements covered in our existing advice? What actions have been taken at other Closure Sites? Have they been effective? What can be applied to Hanford? What are the estimated costs?	TWC, PIC
	Groundwater, Deep Vadose, Soil	Wade Riggsbee Dale Engstrom Shelley Cimon		Maintain awareness of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C-tank • MPTs • 100 & 300 Area RI/FS 	TBD	