




Evaluation of the Repeal of the All-Rider Motorcycle Helmet Law in Florida




Percent Change in Motorcycle Fatalities and in Fatality Rates Two Years Before and After Law Change



Florida Motorcyclist Fatalities, 1994 - 2003



Florida Motorcyclists Killed/10,000 Registered Motorcycles
Source: FARS (1998 - 2002)



This publication is distributed by the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, in the interest of information exchange. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Transportation or the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. If trade or manufacturers' names or products are mentioned, it is only because they are considered essential to the object of the publication and should not be construed as an endorsement. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. DOT HS 809 849		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Evaluation of the Repeal of the All-Rider Motorcycle Helmet Law in Florida				5. Report Date August 2005	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Robert G. Ulmer and Veronika Shabanova Northrup				8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Preusser Research Group, Inc. 7100 Main Street Trumbull, CT 06611				10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
				11. Contract or Grant No. DTNH22-99-D-25099	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Highway Traffic Safety Administration U.S. Department of Transportation 400 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20590				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report September 2002- February 2005	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Patricia Ellison-Potter served as the NHTSA Task Order Manager for this study.					
16. Abstract Effective July 1, 2000, Florida eliminated the legal requirement that all motorcycle riders wear helmets. State law now requires helmet use only by riders under the age of 21, or older riders who do not carry at least \$10,000 of medical insurance. Observational surveys and crash reports indicated that helmet use dropped substantially following the law change. Motorcyclist fatalities increased by 81 percent comparing 2001-2003 to 1997-1999, compared to +48 percent nationally. Non-fatal serious injuries began increasing in the first six months of 2000, increased by 32 percent in the first year following law repeal. There was a 40 percent increase in the number of injured motorcyclists who were admitted to hospitals. Admissions for head injuries increased by 82 percent. The average head injury treatment cost increased by almost \$10,000, to \$45,602. In 1998 and 1999, the acute care hospital charges for head-brain-skull principal injury cases per 10,000 registered motorcycles were \$311,549 and \$428,347 respectively. The comparable figures for 2001 and 2002 were \$605,854 and \$610,386, adjusted for inflation. Time series analysis showed a statistically significant increase in fatalities while controlling for changes in motorcycle registrations. Similar analyses also showed significant increases for Kentucky, Louisiana and Texas. Florida crash reports also indicated that helmet use declined markedly among riders under age 21, who were still covered by the law. Fatalities in this age group nearly tripled in the three years after the law change. Comparing the 30 months before and after the law change, there was an increase of 55 percent in the average annual number of motorcyclists killed (181 to 280, respectively). Registrations increased an average 33.7 percent in this time period. Some of the increases in fatalities and other injuries in Florida were probably due to this increased ridership. The expected number of motorcycle fatalities as a result of the increase in registrations was 242. The actual number who died in 2002 was 301, 56 (+24 percent) more motorcycle fatalities than expected as a result of increased registrations alone. Nationally in 2001 and 2002, motorcycle miles of travel declined compared to earlier years. Given the large registration increase in Florida, it is unlikely that this national pattern held in the State.					
17. Key Words Motorcycle helmet laws Helmet use Motorcyclist fatalities and injuries Crash costs			18. Distribution Statement This report is available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000. It is also available, free of charge, on the NHTSA web site at www.nhtsa.dot.gov .		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages	22. Price

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

CONTRACTOR Preusser Research Group, Inc.	CONTRACT NUMBER DTNH22-99-D-25099
REPORT TITLE Evaluation of the Repeal of the All-Rider Motorcycle Helmet Law in Florida	REPORT DATE July 2005
REPORT AUTHOR (S) Robert G. Ulmer and Veronika Shabanova Northrup	

On July 1, 2000, Florida repealed the legal requirement that all motorcyclists wear protective helmets. Instead, state law now requires helmet use only by riders under the age of 21, or by older riders who do not have a minimum of \$10,000 medical insurance coverage

The Florida Motorcycle Helmet Law Change

Helmet Use -- Observational Surveys

A Florida helmet use observation survey carried out in 1998 before the helmet law change showed that virtually all observed riders were wearing helmets. However, only 59 percent of the observed sample wore compliant helmets (headgear that meets FMVSS No. 218) while 40 percent were wearing noncompliant helmets (headgear that does not meet FMVSS No. 218). These figures compare to 84 percent compliant and 15 percent noncompliant observed in a 1993 survey suggesting that noncompliant helmet use was increasing over time. Following weighting, the 1998 survey results yielded estimated statewide helmet use of 65 percent compliant helmets and 35 percent noncompliant helmets.

A post law change survey, done in 2002, found 47 percent compliant helmet use, 6 percent noncompliant helmet use and 47 percent no helmet use. These results indicate that use of compliant helmets has declined following the law change, while wearing noncompliant helmets has largely been abandoned.

Helmet Use – Crash Reports

Among the 515 motorcyclists killed in Florida in traffic crashes in the three years prior to the helmet law change (1997-1999), 9 percent were recorded in FARS as not wearing a helmet. In the three years following the law change (2001-2003), 61 percent of the 933 fatally injured motorcyclists were reported being unhelmeted. In 1997-1999, there were 35 motorcyclists under the age of 21 killed in Florida. Of these, 26 percent

were not helmeted. In 2001-2003, 101 motorcyclists under age 21 were killed, with 45 percent of them being unhelmeted.

Excluding cases where helmet use was not recorded (less than 7 percent of the cases), 27 percent of all motorcyclists involved in crashes in 1999, of all degrees of severity, were recorded in the Florida crash database as being unhelmeted. In 2001, the figure was 51 percent. Among motorcyclists who sustained incapacitating injury, 21 percent of those involved in 1999 crashes were unhelmeted while 50 percent of those involved in year 2001 crashes were not wearing helmets.

Among riders under the age of 21, 40 percent of those involved in crashes in 1999 were unhelmeted. In 2001, the figure was 49 percent. For those sustaining incapacitating injury, 35 percent of those in 1999 crashes were not helmeted, while in 2001 49 percent were not helmeted.

Motorcyclist Fatalities


While Florida's all-rider helmet law was repealed in July 2000, there was a substantial increase in motorcyclists killed in Florida beginning in the first 6 months of the year, before the effective date of the law. Fatalities in the two years following the law change (2001-2002, N=575) were 71 percent greater than the 337 fatalities that occurred in 1998-1999, compared to an increase of 37 percent for the nation as a whole (4,560 to 6,227). Fatalities in Florida per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased 21 percent compared to 13 percent nationally for the two years before and after the law change. There was an annual average of 181 motorcyclists killed in Florida in the 30 months before the law change, and an annual average of 280 in the 30 months after the law change; a 55 percent increase. Registrations increased an average 33.7 percent (219,486 to 293,393) in this time period.

The expected average annual number of motorcycle fatalities as a result of the increase in registrations was 242 ($181 \times 1.337 = 242$). The actual number who died was 301 in 2002, 59 more motorcycle fatalities than expected as a result of increased registrations alone (a 24 percent increase).


Motorcyclist fatalities in Florida have continued to increase. In the three years after the law change (2001-2003), 933 motorcyclists were killed, 81 percent more than the 515 motorcyclists who were killed in 1997-1999. The actual number who died in 2003 was 358.

While the reduction in helmet use observed after the law was repealed would be expected to result in more non-helmeted fatalities, the actual increase was above what would be expected based solely on the decrease in helmet use. Non-helmeted motorcyclists who were killed in Florida increased from 15 (9 percent) in 1998, when observed helmet use was close to 100 percent, to 198 (66 percent) of the total motorcycle fatalities in 2002, an increase of over thirteen times. When the increase in motorcycle registrations after the law was changed is taken into account, the non-helmeted fatality

rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased from 0.7 fatalities in 1998 to 6.1 in 2002. Helmeted motorcyclist fatalities, on the other hand, fell from 7.6 per 10,000 registered motorcycles in 1998 to 3.2 in 2002.



Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models were used to examine the relationship between the change in Florida’s motorcycle helmet law and motorcyclist fatalities. The date of the law change was used as an intervention point in the time series. Data on motorcyclist fatalities in Georgia were employed as a comparison. Georgia was selected based on proximity to Florida and the fact that it had an all-rider helmet law in effect for the entire time period.



Monthly fatalities in Florida and Georgia, before and after the intervention point (July 2000), were modeled in the presence of the annual number of motorcycle registrations in each State. This was done to control for the extent to which changes in fatalities were associated with changes in motorcycle registrations.

The Florida intervention was statistically significant such that there was an average 9.1 increase in the number of monthly

motorcyclist fatalities following the law change ($p < .001$). The specified final ARIMA parameters were also significant. There was no statistically significant change in the fatality rate following the same intervention date for Georgia. Change in annual motorcycle registrations was not a statistically significant parameter in the final time series model. At the time of this analysis, motorcycle registration data were available

through the year 2002, making December 2002 the last point in the time series examined. In 2003, 358 motorcyclists were killed in Florida suggesting that the upward trend has continued.

Based on the available evidence the increase in motorcycle fatalities that occurred after the Florida motorcycle helmet law was repealed is due in part to the reduced use of helmets. Our analysis shows that is the case despite the pre-existing trend of increasing fatalities, the increase in fatalities associated with increased exposure (measured by registrations), the increase in fatalities that occurred in the first six months of 2000 (before the helmet law repeal became effective), and of a demographic shift in motorcycle ridership.

Motorcyclist Injuries

The Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles produces an annual database of information taken from police motor vehicle crash reports. The following table shows the number of statewide crashes involving motorcyclists, the number of seriously injured motorcyclists (A-injury), the number of motorcyclists sustaining lesser injuries (B & C injuries), and the injury rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles.

All Motorcycle Crashes and Non-Fatal Injuries, 1994-2001

Year	Crashes Involving Motorcycles	Motorcyclists A Injuries	Motorcyclist B&C Injuries	Injuries per 10,000 Registered Motorcycles
1994	5,055	1,507	3,488	281.6
1995	4,887	1,487	3,257	257.1
1996	4,829	1,479	3,442	259.6
1997	4,712	1,432	3,050	230.0
1998	4,536	1,406	2,951	210.1
1999	4,662	1,428	3,037	202.1
2000	5,334	1,576	3,487	210.2
2001	6,069	1,890	3,886	199.3

A-Incapacitating Injury, B-Evident Injury, C-Possible Injury

In the first full year following the law change (2001), there were 1,890 motorcyclists who sustained incapacitating injury and 3,886 who sustained lesser injury. These figures are 32 percent and 28 percent higher, respectively, than the comparable figures in 1999, but less when the increase in registrations is taken into account. Injuries per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased in 2000, but decreased in 2001. Some of the motorcyclists coded “C—Possible Injury” by the police officer may not have sought medical treatment. Although the injury rate per registered motorcycle in 2001 is less than

the rate in 1999, the previous downward trend of non-fatal injuries per registered motorcycle appears to have slowed following the law change period.

