Department of Defense

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

FY 2000 Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted To Congress

February 1999

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000 BUDGET ESTIMATES

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u> Page N	<u>√o.</u>
PROGRAM SUMMARY	3
APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE	4
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION Summary	5 6
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE Summary	0 3
LEASING Summary	I
FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND Program Summary 22 Appropriation Language 32	5 2

PROGRAM SUMMARY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000

(Dollars in Thousands)

	<u>NSA</u>	<u>DIA</u>	<u>DLA</u>	<u>Total</u>
New Construction Improvements Planning and Design	50	- - -	- - -	- - -
Subtotal Construction	50	-	-	50
Operation Leasing Maintenance	1,029 13,374 244	3,401 22,265	757 370	5,187 35,639 614
Subtotal O&M	14,647	25,666	1,127	41,440
Reimbursable Program	-	3,100	-	3,100
Total Program	14,697	28,766	1,127	44,590
Appropriation Request	14,697	25,666	1,127	41,490

APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000

For expenses of family housing for the activities and agencies of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments) for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration and for operation and maintenance, leasing, and minor construction, as authorized by law, as follows: for Construction, \$50,000 to remain available until September 30, 2004; for Operation and Maintenance, \$41,440,000; in all \$41,490,000.

Further, for the foregoing purposes, \$43,313,000 to become available on October 1, 2000, of which \$50,000 for Construction, to remain available until September 30, 2005; and \$43,263,000 for Operation and Maintenance, and debt payment.

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000

The Improvements program will replace front doors and frames on 27 NSA family housing units at RAF Menwith Hill, England

1. COMPONENT		FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
NSA/CSS Defense										
3. INSTALLATION AND I	OCATION	4. COM	IMAND						A CONST T INDEX	RUCTION
RAF Menwith Hill,	England		NS	SA/CSS						
C DEDGONNET	DE DE	DMANIENTE			TUDENTE		QT.	(DDOD/FED		1
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH:	PE	RMANENT		S	TUDENTS		SU	PPORTED		TOTAL
	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	OFFICER	ENLIST	CIVIL	
A. AS OF: Sep 99		251	273							524
B. END FY 19				CLASS	IFIED					
	I	1	7. INVI	ENTORY DA)			I	<u> </u>
A. TOTAL AREA										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS O)F				5	0				
C. AUTHORIZATION NOT YE	ET IN INVENTO	RY								
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUE	STED IN THIS I	PROGRAM .								
E. AUTORIZATION INCLUDE	ED IN FOLLOW	ING PROGRA	.м							
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE	E YEARS									
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY	<i></i>									
H. GRAND TOTAL										
8. PROJECTS REQU	ESTED IN T	HIS PROG	RAM:							
CATEGORY					COST			DESIGN	CTATII	C
	PROJECT TI	ΓLE	9	SCOPE	_(\$000		ST	<u>DESIGN</u> ART		<u>s</u> PLETE
					`	 -				
	Replacement on Family Hou		ors 2	27 Units	\$50		O	et 99	Sep 0	0
	Ž									
	G.									
9. FUTURE PROJECT	S:									
Included in the FY01:										
Married Family	Housing Upg	grade			\$50					
District Control May On	11. 6									
Point of Contact: Mr. Os Chief, In	waido Garcia nstallations ar									
emer, n		14 110 451115								

1. Component NSA/CSS Defense	FY 20 <u>00</u>	Y 20 <u>00 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</u>					
3. INSTALLATI	FALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PRO						
RAF	RAF Menwith Hill, England			Married Family Housing Upgrade			
5 PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7.	PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)	
080874	2g	0. COST ESTI				\$50	

9 COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
Replacement of Front Doors for 27 Married Family Housing Units		27	\$1850	\$50			

10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

This project will provide replacement front doors and frames to approximately 27 married Family Housing units, to replace existing defective doors and frames.

11. REQUIREMENT: To install new P.V.C.U. doors and frames.

Current Situation: The existing doors are past their economical life span. All show signs of timber decay and are in need of replacement.

Impact if Not Provided: If the doors and frames are not replaced, they will decay further, which will render them unsecure and inoperable.

