Transmission Cost of Service Analysis Workshop

March 7, 2012



Agenda

Proposed Rate Case Workshop Timelines

Transmission Rates Analysis Model (TRAM)
 Discussion

Discussion on Cost Allocation Alternatives

Dynamic Transfer Capability (DTC) –
 Discussion in the Afternoon

Discussion of Rates Model - 1CP vs. 12CP

- The TRAM that BPA released recently is the same as the version you have seen at COSA Workshops with the addition of summary tables that show the difference in rates and revenue due to an assumption of 1CP vs. 12 CP.
 - See tabs T-6, T-7, T-11, and T-12 of the TRAM.
- 1CP Method (Status Quo): Allocates costs using PTP and IR annual average forecasted contract demand and NT forecasted annual peak coincidental load.
 - An NT load shaping charge reflects the difference between the forecasted NT billing determinant of monthly coincident load and the allocation based on forecasted annual peak coincidental load.
- 12CP Method: Allocates costs based on PTP and IR annual average forecasted contract demand (same as Status Quo), but allocates costs based on NT annual average monthly peak forecasted coincidental load (rather than annual peak).
 - The load shaping charge is unnecessary under the 12 CP method.

Table 11
Summary of Current and Proposed Rates -- Compares Rates Under 1CP and 12CP Cost Allocations
(A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (F)

	Rate	Units	Source for proposed rates	Proposed 2012 Rates - 1 C P	Proposed -2012 Rates 12 CP	P ercent C han ge
1	F P T -1 2			-	-	
2	M -G Distance	\$/kW-mi-vr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.0634	0.0657	3.6%
3	M -G Miscellaneous Facilities	\$ /k W -vr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)			3.6%
4	M - G Term in a l	\$/kW-yr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.73	0.76	4.1%
5	M -G Interconnection Terminal	\$/kW-yr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.66	0.68	3.0%
6	S-S Transform ation	\$ /k W -y r	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	6.82	7.06	3.5%
7	S-S Interconnection Terminal	\$ /k W -yr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.87	1.94	3.7%
8	S-S Intermediate Terminal	\$ / k W - y r	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	2.64	2.73	3 . 4 %
9	S-S Distance	\$/kW-mi-yr	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.6237	0.6456	3.5%
10	Average FPT Rate (Revenue/Sales)	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.470	1.522	3.5%
11	IR -12					
12	D e m a n d	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.622	1.679	3.5%
13	N T -12					
14	Base Rate	\$ /k W -m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.368	1.425	4.2%
15	Load Shaping	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.300	0.000	-100.0%
16	Base plus Load Shaping	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.668	1.425	- 14 . 6 %
17	P T P -1 2					
18	D e m a n d	\$ /kW -m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.368	1.425	4.2%
19	Daily Block 1 (day 1 thru 5)	\$/kW-day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.065	3.2%
2 0	Daily Block 2 (day 6 and beyond)	\$/kW-day				4.4%
2 1	Hourly	m ills/kW h	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	3.93	4.09	4.1%
2 2	IS-12					
2 3	D e m a n d	\$ /k W -m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.053	1.053	0.0%
2 4	Daily Block 1 (day 1 thru 5)	\$/kW-day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.048	0.048	0.0%
2 5	Daily Block 2 (day 6 and beyond)	\$/kW -day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.035	0.035	0.0%
2 6	H o u rly	m ills/kW h	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	3.03	3.03	0.0%
2 7	IM -12					
2 8	D e m a n d	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.598	0.598	0.0%
2 9	Daily Block 1 (day 1 thru 5)	\$/kW -day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.028	0.028	0.0%
3 0	Daily Block 2 (day 6 and beyond)	\$/kW-day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.020	0.020	0.0%
3 1	H o u rly	m ills/kW h	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.72	1.72	0.0%
3 2	IE -12					
3 3	Eastern Intertie	m ills/kW h	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	1.12	1.12	0.0%
3 4	Utility Delivery					
3 5	Demand	\$ / k W - m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	2.177	2.177	0.0%
3 6	Power Factor Penalty Charge		,			
3 7	Demand Lagging	\$/kVAr-mo	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.28	0.28	0.0%
3 8	Demand Leading		Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.24	0.0%
3 9	S C D -1 2	***************************************				
40	Dem and	\$ /kW -m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.254	0.254	0.0%
41	Daily Block 1 (day 1 thru 5)	\$/kW-dav				0.0%
4 2	Daily Block 2 (day 6 and beyond)	\$/kW-day	, , ,			0.0%
4 3	Hourly		Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.73	0.0%
4 4	G S R -12		, and ,			
4.5	Demand	\$ /kW -m o	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)	0.000	0.0000	
46	Daily Block 1 (day 1 thru 5)	* '	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.0000	
4 7	Daily Block 2 (day 6 and beyond)	\$/kW-day	Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.0000	
4 8	Hourly		Tabs T-11 (Assuming 1 CP & 12 CP)		0.0000	
	-		,			

Other Cost Allocation Alternatives

- 3CP: Similar to the 12CP method described earlier, but uses the highest value in the 3 months of highest use. These are typically but not necessarily consecutive months.
- Non-Coincidental Peak: Allocates costs based on the sum of each NT customer's annual peak. PTP and IR would remain the same as under 1CP and 12CP.
- BPA invites customer comments on these methods and any other methods customers may propose.

Next Steps

- Next workshop April 12, 2012.
- Customer Comments on Cost Allocation Alternatives due by March 23, 2012.