Hospital Discharge Data

The Hospital Discharge database maintained by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration shows that in the 30 months immediately following the helmet law change, there were 4,986 motorcyclists admitted to hospitals for treatment, a figure 40 percent greater than the 3,567 admissions during the 30 months just before the law change. Head injury admissions increased by more than 80 percent.

Total gross costs charged to hospital admitted motorcyclists with head, brain or skull injury more than doubled from \$21 million to \$50 million; the average case cost rose by almost \$10,000; the median patient cost increased by almost \$4,000; and the range of costs also increased. Adjusted for inflation, total acute care hospital costs rose from \$21 million to \$44 million and the average cost per case rose from \$34,518 to \$39,877 in the 30 months after the law change. In the post law change period, 25 percent of the head, brain, skull injured admitted motorcyclists were charged approximately \$12,000 or less, while the remaining 75 percent of patients were charged more than this amount. That is, less than one-quarter of the injured would be covered by the \$10,000 medical insurance requirement for those who chose not to use helmets. The hospital discharge data indicate that in the post law change period, approximately 63 percent of admitted motorcyclists were covered by commercial insurance (\$31 million), 16 percent were classified as “self pay” because they were under insured or uninsured (\$8 million), while the remaining 21 percent had their costs (\$10.5 million) billed to charitable and public sources (e.g., Medicaid).

In the 30 months before the helmet law change, 52 motorcyclists with head-brain-skull principal injury died *after* admission to an acute care hospital. The average treatment cost for these cases was \$48,126. In the 30 months after the law change, 115 motorcyclists died following admission. Inflation adjusted costs for these cases averaged \$52,450.

In 1998 and 1999, the hospital charges for head-brain-skull principal injury cases per 10,000 registered motorcycles were \$311,549 and \$428,347 respectively. The comparable figures for 2001 and 2002 were \$605,854 and \$610,386, adjusted for inflation.

The effect of the motorcycle helmet law repeal on injuries is somewhat less clear than the situation for fatalities. Two sources of data were available: police motor vehicle crash reports, which show an increase in injuries, but a small decline in injury rates per 10,000 registrations; and hospital discharge data that show large increases in hospital admissions and admissions for head injuries. The weight of the evidence indicates that

the repeal of the helmet law was associated with a slowing of the existing downward trend in injury rates, with an increase in head injuries. The cost data show that total acute care cost more than doubled. As with fatalities, increased exposure (registrations) cannot account for these changes.

The Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas Law Changes

Arkansas and Texas repealed all-rider helmet laws in 1997 while Kentucky did so in 1998 and Louisiana in 1999. Comparing motorcyclist fatalities in the three full years after the law changes indicates that fatalities increased by 130 percent in Louisiana, by 99 percent in Kentucky, by 52 percent in Texas, and by 23 percent in Arkansas.

Time Series Analyses

ARIMA modeling was conducted for these States. As with Florida, analyses explored monthly fatalities over a nine- year period (1994-2002), controlling for the annual number of motorcycles registered in each State. The intervention effects were statistically significant for Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas, but not Arkansas.

For Kentucky, there was a statistically significant effect of the intervention on fatalities such that there was an average increase of 1.3 motorcycle fatalities per month ($p = .001$). Registrations did not enter the model as a statistically significant parameter.

Louisiana also showed a significant effect of intervention. For this State, the repeal of the law raised motorcycle fatalities by an average of 2.6 per month ($p < .001$). There was no statistically significant effect of registrations on fatalities.

The results in Texas demonstrated statistically significant effects of both intervention (fatalities increasing an average of 3.7 per month; $p = .001$) and registrations (fatalities increasing by 1 as registrations increase by 10,000, $p < .001$) on the number of motorcycle fatalities. That is, while there was an effect of registrations on a change in the rate of fatalities, there was also a separate effect of the law repeal.

Limitations of the Study

National data suggest that as motorcycle registrations increase, motorcyclists' deaths and injuries increase. Conversely, when registrations decline, fatalities and injuries decline. In Florida, motorcycle registrations increased substantially following repeal of the all-rider helmet law, an outcome similar to that seen in the other States that repealed helmet laws in recent years. It is likely that some of the increases in motorcyclist fatalities were due to increased ridership. However, the analyses just described show that increases in motorcycle registrations alone do not account for the magnitude of the increases in fatalities. Other factors than the decrease in helmet use that may have contributed to the fatality increase are alcohol use, speed, and a demographic shift in motorcycle ridership. Unfortunately, the available data do not allow for a precise

determination of the extent to which these various factors contributed to the increase in fatalities.

Nationally, motorcycle vehicle miles of travel (VMT) increased gradually throughout the 1990s, but decreased in 2001 and 2002. The VMT measure, provided by the Federal Highway Administration, is regarded as a good indicator of trends year to year, but cannot be broken down reliably to the individual State level for motorcycles. Nationally between 1998 and 2002, motorcycle registrations increased by approximately 29 percent. In 1998, the average motorcycle traveled 2,645 miles, while in 2002 this figure had declined to 1,909 miles. The extent to which this effect occurs at the individual State level is not knowable from existing data sources.

Summary

The effects of Florida's repeal of its all-rider motorcycle helmet use law are similar to those seen in the other States that have repealed such laws in recent years. Based on these findings, it is reasonable to conclude that the following are likely outcomes in a State considering elimination of an all-rider helmet law:

- Helmet use will decline markedly, from virtually full daytime compliance to voluntary use by about 50 percent of riders;
- Helmet use likely will decline among all riders regardless of restrictions remaining in the law (use required by young riders, those without insurance) because of enforceability factors.
- Motorcycle registrations will increase. This, in turn, will contribute to an increase in motorcycle crashes of all degrees of severity.
- Motorcyclist fatalities will increase significantly, typically by 50 to 100 percent comparing the years following the law change with the years immediately before repeal. The fatality rate per registered motorcycle will also increase.

The Florida results also showed that non-fatal serious injuries increase more than lesser injuries following law repeal. Injured motorcyclists' hospital admissions increased by 40 percent following the law change. Admissions for head-brain-skull injuries increased by more than 80 percent following the law change. Total gross treatment costs for these cases more than doubled and the cost per case also increased substantially. Fewer than 25 percent of hospital admitted motorcyclists for head-brain-skull injuries had treatment costs under \$10,000, indicating that the law's medical insurance provision is largely inadequate to cover the costs incurred. Only about two-thirds of admitted motorcyclists have medical insurance.

The Florida law continues to require helmet use by riders under the age of 21. The data indicate that this provision is not being observed. The number of under age 21 motorcyclists killed in Florida in the two years after the law change nearly tripled, compared to the two years before the change. Almost one-half of the post law change victims were not helmeted compared to about 26 percent before the law change, an increase of 188 percent. The number of young motorcyclists involved in crashes of lesser severity increased by about 47 percent.

PREPARED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, UNDER CONTRACT NO: DTNH22-99-D-25099. THE OPINIONS, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS EXPRESSED IN THIS PUBLICATION ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHORS AND NOT NECESSARILY THOSE OF THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Technical Summary	ii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND.....	2
Legislative History.....	2
Studies of Helmet Use Law Effect.....	2
III. NATIONAL TRENDS	9
Registrations and Miles of Travel.....	9
Fatalities.....	11
IV. EFFECTS OF THE LAW CHANGE IN FLORIDA	14
The Law	14
Helmet Use.....	14
Motorcycle Registrations.....	15
Fatalities.....	17
Time Series Analysis	18
Helmeted and Non-helmeted Motorcycle Fatalities	20
Injuries	22
V. EFFECTS OF HELMET LAW CHANGES IN ARKANSAS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, AND TEXAS	31
Time Series Analyses.....	31
VI. DISCUSSION.....	34
VII. REFERENCES.....	37

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1. Universal Helmet Use Laws	3
Figure 2. Percent of Registered Motorcycles Covered by Universal Helmet Law	3
Figure 3. U.S. Motorcycle Registrations and Miles of Travel	9
Figure 4. Motorcyclist Fatalities, 1983-2003	11
Figure 5. Fatality Rates	11
Figure 6. Motorcycle Registrations in States With and Without Universal Helmet Laws.....	12
Figure 7. Annual Florida Motorcycle Registrations.....	16
Figure 8. Florida Motorcycle Fatalities, 1994-2002.....	17
Figure 9. Change in Number of Motorcycle Fatalities and in Fatality Rates Two Years Before and After Law Change	18
Figure 10. Time Series: Motorcycle Fatalities, Florida versus Georgia	20
Figure 11. Percentage of Florida Motorcycle Fatalities by Helmet Use (FARS, 1998-2002).....	21
Figure 12. Florida Motorcyclists Killed/10,000 Registered Motorcycles by Helmet Use (FARS, 1998 – 2002).....	21
Figure 13. Florida Motorcyclists Killed and Helmet Use 30 Months Before and After the Law Change (FARS, 1998-2002).....	22
Figure 14. Serious and Lesser Motorcyclist Injuries.....	23
Figure 15. Non-Fatal Injury Crash Rate.....	23
Figure 16. Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas Motorcyclist Fatalities, 1994-2003.....	32

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1. U.S. Motorcycle Registrations, Miles of Travel and Fatalities, 1983-2002	10
Table 2. Motorcycle Registrations in Previous Helmet Law Change States	13
Table 3. Helmet Use Among Florida Crash Involved Motorcyclists	15
Table 4. Florida Motorcycle Registrations, 1994-2002	16
Table 5. Annual Florida Motorcyclist Fatalities and Fatality Rates	17
Table 6. Florida and Georgia Interrupted Time Series Results.....	19
Table 7. Florida Motorcycle Fatalities Place of Death and Helmet Use.....	22
Table 8. All Motorcycle Crashes and Non-Fatal Injuries, 1994-2001	23
Table 9. Gender and Age of Hospital Admitted Motorcyclists	25
Table 10. Motorcyclists' Principal Diagnosis Injuries.....	26
Table 11. Head-Brain-Skull Injury Treatment Costs	26
Table 12. Inflation Adjusted Costs	27
Table 13. Motorcyclists Killed in Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas, 1994-2003	31
Table 14. Arkansas, Kentucky, and Texas Interrupted Time Series Results	33

I. INTRODUCTION

The first state laws requiring motorcyclists to wear protective helmets began to appear in 1967 following the inclusion of mandatory helmet use in the highway safety program standards issued by the Secretary of Transportation. In 1967, 22 States adopted helmet use laws applicable to all riders and 14 more States added such laws in 1968. By 1975, almost all States and the District of Columbia had enacted all-rider helmet use laws.