Mr. Oswaldo Garcia Chief, Installations and Housing 940-7204s

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000

The Operation and Maintenance portions of the family housing program include maintenance and repair of government-owned housing units and associated real property; utility services; repair, replacement, transportation and handling of furniture and furnishings; refuse collection and disposal services; management services; and other miscellaneous support. Furnishings support for members of the Defense Attaché System are also included. The costs for leasing family housing units are separately addressed.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

(Excludes Leased Units and Costs)

Inventory Data	<u>F</u>	FY 1998	FY 1999	<u>FY 2</u>	2000		
Units in Being Beginning of Yea Units in Being End of Year Average Inventory for Year	r	418 418 418	418 418 418	41 41 41	18		
Units Requiring O&M Funding a. Conterminous U.S. b. U.S. Overseas c. Foreign		213 205	213 205)5		
d. Worldwide		418	418	41			
	FY 1 Act		FY 19 Estim		FY 2000 <u>Request</u>		
	Unit Cost* (\$)	Total Cost (\$000)	Unit Cost* (\$)	Total Cost (\$000)	Unit Cost* (\$)	Total Cost (\$000)	
Funding Requirements 1. Operation	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>,,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
a. Managementb. Servicesc. Furnishings	746 1,014 6,423	312 424 2,685	751 971 6,900	314 406 2,884	751 813 9,689	314 340 4,050	
d. Miscellaneous Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Operation	156 8,340 321 8,019	65 3,486 134 3,352	1,758 10,380 1,148 9,232	735 4,339 480 3,859	120 11,373 1,187 10,187	50 4,754 496 4,258	
2. Utilities Operation Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Utilities	1,799 - 1,799	752 - 752	2,091 2,091	874 - 874	2,222 2,222	929 - 929	
3. Maintenance a. M&R Dwellings b. M&R Exterior Utilities c. M&R Other Real Property d. Alterations & Additions Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Maintenance	3,825 12 268 12 4,117 4,117	1,599 5 112 5 1,721 1,721	2,273 12 170 2 2,457 2,457	950 5 71 1 1,027 - 1,027	1,354 14 98 2 1,469	566 6 41 1 614	
Grand Total O&M	13,935	5,825	13,780	5,760	13,878	5,801	

^{*}Based on number of units requiring O&M funding.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE PROGRAM SUMMARY FY 2000

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000
New Construction Improvements Planning and Design	50	50	50
Construction Subtotal	50	50	50
Operations Utilities Maintenance Leasing	576 434 1,236 10,324	1,286 450 496 12,292	514 515 244 13,374
O&M Subtotal	12,570	14,524	14,647
Reimbursable Program	-	-	-
Total Program	12,570	14,524	14,647

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Operation and Maintenance Summary (Excludes Leased Units and Costs)

Inventory Data	<u>F</u>	FY 1998	FY 199	<u>9</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2000	
Units in Being Beginning of Year Units in Being End of Year Average Inventory for Year		205 205 205	205 205 205		205 205 205	
Units Requiring O&M Funding a. Conterminous U.S. b. U.S. Overseas c. Foreign d. Worldwide		205	205		205	
Funding Requirements	FY 1 Unit Cost* (\$)	998 Total Cost (\$000)	FY Unit Cost* (\$)	1999 Total Cost (\$000)	FY C Unit Cost* (\$)	2000 Total Cost (\$000)
 Operations Management Services Furnishings Miscellaneous Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Operations 	381 1,751 361 317 2,810	78 359 74 65 576	341 1,732 615 3,585 6,273	70 355 126 735 1,286	327 1,297 644 244 2,512 2,512	67 265 132 50 514
2. Utilities Operations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Utilities	2,117 - 2,117	434	2,195 2,195	450 - 450	2,512 2,512	515 515
3. Maintenance a. M&R Dwellings b. M&R Exterior Utilities c. M&R Other Real Property d. Alterations & Additions Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Maintenance	6,005 - 24 - 6,029 - 6,029	1,231 5 1,236 1,236	2,396 24 2,420 2,420	491 - 5 - 496 - 496	1,166 24 1,190 1,190	239 - 5 - 244 - 244
Grand Total O&M	10,956	2,246	10,888	2,232	6,214	1,273

^{*}Based on number of units requiring O&M funding.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING DEFENSE-WIDE

Operation and Maintenance

The Operation portion of the family housing program for NSA includes maintenance, repair and replacement of furnishings; utility services; refuse collection and disposal; and administrative support at the installation level. Leasing costs are covered separately.

The Maintenance portion includes maintenance and repair of buildings and related utilities systems, and other incidental improvements, including minor alteration and addition.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

Operation

1. FY 1999 President's Budget Request	1.207
2. Price Growth	1,286
a. Inflation	+19
b. Foreign Currency Change	0
3. Program Decrease	
a. Due to anticipated lower operations costs	-791
4. FY 2000 President's Budget Request	514
Utilities	
1. FY 1999 President's Budget Request	450
2. Price Growth	
a. Inflation	+7
b. Foreign Currency Change	0
3. Program Increase . Due to increase in number of leased units	+58
4. FY 2000 President's Budget Request	515
I I 2000 Hesiaem s Baaget Request	313
Maintenance	
1. FY 1999 President's Budget Request	496
2. Price Growth	7
a. Inflationb. Foreign Currency Change	7 0
3. Program Decrease	O
a. Due to early completion of projects in FY98	-259
4. FY 2000 President's Budget Request	244

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE PROGRAM SUMMARY FY 2000

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 1998	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>FY 2000</u>
New Construction	-	-	-
Improvements Planning and Design	-	-	-
Construction Subtotal	-	-	-
Operations	2,357	2,153	3,401
Utilities Maintenance	-	-	-
Leasing	16,132	18,847	22,265
O&M Subtotal	18,489	21,000	25,666
Reimbursable Program	856	3,000	3,100
Total Program	19,345	24,000	28,766

One of the missions of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) is the Defense Attaché System. The missions of the Defense Attaché System are to: (1) observe and report military and politico-military information; (2) represent the DOD and the military services; (3) administer military assistance programs and foreign military sales as directed; and (4) advise the U.S. Ambassador on military and politico-military matters. These missions are accomplished through the Defense Attaché Offices, which are organic elements of the U.S. Diplomatic Missions.

Housing of the attaches and their support staff is controlled by the U.S. Embassy housing board at a level of expense and square footage that is equivalent to their Department of State counterparts.

The DIA's Budget Submission for the FY 2000 Family Housing Program funds 400 government leases (of which 147 are high cost leases) at Defense Attaché Offices (DAO) worldwide. These funds provide for all lease costs which include utilities, residential protection services, custodial and fire protection services, furnishings and appliances, maintenance and repair of furnishings and appliances, and administrative services performed by the Department of State under the International Cooperative Administrative Support services (ICASS) and Memoranda of Understanding.

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Operation and Maintenance Summary (Excludes Leased Units and Costs)

Inventory Data	<u>FY 1998</u>	FY 1999	FY 2000
Units in Being Beginning of Year Units in Being End of Year Average Inventory for Year	- - -	- - -	- - -
Units Requiring O&M Funding a. Conterminous U.S. b. U.S. Overseas c. Foreign d. Worldwide	- - - -	- - -	- - -

Note: All DIA family housing units are leased.

	<u>FY 1</u>			1999		2000
	Unit Cost*	Total Cost	Unit Cost*	Total Cost	Unit Cost*	Total Cost
	(\$)	(\$000)	(\$)	(\$000)	(<u>\$)</u>	(\$000)
Funding Requirements	747	<u>(φοσσ)</u>	747	<u>(φοσσ)</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>(φοσση</u>
1. Operations						
a. Management	-	-	-	-	-	-
b. Services	-	2 401	- 41	2 (22	-	2.007
c. Furnishings	41	2,491	41	2,633	42	3,897
d. Miscellaneous Subtotal-Gross Obligations	41	2,491	41	2,633	42	3,897
Anticipated Reimbursements	41	(134)	41	(480)	42	(496)
Direct Obligations-Operations	41	2,357	41	2,153	42	3,401
		_,,-		_,		-,
2. Utilities Operations	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anticipated Reimbursements	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct Obligations-Utilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
3. Maintenance						
a. M&R Dwellings	_	_	_	_	_	_
b. M&R Exterior Utilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
c. M&R Other Real Property	-	-	-	-	-	-
d. Alterations & Additions	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal-Gross Obligations	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anticipated Reimbursements	-	-	-	-	-	-
Direct Obligations-Maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Total O&M	41	2,357	41	2,153	42	3,401

^{*}Based on number of units requiring O&M funding.

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Operation and Maintenance

The FY 2000 Family Housing Operations expenses for DIA include the purchase, transportation, maintenance, and repair of furniture and appliances for members of the Defense Attaché System.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

Operations	<u>(\$000)</u>
FY 1999 President's Budget Request	2,153
FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	2,153
Price Growth (Inflation)	32
Program Increases	
a. Program increase due to increased requirements for furniture,	
appliances to include generators and shipping costs to the established	
DAOs and the new DAOs opening in FY 2000.	1,216
Program Decreases	
a.	0
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	3,401

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE PROGRAM SUMMARY FY 2000

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 1998	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>FY 2000</u>
New Construction	4,850	295	-
Improvements	-	-	-
Planning and Design	50	-	-
Construction Subtotal	4,900	295	-
Operations	419	420	343
Utilities	318	424	414
Maintenance	485	531	370
Leasing	-	-	-
O&M Subtotal	1,222	1,375	1,127
Reimbursable Program	-	-	-
Total Program	6,122	1,670	1,127

DLA's current family housing inventory includes 213 units. These units are located at two Supply Centers and one Defense Distribution Center. With the exception of 30 completely renovated units at Defense Distribution Center (DDC) West Coast location in FY 89, 18 were built in 1975 and 1976, and the other 195 units were built prior to 1960. Of the 195 units, 141 are undergoing a major renovation in a three-phase post acquisition construction project at Defense Distribution Center (DDC) East Coast location.