Mandatory helmet use laws were not well received by segments of the motorcycling community. Organizations such as ABATE (A Brotherhood Against Totalitarian Enactments; now more commonly, American Bikers Aimed Toward Education) formed and lobbied against helmet use laws. In the nearly four decades since the original helmet laws, States have variously enacted and repealed all-rider motorcycle helmet laws, with the presence or absence of congressional transportation funding incentives and disincentives often facilitating state legislative actions. In recent years, allocations of Federal highway funds to the States have not been linked to whether the State had or did not have a motorcycle helmet law applicable to all riders.

Effective July 1, 2000, Florida eliminated the legal requirement that all motorcycle riders wear helmets. Instead, state law now requires helmet use only by riders under the age of 21. The Florida law change follows similar actions by Arkansas and Texas in 1997, by Kentucky in 1998, and by Louisiana in 1999. At the end of 2003, there were 19 States and the District of Columbia with laws requiring helmet use by all motorcycle riders, 28 States that require helmet use only by riders under a specified age, and 3 States with no law regarding helmet use.

The present report examines the highway safety effects of Florida's law change. Following this introduction, the report is organized as follows:

- Chapter II, Background, reviews recent literature on the effects of helmet use and helmet use laws.
- Chapter III presents national data on trends in motorcycle registrations, travel, and casualties.
- Chapter IV, Effects of the Law Change in Florida, describes the effect of Florida's law change on helmet use, fatalities, injuries, and casualty rates.
- Chapter V, Effects of the Other Law Changes, updates earlier analyses of the effects of law changes in Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas.
- Chapter VI, Discussion, discusses the findings of the study.

II. BACKGROUND

This and the following chapter provide context for the examination of the effects of Florida's motorcycle helmet law change. This chapter briefly describes the history of motorcycle helmet laws in the United States and reviews the literature regarding helmet use and law changes. Chapter III describes recent trends in motorcycle ridership and crashes. The material is an update of that originally presented by Preusser et al., (2000).

Legislative History

The history of motorcycle helmet laws in the United States can be summarized as follows:

- 1966-1975: Most States Enact All-Rider Helmet Use Laws in Response to Federal Highway Safety Standards;
- 1976-1980: Half the States Repeal or Amend their All-Rider Helmet Use Laws after Congress Eliminates Sanctions;
- 1981-1988: Period of Stability;
- 1989-1994: Gradual Re-enactment and Congressional Encouragement; 6 States Adopt All-Rider Laws;
- 1995-2003: Congress Again Eliminates Sanctions; 6 States Drop All-Rider Helmet Laws.


The status of motorcycle helmet laws can be found at:

www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/injury/new-fact-sheet03/index.htm.

Also, see Preusser et al., (2000), for a more complete description of the history of motorcycle helmet laws. Figure 1 shows the number of states with universal helmet laws in effect at the end of each year, beginning in 1966. Figure 2 shows the percentage of registered motorcycles covered by all-rider laws.


Studies of Helmet Use Law Effects

The General Accounting Office (GAO, 1991), now called the General Accountability Office, conducted a broad search for studies as of 1990 and found 46 that were: published between 1975 and 1990; used data from the United States; and contained original data or original analyses and met minimum criteria for methodological soundness.



GAO found 9 studies that included data on helmet use in States with and without universal laws. These studies:

“reported that helmet use under universal laws ranged from 92 to 100 percent, while without a law or under a limited law [requiring only some riders to wear helmets], helmet use generally ranged from 42 to 59 percent. These data also indicated low helmet use among young riders in states with limited helmet laws” (GAO, 1991, p. 4).



GAO found 20 studies that compared motorcycle rider fatality rates under universal helmet laws with rates during periods before enactment or after repeal of these laws.

“These studies consistently showed that fatality rates were lower when universal helmet laws were in effect; most rates ranged from 20 to 40 percent lower. Several of these studies compared periods before a helmet law was enacted, while it was in effect, and after it was repealed.

They showed that the decreases in fatality rates when laws were enacted were matched by comparable increases when the laws were repealed” (GAO, 1991, p. 4).

GAO found 13 studies with data on some aspect of the societal costs of motorcycle crashes.

“These studies indicated that nonhelmeted riders were more likely to (1) need ambulance service, (2) be admitted to a hospital as an inpatient, (3) have higher hospital charges, (4) need neurosurgery and intensive care, (5) need rehabilitation, and (6) be permanently impaired and need long-term care” (GAO, 1991, p. 4).

More Recent Studies of Helmet Use

Since the 1991 GAO report a number of studies have been conducted that examine helmet use rates before and after helmet law changes. Kraus et al., (1995) observed helmet use at 60 locations in seven California counties, twice before and four times after California’s 1992 adoption of an all-rider law. They concluded that helmet use increased from about 50 percent in 1991 to more than 99 percent in 1992. Preusser et al., (2000) found that following Arkansas’ all-rider law repeal in 1997, helmet use declined from 97 percent to 52 percent. Texas enacted an all-rider helmet use law in 1968, repealed it in 1977 and required helmet use only for riders under 18, then re-enacted an all-rider law in 1989. Lund et al., (1991) present data showing that helmet use increased from less than 50 percent just before the 1989 universal law to 90 percent immediately after the law became effective and to 95 percent two months later. Texas again repealed its all-rider law in 1997. Preusser et al., (2000) report that this repeal was accompanied by a decline in helmet use -- from about 97 percent use before the repeal to about 66 percent after repeal. Similar findings are reported for the all-rider law repeals in Kentucky and Louisiana (Ulmer and Preusser, 2003).

More Recent Studies of Fatalities

A number of studies relating fatalities and fatality rates to helmet use laws have also appeared since the GAO report. Kraus et al., (1994) compared California’s motorcycle crash experience in 1991, before adoption of its all-rider law, with 1992, after the law was in effect. Motorcycle fatalities statewide decreased 37 percent in 1992 compared with a year earlier. The fatality rate per registered motorcycle decreased 26 percent. Maryland’s all-rider helmet law was adopted in 1992. Mitchell et al., (2001) used autopsy records to study the effects of the law. They reported there was a 36 percent decline in the number of motorcyclist fatalities in the 33-month period immediately following the law compared to the 33 months just prior to the law. Helmeted motorcyclists were significantly less likely to have died from traumatic brain injury as compared to non-helmeted motorcyclists after Nebraska’s all-rider helmet law became effective in January 1989. Mulleman, et al., (1991) observed a 26 percent reduction in crashes per registered motorcycle in 1990, compared to the five previous years and to 5 adjoining States without all-rider helmet laws. They also studied all motorcyclists with reported crash injuries in two urban counties during 1988 and 1989 (421 in 1988 and 250 in 1989). They found that the universal law produced declines in the numbers and rates of injuries, hospital transports, hospital admissions, severe injuries to the head, and deaths. In the five full

years (1984-1988) before Washington's all-rider law was adopted, the State experienced an average of 77 motorcyclist fatalities per year. In the five full years after the law (1991-1995), the average declined to 39 fatalities per year (source: FARS).

Studies of the effects of all-rider law repeals in Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas have all shown substantial increases in motorcyclist fatalities comparing the two years after the laws' repeals with the two years before repeal (Arkansas +29%; Kentucky +58%; Louisiana +109%; Texas +37%). Fatalities per registered motorcycle also increased in Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas, but not in Arkansas (Preusser et al., 2000; Ulmer and Preusser, 2003).

More Recent Studies of Injury Patterns and Costs

- Studies of Injury Patterns

Kraus and Peek (1995) studied injured motorcyclists treated at 18 hospitals in 10 California counties between January 1, 1991 and December 31, 1993 (2,037 patients in 1991, before California adopted an all-rider law, and 2,753 in 1992 and 1993, after the law). Helmet use among these injured motorcyclists rose from 30 percent in 1991 to 86 percent in 1992 and 88 percent in 1993. Both the severity and number of head injuries per rider decreased after the law.

Mulleman et al., (1991) studied all Nebraska motorcyclists with reported crash injuries in two urban counties in the year before and the year after adoption of an all-rider law. They found that the law produced declines in the numbers and rates of injuries, hospital transports, hospital admissions, severe injuries to the head, and deaths.

Following Texas' 1989 re-enactment of an all-rider law, Mounce et al., (1992) examined hospital data from the first nine months after the law and showed that motorcyclists injured after the law suffered less serious injuries and were less likely to have head or face injuries than motorcyclists injured before the law. Fleming and Becker (1992) found a 57 percent decrease in head-related fatalities and a 55 percent reduction in severe head-related injuries among hospital-admitted motorcyclists. Following the Texas 1997 repeal of its all-rider law, Preusser et al., (2002) linked EMS and trauma registry data for motorcycle crashes and found a marked increase in traumatic brain injury cases and in the costs of treating these cases.

Washington's all-rider helmet law became effective in June 1990. Mock et al., (1995) analyzed data on motorcycle crash victims admitted to the Seattle region's only level 1 trauma center from 1986 through 1993. They report that severe head injuries decreased from 20 percent of all admitted patients before the law to 9 percent after the law.

Kelley et al., (1991) studied 398 motorcycle crash victims in eight Illinois medical centers from April through October 1988. Illinois had no helmet law at that time. They concluded that non-helmeted patients had higher overall injuries (Injury Severity Score) and more frequent head and neck injuries than helmeted motorcyclists.

Gabella et al., (1995) examined the risk of head injury in motorcycle crashes in El Paso County, Colorado in 1989-1990. Not wearing a helmet was found to increase the likelihood of a head injury by a factor of 3 relative to the risk of head injury for helmeted motorcyclists.

Kraus et al., (1995) studied 174 fatally injured and 379 non-fatally injured crash-involved motorcyclists in Los Angeles County, California, in 1988-1989, before California's all-rider helmet law. They concluded that "those not using helmets where helmet use is voluntary are a higher risk population than helmet users. They are more likely to be involved in crashes but, because they are un-helmeted, less likely to be protected against serious head injury." Sakar, Peek, and Kraus (1995) also studied fatally injured motorcyclists in Los Angeles County. They found that head and cervical spine injuries were more frequent in non-helmeted than in helmeted fatally injured motorcyclists.

Rowland et al., (1996) studied 86 fatally injured and 386 hospitalized motorcyclists in the State of Washington in 1989 (when Washington's helmet law covered only riders under age 18). They concluded that "motorcycle helmet use is strongly and independently associated with reduced likelihood and severity of head injury, reduced overall injury severity, and reduced probability of motorcycle-related hospitalization and death attributable to head injury."

- Studies of Injury Costs

As part of the 1991 ISTEA legislation, Congress required NHTSA to study the effects of safety belt and motorcycle helmet use in crashes. NHTSA conducted the analysis using its Crash Outcome Data Evaluation System (CODES) data system, in which 7 States linked data from their police crash reports, emergency medical services, hospital emergency departments, hospital discharge files, claims, and other sources. NHTSA's 1996 Report to Congress found that "motorcycle helmet effectiveness ranged from 9 percent in preventing any kind of injury to 35 percent in preventing a fatality." "The average inpatient charge for motorcycle crash victims receiving inpatient care was \$14,377 for those who used helmets, and \$15,578 for those who did not" (NHTSA, 1996). The CODES data showed that helmet use for motorcycle riders involved in crashes ranged from 80 to 98 percent in 3 CODES States with all-rider helmet laws and from 30 to 49 percent in 3 CODES States without all-rider laws. Helmets were estimated to be 67 percent effective in preventing brain injuries in a crash (NHTSA, 1998).

Bigelow (2001) examined CODES data from 18,394 motorcyclists involved in crashes in the State of Wisconsin. Helmeted riders were less likely to have sustained traumatic brain injury across a variety of crash related factors including crash type, speed limit, highway type, and alcohol involvement. The average hospital charge for the brain injury cases was almost \$28,806 and the average length of stay was 10.6 days.

Finison (2001) examined CODES data from 806 motorcyclists involved in crashes in Maine during 1995 and 1996. Riders not wearing helmets were found to be 3 times more likely to have head injuries requiring EMS transport, hospitalization, or resulting in death than motorcyclists who were helmeted. Hospital charges were higher for those with head injury than those with other injury. Also, among the head injury cases, those who were helmeted had shorter hospital stays (4.2 days versus 9.3 days for the not helmeted) and lower treatment charges (\$14,639 versus \$33,443).

Shankar et al., (1992) linked all Maryland police motorcycle crash reports, hospital emergency department data and trauma registry data for a 12-month period to examine head injury and treatment cost as related to helmet use. They found that non-helmeted motorcycle operators were twice as likely to have sustained head injury and had acute care costs 3 times that of helmeted operators injured in crashes.

Max et al., (1998) examined the effects of California's 1992 adoption of its all-rider helmet law on injury costs. They found that the rate of motorcyclist hospitalizations per registered motorcycles declined by 25 percent comparing 1993 with 1991. The rate of hospitalizations for head injuries declined by 48 percent. Total hospitalization cost for motorcycle injuries declined by 35 percent comparing 1993 with 1991. Approximately three-quarters of the decline was attributed to reduced costs for patients with head injuries.

Rutledge and Stutts (1993) used the North Carolina Trauma Registry to examine the relationship of crash injury outcomes and helmet use. They compared helmeted and unhelmeted riders who were admitted to a hospital for at least a 24-hour period and found that when overall degree of injury is equalized among cases, hospital charges; length of stay; and other measures of resource utilization did not differ, but the risk of head injury was twice as high for unhelmeted riders as it was for those who were helmeted. They note that the equal resource utilization was due to the high costs of treating very severe injuries to the extremities.

Zaloshnja et al., (2004) provide extensive data on the costs of injuries to various body parts resulting from motor vehicle crashes. Medical costs for brain/intercranial injury ranged from \$42,148 for minor (MAIS1) injuries to \$249,356 for critical (MAIS5) injuries. Adding other costs such as police and fire services, lost wages, property damage, etc., brought the total monetary costs for a minor brain/intercranial injury to \$66,790 and to \$1,431,918 for a critical injury.

The studies since the 1991 GAO report overwhelmingly confirm GAO's conclusions. All available studies indicate that universal motorcycle helmet laws raise helmet use to 90 percent or higher from pre-law levels of 50 percent or lower. Conversely, repealing all-rider laws results in substantially reduced helmet use. All-rider laws are shown to reduce motorcycle fatalities, fatality rates, and severe head injuries. The studies also confirm that helmets reduce the probability of injury, of head injury, and of fatality for crash-involved motorcyclists. States that repealed all-rider helmet laws in recent years have experienced declines in helmet use and increases in fatalities and fatality rates.

III. NATIONAL TRENDS

Registrations and Miles of Travel

Table 1, on the following page, shows the number of motorcycles registered in the United States in the 20 year period 1983-2002 and the estimated national annual miles of travel for motorcycles. The table also shows the number of motorcyclists killed each year and the fatality rates per motorcycle registration and miles of travel.

Figure 3 charts the trends in national motorcycle registrations and miles of travel. Motorcycle registrations in the United States peaked in the early 1980s at more than 5.5 million, then declined gradually but steadily until the mid 1990s. Registrations have increased in more recent years. The year 2002 motorcycle registration level of just over 5 million is the highest since 1986. Estimated annual motorcycle miles of travel have trended upward slightly over the past two decades. Peak usage was recorded in 1994 when the average motorcycle was driven 2,726 miles. The 2002 figure was 1,909 miles per registered motorcycle.





Table 1. U.S. Motorcycle Registrations, Miles of Travel, and Fatalities, 1983-2002

Year	Registrations - US	Travel - US (million miles)	Fatalities	Fatalities per 10,000 Registered	Fatalities per 10M VMT
1983	5,585,112	8,760	4,104	7.3	4.7
1984	5,479,822	8,784	4,431	8.1	5.0
1985	5,444,404	9,086	4,417	8.1	4.9
1986	5,198,993	9,397	4,309	8.3	4.6
1987	4,885,772	9,506	3,834	7.8	4.0
1988	4,584,284	10,024	3,492	7.6	3.5
1989	4,420,420	10,371	3,036	6.9	2.9
1990	4,259,462	9,557	3,129	7.3	3.3
1991	4,177,365	9,178	2,703	6.5	2.9
1992	4,065,118	9,557	2,291	5.6	2.4
1993	3,977,856	9,906	2,336	5.9	2.4
1994	3,756,555	10,240	2,190	5.8	2.1
1995	3,767,029	9,797	2,144	5.7	2.2
1996	3,871,599	9,920	2,046	5.3	2.1
1997	3,826,373	10,076	2,028	5.3	2.0
1998	3,879,450	10,260	2,186	5.6	2.1
1999	4,152,433	10,584	2,374	5.7	2.2
2000	4,346,068	10,479	2,783	6.4	2.7
2001	4,903,056	9,529	3,077	6.5	3.2
2002	5,004,156	9,553	3,150	6.3	3.3

Source: FHWA for Registrations and Miles of Travel; NHTSA (FARS) for Fatalities. At the time of the present report, 2002 was the latest year for which motorcycle registration data were available. In 2003, 3,534 motorcyclists were killed, a 13% increase from 2002. The fatality figures are for operators and passengers of motorcycles defined in FARS as body type code 80.

Fatalities

Table 1 and figure 4 show the annual numbers of motorcyclists killed in the United States. Fatality data are from FARS using the vehicle body type code 80. This excludes mopeds, all terrain vehicles, and similar vehicles from the tabulations.¹



Motorcyclist fatalities in the United States reached an historic high of more than 4,900 in 1980. This was followed by a long term downward trend that yielded nearly a 60 percent decrease in the number of motorcyclists killed annually by the mid 1990s. In more recent years, the number of fatalities has been increasing. The 2003 figure (3,534) is 74 percent higher than the low recorded in 1997 (2,028).




Figure 5 shows the rate of motorcyclist fatalities per 10,000 registered motorcycles and per 10 million miles of travel. These rates tend to parallel one another as well as the basic fatality trend (figure 4). That is, fatalities and the fatality rates all declined during the 1980s into the mid 1990s and have been increasing since. These data suggest that as motorcycle registrations increase, motorcyclists' deaths increase, as do their fatality rates. Conversely, when registrations decline, fatalities and fatality rates also decline.


¹ In its publications dealing with motorcycles (for example, Traffic Safety Facts), NHTSA uses FARS body type codes 80-89 when discussing motorcycles. This yields small differences in fatality totals and rates from the figures reported here. The use of only body type code 80 in this report maintains consistency with other data sources such as the Florida motor vehicle crash database.

In 2003, there were 1,506 more motorcyclists killed nationally than were killed in 1997. All States except Connecticut, Delaware, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, and Vermont recorded increases comparing 2003 with 1997. The 5 States that repealed universal helmet laws during this period experienced 502 more fatalities -- Texas (+201, +180%), Florida (+180, +101%), Louisiana (+55, +290%), Arkansas (+36, +200%), and Kentucky (+30, +125%). Shankar (2001) reports that much of the national increase in fatalities recorded in the period 1990-2001 is among age 40 and older riders and riders of larger engine size motorcycles.

The riding season is longer in States with more temperate climates. In 2002, the “southern tier” States, California, Arizona, New Mexico, Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, and Georgia, recorded about 17 percent of their motorcyclist deaths in December, January, and February, while the “northern tier” States, Washington, Montana, North Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine, recorded just over 2 percent of their fatalities during these months.

Motorcycle registrations per population follow a different pattern. In the southern tier States in 2002, there were 14.5 registered motorcycles per 1,000 residents while the northern tier States had 20.0 registered motorcycles per 1,000 population. That is, population based registration rates tend to be higher where the riding season tends to be shorter.

In 2002, there were 1,189 motorcyclists killed in the southern tier States while 714 were killed in the northern tier. The fatality rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles was 8.1 in the southern tier and 4.8 in the northern States. The southern States, therefore, tend to have longer riding seasons, more fatalities per registered motorcycle but lower per capita registration rates.



Population based motorcycle registration rates also tend to be higher in States without universal helmet laws (18.7 registrations per 1,000 population in 2000) than in States with universal helmet laws (12.8 registrations per 1,000 population). There are 15 States that have had a universal helmet law in place consistently since the 1970s (Alabama, Georgia, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana,

Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia) and 16 States that consistently have had no helmet law or a law applicable only to young riders since the 1970s (Arkansas, Arizona, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah, and Wisconsin). Figure 6 shows the trends in numbers of registered motorcycles in these two groups of States. It indicates that registrations in States with and without universal helmet laws have generally paralleled one another over the past two decades. Registration data are from FHWA.

As noted, Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas repealed all-rider helmet laws in the 1997-1999 period. Table 2 shows motorcycle registration totals in these States for the years 1994 through 2002.

Table 2. Motorcycle Registrations in Previous Helmet Law Change States.

Year	Arkansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Texas
1994	14,374	33,995	36,790	146,998
1995	17,219	32,996	36,776	130,117
1996	16,470	36,603	37,022	148,865
1997	14,331	38,658	38,049	133,423
1998	21,070	39,901	39,638	150,175
1999	21,786	41,905	42,908	168,896
2000	25,020	44,003	48,244	187,174
2001	29,290	46,206	54,507	213,299
2002	31,101	48,508	53,935	234,922

Source: FHWA. At the time of the present report, 2002 was the latest year for which registration data were available.

These data suggest that motorcycle registrations accelerated in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas following repeal of the all-rider laws in these States, while a previously existing upward trend continued in Kentucky. Figure 6 indicated that motorcycle registration levels vary over time irrespective of the underlying helmet use law. The Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas data just described also show that, at least in the near term, registration levels react to helmet law changes. That is, there appear to be both broad national factors and immediate perceptions about the desirability of riding given the type of helmet law in effect that influence the ownership of motorcycles.