The FY 1999 budget supports routine operation requirements including management costs, utility costs, and replacement of appliances. In addition, FY 1999 supports routine maintenance requirements including re-roofing units, repairing sewer line, landscaping and painting unit interior. The budget also includes post acquisition minor construction funding for the Defense Supply Center Richmond (DSCR) and the Defense Distribution Center (DDC) West Coast location.

In FY 2000 the DLA Family Housing budget request supports routine operation, maintenance and repair efforts which includes replacement of bathroom plumbing, vanities, tubs, toilets, and floors. The request also supports replacement of sidewalks, heating/air conditioning units, interior electrical wiring, carpeting and interior painting of 1950 vintage housing units.

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Operation and Maintenance Summary (Excludes Leased Units and Costs)

Inventory Data	<u>F</u>	Y 1998	FY 1999	<u>9</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2000	
Units in Being Beginning of Year Units in Being End of Year Average Inventory for Year		213 213 213	213 213 213		213 213 213	
Units Requiring O&M Funding a. Conterminous U.S. b. U.S. Overseas c. Foreign d. Worldwide		213	213		213	
Funding Requirements	<u>FY 1</u> Unit Cost* <u>(\$)</u>	998 Total Cost (\$000)	FY Unit Cost*	1999 Total Cost (\$000)	FY 2 Unit Cost* (\$)	2000 Total Cost (\$000)
 Operations a. Management b. Services c. Furnishings 	1,098 304 565	234 65 120	1,145 241 585	244 51 125	1,160 353 98	247 75 21
d. Miscellaneous Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Operations	1,967 -	419	1,971 -	420	1,611	343
2. Utilities Operations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Utilities	1,493	318	- 1,991	424	1,943	414
3. Maintenance a. M&R Dwellings b. M&R Exterior Utilities c. M&R Other Real Property d. Alterations & Additions Subtotal-Gross Obligations Anticipated Reimbursements Direct Obligations-Maintenance	1,729 25 500 23 2,277	368 5 107 5 485 -	2155 25 310 5 2,493 2,493	459 5 66 1 531	1,533 30 169 5 1,737	327 6 36 1 370 370
Grand Total O&M	5,737	1,222	6,455	1,375	5,291	1,127

^{*}Based on number of units requiring O&M funding.

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Operation and Maintenance

OPERATION - Includes refuse collection and disposal, snow removal, entomological services, custodial services, street cleaning, moving and handling of government-owned furnishings, and maintenance, repair, and replacement of household equipment. Operations also include management costs. These are costs associated with family housing administration. These costs include salaries, fringe benefits, training, supplies and equipment required to support the management personnel and to operate the housing office.

UTILITIES - In FY 2000 we continue to execute projects to reduce utilities costs by at least 2 percent each year. Projects supporting this effort include replacing oil-fired furnaces with gas-fired furnaces and heating pumps; insulating walls and ceilings to meet current energy standards; replacing old leaking plumbing; replacing water heaters and kitchen appliances; repairing sewage lines and issuing new tenants guidelines on "How to Save Energy in Family Housing." These projects are instrumental to reduction initiatives, which enable compliance with the energy efficiency goals outlined in Executive Order 12759.

MAINTENANCE - Our request for FY 2000 includes a modest number of maintenance and repair projects for dwellings, other real property, and alterations and additions to upgrade our family housing units. Maintenance includes, carpet replacement, water heaters, interior painting, refinishing floors, and replacing sidewalks throughout the housing complex.

The Operations and Maintenance requirement for FY 2000 has declined by 18% from our FY 1999 request. This decline is mostly attributed to our Whole House Renovation Project at our Defense Distribution Center (DDC), New Cumberland, PA. The project at DDC is being completed in three phases to renovate junior enlisted, senior enlisted and officer quarters. Due to the phasing of the project operations, utilities and maintenance costs are reduced.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

<u>Operations</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
FY 1999 President's Budget Request FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	420 420
Price Growth	420
a. Inflation	7
Program Increases	
a.	-
Program Decreases	
a. Furnishings- moving/handling, maintenance, replacement, initial issue (DDC)	(84)
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	343
Litilities	(\$000)
<u>Utilities</u> FY 1999 President's Budget Request	(\$000) 424
FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	424
Price Growth	⊤ ∠⊤
a. Inflation	7
Program Increases	
a.	-
Program Decreases	
a. Units under renovation (DDC)	(17)
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	414
Maintenance	(\$000)
FY 1999 President's Budget Request	531
FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	531
Price Growth	
a. Inflation	8
Program Increases	
a.	-
Program Decreases	
a. Phasing of Whole House Renovation Project (DDC)	(148)
b. Reduction of Maintenance & Repair Dwelling & Other Real Property (DSCC)	(21)
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	370

LEASING SUMMARY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2000

The FY 2000 leasing request by agency is as follows:

		FY 1998 <u>Actual</u>		1999 <u>mate</u>	FY 2000 Request	
	Total Cost (\$000)	No <u>Units</u>	Total Cost (\$000)	No. <u>Units</u>	Total Cost (\$000)	No. <u>Units</u>
National Security Agency	10,324	500	12,292	554	13,374	567
Defense Intelligence Agency: Gross Obligations Reimbursements Direct Obligations	16,854 722 16,132	350 350	21,367 2,520 18,847	350 350	24,869 2,604 22,265	400 400
Total Appropriation	26,456	850	31,139	904	35,639	967

The Defense Agency leases are located exclusively overseas, in many cases at remote locations where housing comparable to western standards is nonexistent or scarce. Leasing in areas where suitable housing is in short supply is very expensive which accounts for the fact that the bulk of the high cost leases are concentrated in the Defense Agencies. These lease units support both activities in classified locations and the Defense Attaché System. Host government restrictions, security requirements, and safety and health improvements add additional costs to these leases in many locations. Detailed justification by agency is provided on the following pages.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING DEFENSE-WIDE Analysis of Leased Units FY 2000

<u>Location</u>	Units Auth.	FY 1998 Lease <u>Months</u>	Cost (\$000)	Units <u>Auth.</u>	FY 1999 Lease <u>Months</u>	Cost (\$000)	Units <u>Auth.</u>	FY 2000 Lease <u>Months</u>	Cost (\$000)
Domestic Leases:									
None									
Foreign Leases:									
Standard	284	3,408	5,396	335	4,020	7,036	342	4,104	7,525
Special Crypto Activities	216	2,592	4,928	219	2,628	5,256	225	2,700	5,849
Total Foreign Leases	500	6,000	10,324	554	6,648	12,292	567	6,804	13,374
Grand Total									

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Leasing FY 2000

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

Leasing	<u>(\$000)</u>
FY 1999 President's Budget Request	12,292
FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	12,292
Price Growth	+184
Program Increases	
a. Increased number of leased units and variation in overseas lease costs	+898
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	13,374

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING DEFENSE-WIDE Analysis of Leased Units FY 2000

<u>Location</u>	Units Auth.	FY 1998 Lease <u>Months</u>	Cost (\$000)	Units <u>Auth.</u>	FY 1999 Lease <u>Months</u>	Cost (\$000)	Units <u>Auth.</u>	FY 2000 Lease Months	Cost (\$000)
Domestic Leases:									
None									
Foreign Leases:									
Classified Locations* Reimbursable	350	3,748	16,854 (722)	350	3748	21,367 (2,520)	400	4348	24,869 (2,604)
Total Foreign Leases	350	3,748	16,132	350	3748	18,847	400	4348	22,265
Grand Total	350	3,748	16,132	350	3748	18,847	400	4348	22,265

^{*}Due to the sensitive nature of this information, country detail, to include lease months, can be provided to the committee through channels.

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE Leasing

An important element of DIA's mission is the operation and management of the DAS which, in FY 2000, will consist of about **127** DAOs located at U.S. embassies in capital cities around the world. In response to recent world events, a five-year program has been approved to open 22 new DAOs. In FY 2000 at least five new DAOs will be opened. In addition to these new DAOs the FY 2000 budget request provides for an increase to the Leasing account. The funds added starting in FY 1998 will fix the funding shortfalls created by the unplanned inflation and high lease costs in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) as well as the opening of the 22 new DAOs.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases

Leasing	<u>(\$000)</u>
FY 1999 President's Budget Request	18,847
FY 1999 Appropriated Amount	18,847
Price Growth (Inflation)	283
Program Increases	
a. Increased lease cost above inflation to include opening of 22 new DAOs.	805
b. Costs associated with ICASS	2,330
Program Decreases	
a.	0
FY 2000 President's Budget Request	22,265

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND (FHIF) PROGRAM SUMMARY

In 1996 Congress provided the Department with significant new authorities to use private expertise and capital to accelerate improvement of government-owned housing and help us eliminate a serious shortage of quality affordable housing available to service members and their families. The Department has worked diligently over the past three years to learn how to most efficiently use these authorities. Two Navy projects have been awarded and today sailors are occupying those units in Corpus Christi, Texas and Everett, Washington. Last August the Air Force awarded a project at Lackland AFB, Texas for 420 housing units. The project was financed with \$7 million transferred from the Air Force Family Housing Construction Account into the Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF). The Navy is currently evaluating proposals for a housing privatization project at Marine Corps Logistics Base in Albany, Georgia. The successful offeror will construct, own, manage, and maintain 114 to 160 new housing units. The Fort Carson project was ready for award in FY 1998 but was resolicited due to a successful bid protest and is now expected to be ready for award in late FY 1999. The Fort Carson project will be financed with a fund transfer similar to the Lackland project.

The FHIF was established with an initial appropriation of \$22 million and authority to transfer family housing construction appropriations into the account for selected projects after notification to Congress. An additional \$25 million was appropriated in FY 1997 and \$2 million was appropriated in FY 1999.

Since FY 1996 the Department has used \$15.2 million to evaluate the financial feasibility of privatization projects at over fifty DOD installations, create complex loan and loan administration documents, provide expert consultant help to assist in the development and evaluation of solicitations, and for office overhead. In October 1998, the Office of the Secretary of Defense devolved responsibility for project development and execution to the Military Services. Considerable consultant support is still required for development of project monitoring guidelines with specific attention on loan portfolio oversight. However the level of consultant support funding was reduced to \$2 million for FY 1999 and an additional \$2 million appropriation to the FHIF for continued consultant support is requested for FY 2000. Unobligated balances from prior appropriations to the FHIF of \$20.4 million have been earmarked to facilitate future projects currently being prepared for solicitation. These include projects at Robins AFB, Georgia; Elmendorf AFB, Alaska; and Fort Stewart, Georgia.

Before projects are approved for privatization, the Department requires leveraging of existing family housing construction funds by a ratio of at least 3:1. In FY 1998 we had over 1,000 units of privatized family housing completed or under way. We expect to privatize an additional 4,500 units in FY 1999. The Department's goal for FY 2000 is to have at least 30,000 units in development.

Since devolution of the program to the military services, the Department has notified Congress and released requests for proposals at MCB Camp Pendleton, CA; Robins AFB, GA; NAVSTA Everett II, WA; and NAS Kingsville II, TX for a total of 4,609 units. In addition, Congress has been notified of two Navy regional projects for South Texas and San Diego and projects for NAS Lemoore, CA; NAVSTA New Orleans, LA; NAS Brunswick, ME; Ft. Hood, TX; and Elmendorf AFB, AK for a total of 21,311 units. As the Department continues to make positive strides, we expect to request permanent legislation next year which will incorporate lessons learned and which will be justified by the projects we expect to complete this year.

For the first time, we are requesting a direct appropriation to the FHIF for specific privatization projects. Previous budgets included potential privatization candidates in the family housing construction accounts and the funds would be transferred to the FHIF when projects were ready for award. However, pursuant to congressional direction, the Department has identified privatization initiatives in the FY 2000 budget. As a result, \$76.7 million for the 7 known privatization candidates in the FY 2000 family housing program is requested in the FHIF. The 7 locations are:

		Privatization
	(\$ in Thousands)	<u>Units</u>
Fort Lewis, WA	9,000	3,590
NAS Lemoore, CA	20,188	1,590
MCRD Parris Island, SC	4,932	231
MCAS Cherry Point, NC	22,036	1,371
NTC Great Lakes, IL	14,400	2,680
NICP Philadelphia, PA	200	301
Tinker AFB, OK	<u>6,000</u>	<u>730</u>
Total	\$76,756	10,493

By contrast, this \$76.7 million would have provided only about 800 units using traditional methods.

The following exhibits display the planned time frame and funding source for execution of each privatization effort, including those shown above.

Army Family Housing Privatization

Year of Notification	<u>Installation</u>	# of Units	Notify Congress (Solicitation)	Notify Congress (Selection)	Deal Closing/ Contract <u>Award</u>	<u>Funding</u>
FY 98	Ft Carson	2,663	Sep-96**	Jul-99	Jul-99	\$15.8M FY95 AFHC
FY 99	Ft Hood	5,482	Dec-98**	Dec-99	Feb-00	\$18.6M FY98 AFHC \$21.6M FY99 AFHC
FY 99	Ft Lewis	3,590	Feb-99	Feb-00	Apr-00	\$9.0M FY00 FHIF
FY 99	Ft Stewart/Hunter AAF	3,159	Apr-99	Apr-00	Jun-00	TBD
FY 99	Ft Meade	2,862	Jun-99	Jun-00	Aug-00	\$7.9M FY98 AFHC
FY 99	Ft Bragg	4,744	Aug-99	Aug-00	Oct-00	\$16.6M FY98 AFHC \$18.8M FY99 AFHC
FY 00	Ft Campbell	4,240	Feb-00	Feb-01	Apr-01	\$8.8M FY99 AFHC \$20.0M FY01 FHIF
FY 00	Hampton Roads *	1,302	Oct-99	Oct-00	Dec-00	TBD
FY 00	Presidio of Monterey	1,676	Dec-99	Dec-00	Feb-01	TBD
FY 00	Ft Sam Houston	992	Apr-00	Apr-01	Jun-01	TBD
FY 00	Ft Polk	3,895	Jun-00	Jun-01	Aug-01	TBD
FY 00	Ft Gordon	876	Aug-00	Aug-01	Oct-01	TBD
1 1 00	110010011	070	1105 00	1100	361 01	155