IV. EFFECTS OF THE LAW CHANGE IN FLORIDA

The Law

Florida originally adopted an all-rider motorcycle helmet law in 1967. It was this law that was amended effective July 1, 2000, to require helmet use only by riders under the age of 21. Riders 21 and older who do not wear helmets must have at least \$10,000 in medical insurance coverage.

Helmet Use

Observational Surveys

A Florida helmet use observation survey carried out before the helmet law change (Center for Urban Transportation Research, 1998) showed that virtually all observed riders were wearing helmets. However, only 59 percent of the observed sample wore compliant helmets (headgear that meets FMVSS No. 218), while 40 percent were wearing noncompliant helmets (headgear that does not meet FMVSS No.218). These figures compare to 84 percent compliant and 15 percent noncompliant observed in a 1993 survey, suggesting that noncompliant helmet use was increasing over time. Following weighting, the 1998 survey results yielded estimated statewide helmet use of 65 percent compliant helmets and 35 percent noncompliant helmets.

A post law change survey, done in 2002, (Turner and Hagelin, 2004) found 47 percent compliant helmet use, 6 percent noncompliant helmet use and 47 percent no helmet use. These results indicate that use of compliant helmets has declined following the law change while wearing noncompliant helmets has largely been abandoned.

Crash Involvement

Helmet use among motorcyclists involved in crashes before and after the law change is shown in Table 3. Among the 515 motorcyclists killed in traffic crashes in the three years prior to the helmet law change (1997-1999), 9.4 percent were recorded in FARS as not wearing a helmet. In the three years following the law change (2001-2003), 60.8 percent of the 933 fatally injured motorcyclists were reported being unhelmeted. In 1997-1999, there were 35 motorcyclists under the age of 21 killed in Florida. Of these, 25.7 percent were not helmeted. In 2001-2003, 101 motorcyclists under 21 were killed with 45.0 percent of them being unhelmeted.

Excluding cases where helmet use was not recorded (less than 7 percent of the cases), 26.8 percent of all motorcyclists involved in crashes in 1999, of all degrees of severity, were recorded in the Florida crash database as being unhelmeted. In 2001, the figure was 51.4 percent. Among motorcyclists who sustained incapacitating injury, 20.8 percent of those involved in 1999 crashes were unhelmeted while 50.3 percent of those involved in year 2001 crashes were not wearing helmets.

Among riders under the age of 21, 39.8 percent of those involved in crashes in 1999 were unhelmeted. In 2001, the figure was 49.3 percent. For those sustaining incapacitating injury, 35.4 percent of those in 1999 crashes were not helmeted while in 2001, 49.5 percent were not helmeted.

Table 3. Helmet Use Among Florida Crash Involved Motorcyclists

	1997-1999	2001-2003
All Motorcyclists Killed (N)	515	933
Percent Not Helmeted	9.4%	60.8%
Motorcyclists < 21 Killed (N)	35	101
Percent Not Helmeted	25.7%	45.0%
	1999	2001
All Crash-Involved Motorcyclists (N)	5,251	7,710
Percent Not Helmeted	26.8%	51.4%
Incapacitating Injuries (N)	1,428	1,890
Percent Not Helmeted	20.8%	50.3%
<21 Age Involved (N)	610	781
Percent Not Helmeted	39.8%	49.3%
<Age 21 Incapacitating Injuries (N)	145	199
Percent Not Helmeted	35.4%	49.5%

Source: Fatality data FARS; Injury data Florida crash database.

Both the observational survey results and the fatal and other crash data show that helmet use has declined substantially in Florida since the repeal of the all-rider use law. The crash data also indicate that the declining use has extended to riders under the age of 21, the group that was to continue helmet use under the revised law.

Motorcycle Registrations


Table 4 and Figure 7 contain annual motorcycle registration data for the years 1994-2002. These data indicate that Florida registrations had been increasing gradually during the 1990s, then increased markedly coincidental with the repeal of the State's all-rider helmet law. This outcome is similar to what occurred in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas following helmet law repeals in those States.

Table 4. Florida Motorcycle Registrations, 1994-2002

Year	Motorcycles Registered
1994	177,374
1995	184,526
1996	189,574
1997	194,903
1998	207,371
1999	220,923
2000	240,844
2001	289,760
2002	323,301

Source: Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV). Numbers are registrations in effect as of June 30 of each year. In 2000, Florida changed the way motorcycle registrations are counted, using decal sales instead of transactions. The Florida DHSMV provided registration data through 2002 that is calculated in the same way prior to the helmet law change. Thus, registration information after 2000 shown on Florida's DHSMV Web site will differ slightly from the numbers shown here. Had we used the "new" numbers (based on decal sales), the effects of the helmet law repeal would appear worse than the conservative approach reported here.

Figure 7. Annual Florida Motorcycle Registrations




Fatalities

Table 5 and Figure 8 show the numbers of motorcyclists killed in Florida during the years 1994-2003.


Table 5. Annual Florida Motorcyclist Fatalities and Fatality Rates

Year	Motorcyclists Killed	Fatalities per 10,000 Registered Motorcycles	Two Year Average		Percent Change	
			Fatalities	Fatality Rate	Fatalities	Fatality Rate
1994	125	7.0	139	7.6		
1995	152	8.2				
1996	141	7.4	160	8.2	+15.1%	+7.9%
1997	178	9.1				
1998	173	8.3	169	7.8	+5.6%	-4.8%
1999	164	7.4				
2000	241	10.0				
2001	274	9.5	288	9.4	+71.0%	+20.5%
2002	301	9.3				
2003	358	n/a				

Source: Fatalities FARS; Registrations DHSMV



These data indicate that there has been a substantial increase in motorcyclists killed in Florida following repeal of the State's all-rider helmet law in 2000 (though the increase started in the first six months of 2000 before the law was effective in July). The 575 fatalities in the two years following the law change (2001-2002) were 71 percent greater than the 337 fatalities that occurred in 1998-1999, compared to an increase of 37 percent for the nation as a whole (4,560 to 6,227). Fatalities in Florida per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased 21 percent compared to 13 percent nationally for the two years before and after the law change (See Figure 9). Another way to look at this is to compare the average of the 30 months before and after the law change. There was an annual average of 181 motorcyclists killed in Florida in 1998, 1999, and the first six months of 2000, compared to an average of 280 in the last six months of 2000, 2001, and 2002, a 59 percent increase. Registrations increased an average 33.7 percent (219,486 to 293,393) in this time period. The expected number of motorcycle fatalities as a result of the increase in registrations was 242 ($181 \times 1.337 = 242$). The actual number who died in 2002 was 301, 59 more motorcycle fatalities than expected as a result of increased registrations alone (a 24 percent increase).



Motorcyclist fatalities in Florida have continued to increase. In the *three years* after the law change (2001-2003), 933 motorcyclists were killed, 81 percent more than the 515 motorcyclists who were killed in 1997-1999. The actual number who died in 2003 was 358.

Time Series Analysis

Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models were used to examine the relationship between the change in Florida’s motorcycle helmet law and motorcyclist fatalities. The date of the law change was used as an intervention point in the time series. Data on motorcyclist fatalities in Georgia were employed as a comparison. Georgia was selected based on proximity to Florida and the fact that it had an all-rider helmet law in effect for the entire time period. Monthly fatalities in Florida and Georgia, before and after the intervention point (July 2000), were modeled in the presence of the annual number of motorcycle registrations in each State. This was done to control for the extent to which changes in fatalities were associated with changes in motorcycle registrations

The numbers of monthly motorcyclist fatalities for Florida and Georgia from 1994 to 2002 were included in the analysis. The number of annual motorcycle registrations in Florida came from DHSMV while Georgia registration figures came from FHWA. The ARIMA models explored 78 months prior to the law change and 30 months after the law change (Figure 10). Partial Autocorrelation (PACF) and Autocorrelation (ACF) plots were used in determining the order of components in ARIMA models. At the time of this report, 2002 was the latest year for which registration data were available. December 2002, therefore, was the last point of the time series.


Florida monthly motorcycle fatalities were modeled using (0, 0, 0) (1, 0, 1) ARIMA; all final PACFs and ACFs were non-significant. The intervention was statistically significant such that there was an average 9.1 increase in the number of monthly motorcyclist fatalities following the law change ($p < .001$; see Table 6). The specified final ARIMA parameters were also significant. There was no statistically significant change in the fatality rate following the same intervention date for Georgia. Change in annual motorcycle registrations was not a statistically significant parameter in the final time series model.

Table 6. Florida and Georgia Interrupted Time Series Results

State	ARIMA Model	Months Pre/Post	Significant Component	<i>B</i>	T-Ratio	Approx. p
Florida	(0,0,0) (1,0,1)	78/30	Intervention	9.11	8.91	<.001
Georgia	(0,0,0) (0,1,1)	78/30	-	-	-	-

Thus, according to time series analysis, controlling for the annual number of motorcycle registrations, there was a statistically significant increase in fatalities in Florida following the repeal of the all-rider motorcycle helmet law. There was no comparable increase in Georgia suggesting that the Florida result was not due to some coincidental change in the region.

Figure 10. Time Series Motorcycle Fatalities, Florida versus Georgia (FARS 1994 – 2002)



Muller (2004) also used time series methods to study the effects of Florida’s helmet law change and reports a significant intervention effect on motorcycle fatalities using data for the 72 months before the law change and the 12 month immediately following the change. Stolzenberg and D’Alessio (2003) also examined Florida motorcycle crash date using a multiple time series design. Their findings differ from those reported here and by Muller (2004). They conclude that the repeal of Florida’s motorcycle helmet law had little observable effect on serious injuries or fatalities and, therefore, that the helmet law change was “inconsequential.” Unfortunately, the analytic design employed by Stolzenberg and D’Alessio (2003) is methodologically flawed. That is, they employ fatal and injury crash rates of motorcyclists under the age of 21 as a control series saying, “because the repeal of the motorcycle helmet use law applied only to motorcycle operators and passengers older than 21 years of age, the repeal of the law should have little if any effect on the serious injury and fatality rate series for motorcycle riders younger than 21 years of age” (p134). As indicated earlier, helmet use among young motorcyclists killed and injured has decreased markedly since the helmet law change, thereby making their crash experience unsuitable as an unaffected control series.

Helmeted and Non-helmeted Motorcycle Fatalities.

Non-helmeted motorcyclists who were killed in Florida increased from 15 (9 percent) in 1998 when observed helmet use was close to 100 percent, to 198 (66 percent) of the total motorcycle fatalities in 2002, an increase of over thirteen times. When the increase in motorcycle registrations after the law was changed is taken into account, the non-helmeted fatality rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased from 0.7 fatalities in 1998 to 6.1

fatalities in 2002. Helmeted motorcyclist fatalities, on the other hand, fell from a rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles of 7.6 in 1998 to 3.2 in 2002.