 ^{*} Hampton Roads includes Forts Eustis, Monroe and Story
 ** Actual Dates

Note: AFHC = Army Family Housing Construction Funds FHIF = Family Housing Improvement Fund

Navy Family Housing Privatization

Year of Notification # of Units Congress (Solicitation) Congress (Selection) Contract Award Funding FY 1999 Everett II 300 Oct 1998** Feb 2000 Mar 2000 \$15M-FY97 FHNC FY 1999 Everett I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Feb 1999 Mar1999 \$6M - FY99 Paine Field (Sec. 125 FY99 MilCo Approps. Act) FY 1999 Kingsville II 150 Oct 1998** Nov 1999 Dec 1999 \$7.6M-FY97 FHNC FY 1999 Kingsville I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Mar 1999 Apr 1999 None FY 1999 South Texas* 812 Nov 1998** Jan 2000 Feb 2000 \$41.5M (\$11.7M-FY97 FHNC; \$22.3M-FY98 FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98 FHNC)	
FY 1999 Everett I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Feb 1999 Mar1999 \$6M - FY99 Paine Field (Sec. 125 FY99 MilCo Approps. Act) FY 1999 Kingsville II 150 Oct 1998** Nov 1999 Dec 1999 \$7.6M-FY97 FHNC FY 1999 Kingsville I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Mar 1999 Apr 1999 None FY 1999 South Texas* 812 Nov 1998** Jan 2000 Feb 2000 \$41.5M (\$11.7M-FY97 FHNC; \$22.3M-FY98 FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98	
FY 1999 Kingsville II 150 Oct 1998** Nov 1999 Dec 1999 \$7.6M-FY97 FHNC FY 1999 Kingsville I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Mar 1999 Apr 1999 None FY 1999 South Texas* 812 Nov 1998** Jan 2000 Feb 2000 \$41.5M (\$11.7M-FY97 FHNC; \$22.3M-FY98 FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98	
FY 1999 Kingsville I DLP MOD 0 Oct 1998** Mar 1999 Apr 1999 None FY 1999 South Texas* 812 Nov 1998** Jan 2000 Feb 2000 \$41.5M (\$11.7M-FY97 FHNC; \$22.3M-FY98 FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98	n
FY 1999 South Texas* 812 Nov 1998** Jan 2000 Feb 2000 \$41.5M (\$11.7M-FY97 FHNC; \$22.3M-FY98 FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98	
FHNC; \$7.6M-FY99 FHIMP) FY 1999 San Diego* 11,020 Nov 1998** Mar 2000 Apr 2000 \$47.2M (\$13.5M-FY98 FHNC; \$28.9M-FY98	
FHNC,\$4.8M-FY99 FHIMP)	
FY 1999 Hampton Roads 4,357 Feb 1999 May 2000 Jun 2000 \$34.5M-FY96 FHNC	
FY 1999 Lemoore* 1,590 Nov 1998** Oct 1999 Nov 1999 \$22.3M (\$2.1M-FY99 FHIMP; \$20.2M-FY00 FHIF)	
FY 1999 Brunswick* 967 Jan 1999** Nov 1999 Dec 1999 \$10.9M-FY97 FHNC	
FY 1999 New Orleans* 613 Dec 1998** Nov 1999 Dec 1999 \$11.9M-FY98 FHNC	
FY 1999 Jacksonville/Mayport 1,752 Feb 1999 May 2000 Jun 2000 \$20.7M (\$10M-FY97 FHNC; \$10.7M-FHIMP)	
FY 1999 Annapolis 420 May 1999 May 2000 Jun 2000 \$8.6M-FY99-FHIMP	
FY 1999 Great Lakes 2,680 Jun 1999 Jun 2000 Jul 2000 \$45.6M (\$18.7M-FY98 FHIMP; \$12.5M-FY99 FHIMP; \$14.4.M-FY00 FHIF)	
FY 1999 Newport 1,437 Sep 1999 Dec 2000 Jan 2001 \$23.3M (\$8.8M-FY96 FHIMP; \$14.5M-FY98 FHIMP)	
FY 1999 Pacific Northwest 4,191 Apr 1999 Sep 2000 Oct 2000 \$47.2M (\$16.0M-FY98 FHNC; \$21.6M-FY98 FHIMP; \$9.7M-FY99-FHIMP)	
FY 1999 Meridian 518 Feb 1999 Feb 2000 Mar 2000 \$6.6M-FY97 FHIMP	

			Notify	<u>Notify</u>	Deal Closing	
Year of		# of	Congress	Congress	Contract	
Notification	<u>Installation</u>	<u>Units</u>	(Solicitation)	(Selection)	<u>Award</u>	<u>Funding</u>
FY 2000	New London	2,525	Apr 2000	Apr 2001	May 2001	\$6.3M-FY99 FHIMP
FY 2000	Earle	156	Oct 2000	Oct 2001	Nov 2001	\$6.7M-FY99 FHIMP
FY 2000	Philadelphia	301	TBD	TBD	TBD	\$0.2M-FY00 FHIF

^{*} These projects have been placed on hold by the HAC MILCON Subcommittee, pending further briefings with HAC. Future milestones may be delayed.