Figures 11 and 12 below show the numbers and rates for helmeted and non-helmeted Florida motorcyclists who died by year for the 30 months before and after the law change. Figure 13 shows the changes in the numbers of motorcyclists killed in the 30 months before and after the law change.





Table 7 shows these data for motorcyclists who died at the scene of the crash and those who were transported to a hospital, but died later, from 1998 through 2002. Of the 301 motorcyclists who died in 2002, two-thirds (198) were not wearing helmets; 100 of these riders died at the scene of the crash while 98 died after being transported to a hospital. Of the 173 motorcyclists who died in 1998, 15 (9 percent) were not wearing helmets; 6 died at the scene of the crash and 9 died after being transported to a hospital.




Table 7. Florida Motorcycle Fatalities, Place of Death, and Helmet Use
(Number and Percentage) FARS 1998-2002

		All-Rider Helmet Law						After Law Change					
		1998		1999		Jan-Jun 2000		Jul-Dec 2000		2001		2002	
Died at Scene	Helmeted	77	44.5%	80	48.8%	55	47.8%	38	30.2%	65	23.7%	59	19.6%
	Un-helmeted	6	3.5%	5	3.0%	5	4.3%	25	19.8%	76	27.7%	100	33.2%
	Helmet Unknown	1	0.6%	2	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
Transported to Hospital	Helmeted	80	46.2%	71	43.3%	52	45.2%	31	24.6%	56	20.4%	44	14.6%
	Un-helmeted	9	5.2%	6	3.7%	3	2.6%	32	25.4%	74	27.0%	98	32.6%
	Helmet Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.7%	0	0.0%
Total		173	100%	164	100%	115	100%	126	100%	274	100%	301	100%

Injuries.

Police Crash Reports



The Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles produces an annual database of information taken from police motor vehicle crash reports. Table 8, compiled from the annual databases, shows the number of statewide crashes involving motorcyclists, the number of seriously injured motorcyclists (A-injury), the number of motorcyclists sustaining lesser injuries (B & C injuries) and the injury rate per 10,000 registered motorcycles.

Table 8. All Motorcycle Crashes and Non-Fatal Injuries, 1994-2001

Year	Crashes Involving Motorcycles	Motorcyclists A Injuries	Motorcyclist B&C Injuries	Injuries per 10,000 Registered Motorcycles
1994	5,055	1,507	3,488	281.6
1995	4,887	1,487	3,257	257.1
1996	4,829	1,479	3,442	259.6
1997	4,712	1,432	3,050	230.0
1998	4,536	1,406	2,951	210.1
1999	4,662	1,428	3,037	202.1
2000	5,334	1,576	3,487	210.2
2001	6,069	1,890	3,886	199.3

A-Incapacitating Injury, B-Evident Injury, C-Possible Injury

Figure 14 shows these data graphically. These data exclude mopeds and all terrain vehicles. In the first full year following the law change (2001), there were 1,890 motorcyclists who sustained incapacitating injury and 3,886 who sustained lesser injury. These figures are 32.4 percent higher and 28.0 percent higher, respectively, than the comparable figures in 1999, but less than in 1999 when the increase in registrations is taken into account. Injuries per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased in 2000, but decreased in 2001. Some of the motorcyclists coded “C—Possible Injury” may not have sought medical treatment. Table 8 and Figure 15 show that although the injury rate per registered motorcycle in 2001 is less than the rate in 1999, the previous downward trend of non-fatal injuries per registered motorcycle appears to have slowed following the law change period.



Hospital Discharge Data

The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration gathers and maintains a hospital discharge database containing information from the approximately 240 acute care hospitals in the State on cases involving people admitted for treatment. The annual hospital discharge databases for 1998-2002 were obtained for analysis. The following are the numbers of motorcyclists admitted for treatment during this period:

In the 30 months immediately following the helmet law change, there were 4,986 motorcyclists admitted to hospitals for treatment, a figure 40 percent greater than the 3,567 admissions during the 30 months just before the law change.

Table 9 shows the distribution by age and gender of the hospital admitted motorcyclists in the 30 month periods pre and post the law change. In the pre law change period, 86.4 percent of admissions were males compared to 87.6 percent in the post law change period, figures that were not significantly different statistically (chi-square=2.64, df=1, p=0.104).

There is a tendency for the post law change motorcyclists to be slightly older than those in the pre change period. That is, 54.1 percent of the post law injured were age 35 and older compared to 51.6 of those in the pre change period. The overall age distributions were not statistically different (chi-square=11.74, df=6, p=0,068), however. Motorcyclists under the age of 21 made up 12.9 percent and 11.9 percent, respectively, of pre and post law change injured.

Table 10 shows the distributions of principal diagnosis injury among those admitted in the pre and post law change periods. The injury distributions before and after the law change differ significantly (chi-square=39.14, df=5, p<0.001). Injuries to the extremities represented 51.2 percent of the principal injuries in the pre law change period. These declined to 47.0 percent in the post law change period. Head/brain/skull injuries represented 16.9 percent of the principal injuries before the law change and 22.0 percent of the post law change injuries.

Table 9. Gender and Age of Hospital Admitted Motorcyclists

Gender	Stat	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Total	Pre	Post
Male	%	85.9	86.6	86.4	88.1	87.8	87.1	86.4	87.6
	N	1085	1264	1420	1811	1872	7452	3083	4369
Female	%	14.1	13.4	13.6	11.9	12.2	12.9	13.6	12.4
	N	178	196	223	244	260	1101	484	617
Total	%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	N	1263	1460	1643	2055	2132	8553	3567	4986

Age	Stat	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Total	Pre	Post
< 21	%	14.3	13.3	11.5	12.1	11.4	12.3	12.9	11.9
	N	181	194	189	248	244	1056	461	595
21-24	%	10.4	8.5	9.6	11.5	9.3	9.9	9.3	10.3
	N	131	124	158	236	199	848	332	516
25-34	%	25.2	26.8	26.2	23.4	22.8	24.7	26.1	23.6
	N	319	391	431	481	487	2109	931	1178
35-44	%	23.4	23.3	24.3	24.4	25	24.2	23.4	24.7
	N	296	340	399	501	533	2069	836	1233
45-54	%	16.8	17.4	18	18.2	18.5	17.9	17.5	18.2
	N	212	254	296	373	395	1530	623	907
55-64	%	6.5	7.3	7.5	7.3	8.9	7.6	7.3	7.8
	N	82	106	123	150	190	651	262	389
65+	%	3.4	3.5	2.9	3.2	3.9	3.4	3.4	3.4
	N	43	51	47	66	84	291	123	168
Total	%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	N	1264	1460	1643	2055	2132	8554	3568	4986

As noted, hospital admissions of injured motorcyclists increased by 40 percent in the post law change period. Head related injury admissions increased by 82.2 percent followed by internal organ injuries (48.6 percent).

Table 10. Motorcyclists' Principal Diagnosis Injuries²

Injury	Stat	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	Total	Pre	Post
Extremity	%	50.4	51.5	48.4	46.8	48.2	48.8	51.2	47.0
	N	637	752	795	961	1,027	4,172	1,827	2,345
Head, Brain, Skull	%	14.9	18.0	20.0	21.7	22.2	19.9	16.9	22.0
	N	188	263	329	445	474	1,699	602	1,097
Neck, Spine	%	2.8	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.4	1.9
	N	36	29	35	42	40	182	85	97
Internal Organs	%	10.9	9.6	9.9	10.6	10.2	10.2	9.9	10.5
	N	138	140	162	218	217	875	352	523
Torso Area	%	15.6	14.5	14.4	14.4	13.4	14.3	14.8	14.0
	N	197	211	237	295	286	1,226	527	699
Other	%	5.4	4.5	5.2	4.6	4.1	4.7	4.9	4.5
	N	68	65	85	94	88	400	175	225
Total	%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	N	1,264	1,460	1,643	2,055	2,132	8,554	3,568	4,986

Comparing the 30-month periods just before and after the helmet law change, total gross costs charged to acute care hospital admitted motorcyclists with a principal diagnosis of head/brain/skull injury more than doubled from \$21 million to \$50 million; the average case cost rose by almost \$10,000 (28% increase); the median patient cost increased by almost \$4,000 (21% increase); and the range of costs also increased (see Table 11).

Table 11. Head-Brain-Skull Injury Treatment Costs

	30 Months Pre Law 1/1/98-6/30/2000	30 Months Post Law 7/1/2000-12/31/2002
Total Reported Cost	\$21,487,186	\$50,025,394
Average per Case	\$35,693	\$45,602
Median Charge	\$18,291	\$22,096
Cost Range	\$3,773-\$145,090	\$4,976-\$178,202

Range is 5th-95th percentiles

In the post law change period, 75 percent of the head, brain, skull injured admitted motorcyclists were charged approximately \$12,000 or more (the 75th percentile) while the remaining 25 percent of patients were charged less than this amount. That is, less than one-quarter of the injured would be fully covered by the \$10,000 medical insurance requirement for those who chose not to use helmets.

² Principal diagnosis is based on the ICD-9-CM coding system and represents the condition established after study, to be chiefly responsible for occasioning the admission of the patient to the hospital.

The hospital discharge data indicate that in the post law change period, approximately 63 percent of admitted motorcyclists were covered by commercial insurance (\$31 million), 16 percent were classified as “self pay” because they were under insured or uninsured (\$8 million), while the remaining 21 percent had costs (\$10.5 million) billed to charitable and public sources (e.g., Medicaid).³

During the years following 1998, the Department of Labor’s Consumer Price Index for medical care rose by 3.5 percent in 1999; by 4.1 percent in 2000; by 4.6 percent in 2001; and by 4.7 percent in 2002. Adjusted for inflation (recalculated in 1998 dollars) the total acute care costs in the 30 months before the law change for those with a principal diagnosis of head-brain-skull injury were \$20,779,939; an average of \$34,518 per case. In 1998 dollars, total acute care costs in the 30 months after the law change were \$43,744,629; an average of \$39,877 per case. These figures are shown in Table 12 along with figures for the other principal diagnosis injuries.

Table 12. Inflation Adjusted Costs

Principal Diagnosis	Number of Cases		Cost Per Case	
	30 Months Pre Law	30 Months Post Law	30 Months Pre Law	30 Months Post Law
Head/Brain/Skull	602	1,097	\$34,518	\$39,877
Extremities	1,827	2,345	\$29,110	\$32,652
Neck/Spine	85	97	\$49,219	\$53,194
Internal Organs	352	523	\$31,294	\$29,933
Torso	527	699	\$25,637	\$26,159
Other	175	225	\$14,794	\$15,607

In the 30 months before the helmet law change, 52 motorcyclists with head-brain-skull principal injury died *after* admission to an acute care hospital. The average treatment cost for these cases was \$48,126. In the 30 months after the law change, 115 motorcyclists died following admission. Inflation adjusted costs for these cases averaged \$52,450.

In 1998 and 1999, the hospital charges for head-brain-skull principal injury cases per 10,000 registered motorcycles were \$311,549 and \$428,347 respectively. The comparable figures for 2001 and 2002 were \$605,854 and \$610,386, adjusted for inflation.

Other Studies

Hotz, et al., (2002), report the results of a prospective study of injured motorcyclists seen at two Miami-Dade County (Florida) emergency treatment facilities in the 6 months following

³ Hospital discharge data system coding of the primary source of expected reimbursement to the hospital for service.

repeal of the State's all-rider helmet law. Comparisons were made with injured motorcyclists seen in the same period the year before the helmet law change. The basic findings were that there was an increase in the number of cases seen, a decline in helmet use among the injured, and an increase in patients who had sustained brain injury. Treatment costs averaged \$41,311 for helmeted motorcyclists and \$55,055 for unhelmeted riders. Hospital length of stay and disability score did not differ between helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists.

Hotz et al., (2004) report the results of follow up interviews with the motorcyclists in the post law change period analyzed earlier (Hotz et al., 2002). They successfully contacted 48 percent of the injured motorcyclists at one year post injury and found that 51 percent reported continuing physical deficits. Only 27 percent continued to ride motorcycles and, of these, 92 percent were wearing helmets.

Summary

After the repeal of Florida's universal motorcycle helmet law, observed helmet use dropped from nearly 100 percent compliance to the 50 percent range. A post law change survey, done in 2002, found 47 percent compliant helmet use, 6 percent noncompliant helmet use and 47 percent no helmet use. The use of compliant helmets has declined following the law change and wearing noncompliant helmets has largely been abandoned.

Non-helmet use among those killed in the three years before the law change was 9 percent and this increased to 61 percent in the three years after the law change. Non-helmet use among motorcyclists under the age of 21 who died was 26 percent in the three years before compared to 45 percent in the three years after the law change, an increase of 188 percent. Among riders under the age of 21 who sustained incapacitating injuries, non-helmet use rose from 35 percent in 1999 to 49 percent in 2001. Even though the law still applied to riders under the age of 21, helmet use dropped for this age group as well.

Motorcycle registrations increased 33.7 percent in the 30 months after repeal of the law compared to the 30 months before the law change. There was a 55 percent increase in the average number of motorcyclists killed in Florida in the same time period. The expected number of motorcycle fatalities as a result of the increase in registrations was 242. The actual number who died was 301 in 2002, 59 more motorcycle fatalities than expected as a result of increased registrations alone (a 24 percent increase).

Fatalities in the two years following the law change were 71 percent greater than those that occurred in the two years before, compared to an increase of 37 percent for the nation as a whole. Fatalities in Florida per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased 21 percent compared to 13 percent nationally for the two years before and after the law change. Thus, the increase in registered motorcyclists alone did not account for the increase in motorcycle fatalities.

Time series analysis showed there was a statistically significant average 9.1 increase in the number of monthly motorcyclist fatalities following the law change ($p < .001$) in Florida. There was no statistically significant change in the fatality rate following the same intervention date for nearby Georgia, which was selected as a comparison State whose universal helmet law remained unchanged. Change in annual motorcycle registrations was not a statistically significant parameter in the time series model.

Injuries rose among motorcycle riders. In the first full year following the law change, the number of motorcyclists who sustained incapacitating injury rose 32 percent and the number who sustained lesser injury rose 28 percent than the year before the law change, but less when the increase in registrations is taken into account. Injuries per 10,000 registered motorcycles increased in 2000, but decreased in 2001. Although the injury rate per registered motorcycle in 2001 is less than the rate in 1999, the previous downward trend of non-fatal injuries per registered motorcycle appears to have slowed following the law change period.

Motorcyclists admitted to hospitals for treatment rose 40 percent, comparing the 30 months before and after the law change. Head injury admissions increased by more than 80 percent.

Total gross costs charged to hospital admitted motorcyclists with head, brain or skull injury more than doubled from \$21 million to \$50 million; the average case cost rose by almost \$10,000; the median patient cost increased by almost \$4,000; and the range of costs also increased. Adjusted for inflation, total acute care hospital costs rose from \$21 million to \$44 million and the average cost per case rose from \$34,518 to \$39,877 in the 30 months after the law change.

Less than one-quarter of the head-brain-skull injured would be covered by the \$10,000 medical insurance requirement for those who chose not to use helmets. About 63 percent of admitted motorcyclists for head-brain-skull injuries were covered by commercial insurance (\$31 million), 16 percent were classified as “self pay” because they were under insured or uninsured (\$8 million), and the remaining 21 percent had their costs (\$10.5 million) billed to charitable and public sources (e.g., Medicaid).

The number of motorcyclists with head-brain-skull principal injury who died *after* admission to an acute care hospital doubled from 52 to 115, comparing the 30 months before and after the helmet law change. The average treatment cost for these cases rose from \$48,126 to \$52,450 (adjusted for inflation) in the same time period.

Comparing the years before and after the law change, the hospital charges for head-brain-skull principal injury cases per 10,000 registered motorcycles were \$311,549 (1998) and \$428,347 (1999). These costs rose to \$605,854 (2001) and \$610,386 (2002).

Based on the available evidence it appears likely the increase in motorcycle fatalities that occurred after the Florida motorcycle helmet law was repealed was due in part to the reduced use of helmets. Our analysis shows this is the case despite the pre-existing trend of increasing fatalities, the increase in fatalities associated with increased exposure (measured by registrations), the increase in fatalities that occurred in the first six months of 2000 (before the helmet law repeal became effective), and the likely contribution of a demographic shift in motorcycle ridership.

The effect of the motorcycle helmet law repeal on injuries is somewhat less clear than the situation for fatalities. Two sources of data were available: police motor vehicle crash reports, which show an increase in injuries, but a small decline in injury rates per 10,000 registrations; and hospital discharge data that show large increases in hospital admissions and dramatic increases in admissions for head injuries. The weight of the evidence indicates that the repeal of the helmet law was associated with a slowing of the existing downward trend in injury rates, with an increase in head injuries. The cost data show that the total acute care cost more than doubled. As with fatalities, increased exposure (registrations) cannot account for these changes.

V. EFFECTS OF HELMET LAW CHANGES IN ARKANSAS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, AND TEXAS

As noted earlier, Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas repealed all-rider motorcycle helmet laws in the late 1990's. Evaluations of the near term effects of these law changes can be found in Preusser et al., (2000) and Ulmer et al., (2003). Since those studies, additional years of fatality data have become available in FARS and are examined here.

Table 13 and Figure 16 show the annual numbers of motorcyclists killed in these States during the 1994-2003 period.

Table 13. Motorcyclists Killed in Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas, 1994-2003

Year	Arkansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Texas
1994	24	32	28	124
1995	14	19	28	124
1996	24	24	28	110
1997	18	24	19	112
1998	28	27	34	147
1999	21	40	40	174
2000	27	36	57	222
2001	37	57	63	234
2002	37	43	66	244
2003	54	54	74	313

Source: FARS Grayed year is the year of law change. [presume that's the indication?]

These data indicate that motorcyclist fatalities increased in all 4 States following repeal of their all-rider helmet laws. Comparing the 3 full years following the law changes with the 3 full years prior to the changes indicates a 130 percent increase in Louisiana, a 99 percent increase in Kentucky, a 52 percent increase in Texas, and a 23 percent increase in Arkansas.

Time Series Analyses

ARIMA modeling was also conducted for these States. As with Florida, the analyses explored monthly fatalities over a 9 year period (1994-2002) in the presence of the annual number of motorcycles registered in each State. Table 13 presents the results.

Table 14 indicates that Arkansas was the only law change State that failed to show a statistically significant change in the rate of motorcycle fatalities following the change in law. As noted, fatalities increased in Arkansas following its law change but by the smallest percentage of all of the States considered. Also, the small numbers of monthly fatalities does not make the series well suited to time series analysis.

Figure 16. Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas Motorcyclist Fatalities, 1994-2003







Table 14. Arkansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas Interrupted Time Series Results.

State	ARIMA Model*	Repeal Date	Months Pre/Post	Significant Component	<i>B</i>	T-Ratio	Approx. p
Arkansas	(0,0,0) (0,1,1)	Aug 1997	43/65	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	(0,0,0) (1,0,1)	Jul 1998	54/54	Intervention	1.31	3.32	<.001
Louisiana	(0,0,0) (0,0,0)	Aug 1999	67/41	Intervention	2.64	6.67	<.001
Texas	(0,0,0) (1,0,1)	Sep 1997	44/64	Intervention Registrations	3.71 1.0x10 ⁴	3.41 5.08	<.001 <.001

* All models produce PACF and ACF values that are not statistically significant.

For Kentucky, there was a statistically significant effect of the intervention on fatalities such that there was an average increase of 1.3 motorcycle fatalities per month ($p = .001$). Registrations did not enter the model as a statistically significant parameter.

Louisiana also showed a significant effect of intervention. For this State, the repeal of the law raised motorcycle fatalities by an average of 2.6 per month ($p < .001$). There was no statistically significant effect of registrations on fatalities.

Texas's results demonstrated statistically significant effects of both intervention (fatalities increasing an average of 3.7 per month; $p = .001$) and registrations (fatalities increasing by 1 as registrations increase by 10,000, $p < .001$) on the number of motorcycle fatalities. That is, while there was an effect of registrations on a change in the rate of fatalities, there was also a separate effect of the law repeal.

VI. DISCUSSION

National data suggest that as motorcycle registrations increase, motorcyclists' deaths and injuries increase. Conversely, when registrations decline, fatalities and injuries decline. In Florida, motorcycle registrations increased substantially following repeal of the all-rider helmet law, an outcome similar to that seen in the other States that repealed helmet laws in recent years. It is likely that some of the increases in motorcyclist fatalities were due to increased ridership. However, the analyses show that increases in motorcycle registrations alone do not account for the magnitude of the increases in fatalities.

Nationally, motorcycle vehicle miles of travel (VMT) increased gradually throughout the 1990s, but decreased in 2001 and 2002. The VMT measure, provided by the Federal Highway Administration, is regarded as a good indicator of trends year to year, but cannot be broken down reliably to the individual State level for motorcycles. Nationally between 1998 and 2002, motorcycle registrations increased by approximately 29 percent. In 1998, the average motorcycle traveled 2,645 miles, while in 2002 this figure had declined to 1,909 miles. The extent to which this effect occurs at the individual State level is not knowable from existing data sources.

The effects of Florida's repeal of its all-rider motorcycle helmet use law are similar to those seen in the other States that have repealed such laws in recent years. Based on these findings, it is reasonable to conclude that the following are likely outcomes in a State considering elimination of an all-rider helmet law:

- Helmet use will decline markedly, from virtually full daytime compliance to voluntary use by about 50 percent of riders.
- Helmet use likely will decline among all riders regardless of restrictions remaining in the law (use required by young riders, those without insurance) because of enforceability factors.
- Motorcycle registrations will increase. This, in turn, will contribute to an increase in motorcycle crashes of all degrees of severity.
- Motorcyclist fatalities will increase significantly, typically by 50 to 100 percent comparing the years following the law change with the years immediately before repeal. The fatality rate per registered motorcycle will also increase.

The Florida results also show that with non-fatal injuries, serious injuries increase more than lesser injuries following law repeal. Injured motorcyclists' hospital admissions increased by 40 percent following the law change. Admissions for head-brain-skull injuries increased by more than 80 percent. Total gross treatment costs for these cases more than doubled and the cost per case also increased substantially. Fewer than 25 percent of hospital admitted motorcyclists for head-brain-skull injuries bore treatment costs under \$10,000, indicating that the law's

medical insurance provision is largely inadequate to cover the costs incurred. Only about two-thirds of admitted motorcyclists have medical insurance.

The Florida law continues to require helmet use by riders under the age of 21. Unfortunately, the data suggest that this provision is not being observed. The number of under age 21 motorcyclists killed in Florida in the two years after the law change nearly tripled compared to the two years before the change. Almost half of the post law change victims were not helmeted compared to about 26 percent before the law change. The number of young motorcyclists involved in crashes of lesser severity increased by about 47 percent comparing the year after the law change with the year before the change. Helmet use by these riders went from more than 70 percent before the law change to about 50 percent after the change.

Motorcycling is a relatively dangerous mode of transportation. Nationally in 2002, there were 32.7 motorcyclists killed per 100 million miles of travel compared with 1.2 occupants of passenger cars killed per 100 million miles of travel. Public and private undertakings that lead to increased motorcycle ridership will inevitably lead to increased deaths and injuries. The policy issue is whether the public sector has the duty and responsibility to require reasonable safety equipment to ameliorate the severity of the crashes that will occur. Those opposed to this position generally argue that their individual freedoms are being diminished and that they have the right to crash and suffer if they so choose. Those who advocate the safety perspective point out that the road system is in the public domain and, therefore, that government has the obligation to set standards for its safe use. Further, they note that the costs of serious motorcycle crashes, especially when head injuries result, are far beyond what most individuals can bear, thus requiring substantial public and private contributions.

Motor vehicle crashes, including motorcycle crashes, rarely are isolated events affecting only the crash victims. For instance, the Center for Disease Control (CDC, 2003) estimates that approximately one-third of adults hospitalized with traumatic brain injury from all causes still need help with daily activities one year after their discharge.

Beyond cost considerations, there are quality of life losses for victims and their families. Additionally, friends, co-workers, and innocent bystanders can all be affected by the crash and its aftermath. While the sample is small, it is interesting to note that among Miami-Dade County motorcyclists injured after the helmet law repeal, only about one-quarter had returned to motorcycling a year after their crashes and, of these, almost all wore helmets when doing so.

Based on the available evidence the increase in motorcycle fatalities that occurred after the Florida motorcycle helmet law was repealed is due in part to the reduced use of helmets. Our analysis shows this is the case despite the pre-existing trend of increasing fatalities, the increase in fatalities associated with increased exposure (measured by registrations), the increase in fatalities that occurred in the first six months of 2000 (before the helmet law repeal became effective), and the likely contribution of a demographic shift in motorcycle ridership.

The effect of the motorcycle helmet law repeal on injuries is somewhat less clear than the situation for fatalities. Two sources of data were available: police motor vehicle crash reports, which show an increase in injuries, but a small decline in injury rates per 10,000 registrations; and hospital discharge data that show large increases in hospital admissions and dramatic increases in admissions for head injuries. The weight of the evidence indicates that the repeal of the helmet law was associated with a slowing of the existing downward trend in injury rates, with an increase in head injuries. The cost data show that the total acute care cost more than doubled. As with fatalities, increased exposure (registrations) cannot account for these changes.

VII. REFERENCES

- Bigelow, W. (2001) *Traumatic Brain Injury Associated with Motorcycle Crashes in Wisconsin, 1991-1997*. Paper Presented at the International Motorcycle Safety Conference, Orlando, Florida.
- CDC (2003) Traumatic Brain Injury-Related Hospital Discharges. Surveillance Summaries, 52(SS04), 1-18.
- Center for Urban Transportation Research (1998). *1998 Florida Observational Motorcycle Helmet Use Study* Tampa: University of South Florida.
- Finison, K.S. (2001). *Using CODES Linked Data to Evaluate Motorcycle Crashes in Maine*. Paper Presented at the International Motorcycle Safety Conference, Orlando, Florida.
- Fleming, H.S. and Becker, E.R. (1992). The impact of the Texas 1989 motorcycle helmet law on total and head-related fatalities, severe injuries, and overall injuries. *Medical Care* **30**, 832-845.
- Gabella, B., Reiner, K.L., Hoffman, R.E., Cook, M. and Stallones, L. (1995). Relationship of helmet use and head injuries among motorcycle crash victims in El Paso County, Colorado, 1989-1990. *Accidnet Analysis and Prevention* **27**, 363-369.
- GAO (1991). *Highway Safety: Motorcycle Helmet Laws Save Lives and Reduce Costs to Society*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office.
- Hotz, G.A., Cohn, S.M., Popkin, B.A., Ekeh, P., Duncan, R., Johnson, E.W., Pernas, F. and Selem, J. (2002). The impact of a repealed motorcycle helmet law in Miami-Dade County. *The Journal of Trauma, Injury, Infection, and Critical Care*, **52**, 469-474.
- Hotz, G.A., Cohn, S.M., Mishkin, D., Castelblanco, A Li, P., Popkin, C. and Duncan, R. (2004). Outcome of motorcycle riders at one year post-injury. <http://www.safetylit.org/week/2004/040216.htm>
- Kelley, P., Sanson, T., Strange, G. and Orsay, E. (1991). A prospective study of the impact of helmet usage on motorcycle trauma. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* **20**, 852-856.
- Kraus, J.F. and Peek, C. (1995). The impact of two related prevention strategies on head injury reduction among nonfatally injured motorcycle riders, California, 1991-1993. *Journal of Neurotrauma* **12**, 873-881.
- Kraus, J.F., Peek, C., McArthur, D.L. and Williams, A. (1994). The effect of the 1992 California motorcycle helmet usage law on motorcycle crash fatalities and injuries. *JAMA* **272**, 1506-1511.

- Kraus, J.F., Peek, C., Shen, H. and Williams, A. (1995). Motorcycle crashes: injuries, rider, crash and vehicle characteristics associated with helmet use. *Journal of Traffic Medicine* **23**, 29-35.
- Kraus, J.F., Peek, C. and Williams, A. (1995). Compliance with the 1992 California motorcycle helmet use law. *American Journal of Public Health* **85**, 96-99.
- Lund, A.K., Williams, A.F. and Womack, K.N. (1991) Motorcycle helmet use in Texas. *Public Health Reports* **106**, 576-578.
- Max, W, Stark, B., and Root, S. (1998) Putting a lid on injury costs: The economic impact of the California Motorcycle Helmet Law. *Journal of Trauma, Injury, Infection and Critical Care* **45**, 550-556.
- Mitchell, K.A., Kufera, J.A., Ballesteros, M.F., Smialek, J.E., and Dischinger, P.C. (2001) *Autopsy Study of Motorcyclist Fatalities: The Effect of the 1992 Maryland Helmet Use Law*. Paper Presented at the International Motorcycle Safety Conference, Orlando, Florida.
- Mock, C.N., Maier, R.V., Boyle, E., Pilcher, S. and Rivara, F.P. (1995). Injury prevention strategies to promote helmet use decrease severe head injuries at a Level 1 trauma center. *Journal of Trauma* **39**, 29-35.
- Mounce, N., Brackett, Q., Hinshaw, W., Lund, A.K. and Wells, J.K. (1992) The reinstated comprehensive motorcycle helmet law in Texas. Arlington, VA: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety.
- Muelleman, R.L., Mlinek, E.J. and Collicott, P.E. (1991). Motorcycle crash injuries and costs: effect of a re-enacted comprehensive helmet use law. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* **21**, 266-272.
- Muller, A. (2004) Florida's motorcycle helmet law repeal and fatality rates. *American Journal of Public Health* **94**, 556-558.
- NHTSA (1996). *Benefits of Safety Belts and Motorcycle Helmets: Report to Congress, February 1996*. DOT HS 808 347. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- NHTSA (1998). *Further Analysis of Motorcycle Helmet Effectiveness Using CODES Linked Data*. National Center for Statistics and Analysis Research Note, January 1998. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.

- Preusser, D.F., Hedlund, J.H. and Ulmer, R.G. (2000). *Evaluation of Motorcycle Helmet Law Repeal in Arkansas and Texas*. DOT HS 809 131. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Rowland, J., Rivara, F.P., Salzberg, P., Soderberg, R., Maier, R.V. and Koepsell, T. (1996). Motorcycle helmet use and injury outcome and hospitalization costs from crashes in Washington state. *American Journal of Public Health* **86**, 41-45.
- Rutledge, R. and Stutts, J. (1993) The association of helmet use with the outcome of motorcycle crash injury when controlling for crash/injury severity. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **25**, 347-353.
- Sarkar, S., Peek, C. and Kraus, J.F. (1995). Fatal injuries in motorcycle riders according to helmet use. *Journal of Trauma* **38**, 242-245.
- Shankar, U. (2001). *Motorcyclist Fatalities in 2000*. DOT HS 809 387. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Shankar, B.S., Ramzy, A.I., Soderstrom, C.A., Dischinger, P.C. and Clark, C.C. (1992). Helmet use, patterns of injury, medical outcome, and costs among motorcycle drivers in Maryland. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* **24**, 385-396
- Stolzenberg, L. and D'Alessio, S.J. (2003). "Born to be wild" The effect of the repeal of Florida's mandatory motorcycle helmet-use law on serious injury and fatality rates. *Evaluation Review*, **27**, 131-150.
- Turner, P. and Hagelin, C (2004). *Florida Motorcycle Helmet Use Observational Survey and Trend Analysis*. Tampa: Center for Urban Transportation Research, University of South Florida.
- Ulmer, R.G. and Preusser, D.F. (2003). *Evaluation of the Repeal of Motorcycle Helmet Laws in Kentucky and Louisiana*. DOT HS 809 530. Washington DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Zaloshnja, E., Miller, T., Romano, E and Spicer, R (2004). Crash costs by body part injured, fracture involvement, and threat-to-life severity, United States, 2000. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **36**, 415-427.

DOT HS 809 849
August 2005



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**National Highway
Traffic Safety
Administration**

www.nhtsa.dot.gov
nhtsa ★
people saving people