Note:

FHNC = Family Housing Construction Funds

FHIMP = Family Housing Improvements Funds

FHIF = Family Housing Improvement Fund

^{**}Actual dates.

Marine Corps Family Housing Privatization

			<u>Notify</u>	<u>Notify</u>	Deal Closing	
Year of		<u># of</u>	<u>Congress</u>	Congress	Contract	
<u>Notification</u>	<u>Installation</u>	<u>Units</u>	(Solicitation)	(Selection)	<u>Award</u>	<u>Funding</u>
FY-98	MCLB Albany, GA	114	Jan. 98**	Feb. 99	Apr. 99	None
FY-98	MCB Camp Pendleton, CA	712	Oct. 98**	Jun. 99	Jul. 99	\$20.0M-FY96 FHNC
FY-99	Chicopee, MA	194	Feb. 99	Jun. 99	Jul. 99	None
FY-99	Stewart, NY	150	Feb. 99	Aug. 99	Sep. 99	None
FY-99	MCAGCC 29 Palms, CA	1,704	Feb. 99	Oct. 99	Dec. 99	\$23.9M-FY98 FHNC
FY-99	MCAS Beaufort, SC & MCRD Parris Island, SC	684	Feb. 99	Sep. 99	Oct. 99	\$18.9M (\$14.0M-FY97 FHNC; \$4.9M-FY00 FHIF)
FY-99	MCB Camp Lejeune, NC	3,079	Feb. 99	Jun. 00	Jul. 00	\$27.5M (\$10.1M-FY97 FHNC; \$17.4M-FY99 FHIMP)
FY-99	MCAS Cherry Point, NC	1,371	Jun. 99	Aug. 00	Sep. 00	\$41.5M (\$6M-FY98; \$13.5M-FY99 FHIMP; \$22.0-FY00 FHIF)
FY-99	MCB Quantico, VA	543	Sep. 99	Dec. 00	Feb. 01	None

^{**}Actual dates.

Note:

FHNC = Family Housing Construction Funds FHIMP = Family Housing Improvements Funds

FHIF = Family Housing Improvement Fund

Air Force Family Housing Privatization

X 7 C			Notify	Notify	Deal Closing/	
Year of Notification	Installation	# of Units	Congress (Solicitation)	Congress (Selection)	Contract <u>Award</u>	Funding
FY98	Lackland, TX	420	Sep 96**	May 98	Aug 98	\$6.2, FY96 AFFHC
	,		1	J	C	\$0.8, FY97 AFFHC
FY98	Robins, GA	670	Oct 98**	Aug 99	Sep 99	\$6.8, FY98 AFFHC \$5.2, FHIF
FY99	Elmendorf, AK	828	Dec 98**	Oct 99	Nov 99	\$12.5, FY98 AFFHC \$11.0, FHIF
FY99	Kirtland, NM	1890	Jun 99	Nov 99	Jan 00	\$5.5, FY97 AFFHC
	,					\$20.9, FY98 AFFHC
						\$6.4, FY99 AFFHC
FY99	Dyess, TX	402	May 99	May 00	Jul 00	\$10.5, FY98 AFFHC
						\$9.4, FY99 AFFHC
FY99	Mt Home, MT	1525	Jun 99	Jun 00	Aug 00	\$11.0, FY98 AFFHC
						\$10.7, FHIF
FY99	Patrick, FL	999	Jun 99	May 00	Jul 00	\$9.7, FY99 AFFHC
FY99	Dover, DE	525	Jul 99	Jun 00	Jul 00	\$9.0, FY99 AFFHC
FY99	Hurlburt, FL	573	Aug 99	Jun 00	Aug 00	N/A
FY00	Wright-Patterson, OH	1200	Dec 99	Sep 00	Nov 00	\$5.6, FY99 AFFHC
FY00	McGuire, NJ	999	Nov 99	Aug 00	Oct 00	N/A
FY00	Tinker, OK	730	Jun 00	Jan 01	Sep 01	\$6.0, FY00 FHIF
						\$8.3, FY01 FHIF

Note: AFFHC = Air Force Family Housing Construction Funds FHIF = Family Housing Improvement Fund

^{**} Actual Dates

APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND FY 2000

For the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund, \$78,756,000, to remain available until expended for family housing initiatives pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2883, pertaining to alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities.