

## APPENDIX B – COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED

This appendix contains all the communications received during the post-scoping period from December 15, 2009 through November 17, 2010. In addition, the table below contains contact and organization names and the communication identification number assigned to each communication. The communication text in this appendix is ordered by the communication identification number. For reference, the table below is ordered by last name, followed by illegible and anonymous signatures.

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN M	ABRAMSON	
13265	7/2/2010	KATHLEEN M	ABRAMSON	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHAN	ABRAMSON	
13265	7/2/2010	STEPHAN	ABRAMSON	
12761	7/22/2010	CATHY	ABSHIRE	
12761	7/22/2010	LAUREL	ACHAUTY	
12761	7/22/2010	ELIZABETH	ACKERMAN	
12826	8/18/2010	CHARLES	ACKLIN	
12761	7/22/2010	VIRGINIA	ADAM	
12833	9/9/2010	JEFRIE	ADAMS	
12936	9/16/2010	JEFRIE	ADAMS	
12761	7/22/2010	KELLY	ADAMS	
12936	9/16/2010	LEON	ADAMS	
12607	6/29/2010	SHARON	ADOIR-FINK	
12841	8/30/2010	BRIAN	AGREN	
12761	7/22/2010	NANCY	AGURE	
12761	7/22/2010	LIDIA	AILINCHI	
12607	6/29/2010	PHIL	AKELY	
12630	7/13/2010	SHARON	ALDEN	
12607	6/29/2010	TERRY	ALEXANDER	
12607	6/29/2010	CHRISTINE	ALLEN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVE	ALLEN	
12678	6/5/2010	MARLO	ALLEN	
12678	6/5/2010	PAMELA	AMMONS	
12678	6/5/2010	ROBERT T	AMMONS	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	ANAST	
12761	7/22/2010	JENS	ANDERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE	ANDERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH	ANDERSEN	
12796	8/29/2010	ARVID	ANDERSON	ANDERSON LODGE
12899	8/9/2010	ARVID	ANDERSON	ANDERSON LODGE
12761	7/22/2010	BECKY	ANDERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JACKIE	ANDERSON	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	ANDERSON	
12678	6/5/2010	ROBERT	ANDERSON	
12796	8/29/2010	VICKI	ANDERSON	ANDERSON LODGE
12899	8/9/2010	VICKI	ANDERSON	ANDERSON LODGE
12761	7/22/2010	KARI	ANDRELL	
12825	8/31/2010	GINA	ANDREWS	
12739	8/8/2010	STEVEN D	ANGLIN	
12740	8/8/2010	STEVEN D	ANGLIN	
12761	7/22/2010	INNA	ANTONYUK	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIO	ARMEITZ	
12614	12/15/2009	RAMONA	ARNOLD	
12607	6/29/2010	DALE W	AROLA	
12761	7/22/2010	MAURISA	ARRURLA	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN	ARSENAULT	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	ARSENAULT	
13043	10/30/2010	ALBERT J	ARVIDSON	
12629	12/21/2009	JULIE	ASH	
13259	5/15/2010	PAM C	ASHFORD	
12775	8/20/2010	THOMAS	ASHFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	EMILEE	ASKMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	ASTETE	
12678	6/5/2010	CAROLE	ATCHLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	GREGORY	ATCHLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	PETE	ATKINSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	AUGA	
12889	9/12/2010	HILLARY	AXFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	EMILY	BACHTEL	
12761	7/22/2010	IAN	BACHTEL	
12761	7/22/2010	WILL	BACON	
12761	7/22/2010	DARRELL	BADERTSCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	JOAN	BADERTSCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	BRENT	BAFUS	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	BAFUS	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBBY	BAILEN	
12761	7/22/2010	LEW	BAILEN	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL	BAILEY	
12524	12/11/2009	BRIAN N	BAIRD	U.S. CONGRESS
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	BAKER	
13009	11/5/2010	MATTHEW L	BAKER	
12761	7/22/2010	NANCY	BAKER	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	SARAH	BAKER	
13010	11/5/2010	RON	BALCHEN	
12979	10/20/2010	L DAVID	BALLARD	
13029	11/11/2010	CHRIS	BALSKE	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK	BALZERAS	
13035	11/15/2010	WILLIAM	BANGS	
13042	11/17/2010	WILLIAM	BANGS	
12748	8/10/2010	BRIAN	BARCUS	
12607	6/29/2010	JANE	BARIL	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	BARKER	
13257	6/18/2010	ED	BARNES	
12678	6/5/2010	R.E.	BARR	
12691	12/4/2009	LINDA K	BARRY	
12691	12/4/2009	ROBERT T	BARRY	
12607	6/29/2010	SHARON	BARTH	
12640	7/13/2010	CONNIE	BARTOLD	
12641	7/13/2010	CONNIE	BARTOLD	
12838	9/10/2010	CONNIE	BARTOLD	
12839	9/10/2010	CONNIE	BARTOLD	
12607	6/29/2010	JENNY	BARTON	
12647	7/13/2010	RICHARD	BARTON	
12761	7/22/2010	ROXANNE	BASH	
12761	7/22/2010	MATTHEW	BASHAW	
12761	7/22/2010	MELISSA	BASHAW	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	BATES	
12761	7/22/2010	VERONICA	BATES	
12561	5/12/2010	BILL	BATO	
12678	6/5/2010	DAVID L	BATTS	
12761	7/22/2010	CRYSTAL	BAUER	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	BAXTER	
12761	7/22/2010	BROOK	BAYS	
12761	7/22/2010	JAY	BAYS	
12678	6/5/2010	STEPHANIE	BEACH	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD	BEAH	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK AND MARLA	BEAM	
12761	7/22/2010	SHERRY	BEATTIE	
12761	7/22/2010	BEN	BEATY	
12761	7/22/2010	JOE	BEATY	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	BEATY	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY ANN	BECK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	RONNIE	BEEBE	
12646	7/13/2010	DAN	BEEL	
12678	6/5/2010	TWYLA	BELK	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN	BELL	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	BELL	
12537	1/14/2010	LESLIE STEWART	BELL	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	LESLIE STEWART	BELL	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13004	10/24/2010	LESLIE STEWART	BELL	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	MALANI	BELL	
12607	6/29/2010	OWEN	BELMONT	
12659	7/13/2010	ANTHONY	BENCIVENGO	
12765	8/9/2010	LOUIE	BENEDICT	
12920	9/27/2010	LOUIE	BENEDICT	
12607	6/29/2010	PATTIE	BENGE	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	BENITEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLYN	BENJAMIN	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRIS	BENJAMIN	
12761	7/22/2010	ALFRED	BENNETT	
12761	7/22/2010	SANDRA	BENNETT	
12761	7/22/2010	TAESHA	BENSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	BENTLEY	
12557	4/9/2009	DON	BENTON	
12678	6/5/2010	DON	BENTON	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD	BENZ	
12761	7/22/2010	D.	BERF	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULA	BERG	
12761	7/22/2010	BEVERLY	BERGMAN	
12815	9/1/2010	TERESA E	BERGMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	MARY	BERNABE	
12607	6/29/2010	JEFFERY	BERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	NEIL	BERRY	
12607	6/29/2010	SANDE	BERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	MONTE	BERTOLIN	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERT	BETTETT	
12791	7/21/2010	DONALD	BEVANS	
12791	7/21/2010	YVONNE	BEVANS	
12678	6/5/2010	BONNIE	BIELAS	
12678	6/5/2010	MITCH	BIELAS	
12835	9/10/2010	PATRICIA E	BIEZE	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIA	BIGELOW	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	ECHO	BILL	
12678	6/5/2010	HILL	BILLY	
12550	2/20/2010	JERRY DON	BINKLY	
12588	2/22/2010	JERRY DON	BINKLY	
12607	6/29/2010	NANCY	BISHOP	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE R	BJORK	
12864	9/8/2010	ILENE	BLACK	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELE	BLACK	
13257	6/18/2010	MICHELE	BLACK	
12594	5/8/2010	MICHELLE	BLACK	
12926	9/22/2010	MICHELLE	BLACK	
12927	9/22/2010	MICHELLE	BLACK	
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICIA L	BLACK	
12761	7/22/2010	TOM	BLACK	
12866	9/8/2010	CARRIE	BLADOW	
12607	6/29/2010	RANAE	BLANCHARD	
12678	6/5/2010	RANAE	BLANCHARD	
12607	6/29/2010	SCOTT	BLANTON	
12607	6/29/2010	WENDY	BLANTON	
12678	6/5/2010	CHRIS	BLESSING	
12761	7/22/2010	DONNA	BLETH	
12761	7/22/2010	FRANK	BLETH	
13267	7/16/2010	BARBARA	BLOOMFIELD	
12607	6/29/2010	KIMM	BOEHM	
12607	6/29/2010	SHIRLEY	BOEHM	
12810	8/31/2010	JERRY	BOHANAN	
12822	8/31/2010	JERRY	BOHANAN	
12761	7/22/2010	GREG	BOICE	
12761	7/22/2010	L.	BOLAEKR	
12607	6/29/2010	JUDY	BOND	
12761	7/22/2010	PAM	BOND	
12607	6/29/2010	KRISTY	BORK	
12700	12/12/2009	PATRICK	BORUNDA	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	BOSHIN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGIE	BOSLAUGH	
12761	7/22/2010	LAVINA	BOSSERT	
12607	6/29/2010	JULIE	BOSTER	
12607	6/29/2010	MIKE	BOSTER	
12993	10/30/2010	DAVID R	BOTTEN	
12761	7/22/2010	BECKY M	BOWER	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	DABID	BOWMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	PENNY	BOWMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	SHERRI	BOWMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DICK	BOWNE	
12617	7/13/2010	CAROL	BOYD	
13045	11/17/2010	ROBERT	BOYD	
12761	7/22/2010	JANICE	BOYER	
12897	9/30/2010	MARGARET	BOYLE	
12761	7/22/2010	BETH	BOYNTON	
12761	7/22/2010	BRETT	BOYNTON	
12761	7/22/2010	LISSA	BOYNTON	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHEN	BOYNTON	
13292	7/2/2010	MICHAEL	BRACE	
12761	7/22/2010	ERA	BRADLEY	
12525	6/10/2010	EUGENE GREGORY	BRADY	WITTER/REVESZ FAMILY TREE FARMS
12761	7/22/2010	NANCY	BRADY	
12678	6/5/2010	CHERYL KAY	BRANTLEY	A BETTER WAY FOR BPA
12697	1/5/2010	CHERYL KAY	BRANTLEY	A BETTER WAY FOR BPA
12737	7/29/2010	CHERYL KAY	BRANTLEY	A BETTER WAY FOR BPA
13034	10/22/2010	CHERYL KAY	BRANTLEY	A BETTER WAY FOR BPA
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD	BRANTLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	EMILY	BRASIER	
12607	6/29/2010	PAULINE	BRATTIN	
12678	6/5/2010	PAULINE	BRATTIN	
12661	7/13/2010	LEANNE	BREMMER	MILLER NASH LLP
12689	2/19/2010	JESSICA	BRENNER	
12562	5/21/2010	SHONNY	BRIA	BATTLE GROUND PUBLIC SCHOOLS
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	BRIDGE	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE	BRISLAWN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	BRISLAWN	
12761	7/22/2010	AARON	BRISTON	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	BRISTON	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVE	BRISTON	
12761	7/22/2010	ANGELA	BRITTAIN	
12761	7/22/2010	CARISSA	BRITTAIN	
12761	7/22/2010	CARSON	BRITTAIN	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	BRITTAIN	
12761	7/22/2010	LORIELLEN	BRITTAIN	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	THONAS	BRITTAIN	
12607	6/29/2010	R.W.	BRITTERICK	
12607	6/29/2010	BRUCE	BROCK	
12696	12/12/2009	DOUGLAS R	BROCKBANK	
12761	7/22/2010	EDWARD	BRODHAL	
12689	2/19/2010	RODNEY	BRODVIK	
12934	8/30/2010	DARYL D	BROOKSHIRE	
12907	9/13/2010	RANDY	BROSIUS	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	BROSS	
12761	7/22/2010	RICK	BROSS	
12761	7/22/2010	ADELE	BROWN	
12607	6/29/2010	BARBARA	BROWN	
12607	6/29/2010	BROCK	BROWN	
12678	6/5/2010	GERALD	BROWN	
12678	6/5/2010	JACKIE	BROWN	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES C	BROWN	
13024	11/7/2010	KEITH	BROWN	
12607	6/29/2010	KEN	BROWN	
13011	11/5/2010	RANDALL	BROWN	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	BROWN	
12978	10/25/2010	CHRISTINE B	BRUNNER	
12588	2/22/2010	MARY JO	BRYSON	
12588	2/22/2010	WAYNE	BRYSON	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN	BUBB	
12761	7/22/2010	JODI	BUCHANAN	
12618	7/13/2010	DAVID FD	BUCK	
12910	9/17/2010	DAVID FD	BUCK	
12911	9/17/2010	DAVID FD	BUCK	
12619	7/13/2010	RHONDA	BUCK	
12761	7/22/2010	RHONDA	BUCK	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	BUCKEY	
13043	10/30/2010	ED	BUCKNER	
13043	10/30/2010	MARLANE	BUCKNER	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	BUFFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDITH	BUFFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	ADAM	BULL	
12761	7/22/2010	GABRIELA	BULWA	
12607	6/29/2010	RUTH	BUNCH	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	BUNTIN	
12762	5/27/2010	MARY M	BURCH	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	KENT	BURNS	
12947	9/16/2010	ROBERT	BURNS	
12761	7/22/2010	PHYLLIS	BURTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JANET	BUSCEMI	
12607	6/29/2010	ALAN	BUTTERFIELD	
12607	6/29/2010	WILL	BUTTERICK	
12761	7/22/2010	REES	BUTTNER	
12761	7/22/2010	THOMAS	BUTTNER	
12761	7/22/2010	ALEX	CAGE	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLE	CAGE	
12761	7/22/2010	RAYMOND	CAGE	
12761	7/22/2010	RYAN	CAGE	
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT	CAGE	
12620	7/13/2010	PERRY J	CALABRESE	
12621	7/13/2010	PERRY J	CALABRESE	
12759	8/17/2010	PERRY J	CALABRESE	
12761	7/22/2010	PERRY J	CALABRESE	
12816	9/1/2010	PERRY J	CALABRESE	
12813	8/31/2010	BRYAN W	CALL	
12822	8/31/2010	BRYAN W	CALL	
12761	7/22/2010	KENNETH	CALLEN	
12761	7/22/2010	SANDRA	CALLEN	
13043	10/30/2010	ANAMARIA	CAMPBELL	
12678	6/5/2010	CHARLES	CAMPBELL	
13043	10/30/2010	PAUL	CAMPBELL	
12743	8/9/2010	CHRIS D	CANNARD	
12761	7/22/2010	DIANNE	CANOOSE	
12914	9/25/2010	RICHARD	CANTER	
12761	7/22/2010	NIKI	CANTRELL	
12761	7/22/2010	SHEILA	CAREY	
12607	6/29/2010	CHERYL	CARLSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	MATTHEW	CARNEY	
12761	7/22/2010	TODD	CARPENTER	
12589	3/10/2010	RON	CARR	
13292	7/2/2010	REVIN	CARRIGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	CARRUTHERS	
12676	12/31/2009	RICHARD	CARSON	
13264	8/18/2010	MIKE	CASSETTA	
13257	6/18/2010	FRANK JR	CASSIDY	
12761	7/22/2010	ERICA	CASTRO	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12678	6/5/2010	DANNY	CATANIA	
12607	6/29/2010	GINO	CATANIA	
12607	6/29/2010	KIM	CATANIA	
12678	6/5/2010	LACEY	CATANIA	
12678	6/5/2010	PETER	CATCHING	
12678	6/5/2010	RITA	CATCHING	
12607	6/29/2010	DAWN	CATT	
12761	7/22/2010	ED	CAUN	
12761	7/22/2010	CARL	CHADUIDE	
12607	6/29/2010	CYNDI	CHAMBERS	
12675	1/5/2010	BRUCE	CHANDLER	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	CHANEY	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	CHANEY	
12643	7/13/2010	CHRISTY	CHANG	
12761	7/22/2010	SHAW	CHANG	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	CHARLES	
12935	8/31/2010	CORDELIA	CHEN	
12965	10/15/2010	CORDELIA	CHEN	
12938	9/29/2010	DAVID	CHERRINGTON	
12801	8/31/2010	TESSIE M	CHERRINGTON	
12741	8/9/2010	ERIC R	CHILD	
12689	2/19/2010	KARA	CHISM	
12777	8/23/2010	LYNN K	CHMELIR	
12607	6/29/2010	R	CHRISTENSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	CARTH	CHRISTIAN	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINE	CHRISTIAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH	CHRISTOPHERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	SARAH	CHRISTOPHERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	ALINA	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNA	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	DANIEL	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	DINA	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	EUGENE	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	NIKOLAY	CHUKLANOV	
13292	7/2/2010	NIKOLAY	CHUKLANOV	
12761	7/22/2010	MERLE	CISNEY	
13249	7/19/2010	MERLE	CISNEY	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	CITTEGWINE	
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL	CLARE	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN T	CLARK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12814	8/31/2010	DANIEL L	CLARK	
12761	7/22/2010	HEATHER	CLARK	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL T	CLARK	
13012	11/5/2010	STAN	CLARK	
12770	8/15/2010	BARBARA	CLEMENT	
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	CLEMENT	
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICIA	CLEMONS	
12761	7/22/2010	AL	CLEMONS JR	
12761	7/22/2010	EDWARD	COALE	
12761	7/22/2010	MARTY L	COALE	
12772	8/22/2010	JAMES N	COCHRAN	SALAL FLAT, INC.
12607	6/29/2010	SHIRLEY	COFFEY	
12761	7/22/2010	BERT A	COFFMAN	
13294	7/2/2010	BERT A	COFFMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	MARC	COHEN	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	COLE	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVEN	COLE	
12761	7/22/2010	LEE	COLEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	LUCIENNE	COLEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	SHERIDAN	COLEMAN	
12568	5/24/2010	RICHARD	COLF	
12678	6/5/2010	RICHARD	COLF	
12568	5/24/2010	ROBERT	COLF	
12568	5/24/2010	MARGARET	COLF HEPOLA	
12607	6/29/2010	MARGARET	COLF HEPOLA	
12678	6/5/2010	MARGARET	COLF HEPOLA	
12761	7/22/2010	ELLIOT	COLLINS	
12761	7/22/2010	PAMELA	COLLINS	
12761	7/22/2010	TRIANA	COLLINS	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL	COLLURA	
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL	COLLURA	
13036	11/15/2010	KAREN J	COMELLA	
13036	11/15/2010	PETE	COMELLA	
12761	7/22/2010	TUCKEN	COMISAR	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID	COMLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	LINDA C	COMLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	KIM	CONGDON	
12992	10/30/2010	CHRISTOPHER L	CONGER	
12761	7/22/2010	ELIZABETH	CONNALLY	
12678	6/5/2010	C.E.	CONNIVET	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12689	2/19/2010	BECKY A	CONNOLLY	
12689	2/19/2010	MARK	CONNOLLY	
13043	10/30/2010	DENNIS	CONNOR	
12761	7/22/2010	GILLIAN L	CONROY	
12593	6/16/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12600	3/26/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12679	6/15/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12964	10/13/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13023	11/5/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13292	7/2/2010	TERRY	CONSTANCE	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12844	8/30/2010	DIANE L	COOK	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	COOK	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	COOK	
12761	7/22/2010	REGINA	COOK	
12689	2/19/2010	SHIRLEY	COOLEY	
12689	2/19/2010	THOMAS	COOLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	GERALD	COON	
12761	7/22/2010	JACLYN	COON	
12761	7/22/2010	NORMA	CORDY	
12607	6/29/2010	PHYLLIS	CORNELL	
12547	2/26/2010	THERESA	CORNETT	
12761	7/22/2010	THERESA	CORNETT	
12607	6/29/2010	ART R	CORTEZ	
12996	11/2/2010	ZOLTAN	COSA	
12761	7/22/2010	JOE	COSTANZA	
12761	7/22/2010	GAY	COTTON	
12761	7/22/2010	GUTTE	COTTON	
12761	7/22/2010	MELVIN	COUGHLIN	
12761	7/22/2010	WENDY	COUGHLIN	
12689	2/19/2010	LAURA	COUNTOURIOTS	
12798	8/27/2010	DOROTHY A	COUYSOUBOS	
12761	7/22/2010	SARAH	COWGILL	
13005	10/29/2010	CLARK K	COWLITZ	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	COX	
12761	7/22/2010	KATIE	CRAIG	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER L	CRAWFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSICA	CRAWFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	PAT	CREKS	
12678	6/5/2010	TANYA	CRISMAN	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12689	2/19/2010	BRIAN	CRONEY	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUG	CROUCH	
12678	6/5/2010	DAVID	CROWE	
12761	7/22/2010	CASIE	CROWELL	
12761	7/22/2010	TREVOR	CROWELL	
13257	6/18/2010	CHRIS	CROWLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	CROWSON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	CROWSON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	CULBERTSON	
12750	8/10/2010	BENJAMIN M	CULLEY	LACAMAS CREEK COMMUNITY
12761	7/22/2010	ROYAL	CUMMINS	
12607	6/29/2010	KAREN	CUNNINGHAM	
12811	8/31/2010	BETTY	CURPHEY	
12822	8/31/2010	BETTY	CURPHEY	
12901	8/30/2010	BETTY	CURPHEY	
12962	9/2/2010	BETTY	CURPHEY	
12811	8/31/2010	JOHN	CURPHEY	
12822	8/31/2010	JOHN	CURPHEY	
12901	8/30/2010	JOHN	CURPHEY	
12962	9/2/2010	JOHN	CURPHEY	
12761	7/22/2010	DENISE	CURRY	
12678	6/5/2010	LESSA	CUTHERBERTSON	
12761	7/22/2010	CLYDE	CYKE	
12761	7/22/2010	RITA	CYKE	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVE	DAIL	
12761	7/22/2010		DALEN	
12761	7/22/2010	DESIREE	DAMICO	
12761	7/22/2010	GREG	DAMICO	
12761	7/22/2010	CHELSEY	DANIELS	
12761	7/22/2010	PRISCILA	DARAKJIAN	
13293	7/2/2010	PRISCILA	DARAKJIAN	
12761	7/22/2010	TERESA	DARLAIN	
12678	6/5/2010	SAMANTHA	DARR	
12713	8/4/2010	LYLE	DAUGHERTY	
12761	7/22/2010	TODD	DAVID	
13180	11/11/2010	BECKY	DAVIS	
12678	6/5/2010	JANIS	DAVIS	A BETTER WAY FOR BPA
12657	7/13/2010	LEE R	DAVIS	
13018	10/30/2010	LEE R	DAVIS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12678	6/5/2010	RICHARD A	DAVIS	
12607	6/29/2010	TINA	DAVIS	
12761	7/22/2010	TYRUIKE	DAVIS	
12678	6/5/2010	ED	DE CLUSIN	
12566	6/2/2010	MICHAEL	DE JONG	
12584	2/23/2010	MICHAEL	DE JONG	
13292	7/2/2010	MICHAEL	DE JONG	
12566	6/2/2010	NACOLE	DE JONG	
12584	2/23/2010	NACOLE	DE JONG	
12689	2/19/2010	COLIN	DECKACHT	
12689	2/19/2010	KAYLEEN	DECKACHT	
12607	6/29/2010	KIM	DECKER	
12607	6/29/2010	RANDY	DECKER	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHERINE	DEERFIELD	
12761	7/22/2010	DARLENE	DEERING	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKEL	DEGAGNE	
12761	7/22/2010	RAY	DEHLER	
13292	7/2/2010	RAY	DEHLER	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	DEJONG	
12761	7/22/2010	NACOLE	DEJONG	
12761	7/22/2010	TROY	DELLES	
13292	7/2/2010	ESPERANZA	DEMARCO	
12946	10/10/2010	JANA	DEMARTINI	
12761	7/22/2010	DAN	DEMPSEY	
12781	7/29/2010	HAL	DENGERINK	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, VANCOUVER
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	DENNY	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUGLAS	DENTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JANET	DENTON	
12761	7/22/2010	DARON	DEONIES	
13001	11/3/2010	HEATHER	DERINGER	
12678	6/5/2010	JOHN R	DEROSI	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	DERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIA	DERRY	
12607	6/29/2010	CLIFF	DESCLOUX	
12607	6/29/2010	SHELBY	DESCLOUX	
12678	6/5/2010	LAURIE S	DESROCHERS	
12761	7/22/2010	SHANNON	DEVITO	
13292	7/2/2010	SETH	DEXTER	
13309	12/15/2009	COLETTE M	DHANENS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	CJ	DI PIETRO	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIA	DI PIETRO	
12822	8/31/2010	RAYMOND	DICKSON	
12822	8/31/2010	THERESA	DICKSON	
12611	7/13/2010	CINDY	DIETEL	
12611	7/13/2010	RICHARD	DIETEL	
13016	10/10/2010	GEORGE T	DILL	
13187	11/10/2010	GEORGE T	DILL	
12683	2/18/2010	KEVIN	DILLINGER	
12683	2/18/2010	RENA	DILLINGER	
12689	2/19/2010	RENA	DILLINGER	
13000	11/3/2010	ARNOLD E	DINGLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	JEANNIE	DIPIETRA	
12607	6/29/2010	KYLE	DIRAINO	
12966	10/20/2010	ALEX	DIXON	
12982	10/27/2010	ALEX	DIXON	
12761	7/22/2010	TAMMY	DIXON	
12710	8/3/2010	RUTH M	DOIRON	
12761	7/22/2010	VICKY	DOMBEK	
13285	7/2/2010	VICKY	DOMBEK	
13188	11/11/2010	JOHN	DOMBEK III	
12607	6/29/2010	JEREMIAH	DON	
12607	6/29/2010	CHRISTINE	DONAHUE	
12607	6/29/2010	JOE	DONAHUE	
12761	7/22/2010		DONALL	
12606	6/29/2010	DAN	DONOVAN	
12607	6/29/2010	SHERRY	DOOLEY	
12859	9/8/2010	DARLENE	DORCHEUS	
12812	8/31/2010	DAVID D	DOSCH	
12540	2/2/2010	ROXANNE	DOTY	
12655	7/13/2010	ROXANNE	DOTY	
12678	6/5/2010	ROXANNE	DOTY	
12540	2/2/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12607	6/29/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12655	7/13/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12658	7/13/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12678	6/5/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12955	9/16/2010	WILLIAM	DOTY	
12761	7/22/2010	IRWIN	DOUGHERTY	
13251	7/19/2010	CHARLES	DOWD	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12616	7/13/2010	JOE	DOWNING	
12538	1/25/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12546	2/1/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12576	1/25/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12586	2/8/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12668	7/13/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12678	6/5/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12686	4/10/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12761	7/22/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12774	8/20/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12834	9/9/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12905	9/13/2010	ROSE MARIE	DOWNING	
12538	1/25/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12546	2/1/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12576	1/25/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12586	2/8/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12668	7/13/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12678	6/5/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12686	4/10/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12761	7/22/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12774	8/20/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12834	9/9/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12905	9/13/2010	WES	DOWNING	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	DOWNS	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	DOWNS	
12607	6/29/2010	ELIZABETH	DRISCOH	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	DROREY	
12761	7/22/2010	RANDY	DUBOFF	
12678	6/5/2010	CHARLES E	DUKE	
12900	9/17/2010	RICK	DUNCAN	
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	DUNFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT	DUNFORD	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIDGET	DUNHAM	
12607	6/29/2010	MARY	DUNN	
12623	7/13/2010	MARY	DUNN	
12678	6/5/2010	MARY L	DUNN	
12678	6/5/2010	MICHAEL	DUNN	
12761	7/22/2010	KENNETH	DURCK	
12678	6/5/2010	GREG M	DUVIC	
12761	7/22/2010	ALAN	EARL	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	STEVEN	EASON	
12950	9/16/2010	DICK	EASTER	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERTA M	EASTER	
12761	7/22/2010	GEORGE	EATON	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	EATON	
12761	7/22/2010	BRAD	EDGERTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JANICE	EDGERTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JONATHAN	EDGERTON	
12761	7/22/2010	KEN L	EDWARDS	
12953	9/16/2010	KEN L	EDWARDS	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	EDWARDS	
12689	2/19/2010	PENNY	EDWARDS	
12761	7/22/2010	RALPH	EDWARDS	
12678	6/5/2010	LLOYD	EETLICK	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	EGBERT	
12761	7/22/2010	RACHEL	EGGERTSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	SHANE	EICHSTADT	
12755	8/12/2010	BARBARA	EIGNER	KWIK CENTER LLC
12760	8/17/2010	BARBARA	EIGNER	KWIK CENTER LLC
12980	10/25/2010	BARBARA	EIGNER	KWIK CENTER LLC
12607	6/29/2010	JOHN H	EISEMAN	
12724	8/5/2010	TOM J	ELHARDT	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	ELKIN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID	ELLES	
12578	1/25/2010	DONNA	ELLIS	
12678	6/5/2010	DUSTIN	ELLIS	
12578	1/25/2010	R	ELLIS	
12607	6/29/2010	CHRIS	ELMER	
12588	2/22/2010	MITCH	ELROD	
12607	6/29/2010	SHAWNLYN	ELTON	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIGETTE	EMERV	
12676	12/31/2009	JOHN L	EMMETT	
12981	10/13/2010	KIM	ENARSON	
13043	10/30/2010	DARLA	ENG	
12761	7/22/2010	KEN	ENGLISH	
12761	7/22/2010	COLLEEN	ENGSTROM	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDY	ENGSTROM	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES C	ENGSTROM JR	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12822	8/31/2010	KEVIN	EPP	
12822	8/31/2010	SARAH	EPP	
12929	9/9/2010	MACK	EPPERSON	
12678	6/5/2010	LORIN	ERICKSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	CALVIN	ERICKSON	
12761	7/22/2010	LILA	ERICKSON	
12726	8/6/2010	LORI M	ERICKSON	
12607	6/29/2010	MEL	ERICKSON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	ERICKSON	
12678	6/5/2010	NADA	ESCUE	
12761	7/22/2010	IRENE	ESLUND	
12795	8/27/2010	ORVILLE D	ESTEB	
12761	7/22/2010	DON	EVANS	
12681	2/18/2010	DONALD J	EVANS	
12689	2/19/2010	DONALD J	EVANS	
12681	2/18/2010	LINDA J	EVANS	
12689	2/19/2010	LINDA J	EVANS	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	EVANS	
12761	7/22/2010	YVONNE	EVANS	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVE	EVENETT	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD	EVERETT	
12607	6/29/2010	DOUGLAS E.	FACUNAY	
12990	11/1/2010	KEMP C	FAIRBANKS II	
13043	10/30/2010	JANALL	FANSON	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH	FARRELL	
12761	7/22/2010	SIHEIK	FARRELL	
12761	7/22/2010	RICK	FEASE	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHANIE	FECHT	
12607	6/29/2010	MARIT	FEDERCELL	
12995	11/1/2010	KAREN A	FERGUSON	
12607	6/29/2010	RHONDA	FERGUSON	
12607	6/29/2010	TOM	FERGUSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BRENT	FERNANDEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	FLOR	FERNANDEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	FERNANDEZ	
12607	6/29/2010	SANDRA	FERNEDING	
12678	6/5/2010	SANDRA	FERNEDING	
12761	7/22/2010	ELDEN	FERRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGIE	FERRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	FIELDS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12634	7/13/2010	PATRICK	FILES	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	FILIPCZAK	
12607	6/29/2010	ARNOLD K	FILLIS	
12607	6/29/2010	CARMEN L	FILLIS	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISSY	FINDLAY	
12607	6/29/2010	KELLY D	FINK	
12698	12/23/2009	BARRY A	FINKEL	
12761	7/22/2010	DOTTIE	FINLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	CARRIE	FIRESTONE	
12678	6/5/2010	JOHN	FIRETON	
12761	7/22/2010	SUE	FIRMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	ABIGAIL	FISCHER	
12689	2/19/2010	ALICE	FISCHER	
12689	2/19/2010	CHARLES	FISCHER	
12678	6/5/2010	DEBBIE L	FISCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	ERIC	FISCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	NICOLE	FISCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIAN	FISHER	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA JO	FISHER	
12678	6/5/2010	CALVIN	FITZGERALD	
12554	2/3/2010	DAN	FLEGEL	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTIN	FLEISCHAUER	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK A	FLEISCHAUER	
12761	7/22/2010	MATTHEW	FLEISCHAUER	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELE	FLEISCHMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	FLERCHINGER	
12633	7/13/2010	CHARLES	FLICK	
12761	7/22/2010	ANDREW	FLOWERS	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	FORD	
12933	8/30/2010	KEENE	FORNEY	
12808	8/31/2010	DAWN M	FORSYTH	
12761	7/22/2010	COLLEEN	FOSTER	
12761	7/22/2010	JEANNE	FOSTER	
12783	6/25/2010	JEANNE	FOSTER	
12761	7/22/2010	GLEN R	FOWLER	
12665	7/13/2010	EARL T	FOYTACK	
12761	7/22/2010	HEIDI	FRANICH-UNTZ	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN R	FRANKEL	
12789	7/19/2010	ANN R	FRANKEL	
12761	7/22/2010	ED	FRANKEL	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12790	7/19/2010	ED	FRANKEL	
12761	7/22/2010	DEANNA	FRASIER	
12607	6/29/2010	NITA	FRASIER	
12761	7/22/2010	RICKY	FRASIER	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	FREE	
12761	7/22/2010	RANDALL	FREE	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	FREEDING	
12761	7/22/2010	LARRY	FRIESEN	
12761	7/22/2010	TED	FROEBER	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	FROHLICH	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	FROHLICH	
12607	6/29/2010	PAMELA	FROST	
12761	7/22/2010	RHONDA	FROST	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	FROST	
12761	7/22/2010	ANDREW	FUERSTENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	AUSTYN	FUERSTENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	JOSEPH	FUERSTENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE	FUERSTENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	FULMER	
12761	7/22/2010	BRAD	GABLE	
13290	7/2/2010	BRAD	GABLE	
12761	7/22/2010	KATE	GABLE	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNNE	GALBALLY	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	GALE	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	GALLUCCI	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULA	GALLUCCI	
12761	7/22/2010	HARRY	GAMBLE	
12761	7/22/2010	TERRI	GAMBLE	
12719	8/4/2010	KELLY J M	GARDNER	
12607	6/29/2010	VALERIE	GARDNER	
12607	6/29/2010	WARREN	GARDNER	
12607	6/29/2010	CYNTHIA	GARE	
12607	6/29/2010	AARON S	GARNER	
12967	10/19/2010	AARON S	GARNER	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	GATY	
12761	7/22/2010	HEIDI	GAYLOR	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSICA	GEFFIN	
12761	7/22/2010	GERY	GEHRMANN	
12761	7/22/2010	DIANE	GELORMINO	
13313	12/14/2009	CHRISTINE	GELRICK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12873	8/30/2010	DAN	GEORGE	
12607	6/29/2010	DAN	GERGES	
12676	12/31/2009	JOHN "JACK"	GERSTKEMPER	
12565	3/24/2010	JOHN	GESSNER	CITY OF FAIRVIEW
12761	7/22/2010	HEIDI	GHAY	
12596	5/12/2010	JOANNA	GIACOMAZZI	
12761	7/22/2010	JOANNA	GIACOMAZZI	
12761	7/22/2010	AARON	GIBSON	
12651	7/13/2010	MICHAEL	GIBSON	
12607	6/29/2010	NOELLE	GIESE	
12678	6/5/2010	TONY	GIESE	
13043	10/30/2010	CHRIS	GILBERT	
12678	6/5/2010	IDA	GILBERT	
12761	7/22/2010	GORDON	GILFILIAN	
12544	2/22/2010	DAVID	GILROY	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	GILROY	
12761	7/22/2010	R.	GLANK	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	GLASS	
13250	7/19/2010	DAVID	GLASS	
12663	7/13/2010	ELANA D	GLASS	
12761	7/22/2010	ELANA D	GLASS	
13299	7/2/2010	ELANA D	GLASS	
12761	7/22/2010	GRAHAM	GLASS	
13299	7/2/2010	GRAHAM	GLASS	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDY	GLASS	
13250	7/19/2010	JUDY	GLASS	
13299	7/2/2010	KIRA	GLASS	
13182	11/9/2010	NADA	GOHEEN-ESCUE	
12673	1/4/2010	PETER	GOLDMARK	
12761	7/22/2010	LESLIE	GONEAU	
12761	7/22/2010	JOE	GONZALES	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD A	GONZALES	
12761	7/22/2010	CONNIE	GOOCH	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	GOODIN	
12971	10/22/2010	YVETTE S	GOODRICH	
12761	7/22/2010	RACHEL	GORMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DELORES	GOSH	
12761	7/22/2010	MITCH	GRAGG	
12761	7/22/2010	WALT	GRAGG	
13021	10/29/2010	ROSS E	GRAHAM	WEYERHAEUSER

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12678	6/5/2010	TONY	GRAHAM	
12607	6/29/2010	JAN M	GRANT	
12607	6/29/2010	RUSSELL J	GRATTAN	
12761	7/22/2010	ELIZABETH	GRAUER	
13271	7/2/2010	ELIZABETH	GRAUER	
13292	7/2/2010	ELIZABETH	GRAUER	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	GRAVEU	
12555	3/22/2010	BRUCE	GREENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	GREENBERG	
13281	7/15/2010	BRUCE	GREENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	KARLY	GREENBERG	
12555	3/22/2010	KATHY L	GREENBERG	
13262	7/2/2010	KATHY L	GREENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDSAY	GREENBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	GREER	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIE	GREY	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIE	GRIFFEY	
12761	7/22/2010	KAMALA	GRIFFITH	
12607	6/29/2010	RONDA	GRIFFITH	
12761	7/22/2010	JOSH	GROBALL	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSE	GROBELL	
12792	8/24/2010	JOHN	GROSBOLL	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	GROSS	
12599	1/12/2010	ERIN E	GROVER	
12761	7/22/2010	LEON	GROVER	
12761	7/22/2010	GARY W	GRUMLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	COURTLEIGH	GUERCI	
13276	7/2/2010	COURTLEIGH	GUERCI	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN M	GUERCI	
13276	7/2/2010	JAN M	GUERCI	
12928	8/17/2010	SUSAN	GUY	
12928	8/17/2010	WALTER	GUY	
12761	7/22/2010	THOMAS	GWOREK	
12761	7/22/2010	ELLEN	GYBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE A	HAACK	
12607	6/29/2010	GARY	HAAG	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK R	HAATA	
12607	6/29/2010	ELIZABETH	HADDAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KENNETH	HADLEY	
13043	10/30/2010	DAVE	HAFER	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	RON	HAGELGANZ	
12761	7/22/2010	KEN	HAHL	
13043	10/30/2010	TODD A	HALEY	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE	HALFLULL	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	HALKER	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD	HALL	
12761	7/22/2010	HELEN	HALL	
12761	7/22/2010	JANE	HALL	
12678	6/5/2010	JOAN	HALL	
12761	7/22/2010	RONALD	HALL	
12678	6/5/2010	JENNIFER	HALME	
12607	6/29/2010	MARY	HALVERSON	
13292	7/2/2010	SHAWN	HAMBURG	
12852	8/30/2010	LIZ	HAMILTON	
12761	7/22/2010	GERALD	HAMMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	MIRIAM	HAMMER	
12923	10/2/2010	MIKE	HAMMERQUIST	
12923	10/2/2010	THERESA	HAMMERQUIST	
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICIA	HAMMILL	
12761	7/22/2010	MITCHELL R	HAMMITT	
12761	7/22/2010	GARETH	HAMMON	
12607	6/29/2010	THOMAS J	HAMRICH	
12678	6/5/2010	THOMAS J	HAMRICH	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGUERITTE	HAMTING	
12607	6/29/2010	VICKIE	HANCOCK	
13284	7/15/2010	WILLIAM F	HANELY	
12761	7/22/2010	DEWEY	HANEY	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	HANLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	HILDE	HANLEY	
13284	7/15/2010	HILDE	HANLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	HANLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	SHERRY	HANSEN	
12678	6/5/2010	CHARLES	HANTHO	
12678	6/5/2010	MARTHA	HANTHO	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	HANXLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	AMANDA	HAPER	
12761	7/22/2010	AMOREENA	HAPKE	
12746	8/10/2010	ROBERT	HARDY	
12761	7/22/2010	KATE	HARKER	
12563	5/11/2010	JAMES A	HARO	ANOTHER WAY BPA

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES A	HARO	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12563	5/11/2010	MARIAN	HARO	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIAN	HARO	
12761	7/22/2010	REX	HAROLD	
12761	7/22/2010	MELIRATT	HARONA	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL C	HARPOLE	
13302	7/2/2010	BILL C	HARPOLE	
12761	7/22/2010	PHILIPPINA	HARPOLE	
13302	7/2/2010	PHILIPPINA	HARPOLE	
12547	2/26/2010	JAMES	HARRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	HARRIS	
13272	7/2/2010	JAMES	HARRIS	
12607	6/29/2010	KURT	HARRIS	
12678	6/5/2010	KURT	HARRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	LOU M	HARRIS	
12547	2/26/2010	MARY J	HARRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY J	HARRIS	
13272	7/2/2010	MARY J	HARRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	HARRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	VICKY	HARRIS	
12607	6/29/2010	CANDY L	HARRISON	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	HARSHBORGER	
12761	7/22/2010	R.	HART	
12761	7/22/2010	BRANT	HARWOOD	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBORAH	HARWOOD	
12761	7/22/2010	APRIL	HATFIELD	
12607	6/29/2010	ARNOLD	HATFIELD	
12678	6/5/2010	BYRON	HATFIELD	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	HATFIELD	
12761	7/22/2010	BETTY	HAUSER	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTA	HAVEN	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	HAWKES	
12607	6/29/2010	RONALD R	HAWKINS	
12684	2/18/2010	CYNTHIA	HAWLEY	
12689	2/19/2010	CYNTHIA	HAWLEY	
12684	2/18/2010	DAVID	HAWLEY	
12689	2/19/2010	DAVID	HAWLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	DONALD	HAWLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN M	HAY	
12761	7/22/2010	CRAIG	HAY	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	HAYENGA	
12858	9/8/2010	JOHN	HAYENGA	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN	HAYENGA	
12761	7/22/2010	SUE	HAYLER	
12607	6/29/2010	KAREN D	HAYS	
12761	7/22/2010	JACK	HEAD	
12761	7/22/2010	ROSE	HEAD	
12523	12/13/2009	JON	HEARRON	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	HEATER	
13257	6/18/2010	DENNIS	HECK	
12678	6/5/2010	ERIC	HEFFENTRAGER	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	HEGNA	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTI	HEICK	
12761	7/22/2010	LOWELL	HEIL	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	HEINRICHS	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	HENARIE	
12588	2/22/2010	THOMAS	HENDRICK	
12572	5/12/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
12707	7/28/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
12711	8/3/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
12733	7/29/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
12879	8/31/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
13041	7/28/2010	MARGARET A	HENDRIX	
12572	5/12/2010	PHILLIP	HENDRIX	
12761	7/22/2010	DENISE	HERBERT	
12689	2/19/2010	MARLENE	HERGET	
12761	7/22/2010	GENE	HERING	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	HERMES	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDSAY	HERNANDEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	VERONICA	HERNANDEZ	
12986	10/28/2010	ROBERT	HERNDON	
12761	7/22/2010	GREGG	HERRINGTON	
13279	7/7/2010	GREGG	HERRINGTON	
12570	5/12/2010	LINDA	HERRINGTON	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	HERRINGTON	
13279	7/7/2010	LINDA	HERRINGTON	
12607	6/29/2010	BLAKE	HERSEY	
12607	6/29/2010	MARVIN F	HERSEY	
12761	7/22/2010	ELISE	HESEL TILE	
12761	7/22/2010	LOU	HESSMAN	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12541	1/11/2010	CINDY	HESTER	
12541	1/11/2010	LOREN	HESTER	
12761	7/22/2010	BECKY	HIBBS	
13043	10/30/2010	DAVID	HICKEY	
13043	10/30/2010	KRISTI	HICKEY	
12761	7/22/2010	MARION B	HICKLE	
12730	8/6/2010	JENNIFER	HICKS	
13014	11/8/2010	JENNIFER	HICKS	
12761	7/22/2010	CARL	HIGGINS	
12761	7/22/2010	CLIFFORD	HIGGINS	
12932	9/9/2010	FLORENCE	HIGHTOWER	
12865	9/8/2010	CHERYL	HILL	
12591	5/12/2010	DALE E	HILLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DALE E	HILLMAN	
13258	7/2/2010	DALE E	HILLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	ERIN	HILLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	HILLMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	MICHELE L	HILLMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	THOMAS	HILLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	POKSIL	HIMRICH	
12761	7/22/2010	RICK	HIMRICH	
12678	6/5/2010	K. F.	HINTON	
12877	8/31/2010	LOLA	HISSMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	HLADKY	
12761	7/22/2010	NADINE	HOBSON	
12761	7/22/2010	ROGER	HOBSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BELL	HOGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	CINDI	HOGAN	
13185	11/9/2010	CINDI	HOGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DELL	HOGAN	
13185	11/9/2010	DELL	HOGAN	
12678	6/5/2010	MARY K	HOIME	
12678	6/5/2010	THOMAS R	HOIME	
12761	7/22/2010	KERRI	HOLDEN	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	HOLLARD	
12761	7/22/2010	TRAVIS	HOLLARD	
12761	7/22/2010	DON	HOLLIDAY	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVE	HOLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	BRAD	HOLTEN	
13269	7/16/2010	BRAD	HOLTEN	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13292	7/2/2010	BRAD	HOLTEN	
13269	7/16/2010	DAVID	HOLTEN	
13269	7/16/2010	JORENE	HOLTEN	
13269	7/16/2010	KELLY	HOLTEN	
13269	7/16/2010	MARIA	HOLTEN	
12675	1/5/2010	JIM	HONEYFORD	
12715	8/4/2010	KATHERINE	HOOD	
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	HOOK	
12607	6/29/2010	JESSE E	HOPE	
12761	7/22/2010	ELENA	HOPPER	
12678	6/5/2010	MARIE	HOUCK	
12678	6/5/2010	TODD L	HOUCK	
12678	6/5/2010	DAVID	HOUSE	
12761	7/22/2010	PEGGY	HOUSE	
12761	7/22/2010	DOOP	HOUSED	
12761	7/22/2010	JASON	HOUSER	
12983	10/28/2010	JOHN S	HOWARD	
12761	7/22/2010	VIENNA	HOWARD	
12761	7/22/2010	CERI	HOWELL	
12761	7/22/2010	LESA	HOWELL	
12761	7/22/2010	NICOLE	HOWELL	
12822	8/31/2010	JAMES D	HOWSLEY	MILLER NASH LLP
12761	7/22/2010	GRETCHEN	HOY	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	HRELL	
12761	7/22/2010	TONIA	HRELLA	
12558	4/17/2010	JIM	HUBBARD	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	HUBBARD	
12558	4/17/2010	PATTI	HUBBARD	
12761	7/22/2010	PATTI	HUBBARD	
12607	6/29/2010	ANN C	HUDDLESTON	
12747	8/10/2010	GLENN	HUDSON	
12819	9/2/2010	GLENN	HUDSON	
12845	8/30/2010	GLENN	HUDSON	
12622	7/13/2010	JENIFER	HUDSON	
12756	7/29/2010	DENNY	HUFFMAN	
12868	9/8/2010	JOHN	HUFFMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELE C	HUFFMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	BOB	HUFSCHMID	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNN	HUFSCHMID	
13179	11/11/2010	LYNN	HUFSCHMID	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	ANDRA	HUGHES	
12678	6/5/2010	ANN MARIE	HUGHES	
12761	7/22/2010	MARISSA	HUGHES	
12761	7/22/2010	TOM	HUGHES	
13300	7/2/2010	STEVEN A	HULL	
12761	7/22/2010	BARB	HULTON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHENA	HUNTINER	
12761	7/22/2010	DIANA	HUNTSINGER	
13005	10/29/2010	HARRY	HURLESS	
13043	10/30/2010	MARG	HUSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHEILA	HUSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	KEN	HUTCHENS	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	HUTCHENS	
12761	7/22/2010	LORI	HUTCHISON	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	HUTCHISON	
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT	HUTCHISON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHIRLEY	HUYETTE	
12564	5/24/2010	JUDITH	IBBS	
12607	6/29/2010	JUDITH	IBBS	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDITH	IBBS	
12784	7/12/2010	JUDITH	IBBS	
13252	7/19/2010	JUDITH	IBBS	
12761	7/22/2010	EMEKA	IKENZE	
12761	7/22/2010	MISTY	ILAC	
12607	6/29/2010	PATRICK	INGRAM	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	INMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	MONIKA	ITZEN	
12607	6/29/2010	GEORGIA	JACKSON	
12761	7/22/2010	GREGORY	JACKSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDY	JACKSON	
13292	7/2/2010	YVONNE	JACKSON	
12607	6/29/2010	DANIEL	JACOBS	
12607	6/29/2010	LINDA	JACOBS	
12761	7/22/2010	RHEA	JACOBSON	
12549	2/5/2010	STEPHEN	JACOBSON	
12607	6/29/2010	KAREN	JAKEL	
12761	7/22/2010	MADISON	JAMES	
12761	7/22/2010	PAMELA	JAMES	
12638	7/13/2010	DENISE	JARRELL	
13043	10/30/2010	AMY	JASKOVIK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13043	10/30/2010	PAUL	JASKOVIAK	
12761	7/22/2010	FRANCIE	JAYLIR	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMMIE	JAYLIR	
12652	7/13/2010	SCOTT	JEFFRIES	
12761	7/22/2010	BETH	JENNER	
12761	7/22/2010	DULCEY	JENNISON- BUSTILLOS	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	JENSEN	
13043	10/30/2010	DENNIS K	JEZESKI	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH	JOHNS	
12761	7/22/2010	ANDREW	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BILLIE	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BLAINE	JOHNSON	
13019	10/29/2010	CYNTHIA	JOHNSON	
13028	11/11/2010	DAVID M	JOHNSON	
12799	8/30/2010	JANIE	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	KAROLYN	JOHNSON	
12552	1/22/2010	KATHLEEN A	JOHNSON	COWLITZ COUNTY
12754	8/10/2010	KATHLEEN A	JOHNSON	COWLITZ COUNTY
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTY L	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	JOHNSON	
13275	7/17/2010	LISA	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MINDY	JOHNSON	
12607	6/29/2010	NICHOLAS K	JOHNSON	
12799	8/30/2010	PHILLIP	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	JOHNSON	
12761	7/22/2010	RICK	JOHNSON	
13275	7/17/2010	RICK	JOHNSON	
12682	2/18/2010	TONIA	JOHNSON	
12689	2/19/2010	TONIA	JOHNSON	
12922	10/1/2010	WALTER	JOHNSON	
12678	6/5/2010	THERESA	JOHNSON- HABER	
12761	7/22/2010	ANGELA	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JAY	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	LEROY	JOHNSTON	JOHNSTON DAIRY, LLC
13247	7/17/2010	LEROY	JOHNSTON	JOHNSTON DAIRY, LLC
12761	7/22/2010	LYNN	JOHNSTON	JOHNSTON DAIRY, LLC

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	JOHNSTON	
13248	7/15/2010	MARY	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBYN	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	SHELIA	JOHNSTON	
12761	7/22/2010	FRANCES	JOLER	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	JOLY	
12626	7/13/2010	AMANDA	JONES	
12678	6/5/2010	CANDACE	JONES	
12761	7/22/2010	JANE	JONES	
12574	1/11/2010	MATTHEW WILLIAM	JONES	
12577	1/22/2010	MATTHEW WILLIAM	JONES	
12581	2/2/2010	MATTHEW WILLIAM	JONES	
12582	1/22/2010	MATTHEW WILLIAM	JONES	
12607	6/29/2010	MATTHEW WILLIAM	JONES	
12678	6/5/2010	RICHARD	JONES	
12678	6/5/2010	PAUL	JONSSON	
12689	2/19/2010	DWIGHT A	JOPLIN	
12761	7/22/2010	TONI M	JORDAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DON	JUDY	
12654	7/13/2010	MARTHA L	JUHOLA	
12670	7/13/2010	MARTHA L	JUHOLA	
12761	7/22/2010	PENNY	JUNE	
12776	8/21/2010	JESSICA	JUNGWORTH	
12998	10/27/2010	TIM	JUPP	
12855	9/8/2010	MORGINE	JURDAN	
12607	6/29/2010	GAIL	JURGENS	
12761	7/22/2010	KATIE	KADLEC	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	KADLEC	
12761	7/22/2010	PAT	KAGI	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBIN	KAGI	
12761	7/22/2010	R J	KALETA	
12769	8/11/2010	DENNIS	KAMPE	
12607	6/29/2010	JANE	KANE	
13037	11/15/2010	KIT K	KANEKOA	
12678	6/5/2010	STEVE	KANGAS	
12607	6/29/2010	ARIEL	KARBRICK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12637	7/13/2010	REBECCA A	KARLSON	
12607	6/29/2010	CR	KASER	
12822	8/31/2010	DON	KATES	
12761	7/22/2010	CONNIE	KAY	
12720	8/4/2010	LISA M	KAYSER	
13307	12/15/2009	CREIGHTON	KEARNS	
13306	12/16/2009	JOKAY	KEARNS	
13307	12/15/2009	JOKAY	KEARNS	
12728	8/6/2010	JOHN	KEATLEY	
12794	8/24/2010	JOHN	KEATLEY	
12949	9/16/2010	JOHN	KEATLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	SHELLY	KEEN	
12607	6/29/2010	MERETA	KEENE	
12761	7/22/2010		KEITH	
12761	7/22/2010	MELITTA	KELLE	
12761	7/22/2010	MELETTE	KELLER	
12678	6/5/2010	BLAINE	KELLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	JEANNETTE	KELLEY	
12678	6/5/2010	JOHN E	KELLEY	
12809	8/31/2010	CHUCK	KELLOGG	
12761	7/22/2010	SUZANNE	KELLY	
13292	7/2/2010	WILLIAM	KELLY	
12607	6/29/2010	DENNESE	KELSAY	
12678	6/5/2010	TODD	KELSAY	
12607	6/29/2010	J	KEMP	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN E	KENCK	
12987	10/29/2010	J. C.	KENNEDY	
12988	10/29/2010	J. C.	KENNEDY	
12989	10/29/2010	J. C.	KENNEDY	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	KENNISON	
12880	8/31/2010	CHRISTINA	KENOSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	KENOSKI	
12878	8/31/2010	SHARON	KENOSKI	
12607	6/29/2010	TONI S	KENT	
12761	7/22/2010	DAY	KERIKOID	
12761	7/22/2010	BEVERLY	KERRAS	
12761	7/22/2010	JANET	KESLER	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN	KIELY	
12761	7/22/2010	MELISSA	KIMBALL	
12761	7/22/2010	MILLIE	KIMERY	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JACK	KIMMINS	
12607	6/29/2010	DANIEL	KINCADE	
13044	11/15/2010	BARBARA	KING	
12607	6/29/2010	BRET	KING	
12761	7/22/2010	JERALD	KING	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSICA	KING	
12678	6/5/2010	NITA	KING	
12862	9/8/2010	NITA	KING	
12607	6/29/2010	SANFORD	KING	
12678	6/5/2010	SANFORD	KING	
12862	9/8/2010	SANFORD	KING	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHANIE	KING	
12607	6/29/2010	THOMAS	KINGDON	
12615	12/14/2009	GEORGE H	KINSEY	
12761	7/22/2010	GEORGE H	KINSEY	
12818	9/2/2010	GEORGE H	KINSEY	
12836	9/10/2010	GEORGE H	KINSEY	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURIE	KINSEY	
12761	7/22/2010	GARY	KIRBY	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	KIRBY	
12607	6/29/2010	MAUREEN	KIRSCH	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTINA	KISILITSA	
12761	7/22/2010	BRANDI	KITTERMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	TIFFANY	KLAGER	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	KLAUSMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	EMMA	KLEEFSTRA	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNA	KLEIN	
13292	7/2/2010	ANNA	KLEIN	
12761	7/22/2010	WALTER	KLEISE	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIAN	KLINE	
13013	11/5/2010	RALPH	KLINE	
12761	7/22/2010	VERONICA	KLINE	
12761	7/22/2010	DANNY	KLINGMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	KNAPP	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	KNAPP	
12761	7/22/2010	STUART	KNIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	SUZANNE	KNOWLES	
12678	6/5/2010	KIMBERLY	KNUDSON	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK	KNUDSON	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12767	8/18/2010	WARREN	KNUTH	CLARK REGIONAL WASTEWATER DISTRICT
12761	7/22/2010	LOUANN	KOEHLER	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNE	KOGY	
12761	7/22/2010	CARL	KOGY	
12761	7/22/2010	E	KOGY	
12994	10/30/2010	MILAN	KOKTA	
12761	7/22/2010	NATALY	KOLAMIYETS	
12761	7/22/2010	VADIM	KOLAMIYETS	
12761	7/22/2010	PENNY	KONNIERKO	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT S	KORELL	
12689	2/19/2010	TAMARA	KOST	
12678	6/5/2010	PETER	KRAAKMAN	
12768	8/19/2010	KEN	KRAISLER	
12793	8/24/2010	KEN	KRAISLER	KASKILLAH,KASKILLAH SUBDIVISION
12822	8/31/2010	KEN	KRAISLER	KASKILLAH,KASKILLAH SUBDIVISION
13181	11/9/2010	KEN M	KRAISLER	
13296	7/2/2010	KEN M	KRAISLER	
12678	6/5/2010	ROBERT	KRAMER	
12761	7/22/2010	DON	KREBS	
12761	7/22/2010	MAXINE	KREBS	
12761	7/22/2010	KERT	KRISSE	
12678	6/5/2010	DEBRA	KRUEGER	
12761	7/22/2010	ZANE	KRUEGER	
12761	7/22/2010	VIRGINIA	KRUZHKOVA	
12959	9/16/2010	JILL R	KURTZ	
12547	2/26/2010	RANJIT	KURUP	
12761	7/22/2010	RANJIT	KURUP	
13274	7/22/2010	RANJIT	KURUP	
12607	6/29/2010	MIKE	KUSCHEL	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	KUSSMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	STEVE	KUYATT	
12761	7/22/2010	NATHAN	KUZMA	
12761	7/22/2010	TRACI	KUZMA	
12678	6/5/2010	GARY	KVALHEIM	
12761	7/22/2010	GUAN	KWEE	
12761	7/22/2010	DOREEN	KYLER	
13017	10/31/2010	GLENN	KYLLO	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13017	10/31/2010	SHELLEY	KYLLO	
12761	7/22/2010	DENISE	LA LANDE	
12761	7/22/2010	GWEN M	LAKEY	
12528	1/11/2010	TERESA	LAMARRE	
12662	7/13/2010	TERESA	LAMARRE	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIA	LAMB	
13286	7/14/2010	TWILA	LAMB	
12945	10/9/2010	PAUL	LAMBSHEAD	
12678	6/5/2010	KYLE	LAMFFE	
12609	7/1/2010	LAURA C	LAMM	
12705	7/12/2010	LAURA C	LAMM	
12706	7/21/2010	LAURA C	LAMM	
12705	7/12/2010	LESTER	LAMM	
12607	6/29/2010	GREG	LAND	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK C	LANDES	
12761	7/22/2010	MICKEY	LANE	
12723	8/5/2010	WENDY	LARKIN	
12607	6/29/2010	LINDA A	LARSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	LORI	LARSON	
12761	7/22/2010	PERRY	LARSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	LASSANSKE	
12761	7/22/2010	PAT	LASSANSKE	
12761	7/22/2010	BETTY	LAUCH	
13295	7/2/2010	BETTY	LAUCH	
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL	LAUCH	
13295	7/2/2010	PAUL	LAUCH	
12678	6/5/2010	MOLLIE J	LAWFFER	
12678	6/5/2010	NOEL J	LAWFFER	
12678	6/5/2010	RANDY	LAWFFER	
12693	12/7/2009	JEFF	LAWSON	
12607	6/29/2010	J.E.	LEAHY	
12890	9/12/2010	BETH	LEAS	
12761	7/22/2010	TODD	LEAVITT	
12761	7/22/2010	JOSHUA	LECORN II	
12761	7/22/2010	CHUCK	LEDERER	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	LEDERER	
12761	7/22/2010	AARON	LEE	
12607	6/29/2010	BRIANNA	LEE	
12607	6/29/2010	CORY D	LEE	
12607	6/29/2010	CRYSTAL	LEE	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	MELISSA	LEE	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	LEED	
12607	6/29/2010	CHARLES	LEFFLER	
12678	6/5/2010	TANYA	LEFFLER	
12761	7/22/2010	COLLINS	LEFORE	
12689	2/19/2010	JOHN W	LEHNING	
12761	7/22/2010	PAMELA	LELAND	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD	LEONARD	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	LEONARD	
12761	7/22/2010	JANET	LEOPARD	
12689	2/19/2010	MIDA	LESOLLEN	
12761	7/22/2010	EDWIN	LEUNG	
12579	1/28/2010	CAROL	LEVANEN	CLARK COUNTY CITIZENS UNITED, INC.
12761	7/22/2010	BRAD	LEVERENZ	
12761	7/22/2010	RISA	LEVERENZ	
12761	7/22/2010	BOB	LEVIN	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVE	LEVINE	
12701	12/12/2009	HALY R	LEWIS	
13292	7/2/2010	TIM	LEXOW	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH A	LEY	
12761	7/22/2010	NAO	LEY	
12678	6/5/2010	PAULA	LICOTA	
12761	7/22/2010	PHYLLIS	LIGHTNER	
13176	11/11/2010	PHYLLIS	LIGHTNER	
13177	11/12/2010	PHYLLIS	LIGHTNER	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	LIGHTNER	
12588	2/22/2010	BARBARA A	LIN	
12689	2/19/2010	LEO	LIN	
12761	7/22/2010	APRIL	LINDEN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARTI	LINDHOLM	
12761	7/22/2010	MATTHEW	LINDSAY	
12761	7/22/2010	NATHALIE	LINDSAY	
13268	7/2/2010	GAYLA J	LINGO	
12761	7/22/2010	JOSHUA	LINGO	
12764	5/25/2010	JOSHUA	LINGO	
13215	11/15/2010	JOSHUA	LINGO	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN	LINGO	
12764	5/25/2010	KATHLEEN	LINGO	
12883	8/31/2010	KATHLEEN	LINGO	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13215	11/15/2010	KATHLEEN	LINGO	
12761	7/22/2010	KATIE	LINGO	
13268	7/2/2010	THOMAS J	LINGO	
12761	7/22/2010	LORI	LITTERAL	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	LITTERAL	
13043	10/30/2010	BONNIE	LITTLETON	
12943	10/7/2010	ROBERT W	LITTLETON	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13043	10/30/2010	ROBERT W	LITTLETON	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13291	7/2/2010	ROBERT W	LITTLETON	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12758	8/17/2010	WAYNE N	LIVICK	
12607	6/29/2010	PHILLIP	LLOYD	
12761	7/22/2010	SHANE	LLOYD	
12761	7/22/2010	DOWERTHY	LOCORN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID K	LODIN	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROYLN R	LOFT	
12761	7/22/2010	KATE	LOFTESNESS	
12761	7/22/2010	RANDY	LOFTESNESS	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	LOFTESNESS	
13292	7/2/2010	ABE	LOGAN	
13043	10/30/2010	TIM	LOHNES	
12678	6/5/2010	DANIEL	LOMBARDO	
12761	7/22/2010	TRAVIS	LONDON	
12607	6/29/2010	KEN	LONE	
12761	7/22/2010	COURTNEY	LONG	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN S	LONG	
13298	7/2/2010	JAN S	LONG	
12761	7/22/2010	JANET	LONG	
12761	7/22/2010	KACIE	LONG	
12761	7/22/2010	KENNETH	LONG	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	LONG	
12773	8/20/2010	ROBERT D	LONG	
13015	11/6/2010	LILLY E	LONGSHORE	
12761	7/22/2010	BART	LORI	
12525	6/10/2010	LESLIE M	LOUNSBERRY- BRADY	WITTER/REVESZ FAMILY TREE FARMS
12761	7/22/2010	ANGELA	LOWE	
12761	7/22/2010	G SCOTT	LOWE	
13178	11/11/2010	G SCOTT	LOWE	
12761	7/22/2010	PAT	LOWE	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	LOWE	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13007	11/4/2010	SUSAN	LOWE	
12607	6/29/2010	KULA	LUBECKY	
12607	6/29/2010	LORI	LUCAS	
12761	7/22/2010	SHANNON	LUCAS	
12761	7/22/2010	KIMM	LUIM	
12761	7/22/2010	ANDREW	LUNDGREN	
12761	7/22/2010	ELLE	LUNDGREN	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	LUNDGREN	
12761	7/22/2010	PATTI	LUNDGREN	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	LYNAM	
12761	7/22/2010	THERESA	LYNAM	
12703	12/14/2009	BEVERLY	LYNCH	
12703	12/14/2009	CHARLES	LYNCH	
12607	6/29/2010	CRAIG	LYNCH	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	LYNCH	
12607	6/29/2010	JULIE A.	LYNCH	
12678	6/5/2010	JULIE L	LYNCH	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNNETTE	LYNCH	
12607	6/29/2010	WILLIAM	LYNCH	
12607	6/29/2010	WILLIAM D.	LYNCH	
12761	7/22/2010	PAM	LYONS	
12761	7/22/2010	TOM	LYONS	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	MABOY	
12997	10/22/2010	MEGHAN AMANDA	MAC-RHYANN	
12761	7/22/2010	FRANCIS	MADORE	
12761	7/22/2010	MAURICE	MAGDEL	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSICA	MAHER	
12761	7/22/2010	MATT	MAHER	
12969	10/22/2010	GUDRUN UCOM	MAHRT	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	MALEM	
12607	6/29/2010	JIM	MALINOWSKI	
12702	12/14/2009	JIM	MALINOWSKI	
12867	9/8/2010	JIM	MALINOWSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	MARILYN	MANCHESTER	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	MANCHESTER	
12761	7/22/2010	LILLIAN	MANCINELLI	
12761	7/22/2010	IVAN	MANCINELLI-FRANCONI	
12761	7/22/2010	GEORGE	MANESSIOTIS	
12761	7/22/2010	JANE	MANESSIOTIS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12531	6/2/2010	IVAN	MANICINELLI-FRANCONI	
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT	MANN	
12689	2/19/2010	KAREN	MANS	
12761	7/22/2010	ADENA	MANZER	
12761	7/22/2010	LANETTE	MARCACCI	
12678	6/5/2010	MATTHEW	MARCHAND	
12749	8/10/2010	RANDALL J	MARRS	
12761	7/22/2010	DEE	MARS	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNETTE	MARTIN	
12745	8/9/2010	BLAIRE	MARTIN	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER
12761	7/22/2010	DICK	MARTIN	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	MARTIN	
12529	1/10/2010	RICHARD	MARTIN	
12529	1/10/2010	VICKY	MARTIN	
12761	7/22/2010	GINA	MARTINEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	VICKY	MARTINI	
12842	8/30/2010	MONTE	MARTINSEN	
13038	11/15/2010	ANDREW	MASON	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID W	MASON	
12761	7/22/2010	VERLEE	MASON	
12607	6/29/2010	KARLA	MASSIE	
12678	6/5/2010	KARLA	MASSIE	
12761	7/22/2010	PAMELA	MASSON	
13289	7/2/2010	PAMELA	MASSON	
12761	7/22/2010	TOM	MASSON	
13288	7/2/2010	TOM	MASSON	
12607	6/29/2010	ALEX	MATHERS	
12607	6/29/2010	JEFF	MATSON	
12607	6/29/2010	JENNIFER	MATSON	
12575	1/12/2010	KARL L	MATSON	
12607	6/29/2010	KARL L	MATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	PATTY	MATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	PHYLLIS	MATSON	
12575	1/12/2010	REBECCA	MATSON	KRG, INCORPORATED
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT L	MATSON	
13292	7/2/2010	FLORENCE	MATTHEWS	
12678	6/5/2010	LEE	MATTHEWS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12678	6/5/2010	JEREMY	MATTILA	
13043	10/30/2010	KIRK	MATTILA	
13043	10/30/2010	LAURIE	MATTILA	
12678	6/5/2010	LISA R	MATTILA	
12761	7/22/2010	RACHEL	MATTSON	
12788	7/19/2010	CAROL A	MAULER	
12761	7/22/2010	PERRY	MAUPIN	
12761	7/22/2010	THELMA	MAUPIN	
12761	7/22/2010	NANCY	MAXWELL	
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	MAXWELL	
12761	7/22/2010	ROCHELLE	MAYER	
12607	6/29/2010	CINDY	MAYHAK	
12689	2/19/2010	STEVE	MAZZUCA	
12944	10/9/2010	EDWARD M	MCANINCH	SIERRA CLUB
12957	10/11/2010	EDWYNA	MCANINCH	
12761	7/22/2010	LARKIN	MCBELLAR	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	MCBOYLE	
12607	6/29/2010	LOREEN	MCCAFFERTY	
12555	3/22/2010	LISA	MCCALL	
12761	7/22/2010	KEITH	MCCARTHY	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINE	MCCLURE	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	MCCLURE	
12608	6/30/2010	TIA A	MCCLURG	
12761	7/22/2010	KEN	MCCOLLUM	
12761	7/22/2010	COLIN	MCCORD	
12761	7/22/2010	MARILEE	MCCORD	
12761	7/22/2010	TARA	MCCORMICK	
12761	7/22/2010	KELLY	MCCOY	
12689	2/19/2010	MARCI	MCCOY	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINE	MCDANIEL	
12607	6/29/2010	DAN	MCDANIEL	
12830	5/27/2010	PAT	MCDONNELL	CITY OF VANCOUVER
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICIA	MCDONNELL	
12761	7/22/2010	MAURA	MCELROY	
12761	7/22/2010	LAUREN	MCEUIN	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE	MCFADDEN	
12607	6/29/2010	CASSANDRA	MCGARY	
12607	6/29/2010	JUSTIN	MCGARY	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNN	MCGEEIN	
12689	2/19/2010	CAROL	MCGINLEY	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	KENNETH C	MCGOFFIN	
12607	6/29/2010	KALEB	MCGRAY	
12761	7/22/2010	COREY	MCGUIRE	
13266	7/15/2010	COREY	MCGUIRE	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES S	MCGUIRE	
13266	7/15/2010	KENTON	MCGUIRE	
12761	7/22/2010	RACHEL	MCGUIRE	
13266	7/15/2010	RACHEL	MCGUIRE	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBIN	MCGUIRE	
13266	7/15/2010	ROBIN	MCGUIRE	
13266	7/15/2010	SCOTT	MCGUIRE	
12912	9/20/2010	JENNIFER	MCKEE	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER J	MCKEE	
12607	6/29/2010	JUNE	MCKEE	
12761	7/22/2010	MCKENNA	MCKEE	
12912	9/20/2010	RICHARD	MCKEE	
12961	8/31/2010	RICHARD	MCKEE	
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICK	MCKINSEY	
12761	7/22/2010	CAREY	MCLEAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN B	MCLEOD	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNDA	MCLEOD	
12761	7/22/2010	GARY	MCMERRICK	
12607	6/29/2010	BONNIE	MCNAUGHTON	
12708	7/27/2010	BONNIE	MCNAUGHTON	
12708	7/27/2010	PETER	MCNAUGHTON	
12761	7/22/2010	ROSALIE	MCPHERSON	
12607	6/29/2010	LORI	MCQUAY	
12761	7/22/2010	DEEANN	MEANS	
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT E	MEANS	
12639	7/13/2010	RICHARD	MEDCRAFT	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	MEDCRAFT	
12678	6/5/2010	ROGER	MEDLER	
12761	7/22/2010	J	MEELEY	
12968	10/21/2010	DENNIS	MEIER II	
12607	6/29/2010	KRIS	MEINING	
12761	7/22/2010	LORRIE	MEISNER	
12761	7/22/2010	KEVIN	MEITZ	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	MEITZ	
12761	7/22/2010	MALDREED	MELLER	
12761	7/22/2010	ASHLEY	MELO	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	PETER	MENZA	
13273	7/17/2010	PETER	MENZA	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN	MERL	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	MERL	
12761	7/22/2010	J	MERLINO	
12761	7/22/2010	SHELLY	MERLINO	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	MERRICK	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	MERRICK	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	MERRICK	
12761	7/22/2010	CARRIE	MERRILL	
12761	7/22/2010	DARLENE	MERRILL	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	MERRILL	
12678	6/5/2010	TODD	MESSNER	
12678	6/5/2010	TODD	MESSNER	
12607	6/29/2010	REBECCA	METSON	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	MEYER	
12761	7/22/2010	CARMEN	MEYER	
12574	1/11/2010	DAVID	MEYER	BULLIVANT HOUSE BAILEY ATTORNEY AT LAW
12577	1/22/2010	DAVID	MEYER	BULLIVANT HOUSE BAILEY ATTORNEY AT LAW
12581	2/2/2010	DAVID	MEYER	BULLIVANT HOUSE BAILEY ATTORNEY AT LAW
12582	1/22/2010	DAVID	MEYER	BULLIVANT HOUSE BAILEY ATTORNEY AT LAW
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID	MEYER	BULLIVANT HOUSE BAILEY ATTORNEY AT LAW
12761	7/22/2010	MONICA	MEYER	
12644	7/13/2010	NOLAN	MEYER	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	MEYER	
12761	7/22/2010	SHAWNA	MEYER-RAVELLI	
13292	7/2/2010	BERNADINE	MICHALEK	
12761	7/22/2010	JAY	MICHEL	
12761	7/22/2010	RONNIE	MICHEL	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	MIEGER	
12607	6/29/2010	GLORIA	MIELKE	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	MIFFLIN-BRODAHL	
12672	7/13/2010	MARK	MILACEK	
12857	9/8/2010	ROGER L	MILES	
12761	7/22/2010	SHANNON	MILES	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	TODD	MILES	
12761	7/22/2010	HEATHER	MILLAR	
12526	6/10/2010	TERRY	MILLARD	
12761	7/22/2010	CINDI	MILLER	
12761	7/22/2010	GREGORY S.	MILLER	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA A	MILLER	
12652	7/13/2010	MARY	MILLER	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	MILLER	
12652	7/13/2010	RAY	MILLER	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERT	MILLER	
12761	7/22/2010	ZOE	MILLER	
12636	7/13/2010	JOHN A	MILLS	MILLS FAMILY LLC
12607	6/29/2010	LISA C	MILLS	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	MILLS	
12607	6/29/2010	WILLIAM L	MILLS	
12761	7/22/2010	ELKE	MILTON	
12761	7/22/2010	PETER	MILTON	
12863	9/8/2010	BOLTON C	MINISTER	
12885	8/31/2010	BOLTON C	MINISTER	
12999	10/23/2010	BOLTON C	MINISTER	
12607	6/29/2010	MARC	MINNIEAR	
12607	6/29/2010	TIFFANY	MINTS	
13256	5/6/2010	JIM	MOELLER	LACAMAS CREEK COMMUNITY
12607	6/29/2010	HOLLY	MOER	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSIE	MOHANDESSI	
13186	11/10/2010	JESSIE	MOHANDESSI	
12679	6/15/2010	VICENTE	MOLINOS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12924	9/10/2010	VICENTE	MOLINOS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12925	9/13/2010	VICENTE	MOLINOS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13032	10/26/2010	VICENTE	MOLINOS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13312	12/15/2009	VICENTE	MOLINOS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12607	6/29/2010	CATHY	MONTGOMERY	
12761	7/22/2010	MADILYN	MOON	
12847	8/30/2010	CLINT	MOORE	
12607	6/29/2010	MERLE L	MOORE	
12773	8/20/2010	MERLE L	MOORE	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARI	MOORE	
12678	6/5/2010	TERRY	MORAN	
12607	6/29/2010	DEANNA	MORASCO	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JEAN	MOREN	
12607	6/29/2010	MYRANDA	MORENE	
12607	6/29/2010	RUTH	MORFORD	
12607	6/29/2010	KERRIS	MORGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	MORGAN	
12678	6/5/2010	SHANE	MORGAN	
12678	6/5/2010	STEPHANIE	MORGAN	
12607	6/29/2010	WENDY	MORGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	CHERYL	MORRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	RHEN	MORRIS	
12761	7/22/2010	KATIE	MORRISON	
12678	6/5/2010	R.L.	MORRISON	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL	MORSE	
12761	7/22/2010	ROGER	MORSE	
12898	9/9/2010	SHERRY	MORSE	
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL	MORSETH	
12607	6/29/2010	BRUCE	MORTAR	
12678	6/5/2010	CAROLINE	MOSCHETTI	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLINE	MOSCHETTI	
12896	8/30/2010	COLIN	MOSCHETTI	
12896	8/30/2010	CORI	MOSCHETTI	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUGLAS	MOSCHETTI	
12678	6/5/2010	DON	MOSCHOTTI	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY KAY	MOSKAL	
13297	7/2/2010	MARY KAY	MOSKAL	
12678	6/5/2010	FRANK B.	MOULTON	
13292	7/2/2010	JOHN	MOWERY	
12761	7/22/2010	MONA	MOYER	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM E	MOYER	
12780	7/25/2010	WILLIAM E	MOYER	
12902	9/7/2010	WILLIAM E	MOYER	
13030	11/12/2010	WILLIAM E	MOYER	
13311	12/15/2009	WILLIAM E	MOYER	
12607	6/29/2010	DONNA	MUCCIACCIO	
12761	7/22/2010	SHARON	MUILENBERG	
12648	7/13/2010	KARI	MULLIKIN	
12761	7/22/2010	GLORIA	MUNSTE	
12628	7/13/2010	REBECCA L	MURPHY	
12761	7/22/2010	SHELLEY	MURPHY	
13255	7/13/2010	PATTY	MURRAY	U.S. CONGRESS

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	MURS	
12607	6/29/2010	LONNIE	MUSICH	
12607	6/29/2010	GEORGIA	MUSICK	
12678	6/5/2010	GEORGIA	MUSICK	
12607	6/29/2010	LINDA	MUSICK	
12607	6/29/2010	LONNIE	MUSICK	
12678	6/5/2010	LONNIE	MUSICK	
12607	6/29/2010	TAMI	MUSICK	
12761	7/22/2010	CRISTOFER	MUTH	
12761	7/22/2010	TAMI	MUTH	
12709	8/3/2010	CLAY	MYERS	
12761	7/22/2010	DENNIS	NASH	
12761	7/22/2010	RUTH	NASH	
12761	7/22/2010	CHARLES	NAVY	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLYN	NEAL	
12761	7/22/2010		NEAL	
12761	7/22/2010	TAMMI	NEBLOCK	
12761	7/22/2010	ELISE	NEEL	
12761	7/22/2010	EVERLEY	NEEL	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	NEEL	
12761	7/22/2010	ROGER	NEILSON	
12607	6/29/2010	JIM	NEIMAN	
12917	9/22/2010	JIM	NEIMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDITH	NELLIS	
13002	11/3/2010	AMIE E	NELSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	NELSON	
13277	6/19/2010	KAREN	NELSON	
12555	3/22/2010	KAYE A	NELSON	
12571	5/8/2010	KAYE A	NELSON	
12761	7/22/2010	KAYE A	NELSON	
12607	6/29/2010	MONA	NELSON	
12642	7/13/2010	PAUL	NELSON	
12886	8/31/2010	PAUL	NELSON	
13277	6/19/2010	PAUL	NELSON	
12555	3/22/2010	WILLIAM A	NELSON	
12571	5/8/2010	WILLIAM A	NELSON	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM A	NELSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	NEMETH	
12761	7/22/2010	PEARL	NEMETH	
12918	9/28/2010	MIKE	NERLAND	CAMAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	MADHAV	NERURKAR	
12761	7/22/2010	RUPA	NERURKAR	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	NEWCOMER	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBBIE	NEWCOMER	
12761	7/22/2010	REED	NEWCOMER	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	NEWCOMERE	
12689	2/19/2010	JOSH	NICHOLS	
12649	7/13/2010	KARI	NICHOLS	
12652	7/13/2010	KARI	NICHOLS	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	NICHOLS	
12761	7/22/2010	BOB	NICHOLSON	
12678	6/5/2010	DUSTIN	NICHOLSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY ANN	NICHOLSON	
12678	6/5/2010	SABRINA	NICHOLSON	
12822	8/31/2010	GINA FAYE	NIE	
12822	8/31/2010	JAMES MARTIN	NIE	
12908	9/15/2010	ROMAN V	NIKULIN	
12680	2/18/2010	ANDREA	NIX	
12689	2/19/2010	ANDREA	NIX	
12680	2/18/2010	GLENN	NIX	
12689	2/19/2010	GLENN	NIX	
12761	7/22/2010	TYLER	NOIR	
12761	7/22/2010	ANN	NOKES	
12761	7/22/2010	CHUCK	NOKES	
12689	2/19/2010	ISAAC	NOLLETTE	
12678	6/5/2010	CAROL	NORENBERG	
12607	6/29/2010	MICHAEL	NORENBERG	
12678	6/5/2010	JOANNE E	NORMAN	
13033	10/29/2010	PAT	NORRIS	
13292	7/2/2010	MICHAEL J	NORTON	
12607	6/29/2010	CURTIS	NOVACK	
12607	6/29/2010	DENISE	NOVAK	
12761	7/22/2010	DYLAN	NUNN	
12607	6/29/2010	LUTHER D	NUNN	
12778	8/11/2010	ROD	NYLUND	
12555	3/22/2010	MICHAEL	O'BRIEN	
12840	2/22/2010	MICHAEL	O'BRIEN	
12761	7/22/2010	SHELLEY	O'BRIEN	
12555	3/22/2010	SHELLY	O'BRIEN	
12840	2/22/2010	SHELLY	O'BRIEN	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	CURT	O'CONNOR	
12604	1/19/2010	SHARON	O'CONNOR	
12761	7/22/2010	GENE	ODLE	
12761	7/22/2010	GERI	ODLE	
13006	11/4/2010	CATHY	OGDEN	
12761	7/22/2010	BONNIE	OJA	
12871	8/31/2010	RYAN	OJERIO	WASHINGTON TRAILS ASSOCIATION
13025	11/1/2010	RYAN	OJERIO	WASHINGTON TRAILS ASSOCIATION
12530	1/21/2010	VIOLET	O'KEATH	
12761	7/22/2010	ALEXANDER	OLBRICHT	
12761	7/22/2010	CATHARINE	OLBRICHT	
12761	7/22/2010	ERIC	OLBRICHT	
12761	7/22/2010	MADELAINE	OLBRICHT	
12876	8/31/2010	ROBERT J	O'LEARY	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	OLEN	
12761	7/22/2010	DEAN	OLSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	OLSEN	
12588	2/22/2010	DARYL	OLSON	
12588	2/22/2010	DONNA	OLSON	
13292	7/2/2010	DUSTIN	OLSON	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULIE	ONKEN	
12828	8/30/2010	ED	ORCUTT	STATE REPRESENTATIVE
13256	5/6/2010	ED	ORCUTT	STATE REPRESENTATIVE
12761	7/22/2010	RICH	ORCUTT	
12761	7/22/2010	WENDY	ORCUTT	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIA	ORENDARFF	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	OROZEO	
12761	7/22/2010	CRAIG F	ORPUT	
12761	7/22/2010	LAVON	ORPUT	
13043	10/30/2010	LAVON	ORPUT	
12761	7/22/2010	EDWARD	ORR	
12761	7/22/2010	TINA	ORR	
12761	7/22/2010	CHARLES	OSBORNE	
12761	7/22/2010	RACHEL	OTTERBACH	
12850	8/30/2010	CLARA	OTTOSEN-WILKINS	
12761	7/22/2010	DAWN	PACK	
12761	7/22/2010	HELENA	PACKELL	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	PACKELL	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	PACKER	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	PACKER	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	PAGE	
12607	6/29/2010	KEN	PAINE	
13292	7/2/2010	ADRIANA	PAL	
13292	7/2/2010	MIREL	PAL	
12674	1/25/2010	HAROLD	PALADENI	
12678	6/5/2010	JOHN	PALADENI	
12674	1/25/2010	MARGARET	PALADENI	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUG	PALIN SR	
12761	7/22/2010	GWEN	PALINE	
12676	12/31/2009	KAREN	PALMER	
12761	7/22/2010	LES	PALMER	
12761	7/22/2010	PHYLLIS	PAMLOW	
12761	7/22/2010	BRENDA	PANNELL	
12757	8/12/2010	SANDRA	PARGMAN	TRIPLE CREEK HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLE	PARKER	
12761	7/22/2010	GERALD	PARRIENES	
12761	7/22/2010	L JO	PARRIENES	
12761	7/22/2010	CYNTHIA	PARRIES	
13292	7/2/2010	CYNTHIA	PARRIES	
12607	6/29/2010	RONDA	PARSONS	
12913	9/22/2010	MICHAEL	PATTERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRIS	PAULSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	ABBIE	PAYLOZ	
12761	7/22/2010	RANDALL D	PEARL	
13297	7/2/2010	RANDALL D	PEARL	
12761	7/22/2010	BRITTANY J	PEDERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	JON K	PEDERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	PEDERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	PELLYMOUNTER	
12761	7/22/2010	ALMA	PENCE	
12761	7/22/2010	GARY	PENCE	
12761	7/22/2010	WALT	PENCE	
12937	10/5/2010	CAROLA	PENN	
12607	6/29/2010	CATHRYN	PENNINGTON	
12607	6/29/2010	PAUL	PEPPER	
12678	6/5/2010	BILL AND CINDY	PERKINS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12689	2/19/2010	NICK	PERKINS	
12712	8/4/2010	ANDREA	PERONI	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	PERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	SANDRA	PERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	CLIFFORD	PERU	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	PERU	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	PERVERE	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	PERVERE	
12761	7/22/2010	ALYSSA	PETER	
12761	7/22/2010	JANICE	PETERS	
12761	7/22/2010	LARRY	PETERS	
12766	8/19/2010	A	PETERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	BOBBI	PETERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	PETERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSICA	PETERSEN	
12689	2/19/2010	KEMP	PETERSEN	
12689	2/19/2010	SONJA	PETERSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	PETERSON	
12607	6/29/2010	WARD	PETERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	PFANNES	
12761	7/22/2010	DIANNE	PHILLIPS	
12761	7/22/2010	K A	PHYFFER	
12761	7/22/2010	MARCIA	PHYFFER	
12610	6/29/2010	LEIF	PIETILA	
12761	7/22/2010	JODI	PINK	
12861	9/8/2010	ANDREA	PIRRONE	
13027	11/10/2010	ANDREA	PIRRONE	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	PITCHFORD	
12607	6/29/2010	DORIAN	PITNER	
12607	6/29/2010	JOSETTE	PIVA	
12607	6/29/2010	JEFF	PLUMM	
12612	7/13/2010	JEFF	PLUMMER	
12725	8/6/2010	JUSTON M	POELING	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER
12843	8/30/2010	JUSTON M	POELING	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12849	8/30/2010	JUSTON M	POELING	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER
12725	8/6/2010	SHANNON	POELING	SKYLINE RIDGE DEVELOPMENT HOMEOWNERS MEMBER
12678	6/5/2010	JOHN	POER	
12607	6/29/2010	JULIE	POINTS	
12761	7/22/2010	HARRY	POLITOVICH	
12761	7/22/2010	IRENE	POLITOVICH	
12761	7/22/2010	CARLOS	PONT	
13184	11/9/2010	CARLOS	PONT	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNE M	POOLE	
12678	6/5/2010	JANE	POOLE	
12678	6/5/2010	CHRIS	PORTER	
12607	6/29/2010	CINDY	PORTER	
12678	6/5/2010	SCOTT	PORTER	
12853	9/8/2010	MARK	PORTUKALIAN	
13292	7/2/2010	NIKOLIY	POSHELYUZYNY	
12678	6/5/2010	BILL	POTEET	
12678	6/5/2010	LYNN	POTEET	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBBY	POTTER	
12607	6/29/2010	DEBRAH ANN	POTTER	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	POTTER	
12821	9/2/2010	KERRY L	POTTS	
12607	6/29/2010	ANDREW H	POULE	
12761	7/22/2010	GAIL	PRAGER	
12761	7/22/2010	DENIELLE	PRATOUSSY	
12761	7/22/2010	GABRIEL	PRATOUSSY	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	PRATOUSSY	
12761	7/22/2010	JOE	PRATT	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULINE	PRATT	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBRA	PRENTICE-THORNLEY	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13283	7/2/2010	DEBRA	PRENTICE-THORNLEY	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12972	10/22/2010	JUDY L	PREWITT	
12761	7/22/2010	ED	PREZKOF	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	PRICE	
12761	7/22/2010	PATRICIA	PRICE	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	RON	PRICE	
12761	7/22/2010	RUSS	PRICE	
12761	7/22/2010	RUSSELL	PRICE	
13256	5/6/2010	CRAIG	PRIDEMORE	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELL	PRIOR	
12761	7/22/2010	TAHIRA	PROBST	
13256	5/6/2010	TIM	PROBST	STATE REPRESENTATIVE
12689	2/19/2010	RYAN	PROTHERO	
13043	10/30/2010	JENNIFER	PROUSE	
13043	10/30/2010	RICHARD	PROUSE	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	PROVOLT	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	PROVOLT	
12761	7/22/2010	JOANNE	PRZYGODA	
12761	7/22/2010	CHERYL M	PUCHRIK	
12761	7/22/2010	SARA	PURSLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	STEPHEN	PUTNAM	
12761	7/22/2010	JOEL	RAFFEN	
12761	7/22/2010	STACY	RAFFEN	
12578	1/25/2010	BERNIS	RAGAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	RAILSBACK	
12729	8/6/2010	BARBARA K	RAINE	
12729	8/6/2010	LARRY G	RAINE	
12552	1/22/2010	GEORGE	RAITER	COWLITZ COUNTY
12754	8/10/2010	GEORGE	RAITER	COWLITZ COUNTY
13031	11/12/2010	GEORGE	RAITER	COWLITZ COUNTY
12973	10/23/2010	SARA F	RAMIREZ	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER	RAMSAY	
12785	7/12/2010	DON K	RANDOLPH	
12785	7/12/2010	KELLI	RANDOLPH	
12761	7/22/2010	MARK	RANKIN	
12533	1/12/2010	CRAIG	RANKINE	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
12804	8/30/2010	STEPHEN C	RAPALUS	
12985	10/28/2010	STEPHEN C	RAPALUS	
13183	11/9/2010	STEPHEN C	RAPALUS	
12779	8/9/2010	STEVE	RAPALUS	
12761	7/22/2010	BRETT	RASMUSSEN	
12761	7/22/2010	HOLLY	RASMUSSEN	
12660	7/13/2010	KEITH D	RAST	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTIN	RATLIFF	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	RAVELLI	
13282	7/2/2010	MARIA THOMAS V	RAY	
12534	1/20/2010	PAULA	RAYA	
12535	1/21/2010	PAULA	RAYA	
12607	6/29/2010	PAULA	RAYA	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULA	RAYA	
12534	1/20/2010	ROBERT	RAYA	
12535	1/21/2010	ROBERT	RAYA	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERT	RAYA	
12645	7/13/2010	ROBERT	RAYA	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	RAYA	
12607	6/29/2010	KAYLA	RAYMOND	
12817	9/1/2010	TERRY	REAMS	
12916	8/30/2010	TERRY	REAMS	
12678	6/5/2010	JANET M	REED	
12678	6/5/2010	ERIC	REESE	
12568	5/24/2010	GERRY	REITSCH	REITSCH WESTON & BLONDIN
12909	9/16/2010	CHARLES	RENEAU	
12738	8/6/2010	RICHARD	RENSHAW	
12678	6/5/2010	MATT	REUTTER	
12884	8/31/2010	JANE M	REVESZ	
12941	10/6/2010	JANE M	REVESZ	
12761	7/22/2010	JUDY	RHOADS	
12761	7/22/2010	TALYN	RICE	
12635	7/13/2010	MICHAEL	RIETMAN	
12678	6/5/2010	MICHAEL	RIETMAN	
12578	1/25/2010	CORAL	RIGHTER	
12761	7/22/2010	CLINTON	RILEY	
13261	07/22/2010	DICK	RILEY	RILEY AND MARKS INC
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINA	RIORDAN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY KAY	RIPPE	
12761	7/22/2010	RODNEY	RIPPE	
12559	4/30/2010	LORELL	RISNER	
12607	6/29/2010	BOB	RIUSENBURGH	
12761	7/22/2010	G JERRY	RIVER	
12974	10/25/2010	SALLY	RIVERA	
13024	11/7/2010	TERESA	ROBBINS	
12761	7/22/2010	DANIEL	ROBERTS	
12607	6/29/2010	GUY	ROBERTS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	ROBERTS	
12664	7/13/2010	RENEE	ROBERTSON	
12888	9/12/2010	NADINE	ROBSON	
12607	6/29/2010	SUZANNE C	ROCK	
12607	6/29/2010	SANDRA LEE	RODGERS	
12896	8/30/2010	ASHLEY	ROELOFS	
12896	8/30/2010	STEVEN	ROELOFS	
12761	7/22/2010	BETSY	ROGERS	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTOPHER	ROGERS	
12761	7/22/2010	LEAH	ROGERS	
12678	6/5/2010	PAT	ROGERS	
12678	6/5/2010	JESSICA	ROGGENKAMP	
12761	7/22/2010	TODINA	ROOS	
12761	7/22/2010	SHIRLENE	ROPER	
12881	8/31/2010	VINCENT	ROSALES	
12607	6/29/2010	HENRY	ROSE	
12607	6/29/2010	MIKE	ROSE	
12761	7/22/2010	DEVI	ROSEBORO-CASAS	
12539	2/3/2010	CAREY A	ROSENLUND	
12667	7/13/2010	CAREY A	ROSENLUND	
12539	2/3/2010	GRANT	ROSENLUND	
12667	7/13/2010	GRANT	ROSENLUND	
12761	7/22/2010		ROSEOLD	
12573	1/18/2010	CLAIRE	ROSS	
12678	6/5/2010	CLAIRE	ROSS	
12761	7/22/2010	CYNTHIA	ROSS	
12573	1/18/2010	DAVE	ROSS	
12678	6/5/2010	DAVE	ROSS	
13046	11/17/2010	DAVE	ROSS	
12761	7/22/2010	JON	ROTH	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	ROTH	
12605	1/26/2010	CECIL	ROTSCHY	
12669	7/13/2010	DREW	ROTSCHY	
12607	6/29/2010	KAREN	ROTSCHY	
12761	7/22/2010	MARIA	ROY	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	ROY	
12607	6/29/2010	CARL	RUESTIG	
12761	7/22/2010	SANDRA	RUFF	
12761	7/22/2010	THOMAS	RUHSAM	
12761	7/22/2010	REGINA	RULE	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	ALAN	RUST	
12607	6/29/2010	ELIZABETH	RYAN	
12761	7/22/2010	GREG	RYAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	RYAN	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD	RYAN	
12761	7/22/2010	ROY	RYATT	
12761	7/22/2010	RAYMOND	SABA	
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE	SAKSHAY	
12761	7/22/2010	ELIZABETH	SALERNO	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	SAMMMS	
12761	7/22/2010	AARON	SAMRO	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	SANCHEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURIE	SANCHEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	LORI	SANDERS	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	SANDERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	SANDERSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JANICE	SANTOLUCETO	
12532	6/8/2010	FRED	SANTOLUCITO	
12592	6/9/2010	FRED	SANTOLUCITO	
12761	7/22/2010	FRED	SANTOLUCITO	
12939	9/17/2010	FRED	SANTOLUCITO	
13022	11/5/2010	FRED	SANTOLUCITO	
12761	7/22/2010	ANTHONY	SANTONIFO	
12761	7/22/2010	SAMANTHA	SANTONIFO	
12607	6/29/2010	SUSAN	SAPP	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTEE	SARANVA	
12679	6/15/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12906	7/29/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12931	9/16/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13003	11/2/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
13005	10/29/2010	ERNA	SARASOHN	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	LESTER	SARASOHN	
12887	8/31/2010	LESTER	SARASOHN	
12607	6/29/2010	ARIANNE	SARKINEN	
12761	7/22/2010	MELINDA G	SARLEY	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD	SAUCHEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	SCHAAF	
12761	7/22/2010	MARLA	SCHAAF	
12607	6/29/2010	KATHY	SCHADEWITZ	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	SCHAEFFER	
12761	7/22/2010	MARLA	SCHAIF	
12761	7/22/2010	EUGEN	SCHANG	
12761	7/22/2010	OHI	SCHANG	
12761	7/22/2010	HELGA	SCHIEBER	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTINA	SCHILTZ	
12761	7/22/2010	TERESA	SCHLEICH	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUG	SCHLEREL	
12761	7/22/2010	LOUISETTE	SCHLESSINGER	
12919	9/27/2010	KAREN	SCHLIMER	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERT	SCHLITT	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINA	SCHLUTER	
12761	7/22/2010	WENDY	SCHMALTZ	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	SCHMITT	
13292	7/2/2010	MICHAEL	SCHMITT	
12547	2/26/2010	EVELYN	SCHNOEBELEN	
12690	4/5/2010	EVELYN	SCHNOEBELEN	
12761	7/22/2010	EVELYN	SCHNOEBELEN	
12786	7/12/2010	EVELYN	SCHNOEBELEN	
13211	11/15/2010	EVELYN	SCHNOEBELEN	
12547	2/26/2010	YARO	SCHNOEBELEN	
12690	4/5/2010	YARO	SCHNOEBELEN	
12761	7/22/2010	YARO	SCHNOEBELEN	
12786	7/12/2010	YARO	SCHNOEBELEN	
13211	11/15/2010	YARO	SCHNOEBELEN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID M	SCHOOMAKER	
12761	7/22/2010	JULYN	SCHROEDER	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHY	SCHROEDER	
12716	8/4/2010	DAVID	SCHULTZ	COLUMBIA PACIFIC FIRESTOP
12805	8/30/2010	DAVID	SCHULTZ	COLUMBIA PACIFIC FIRESTOP
12976	9/22/2010	DAVID	SCHULTZ	COLUMBIA PACIFIC FIRESTOP
12977	10/12/2010	DAVID	SCHULTZ	COLUMBIA PACIFIC FIRESTOP
12761	7/22/2010	ARON	SCHUR	
12761	7/22/2010	J	SCOTT	
12584	2/23/2010	LEROY	SCOTT	
12584	2/23/2010	PHYLLIS	SCOTT	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	PHYLLIS	SCOTT	
13292	7/2/2010	PHYLLIS	SCOTT	
12678	6/5/2010	SHAWN	SCOTT	
13008	11/4/2010	KATHY	SCRAGLAND	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	SEALS	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRIS	SEAMENS	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	SEAMENS	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	SEAMENS	
12761	7/22/2010	WAI	SEE	
12975	10/25/2010	MARLA	SELBY	
12761	7/22/2010	DIANA	SELFRIDGE	
12761	7/22/2010	JIM	SELFRIDGE	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNETTE	SELLS	
12632	7/13/2010	JEREY	SESSIONS	
12678	6/5/2010	TREY	SEXTON	
12607	6/29/2010	JIM	SHACKLETON	
12607	6/29/2010	ANTHONY	SHANDY	
12607	6/29/2010	CRISTI	SHANDY	
12732	8/6/2010	JODIE	SHARP	PREMIER REALTY
12607	6/29/2010	MILTON	SHAW	
12607	6/29/2010	PENNY	SHEBEEB	
12810	8/31/2010	PENNY	SHEPARD	
12822	8/31/2010	PENNY	SHEPARD	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROLYN	SHEPHERD	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	SHEPHERD	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE	SHIFFLETTE	
12736	8/5/2010	DOLORES	SHIRTS	
12607	6/29/2010	LEONARD	SHNULL	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD AND SHARON	SHOEPFLIN	
12607	6/29/2010	BETH	SHORT	
12607	6/29/2010	DARREL	SHORT	
12678	6/5/2010	DARREL	SHORT	
12761	7/22/2010	ESTHER	SHORT	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	SHRUM	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	SHULL	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRIS	SICILIA	
12699	12/14/2009	JUDY	SILLS	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL	SILVA	
12761	7/22/2010	SHIRLEY	SILVA	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12679	6/15/2010	CATHERINE	SIMMONS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	CATHERINE	SIMMONS	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	CATHI	SIMMONS	
13287	7/2/2010	CATHI	SIMMONS	
12958	9/10/2010	DAVID M	SIMMS	
12543	2/18/2010	MARYANNE	SIMONDS	
12607	6/29/2010	KAY	SIMONTON	
12685	2/18/2010	SCOTT	SIMS	
12689	2/19/2010	SCOTT	SIMS	
12761	7/22/2010	DENIS	SIMSON	
12761	7/22/2010	TINA	SIMSON	
12607	6/29/2010	NICOLE	SINDT	
12607	6/29/2010	BARBARA	SIZEMORE	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	SKAADEN	
12822	8/31/2010	BRADLEY L	SLOCUM	
12678	6/5/2010	KATHY	SLVANO	
12607	6/29/2010	AARON	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	CAREY	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	DEBBY	SMITH	
12585	2/6/2010	GABRIELLE	SMITH	
13043	10/30/2010	GABRIELLE	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	JASON	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	JERRINE	SMITH	
12607	6/29/2010	JOHN F	SMITH	
12607	6/29/2010	JUDY	SMITH	
12585	2/6/2010	KIM L	SMITH	
12787	7/13/2010	KIM L	SMITH	
13043	10/30/2010	KIM L	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	LEJUNU	SMITH	
12678	6/5/2010	LYNN	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	PAT MORRISON	SMITH	
12678	6/5/2010	PAUL	SMITH	
12607	6/29/2010	RHONDA	SMITH	
12601	6/29/2010	RICK	SMITH	
12694	12/7/2009	SEAN P	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	STACI	SMITH	
12607	6/29/2010	SUSAN	SMITH	
12678	6/5/2010	WILLIAM	SMITH	
12761	7/22/2010	DONNA	SMITLEY	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	SMITLEY	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE	SNAFF	
12607	6/29/2010	C.L.	SNODGRASS	
12761	7/22/2010	CHERYL	SNODGRASS	
12761	7/22/2010	JEAN	SOCOLOFSKY	
12545	2/21/2010	W. DAVID	SOCOLOFSKY	
12761	7/22/2010	W. DAVID	SOCOLOFSKY	
12761	7/22/2010	THERESA	SOHFT	
13039	11/15/2010	GERALD	SORRELL	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTOPHER	SOUTH	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	SOUTH	
12607	6/29/2010	KIM	SPACEY	
13292	7/2/2010	HARRY	SPEELMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	KATHERINE	SPELLMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	SPENCER	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN	SPENCER	
12761	7/22/2010	STANLEY	SPENCER	
12761	7/22/2010	LIND L	SPILAR	
12761	7/22/2010	PAMELA	SPINNER	
12607	6/29/2010	LINDA	SPOLAR	
12583	2/1/2010	TRENTON	SPOLAR	
12687	7/15/2010	TRENTON	SPOLAR	
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	SPRAGUE	
12761	7/22/2010	ELSIE	SPRAGUE	
12761	7/22/2010	LARRY	SPREUBEL	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNETTE	SPREUKEL	
13020	10/28/2010	RUSS	STAGNER	
13020	10/28/2010	TERESA	STAGNER	
12761	7/22/2010	REBECCA	STALCUP	
12607	6/29/2010	JIM	STANDIG	
12761	7/22/2010	KARLYN	STANKYE	
12761	7/22/2010	RON	STANKYE	
12761	7/22/2010	MARGARET	STAPENHORST	
13278	7/2/2010	MARGARET	STAPENHORST	
12761	7/22/2010	ANITA	STARK	
13043	10/30/2010	BENJAMIN E	STARK	
13043	10/30/2010	TERRA	STARK	
12678	6/5/2010	BOB	STARR	
12678	6/5/2010	RANDY	STEADMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	BRENDA	STEANDLIGER	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	BILL	STEARNS	
12761	7/22/2010	CHERYL	STEARNS	
12761	7/22/2010	JASE	STEFANSKI	
12963	9/12/2010	JASE	STEFANSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	JEANNIE	STEFANSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	CONNIE	STEFFEN	
12761	7/22/2010	MICK	STEFFEN	
12555	3/22/2010	CONSTANCE	STEFFER	
12555	3/22/2010	MAYNARD G	STEFFER	
12607	6/29/2010	DANIELLE	STEGALL	
12522	6/10/2010	GRACE	STENEVSEN	
12522	6/10/2010	SAM	STENEVSEN	
12603	12/13/2009	JOE	STEPHENS	
12603	12/13/2009	SHERYL	STEPHENS	
12761	7/22/2010	SANDRA	STEPHENSON	
12689	2/19/2010	CYNTHIA	STEPHENSON	
12860	9/8/2010	PATRICIA	STEPP	
12656	7/13/2010	LESLIE	STEWART BELL	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12679	6/15/2010	LESLIE	STEWART BELL	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12761	7/22/2010	LYNN	STIGLICH	
13270	7/16/2010	LYNN	STIGLICH	
12761	7/22/2010	CHARLES	STINGER	
12761	7/22/2010	KARA	STIRLING	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	STIRLING	
12761	7/22/2010	ALFRED	STOKES	
12607	6/29/2010	RYAN	STOKES	
12807	8/27/2010	JULIA	STOLL	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	STOLLER	
12678	6/5/2010	RAY	STONE	
12678	6/5/2010	SHERRY L	STONE	
12678	6/5/2010	STEVE	STONE	
12607	6/29/2010	KATHY	STRANE	
12761	7/22/2010	ELLA	STREBIG	
12761	7/22/2010	GRETTA	STREBIG	
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL	STREBIG	
12761	7/22/2010	SARAH	STREBIG	
12689	2/19/2010	ANDREA	STREET	
12689	2/19/2010	LINDSEY	STREET	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY	STREHLOW	
12547	2/26/2010	JENNIFER	STREISSGUTH	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	LUANNE	STRICKER	
12761	7/22/2010	JEUANNE	STRITZEL-STEPHENS	
12761	7/22/2010	ANDREW	STROMBERG	
12761	7/22/2010	KIMBERLY	STROMBERG	
12602	2/25/2010	MIKE	STROMME	GREEN MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 13
12607	6/29/2010	JEFF	STRONG	
12607	6/29/2010	CHRISTINE	STROWE	
12607	6/29/2010	RANDALL	STRUTZ	
12607	6/29/2010	RICHARD	STUKY	
12761	7/22/2010	THEA	STUMM	
12607	6/29/2010	ROGER	STURDEVENT	
12761	7/22/2010	TAMMY	SUBEA	
12722	8/5/2010	RON	SUGGS	
12678	6/5/2010	KATHI	SUMMERHILL	
12761	7/22/2010	KENNETH	SUNDE	
12761	7/22/2010	TERRI	SUNDE	
12678	6/5/2010	KIRA A	SUNDERLAND	
12678	6/5/2010	PAUL	SUNDERLAND	
12946	10/10/2010	TOMAS	SVOBODA	
12761	7/22/2010	DOROTHY	SVOREN	
12552	1/22/2010	AXEL	SWANSON	COWLITZ COUNTY
12754	8/10/2010	AXEL	SWANSON	COWLITZ COUNTY
12869	9/8/2010	JOHN	SWATOSH	
12761	7/22/2010	AARON	SWENNINGSON	
12761	7/22/2010	ERIK	SWENNINGSON	
12761	7/22/2010	FRAN	SWENNINGSON	LINE SEGMENT 25
12607	6/29/2010	CHRISTINA	SWIFT	
12718	8/4/2010	MICHAEL A	SWIFT	
12602	2/25/2010	RICK	SYRING	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	SYRON	
12624	7/13/2010	MARLI	SYSUM	
12824	8/30/2010	ELIZABETH	TABBUTT	
12824	8/30/2010	FREDERICK	TABBUTT	
12761	7/22/2010	BEV	TAFOLLA	
12761	7/22/2010	BOB	TAFOLLA	
13292	7/2/2010	DON	TALBOTT	
12607	6/29/2010	DEBBIE	TALKINGTON	
12761	7/22/2010	BAILY	TANGEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	FREEDOM	TANGEMAN	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JOZIE	TANGEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	KYLIE RAE	TANGEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	TIMOTHY	TANGEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	TYLER	TANGEMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID W	TANVERSON	
12675	1/5/2010	DAVID	TAYLOR	
12678	6/5/2010	LURA	TAYLOR	
12761	7/22/2010	ALEX	TERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	TERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHANIE	TERRY	
12761	7/22/2010	TASHA	TERWILLEGER	
12607	6/29/2010	MICHAEL	THACKER	
12607	6/29/2010	LARRY	THATCHER	
12761	7/22/2010	ANNA	THEOBALD	
12761	7/22/2010	BETTE	THOMAS	
12761	7/22/2010	ELAINE	THOMPSON	
12761	7/22/2010	JESSIE	THOMPSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE	THORN	
12560	5/11/2010	ROY	THORP	
12607	6/29/2010	TERRIE	THRELKELDY	
12607	6/29/2010	JAN	THROLKELD	
12666	7/13/2010	DENNIS	THURSTON	
12921	9/30/2010	RICHARD	TILL	FRIENDS OF THE COLUMBIA GORGE
12607	6/29/2010	FEDERICK	TILLMAN	
12692	12/4/2009	CHRISTOPHER A.	TIMBREZA	
12607	6/29/2010	ANGELA	TINDALL	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	TINKER	
12761	7/22/2010	KARI	TINKER	
12761	7/22/2010	NORMA J	TIPTON	
12761	7/22/2010	JULLI	TKACHENKO	
12870	9/8/2010	CAROL	TOCCO	
12587	2/17/2010	GAYLE	TODD	
12587	2/17/2010	JIM	TODD	
12761	7/22/2010	KETIE	TODD	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	TODD	
12678	6/5/2010	ROCKY	TOEDTEMEIER	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	TOMMEVDAHL	
12761	7/22/2010	SHELLI	TOMPKINS	
12678	6/5/2010	ROBERTA	TONEY	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12678	6/5/2010	SUSAN	TONEY	
12671	7/13/2010	BRANDON	TORMANEN	
12671	7/13/2010	KATRINA	TORMANEN	
12677	7/14/2010	DONNA	TORRES	
12752	8/11/2010	DONNA	TORRES	
12761	7/22/2010	DONNA	TORRES	
12752	8/11/2010	LARRY	TORRES	
12761	7/22/2010	LARRY	TORRES	
12761	7/22/2010	ANITA	TOTMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	EDWARD	TOTTEN	
12607	6/29/2010	CHRISTA	TRASK	
12607	6/29/2010	TOM	TRASK	
12607	6/29/2010	KIMBERLY	TRAXLER-WEST	
12761	7/22/2010	HENRY	TREADAWAY	
12527	1/21/2010	BRIAN	TREMBLEY	
12689	2/19/2010	DANIEL	TRIMBERGER	
12761	7/22/2010	JODI	TRIPP	
12875	8/31/2010	PAT	TROWBRIDGE	
12607	6/29/2010	CYNTHIA	TRUMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	JASON	TRUMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNIFER L	TUCKER	
12567	2/20/2010	JOHN P	TUINGA	KASKILLAH ROAD ASSOCIATION
12771	8/22/2010	JOHN P	TUINGA	KASKILLAH ROAD ASSOCIATION
12822	8/31/2010	JOHN P	TUINGA	KASKILLAH ROAD ASSOCIATION
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	TURNER	
12607	6/29/2010	WANDA F	TURNER	
12761	7/22/2010	MEL	TURNKOLI	
12761	7/22/2010	LLOYD	TUTTLE	
12761	7/22/2010	RAFAELA	TUTTLE	
12607	6/29/2010	ALYSON	TVEIDT	
12761	7/22/2010		TYLER	
12761	7/22/2010	DENISE	UHDE-FRIESEN	
12848	8/30/2010	ROBIN	UHLENKOTT	
12761	7/22/2010	NATALIE	ULFORD	
13292	7/2/2010	MARGY	ULLAND	
12689	2/19/2010	DARREN	ULLMANN	
12761	7/22/2010	BETTY JO	UNDAHL	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	JERRY	UPLINGER	
12761	7/22/2010	DEANN	UYEMATH	
12761	7/22/2010	CLAUDIA	VAIL-GOSS	
12679	6/15/2010	RICHARD	VAN DIJK	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12942	9/16/2010	RICHARD	VAN DIJK	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12960	9/12/2010	RICHARD	VAN DIJK	ANOTHER WAY BPA
12607	6/29/2010	JASON	VAN DYKE	
12991	10/30/2010	MARGARET	VAN NOS	
12823	9/3/2010	SHELLEY A	VANDERHEYDEN	
12607	6/29/2010	KATHLEEN	VANDERPOOL	
12607	6/29/2010	KATHLEAN	VANTISDALE	
12678	6/5/2010	HARRIET	VASKELIS	
12607	6/29/2010	VIC	VASKELIS	
12678	6/5/2010	VIC	VASKELIS	
12761	7/22/2010	SAM	VATOZE	
12542	2/17/2010	DOUG G	VAUGHAN	
12761	7/22/2010	DOUG G	VAUGHAN	
12800	8/30/2010	DOUG G	VAUGHAN	
12761	7/22/2010	LOLA	VAUGHN	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY ANN S	VELEZ	
12761	7/22/2010	DAWN	VENA	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD	VENA	
13292	7/2/2010	DAVID G	VENIA SR	
13292	7/2/2010	FERNANDO	VICENTE	
12761	7/22/2010	KRISTY	VICK	
12761	7/22/2010	LEE	VICK	
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD	VIGIL	
12607	6/29/2010	HANNAH	VINCENT	
12761	7/22/2010	DEEYA	VOGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	VOGHT	
12735	8/6/2010	BOB	VOID	
13292	7/2/2010	DOUG	VOSSLER	
12607	6/29/2010	JAY	VROMAN	
12598	5/1/2010	FRANK	VRTISKA	
12761	7/22/2010	JENNY	VRTISKY	
12761	7/22/2010	JOE	VRTISKY	
12678	6/5/2010	DEANNA	WAGGONER	
12678	6/5/2010	VANCE	WAGGONER	
12607	6/29/2010	LAUREN	WAGNER	
12761	7/22/2010	M VAN	WAGNER	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	PAM	WAGNER	
12678	6/5/2010	BRIAN	WALDAL	
12678	6/5/2010	LEILANI	WALDAL	
12678	6/5/2010	ERIC	WALEIZER	
12940	10/5/2010	JOHN F	WALENTA	
12607	6/29/2010	DAWN	WALKER	
12717	8/4/2010	ZOANNE	WALKER	
12678	6/5/2010	GARY	WALL	
12678	6/5/2010	TONYA	WALL	
12846	8/30/2010	ELLEN	WALLACE	
12607	6/29/2010	GORDON T	WALLING	
12607	6/29/2010	LEANNE	WALLINS	
12761	7/22/2010	LORI	WALSWICK	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	WALSWICK	
12904	9/13/2010	JESSICA A	WALZ	GIFFORD PINCHOT TASK FORCE
12695	12/10/2009	JOELL D	WANDLER	
12761	7/22/2010	RONALD	WANGER	ROYAL RIDGE RETREAT
12761	7/22/2010	SAYLE	WARD	
12761	7/22/2010	TREY	WARD	
12678	6/5/2010	CASEY	WARNKE	
12678	6/5/2010	CLINTON	WARNKE	
12678	6/5/2010	JEREMY	WARNKE	
12761	7/22/2010	ELKE	WARREN	
12607	6/29/2010	KAREN L	WARREN	
12607	6/29/2010	BRYAN	WARRINGTON	
12607	6/29/2010	RAMEY	WASER	
12607	6/29/2010	RAY	WASER	
12607	6/29/2010	SHARMA	WASER	
12761	7/22/2010	ED	WASSMER	
12678	6/5/2010	HEATHER	WATHERY	
12742	8/9/2010	ANN	WATKINS	
12678	6/5/2010	HARVEY	WATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	LEANNE	WATSON	
12678	6/5/2010	LORETTA	WATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MARSHALL	WATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	MARY JANE	WATSON	
12761	7/22/2010	SAMMY	WATTS	
12761	7/22/2010	ZACH	WATTS	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	WEAVER	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	SCOTT	WEAVER	
12678	6/5/2010	JAMES	WEBB	
12689	2/19/2010	JAMES	WEBB	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	WEBB	
12678	6/5/2010	P. KYLE	WEBB	
12678	6/5/2010	EMILY A	WEBBER	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	WEBER	
12761	7/22/2010	SHERI	WEBER	
12678	6/5/2010	MARY	WEESE	
12751	8/11/2010	BARBARA	WEGNER	
12751	8/11/2010	RUSS	WEGNER	
12607	6/29/2010	JAIME	WEIMER	
12600	3/26/2010	CHRISTOPHER I	WEINGARTNER	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTOPHER I	WEINGARTNER	
13301	7/2/2010	CHRISTOPHER I	WEINGARTNER	
12678	6/5/2010	GEORGE	WEISENBORN	
12678	6/5/2010	JO-ANNE	WEISENBORN	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	WEITZEL	
12761	7/22/2010	ERMIYAS	WELDU	
12569	5/15/2010	JOHN	WELINSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	WELINSKI	
12569	5/15/2010	KAREN R	WELINSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN R	WELINSKI	
12761	7/22/2010	ROSS	WELLBAINS	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	WELLS	
12607	6/29/2010	MAN ELLE	WELLS	
12607	6/29/2010	JASON	WERNER	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHELLE	WESSELEE	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIGHTON	WEST	
12761	7/22/2010	LYNN	WESTCOH	
12761	7/22/2010	GAYLE L	WEST-GRUMLEY	
12689	2/19/2010	CORY	WESTLING	
12678	6/5/2010	LAVON	WHEATLEY	
12891	9/12/2010	BILL	WHEELER	
12915	9/27/2010	BILL	WHEELER	
12731	8/5/2010	KATHI	WHEELER	
12891	9/12/2010	KATHI	WHEELER	
12915	9/27/2010	KATHI	WHEELER	
12631	7/13/2010	KATHLEEN	WHITAKER	
12607	6/29/2010	VICTORIA	WHITENELL- CLARK	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	ELIZABETH	WHITMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	JACOB	WHITMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	RICK	WHITMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	PAT	WHITTAKER	
12761	7/22/2010	KAREN	WIBLE	
12954	9/16/2010	JAMES	WILDER	
12613	7/13/2010	BRADLEY R	WILLIAMS	
12714	8/4/2010	CAROL	WILLIAMS	
12761	7/22/2010	MATT	WILLIAMS	
12761	7/22/2010	FREDERICK	WILLIAMSON	
12721	8/5/2010	LINDA	WILSON	
12734	8/5/2010	LINDA	WILSON	
12547	2/26/2010	RON	WILSON	
12761	7/22/2010	RON	WILSON	
12547	2/26/2010	WENDY	WILSON	
12761	7/22/2010	RONALD	WILTSEY	
12607	6/29/2010	CRAIG	WIMBS	
12856	9/8/2010	BECKY	WINSOR	
12856	9/8/2010	BOB	WINSOR	
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL	WISEMAN	
12761	7/22/2010	TODD	WISEMAN	
12951	9/16/2010	PATRICIA LEE	WITTER	WITTER/REVESZ FAMILY TREE FARMS
12952	9/16/2010	PATRICIA LEE	WITTER	WITTER/REVESZ FAMILY TREE FARMS
13026	11/10/2010	PATRICIA LEE	WITTER	WITTER/REVESZ FAMILY TREE FARMS
12761	7/22/2010	HAROLD	WITTERS	
12803	8/27/2010	HAROLD	WITTERS	
12761	7/22/2010	JO ANN	WOHLERS	
13280	7/2/2010	JO ANN	WOHLERS	
13280	7/2/2010	KURT	WOHLERS	
12761	7/22/2010	YVONNE	WOLD	
12806	8/30/2010	YVONNE	WOLD	
12607	6/29/2010	PEARL	WOLDEIT	
12607	6/29/2010	RON	WOLDEIT	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIAN	WOLF	
12761	7/22/2010	AMANDA	WOMACK	
13040	11/15/2010	JANET	WONG LIU	TRYON CREEK DAYTRIPPERS
12831	9/5/2010	BRAD	WOOD	



Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	GEORGE P	WOOD	
13043	10/30/2010	JENNIFER	WOOD	
12607	6/29/2010	JOANN	WOOD	
12761	7/22/2010	PAULETTE	WOOD	
12761	7/22/2010	SUSAN	WOODRUM	
12761	7/22/2010	KIMBERLY	WOODS	
12678	6/5/2010	MARK	WOODS	
12678	6/5/2010	MICHAEL C	WOODY	
12627	7/13/2010	RON	WOOLCOCK	
12837	9/11/2010	RON	WOOLCOCK	
12970	10/22/2010	KATRINA	WREGGIT	
12761	7/22/2010	KELLI	WREN	
12761	7/22/2010	TERRY	WREN	
12727	8/6/2010	BARBARA	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	BETTY	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	EARL	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	GARY	WRIGHT	
12678	6/5/2010	JACKIE	WRIGHT	
12761	7/22/2010	BRIAN	WUNDER	
12761	7/22/2010	JAMES	WUNDER	
12761	7/22/2010	JEFF	WUNDER	
12761	7/22/2010	LISA	WUNDER	
12580	1/25/2010	JANE	YAHRMARKT	
12580	1/25/2010	RICHARD	YAHRMARKT	
12607	6/29/2010	KYLE	YANCEY	
12551	6/14/2010	JIM	YAPOFF	
12607	6/29/2010	ROBERT C	YEARGAIN	
12761	7/22/2010	MYRTLE	YEE	
12761	7/22/2010	LIZA	YHALLE	
12761	7/22/2010	ARLENE	YINGER	
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	YINGER	
12761	7/22/2010	AMY	YINGLING	
12761	7/22/2010	AMANDA	YOUM	
12930	9/13/2010	DANNY	YOUNCE	
12761	7/22/2010	CATHY	YOUNG	
12590	6/24/2010	CHARLES	YOUNG	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD E	YOUNG	
12763	5/28/2010	DONALD E	YOUNG	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
13214	11/12/2010	DONALD E	YOUNG	
12761	7/22/2010	DR SHARON	YOUNG	
12763	5/28/2010	DR SHARON	YOUNG	
13214	11/12/2010	DR SHARON	YOUNG	
12761	7/22/2010	ERLA	YOUNG	
12761	7/22/2010	PENNY	YOUNG	
13292	7/2/2010	BYAM	YOUNGMAN	
12820	9/2/2010	ALGIRD	ZALPYS	
12820	9/2/2010	ELIZABETH	ZALPYS	
13256	5/6/2010	JOSEPH	ZARELLI	STATE SENATOR 18TH
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN	ZEDELLA	
12761	7/22/2010	VICTORIA	ZEDELLA	
12761	7/22/2010	CHRISTINE	ZEIGH	
12607	6/29/2010	AMY	ZENGER-NEIMAN	
12917	9/22/2010	AMY	ZENGER-NEIMAN	
12872	8/31/2010	FRANK	ZHANG	
12802	9/1/2010	ZIYUAN	ZHANG	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	ZIEBELL	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHANIE	ZIEBELL	
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	ZIEGMAN	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVID	ZINE	
12607	6/29/2010	DOUGLAS	ZITT	
12678	6/5/2010	DOUGLAS	ZITT	
13210	11/15/2010	BOB	ZUMSTEIN SR	
12607	6/29/2010	GARY	ZURELE	
12555	3/22/2010	C (ILL)	(ILL)	
12555	3/22/2010	PAUL A	C (ILL)	
12555	3/22/2010	L (ILL)	G (ILL)	
12555	3/22/2010	JENNIFER	PALMER (ILL)	
12555	3/22/2010	MATT (ILL)	SEFF	
12595	6/24/2010	ANONYMOUS		WE THE PEOPLE OF COWLITZ COUNTY
12607	6/29/2010	ANONYMOUS	ANONYMOUS	
12607	6/29/2010	ERIC	B (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	MATT	B (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	TOM	BYRUE (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	TINA	CA (ILLEGIBLE)	
12607	6/29/2010	DARRELL J	CAHOON (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	WILLIAM E	COATINGHAM (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	RAFEAL	COFF (ILL)	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12607	6/29/2010	JEFF	DELLBRIDGE (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	CLARA	E	
12607	6/29/2010	V. W. (ILLEGIBLE)	F (ILLEGIBLE)	
12607	6/29/2010	SHIMAY (ILL)	HUSEY (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	PAUL S	ILLEGIBLE	
12607	6/29/2010	GA	ILLEGIBLE LAST NAME	
12607	6/29/2010	CATHERINE	LOWENGON (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	A (ILLEGIBLE)	NIXON	
12607	6/29/2010	DAVE	O (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	DOLORES	P (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	RALPH	SCH (ILLEGIBLE)	
12607	6/29/2010	K	SHREW (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	BERNARD	SIRAUB (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	K (ILL)	SLEGGLL	
12607	6/29/2010	J (ILL)	T (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	SOTRINA (ILLEGIBLE)	TEVLIN	
12607	6/29/2010	TINA K	TLOWES (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	LOREN	VONER (ILL)	
12607	6/29/2010	JEREMY		
12607	6/29/2010	SIMS		
12678	6/5/2010	MARY LOU	(ILL)	
12678	6/5/2010	ROBERT	A (ILL)	
12678	6/5/2010	BRIAN	M (ILL)	
12678	6/5/2010	ANDREW	S (ILL)	
12704	7/26/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12744	8/9/2010	NANCY		
12753	8/11/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	BRENDA	(ILL)	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	BRUCE	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	C	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	CHARLENE	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	DONALD S	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	G (ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	J (ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	JOANN	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	KI	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	RITA	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	SHEILA	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	T (ILL)	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	TINA	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	WILLIAM	(ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	LINDA	?	
12761	7/22/2010	SHEENA	?	
12761	7/22/2010	ALEXIS	A	
12761	7/22/2010	DENISE	ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010	HEATHER	ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010		ANONYMOUS	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	BOND	
12761	7/22/2010	JAN	C	
12761	7/22/2010	ROBERT	C	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	E (ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	WLAY	F	
12761	7/22/2010	BARBARA	H	
12761	7/22/2010	ANONYMOUS	HAWKES	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	HILTON	
12761	7/22/2010	MELISSA	J	
12761	7/22/2010	SLOIANNA	K	

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12761	7/22/2010	H (ILL)	K (ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	JEANET	K (ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	CYNTHIA	L	
12761	7/22/2010	TIM	LAW (ILL)	
12761	7/22/2010	STEPHANIE	M	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL 2)	MCKEE	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	MCKEE	
12761	7/22/2010	MIKE	N	
12761	7/22/2010	MICHAEL	O	
12761	7/22/2010	DAVE	S	
12761	7/22/2010	LAURA	S	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	SARAIVA	
12761	7/22/2010	ANONYMOUS	SCHLESSINGER	
12761	7/22/2010	(ILL)	STOKES	
12761	7/22/2010	GARETT	T	
12761	7/22/2010	KELLY	T	
12761	7/22/2010	ROSA	V	
12761	7/22/2010	KURT	W	
12761	7/22/2010	ALY		
12761	7/22/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12761	7/22/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12761	7/22/2010	BORRIN		
12761	7/22/2010	CARL		
12761	7/22/2010	CAROL		
12761	7/22/2010	DAVID		
12761	7/22/2010	DONNA		
12761	7/22/2010	EDWIN		
12761	7/22/2010	EILEEN		
12761	7/22/2010	GEORGE		
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN		
12761	7/22/2010	JOHN		
12761	7/22/2010	JULIE		
12761	7/22/2010	KATHLEEN		
12761	7/22/2010	LILLY		
12761	7/22/2010	MARINA		
12761	7/22/2010	MERISSA		
12761	7/22/2010	PAUL		
12761	7/22/2010	RICHARD		
12761	7/22/2010	SHAUN		
12761	7/22/2010	VIOLETTA		

Number	Date	Name - First	Name - Last	Organization
12832	9/7/2010	DARREN	ANONYMOUS	
12851	8/30/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12854	9/8/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12874	8/31/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12882	8/31/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12892	9/12/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12893	9/12/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12894	9/12/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12895	9/12/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12932	9/9/2010	ERIC	UNKNOWN	
12932	9/9/2010	MICHELE	UNKNOWN	
12948	9/16/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12956	9/12/2010	ANONYMOUS		
12984	10/28/2010	ANONYMOUS		
13043	10/30/2010	(ILLEGIBLE)	(ILLEGIBLE)	
13043	10/30/2010	(ILLEGIBLE)	(ILLEGIBLE)	
13254	7/22/2010	ORGANIZATION		CITIZENS AGAINST THE TOWERS
13292	7/2/2010	RICHARD	(ILLEGIBLE)	
13292	7/2/2010	(ILLEGIBLE)		
13308	12/15/2009	ANONYMOUS		
13310	12/15/2009	ANONYMOUS		

Communication ID: 12522

Date Received: 6/10/2010

Name: SAM STENEVSEN,GRACE STENEVSEN

We are opposed to the proposed #26 route. We are currently building a home for our family and are very concerned over the health risks, quality of life, property values, and scenic impact. Please research alternative routes - thru public land - that won't have such a negative impact on families.

Thank you!

---

Communication ID: 12523

Date Received: 12/13/2009

Name: JON HEARRON

Over half our property is in the Chelatchie Creek flood area. One third of our property is not accesible because we are not allowed to build a bridge due to environmental and Native American concerns. Very sensitive environmental with an active Salmon run.

I know Clark County asked for proposals that would connect to a biomass plant at the old international paper plant. Please study the feasibility study as part of your evaluation process.

Please also consider that this segment #27 would destroy an ancient Chelatchie Indian marker for trade with the Yakima Indians.

Good luck with your evaluations

parcel [parcel number]

---

Communication ID: 12524

Date Received: 12/11/2009

Name: BRIAN N BAIRD

Dear Mr. Wright:

I am writing in regards to the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) I-5 Reinforcement Corridor Project to request additional information regarding the agency's consideration of alternatives for the project.

My staff informs me that BPA has been very responsive and informative on this issue and I thank your agency for keeping my office apprised of the project as it has progressed I also wish to express my appreciation for BPA's decision to extend the public comment period to December 14th to ensure residents have adequate time to document their concerns.



As I continue to hear from constituents regarding the project, many are advocating for the transmission lines to be buried underground, or for direct current underground superconductor technology to be used to negate potential health risks and impacts on property.

My staff informs me BPA concluded that direct current superconductor technology would not be appropriate for this particular project because of the importance of the reliability of this transmission line and the fact that the technology has not been tested by BPA. I also understand that more conventional underground transmission lines are being considered but are not expected to be feasible because of the concerns over cost, reliability, and potential environmental impacts.

Given the level of interest constituents have expressed in these alternatives to standard above ground transmission lines, I would appreciate any additional details you can provide regarding direct your response to Kimberly Blake Pincheira in my Vancouver office. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Brian N. Baird  
Member of Congress

---

Communication ID: 12525

Date Received: 6/10/2010

Name: EUGENE GREGORY BRADY,LESLIE M LOUNSBERRY-BRADY

I do not give you permission to enter my property. I do not give you permission to build a powerline across my property. There is plenty of state land for it to be built on. You have no right to force it on private owners who don't want it. King Creek is protected by the Wild River Act, so it would be illegal for you to try to cross it. This goes for parcel #1, parcel #2, parcel #3, parcel #4. Also has a fish bearing stream on it as well as some historical cedar that the native indian took bark strips off of. Stay out.

No amount of money could make me agree to allow you to build that power line on my property. I firmly refuse to allow it. This goes for the parcel numbers listed above in Section 27 T4N R4 EWM, and also #34 Section 35 T6N R3EWM. There is state land next door to both places. I will never allow it on mine.

---

Communication ID: 12526

Date Received: 6/10/2010

Name: TERRY MILLARD

This is proposed Line #27

I would not like these lines close to my home because of possible health issues.

Also my property and the surrounding properties have been declared Indian artifact land. We need permission by the State to dig any ground. BPA would violate all the laws the State says we cannot.

We also an historical tree across from my property that cannot be cutdown.

I feel that BPA would either have to buy my home or come so close to it on this proposed route that would displace me and my family. As it is right now the value on my land has been greatly reduced and if I must sell to BPA this will cause me to possibly become among the homeless ranks due to the recession and the loss of income for my wife.

---

Communication ID: 12527

Date Received: 1/21/2010

Name: BRIAN TREMBLEY

I am highly concerned not about encroachment on my property, but what it will do to my property's intended purpose. We purchased this land approximately 18 years ago with the intention of building a bed and breakfast, with its strength being peace and quiet. I am highly concerned about the static noise I am familiar with regarding high voltage powerlines. Our million dollar investment, plus 18 years of our lives, appears to be in jeopardy by your proposed project. I would like to speak to a real person regarding my concerns. Please call ASAP

---

Communication ID: 12528

Date Received: 1/11/2010

Name: TERESA LAMARRE

I am interested in purchasing property on [address] Delemeter Road, Castle Rock, Washington. I see the big transmission lines, and Castle Rock, looks to still be proposed. I see the red lines., but am very concerned on the location.

Please Contact Me

Teresa Lamarre

---

Communication ID: 12529

Date Received: 1/10/2010

Name: VICKY MARTIN,RICHARD MARTIN

What effect will this power line of 500Kv have on residents in close proximity? What affect will proposed line 11 have on the endangerment run of tulle and chinook sallmon "What affect will this line have on nesting bald eagles along line 11 on the Coweeman River? Why are we being forces to make a sacrifice with minimal compensation so power can be sent to CA, and BC? If there is a need for more transmission lines, and this is to better the general public, why not put the lines through public or state lands instead of private land owners? How will the spraying of herbacides onthe right away of line 11

affect the Coweeman River and the fish runs? How much noise will this 500kv line produce? why isn't BPA responsible to compensate for the devaluation of our property

Please Contact Me

Vicky & Richard Martin

[email]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12530

Date Received: 1/21/2010

Name: VIOLET O'KEATH

US Department of Energy, BPA,

Attn: Stephen G. Wright CEO

I agree: find a better way, no lines in populated areas.

In th 40s BPA gave my mother \$200 for a right away, that was suppose to be 4 ro 5 feet on her property. Now they say it is about 1/2 as wide as our property, which is 1 acre. I blame our 2 daughters that were from here, health problems on the power lines.

They shouldn't be built near people's homes. And we still have to pay property tax on the right away even though we can't do anything with it and the lines hurt our childrens health.

Sincerely,

Violet O'Keath

---

Communication ID: 12531

Date Received: 6/2/2010

Name: IVAN MANICINELLI-FRANCONI

I am opposed to destroying a whole neighborhood, green areas, wildlife regure on the Hazel Dell area for the sake of selling energy to California. Let California solve its energy issues without sacrificing our neighborhoods, green belt areas and wildlife habitat.

We have a peaceful and very well kept neighborhood where the import of 150' tall towers [illegible]ing radioactivity will jeopardize the lives of hundreds of families raising youn children, older folks and retirees.

There are other ares in rural parts of the state you can use. Leave our areas out of your expansion plans. Let Washington State neighborhoods be radiation free.

---

Communication ID: 12532

Date Received: 6/8/2010

Name: FRED SANTOLUCITO

Hello Mr Wright,

There has been significant controversy by local residents about BPA I5 Corridor Reinforcement Project for a number of reasons to include potential health risk, devaluation of property value (tax related) and impact to the environment. BPA has stated that it put these issues as BPA's top priorities.

Given these issues, I wanted to speak to you about another higher level issue which BPA has not addressed. That issue is the recognition the potential of these new 500KV towers being a viable terrorist target which should involve the US Homeland Security in the decision making placement process.

For me, personally, this has come to mind as the result of my past work in the service as a military intelligence analyst in photo interpretation and aerial surveillance. Also, my comments will reflect my experience as a cost and procurement analyst.

First, my experience with a major corporation and its suppliers in determining cost of supplier products leads me to believe that "lower costs" is the primary management driver in making final decisions. While other factors may be of greater importance, generally cost will be the key factor in the ultimate decision to be made.

I believe that this is the case with the BPA installation of the 500KV tower project. Existing BPA owned land and right of ways have brought the multiple potential alternative choices down to two which both use existing BPA property and easements.

However, a factor that should override this cost factor is to ensure the best security from terrorist attack of our power generating systems and the surrounding facilities.

By putting the new very large power lines and towers next to the existing ones, it provides an opportunity strike point by our enemy to shut down not only our local state of Washington power grid but also that of Oregon and California. It should be of the highest concern in the decision making process which affects three states.

The best decision, which would cost more, would be to separate the current lines and the new large 500KV towers by moving them to remote locations which are not running through populated areas. This would also give added protection from loss of power due to natural disasters by separating the existing towers from the new 500KV towers.

I understand that BPA, being responsible for its own return on investment, has to think of costs as the primary driving factor. However, I would suggest that given that this is of state and national interest, the government supplement their cost by covering the incremental amount of dollars needed for securing a less vulnerable route.

Either way, cost should not be the deciding factor when the ultimate consequences could be devastating.

Please give this your primary attention and thank you for your time, concern and action.

Best regards,

Fred Santolucito

[phone number]

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12533

Date Received: 1/12/2010

Name: CRAIG RANKINE

Dear Mr. Korsness:

Although there is no comment period for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement project the Department of Ecology (Ecology) reviewed the information provided and has the following comment(s):

TOXICS CLEANUP: Craig Rankine [phone number]

If contamination is observed along the power line right-of-way in Ecology's Southwest Region (in Pierce, Clark, Lewis, Cowlitz, Thurston and Skamania counties to name a few) during the project scoping or construction, sampling of the potentially contaminated media must be conducted. If contamination of soil or groundwater is readily visible, or is revealed by sampling, Ecology must be notified. Contact the Environmental Report Tracking System Coordinator at the Southwest Regional Office at [phone number] to provide notification. Please ask to be directed to Toxic Cleanup Program personnel familiar with the county of interest to provide assistance and information about subsequent cleanup and identifying the type of testing required.

Ecology's comments are based upon information provided by the lead agency. As such, they may not constitute an exhaustive list of the various authorizations that must be obtained or legal requirements that must be fulfilled in order to carry out the proposed action.

If you have any questions or would like to respond to these comments, please contact the appropriate reviewing staff listed above.

Department of Ecology

Southwest Regional Office

(SM: 09-5939A)

cc: Craig Rankine, VFO/TCP

---

Communication ID: 12534

Date Received: 1/20/2010

Name: ROBERT RAYA,PAULA RAYA

We live on proposed line #26.....IF built. .. We expect a route that does not impact our family, home or property you will have to FIND ANOTHER WAY!!! GO AWAY!!!! STAY AWAY!!!!!! Please leave us alone!!!! We will ALL fight for whats ours!!!! Robert and Paula Raya

---

Communication ID: 12535

Date Received: 1/21/2010

Name: ROBERT RAYA,PAULA RAYA

Subject: NO BPA

IF BUILT.... we expect a route that does not impact any of our FAMILIES, HOMES OR PROPERTIES ON ANY of the proposed lines left!!! We live on proposed line #26 and we are just DEVISTATED as you would be too!!!! WE WILL FIGHT THIS ALL THE WAY!!! We have our grand babies, our dogs and our health at stake here THIS IS ABSOLUTELY WRONG YOU REALLY NEED TO RECONSIDER THIS!!!! PLEASE PLEASE BPA FIND ANOTHER WAY!!!! Robert and Paula Raya

---

Communication ID: 12537

Date Received: 1/14/2010

Name: LESLIE STEWART BELL

Subject: BPA's interactive map website?

Why is BPA not showing all the segments on the website they are alerting the public to? This is not a malfunction of software. Please look into this ASAP.

BPA has done a poor job of alerting homeowners/property owners. This overlook is pure blasphemy.  
<http://www.bpa.gov/gis/i5/gmviewer.html>

Leslie Stewart Bell

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12538

Date Received: 1/25/2010

Name: WES DOWNING,ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Subject: BPA lines

Hello:

I am writing about the BPA lines to be placed on private land in CLark Co. This area of the county is very scenic, and probably the last scenic area, that hasn't been destroyed by population explosion.

It would suffer real scars if these lines go in and destroy a lot of places that wildlife live. why isn't BPA looking for a route that doesn't impact so many people? How about over public lands?

I feel we have rights and we're going to do everything possible to keep these rights, and we would like you to consider all the people whose lands and home you are willing to trash. Please respond.

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12539

Date Received: 2/3/2010

Name: CAREY A ROSENLUND,GRANT ROSENLUND

Subject: Please think about the little children! NO BPA HERE!

Dear Mark Korsness-

Hi- My name is Carey and I'm married to Grant and we have four healthy children. Our oldest, Brock will be 6 this summer is in kindergarten and loves to play in his new tree fort his Daddy built. His sister Ciara will be 4 and she is either playing with her dolls or right outside with Brock. Ty is one and a half and I can't keep him inside for nothing. He follows the kitties around wherever they go. Our little Demi is 3 months and as sweet as they come.

We have been blessed!

My husband Grant is currently building our new home on the land we purchased in August of 2009 at [address]. (We live in a trailer on the land while it is being built) It was a shock to receive mail about a possible power line going over our land! It feels even more threatening knowing other lines were eliminated, leaving line 26 a direct path for you. If we would have known about these lines we would not have bought this land to build a home to raise our young family only to have it ruined with huge power lines. As it is my husband has already put many hours in building our hopes and dreams. I don't want to have that fear every day that my kids are playing in the beautiful outdoors that potentially could be harmful to them. My dad has worked at Clark Public Utilities for 30 years and he understands the necessity of power lines, but he stands by me on this that you all at BPA would think twice before you ruin and destroy people's lives, families and their memories and hopes and dreams to come. You have other options you can use without affecting so many private homes. Please look elsewhere and keep those little children in mind. They will be continuing on legacies and generations to come. You don't want to disrupt that do you? I appreciate your time and consideration in this very important matter.

Thank you.

Grant and Carey with our four

---

Communication ID: 12540

Date Received: 2/2/2010

Name: WILLIAM DOTY,ROXANNE DOTY

Please have your environmental studies look at:

Parcels # [parcel number], [parcel number], , [parcel number], , [parcel number], [parcel number], [parcel number], [parcel number], [parcel number], [parcel number], in North Clark County

These parcels make up a 480 acre tree farm that has been in our family over 50 years. It is being actively managed for timber production, wildlife habitat and fish restoration. Includes an extensive stream enhancement project by Fish First that was federally funded to increase salmon runs. Have many acres enrolled in CRP, CREP, and other federal programs to protect Cedar Crcek, Johns Creek, and others.

Property also includes several ponds and wetlands. We have been good stewards ofthe land and hate to have our efforts negated by a power line. We are also concerned about the loss of property values, negative health consequences, and decreased timber production. We strongly urge you to consider a more easterly route and not penalize us for having this larger acreage and not dividing it into smaller home sites. This is a copy of our comments sent to the BPA. Thank You,

Willam Doty, Roxanne Doty Name: William and Roxanne Doty

Address: [address]

---

Communication ID: 12541

Date Received: 1/11/2010

Name: LOREN HESTER,CINDY HESTER

ATTN: Anita J. Decker, COO (Chief Operating Officer ofBonneville Power

Administration)

Project Manager, Mark Korsness:

Paul Woolson

Karen Francisco

This Letter of Constructive Notice is in response to your Permission To Enter

Property letter concerning the I5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.



Please be advised any and all BPA personnel, their agents, sub-agents, designates, surveyors, and any and all parties, companies, or persons operating under BPA direction here and forever are now specifically barred and prohibited from entering my property, at any time for any purpose

and are also similarly barred and prohibited from the private road. Abernathy

Road, and do not have my permission to travel down it on or past my real property at the aforementioned address.

This is pursuant to the Revised Code Of Washington Sections RCW 9A.52.070 RCW

9A.52.080 RCW 9A.52.090 and any and all other applicable statutes.

The BPA's notification process and mailings fail to meet any accepted minimal legal standard, they are obtuse and misleading. They do not contain any provision for a "NO" response. They make no mention of my inherent right to deny access to anyone from entering my private property for any reason at any time. I take great personal and legal exception to the BPA's letter. Cease and desist any and all of BPA's intentions surrounding or concerning my lawful and private Real Property.

Failure to comply will result in the lawful arrest and prosecution of any and all concerned trespassing parties. Any further communication concerning these issues must be in the form of a registered letter.

Lore and Cindy Hester

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12542

Date Received: 2/17/2010

Name: DOUG G VAUGHAN

Subject: Proposed I-5 Reenforcement Project

Dear Mr. Korsness, Mr. Luce

I am writing this note to ask that any and all parts of the proposed I-5 Reenforcement project do not impact the people and homes from a visual, environmental or direct economic (lower property value) standpoint, not to mention the debated health risks. I have not found anyone who would be OK with the idea of having one of the towers and high power lines adjacent to or even near their homes. These are people's lives that you would be impacting. I think that is the highest priority, and one that appears to be mis-prioritized from the current set of factors being weighed and considered.

Regards,

Doug Vaughan

---

Communication ID: 12543

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: MARYANNE SIMONDS

1916 - owner has concerns and information regarding the wildlife inventory in the Mill Creek area. She would like to discuss the data with the person collecting information for the baseline studies for wildlife on the EIS. She would also like to discuss the potential of utilizing the transmission corridors as a wildlife highway.

---

Communication ID: 12544

Date Received: 2/22/2010

Name: DAVID GILROY

Mark: I had a phone call from David Gilroy who is the spokesperson for Mill Creek Forest subdivision. He lives at [address], and his phone number is [phone number]. I tried to help him with his questions about the I-5 replacement, but he had quite a few more technical questions. Could you please call him? Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12545

Date Received: 2/21/2010

Name: W. DAVID SOCOLOFSKY

Mr. Korsness  
Project Manager  
BPA

Dear Mr. Korsness:

Please reconsider any possibility of adding power lines along I-5 and due east from Ross Complex (Proposed lines #9 and #25). These lines go directly through some of the densest and most expensive developments in Clark county.

There is considerable property to the east where the impact on residents and property values is considerably less. I recommend that you take #9 & #25 off the list of considerations. Any of the proposed routes to the east would

have far less adverse impact on the community.

Thank you,

W. David Socolofsky

---

Communication ID: 12546

Date Received: 2/1/2010

Name: WES DOWNING,ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Subject: BPA lines

Hello:

I have written to you before, and maybe a year or two doesn't sound like much time for something of this magnitude, but what about people who are selling or thinking about selling their homes and land? We are in limbo while this is going on. We have a 6 acre parcel we were planning on selling this year because our esteemed president is planning on raising the capital gains tax. This is going to hit pretty hard. We don't have much patience for all this, beauracracy is alive and well, and doing its best to crush us all.

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12547

Date Received: 2/26/2010

Name: THERESA CORNETT,MARY J HARRIS,JAMES HARRIS,RANJIT KURUP,EVELYN SCHNOEBELEN,YARO SCHNOEBELEN,JENNIFER STREISSGUTH,RON WILSON,WENDY WILSON

Dear Mark:

We are writing to vehemently oppose building a new transmission line and associated substations along the existing BPA transmission lines of Segments 9 and 25. We are deeply concerned about the potential exposure to EMF radiation as well as the inherent buzzing noise associated with the new transmission lines. It can be argued back and forth the long term effects of EMF radiation but unless the BPA can 100% guarantee that there are no possible side effects, this proposal puts hundreds of families in harm's way. Although building the new transmission lines along the existing lines is probably more economically feasible, the potential health problems far outweigh the financial savings of this project. It would make much more sense to build the lines further east where the population is less dense.

Another great concern of ours is the lower property value that is inevitable if the new transmission lines are built along the existing lines of Segments 9 and 25. We feel that we are held hostage by the BPA. People cannot sell their homes now without disclosing the possible building of the new transmission lines. Hundreds of homes currently exist next to the 230KV power lines with a greenbelt as a barrier. If the proposed 500KV towers are built next to the 230KV lines, the greenbelts will be cut down and people will be forced to look at the massive towers, which can be built as close as 75 feet from their homes.

Who will want to buy a home that borders the towers? How many tens of thousands of dollars would our property values decrease? In addition, many people could not sell their homes unless it was a cash

buyer because the property would be appraised below either an outstanding mortgage or what the property is actually worth.

Property values will definitely be affected, possibly by as much as \$100,000 per household. That also means that homeowners will demand for new home assessments. The reduction in property tax revenue will affect needed funds for schools, libraries, fire districts and the county, including road funds.

The BPA proposed route of 9 and 25 needs to be stopped before it is started. We plead with you to put pressure on the BPA to build the transmission lines further east in less populated areas. Thank you for your consideration.

---

Communication ID: 12549

Date Received: 2/5/2010

Name: STEPHEN JACOBSON

February 5, 2010

RE: Recent correspondence and Study Area Map/TEP-TPP-3

Dear Sirs:

I would like to request a copy of a map that shows lines 41, 42, 43, and 44 in greater detail than the one you sent me that covers the entire corridor between castle Rock and Troutdale.

Thank You,

Steve Jacobson

[address]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12550

Date Received: 2/20/2010

Name: JERRY DON BINKLY

Subject: Proposed BPA Power Lines

Dear Ms. Decker, Mr. Korsness

We are some of the many people who are being impacted by the proposed BPA power lines. We have already had a huge decrease in our home's value during the recent housing debacle. BPA's threat of using the power of eminent domain to force us to sell our home has further damaged our home's value. What will be offered to us as "fair market value" will be trivial compared to the hundreds of thousands

of dollars that we have invested in our property. If we are forced to sell we will never recover the savings that we have invested in our homes and it severely impact our future and our financial security.

This will also damage Clark County and Washington State financially as well, since once these properties are gone, they will never be replaced. No one will want to buy or build in an area covered by high power lines. The property taxes that we pay to support the schools, and community services in the county and state will be gone and will not be replaced. The "Stimulus Money" proposed to pay for this project will do more harm than good, in the long run.

The benefits of this project will go to Canada and California, not to the State of Washington. If we must have BPA's high power lines, can we not put them in an area that is unpopulated?

Please move the construction of this project to an uninhabited area. This is crucial to the welfare of our community.

Thank you,

Jerry Don Binkly

---

Communication ID: 12551

Date Received: 6/14/2010

Name: JIM YAPOFF

Hi. My name is Jim Yapoff and my phone is [phone number] and I don't have a comment. I have a question. I have an address on Harvest Lane and I don't know if it's in Section 21, 22 or 20 is a possibility also, let's see. Yes, 21, 22 and 23. So basically I just want to know if my property is even anywhere within those corridors. If you could help me with that, I'd appreciate it. Again, Jim Yapoff, [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12552

Date Received: 1/22/2010

Name: KATHLEEN A JOHNSON,GEORGE RAITER,AXEL SWANSON

Dear Mr. Korsness:

The purpose of this letter is to request BPA to design and conduct a more complete public involvement effort in connection with the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

We urge you to form a BPA Citizen Advisory Committee in order to be fully informed and to provide input. This would allow immediate dissemination of definitive information on this project.

Please advise us if there will be another open comment period for Cowlitz County and our citizens to respond on the current options. We appreciate your consideration.

Sincerely,

Board of County Commissioners  
of Cowlitz County, Washington  
George Raiter, Chair  
Axel Swanson, Commissioner  
Kathleen A. Johnson, Commissioner  
cc: commissioners record

---

Communication ID: 12554

Date Received: 2/3/2010

Name: DAN FLEGEL

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project  
Portland, Oregon 97207  
RE: Project Engineer

Greetings:

I am assuming that your EIS is about to, or has been started at this time. I believe that an EMF (electrical magnetic fields) measurement study be conducted as part of the EIS. It should be done comparable to the projected 500-kilovolt line in the design configuration. It should be completed not only from an esthetic standpoint, but should be completed from a public health, electrical interruption, and real estate value standpoint.

The EMF should be completed in great detail and written in layman's language for the average person to understand what the significant impacts are. This is what an EIS is suppose to do, thus I believe that Bonneville will do what's best not only for the entity itself, but the consumer as well.

If, in fact Bonneville is not able to complete this study, suggested engineering firms are available to do the study. For more information, one may contact me at [phone number].

Sincerely,

Dan Flegel

CC: Bill Musgrove

Keith Lawrence  
Larry Peterson  
Attorney at large

---

Communication ID: 12555

Date Received: 3/22/2010

Name: C (ILL) (ILL),PAUL A C (ILL),L (ILL) G (ILL),BRUCE GREENBERG,KATHY L GREENBERG,LISA MCCALL,WILLIAM A NELSON,KAYE A NELSON,MICHAEL O'BRIEN,SHELLY O'BRIEN,JENNIFER PALMER (ILL),MATT (ILL) SEFF,CONSTANCE STEFFER,MAYNARD G STEFFER

Dear Mr. Korsness,

We are writing to you regarding our concern about the possible building of

new 15 story towers and high voltage transmission lines bordering many communities along Segments 9 and 25, as proposed by the BPA.

The exposure to new power lines and towers would not only be a health

hazard but the unsightly equipment would seriously affect the value of our

homes and make the sales of homes difficult and reduce much needed property tax to the county.

In addition, we believe that excess power is already being sold to California

which makes us wonder what motivates the need for additional power. How could BPA put adults at risk for brain and breast cancer, Alzheimer's, Lou Gehrig's disease and leukemia for our children because you are not willing to spend a little more and take the unpopulated route? We encourage you to pressure the BPA to not build the towers and high voltage lines along the highly populated routes of 9 and 25.

Sincerely,

William Nelson

Kaye Nelson

[address]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12557

Date Received: 4/9/2009

Name: DON BENTON

Dear Bonneville Power Administration,

I am writing as an elected representative of the residents of Clark County in strong opposition of the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project proposed power line route.

While I can understand overall the need for this project, I have to oppose any undertaking that so drastically affects the land value and quality of life for Clark County residents. With the installation of these 500 foot towers, property values will plummet. Those trying to sell their homes will be held in limbo while a decision is being made. The noise the lines produce and the sight of the 500 foot towers will make it difficult for people to enjoy the outdoors. Hard-working families and their children will be

put at risk for adverse health effects, as evidenced by the World Health Organization's study on electromagnetic fields.

In a housing market where prices have already dropped, any action that further reduces property values makes it even more difficult to recover. In addition, the loss in property values will result in a loss of tax revenue to local government, which in turn increases the tax burden on all of the other property owners in the county. This is a lose-lose situation for everyone.

As a representative for the homeowners and residents of Clark County, I urge you to reconsider the route of the transmission lines. Doing so will benefit everyone.

Sincerely,

Don Benton  
STATE SENATOR  
Seventeenth District

---

Communication ID: 12558

Date Received: 4/17/2010

Name: JIM HUBBARD,PATTI HUBBARD

RE: BPA proposed 500 KV Transmission Line

Dear elected official,

My husband and I own property that is underneath the proposed huge BPA Transmissions lines.

We are retired. We have already had to comply with the BPA somewhat silly rules about trees ( we had remove very small cherry and apple trees and we did. ) They were rude in their dealings with us.

Of course we object to the BPA proposal on more serious grounds. Loss of property value, health and safety concerns, the ugliness of such huge structures, noise and other issues. We oppose this project on all levels.

We are also going to have to experience a couple of years of construction, dirt, mess, loss of access to our road access due to the WSDOT project to widen Highway 14 in Clark County WA. This will reduce our property values and increase noise and pollution when we walk down our driveway.

They are taking part of our property for a frontage road. This project is due to begin fall of 2010.

We would like to just be able to live our retired lives without more governmental agencies taking away our land, quality of living and peace of mind. Want our five young grandchildren to be able to play in a healthy place. Please do what you can to have the BPA place their lines in areas that are not filled with houses.



Sincerely,

Jim and Patricia Hubbard  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12559

Date Received: 4/30/2010

Name: LORELL RISNER

Subject: BPA

Congratulations to BPA. Your company has successfully made Bank Of America decline a loan for a home due to the wonderful powerline proposal in the area our grandson was to buy a home. This is not the first transaction & won't be the last BPA will mess up.

Why don't you put it OUT in LESS populated areas where the health risks are dramatically reduced, & the value of forested land will not be affected?????

Have a good day.

Lorell Risner

---

Communication ID: 12560

Date Received: 5/11/2010

Name: ROY THORP

Subject: PEP question

At the recent meeting at Prairie High School, a gentleman asked me why we would need access to his property.

Apparently, he is a little off the ROW. Could you call him to discuss? His name is Roy Thorp. He lives at [address]. Phone number is [phone number].

Thanks.

Doug Johnson  
Bonneville Power Administration  
[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12561

Date Received: 5/12/2010

Name: BILL BATO

Received a call from Bill Bato, a landowner on segment 51 of the I-5 project. He originally said he wanted to talk to an engineer about how the line would be constructed, how big towers would be, etc. I talked to him for a while and explained the general process and timeline. He seemed to get less edgy over the course of the conversation but still had detail questions about how landowners would be treated, how we would negotiate with them, etc., that I did not know the answers to. I don't mean to pass this off but I'm not sure who to go to next.

Bill Bato  
[phone number]

Michael Milstein  
Public Affairs  
Bonneville Power Administration  
[phone number]  
[website]

---

Communication ID: 12562

Date Received: 5/21/2010

Name: SHONNY BRIA

Dear Mark,

I am writing this letter on behalf of the Battle Ground Board of Directors. The Directors thank Doug Johnson of BPA Public Relations for attending their board meeting on April 24 and sharing the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

Even though most questions were answered in depth, the board still has concerns regarding the health risks EMF power lines pose. The district has two schools located less than one-fourth mile from the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project; Pleasant Valley Middle and Pleasant Valley Primary Schools. Depending on the year, the two schools house up to 1,000 students. The children's play fields are located in the back of the school and are directly facing the proposed lines. For this reason, and based on research done over the past ten years regarding the health risks to children including leukemia and other cancers, the board of Directors request that the BPA consider other proposed power line locations.

Thank you for your consideration and the opportunity to provide input from Battle Ground School District.

Sincerely,

Shonny Bria, PH.D  
Superintendent

---

Communication ID: 12563

Date Received: 5/11/2010

Name: JAMES A HARO, MARIAN HARO

Dear Mr. Stephen J. Wright,

We are writing to voice our objection to the 500 KV transmission lines that the BPA is planning to construct in the heavily populated area of Clark County. Route 25 goes near schools and homes and will cause health problems and cause property values to plummet.

The BPA has said there are no ill effects from EMF and yet we know that BPA employees wear EMF monitors to regulate their exposure to EMF to keep them healthy. Many homes will be within 150 feet of these 500KV lines and children will be exposed daily, 24/7. The towers will be twice as high as the existing and very unsightly.

We are asking you to remove route 25 from consideration and to build your transmission lines to the east where there are more trees than people. Even though this will cost more it is the moral thing to do in the long run. You can't put a price on the health of our children nor should the government jeopardize the health of the children to provide electricity to any state or community. Think about it, would you want your children/grandchildren to have this high voltage line in their backyard? I don't think so. Please do the right thing for the families that live near the existing power line. Thank you.

Sincere Regards,

James and Marian Haro

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12564

Date Received: 5/24/2010

Name: JUDITH IBBS

Mr. Kehoe, Mr. Maslen

I am writing to request that you do everything in our power of influence to keep the proposed BPA 500kV lines out of the Lewis River Valley.

We need to protect the health of all our children who attend and future children who will be attending the Yale Elementary School. Yale Elementary is one of the few Remote and Necessary schools in the state of Washington. There are far too many adverse health risks associated with 500 kV lines.

We need to protect our cemetery grounds which include the burial site of many pioneer families along the upper Lewis River.

We need to protect our Kokanee spawning grounds. I live within a few feet of Speelyai Creek. When the water level is high enough the Kokanee come in from Yale Lake side and spwan all the way to the west falls of the Speelyai.

We have four species of native trout here that need to be protected - the Dollyvarden now called Bull Trout, Rainbow Trout, Brook Trout, and Cut Throat Trout. In addition, we have Native Steelhead Trout on the Lewis River. In addition, the Osprey nests nearby and annually raise their young in our area.

Another matter of great concern is the heli-pad for life flights that is located behind Pleasant View Community Church. We need the life flight service out here and without having the fear of high voltage lines.

Another deep concern is our water supply. Not one person wants to have their well water contaminated by the multiple chemicals that BPA will definitely use. I do not believe for one minute that BPA will come out with a machine to cut underbrush.

The Yale Valley Arts Festival entering its eighth year was initiated to bring both locals and visitors together to celebrate and enjoy the beauty of this valley. The towers to hold the 500kV lines will totally spoil this annual celebration. The festival helps to bring tourism to our valley and county.

Further down Lewis River we have the Lelooksa Cultural Center. The cultural center is on a proposed line segment as set forth by Bonneville Power Authority. A live fire is used during the performances when stories are being told. The destruction of this cultural center can never be replaced.

I am of the opinion that a public utility should remain on public property and not be placed on private land. I am also of the opinion that BPA can use existing corridor on the Oregon side of the Columbia River without needless interruption of any more lives, homes, and private property.

Sincerely,

Judith Ibbs

[address]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12565

Date Received: 3/24/2010

Name: JOHN GESSNER

Dear Mr. Korsness,

I am writing with regard to the I-5 Reinforcement Project between Castle Rock, WA and Troutdale, OR. The Cities of Fairview and Troutdale and the Port of Portland were recently awarded a \$2.3 grant to construct a paved multiuse trail along the levee between Marine Drive in Fairview and Sundial Road in

Troutdale. The proposed alignment runs along the south face of the levee in the area of the proposed Troutdale substation as shown on the attached schematic plan.

Although we are not yet in design, I did want to share this information with you so that we can identify any site issues or potential conflicts related to the Troutdale substation as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration. Do not hesitate to contact me at [phone number] to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

John Gesser  
Community Development Director

---

Communication ID: 12566

Date Received: 6/2/2010

Name: MICHAEL DE JONG, NACOLE DE JONG

Dr. Mr. Wright,

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am strongly opposed to the 500 kv transmission lines and associated 150' towers being constructed in the populated areas of Southwest Washington. I am particularly concerned about route segment 25 because it is literally in my backyard. I am asking that you support the citizens of this community and only consider routes located in unpopulated areas.

It is my understanding that BPA has stated repeatedly that there is "no causative effect" between EMF (electric magnetic fields) and health risks, yet BPA employees who work in or near EMF areas wear monitors around their neck. After they have received a specific level of EMF exposure they are sent home for the day. Where are my family and neighbors supposed to go when we have received our maximum safe dose of EMF for the day? Why does BPA protect their employees but not the citizens of SW Washington?

Several states (including California) have passed laws restricting the placement of high voltage power line near schools. However, it does not appear that BPA even bothered to plot school locations on its segment routes. Are the children living on routes 9 and 25 less valuable than those that in other states?

Additionally, BPA claims that running these 500kv lines in the unpopulated eastern-most areas of SW Washington would cost an additional \$50 to \$69 million dollars. However, it is my understanding that the BPA spends \$800 million EVERY YEAR on fish and wildlife. In light of this, are you seriously unwilling to spend \$50 to \$69 million ONE TIME ONLY on protecting human life?

Furthermore, this transmission line will have a serious negative impact on property values due to health, aesthetic, and noise impacts. Property values have already decreased over 30% in the past several years and I cannot afford to allow BPA to reduce them further. Even the ability to sell our devalued homes will

be severely impacted. FHA won't offer loans to those who live within the "fall zone" of the 150' towers. My home (and many others) is located within the fall zone.

Finally, Mark Korsness, Senior Project Manager for BPA has requested that those persons who wear pacemakers should contact his office because they are concerned that certain types of pacemakers could be effected by the electromagnetic field. This fact alone should warrant constructing these lines away from the population. How will BPA be able to keep pacemaker patients from working, living, hiking, or visiting family and friends around these transmission lines?

In closing, the BPA claims that this project is needed to satisfy the growing demand of utility customers in SW Washington and Oregon. We know that the demand is much higher in Oregon than in SW Washington. Furthermore, a significant amount of power is being transferred from Canada to California. This power transfer adds to the profits of BPA.

I am not anti-electricity nor do I oppose BPA's plan to construct new lines. I understand that they will be needed. What I do oppose is the fact that the citizens of SW Washington will be forced to pay the price with their health and wallets.

Please do the morally right thing and drop all proposed lines that run through highly populated urban areas and neighborhoods. Please choose the routes that run through unpopulated areas east of Vancouver.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael and Nacole De Jong  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12567

Date Received: 2/20/2010

Name: JOHN P TUININGA

Dear BPA,

I am writing to express our concern about your proposed Line 30 in the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project and the pending decision to acquire Right of Way through our subdivision. Our subdivision is located east of Battle Ground and is adjacent to DNR land. Needless to say it is a very pristine area with many view lots of both the Yacolt National Forest and the valley below. The thought of BPA erecting main transmission lines through this area is very alarming to the homeowners.

Our subdivision does have covenants and restrictions on file that preclude homeowners from erecting structures that would be a visual impairment to the local vistas. It clearly states the land use restrictions.

I have enclosed a copy of our CC&R's for your review. These clearly would preclude homeowners selling you a utility easement for your transmission lines.

The fact of the matter is that nobody up here wants our power lines or substations anywhere near our area, for many reasons. The erection of transmission lines would directly affect home and property values for the worse. Nobody wants to hear the ever present hum and snap of high voltage lines adjacent to their house. Scientists have mixed opinions on the effects of EMF and what it can do to people and livestock; however there are cases where it is believed it has had detrimental effect. Not only is there the health effects but also it an effect household electronics. Our homeowners live here for many of the same reasons, the views, rural surroundings, peace and quiet, and to make a better life our families to be raised. Your proposed Line 30 directly affects our way of life and we will not sit idle while you attempt to jeopardize this.

The BPA Line 30 proposed Right of Way is rather large and it is unclear how it was ascertained. It appears you have other routes you could use to construct transmission lines that would have less impact on people. If in fact you do choose the Line 30 it would be our suggestion that you shift it as far east as you can in the proposed Right-of Way. I thank you for your time and I am looking forward to your response.

Respectfully,

John Tuininga  
President Kaskillah Road Association  
[Kaskilla CC&Rs attached]

---

Communication ID: 12568

Date Received: 5/24/2010

Name: RICHARD COLF,ROBERT COLF,MARGARET COLF HEPOLA,GERRY REITSCH

Dear Mr. Korsness,

Please be advised that we represent Margaret Colf Hepola in connection with her real property holdings. We understand that the Bonneville Power Administration is considering rerouting a proposed I-5 orrdor Reinforcement Project through a portion of Ms. Hepola's land.

Please be advised that any and all grants of Permission to Enter Property executed by Margaret Colf Hepola are hereby cancelled and revoked. Any further entry onto the lands of our client will be treated as trespasses and reported to law enforcement agencies.

We also represent her sons, Richard Colf and Robert Colf, who own land affected by the proposed project. Our clients will not consent to entry onto their properties and will never be willing sellers of any land or easements for your project.

Ms. Hepola is ninety-three years old and she and her sons have threatened with condemnation several times over the years. They are extremely resistant to any efforts to appropriate their property and are prepared to fight as long as necessary to stop any such actions by your agency.

Please send copies of any further communications to our clients to this office.

Very truly yours,  
Gerry A. Reitsch

---

Communication ID: 12569

Date Received: 5/15/2010

Name: JOHN WELINSKI, KAREN R WELINSKI

Dear Mr. Wright, Mr. Korsness, Mr. Beckendahl

This letter is in regards to the I-5 corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am strongly opposed to 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers (or any of that kind) being constructed in areas of Southwest Washington where people reside. I ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on unpopulated lands such as State forested areas away from private homeowners.

My husband is a retired Marine after 23 years of service. We decided to move back to Camas where we both grew up to raise our two children. We have worked hard to provide a safe place for our family to live and feel fortunate to be able to raise our family in this area. Choosing a home in the country was important to us to provide our family with cleaner air away from city population and also allows our children to take in the wildlife that surrounds our property. With BPA's proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project our quality of life that we have now would be jeopardized along with others in this community.

BPA has stated repeatedly that there is "no causative effect" between electric magnetic fields and health risks, yet BPA employees who work in the electric magnetic fields wear monitors around their necks! When EMF exposure is at a certain level, they are asked to go home. Does BPA protect their employees and not their citizens of SW Washington? I am really concerned for my children growing up around this type of transmission lines.

We are also concerned about the impact on our property values. I have spoken to many people in the real estate business that have relayed the message that property values would decrease substantially and it would be hard to sell our home. This concerns me since we have used our savings to purchase our dream home just like many others and we won't be able to sell it in the future for close to what we paid for it. I don't know about most people, but we don't have excess cash to purchase a new home without the proceeds from the sale of our current home. Property values have decreased over 30% in the past few years; we cannot afford to allow BPA to reduce them anymore. FHA won't offer loans to those who live within the "fall zone" of the 150 foot towers.



We realize that it would cost BPA more (one time only 50 to 60 million) to place the towers on State Forest Land., but doesn't the people of Clark and Cowlitz County deserve to live in a healthy environment and enjoy their home without the worry of health problems as well as being able to sell their investment that they have worked hard to purchase in the future.? Children as well as all citizens should not have to live under these circumstances. BPA should do the right thing to protect our citizens and place these transmission lines in State forest areas AWAY FROM THE CITIZENS OF OUR COMMUNITY!

Please help us to encourage BPA to move transmission lines to unpopulated lands, such as State Forested Land.

Thank you,

John and Karen Welinski  
(Segment 39)

---

Communication ID: 12570

Date Received: 5/12/2010

Name: LINDA HERRINGTON

Dear Mr. Wright,

With regard to the Bonneville Power Administrations' proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project, I am strongly opposed to the 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in populated areas of Southwest Washington. I entreat you to heed citizens' objections to this project by only considering routes that are on public, unpopulated lands.

I oppose any consideration of the existing power-line corridor because of two main concerns: potential threats to residents' health and certain degradations of property values.

While BPA claims there is "no causative effect" between electric magnetic fields and health risks, BPA employees who work in the EMF wear monitors around their necks. When the workers have received a specific maximum level of EMF wear exposure, they are dismissed from the work site for the day. Also, several studies conducted by unbiased scientists in various countries have shown statistical correlations between cancer rates and the proximity of cancer patients' places of residence to high-voltage power lines.

Several states, California among them, have enacted laws that restrict high-voltage lines from being erected near schools. Yet BPA did not plot school locations on segment routes under consideration. I am concerned about the potential health dangers to students if the proposed lines are installed near school campuses.

BPA claims that running these lines in the eastern-most areas of SW Washington through DNR lands would be significantly more costly, adding between \$50 and \$69 million to the project, over the cost of

following the existing western-most corridor. Each year BPA spends more than 10 times this amount -- about \$800 million -- on fish and wildlife programs. Is the agency not willing to spend \$50 to \$69 million once to safeguard the quality of human life?

The proposed transmission lines will have a negative impact on nearby properties' values due to health concerns, noise, and visual blight. This, in turn, would reduce the revenues available to fund public schools.

Property values in Clark County have decreased more than 30% in the past few years due to the recession. Property owners can ill afford to allow BPA to reduce them more. Realtors agree the ability to sell homes near the towers will be significantly impacted. Mindful of this, FHA won't offer loans to those who live in the "fall zone" of 150 foot electrical transmission towers.

I strongly urge you to choose a route for the power lines that is not located near schools and privately owned residences.

Sincerely,

Linda M. Herrington  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12571

Date Received: 5/8/2010

Name: WILLIAM A NELSON, KAYE A NELSON

Dear Mr. Bekkendahl,

I am writing about the proposed I-5 corridor Project. My neighbors and I are strongly opposed to 500-kV transmission lines and 150-foot towers built in the populated areas of SW Washington. I ask that you support our county commissioners in only considering routes that are on public or unpopulated lands.

I am very concerned about the health risks from EMFs. I understand that BPA employees who work near EMF fields wear monitors around their necks and are sent home when they receive a specific level of exposure. BPA protects their employees but not the people of SW Washington.

Several states (including California) have passed laws restricting high voltage lines from going up near schools, yet BPA did not even plot school locations on segment routes. BPA has stated they are not required to follow state laws, only federal laws, but aren't SW Washington children as valuable as children who live in California?

Please keep the line away from residential and populated areas.

Sincerely,

Kaye Nelson

[address]

Copy: Senator Patty Murray, Senator Maria Cantwell, Governor Christine Gregoire

---

Communication ID: 12572

Date Received: 5/12/2010

Name: PHILLIP HENDRIX, MARGARET A HENDRIX

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are writing to you about the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that the Bonneville Power Administration is developing in Clark and Cowlitz Counties which would pass directly behind our home.

Building new electrical towers that are twice the size of the existing ones will have a huge negative impact on the value of our home and on the communities of Southwest Washington which realtors estimate at 40 to 50% of the current market values. This impacts not only the homeowner but also the tax revenues that support the City of Vancouver and Clark County services.

These negative impacts will be aesthetic, environmental, and economic and health related especially as related to children. Currently, the 80 foot towers are buffered by mature trees, which provide noise barriers, filter the air, and absorb ground water runoff, shelter wildlife, and provide an aesthetic benefit to homeowners such as ourselves. The proposal to replace these with 150 foot towers, along with the loss of green space would negate all these benefits and negatively impact the quality of life in our small subdivision which has many young children.

Locating the power lines in densely populated areas will also expose thousands of us to 500,000 volt transmission lines. We understand that 40 schools as well as hundreds of homes and businesses are located within one mile of the proposed towers.

We understand from the information provided by your agency that several options are currently being considered; one with towers near population's centers, one with towers located in rural areas. As this plan develops, we strongly urge the Bonneville Power Administration to value the lives and property values of the people, local governments, business and educational organizations along the route. We urge you to choose the plan that will have the least impact on communities and people. We urge you to locate those towers away from the population centers. The welfare of citizens must be the number one factor in making the final decision.

Sincerely,

Phillip and Margaret Hendrix

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12573

Date Received: 1/18/2010

Name: DAVE ROSS,CLAIRE ROSS

RE: BPA's proposal to run power lines thru Clark County

Mr. Korsness

This letter is in response to your letter dated December 21, 2009.

Let me first say that while your plan to harness the excess power being generated has merit, the route that you have chosen is clearly inappropriate, as it comes straight through a heavily populated area of Clark County rather than taking the line east and dropping it down south through what is basically farm and timber land. The route you have chosen will have a drastic effect on property values and property taxes. If we are unsuccessful in having the route changed, I intend putting my home and property up for sale.

In your letter you state that segments 27, 31, 42 and 44 will not be considered due to resistance from the people in said areas. As these areas are mostly farm land and can hardly be compared to the number of people that live (and are resisting your proposal) in the areas you have chosen to run the power lines through, it might be said that your action in this regard is self serving to some degree.

To highlight the various reasons that the proposed route is inappropriate I am enclosing (should you choose to read them) two letters that I wrote to the Attorney General's office and the "chair person" for the anti-BPA committee.

In conclusion, if a change is not made in the routing of your lines, it will say little for our democracy where peaceful protest is once ed, where the will of the people is being ignored in favor of the holy dollar (you can have the power in Troutdale but take' hrough less populated areas).

Sincerely,

David Ross

cc: Clark County Auditor

cc: DNR

cc: The Columbian

Attached letters:

-----

Attorney General of Washington State

[Address]

RE: BPA's proposal to run power lines thru Clark County

Dear Sir:

We were recently advised by BPA that they intended to erect high voltage lines to run from Castle Rock to Troutdale.

While the lines intended to go north of the Lewis River at Ariel involves mostly timberland, the BPA's proposal coming south from Ariel would run into heavily populated areas in Clark County.

My property is 100 yards from the Merwin Dam in Buncombe Hollow and would be affected, as would the hundreds of families as the lines go south.

As we presently have all the power that apparently is needed in this area, BPA's interest might be said to be driven by profit in selling the power on the open market, all at the expense of affecting numerous families. Not only does such power lines constitute a risk of possible harm to people living near the power lines (due to electric magnetic fields) but also a substantial reduction in the value of homes adjacent to those power lines. Aside from the harmful affects of such power lines on people (see website [www.reta.com](http://www.reta.com)). the large towers that are necessary to carry these power lines are unsightly and can be seen from miles around. Such lines would run into a designated (and posted) scenic highway that starts in Amboy and goes down to Woodland.

In a recent meeting in Amboy concernig this matter with BPA representatives, the representative to whom we were talking (when pressured for an answer) had to admit that he would not like these lines coming through his property. It is worthy of mention that the proposed route of the BPA lines would have to cross several creeks and streams in my immediate area, I.e. "Chilton Creek", "Pup Creek" and "Cedar Creek". When we were removing some trees on my property 20 years ago in preparping our homesite, I was told by authorities (in no uncertain terms) that I was not to cut any trees or vegetation 50 feet from the creek that runs behind my home (Chilton Creek) as the creek had aquatic life in it. The foregoing being the environmental law that exists, I find it hard to understand how BPA can think that the running of these lines through this area would not constitute an environmental problem.

I am conversant with the doctrine of Eminent Domain but when the BPA's interests is not driven by immediate need for power, their proposal to take these power lines directly south (through Battle Ground, Brush Prairie and beyond), clearly has no merit.

While I understnad the need for the power to go to Troutdale, the lines should be taken directly east from the Merwin Dam (where existing power lines run) and then south to Troutdale, through areas less populated.

In closing (and as a matter of interest), did the BPA consult with your office in connection with this matter and, if so, did you approve their proposal?

Sincerely,

David Ross

cc The Columbian

---

SUbj: Re: BPA power lines

Date: 1/16/2010 8:04:06 P.M. Pacific Standard Time

From: [email]

Erin, Are you the one that is collecting various issues to use against BPA's proposal to bring the power line directly south instead of going east?

I believe my location to the Merwin Dam and substation (as I mentioned that I am approx. 100 yards from the Merwin Dam) will act to stop the BPA's proposal in it's tracks and force them to go east with the line. I say this as DNR told me that no one can work across streams that has fish in them (see

[www.DNR.wa.gov](http://www.DNR.wa.gov)).

Aside from the fish issue, I am sure BPA will be using herbicides, etc. (I don't see anyone physically cutting and/or controlling the vegetation under existing power lines), that in itself would seemingly prevent them from crossing any streams, as some people, in my area, are taking water from the streams for drinking and cooking purposes. I have three streams in my immediate area, I.e. Chilton creek, Pup Creek and Cedar Creek.

For your information, there are existing power lines coming out of Merwin Dam and the substation, which go east on Weyerhaeuser timber land. BPA must be made to take the same route and then go south to Troutdale. The last letter that I received from BPA dated Dec. 21, 2009 stating that segments 27, 31, 42, and 44 are off the table for consideration; it appears to me that the foregoing is purely self-serving, as I am sure the extent of resistance to their power line in said segments does not match our resistance to the direct approach. As far as I can tell not too many people live in these areas which appear to be mostly farmland. I get the distinct feeling that BPA wanted to make a case for the direct approach insofar as cost analysis is concerned.

If we get Attorneys involved I am sure that they would demand (via the "freedom of information act") that BPA show the extent of the resistance from segments 27, 31, 42 and 44. I am sure that it does not match the result of resentment in bringing the line directly south through Clark County.

If you have the time, come to my place and you will see firsthand "how the land lies" so to speak. Hopefully we can kill the BPA's direct approach and make them take the longer route in getting the power line to Troutdale (they are going to make a bunch of money anyway and will benefit Clark County as a whole by preserving the extent of taxes, etc.).

I have to tell you that if BPA prevail, my property will be up for sale the following day.

Regards,

Dave Ross

---

Communication ID: 12574

Date Received: 1/11/2010

Name: MATTHEW WILLIAM JONES, DAVID MEYER

Re: I-5 Corridor reinforcement project (Jones opposition)

Dear Mr. Korsness, Mr. Woolson and Project Stall:

This office writes on behalf of Matthew William Jones, owner of the following parcels of real property potentially within the prospectively affected area along Route 26 of the above-referenced project: Clark County, Washington. Assessor's Parcel NO [parcel number] bearing street address. [address]. Washington. and adjacent bare land parcel, Assessor's Parcel No. [parcel number].

Mr. Jones did not receive any preliminary "packets" reportedly sent to prospectively affected parties. nm correspondence which reportedly predated a letter entitled "Permission to Enter Property" that was mailed to potentially affected property owners. A "Permission to Enter Property" letter was mailed to him without explanation or instruction (copy attached).

Could you please provide the undersigned with a copy of any form correspondence packets that were sent to prospectively affected property owners in the area of Route 26, other than the "Permission to Enter Property" letter, so that I have a complete set of correspondence that was sent to the property owners along that possible route section.

Secondly, please take note that Mr. Jones expressly does NOT authorize the BPA or its agents (II' employees to enter upon or access his property, or any portion of it, and that doing so shall be considered unlawful trespass.

Thirdly, kindly provide documentation created to date, if any, describing the footprint of towers and proposed route for the transmission lines as developed by engineering to date in accord with the attached request.

Very Truly Yours,

David W. Meyer

DWM:clv

Enclosure

cc: Christy Brannon

Matthew Jones (wi Enclosure)

Bonneville Power Administration

Bonneville Power Administration

January 11,2010

(Enclosers were not entered, but are attached. They include a map of the property, request for records, and Permission to enter property form)

---

Communication ID: 12575

Date Received: 1/12/2010

Name: KARL L MATSON,REBECCA MATSON

Subject: ATTN. JIM LUCE: I-5 Corridor BPA Project

Could you please put this to the attention of Jim Luce. I understand he will be dealing with this issue on Tuesday, January 10". Thank you!

Hello!

I'm writing in regard to the I-5 Corridor BPA Project. Please don't let BPA route their line through all the beautiful private property in north Clark County. There is a better way.

Our family farm is smack in the middle of Line 26. For forty years we've cared for this land, pouring all our energy and resources into making a home for our six children. You make a lot of memories in forty years, and even though we could've subdivided this land years ago, and made a lot of money, we're glad that our 15 grandchildren are able to enjoy it today. We want them to someday inherit this land.

This huge power line could destroy the value and the beauty of our land. Hundreds of our neighbors feel the same. I know, because I just built a database of almost 400 neighbors on Line 26, and nobody we've talked to wants their property values, their beautiful fields and mountain views, and their children's future destroyed.

We're not opposed to progress, but there is a better way. Power must be generated, and transmission lines must be built and maintained; but we believe BPA ought to run the lines through uninhabited public DNR land off to the east of Clark County, so as to disrupt the fewest possible private properties. Public utilities on public lands... that's how we see it.

Thank you for considering this matter, and for representing the views of good people who've faithfully paid hefty property taxes for decades. The value of our homes can't be measured simply in dollars. These farms are our life.

Kindest regards,

Karl L. Matson

Rebecca M. Matson

---



Communication ID: 12576

Date Received: 1/25/2010

Name: WES DOWNING,ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Hello:

I am writing in reference to the BPA lines to be put on private land in Clark Co. This area would suffer real scars if those lines were put here. There is a lot of public lands they could go through without disturbing residents. All our lives are on hold while the BPA decides which way they are going to go, and whose land they are going to spoil.

I feel we have rights and we're going to do everything possible to keep these rights and we would like some help from your council to do this. Please respond.

Wesleyand Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12577

Date Received: 1/22/2010

Name: MATTHEW WILLIAM JONES,DAVID MEYER

Re: I-5 Conidor reinforcement project

- Clark County Tax Parcels [parcel number] and [parcel number].

Dear Ms. Decker, Mr. Korsness and Staff:

Concern by residential property owners in North Clark County abounds over prospective new BPA easements and power lines, and the possibility of route Segments 26 and 30 that are presently under consideration. The recent resubmittal of correspondence to owners of residential property in the vicinity of those routes. complete with a Permission to Enter Property fOtm and instruction sheet which mentions in its first sentence, "Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing a project that may affect property you own ..." causes immediate detriment to the value, and impedes any prospective sale, of those residential properties. It also causes immediate issues between any property owner (residential or commercial) and mortgage lender when the terms of the mortgage require report to the lender of any detriment to the property's value or of other actions materially affecting the property. I write on behalf of Matthew W. Jones, a resident and owner of parcels along proposed Segment 26: who relates to and affiliates with citizen groups such as

Better Way for BPA.org)opposing the consideration of route Segments 26 and":Jb, and the processes employed by BPA while those segments are under consideration.

Present Wide Spectrum Detriment to Property Owners

The reason residential property values and potential sales are detrimentally affected by the conespodence is found in a Washington statute, RCW 64.06.020. Sellers of residential property (with minimal exceptions) must give a Real Property Transfer Disclosure Statement to any prospective buyer who offers to purchase the property. The required form of the Disclosure Statement mandates that the seller disclose, pursuant to Section 1(G), whether there are any studies, survey projects, or notices that would adversely affect the property. Clearly, a seller of residential property would have to disclose the fact that the BPA has given notice that the BPA's project could affect the owner's property, and the disclosure statutes require further that the documentation then be submitted to the prospective buyer. Even without regard to the stigma surrounding power lines, the uncertainty over whether, and to what extent, eminent domain proceedings might impact the property would be a severe detriment, if not a complete barrier to a residential property sale transaction. Few people buy homes as a fungible commodity. They buy a place to live their lives. They will not relish the prospect, no matter how low the odds, of complete condemnation, nor will mortgage lenders feel secure enough to finance homes upon which condemnation, payable at fair market value, could cause a loss if the housing market were to decline further.

In these times when it is most difficult to complete a sale of residential property under the best of circumstances, these additional circumstances have dramatic chilling effect.

Additionally, in these times when financing for any property is difficult to obtain, the potential for mortgage lenders' claim of default for an owner's failure to report, or for the arguable decrease in value by the project announcement, is a problem without any solution.

We ask, for the sake of mitigating this period of detriment, that the BPA announce as suddenly and soon as possible its release of interest and consideration of Routes 26 and 30.

#### Unfair Persuasion

The PEP and accompanying memoranda and instruction sheet generate additional concerns. While the tone is fairly businesslike and polite, the content implies no choice. It implies that the BPA has a present right to enter upon the recipient's property. In fact, it specifies that the BPA has released its "rights" in relation to parcels whose owners had sent in the PEP for properties along Routes 27, 31, 42, and 44. It specifies that submission of the signed permission letter helps protect the owner and his animals, and indicates that BPA personnel will call the owner if they do not "hear from you soon." Consider whether this correspondence objectively manifests the completely voluntary nature of the recipient's right to invite, or reject, BPA's presence upon his or her property. The letter seems misleadingly persuasive, even implicitly threatening. It implies that the BPA has legal rights which, to date, it does not have. Might acting upon it constitute a civil rights violation under 42 U.S.C. § 1983?

The hope of those affected is that BPA is considering the more cost-effective alternatives of utilizing existing easements and routes (i.e., Route 09, or the less publicly intrusive routes far to the east). FERC could be a clearinghouse for federal interagency issues involving USFS, as this project arguably supports a National Interest Corridor depicted on FERC maps along the Columbia River from Portland to Longview, Washington. Should you need any support for dealing with Washington State's Department of

Natural Resources, Mr. Jones and many of his affiliates advocate the more discrete placement of the lines on public lands as the first alternative to utilizing existing easements.

The immediate interest, as stated above, is for mitigation or erasure of the present detriment to property owners by your removal of Routes 26 and 30 from consideration, and public announcement of the same.

Inviting your questions and looking forward to your reply in response (via email would be fine if most convenient), I remain,

Very Trueley Yours,

David W. Meyer  
Attorney for Matthew W. Jones  
DWM:clv  
cc: BPA, Attn: Paul B. Woolson  
Matthew W. Jones

---

Communication ID: 12578

Date Received: 1/25/2010

Name: R ELLIS,DONNA ELLIS,BERNIS RAGAN,CORAL RIGHTER

Bonneville Power Administration,

We live close to a voltage transmission line with high-voltage. We are concern by putting more towers on the same route. It would be very easy for a terrorist to take out the whole area. Also, there is erosion problem, high water table and fault that run under parts of the lands. You might look around there is very few tree left close to the city. Also we have a lot of animals that live on the land around us. Just to name a few, we have deer, raccoons, porcupine, opossums, coyote, rabbit, birds, owls and hawks.

Also, we were told that you keep your light on in all your bUildings to protection against terrorist. Then why would you put more transmission towers on the same line?

---

Communication ID: 12579

Date Received: 1/28/2010

Name: CAROL LEVANEN

Re: Bonneville Power Administration I-5 Corridor Reinfonement Prolect

Clark County Citizens United, Inc. is a 501 C4 organization that is supported by approximately 5,000 citizens from all walks of life. Our members include folks from the Building Industry of Washington, Board of Realtors, Farm Bureau, Farm Forestry Association, The Orange, Engineers, rural dwellers and urban dwellers. A representative of each is on our Board of Directors. CCCU's particular focus is

governmental policies that affect rural communities in Clark County. In our research, we find that many of our members and all of North Clark County would certainly be negatively impacted, financially, physically, and emotionally with the proposed alternatives for the BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. CCCU believes these proposals are not acceptable because they would result in extreme hardships for 100 many rural land owners living in it's path, as well as affecting the economic stability of rural Clark County. Therefore, Clark County Citizens United, Inc. fully supports Better Way for BPA in it's efforts to convince Bonneville Power Administration that another location is needed for the project.

CCCU would like BPA to seriously consider the following information.

A review of the map indicates that much of the proposed lines lie in the heart of rural lands that have gone through a great deal of land use review and litigation. Much of this land has been included in the Agri-Forest land use designation in the 1993-94 Clark County Comprehensive Land Use Plan. The designation was determined by the courts to be illegal Under the Growth Management Act. With the financial support of land owners in these areas, CCCU was successful in reversing this designation in the courts. Support of this long term investment cost these people approximately \$260,000, to assure their property rights, values and the future of their lands would be preserved. Is BPA prepared to reimburse these land owners the long term loss of value as well as the current market value of the land the proposed lines will use? These values will surely increase in the future, as Clark County grows and zoning changes, and for many, this is their retirement.

The Clark County Board of Commissioners are now involved in planning proposals

directly affecting rural and resource lands that will help the county recover from the severe economic hardship and unemployment that plagues our area.. In this planning are proposals for changes to rural and resource land zoning. Landowners are watching and waiting for this to happen as their livelihoods depend on it. Lines 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33 contain many hundreds of parcels that surely would be adversely impacted by BPA's proposal, when such changes are made. In the Lucia Falls area (30) alone, there are many lots that are only one to five acres. As the county plans the future, they can ill afford to have BPA take large swaths of land out of the planning picture by way of the proposed lines, along with existing regulatory easements and setbacks they must already contend with now. Within your proposed corridors are prime forest lands, prime agricultural land, prime industrial land, prime commercial land, prime mineral land, prime rural land and prime habitat. Much of this land has stunning views of Mt. St. Helens and surrounding hills which enables the land to be considered premium and of very high dollar value. The county went to great efforts to obtain easements along the existing rail line that travels through your proposed areas. This line has the potential to be the life blood of the rural areas in this county. For BPA to negatively impact, delay or prevent the economic development of this rail line would not make good economic sense.

CCCU representatives attended a neighborhood meeting presented by Better Way for BPA, to more fully understand their concerns. We were very impressed with the professionalism, organization, and commitment these folks had for their message. They had done a lot of research and in doing so, identified 376 parcels for line 26, alone. Their meeting was very well attended with standing room only

in and out of their large home. They do not seem upset that BPA needs additional lines to enhance their system, but they do believe the current proposals were not well thought out. Unanimously they agreed that the lines need to travel through state and federal funds, rather than private lands. CCCU agrees with their consensus.

Clearly, there is a better way for BPA. to enhance their electrical grid without infringing upon the property rights and values of the rural landowners of Clark County. It is CCCU'S understanding that the Clark County Board of Commissioners have already conveyed their preference for the line's location to BPA. At the very least, BPA should honor their request. But clearly, a much more feasible and logical location for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project line would be on state and federal land, and that is the only location Clark County Citizens United, Inc will support.

Thank you for your time and attention to these concerns.

Sincerely,

Carol Levanen, Secretary  
Clark County Citizens United, Inc.  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12580

Date Received: 1/25/2010

Name: RICHARD YAHRMARKT,JANE YAHRMARKT

Dear Sirs,

We are in receipt of your documents dated January 13, 2010 regarding the I-5 corridor project. We see from your form letter that you have completely disregarded the personal letter we sent to you.

We can think of no reason we should help the Department of Energy or BPA damage our property, condemn our home and build high voltage lines through this area. There are many other uninhabited areas to consider for your purposes.

ACCESS IS DENIED TO ENTER OUR PROPERTY FOR ANY REASON WHATSOEVER. BPA ENTRY WILL BE REGARDED AS CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

BPA has ignored my request for simple clarifying information. Please provide a map that includes the roadways of this area showing the proposed power line path. From this we can better assess your intentions.

Sincerely,

Richard and Jane Yahrmarkt  
[address]

[phone number]

[email]

Enclosed:

Permission to Enter Property form

Copy of previous correspondence

---

Communication ID: 12581

Date Received: 2/2/2010

Name: MATTHEW WILLIAM JONES,DAVID MEYER

REOUEST FOR RECORDS

Please provide a copy of the following records under the Freedom of Information Act:

the mailing list containing names and addresses of persons to whom BPA sent a copy of the attached correspondence on or about January 13,2010. If there is uncertainty about whether the list produced is complete, please so inform the undersigned, however your good faith compilation or transmittal of the list will sufficiently respond to this request.

The undersigned is legal counsel for a property owner who received a copy of the correspondence; this request is made to identify parties who may have similar public interest in the subjectmatter of the correspondence to that of the property owner.

I am willing to pay fees for this request up to a maximum of \$250 without prior notice to me of the cost for producing the record. If the expense will exceed \$250, please notify the undersigned of the actual reasonable expense. If the list is most expeditiously sent electronically via e-mail, such delivery is satisfactory.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS

TRUE AND CORRECT THIS ,2 2 DAY OF JANUARY, 2010.

David W. Meyer

Attorney for Matthew W. Jones

(Enclosed but not transcribed into database: BPA permission to enter property instruction sheet, with areas highlighted, Letter from BPB to enter property, permission enter property form)- see attachment

---

Communication ID: 12582

Date Received: 1/22/2010

Name: MATTHEW WILLIAM JONES,DAVID MEYER

Kristy Brannon  
FOIA Request Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Re: I-5 Corridor reinforcement project / FOIA request

Dear Ms. Brannon:

I enclose a Request for Records with this correspondence. A copy is being forwarded to Mark Korsness on the assumption that your office would probably redirect the matter to his attention once you have reviewed the request. Should you have any questions, feel free to give me a call, and as indicated in the Request, you may provide an electronic transmittal of the requested items if such is most efficient and convenient.

Very truly yours,

David W. Meyer  
DWM:clv  
Enclosure  
cc: Mark Korsness  
Matthew W. Jones  
[parcel number]

---

Communication ID: 12583

Date Received: 2/1/2010

Name: TRENTON SPOLAR

To Whom It May Concern:

We recently received notification from you that certain areas, namely segments 27,31,42, and 44 have been deleted from your consideration for your proposed route segments for the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project between Castle Rock, WA and Troutdale, OR.

Unfortunately, No. 28, which comes right over my property and my house, is still under consideration. I suggested to you earlier that you consider a route over DNR land (segment 26/30 or segment 29), This is not only a concern for the effect on property values. For me it is a health issue. The State of Washington considers me to be permanently disabled. I have had four joint replacements, i.e. both hips and both knees, as well as surgeries on both hands and arms and multiple surgeries on my back. I have severe osteoarthritis as well as what is now known as "failed back syndrome".

Because of the chronic back pain, it is necessary for me to use an electronic device which alleviates enough of the pain to allow me to live a somewhat normal life, Without this device I need to use pain

medication which can become addictive. A permanent spinal cord nerve stimulator was implanted in my back in December, Because of an infection it had to be removed. I am eligible for replacement in three to six months. In the meantime, I am using an external transepidermal nerve stimulator (TENS) unit, I have enclosed copies of pages from the book accompanying the units stating the importance of avoiding high intensity electronic impulses as they can negatively affect the devices. I would ask you to consider this as you decide on the route you will ultimately choose.

Thank you for your consideration,

Trenton J. Spolar, M.D.

[enclosed: excerpts from Boston Medical's patient system handbook]

---

Communication ID: 12584

Date Received: 2/23/2010

Name: MICHAEL DE JONG,NACOLE DE JONG,LEROY SCOTT,PHYLLIS SCOTT

Subject: BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project; Route 25

Dear Mr. Korsness:

We are asking Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) to remove from consideration Segment 25 from Bonneville Power Authority I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The issues that we consider most urgent are:

#### Economic Burdens and Visual Impact

The proposed corridor will significantly devalue our homes and property. Expected loss of property value has been estimated at upwards of \$100,000 for many homes, according to real estate agents we have contacted. Residents living within 75 feet from the centerline will have 150-foot tall towers looming over their homes. We have major concerns about the ability to sell our home at all if the proposed towers are within 75 feet from our property line. We have been told that BPA does not include decreased property values as a "cost" when comparing alternative routes. However, this is a very real cost to individual homeowners such as ourselves. The collective financial impact on individual homeowners is an impact we want BPA to include in their analysis when comparing Segments 9 and 25 with other segments that traverse sparsely populated areas.

#### Health Effects from Electric Magnetic Fields

There are a number of scientific studies on electric magnetic fields (EMF) and health that suggests a link between long-term EMF exposure and cancer and neurodegenerative diseases in adults; including studies that are documented in the study "Electrical and Biological Effects of Transmission Lines: A Review." This is a review that is authored by Jack M. Lee Jr. PhD and assisted by the BPA's own Biological Task Team. Based on the available data, there is no conclusive evidence that long-term exposure to high



voltage transmission lines does NOT affect tissue at a cellular level resulting in cancer (in particular leukemia, brain and breast cancer), Alzheimer's disease and Lou Gehrig's disease. In fact, the link between long term EMF exposure, cancer and other diseases is still being studied and is at this time "inconclusive" at best. Thus we, do NOT want to be exposed to the electrical magnetic field of a 500kV transmission line and be the "guinea pigs" to this on-going debate.

In addition, an article as recent as February 4, 2010 quoted David Carpenter, a medical doctor and Director of the Institute for Health and the Environment at University at Albany, SUNY as saying, "I believe that the health risks posed by long-term exposure to magnetic fields are serious, especially to children and fetuses. There is definitive scientific evidence that exposure to magnetic fields from power lines greater than 4 milli Gauss is associated with an elevated risk of childhood leukemia.... Scientific evidence also links magnetic field exposure to cancer in adults as well, particularly leukemia and brain cancer. There is strong evidence that lifetime exposure to magnetic fields above 2 milli Gauss is associated with an increased risk of neurodegenerative diseases in adults, including Alzheimer's disease and Lou Gehrig's disease."

#### Noise

Clearly audible noise is emitted from 500 KV power lines. This noise increases on wet days and decreases with distance from the lines, but it will be a disturbing background noise for residents living near the proposed towers.

We respectfully request that you help us persuade BPA to utilize the less populated easternmost alternative routes. This alignment would be primarily on

public lands, away from private property and densely populated urban areas.

If BPA insists on using route 25 we believe that these 500 KV lines should be buried underground as opposed to strung above ground on towers.

Thank you in advance for your help with this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Michael &Nacole De Jong

[address]

[phone number]

Mailing Address:

[address]

Leroy and Phyllis Scott

[address]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12585

Date Received: 2/6/2010

Name: KIM L SMITH,GABRIELLE SMITH

Subject: BPA

Dear Jim,

As chair of EFSEC, I believe that you help set standards for new lines in Washington and, more importantly, exercise authority over Bonneville Power Administration, ultimately approving or modifying project-specific requests.

I am writing to express concern over the BPA's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project in its current form. There seems to have been inadequate research into alternative options (or at least it has not been conveyed to us) including superconductor lines buried underground, and using/enhancing existing corridors. Instead, BPA has proposed multiple above-ground routes

that all adversely impact thousands of citizens in Clark, Cowlitz and Multnomah Counties. The socioeconomic fall-out is huge. All affected homeowners are effectively held hostage by the situation until a decision is reached, unable to sell their home if so desired and unwilling to put money into their home for remodels. Tax revenue received by the Counties is

dramatically reduced. This hurts all of us, not just those living directly in the proposed segments' paths, and delays the economic recovery so vital to our country.

There are specific health concerns regarding exposure to electromagnetic fields that tend to get glossed over. Epidemiological research has found an association between childhood leukemia and living near high-voltage power lines. Critics point to the lack of biological, laboratory-based studies that show this association.

Understanding limitations of certain research methodology would lead one to conclude it may not be feasible to identify a biological or biophysical response in the lab setting. More weight may need to be given to epidemiological studies that can track an individual's health over a longer period of time. Bottom line? We can't place the burden of proof on innocent children, let alone oblivious adults. If there is any potential risk for such serious public health concerns, the BPA should abort the project in its current form as it would place hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals in the path of high-voltage lines creating an EMF that is potentially fatal.

There are already 2 schools, Minnehaha (current) and Crestline (past) that have reported higher incidences of childhood leukemia and both these schools are situated close to power lines carrying substantially less voltage than those proposed by the BPA for the current I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. Would you want your children to take that risk?

The BPA has not provided planning documents when requested nor responded to recent requests for further extension of the public comment period. Specifically, BPA has not responded to two separate requests to provide initial information packets to us after we found that our neighbors received the packet and we did not. This packet includes the "right of access" agreement which we have subsequently notified BPA that they will not receive from us. The project with its proposed routes connecting Castle Rock and Troutdale is seriously flawed and would negatively change the character of the affected communities. Despite the monumental and historic impacts on our area, the BPA does not seem open to truly sharing information with the public and having an open, honest dialogue in an effort to find a win-win solution for everyone.

With your influence, please ensure the BPA will look into creative solutions and innovative technology, choose solutions that eliminate or minimize any effects on individuals and families, and create a win-win for everyone.

Sincerely,

Gabriele M Smith

Kim L Smith

---

Communication ID: 12586

Date Received: 2/8/2010

Name: WES DOWNING,ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Hello:

I am writing in regard to the BPA's plans to put Power Lines in our area. We live under line 26, which is one of the proposals they are considering. I have a few questions:

The first one is how these high voltage lines would affect the pacemaker I wear. The second one is about all the wildlife here. Would these lines affect the eagles, red tail hawks, shikepokes(cranes) and woodpeckers that hang around our land. The last question is: Was Oregon considered for any of these lines? And if not, why not?

Please respond.

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12587

Date Received: 2/17/2010

Name: JIM TODD,GAYLE TODD

February 17, 2010

To: Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Allen Fiksdal

Re: NO BPA SEGMENT 26

Proposed BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Allen Fiksdal EFSEC Manager

We STRONGLY urge you to take action and notify the Bonneville Power Administration that segment #26 should NOT be considered as an option for the proposed route of a 500,000 volt power lines and towers. The BPA gave homeowners 40 days to respond with comments. Forty days was not a reasonable period given that the BPA will take 2 years to make their decision that will affect our homes and families.

The proposed segment #26 will route high voltage lines and towers directly through populated areas of Clark County destroying historic and scenic areas that NEED to be protected and preserved.

Homeowners and families in Battle Ground, Yacont, Amboy and other areas are directly affected by this governmental intrusion on our way of life. The BPA notified property owners within the right-of-way of their proposed route, however they did NOT notify

homeowners abutting the right-of-way, which adds at least 16,000 additional homes impacted by these proposed lines. Abutted homeowners and families may be only a few feet away from the right-of-way but will be affected not only by the visible impacts of the lines and towers, but also the electro-magnetic fields particularly if the families have health related issues as well.

There are MANY arguments AGAINST having ANY high voltage power lines or towers run through our existing community:

- Loss of homes and out-buildings (barns, sheds, arenas, etc) in right-of-way
  - Financial loss plummeting home resale values due to location near power line
  - Loss of pristine scenic views
  - Health risks of living in the shadow of the electromagnetic fields -Adverse effect on livestock -Adverse effect on well water from pesticides used to maintain right-of-way
  - Loss of small communities and reduction in quality of life
  - Loss of property tax revenue
  - Loss of tax base from destruction of houses/buildings
  - Devaluation of market values of remaining houses in the area
  - Loss of use of land pastures and marketable timber
  - Destruction of sensitive plants and wildlife habitat -Noise pollution from power line humming - Grounding of all metal property fencing, pole barns, well casings, and gates
-

There are better options than running a 500,000 volt power lines and towers through our homes, our land, and our community. There are existing I-5 corridor proposed routes with ESTABLISHED easements both in Washington and Oregon. The destruction to our homes and families and lives are too great. I STRONGLY urge you to notify BPA that segment 26 should NOT be considered and completely removed. We SHOULD NOT have to wait two years for them to study this issue. Line 26 NEEDS TO BE REMOVED NOW from any and all consideration and the BPA should inform us IMMEDIATELY. Any further delay of this will continue to cause undue stress, anxiety, fear, and anger within this community.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this letter. Please use your Management position to act on our behalf to protect our home, our family, and our community we await your response.

Sincerely,

Jim and Gayle Todd

Property Owners

[address]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12588

Date Received: 2/22/2010

Name: JERRY DON BINKLY,WAYNE BRYSON,MARY JO BRYSON,MITCH ELROD,THOMAS HENDRICK,BARBARA A LIN,DONNA OLSON,DARYL OLSON

State of Washington

Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

ENERGY FACILITY SITE

EVALUATION COUNCIL

PO Box 43172

Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Subject: Proposed BPA Power Lines

February 14,2010

[address]

Dear Friends,

We are some of the many people who are being impacted by the proposed BPA power lines. We have already had a huge decrease in our home's value during the recent housing debacle. BPA's threat of using the power of eminent domain to force us to sell our home has further damaged our home's value. What they will offer us as "fair market value" will be trivial compared to the hundreds of thousands of dollars that we have invested in our property. If we are forced to sell we will never recover the savings that we have invested in our homes and it severely impact our future and our financial security.

This will also damage Clark County and Washington State financially as well, since once these properties are gone, they will never be replaced. No one will want to buy or build in an area covered by high power lines. The property taxes that we pay to support the schools. and community services in the county and state will be gone and will not be replaced. The "Stimulus Money" proposed to pay for this project will do more harm than good, in the long run.

The benefits of this project will go to Canada and California, not to the State of Washington. If we must have BPA's high power lines, can we not put them in an area that is unpopulated?

Please use your influence to encourage BPA to move the construction of this project to an uninhabited area. This is crucial to the welfare of our community.

Thank you,

---

Communication ID: 12589

Date Received: 3/10/2010

Name: RON CARR

U.S. Department of Energy  
Bonneville Power Administration  
Attn: Mark Korsness, Project Manager  
905 Northeast 11th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97208

I am confirming that my property parcels are not being considered for the I-5

Corridor Reinforcement Project and no response is needed by myself or agents. My parcels are located on Delameter Rd. in Castle Rock, Washington 98611, parcel [parcel number and [parcel number]. At this time I deny access to my property parcels, as it is not pertinent to be on my property, due to the fact it is located so far from the proposed project site.

Sincerely,

Ron Carr  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12590

Date Received: 6/24/2010

Name: CHARLES YOUNG

I am calling not to make a comment, but I need to find some information out about the route transmission lines and how it's going to impact the existing lines that are located in the general

Vancouver, WA area. If someone could back to me I'd appreciate it. My name is Charles Young, and my daytime number is [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12591

Date Received: 5/12/2010

Name: DALE E HILLMAN

Stephen J. Wright  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright,

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am strongly opposed to 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

My primary concern is the value of my property. I live very close to the current towers but they are unseen due to the greenbelt. If you build a tower looming literally above my home, you might as well just bankrupt me. I am not the only one, and simple common sense should steer BPA to place lines where they do the least amount of damage to citizens either financially or health wise. I won't even get into the EMF aspect of the problem as we could debate this forever. However, there must be something to it as your own employees wear monitors.

It also disturbs me that most of the power sold will go to Oregon and California. Why should I suffer so others can benefit? Remember, whatever it cost to re-route lines, bury them, whatever, that is a recoverable cost for BPA. It is not for me and countless others. We are left financially devastated and nowhere to go.

Please move these lines elsewhere.

Sincerely,

Dale E. Hillman

---

Communication ID: 12592

Date Received: 6/9/2010

Name: FRED SANTOLUCITO

For submission as an Opinion:

There has been significant controversy by local residents about BPA I5 Corridor Reinforcement Project (500KV Towers and Lines).

However, the highest level of concern has not been publicly addressed; and that issue is the recognition that these 500KV towers and associated facilities are a viable terrorist target.

I believe that the lowest cost, the fastest and easiest alternative is the primary BPA drivers in the decision making process for the placement of the 500KV lines along existing BPA property and easements.

However, by putting the new lines and towers next to the existing ones along the existing corridor, provides a potential strike point by our enemy to shut down not only our local state of Washington power grid but also that of Oregon and California.

It should be of the highest consideration to separating the current and the new large 500KV towers by moving them to secured remote locations outside of populated areas.

Since this program is being funded by the stimulus package, I see an extra 10-15% being good insurance against a significantly more costly and devastating disaster.

Fred Santolucito  
Vancouver, WA

---

Communication ID: 12593

Date Received: 6/16/2010

Name: TERRY CONSTANCE

Mr Korsness,

I am forwarding this transmittal from our Cowlitz county associates with alternate routes proposed that differ from the current BPA proposed segments.

Their concerns are in sync with ours and does appear to be a valid route that would be much less invasive to populated areas and still comply with NEPA to solve many issues and opposition you face with your current proposed routes.

See the text below the map from those in the segments near the area.

From Cowlitz county associates.

---

This folder contains Google Earth place marks that have been suggested by Cowlitz volunteers for further evaluation by BPA as a substitute to BPA's proposed easterly route through Cowlitz County. They include less impactful alternative locations for the Castle Rock Substation and for BPA segments 3, 7, 11.



The suggestions are based on ground visits with GPS units and remote image work. They sought to avoid existing homes and sensitive sites. The suggested path crosses the rivers well upstream of the major spawning beds and avoids wetlands and major level changes. It maximizes use of existing road networks.

BPA would have to fine tune the route's precise location to minimize damages to individual properties and environment during construction and operation. In particular, BPA should continue it South in a way that minimizes impacts to neighbors in lines 21,29,34,35 and any other connected segments. Our research indicates that at the project level the extra investment cost from a longer route is offset by the lower costs of eminent domain and the lower expected liability exposure linked to the growing EMF awareness. At the public level, avoiding the property tax revenue loss to the Counties from the existing lines, vastly overshadows any potential increase, if any, in the final electrical rates to consumers.

This suggestion should not be construed by BPA as an endorsement by Another Way BPA of this particular route as compared to other alternatives which may prove even less impactful such as the Western Oregon or Pearl Route, Trojan or other routes East of the Cascades.

Regards,

Terry Constance  
Another Way BPA  
www.nowaybpa.com  
[email]

---

Communication ID: 12594

Date Received: 5/8/2010

Name: MICHELLE BLACK

April 25th Closing Comments to BPA

by StopTowersNow on 05/08/10

Below you will find closing comments from the April 25th meeting at Prairie High School with the BPA. Michele Black is a member of the Citizens Against the Towers committee.

I want to close by asking Mr. Korsness to pass this message on to Mr. Steven Wright, BPA's Administrator.

We are not opposed to electricity, it's obviously something that we need in a modern society, but there must be a balance. I think most of us here love to drive our cars, but we also want lower emissions in automobiles because we care about the environment and our health.

I don't see this as any different. When there are alternative routes that won't financially devastate thousands of people or pose health risks to those who will be chronically exposed to the EMF, then one of those routes should be chosen.

According to an article in the Columbian today, Clark County's unemployment rate is 14.8%. Property values have dropped dramatically in the past few years. Many people in SW Washington have already lost most, if not all of their equity, face being upside down in their mortgages and some face foreclosure; if they haven't already. For some who are entering the retirement phase of their lives, they have already lost a devastating amount of their portfolio. Some will have to go back to work or certainly alter their plans in their retirement years. We're hurting enough already.

BPA claims there is no causative risk to health from living next to 500,000 volt transmission lines. Big Tobacco companies also said at one time that there was no causative risk of cancer with smoking cigarettes. Tonight, Dr. Milham has presented data that states otherwise. While the scientific community is still researching and debating this issue, we don't want to be the guinea pigs in this ongoing debate.

BPA states that the impact on property values living next to a 10 to 15 story lattice tower will be somewhere between one and ten percent. Please tell us where 500,000 volt transmission lines and 15 story towers have been placed through a densely populated area, after the community was already developed, so that we can ask those people how it has affected their lives.

Yes, BPA must pay market value to those whose homes fall within the easement that they choose, but that's not enough compensation for those people who don't want to sell at all. And, for those living next to the lines, there's no compensation for them. So, the rest of us are left with a property that will be difficult to sell, and we will be forced to reduce the price significantly in order to do so.

This isn't just about towers and transmission lines, it's about people. moms, dads, children, families. I am not sure where Mr. Wright lives, but it's my understanding Mark, that you live on the Oregon side; so it's understandable if you don't feel a connection to us. We live in Southwest Washington because we love this community...its beauty, the people, and the great schools. Schools that will be directly impacted with a reduction in property values.

The bottom line is that yes, we all need electricity to keep our lives going and BPA has the right to make money pushing it through its lines, but you are asking us to pay a price with our pocket books and our health, that many of us will never be able to recover and that's just not right. It's too high of a price for us to have to pay.

So, please...do the right thing, and construct these lines away from where we work, live and play. Take them away from the populated areas of SW Washington.

Again, please relay this message to Mr. Wright

---

Communication ID: 12595

Date Received: 6/24/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

Open Letter to Steve Wright - Administrator, BPA

Posted on Another way BPA website: [www.nowaybpa.com](http://www.nowaybpa.com)

Mr. Wright,

The agency you lead is turning from the public servant of the region to its tyrant. You have the power to steer it back on track. We, the public ratepayers whom the Federal Power Act requires you to serve with electricity, demand it. We, the inhabitants of this beautiful environment, rich in scenery, in wildlife, in history and prehistory, and rich in the waters that feed the Columbia of which you are also the custodian, demand it.

A few weeks ago, Pete Yarrow, the "Peter" in famed folk group Peter, Paul and Mary, came to Portland. In a carefully-crafted 'impromptu' moment, he visited BPA Headquarters and sang some Woodie Guthrie songs as he 'happened' to have his guitar with him. The back story is relevant: Guthrie was hired by BPA in 1941 as a PR stunt to write songs glorifying the industrial might and advance of civilization the great BPA hydropower dams would bring to the Pacific Northwest – and to help end any local resistance to the arrival of Federal power. We think you hijacked Yarrow's presence; and we'd like to think that had he known, even as he sang, that your people were wrecking our lives, our hopes and our dreams with their phone calls threatening condemnation of our property, he would never have set foot inside your door.

Its ironic that Pete sang "Pastures of Plenty" and "This land is your land, this land is our land." You want to take our pastures of plenty and deny us our land.

Mr. Wright, this is our land. It is ours, not yours, not the Federal Government's. And it certainly doesn't belong to the bankers and hedge funds who are the only people who will profit from your plan to ruin our land, our holdings, and our inheritances.

Your frontmen have never been candid with us. We demand that you, Mr. Wright, take two or three days out of your busy schedule making important decisions about stuff we mostly don't care about, and drive out here, meet the people you plan on dispossessing, and look, with an open mind and a humble eye, at the scenery, the countryside, the unspoiled vistas of Mt. St Helens, of Mt. Tumtum, the secret valleys, the haunted canyons, the skylines and the timber stands and the working tree-farms; watch for the deer and the elk, and the beaver and the hawks and eagles; and then face to face, tell us just what your transmission line will do for us. Explain to us just who will use it – not in general terms, in specifics – identifying the projects you claim make this necessary.

And then explain to us, Mr. Wright, just why BPA did not include the Oregon routes in the Scoping of this environmental review of yours, and if, as documents we have prove, the prime reason was cost, then please justify to us why we are less important to you than fish. We do not begrudge the millions upon millions that are spent on preserving the fish in the rivers. All we ask is that you give us equal rights.

This is our land, Mr. Wright. Take your lines away, and make them stay away. They are not welcome here.

Signed: We, the People of Clark and Cowlitz Counties, Washington State.

This land is our land

---

Communication ID: 12596

Date Received: 5/12/2010

Name: JOANNA GIACOMAZZI

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration.

I am strongly opposed to 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

My primary concern is in relation to the health impact of regular, long-term exposure to electric magnetic fields. While I realize there is no definitive view there is enough evidence to support erring on the conservative side as opposed to potentially putting at risk a large number of Vancouver residents including children. As a matter of policy high voltage lines should not be placed in areas of high density living and schools. I feel the BPA should follow best practice routing which restricts high voltage lines being built near schools (such as in California). The preferred location should follow areas with the lowest surrounding population or if this is not possible, underground in densely populated areas.

I understand the benefit of the proposed route which travels through Vancouver has been calculated as the least expensive option. I question whether this option would still be the least expensive if it incorporated all the external costs i.e. cost of lower property values to individual home owners in addition to Clark County and our schools by way of lower property taxes, lifestyle factors such as noise and visual effects as well as potential increased medical costs. Moreover, I question the logic that the least expensive option is a positive factor in deciding the final route. In our time in history I don't believe cheap power should be a goal. We live in environmentally savvy times and our consumption of natural resources needs to be kept in check. I am in favor of paying more for our power if that means we don't disrupt our beautiful community and put our health at risk. I would have thought system redundancy and the least impact on communities and citizens should be the goal.

High voltage power lines built through Vancouver will only incentivize a more dispersed population, given a choice, who would want to live near a high voltage power line? It is well documented that high density living is the environmentally sustainable way of the future. Communities and governments should be tasked with supporting the development of high density living not pushing people and families to move farther away.

Finally, in today's increasing awareness of our environmental footprint and impact upon not only current but future generations, do the BPA and our current legislators want a blighted Vancouver skyline and a general

population exposed to potentially serious health issues to be their legacy?

Regards,

Joanna Giacomazzi

[address]

CC: Governor Chris Gregoire

Senator Patty Murray

Senator Maria Cantwell

Congressman Brian Baird

---

Communication ID: 12598

Date Received: 5/1/2010

Name: FRANK VRTISKA

Mr. Korsness,

I have submitted the following to your general comments form, however I am hoping you will have a chance to review this as well. I am concerned about how I learned about this project, as I was notified by activists groups and not BPA itself. I live within 1000 feet of current lines and have read reports

that this was the distance that BPA used to notify landowners.

Thanks,

Frank Vrtiska

Thank you for submitting your comment on General Comments

Below is a copy of what you submitted

Frank Vrtiska

PrivateCitizen

[email]

[phone number]

[address]

Hello, I have seen many reports about BPA notifying landowners within 1000 ft of existing BPA right of ways that are under consideration from the new towers within the last few weeks. According to Clark County's GIS System I am clearly within 1000 ft of existing lines and never received any notification from BPA of this proposed project. I am concerned that I never received notification and am also wondering how many other home owners may have been missed. I received information from the following article in the Columbian [www.columbian.com/news/2010/04/17/Correction-BPApower-line-glan/](http://www.columbian.com/news/2010/04/17/Correction-BPApower-line-glan/) Thanks.

---

Communication ID: 12599

Date Received: 1/12/2010

Name: ERIN E GROVER

January 12,2010

Jim Luce - EFSEC

Dear Mr. Luce,

As members of the "Better Way for BPA" Steering Committee, we are writing to you regarding the Work Plan Agreement listed. as Item 6 on the EFSEC Agenda for January 12, 2010. We re'spectfullyask the Council to requestBPAto withdraw the Work Plan as currently written.

Late last year, Washington residents from Clark and Cowlitz Counties formed a group named "Better Way for BPA". This was in response to BPA's announced plans to construct a major 500 kV Transmission line from Castle Rock, Washington, to Troutdale, Oregon as part of the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. Directly, or indirectly, the group's members and supporters are negatively impacted by the segments still under consideration. They are deeply concerned with the tremendous economic and environmental harm these segments would bring to an area known for its great scenic and natural beauty.

We believe there is more than sufficient evidence that the Work Plan referenced above is fatally flawed and should be withdrawn and redrafted.

Firstly, BPA has substantially misstated the reasons to justify construction of this line. It asserts the need for the line is to support load growth in the Portland, Vancouver and Longview area. It states these areas are served by dams, coal and gas-fueled generators, nuclear power and wind farms, but does not add that the majority of these energy sources are both geographically and electrical1y to the east of the Portland-Vancouver area. Furthermore, the McNary-John Day line being constructed. will meet the above needs. BPA does not mention that other local utilities, including Portland General Electric, Clark Public Utilities and PacifiCorp are also taking their own steps independent ofBPA to meet the area's needs.

Secondly, BPA fails to mention the existing and future use of the I-5 Corridor transmission path includes the transfer of very substantial amounts of energy from Canada to California. We believe this to be the primary cause of the North-South congestion which is targeted for relief by this project, and without which there would be sufficient capacity on the existing lines to handle area load growth for several decades.

BPA has confined the scope ofits EIS to the state of Washington. Since Washington, particularly southwest Washington, stands to gain little from this project, we respectfully propose that the Council reject the current Scope of the EIS and request BPA return when it has amended it to include possible

segments on the Oregon side of the Columbia River. These alternatives could take advantage of infrastructure created to support the now demolished Trojan nuclear plant, existing rights of way, and a junction with the designated Energy Corridor segments to the west of Portland.

The picture used by BPA to illustrate a 500 kV line in its Fact Sheet is misleading, since it portrays a line in the deserted, open farmland representative of Eastern Washington. It does not illustrate the ensuing swath from permanent clear-cutting that would scar the scenic evergreen landscape of northeastern Clark County, and southern Cowlitz County, should any of the proposed segments be utilized.

We ask that BPA resubmit its scope of work for the EIS when:

- It addresses in detail the load growth projections and existing power flows that are causing system congestion in the NW Oregon! SW Washington areas.
- It describes in detail any benefit to Washington State and to Southwest Washington in particular, that will be derived from this project.
- It provides explicit detail regarding the impact of Canada-California power transfers on the need for increased capacity on the I-5 Corridor.
- It thoroughly analyzes the feasibility of moving power between the proposed substations at Castle Rock, WA and Troutdale, OR (or alternative) by 1) using existing transmission line Rights of Way through Oregon, and 2) creating new segments through uninhabited areas and public lands.
- It addresses new technologies such as underground cables using the "lifecycle costing" model as opposed to "initial construction" analyses.
- It provides for each proposed alternative, a detailed count of the number of properties, residences, and commercial land owners who will be directly impacted. For each segment, it should include computer-generated image overlays similar to those provided by commercial developers in support of wind farm and other project applications submitted to the Council.

The proposed segments would devastate the most scenic parts of Clark/Cowlitz Counties, impose financial hardship on many residents and negatively impact their overall quality of life without providing any defined benefits. We request that the Council take 110 action on this Agenda Item and to request BPA withdraw and revise its current EIS Scope of Work, returning when a new scoping process has been completed which addresses all options/issues described above.

Sincerely,

Erin Grover - President  
Better Way for BPA Steering Committee  
Cc: Governor Christine Gregoire

---

Communication ID: 12600

Date Received: 3/26/2010

Name: TERRY CONSTANCE,CHRISTOPHER I WEINGARTNER

Mark Korsness

Project Manager

Bonneville Power Administration

March 26,2010

I am dead set against the new BPA 500KV powerline to be built through some of the most populated parts of Vancouver. I am in basic agreement with the attached letter so I won't repeat all those points in order to keep this communication short, except to enlarge upon the personal loss to the home owners up and down this proposed route.

According to seasoned real estate sales people our property values will drop

25% to 35%, (with the added caveat "if the home could be sold at all). The real estate sales people say that when they take a prospective buyer to a property near a powerline, these people say they don't even want to see the house. I live in a beautiful Salmon Creek neighborhood (The Highlands at Pleasant Valley) that will be hurt throughout, not only by the drop in values of homes within, say 600 feet of the proposed powerline but, by the drop in value of the homes further away due to the effect of Sales "Comps" or comparisons when they want or have to move. I am concerned that this may become a case study in how a beautiful neighborhood becomes a blighted one in a few short years.

A big part of my retirement is tied to the house I live in. It was my ace in the hole. The plan was to sell the house and rent closer to relatives, especially if my health should deteriorate more as I move past the age of 75. Many of my neighbors are in the same circumstance. Some of the younger people here, who financed recently, can just move and leave their house to the bank. This will not be a pretty to live through.

Also, remember that when the easement was first granted, the power transmitted was lower. Now we know the deleterious health effects of 500KV powerlines, especially to children. Would you do this to your own family?

Christopher I Weingartner

[email]

[phone]

Vancouver, WA

(I have edited Mr Constance's original letter, making it shorter. He may not want to lay claim to this version.)



I have thought long and hard on what to say to you about these issues.

I am pleading to you to help stop BPA from making the wrong decisions within our neighborhoods and communities that we enjoy and rely on for retirement and financial well being. They are proposing an invasion of dangerous huge 15 story high voltage towers looming over our homes. Destruction and taking of our Property - Eminent Domain We have rights as homeowners and should not have to fight with any government agencies or administration to keep our lives intact and live on the property we own. The Washington Constitution protects us from eminent domain predators if the law is followed. Researching a few cases I have found the courts don't want to follow Constitutional law in the majority of cases.

BPA is proposing to take another 50 foot of many properties which include the homes to build these 150 ft towers to accommodate hazardous 500 thousand volt transmission lines in our back yards. California requires a 350 ft. setback. BPA is nowhere near this easement width. The serene quiet beauty of our neighborhoods will be destroyed. The Health and well being of your fellow Citizens is in peril. Everyone wants power for the modern conveniences we have become used to but not at the expense of other peoples properties.

This is a human health catastrophe with known health harming attributes. It should be located in unpopulated areas due to health risks to pregnant women, children and others. The schools are already protected by some counties and cities. These types of lines are now outlawed in other states.

Property Values down - NO SALE

Our homes have already dropped in value 30% and are expected to go down over 50% due to BPA and their proposed lines. The tax revenue is falling so fast the Clark County is actually complaining about BPA also. The county, through homeowners, can not fund schools under these circumstances. There will be such a revenue deficit, your office will be getting involved with the affected counties with emergency funding issues relating to this alone. We can no longer sell our homes as no lender will touch these properties under the ax. We are stuck in this mess with no way out. The BPA issue must all be disclosed by any home Seller or Realtor. Is BPA up front and honest, do they care? I think not. Look at their articles of incorporation. They were created to protect tribes and fishes. No where is there a mention of any other human being except as the rate payer who they provide power for. They keep trying to access our property and assess a value with illegal permission documents which is a violation of homeowner's rights and could affect our right to present a correct valuation of our property in court. These documents are illegal and represents a violation of the Washington Constitution. Is BPA open and honest? - The Weyerhaeuser connection Personally, I found BPA and their project manager Mark Korsness a nice guy but misleading and loaded with a pile of pat answers to questions that I know are related more to publicity than truth. Here's a question I asked at a meeting: Why not go East of the populated areas across Weyerhaeuser through the unpopulated wilderness for these lines since it would be more reliable, more safe and affect no one except a corporate easement? Answer: It would affect log production. You have got to be kidding! The firebreak alone would be a huge plus, not to mention

the other benefits and human benefit. Later research revealed Waerhaeuser is BPA's biggest consumer using more power than any other commercial customer.

I think we all know what is behind this..... funding. It simply costs less to use an existing easement regardless of those affected or damaged. I hope you are concerned and will help in this extremely important matter.

Sincerely

{Again, I have edited Mr Constance's original letter and he may not lay

claim to this version. I have made it shorter.}

Terry Constance

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12601

Date Received: 6/29/2010

Name: RICK SMITH

At what point will you decide on the route that will be taken? We were considering the possibility of selling our home prior to this....now it would be very difficult to do this because of the possibility of this line taking our home. Is there a form that shows the pros/cons of each choice? Is there a choice that is being favored more? I'm really only looking at, do I really have to wait 2 years to find out if you'll be taking my house, or will

the decision be done sooner than that?

---

Communication ID: 12602

Date Received: 2/25/2010

Name: MIKE STROMME,RICK SYRING

Mr. Mark Korness

Senior Project Manager

Bonneville Power Administration

7500 NE 41st Street

Vancouver, WA 98666

February 25,2010

Dear Mr. Korness,

I am writing to share the concerns of the Green Mountain School District regarding the proposed route of a power line of the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project on or near the Green Mountain School District property.

As you will discover through your investigation of the many proposed routes, Green Mountain School District operates on a singular 4.5 acre school site. A power line route running through our school property would not be possible without the relocation of the entire school facilities, presently the home of 139 students. Likewise, we do not believe the location of a route within close distance to the Green Mountain school property as being an appropriate option for the reasons enumerated below:

- Proximity of Power Lines to School Property- The school property will not be

suitable site for a future school facilities expansion if power lines are located through or adjacent to the property. Green Mountain is a small rural district that does not have the economic option to locate another building off-site.

- Property Values - The choice of a route through or near the school property will have a negative impact on the property value of the school site and the

properties impacted along the corridor. In turn, this negatively impacts the generation of revenue that supports the educational programming and operations of the Green Mountain School District.

- Electric and Magnetic Fields- A general perception exists among the public that there are inherent health risks associated with prolonged and proximal exposure to large power lines.

On two occasions we have submitted the information you have requested, as we intend to cooperate with your investigation and review process. We understand there are a significant number of routes being considered, thus we encourage the Bonneville Power Administration to consider a more suitable route, one that does not impact the lives and educational program of students and families in the Green Mountain School District community.

Again, we would like to cooperate with your review process. In the event you would like to speak to the Green Mountain School District Board of Directors, please contact the school district administrative office. We look forward to hearing from you regarding the BPA's review and decision making process.

Sincerely,

Mike Stromme, Ed.D.  
Interim Superintendent  
Rick Syring  
President

---

Communication ID: 12603

Date Received: 12/13/2009

Name: JOE STEPHENS, SHERYL STEPHENS

Re: Scope of E15, Route Segment #50

Dear Sirs,

In response to the BPA's proposal to add to existing distribution infrastructure along segment 50, we have two concerns:

- 1) Existing right-of-ways would have to be increased to be sufficient for a 500 KV distribution system
- 2) Adverse effect on the health of those close to the transmission line due to the EMF of the line. Any EIS that doesn't include the human impact will be incomplete as we are a part of this environment

Our property, on SE 5th St in Camas, just east of Everett Rd, is adjacent to an existing transmission line. The easement and setbacks were factors we had to address before we sited the home we built just three years ago. If segment 50 is chosen, then we suspect that additional land would be required along the existing line to have an appropriate easement for a 500 KV line. I'm quite concerned that our home will no longer be far enough away from such a line. What then? Even if allowed to continue to inhabit the home, how will the BPA address adverse health conditions that may arise from close proximity to the line? At least two other home sites on SE 5th St may be similarly affected, as well as those along the existing transmission line moving southeast toward the new Camas High School.

Some of the information I've seen indicates that BPA currently has other transmission lines located in pairs with easements and access that appear more than adequate without adversely impacting the residents with boundaries along the lines. Why aren't these routes the primary routes of interest? Why not a more rural route outside of areas currently included in city or county planned developments or growth boundaries? Why not consider federal land? Routes should be identified that will not require landowners to involuntarily give up property, leave their homes or see their property values diminished. Routes that will not cross private property will be less expensive to maintain because of easier access. Routes outside of developed areas will minimize potential hazards to human health as well.

In conclusion, we request that the BPA remove the segment 50 from any further consideration for a proposed 500 KV distribution line route.

Regards,

Joe & Sheryl Stephens

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12604

Date Received: 1/19/2010

Name: SHARON O'CONNOR

My mother was the kind of person who was always healthy. I was told, as she was, that we have a high threshold for pain. She went through a lot in her life, never complaining, rolling with the punches. I never met anyone who could adapt to pretty much anything, my Dad had a bit of gypsy blood in him. He used to "tinker" around with things and made them work. Wherever we moved, she made it home, even living in a boxcar provided by land realtors, while our dads built our houses. She came from the midwest, then to California 15yrs., then back to Iowa, then Missouri, on to Kansas, back to California and after losing my Dad, she settled in Vancouver, Washington. She spent her teen years in Iowa on a farm during the depression and preferred the country. But, when they drove into the driveway of her last house, the landscaping was loaded with flowers, flowering trees and bushes. She always loved flowers and was an avid gardener. So for her, it was a dream come true. She had a high privacy fence in back so immediately moved her new flock of chickens into the little red gambrel roofed chickenhouse that my husband built her. She raised a couple of pet rabbits the neighbor didn't want, brought a cat and dug a pond. New flower beds sprung up all around the back yard. She expressed the place where the former owner had kept horses was 'perfect' for her vegetable garden and berries. The problem with the place is that over half of her property were towering high power lines. She slept in her bedroom sixty to 100 ft. from the overhead lines. She'd been there around nine years when she was coming in from cutting wood with her chainsaw and felt a pain under her right arm. She thought she was working too hard and maybe pulled something. She showed it to me on my way home from work. I had seen breast cancer before and was certain I was looking at it again. She had a mastectomy and insisted on going home as soon as they were done. I took her every day after work for six weeks. First for the poison and then the burning. She was lucky she didn't get too sick and kept her hair. Then was the long trips to the doctors and the poison until she completed all the regiment. She kept smiling through it all and tried to keep even the chemo nurses up, baking them all cookies and talking about flowers and their babies. We thought she was out of the woods for the next nine or so years. We heard about the man who's garage lit up at night and his power tools going on and off with nobody in there. He had high power lines running near his house. Then all the kids who developed thyroid cancer with the only commonality being the school they all attended had high power lines running past it. She turned her chicken house into just a shed and started moving flowers and vegetables away from the lines. She wrote to the BPA who assured her there was no hard evidence of any connection. She didn't want to believe her garden of Eden was a snake in disguise. She was sincerely a pure heart, didn't drink even coffee, didn't smoke and had never taken even aspirin in her life and now she was concerned. She developed cancer in her left side around nine years later. They dug a hole "as deep as a well" she said under her left arm to get all the lymph glands out and took her breast down to the bone to make sure.. it was gone. She lost all her hair and a great deal of mobility in both arms with strange tingeling in her right hand from all the severed muscles. She gave up her chainsaw and her flowerbeds became a frustration of grass and weeds. The hardest thing for her was losing her independence. But, in September 2008, she was told she was "cancer free". Her hair grew back, she trimmed her weight and ate salads and healthy foods. She got the cataracts off her bright blue eyes and a couple of dentists from her church were fixing her teeth. She was finally free. Then, the pain she had in her right side got bad enough for her to mention it. By Thanksgiving her smile was fading and the weather snowed us in at Christmastime. By the time we saw her again, she was looking pretty rough. We lost Morn at the end of March as the cancer trying to exit her body got hung up in her liver. I realize that we need electricity and am an avocate of wind, solar,

water energy in place of nuclear. But, even Tesla warned of the dangers of alternating current. They are linking it with cancer of the lymph glands. She was the only one in her family to get cancer so she didn't inherit it. I feel that these lines should be nowhere near homes, schools or other places and we're told there is Federal land already available. If it's more expensive to go way out around where people live, it can't be as expensive as dooming one to the most merciless disease we've all dreaded. My home is above Hockinson and on the way up Larch Mt. I wouldn't feel safe looking up to see those lines looming over me like a black cloud. I hope you can come up with a plan to release us from this fear.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs.Sharon O"Connor

---

Communication ID: 12605

Date Received: 1/26/2010

Name: CECIL ROTSCHY

Dear BPA People,

I am writing to beg of you to locate the proposed powerline east of Yacolt, instead of through homes.

We are building a nice home in Section 33, T5N., R3E, in the middle of your route 26. The bank's appraiser values it at \$800,000 plus.

I have heart trouble following open-heart surgery. A pacemaker may yet be needed. I am not sure if I could even live near your powerline.

Please value people more than trees and forestland creatures.

Sincerely,

Cecil Rotschy

[phone number]

Attached: Map showing where her property is located

---

Communication ID: 12606

Date Received: 6/29/2010

Name: DAN DONOVAN

BPA Voicemail

Date received: 6/29/10, 7:29 PM

Hi I was hoping someone could give me a call. I'm looking for some more information on the project. My name is Dan Donovan, I can be reached at [phone number]. The reason I am calling is I'm considering buying property that is adjacent to one of the corridors.

---

Communication ID: 12607

Date Received: 6/29/2010

Name: JEREMY ,SIMS ,SHARON ADOIR-FINK,PHIL AKELY,TERRY ALEXANDER,CHRISTINE ALLEN,DAVE ALLEN,ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, ANONYMOUS ANONYMOUS, DALE W AROLA,GREGORY ATCHLEY,ERIC B (ILL),MATT B (ILL),SARAH BAKER,JANE BARIL,SHARON BARTH,JENNY BARTON,RONNIE BEEBE,OWEN BELMONT,PATTIE BENGE,MARY BERNABE,SANDE BERRY,JEFFERY BERRY,ROBERT BETTETT,NANCY BISHOP,RANAE BLANCHARD,WENDY BLANTON,SCOTT BLANTON,SHIRLEY BOEHM,KIMM BOEHM,JUDY BOND,KRISTY BORK,JULIE BOSTER,MIKE BOSTER,RICHARD BRANTLEY,PAULINE BRATTIN,R.W. BRITTERICK,BRUCE BROCK,BARBARA BROWN,KEN BROWN,BROCK BROWN,RUTH BUNCH,KENT BURNS,ALAN BUTTERFIELD,WILL BUTTERICK,TOM BYRUE (ILL),TINA CA (ILLEGIBLE),DARRELL J CAHOON (ILL),CHERYL CARLSEN,GINO CATANIA,KIM CATANIA,DAWN CATT,CYNDI CHAMBERS,R CHRISTENSEN,WILLIAM E COATINGHAM (ILL),RAFEAL COFF (ILL),SHIRLEY COFFEY,MARC COHEN,MARGARET COLF HEPOLA,LINDA C COMLEY,DAVID COMLEY,KIM CONGDON,PHYLLIS CORNELL,ART R CORTEZ,KAREN CUNNINGHAM,TINA DAVIS,KIM DECKER,RANDY DECKER,JEFF DELLBRIDGE (ILL),SHELBY DESCLOUX,CLIFF DESCLOUX,KYLE DIRAINO,JEREMIAH DON,JOE DONAHUE,CHRISTINE DONAHUE,SHERRY DOOLEY,WILLIAM DOTY,ELIZABETH DRISCOH,MARY DUNN,CLARA E,ROBERTA M EASTER,JOHN H EISEMAN,DAVID ELLES,CHRIS ELMER,SHAWNLYN ELTON,MEL ERICKSON,RICHARD EVERETT,V. W. (ILLEGIBLE) F (ILLEGIBLE),DOUGLAS E. FACUNAY,MARIT FEDERCELL,TOM FERGUSON,RHONDA FERGUSON,SANDRA FERNEDING,CARMEN L FILLIS,ARNOLD K FILLIS,KELLY D FINK,CARRIE FIRESTONE,NITA FRASIER,PAMELA FROST,VALERIE GARDNER,WARREN GARDNER,CYNTHIA GARE,AARON S GARNER,DAN GERGES,NOELLE GIESE,RICHARD A GONZALES,JAN M GRANT,RUSSELL J GRATTAN,RONDA GRIFFITH,GARY HAAG,ELIZABETH HADDAN,MARY HALVERSON,THOMAS J HAMRICH,VICKIE HANCOCK,SHERRY HANSEN,AMANDA HAPER,KURT HARRIS,CANDY L HARRISON,ARNOLD HATFIELD,RONALD R HAWKINS,DONALD HAWLEY,KAREN D HAYS,MARVIN F HERSEY,BLAKE HERSEY,MICHELE L HILLMAN,THOMAS HILLMAN,JESSE E HOPE,ANN C HUDDLESTON,SHIMAY (ILL) HUSEY (ILL),JUDITH IBBS,PAUL S ILLEGIBLE,GA ILLEGIBLE LAST NAME,PATRICK INGRAM,GEORGIA JACKSON,LINDA JACOBS,DANIEL JACOBS,KAREN JAKEL,NICHOLAS K JOHNSON,MATTHEW WILLIAM JONES,GAIL JURGENS,JANE KANE,ARIEL KARBRICK,CR KASER,SHELLY KEEN,MERETA KEENE,DENNESE KELSAY,J KEMP,TONI S KENT,DANIEL KINCADE,BRET KING,SANFORD KING,THOMAS KINGDON,MAUREEN KIRSCH,MIKE KUSCHEL,GREG LAND,LINDA A LARSEN,J.E. LEAHY,CRYSTAL LEE,CORY D LEE,BRIANNA LEE,CHARLES LEFFLER,PHILLIP LLOYD,DAVID K LODIN,KEN LONE,CATHERINE LOWENGON (ILL),KULA LUBECKY,LORI LUCAS,WILLIAM LYNCH,CRAIG LYNCH,JULIE A. LYNCH,WILLIAM D. LYNCH,JIM

MALINOWSKI,KARLA MASSIE,ALEX MATHERS,JENNIFER MATSON,JEFF MATSON,KARL L MATSON,CINDY MAYHAK,LOREEN MCCAFFERTY,DAN MCDANIEL,JUSTIN MCGARY,CASSANDRA MCGARY,KENNETH C MCGOFFIN,KALEB MCGRAY,JUNE MCKEE,BONNIE MCNAUGHTON,LORI MCQUAY,KRIS MEINING,REBECCA METSON,DAVID MEYER,GLORIA MIELKE,ROBERT MILLER,WILLIAM L MILLS,LISA C MILLS,MARC MINNIEAR,TIFFANY MINTS,HOLLY MOER,CATHY MONTGOMERY,MERLE L MOORE,DEANNA MORASCO,MYRANDA MORENE,RUTH MORFORD,WENDY MORGAN,KERRIS MORGAN,BRUCE MORTAR,DONNA MUCCIACCIO,LONNIE MUSICH,LINDA MUSICK,LONNIE MUSICK,TAMI MUSICK,GEORGIA MUSICK,JIM NEIMAN,MONA NELSON,A (ILLEGIBLE) NIXON,MICHAEL NORENBERG,CURTIS NOVACK,DENISE NOVAK,LUTHER D NUNN,DAVE O (ILL),DOLORES P (ILL),KEN PAINE,RONDA PARSONS,CATHRYN PENNINGTON,PAUL PEPPER,WARD PETERSON,DORIAN PITNER,JOSETTE PIVA,JEFF PLUMM,JULIE POINTS,CINDY PORTER,DEBRAH ANN POTTER,ANDREW H POULE,STEPHEN PUTNAM,ROBERT RAYA,PAULA RAYA,KAYLA RAYMOND,BOB RIUSENBURGH,GUY ROBERTS,SUZANNE C ROCK,SANDRA LEE RODGERS,HENRY ROSE,MIKE ROSE,KAREN ROTSCHY,CARL RUESTIG,RICHARD RYAN,ELIZABETH RYAN,SUSAN SAPP,ARIANNE SARKINEN,RICHARD SAUCHEZ,RALPH SCH (ILLEGIBLE),KATHY SCHADEWITZ,ROBERT SCHLITT,DAVID M SCHOOMAKER,JIM SHACKLETON,CRISTI SHANDY,ANTHONY SHANDY,MILTON SHAW,PENNY SHEBEEB,LEONARD SHNULL,RICHARD AND SHARON SHOEPFLIN,BETH SHORT,DARREL SHORT,K SHREW (ILL),KAY SIMONTON,NICOLE SINDT,BERNARD SIRaub (ILL),BARBARA SIZEMORE,K (ILL) SLEGGLL,AARON SMITH,JOHN F SMITH,RHONDA SMITH,JUDY SMITH,SUSAN SMITH,C.L. SNODGRASS,KIM SPACEY,KATHERINE SPELLMAN,LINDA SPOLAR,JIM STANDIG,DANIELLE STEGALL,RYAN STOKES,KATHY STRANE,JEFF STRONG,CHRISTINE STROWE,RANDALL STRUTZ,RICHARD STUKY,ROGER STURDEVENT,CHRISTINA SWIFT,J (ILL) T (ILL),DEBBIE TALKINGTON,DAVID W TANVERSON,SOTRINA (ILLEGIBLE) TEVLIN,MICHAEL THACKER,LARRY THATCHER,TERRIE THRELKELDY,JAN THROLKELD,FEDERICK TILLMAN,ANGELA TINDALL,TINA K TLOWES (ILL),EDWARD TOTTEN,CHRISTA TRASK,TOM TRASK,KIMBERLY TRAXLER-WEST,JASON TRUMAN,CYNTHIA TRUMAN,WANDA F TURNER,ALYSON TVEIDT,JASON VAN DYKE,KATHLEEN VANDERPOOL,KATHLEAN VANTISDALE,VIC VASKELIS,HANNAH VINCENT,LOREN VONER (ILL),JAY VROMAN,PAM WAGNER,LAUREN WAGNER,DAWN WALKER,GORDON T WALLING,LEANNE WALLINS,KAREN L WARREN,BRYAN WARRINGTON,RAMEY WASER,RAY WASER,SHARMA WASER,JAIME WEIMER,MAN ELLE WELLS,JASON WERNER,VICTORIA WHITENELL- CLARK,PAT WHITTAKER,CRAIG WIMBS,RON WOLDEIT,PEARL WOLDEIT,JOANN WOOD,KYLE YANCEY,ROBERT C YE

<Cover Letter>

Bonneville Power Administration  
Attn: Mark Korsness  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Re: I-5 Corridor reinforcement project

Dear Mr. Korsness and BPA Public Affairs:



You might be interested in knowing that a casually circulated Petition, a copy of which is enclosed, was signed by approximately 300 residents in North Clark County in a short period of time earlier this year. One of the petitioners, my client Matthew Jones, asks the BPA to recognize that it has negatively impacted property values by notices to property owners of the project's possible effect upon their property. He also asks that BPA give notification of release of potential route segments for the I-5 Corridor reinforcement project power lines as soon as possible.

Please acknowledge receipt of the Petition, and publish the same as a general comment on the project website and records.

Very truly yours,

David W. Meyer,  
Attorney for Matthew W. Jones

DWM:clv

cc: Bonneville Power Administration, Public Affairs

Matthew W. Jones (Clark Co. [parcel number] and [parcel number], near Route Segment 26)

<Petition>

We, the undersigned residents of Washington State, submit this request and petition for consideration to our Congressional Senators and Representatives. To the Department Of Energy. To our Washington State Representatives, Legislature, and Governor. To the Washington State Department of Natural Resources. To the Clark & Cowlitz County Commissioners. To the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA):

In the fall of 2009, thousands of SW Washington residents received notice from BPA informing they are at risk for private property seizure via eminent domain because their property is within one of multiple routes being considered for the BPA's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. This has created an untenable situation for private property owners who now have their property thus branded. Under Washington State law (RCW 64.06.015 and 020), residential property owners must now disclose the notice they received of the potential easement or condemnation to any prospective buyer, which negatively affects their property's market value and marketability. Commercial property sellers must typically give similar disclosures, and mortgage lenders also often require borrowers to disclose to the lender detriment to a property's value, which can cause the lender to call the loan due.

BPA initially had numerous proposed routes under consideration, and then publically released 4 of these proposed routes from consideration. BPA then announced it will not release any more routes from consideration until as late as 2013. Property owners are being hurt now, in a real estate market that is one of the worst in recent history. The affected property owners have no practical recourse or redress to this overbroad and unfair financial detriment to their property. We seek immediate relief.

We call on the above noted parties to communicate, cooperate, advocate, and achieve the following:

1. BPA's release of all unlikely, improbable, and unsuitable proposed routes immediately.
  2. Ensure BPA's design and construction of the final, single route to have minimal visual and environmental impact on rural residential property owners.
  3. Cause the final, single route to be used be sited as far to the East as possible, and whenever possible on unpopulated land.
- 

Communication ID: 12608

Date Received: 6/30/2010

Name: TIA A MCCLURG

I am interested in purchasing a home just outside of the notification boundary at 39th Ave & 104th St in Vancouver and am gathering information regarding the BPA project and impact in the area for my decision to purchase. I am wondering if any decisions have been made about placement of a line in this area (line 25). By looking at the current BPA right of way and the current transmission lines located at this intersection, my guess would be that an additional line placed here would run on the East side of the current transmission line. To place an additional line on the West side would require acquisition of additional property which includes homes. Of course, this is all layman's assumptions. Please let me know if any decisions have been made regarding this area, and if not, if my assumption is reasonable or if there are additional considerations that could potentially impact the location of an additional line here. Thanks for your time.

---

Communication ID: 12609

Date Received: 7/1/2010

Name: LAURA C LAMM

I am a new homeowner in Washougal. There are power lines near my home. My neighbor informed me today (7/1/10) that I should have received a letter requesting permission to survey on my property. We never received such a request. I would like more information. Please send. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12610

Date Received: 6/29/2010

Name: LEIF PIETILA

Hello Mark,

I am a property owner at [address]. My property lies in the proposed path of segment 25 of the new transmission line, and is adjacent to BPA property.

I'm going to put the property on the market in the next month or two, and I wanted to see if BPA would have any interest in purchasing it. Please let me know either way whether your organization has any interest.

Thank you,

Leif Pietila  
[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12611

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RICHARD DIETEL,CINDY DIETEL

Me and my family are opposed to the route running near our home (Yacolt area). We are in strong support of the route near the I-5 corridor. It would be a shame to devastate and displace all of the homes, animals and natural beauty. Richard and Cindy Dietel

---

Communication ID: 12612

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: JEFF PLUMMER

I am extremely dismayed at the thought of coming out of my front door to face a tower with high power lines in my face everyday. I can not imagine how terrible that would be everyday. I am also worried sick about my investment that I have been improving now for the last 10 years. Now you come along and basically murder my chances of having any success in life. That is what you are doing. This is basically white collar crime of epic proportions. You are stealing away our future and our lifes investment. Not so many years ago that was punishable my hanging. I strongly suggest you reconsider a path that goes where there is less people and less damage to private lives and investments. Seriously,

Jeff S. Plummer

---

Communication ID: 12613

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: BRADLEY R WILLIAMS

I am a former transmission planning engineer and know BPA has many better alternatives to this line. It does not make sense to bring a 500kV line into such a populated area when so many more reasonable alternatives exists. Such alternatives include: 1) simply building a double circuit subtransmission voltages (like what the corridor through Hockinson was intended for); 2)Smart Grid Distributed Generation and Demand Response technologies, or 3) simply move the 500kV line to forest land to the east. A new 500kV is not a good new neighbor. No one at BPA in their right mind would want such a line to be buiit

through their existing neighborhood. Here are just a few of the major problems I see with a 500kV line through Hockinson area: the EMF will bring new health risks to those who live near the line, corona noise will keep us up at night and annoy us during the day, the size of these towers will destroy our beautiful views of the Vancouver/Portland area we now enjoy. BPA currently has no incentive to pursue alternatives right now and you need to. If the problem is Portland metro area cooling load during the summer, then DSM is an easy fix. Area utilities should get the equivalent transmission cost funding to pursue DSM or Smart Grid alternatives that are much better for the environment and people who live here. BPA does not need a 500kV line through Hockinson Area. Please pursue more friendly alternatives.

Thank you for your consideration. Please let me know if you would like more details on these alternatives. I head up Smart Grid Strategy at Oracle Corporation and would be happy to share how these alternatives can meet the capacity and reliability problems BPA claims to be the driver for this line.  
Bradley R. Williams, PE

---

Communication ID: 12614

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: RAMONA ARNOLD

While I do see the reasoning for the opposition from the homeowners who may be on the route of the proposed new power lines I wish to comment as someone already living near the existing route and power station (Ross). I have been here almost 25 years. It is reasonable to predict that people will migrate to this area and outlying areas of the PNW. This is a beautiful place. But growth is also inevitable as I have seen over the last 25 years here. I have bought a small home in Vancouver's westside and have mostly lived here on this side along I-5. There are power and utilities lines above ground. Comcast Cable has a line running over my large back yard to service my neighbors. Last winter a fir branch of mine fell and took down the line. I could demand they put another pole up in the alley and stay off my land however I try to somewhat accommodate realizing as well another pole might be worse than what I now have and this line is only a minor eyesore. This is another case of "Not in my Backyard". I always say to this "Yes, but what about others backyards?" People over here near the existing route have and are putting up with the system as is for the greater good of providing service to people all over including to those who are doing the protesting. My counter protest is therefore-NO more power lines in an area already saturated first of all. In my neighborhood there are numerous improvements I would like to see but will most likely not happen for various reasons. It is a matter of being willing to tolerate some of these inconveniences and even potential health risks (for example living close to a major highway causing air quality and noise concerns) or choosing another place to live. The people living over here near the existing power lines are equally justified to have the same concerns as those protesting the new lines however many here have chosen to stay and accept that this is part of living in a desirable part of the country and growth and the services to support this growth are a fact of life. My father migrated from Missouri in the 1940's to Southern California, The San Fernando Valley. We had a small ranch in Sepulveda but suburban growth was picking up around us into the 1950's. That small acreage is long gone now, many times built over. It is sad but people have to realize that what they find desirable is the

same thing to draw others to the area to live and these people must be serviced. We may not like it but to not envision before buying property in outlying and more isolated areas in an oversight on their part. We must all share in the sacrifices necessary to support growth and to be able to live in this beautiful part of the country. We residents along I-5 have been doing this. I sincerely hope BPA will not back down and will put these lines where they need to go.

Sincerely, Ramona Arnold <phone number>

---

Communication ID: 12615

Date Received: 12/14/2009

Name: GEORGE H KINSEY

I submitted my comments on the I-5 Reinforcement Corridor to the BPA on 14 December 2009. After typing in the two (2) words written in the box at the bottom of the form and then submitting, no verification was received that my form and comments had been submitted correctly. This needs to be corrected. Would like to have someone call me on this. Am currently in Dubai, UAE, and will home on 21 December 2009.

Please Contact Me

George Kinsey  
<email>  
<phone number>

---

Communication ID: 12616

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: JOE DOWNING

I have one of the easment running thru our property I for one do not want it, however if they decided to run threw our property and our house is in the easment what happens dose BPA relocate my house?

---

Communication ID: 12617

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CAROL BOYD

We listed our house over two years ago. With the economy what it is our house hasn't sold, not even an offer. If he power line goes in we will probably never be able to sell our house the would put us under great financial stress. I am concerned about the hundereds of home and families tha will be effected. I am very concerned about the heaith risks. PLEASE DO NOT BUILD THE POWER LINE IN HOCKINSON WASHINGTON. THE GIFFORD PINCHOT NATIONAL FOREST IS BEHIND US FOR GOODNESS. BUILD IT THERE!

---

Communication ID: 12618

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: DAVID FD BUCK

I am a resident of Clark County, living less than 1000 feet from existing 230kV lines, which is a proposed route for the 500kV lines. I am opposed to the possibility of upgrading the 230kV lines to 500kV. There are already health issues in the neighborhood (Leukemia, breast cancer) which are of grave concern. I have spent many hours researching health risks associated with high voltage lines - these studies identify risks which are unacceptable to me and my family. Please find another solution/route to the transmission issue.

Alternatively, you need to fully compensate us for relocation. Please call if you have questions or comments. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12619

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RHONDA BUCK

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project: Opposition to modifying existing 230kV lines

As a resident that lives within 1000 feet of existing 230kV lines (east of 29th Ave north of Salmon Creek), I am strongly opposed to "reinforcing" the existing lines for the following reasons: - Negative impact on resale value of well established homes. - Taller towers create an eye Sore. - The audible buzzing/crackling noises are disturbing. - Small children live in the neighborhood, and the potential health risks associated with powerlines is unacceptable. - Earlier this year, we had a personal scare with the existing powerlines. While playing below the powerlines (not near towers), a noticeable, painful electrical current was felt by our children. When we rubbed our hands against one the face of our children, we could feel an electrical charge. While not as strong, it was directionally similar to the feeling of being electrically shocked by a 120VAC outlet. We alerted the BPA and it was deemed to be normal. The polite representative told us that similar complaints are quite common... that small electric shocks can be felt from moist blades of grass, or via the air on a humid day. It is unacceptable to consider an increase to 500kV lines which would greatly amplify this already painful and potentially harmful exposure. Please find an alternate route/solution.

Thank You.

---

Communication ID: 12620

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: PERRY J CALABRESE

Thanks for making my families worst christmas season on record. With the threat of our house being 'taken' by the BPA project or worse yet being left with a home that is unmarketable, reduced property values, and liVing in the shadow of 500k volts, has ruined our normally joyful season. Hope you folks at the BPA can sleep cozy at night because I've not had a good rest for months. Perry

---

Communication ID: 12621

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: PERRY J CALABRESE

Segment #2 would have towers placed on documented red slide zones in Cowlitz County. You do not have permission to cut, trim, alter, enter, or make any alterations to my property, greenery, trees, bushes, move soil, drill holes, or ANYTHING else that may cause land movement.

---

Communication ID: 12622

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: JENIFER HUDSON

I live on 237th avenue and 53rd street. Is there a proposed line going through my property? I looked on your map but there are no streets on it. It looks line #43 may be going through my land.

---

Communication ID: 12623

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MARY DUNN

Please do not ruin my life, my home, my family. There is plenty of DNR land that you can run your transmission lines across without interfering in so many peoples lives. This is AMERICA and this is not Freedom! We do not want this line built in Clark county and if you find it absolutely necessary then run it along I-5 or DNR land way. The idea that terrorism could strike along I-5 is ludicrous. We might be Yacolt country folk but we are not stupid. You will find highly educated individuals out in the country who are willing to fight for the things most important to us. If a fight is what you want then that is what you will get. Please know we will use every media outlet and congress person to fight you in the battle. We are noisy and we will not go away. I bought my land as a "freedom of choice" it is my land, not yours! I own it, not you! Please come to another decision, find another way, do not destroy our lives. You will be our nemesis and we are ready for the fight. There are other options...use them. You heard it, the people have spoken. We are just as important as the line routes you have already removed from your sights. Remove the rest of them, Clark County DOES NOT WANT THIS!!! Don't ruin our county!

---

Communication ID: 12624

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MARLI SYSUM

I am a homeowner on one of your proposed sites and would like to express my concern in regards to this matter. I have lived at this residence for the past six years and have brought home each of my three children here. This house is not just a house to us, but our home, where our, you could say our heart is. If you chose this proposed site, it would take away our children's only home that they know, that we know. I know that you have existing power lines that run through Green Mountain golf course and another set of high power lines that run on 18th street. Would it not be more cost effective to link on to the existing power lines, instead of demolishing over 30 houses that other families also consider a home? Please take this into consideration when making your decision. Thank you for your time.

---

Communication ID: 12626

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: AMANDA JONES

Lines 26, 28 and 30 effect our property. Although 30 is the closest they all will impact the view from our property and consequently our property value. I also have concerns about neighbors and the health impacts on our children. We moved out here to enjoy the beauty this area provides. Please consider changing this route and preserve the nature we all came out here to enjoy! Sincerely, Amanda Jones

---

Communication ID: 12627

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RON WOOLCOCK

I live on route 25. My only objection with your plan is to move your proposed line north. A line exists in a field already from 137th Ave to 162nd Ave. If you keep your plan you will be taking out 8 homes in my neighborhood and wiping out many more homes(100's) east towards 162nd. If you place the new line north of the existing one in the field this would save money and not displace 100's of families. I would like a response to my comment to see if anyone read this and to see if this option will be considered.

---

Communication ID: 12628

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: REBECCA L MURPHY

Thank you for announcing the lines you are no longer considering. That is appreciated. Please remove line 26 from your proposed lines as well. It is even more populated than line 27 (which you just removed from consideration), full of protected wetlands and communities of people who have lived here for generations, many of whom still have no idea of your plans. Removing line 26 and any other line through populated areas from consideration would be a great holiday gift for Clark & Cowiitz county residents.

---



Communication ID: 12629

Date Received: 12/21/2009

Name: JULIE ASH

December 21, 2009. We were walking the land with a back-pack with our 3 year old daughter and I was holding our newborn baby. My father-in-law and husband over the remaining 16 months, worked late into the evenings after working a full day. Our children have gone to school, played with neighbors. This house was built by their dad and grandfather. It is more than bricks and drywall, it is their home and security, a place where their story began. Imagine your thoughts and feelings this Christmas if your treasured home where you will soon celebrate with children and family may not be the safe and cozy haven you've built it to be? It is my sincere desire that your company please drop plans for expansion of these high power lines that would risk affecting so many neighbors just like me. Why not pursue this in a less populated area? Stop and think if you would want this in your neighborhood? Financially, emotionally and respectfully I implore you to take out any option of this coming to fruition in Hockinson. Money isn't the most important thing. It is the damaging affects to health, well-being and the opportunity to live in a house we built and spent time making into a home. I sincerely request that you please consider the faces of the children, the hard-working people who pay their mortgages and work as a teacher to provide for our families. It makes me incredibly frightened and disheartened to think this may affect our family, and so many other families in our area. I know there are better solutions. Please make the decision that does not affect so many people. What about

Christmases to come? Will my kids be able to come home in the future? Or will this be worth nothing because of the power lines hovering above us? Julie Ash

---

Communication ID: 12630

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: SHARON ALDEN

Why can't the existing powerline area be used instead of disrupting 100's of lives. We are dealing w/ the proposed pipeline in this area now & do not want anymore problems & invasions created by a new powerline. Please don't consider our area for this new project.

---

Communication ID: 12631

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: KATHLEEN WHITAKER

To whom it may concern, Why all the messing around? We already have transmission lines in our back yard. You know the route will go with the one already there. If the line fell, it will take out our house now. Half of our orchard was a sawn down last week. There is no way these trees would have reached the lines. They have coexisted for the last 21 years we have lived here. We were informed that fruit trees

weren't a problem under the lines, but I guess they are, huh. You didn't even inform us this was going to happen until the tree cutters came to our door 3 days before they showed up with chain saws. Our house was built on the first homestead on this hill. How sad! The line already hums, pops and sizzles so another would be intolerable. Our house will be paid for in 2 years. Our kids were raised here. We had planned to sell and down size but this proposal makes that impossible. Why would anyone buy a house that may be destroyed. How wonderful to receive a deed and a bulldozer at the same. Environment and wildlife were supposed to be considered. Obviously you overlooked deer and elk used the orchard not to mention all of the neighbors. We have lots of pictures to prove this but we were never asked to present this information. Would you please just get this over with. We would like to plan things too. We want to make some home improvements but why waste the money if you are going to tear down our house. You have us in a catch 22 situation. As a home owner with lines on our property, I feel we should have some courtesy in this respect. I know this is going to happen so get it over with even though it will break a lot of hearts. Why do we have to wait for 2 years? I know this doesn't matter to you what I have said but please have a little respect for homeowners that are living with easements now. Neither my husband or I have ever complained about any problems even though trees have been raped from the yard, the giant jungle gym or the constant hum pop and sizzle. It's time to say something now. Enough is enough!!!!

---

Communication ID: 12632

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: JEREY SESSIONS

I attended the public meeting at Mark Morris High school. One of the Engineers involved in question and answer, stated that a second 500KV line would be installed in the future, along with the doubling in size of the substations. Is this a true statement? Are land easements and acquisitions being assessed for this future expansion for the second line and substation enlargement? If this is true, Why do your information releases not mention the future expansion? Please be clear as to your intentions and future plans regarding our future ... your agency has already put over 8000 families in financial limbo! Your press releases should include any and all plans for land encroachment related to power lines and or substations now and in the future.

---

Communication ID: 12633

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CHARLES FLICK

I just received the project study area map dated Dec. 21, 2009 with a reference to TEP-TPP3. I have the same problem with this map as I had with all of the other BPA maps connected with this project. Except for I-5 not a single road is marked. I do not have any idea where these lines are located. Without the roads marked I do not know how the proposals will affect our various properties or even if they will. Are there any maps with roads? Thanks

---

Communication ID: 12634

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: PATRICK FILES

Due to the fact that I own several properties in Clark county I would like to get a map of what you have planned. AS most govt sites this one sucks so please mail me one! If I don't hear from you soon you will loudly hear from my representative! Thank you Pat Files

---

Communication ID: 12635

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MICHAEL RIETMAN

I HAVE LOOKED AT BOTH THE MAPS SENT OUT AND THE SATILLITE PICTURES, THE MAP SHOWS THE 21 TO 11 GOING TO MY WEST, THE PICTURE SHOWS THE 21 11 GOING RIHGT THRU MY SUBDIVISION, COULD I GET SOME CLARIFICATION. THANK YOU MICHAEL RIETMAN

---

Communication ID: 12636

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: JOHN A MILLS

Your new map (Dec. 21, 2009) shows four segments no longer being considered for the I-5 Reinforcement Project. But it still fails to show the City of Camas (WA) as an urban area (shaded brown) although its incorporated population is larger than St. Helens, Scappoose, Rainier, or Columbia City -- All of which are shaded and shown on the map as "Urban Areas." Please correct this oversight.

---

Communication ID: 12637

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: REBECCA A KARLSON

My name was misspelled on your last mailing. It was addressed to Rebecca A. Patutratear. My last name is Karlson. Please correct this. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12638

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: DENISE JARRELL

Please DO NOT build the Hi-Power lines through our quiet, beautiful neighborhood in Hockinson. The 500KV effects have not even been studied so close to a community. That property was purchased in

1957, before these folks had built their homes and settled here, and now it is no longer appropriate to build here now. Please consider an area east of here that is less populated. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12639

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RICHARD MEDCRAFT

I studied the updated map I received yesterday but cannot determine if my property is still within the project boundaries. Is there a more detailed map available? If not, can someone tell me if my property is still within the project boundaries? I cannot tell from the new map if my property is in segments 27, 31,42 or 44. Thank you, Rich Medcraft

---

Communication ID: 12640

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CONNIE BARTOLD

(This is private information, and was not put on the website. Also see comment ICR090046) Dear I-5 Reinforcement Project, JANUARY 11,2010 RE; MAILING ADDRESS

CHANGE; CONNIE M BARTOLD PROPERTY LOCATED AT [address] [parcel number] There is a very important correction in your database that needs to be made ASAP. I have been receiving mail from you addressed o "Warren and Connie Bartold [address]" I was fficially DIVORCED from Warren E Bartold on March 20, 2009. I - Connie M Bartold, NOW AM THE SOLE OWNER OF THIS PROPERTY. (I was awarded this property in trial Feb 17-18,2009 and Warren E Bartold signed a quit claim deed to this property in July 2009. Cowlitz County records will confirm this information) PLEASE TAKE WARREN'S NAME OFF THE ADDRESS. Even MORE IMPORTANTLY, MY MAILING ADDRESS IS [address]. PLEASE SEND ALL FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE TO THIS ADDRESS ([address])INSTEAD OF THE RESIDENCE – [address]. Furthermore, in your correspondence, you refer ONLY to the parcel [parcel number]. Because I am legally disabled and receive an exemption on my property taxes, my property is divided into 2 parts. Parcel [parcel number] refers officially to "1 HOUSE AND 2 ACRES" - the parcel which receives the exemption. The additional parcel number – [parcel number] refers to the 1.24 acres WHICH IS NOT COVERED UNDER THE EXEMPTION. (The boundaries/division of the acreage is not clearly defined by the assessor's office.) By referring in your correspondence ONLY to parcel number [parcel number], IT IS NOT CLEAR IF YOU ALSO INCLUDE PARCEL NUMBER [parcel number]. According to your map (Page 13, segment 9), the shaded area (subject) area of my property is ONLY THE SOUTHWEST CORNER - AND DOES NOT INCLUDE THE HOUSE. Please clarify/explain why in your correspondence you ONLY refer to parcel number [parcel number] and if there are any ramifications by doing so. Your prompt attention in correcting the name and address is appreciated, as well as clarification on your use of the parcel numbers. Thank you very much, Connie M Bartold

---

Communication ID: 12641

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CONNIE BARTOLD

Dear I-5 Reinforcement Project, A few minutes ago I sent a "COMMENT" regarding changes of address/name and clarification of my parcel number use. PLEASE DO NOT POST THIS COMMENT PUBLICLY. I DID NOT REALIZE THAT MY PERSONAL INFORMATION COULD BE SENT "IN GENERAL" OVER THE INTERNET. Please use this COMMENT ONLY "FOR YOUR INFORMATION" AND TO MAKE THE NECESSARY CORRECTION ON YOUR RECORDS. PLEASE CONFIRM THAT MY PERSONAL INFORMATION WILL NOT BE POSTED PUBLICLY. (You may leave a message on my phone or even better, email me to confirm.) I understand that it takes about 3 days to post a comment on line so this request to NOT HAVE IT POSTED PUBLICLY is sent in a timely manner. THANK YOU SO MUCH Connie Bartold

---

Communication ID: 12642

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: PAUL NELSON

I live in area 25 of your proposed power line. It would be good to know what the percentage of possibility that the new line will run where we live and if it will take out our house. We are in need of repairs on our house, insulation, painting outside and inside, gutters and other smaller items. It would be a waste to spend the money now as it does need done and to let it sit for a couple of years and get in worse shape and then find you are not going to take our property while the costs of repair keep going up. there are a lot of people in this area upset and not knowing what to do. The line should be run far to the east and not displace a large number of homes. Leaving people in this limbo is unreasonable.

---

Communication ID: 12643

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CHRISTY CHANG

I am hearing rumors that the BPA's proposed project area (out of the many possibilities) is to have 500-kilovolt transmission lines run right through populated towns and neighborhoods. Why would you endanger so many lives and houses? Can't you find another route? ....Somewhere that isn't populated?

---

Communication ID: 12644

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: NOLAN MEYER

To whom it may concern, I am writing this letter as a concerned citizen in regards to the new proposed BPA high voltage transmission line. If these new BPA lines were to go through certain proposed areas I would be negatively affected in a couple of different ways. Transmission line 31, which extends from the

Yacolt area south to the Camas area runs directly through the Fire District that I serve. If line 31 were to go through it would either cause the destruction and or considerable devaluation of homes and property which directly effects tax revenue. There has already been a considerable amount of declined value(somewhere around 17% loss of revenue for our area), anymore could mean potential layoffs for our fire district, and I would be the first to go. The above is only part of the project that affects me. Transmission lines 47 and 50, which run through the Camas area are proposed to run through my property; this would be a devastating blow to me as it would be for most people. If this line were to go through, the chances of me losing my home would be nearly 100%. I am a first time home buyer and I am trying to get my feet on the ground as I get my career underway. I just purchased this home in September and in October BPA released the first set of letters regarding their new proposed project. Therefore, I had no idea of the project as I was purchasing my new home. If this were to happen there is no way I could afford another home, this years assessed valuation decreased a considerable amount and I doubt that I would be reimbursed close to what I owe if there is any relation to the assessed valuation. There is no doubt that I would still owe money on my current home and then I would be asked to relocate somewhere else. With the current economic crisis, there is no way possible for me to afford a new home. I also doubt lenders would approve me for another loan. This is no way to stimulate the economy. In fact, I think it would hurt our current situation by reducing the value of homes and property, or even forcing people to evacuate their current residences, which would decrease tax revenue for public agencies forcing employers to cut jobs and having an even bigger negative impact on our current economy. I highly encourage the BPA to take another route through less populated areas. It would be very beneficial to many homeowners and employers for the BPA to take proposed routes that run through less populated areas or state land. The negative impact would be far less today and into the future. I have heard some individuals say they do not have a voice in the matter, which may be true. However, you have a great influence on others who may be making these decisions, and I ask for your help in protecting the needs and concerns of the citizens of these communities. Thank you very much for your time.

Sincerely

Nolan Meyer

---

Communication ID: 12645

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: ROBERT RAYA

I live on proposed line #26.... if built, we expect a route that does not impact our families our homes or property ON ANY of the proposed lines left on the table!

---

Communication ID: 12646

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: DAN BEEL

We currently own a parcel within a proposed route segment for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I have two questions. How much land is required to build one support tower for the transmission lines? Is there some consideration for the decline in value of a property that requires an easement? I appreciate any information you can provide.

---

Communication ID: 12647

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RICHARD BARTON

I would like to have the map co-ordinates for your proposed routes through Cowlitz and Clark County so that I may see how adversely this will affect my family and I, nobody is saying anything and I feel that we are getting rail roaded on this just like the health care debate. Just like that the Senate and the House decide that 15 percent of the population gets to decide what the other 85 percent should do, I want some real proposed co-ordinates where you plan to run your transmission lines, the map you sent out is very vague and as usual helps me in no way typical government, prove me wrong or help me out here  
Thanks

---

Communication ID: 12648

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: KARI MULLIKIN

I'm not sure of the whole scope of work for this project but as a homeowner on the line 26 segment I would like to know more about the project and the full scope of work. Right now all I know is that you want to put power lines in the area. I work for the City of Vancouver in Greenway Sensitive Lands. Are there any plans to incorporate any trails, parks or anything into this project? I see it as an opportunity to create a whole new segment in the trail system in the county. This would create jobs and benefit the area greatly. I have seen first hand how much easier it would be to do the whole thing all at once rather than deciding to do this all later. Many groups have already discussed having a trail that goes north from the existing trail system in Vancouver and this seems like the perfect opportunity to make it happen. I also believe that by doing this more people would embrace the idea of having those big ugly towers near where they live. I know I would. Just wanted to add my 2 cents worth.

---

Communication ID: 12649

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: KARI NICHOLS

Our property is located within proposed segment 26. This powerline construction is of great concern to myself and family. The following issues are of concern: 1) Property values have already declined in this economy. My property and those around us should not decline due to your need for more lines. These

lines should be ran in unpopulated areas. 2) These high voltage power lines in our neighborhood would cause a safety and health issue for my children and animals. I can not afford increased medical bills. 3) Clearing trees to build power lines is unnesseary and harmful to our environment. This decreaed level of oxygen on my property is not someone elses right to destroy. 4) My home sits up on a hill and the view of beautiful green trees and other homes should not be impaired by tall steel structures. 5) The noise involved with the established as well as normal operating of these towers should not be near residential homes. I choose a country setting to raise my family so that they are not poluted by the noises of urban areas. These towers would create unwanted noise. I believe that BPA should FIND ANOTHER WAY as well as my neighbors.

---

Communication ID: 12651

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MICHAEL GIBSON

My wife and i are owners at Lake Merwins Campers Hideaway. I have looked over the maps and cannot see where the proposed power lines ar going to go. Are the going through hideaway property or around? If they are going through the property where are they going through? My property is [location]. Is the line going to be any where near this block and site? Thanks in advace for any info you can give me.  
Respectfully Mike Gibson

---

Communication ID: 12652

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: SCOTT JEFFRIES,MARY MILLER,RAY MILLER,KARI NICHOLS

Clarification - This comment is for the I-5 Project.

I-5 Reinforcement Project: Our property is located within proposed segment 26 of the I-5 Reinforcement Project. This powerline construction is of great concern to myself and family. The following issues are of concern:

- 1) Property values have already declined in this economy. My property and those around us should not deline due to your need for more lines. These lines should be ran in unpopulated areas.
- 2) These high voltage power lines in our neighborhood would cause a safety and health issue for my children and animals. I can not afford increased medical bills.
- 3) Clearing trees to build power lines is unnesseary and harmful to our environment. This decreaed level of oxygen on my property is not someone elses right to destroy.
- 4) My home sits up on a hill and the view of beautiful green trees and other homes should not be impaired by tall steel structures.



5) The noise involved with the established as well as normal operating of these towers should not be near residential homes. I choose a country setting to raise my family so that they are not poluted by the noises of urban areas. These towers would create unwanted noise.

I believe that BPA should FIND ANOTHER WAY as well as my neighbors.

---

Communication ID: 12654

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MARTHA L JUHOLA

Hello, I recently attended a neighborhood meeting regarding the still being considered #26 line for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I was disappointed to learn that there are other routes that have far less inhabitants and private land owners. In fact, apparently there was a well constructed proposal by the County to that effect. No, you don't have to answer to them, but come on ...we are all citizens are we not? Though there was a general consensus that BPA is a respectable entity many of us in North Clark County are going to need to say no, that is NO to line 26 and perhaps others that will prove to be disruptive. I realize that this is going to be a process, however, the expediency will be so greatly appreciated by all. Just consider moving the line farther east. By the way we also found out this effort is not for our area-but California to Canada, and increasing their annual percentages of power. Haven't we all had enough of this smoke and mirrors stuff? At the first meeting you folks held along with the first bits of info. it was presented as being needed for the local area. There are numerous reasons for reconsideration, and removal of line 26. Here is a quick nutshell: property value decrease, the scenic ambiance of the area (thousands of folks recreate north of here and drive through), quality of life, and of course environmental impact. There, of course, will be much more to come in the way of details and detrimental issues. I was looking forward to a great 2010, so let us all work together to make that happen. It would just save all of us a lot of time, money, work and headache if you were to just announce that "you have decided to consider the County's well thought out proposal. After closer review it just seems the most sensible, sound and correct route to pursue." thanks a million, we all have our work cut out for us,you won't lose any points by doing the right thing.

Respectfully,

Martha Juhola  
<phone number>

---

Communication ID: 12655

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: WILLIAM DOTY,ROXANNE DOTY

Please have your environmental studies look at: parcels # <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, <parcel #>, in north clark county. These parcels make up a 480 acre tree farm that has been in our family over 50 years. It is being actively managed for timber

production, wildlife habitat and fish restoration. Includes an extensive stream enhancement project by Fish First that was federally funded to increase salmon runs. Have many acres enrolled in CRP, CREP, and other federal programs to protect Cedar Creek, Johns Creek, and others. Property also includes several ponds and wetlands. We have been good stewards of the land and hate to see our efforts negated by a power line. We are also concerned about the loss of property values, negative health consequences, and decreased timber production. We strongly urge you to consider a more easterly route and not penalize us for having this larger acreage and not dividing it into smaller home sites. We apologize for the late comment but have been overseas for an extended period. Sincerely, William and Roxanne Doty

---

Communication ID: 12656

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: LESLIE STEWART BELL

Why is BPA not showing all the segments on the website they are alerting the public to? This is not a malfunction of software. Please look into this ASAP. BPA has done a poor job of alerting homeowners/property owners. This overlook is pure blasphemy.

---

Communication ID: 12657

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: LEE R DAVIS

Line 30 is too close to many residential neighborhoods. I live at the top of Berry Rd., just southeast of Bells Mountain. Our area, and Venersborg will be greatly affected in residential value if line 30 is used. Please find an area that is less populated.

---

Communication ID: 12658

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: WILLIAM DOTY

In reference to our letter submitted January 23rd 2010, we are opposed to line 26

---

Communication ID: 12659

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: ANTHONY BENCIVENGO

I am concerned about the environmental effects of the proposed transmission line between Castle Rock, Washington and Troutdale, Oregon. It would go through an important rain forest environment which is home to many rare and endangered species. Building a transmission line through this ecosystem could

have horrible effects on it if done the wrong way. I urge you to tread carefully and make sure that you do as little damage to the ecosystem as possible. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12660

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: KEITH D RAST

You have sent me another "Permission To Enter Property Form" to sign. That is not going to happen. The BPA can stay off my property, thank you very much. The government has a whole lot of land to the east of here and you can just run your power line through there. Stay away from our homes and parks! --  
Keith Rast

---

Communication ID: 12661

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: LEANNE BREMMER

Please put me on your mailing list for any news on this project. Miller Nash represents CJ Dens Land Company LP which owns developable property just north of Lacamas Lake in Camas, WA (Clark County Assessor's Numbers <parcel #>; <parcel #>; <parcel #>; <parcel #>). This property is located in the Camas urban growth boundary and is planned for residences. We are concerned about the impact of the new route should it cross over or near this property. Thank you for adding us to the mailing list.

---

Communication ID: 12662

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: TERESA LAMARRE

I am interested in purchasing property in Castle Rock, Washington. I see the big transmission lines, and Castle Rock, looks to still be proposed. I see the red lines, but am very concerned on the location. Please contact me.

---

Communication ID: 12663

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: ELANA D GLASS

BPA you have disrupted the lives and homes of my fellow neighbors as well as my family along #25 route in Salmon Creek and all the way to Castle Rock. What gives you the right to make decisions about where to put these cancer causing towers? Do you have any idea how this has impacted the value of our already down spiraling home values or how this has put many people in limbo waiting for a decision that should not be yours but the people whose lives you are interfering in? Our area has lots of young

children who should be no where near these towers. Greed is not the answer. Care for the safety of the families, the environment and the homeowners not just to fill your pockets. Don't put these monstrous towers anywhere near people and stop being so damn selfish and think of the people you will hurt by your decisions.

---

Communication ID: 12664

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: RENEE ROBERTSON

Times are bad enough right now with people laid off and losing their jobs. Why can't the lines go through state land where homeowners will not be affected? Homes and land are pretty much all alot of people have left right now and you want to devalue their property by running power lines through. I bet those lines aren't going through any BPA and/or government officials homes are they??

---

Communication ID: 12665

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: EARL T FOYTACK

My property is on the very northern most bounday of the propoed line. How soon can my property be identified as being excluded or included in the process. We are trying to sell our home to begin preparation for retirement and need to provide possible buyers some type of realistic respone as to whether the property would most likey be included or excluded. That is, is there any way to say are chances are less than 50% that our property would be part of the project. If not now, how soon before we would know?

---

Communication ID: 12666

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: DENNIS THURSTON

If you do this and pick a path through my area and take my property you will be making me homeless as I can't afford to buy another home. My wife and child still at home have no place to go and how is it you pick the most populated routs when father east is state land with no one in the way? I hope you listen to the people who's lives you will be destroying.

---

Communication ID: 12667

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: CAREY A ROSENLUND,GRANT ROSENLUND

Hi - My name is Carey and I'm married to Grant and we have four healthy children. Our oldest, Brock will be 6 this summer and is in kindergarten and loves to play in his new tree fort his daddy built. His sister Clara will be 4 and she is either playing with her dolls or right outside with Brock. Ty is one and half and I can't keep him inside for nothing. He follows the kitties around wherever they go. Our little Demi is 3 months and as sweet as they come.

We have been blessed!

My husband Grant is currently building our new home on the land we purchased in August of 2009 while we live in a trailer on the land while it is being built. It was a shock to receive mail about a possible power line going over our land! It feels even more threatening knowing other lines were eliminated, leaving line 26 a direct path for you. If we would have known about these lines we would not have bought this land to build a home to raise our young family only to have it ruined with huge power lines. As it is my husband has already put many hours in building our hopes and dreams. I don't want to have that fear every day that my kids are playing in the beautiful outdoors that potentially could be harmful to them. My dad has worked at Clark Public Utilities for 30 years and he understands the necessity of power lines, but he stands by me on this that you all at BPA would think twice before you ruin and destroy people's lives, families and their memories and hopes and dreams to come. You have other options you can use without affecting so many private homes. Please look elsewhere and keep those little children in mind. They will be continuing on legacies and generations to come. You don't want to disrupt that do you? I appreciate your time and consideration in this very important matter. Thank you. Grant and Carey with our four children.

---

Communication ID: 12668

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: WES DOWNING, ROSE MARIE DOWNING

I am writing about the BPA lines to be placed on private land in Clark county. This area of the county is very scenic, and probably the last scenic area that hasn't been destroyed by population explosion. It would suffer real scars if these lines go in and destroy a lot of places that wildlife live. Why isn't BPA looking for a route that doesn't impact so many people? How about over public lands? I feel we have rights and we're going to do everything possible to keep these rights, and we would like you to consider all the people whose lands and home you are willing to trash. Please respond. Wes and Rose Marie Downing.

---

Communication ID: 12669

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: DREW ROTSCHY

Hi, I'm a home/land owner that could be affected by the proposed transmission line #26. I feel that the line 26 route would impact too many residents by running through a more populated area. I think that a

route through the Weyerhaeuser tree farm east of Yacolt would be a much easier way to go. Also it would limit the number of land owners that you would have to deal with per mile of right of way that is needed to complete the project. Thanks, Just wanted to be heard! Drew Rotschy

---

Communication ID: 12670

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MARTHA L JUHOLA

Dear BPA, Hello my name is Martha Juhola. I have submitted at least two comments. I am not sure if it is just a waste of time or not. I have been to two meetings and my husband one over this and there seems to be a few "misinformation" issues. Foremost, and what is really alarming is that this line is NOT to supply power to this region at all, it is to transport between California and Canada. Why can you not be upfront on this? Secondly, there have been some lines taken off the table while others exist. Line 26 runs through some very important private properties, and my understanding is that it is needless. There is an existing line that runs along I-5 that could be used instead. Also, Clark County, trying to work as stakeholder coming up with a viable solution, offered a line to the East that would surely suffice. I wish to hear very soon, that there has been changes to route 26, that it is no longer being considered. I do not want to have to join others in a Class Action or otherwise. I don't understand the lack of truth behind the disclosure or the unwillingness to come up with a reasonable solution that works best for all. Thank you very much, Martha

Juhola

---

Communication ID: 12671

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: BRANDON TORMANEN,KATRINA TORMANEN

To BPA, We are very concerned about the proposed powerlines!!! Our property and home is our life investment! We do NOT want powerlines going through our property! We use every bit of our property. We have young children. We garden and have plans of getting animals, building different outbuildings, etc. We moved out into the country to enjoy the beauty and the peace and quiet. We do not want to look at some huge powerlines going through our property! I would also be concerned about the health risks for ourselves and our children!

Also Pup Creek Rd is part of the Clark County Scenic Route. You use Pup Creek Rd. to drive up to the famed "Cedar Creek Grist Mill". Please, why can't the powerlines go on public land and not private?!! Sincerely, Katrina & Brandon Tormanen with our children

---

Communication ID: 12672

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: MARK MILACEK

For your transmission project along the I-5 Corridor, why not look at using 200 kV Underground Superconductor by American Superconductor Power Systems. I think you would have less handache in dealing with the public and quicker approval from permitting authorities. BPA would have more power capability. 5GW! Check out web site [www.amsc.com](http://www.amsc.com).

---

Communication ID: 12673

Date Received: 1/4/2010

Name: PETER GOLDMARK

Mr. and Mrs. Funk  
[address]

Subject: Proposed BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Pat and Mary Funk:

Thank you for your letter in reference to the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) Proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is in the process of reviewing the proposed corridors and submitting National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) comments to the BPA for consideration. Just like your property, DNR-managed public trust lands are jeopardized by BPA's proposal.

The Department of Natural Resources manages trust lands on behalf of the people of Washington. We manage these lands sustainably so the benefits they afford now will be available to future generations. DNR is also tasked with protecting ecosystems for fish and wildlife habitat and clean water. The proposed corridors not only have the potential to reduce revenue to the public school construction fund and county services, but also to impact the forests that we all enjoy recreating in and living near.

DNR is concerned about this BPA project and is working closely with BPA to protect the benefits provided by state lands for all citizens. Thank you for voicing your concerns about the proposed BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I strongly encourage you to submit your letters of concern to BPA at <http://www.bpa.gov/comorate/I-5-EIS/index.cfm>.

Sincerely,

Peter Goldmark  
Commissioner of Public Lands

---

Communication ID: 12674

Date Received: 1/25/2010

Name: HAROLD PALADENI, MARGARET PALADENI

Project Manager  
Mark Korsness

Dear Sir:

I am writine concerning the proposed Transmission line. Looking at the map you sent, line 28 comes across our property and over or very near our home. My husbands parents built here in 1925. In 1949 we bought from them and later we were able to buy another large piece adjoining, so were able to be tree farmer, which we still are.

Now that we are older we have sold 6 parcels to grandchildren at a much reduced price so they could afford to build they can have 1 acre as a building site and the other 5 acres in trees. Of course we worry about-the health issue if the line should come close to their homes. So far we have 13 great-grandchildren in this area so are very concerned.

Therefore we hope and pray you will consider moving it farther east .

Sincerely,

Harold Paladeni  
Margaret Paladeni  
[parcel number list]

---

Communication ID: 12675

Date Received: 1/5/2010

Name: BRUCE CHANDLER,JIM HONEYFORD,DAVID TAYLOR

Bonneville Power Administration  
Attn: Mark Korsheness  
P.O. Box 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

RE: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Mr. Korsheness:

Over the past several weeks many of our constituents have contacted us with concerns over the BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The purpose of this letter is to request the BPA issue a new comment period for the proposed project in order to consider viable, alternative routes. We understand at least two possible alternatives were identified by our colleagues in the 18th egislative District and support their suggestions.

Based on the information we have reviewed, it appears the proposed project will negatively impact landowners and home values and potentially pose a health hazard to many in our district. BPA's



selection of an alternative route would greatly reduce these impacts and potentially rely on existing easements and/or rights-of-way.

It is our understanding that it will be several years before the BPA actually installs the new transmission lines. We ask the BPA to look more closely at new and emerging technologies which may enable transmission to be placed underground. Placing any portion of the proposed transmission lines underground may reduce the overall operations and maintenance cost to the BPA by reducing possible weather and storm damage.

Lastly, we believe our constituents can be of great assistance to the BPA throughout this process and encourage the BPA to continue to hold town hall style meetings in order to facilitate discussion of alternative routes.

We look forward to working with you in the future and are certain continued dialog with those impacted will lead to innovative and mutually beneficial solutions.

Sincerely,

Sen. Jim Honeyford  
State Senator, 15th District  
Rep. Bruce Chandler  
State Representative, 15th District  
Rep. David Taylor  
State Representative, 15th District

---

Communication ID: 12676

Date Received: 12/31/2009

Name: RICHARD CARSON,JOHN L EMMETT,JOHN "JACK" GERSTKEMPER,KAREN PALMER

BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement

Dear Bonneville Power Administration:

Thank you on behalf of CASE31 and the Hockinson Highlands neighborhood for

your December 21st decision to drop the Hockinson route from further consideration in your I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. Your decision came earlier than expected and provided a great sense of relief in our neighborhood. Some have called your December 21st decision the best Christmas present they received. Please convey our gratitude to those responsible for the early decision. We would especially like to thank Project Engineer Mark Korsness who impressed us with his informative, candid answers to our questions from the first public meeting in Amboy WA to the final public demonstration at your Lloyd Center office. It did not surprise us when Mr. Korsness came out of your Lloyd Center office on December 14th to talk with demonstrators and the news media. He is an effective communicator who deserves special recognition. Please let us know if we can assist the BPA in

subsequent stages of your analysis. Although we objected to your proposal to use the 100-foot easement through our neighborhood, we never disputed BPA's need for a new power line. We learned a great deal in the past two months. Please contact us if we can be of any assistance in this project.

Jack Gerstkemper  
[address]

John Emmett  
[address]

Richard Carson  
[address]

Karen Palmer  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12677

Date Received: 7/14/2010

Name: DONNA TORRES

I am against BPA putting towers behind my property line. I did not get a notification in the mail because I'm .35 miles from the buffer zone and feel I should have gotten a letter and everyone else in my community (Summit at Autumn Hills). We are an association of 95+ lots whose views include Mt. Hood . All of the homes in our community who have a view of Mt. Hood will be impacted by these towers. We did not purchase our property to have a view of power lines. We sit low enough on our elevation that we will not be able to see Mt. Hood but through power lines, thus diminishing the value of the dream home we built here. This is of grave concern to us and our community members. We feel these lines need to be moved to less populated area -- perhaps Bonneville Dam where they belong.

---

Communication ID: 12678

Date Received: 6/5/2010

Name: MARY LOU (ILL),ROBERT A (ILL),MARLO ALLEN,PAMELA AMMONS,ROBERT T AMMONS,ROBERT ANDERSON,CAROLE ATCHLEY,MARK BALZERAS,R.E. BARR,DAVID L BATTS,STEPHANIE BEACH,MARK AND MARLA BEAM,TWYLA BELK,DON BENTON,MITCH BIELAS,BONNIE BIELAS,HILL BILLY,RANAE BLANCHARD,CH

<cover letter>

June 5, 2010

Stephen J. Wright

Mail Stop DKR-7  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

RE: DKR-7

Dear Mr. Wright:

Your letter to me, dated May 14, 2010, has been received and acknowledged. I appreciate you responding to a letter I drafted and sent to the Secretary of Energy, Steven Chu, which was forwarded to you regarding Bonneville Power Administration's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project 500 kV power lines. Our community is one of the most beautiful, historical, and ecologically significant areas in southwest Washington state. The residents in north Clark County and southern Cowlitz County, who have no existing BPA power line easements, are at risk of losing some or all of our properties if our area is selected to run your 500 kV power lines. Our area's aesthetic, scenic, recreational, and ecological values are only a few of the issues facing ruination if you decide to place these 500 kV lines on our properties. Our life savings will be lost, as most of us saved everything we could to be out in the country, away from highpower lines and commercial enterprises. We live here by choice, Mr. Wright, and drive 45 minutes one way each day to go to work. Mark Korsness, your project manager, replies to our community stating "some people like to live next to power lines because they get a green belt" does not sit well with this community, as we chose our greenbelt, TREES not power lines!

Mr. Wright, there are people out here! There are thousands of families on lines 11, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 28, 29 and 30, who are worried sick and frustrated that your company even considered placing these 500 kV lines out here over our heads. I know, because I have met a lot of them and listened to their comments and fears about your project. I ask you to consider doing the same. Since you have the final say as to if this project will go onto our properties or not, I am sending on a petition our citizens group A Better Way for BPA conducted and was signed by our community landowners asking you to reconsider where you locate these lines. Enclosed is our community's petition in this regard. Please reconsider placing your 500 kV high-power lines on private properties and in this community.

Thank you,

Cheryl Brantley  
Representative: A Better Way for BPA  
cheryl@abetterway4bpa.org  
<http://abetterway4bpa.org/>

cc: President Barack Obama  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20500

First Lady Michelle Obama

1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20500

Secretary of Energy, Steven Chu  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20500

<petition>

We, the undersigned residents of Washington State, submit this request and petition for consideration to our Congressional Senators and Representatives. To the Department Of Energy. To our Washington State Representatives, Legislature, and Governor. To the Washington State Department of Natural Resources. To the Clark & Cowlitz County Commissioners. To the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA):

In the fall of 2009, thousands of SW Washington residents received notice from BPA informing they are at risk for private property seizure via eminent domain because their property is within one of multiple routes being considered for the BPA's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. This has created an untenable situation for private property owners who now have their property thus branded. Under Washington State law (RCW 64.06.015 and 020), residential property owners must now disclose the notice they received of the potential easement or condemnation to any prospective buyer, which negatively affects their property's market value and marketability. Commercial property sellers must typically give similar disclosures, and mortgage lenders also often require borrowers to disclose to the lender detriment to a property's value, which can cause the lender to call the loan due.

BPA initially had numerous proposed routes under consideration, and then publically released 4 of these proposed routes from consideration. BPA then announced it will not release any more routes from consideration until as late as 2013. Property owners are being hurt now, in areal estate market that is one of the worst in recent history. The affected property owners have no practical recourse or redress to this over broad and unfair financial detriment to their property. We seek immediate relief.

We call on the above noted parties to communicate, cooperate, advocate, and achieve the following:

1. BPA's release of all unlikley, improbable, and unsuitable proposed routes immediately.
2. Ensure BPA's design and construction of the final, single route to have minimal visual and environmental impact on rural residential property owners.
3. Cause the final, single route to be used be sited as far to the East as possible, and whenever possible on unpopulated land.

---

Communication ID: 12679

Date Received: 6/15/2010

Name: TERRY CONSTANCE, VICENTE MOLINOS, ERNA SARASOHN, CATHERINE SIMMONS, LESLIE STEWART BELL, RICHARD VAN DIJK

June 15, 2010

Mr. Steven Wright  
Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright,

The Board for Another Way BPA represents hundreds of concerned Washington residents and we insist you provide immediate updates regarding the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The proposal to run 500kV lines through Clark and Cowlitz counties has angered the many citizens whose homes, investments and quality of life are threatened by BPA's approach to expanding the existing power grid in the Pacific Northwest.

The vocal outcry of over 900 citizens at the April 25, 2010 meeting at Prairie High School sends a clear message to Mark Korsness and his team that towers and high voltage lines in populated areas are totally unacceptable. During the April 27, 2010 rally at BPA's Vancouver office, Larry Bekkedahl, a representative of your management team, indicated changes to the proposed routes were being considered. We have requested information regarding these considerations but have yet to receive answers.

Your decisions impact daily lives. A recently widowed neighbor realized she could no longer afford her home. When her potential buyers were informed of the possibility that a transmission tower would be built near her home, the buyers walked away and made an offer on a different home, leaving the widow devastated and desperate.

This story is repeated daily with homeowners who need to relocate because of the job market or other reasons, but can't sell their homes because of BPA's proposed 15-story towers and high voltage lines causing immediate devaluation of affected properties.

HUD and FHA financing law changes have made it even more difficult for homeowners, as no dwelling or related property improvements may be located within the engineering (designed) fall distance of any pole, tower or support structure of a high-voltage transmission line.

There is insufficient justification for this project as proposed and the negative effects on the homeowners along your proposed routes are significant. Some of our many concerns are listed below.

- Unacceptable EMF levels impacting homes, nearby schools, public sidewalks and recreation areas.

- EMF directly affects individuals with electronic devices such as pacemakers, exposing them to long-term adverse health risks.
- Unacceptably high noise levels in existing neighborhoods.
- Unsightly lattice steel towers ranging from 100-190 feet tall, each with a footprint up to 40' by 40', and carving a right-of-way around 150 feet wide through vibrant communities and scenic lands (per BPA's proposed Work Plan submitted to EFSEC on January 12, 2010).
- There is a threat of even larger towers and greater footprints as lines near the Columbia River at Camas.
- Unacceptable property devaluation and sales depression in and around proposed routes leading to new HUD and FHA finance laws regarding tower fall zones.
- Unacceptable disturbance of surface and subsurface groundwater and estuary water filters in tower locations.
- Unsupported documentation for local power needs while we endure the burden of property devaluation and potential health hazards for those residing in other states.
- Permission to enter property letters did not address homeowner liability.
- School locations were not plotted on proposed segment routes.
- BPA-DOE failed to work with local officials as required under Washington state laws:
- RCW 43.21C.020; RCW 43.21C.030; RCW43.21C.031
- BPA- DOE failed to provide most freedom of information documentation as requested.
- Two Oregon route options were removed from consideration before scoping began, effectively preventing public discussion of these alternatives.
- BPA has been unresponsive to viable alternatives, despite the CEO of Clark Public Utilities determining the additional cost to ratepayers to move the lines further east would be minimal.

We submit these concerns are sufficient to modify the current proposal and request that Mr. Stephen Wright make a public announcement that BPA is in serious negotiations with DNR, Weyerhaeuser, Pacific Corp, Longview Fiber, etc. to find an alternate route acceptable to the citizens of this state.

Public opposition to the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project with its oversized towers and 500 kV lines running through populated and semi-rural areas is increasing daily. Our review of the 1200 plus page scoping appendix fails to identify a single homeowner lending support for this project as proposed and is testament to the indifference BPA demonstrates to public opinion for the this project.

Once again, we request that you send project updates and route modifications to our address so that concerned groups are informed of your intentions with this proposal. As noted by the Clark County Commissioners in a letter to Mark Korsness dated December 10, 2009, "by any measure, this project could have monumental and historic impacts on our area, not unlike the impacts from major hydroelectric projects on Northwest waterways or the construction and expansion of interstate freeways bisecting the Columbia River, Vancouver, and Portland". The commissioners' plea was to not under scope this project. They went on to specifically ask BPA to "thoroughly study the proposals for a new alignment alternative to the east of the current scoping effort" and noted "it is essential to consider an alignment on public lands to reduce the potential impact on public property and populated areas."

Unresponsiveness to the above plea from elected officials, groups like ours, and the citizens directly affected by the currently proposed project will lead to further friction between BPA and the population it purportedly serves. We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Another Way BPA  
Board of Directors

---

Communication ID: 12680

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: GLENN NIX,ANDREA NIX

Re: Electrical towers and Highlander Estates

We found out very recently that BPA intended to install towers on the western part of our neighborhood. We were reminded of the loss of our home and its value in the Aldercrest slide. Will we suffer the loss of the value of this home, too? Please find a place that will not affect us financially or emotionally. Your decisions concern seven other Aldercrest families. Please do the right thing for all of us.

Glenn & Andrea Nix

---

Communication ID: 12681

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: LINDA J EVANS,DONALD J EVANS

Re: Proposed BPA Power line over Highlander Estates, Kelso, Washington

We are opposed to BPA placing their power lines over Highlander Estates. We feel this will affect our neighborhood in two ways. 1) It will cause a visual blight on our housing area and 2) will cause our home values to decline.

---

We experienced the Aldercrest slide in 1998 in which we were ordered out of our home by the City of Kelso. Our equity was shattered by a natural occurrence. We literally started over from scratch again in 1999. Our home is part of our future. Any potential loss of value may cause an economic hardship. We are at the age where it may be impossible to start over once again.

We urge BPA to locate their power lines in a more remote and less obtrusive area.

Linda J Evans  
Donald E. Evans  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12682

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: TONIA JOHNSON

Highlander Estates - Aldercrest-Banyon Section

2/18/2010

I have been unable to contact Mickey and Elaine Neves about the BPA response. I do not feel they would object to the recounting of the story they told my husband and I a year ago. My husband and I are their neighbors. I'm doing this from memory but I think it's pretty accurate.

Mickey and Elaine were in the process of entering retirement. He was retiring from a job with the city doing landscaping on the beautiful Sacajawea Park. They were thrilled to have a retirement house that was paid for and had many dreams to fulfill for their retirement. They started to notice cracks in their new house and soon discovered that the situation was more serious than they had initially thought.

They showed us pictures of each stage of the disintegration of their property. First were the cracks and then the house was listing. Finally, it tore away and slid down the hill. Mickey said they only recovered about 10 cents on the dollar.

They had to find another place to live and came to Highlander Estates. Of course now they had a mortgage to contend with. That affected or canceled outright some of the dreams they had. To say they were affected by this is a total understatement. It changed their lives. If they have to go through another traumatic situation like power lines, they will be devastated.

Now they are facing this problem with the power lines. Mickey has Parkinson's disease, and though it is under control, it impacts what they are able to consider as solutions for themselves. Moving might not be possible

Many in their position will not be able to move. One of the problems that comes with that, is the people coming into our area will be very different from the people here now. Sometimes older residents



can be a target of the kids for harassment and teasing. When you already have health problems it is a double blow.

Tonia Johnson - [address]

---

Communication ID: 12683

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: KEVIN DILLINGER,RENA DILLINGER

2/18/2010

To Whom it may concern:

We had a house in the Aldercrest subdivision and it slid down the hill when we only had 5 more years to have it fully paid off.

Now we have a 25 year mortgage to payoff. It wiped out all of our savings to the dollar. Our payoff was 20 cents on the dollar. This was NOT the fair market value.... it was Tax Assessed Value and we had made many improvement as most people do. This was so devastating!!!!

If we have to take another setback like that I don't know how we will cope! Please find another way to do this than put towers in front of us. Thank you.

Kevin and Rena Dillinger

---

Communication ID: 12684

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: DAVID HAWLEY,CYNTHIA HAWLEY

February 18,2010

To whom it may concern,

The purpose of this letter is to express our clear opposition to the installation of the power lines related to the "I-5 corridor reinforcement project" in the area of Tweed Court in the Highlander Estates in Kelso Washington. There are two reasons for our concern.

1) This subdivision of homes is one of the most current and modern in the city of Kelso. Putting transmission lines though or near the homes would degrade the beauty of the area and the homes in the subdivision.

2) In addition, the installation of these lines would undoubtedly affect the value of the homes in the subdivision and reduce the number of buyers interested in living around "power lines". The residents of the Highlander Estates do not deserve to take this financial hit in the value of their homes.

As a further note, including our family, there are several other families now living in this subdivision that lived through the "Aldcrest Landslide" of 1998. As you will remember, this landslide affected over 130 homes and families. All families that had to move as a result of that disaster took economic losses on the value of their homes. We DO NOT WANT TO DO THAT AGAIN! Ten years later we still are working to recover the economic loss of that time. It is important to the Aldcrest families that our current home values are maintained for our future. Should these transmission lines be installed through the subdivision, there will be a clear economic impact through decreased value on the homes in that area. History shows us that people do not like power lines and the stigma attached to them.

In closing, we request that you further study other alternatives to the transmission line placement in the Tweed Court location of the Highlander Estates.

Respectfully

David and Cynthia Hawley  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12685

Date Received: 2/18/2010

Name: SCOTT SIMS

Maryann Asgharian  
c/o BPA I 5 Project  
PO Box 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

Ms. Asgharian,

It is with deep sadness that I write this letter to you in response to your earlier communication with some of the residents of Highlander Estates in Kelso. Many of us in this select residential neighborhood have worked for many years to own a home in such a wonderful place. And, most of us had hoped this would be our last home purchase.

But, I have to say that your group's idea of installing the I 5 corridor reinforcement in section 9 on your map is quite disturbing. After researching this, it seems like such a better decision to place your towers, etc. in the trees behind Highlander Estates in section 10. This would impact far less of the homes and population in and around Kelso.

Presently, the towers that are in front of us are smaller, and do not pose such a great impact. But the new ones are advertised as being bigger and more noticeable. I understand they will be close to 150 feet! This just isn't right.

On behalf of many residents of Highlander Estates, and specifically the Robert

Court neighborhood, we appeal to your decision making team to do what is best for all involved. This project will affect the property values of all of us here at Highlander Estates in Kelso. And some residents here are just beginning to recover from the Aldercrest slide of a few years back, which forced many to relocate. We just hope you are open to doing what's best, and not what is easiest.

Thank you for listening to my thought and concerns.

Sincerely yours,

Scott Sims  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12686

Date Received: 4/10/2010

Name: WES DOWNING, ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Mr. Korsness:

I attended the bpa meeting at Amboy School last night. First of all, when we went there, we were all set to dislike you just because of who you were representing. But we think you did a fine job, and you didn't send out bad vibes to the audience. You were low key and I think that was the right approach.

Anyway, I had a question presented on the screen, which was about wearing a pacemaker so close to these lines. You said you wanted information on the pace maker, so I will give you that info.

It came from Boston Scientific and was implanted by Dr. James a Reiss of Cascade Heart in Vancouver.

His telephone # is [phone number]

Model # is 1291 - Serial # is 147763 and the implant date was 5/30/08.

The leads are also printed on my ID card, but I wouldn't think they would be of any importance.

The telephone # for Boston Scientific is: 866-484-3268

I hope this is the information you wanted.

Rose Marie Downing

-----

Hi Mark,

Just wanted you to know we still feel the same way about the lines. Please don't let it happen!!!

We've said about everything we felt on the subject, and to keep repeating it loses its power. Please do all you can for us.

---

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12687

Date Received: 7/15/2010

Name: TRENTON SPOLAR

Dear Mark,

After reading the article yesterday in The Columbian, I thought it would be good to check to see just what routes are being considered for the new power lines at this point. As we've discussed, we are located in Route 28 and the lines would quite literally come over our house. I have the medical problem requiring an implanted nerve stimulator in my back. I've sent you the information in the past stating the problems the high power lines would impose.

Would you please give me a call so we can discuss the current situation?

Thanks,

Trent Spolar, M.D. [phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12689

Date Received: 2/19/2010

Name: JESSICA BRENNER,RODNEY BRODVIK,KARA CHISM,MARK CONNOLLY,BECKY A  
CONNOLLY,THOMAS COOLEY,SHIRLEY COOLEY,LAURA COUNTOURIOTS,BRIAN CRONEY,KAYLEEN  
DECKACHT,COLIN DECKACHT,RENA DILLINGER,PENNY EDWARDS,LINDA J EVANS,DONALD J EVANS,ALICE  
FISCHER,CHARLES FISCHER,D

LETTER OF DELIVERY

TO: Maryann Asgharian

FROM: Tonia Johnson, Secretary Highlander Estates Homeowners Assn

Kelso, Washington

DATE SUBMITTED: February 19,2010

I'm sorry that this is not a complete listing but we did not feel we had time to get this in and get it considered if we waited longer. Some of the residents are out of town or are financial institutions that we cannot reach for an authorized signature. Still we have the greater majority of the home sites represented. Everyone would have liked to write their own letter to you but

we did not have time. In looking at Mr. Sims letter, it really sums it up for us. We are regarding it as a summary to our work here.

The Aldercrest-Banyon folks would really like a chance to talk to you and your boss's in person if that would ever be a possibility. Only 4 could contribute letters and only one had a picture available on such short notice. I can't tell you how much we appreciate your guidance and comments. Please let us know if there is anything else we can provide and the timeframe in which it needs to be provided.

Received \_ Date \_

---

LETTER OF APPEAL

TO: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

FROM: The Residents of the Highlander Estates, Kelso, Washington (as attached)

DATE SUBMITTED: February 19,2010

To the Bonneville Power Administration:

The homeowners and residents of Highlander Estates located in Kelso, Washington comprise a community of approximately 60 home sites overlooking the beautiful Kelso and Longview valley.

It has come to our attention that BPA will be selecting a location to install 150-foot electrical towers with power lines in our neighborhood. In speaking with your representative, Ms. Maryann Asgharian, at the end of December, we understood that your organization had two options from which to choose for placement of the power lines: Either Section 9 to the front of our community --or- Section 10 to the rear and at the hill top of our subdivision. Once we had started getting signatures from our homeowners, we found that Section 9 was the only choice available. If Section 10 cannot be used, we want to urge you to place the towers south of the existing lines in Section 9. The area over there was called Aldercrest-Banyon before the majority of the homes slide down the hill. Very little damage would be incurred there as opposed to situating the towers next to or in our development.

Several of our neighbors have already experienced hardship as they were impacted by the well-publicized slide of the Aldercrest-Banyon subdivision, some reaping a mere 10 cents on the dollar for their damaged property. These same residents have relocated to our Highlander Estates subdivision and had not anticipated the possibility of finding their property value reduced, once again, this time through the placement of power lines. In addition, we have many seniors here who have all their money invested in their homes. Some have health issues. Finding themselves with devalued property due to a negatively-impacted view and commonly believed health hazards would be devastating. Further, the option of selling and moving to a different location away from these eyesores, and, some would say health hazards, would be impossible or, at the very least, extremely difficult. It is certain that, without doubt, locating the 150-foot towers down in the valley in front of our community in Section 9 would severely impact our area's property values.

Please reconsider using Section 10. So many lives will be ruined if you use Section 9.

We attach our signatures below to request placement of the towers in SECTION 10 or south of existing lines in SECTION 9!

Thank you.

For further discussion, please contact: Tamara Kost, Treasurer, Highlander Estate Homeowners Assn.

[address]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12690

Date Received: 4/5/2010

Name: EVELYN SCHNOEBELEN, YARO SCHNOEBELEN

Dear Mr. Korsness,

Subject: The Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Question: Who's paying the bill for the new high power electrical lines???

We understand that the BPA has been asked several times WHO will be receiving the added electricity that the new lines will carry and deliver. So far we have not heard of an answer so we have no idea who needs all this power. Whoever it is will be paying for the electricity that they receive at the rate that the BPA and associates set and that rate is set to pay the costs of the electricity and the delivery of that electricity. Now wherever the new lines are built the costs will be paid for by the customer. The BPA is an agent that pays its costs and also makes a profit. Now we have heard the BPA has said that if they use routes 9 and 25 it would be most cost effective. If they use the routes that are east of Vancouver through the federal forest property the BPA has said it will cost 50 million dollars more than if they use routes 9 and 25. However, the routes 9 and 25 go through developed land with homeowners already living in the area. ALL those properties will lose up to 25% of their value and nearby properties will lose value also. This will result in property taxes being less. This is not just for one year but from now on! The loss in value and property taxes would easily result in a 50 million dollar loss to the cities, schools, counties and state in taxes alone. Maybe not in one year but remember this would be from "now on". So the loss in taxes continues from "now on". The homeowners have no way of "making up the difference" or of "paying it back" it will be a simple LOSS to each and every property owner and thus a LOSS to the cities, schools, county and state. These homeowners, cities, schools, county and state will be paying the bill for the electricity going to the new customers. I do not understand why those customers should not be paying for their own electricity. Given the loss in property value and loss of property tax revenue the BPA's argument justifying that building the new line through unpopulated forested land will cost 50 million more dollars just does not make any common sense to us. We also think that the 50 million dollars is probably a drop in the bucket for their budget for this project. We hope the BPA will understand that using the routes through the forested land will be the most desirable. The BPA will recover their costs by charging the new customers for the electricity and the delivery of that electricity.

The present home owners, cities, schools, counties, and state should not be paying for someone else's electricity.

Sincerely,

Yaro M. Schnoebelen  
Evelyn I. Schnoebelen  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12691

Date Received: 12/4/2009

Name: LINDA K BARRY,ROBERT T BARRY

Subject:BPA Transmission I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

We are deeply concerned that BPA is even considering Route 31 for 500-KV transmission lines. PLEASE CONSIDER THE HUMAN IMPACT. Route 31 dissects the Hockinson community near Battle Ground. This area is fully developed with homes on small acreage plots. Many families moved to this area because of the safe environment for children and excellent Hockinson schools. Is BPA willing to sacrifice the health of many children for this project?

Like many of our neighbors, we have worked our entire lives to be able to purchase a home and small piece of property. We have lived here for over 22 years. Proposed Route 31 cuts into our property and is literally right at our front door. It is our understanding that BPA intends to purchase rights of way, not properties. If proposed Route 31 is selected, our property which is currently valued at \$400,000+ (along with hundreds of others in the area) would become virtually worthless and unmarketable.

One of our family members is a Type I (insulin-dependent) diabetic and wears an insulin pump and glucose sensor. The literature for this medical device states that high levels of electrostatic discharge can cause problems with the pump's programming. This could be life threatening for an insulin-dependent diabetic! Has the impact of 500-KV transmission lines on these medical devices been studied? How many others would be similarly affected in this populated area?

If BPA acquires a right of way through our property and does not fairly compensate us, we will be left with two choices: 1) live under the high-voltage power lines and face certain health problems, or 2) abandon what we have worked our entire lives for and start over, giving up our plan to retire in two years.

PLEASE LOCATE THE TRANSMISSION LINES FURTHER EAST ACROSS UNINHABITED LAND.

Thank you for considering our concerns.

Robert and Linda Barry  
[address]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12692

Date Received: 12/4/2009

Name: CHRISTOPHER A. TIMBREZA

Subject: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project - Segment 31

To whom it may concern,

I live in Hockinson Washington and the proposed segment 31 of the BPA I-5 will go directly through our community. If these power lines are built it will absolutely destroy our community (safety issues, property values, etc). The lines will go through families back yards and the site of a future school. I strongly urge you to consider other routes through state forest land that would not have such a huge impact on a community. I urge EFSEC and BPA to do the right thing and not base the decision solely on the bottom line. There are families at stake here, would you want a powerline in your back yard? Please stop BPA segment 31

-Christopher Timbreza  
Brush Prairie

---

Communication ID: 12693

Date Received: 12/7/2009

Name: JEFF LAWSON

Subject: BPA Transmission

Please help us keep our homes and fight Bonneville Power from adding 500kva Transmission Lines through our community. Line 31 of the I-5 Reinforcement Project has too many families and too much social and environmental impact to even be considered. Please help BPA eliminate this segment from the project immediately. Stop destroying the lives of the people who help make this state great.

Jeff Lawson

---

Communication ID: 12694

Date Received: 12/7/2009

Name: SEAN P SMITH

Subject: BPA Route 31, Clark County, Washington  
12-7-2009

To whom it may concern:



I am a very concerned citizen and am pleading for your consideration. I live just outside of Hockinson, WA and am in the direct path of the BPA's proposed transmission line route 31. I purchased my home in 2005 when the markets were good with no intentions on selling for 25 to 30 years. I bought my home which is located in a nice quiet neighborhood in the country with the intentions of raising a family here. This is a good area, with good schools and good people; an area I would consider represents what living in the United States is all about.

I currently still have a job; thankfully, but I could not afford to start over nor would I want to. No matter what kind of compensation people would get for their homes, it still costs money to relocate; money which I do not believe many people have right now. People are struggling to pay their bills and take care of their families, and now the BPA wants to burden them with taking their homes and forcing them to relocate. This is not the time to ask people to give any more than they already are; I am appalled by the request.

I am begging you to ask the BPA to choose a different route other than route 31. It affects way too many people as it goes through a fairly populated area. The BPA needs to consider sending it east on less populated lands.

With concern,

Sean Smith

[address]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12695

Date Received: 12/10/2009

Name: JOELL D WANDLER

Subject: BPA / Clark County

Please don't let BPA power lines ruin Clark County. Keep to eastern part of the county. Even at this location wildlife will be harmed and the natural environment damaged. Let Oregon get their power from the south or from the wind. With natural gas on the west side of the county and power lines on the east the whole county will be unlivable.

---

Communication ID: 12696

Date Received: 12/12/2009

Name: DOUGLAS R BROCKBANK

Subject: A "Must Read" for Jim Luce

Dear Mr. Luce:

We desperately need a public meeting with BPA and Southwest Washington residents impacted by the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. We need this meeting before BPA begins their EIS process. Things have been horribly rushed, and thousands of affected residents are only now finding out about the devastating effects of 500KV towers and lines through their neighborhoods. Please help us in setting up some kind of open forum where residents have further opportunity to show BPA the horrific health, environment, lifestyle and local tax base impacts of their proposed lines. They MUST consider segments further East that do not destroy lives, livelihoods, and homes. Thanks so much in advance for your consideration. Our very lives depend on your help and support.

Sincerely,

Douglas R. Brockbank  
[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12697

Date Received: 1/5/2010

Name: CHERYL KAY BRANTLEY

Subject: I-5 Reinforcement Project

To whom it may concern:

My name is Cheryl Brantley and I am concerned about undisclosed meetings being held via your members in the near future. From what I understand, one of the items on your agenda on January 12, 2010, will be addressing the I-5 Reinforcement Project to define a work plan and take final action. Being on Segment 26 of this project and working closely with neighbors affected by this project, I have compiled a significant number of foes against these 500 kV lines being placed in our area.

I have attended several meetings of which Mark Korsness has verbally assured my community that the BPA will take into consideration an additional route for segment 29, moving the proposed 29 segment for these 500 kV lines 1/2 mile to 1 mile to the east onto mostly unpopulated lands. In doing this, there will be minimal impact to our community.

Selecting segment 29 and moving these lines a short distance to the east is the only sensible solution.

Destroying a community with these lines is simply not an option. I demand no final action be taken by your committee with regards to this project until all promises made by the BPA to my community are satisfied.

Thank you,

Cheryl Brantley  
Segment 26 I-5 Reinforcement Project  
[address]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12698

Date Received: 12/23/2009

Name: BARRY A FINKEL

Allen Fiksdal

Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Manager

[address]

Subject: BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Mr. Fiksdal:

While acknowledging the need to upgrade Bonneville Power Administration's transmission capability in the I-5 corridor, I would like to add my voice to the growing chorus of homeowners who are being held hostage by the Environmental Impact Statement process.

The process of releasing a final EIS and deciding which of several potential routes for the new 500 KV transmission line is scheduled to take at least 2 1/2 years. Legal challenges, which are certain to be made, add an unknown amount of time to the process. Until a decision is reached hundreds, if not thousands, of property owners along potential routes are held hostage by the process, unable to sell their property without a significant loss.

At least one of the potential routes, including segments 11,29, 34, and 35 of the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project Study Area Map (enclosed), runs through land that is either lightly populated or not populated at all. Why then has BPA chosen to include routes which would have a disruptive effect on so many people? Some of the routes which may have been unpopulated in the past are now long established neighborhoods.

For example, segment 31, along which I live, was established in 1958 by Pacific Power and Light for the purpose of transmitting surplus power generated at Swift Dam to Troutdale, Oregon. As the population in Clark County grew this became unfeasible and so the purpose for the easement is no longer viable. When the easement was established the route was virtually uninhabited. I have enclosed a copy of an aerial photograph taken in 1985, obtained in 1996 from PP&L, that clearly shows this. The center of the easement is a thin black line that is difficult to see so that I have enhanced it with highlighter. As you can see the area was lightly populated as late as 1985 when the photograph was made. Also note that this easement is insufficiently wide for the proposed 500 KV transmission line. Further, I'm sure you are aware that BPA does not own this easement so significant acquisition costs will be required if selected.

Comparing that photograph with BPA Map 85 (also enclosed) that covers much of the same area, it can be clearly seen that the population density has increased significantly and that there are now established neighborhoods along the route.

The only sensible solution is to construct the new power line east of populated areas where it crosses uninhabited land owned by timber companies or controlled by the State of Washington Department of Natural Resources. A route comprising the previously stated segments 11,29,34, and 35.

I have learned that Washington State DNR has been reluctant to discuss building the power line on land controlled by them. If DNR is to be treated the same as any other land owner, as BPA asserts, why have they been reluctant to meet with BPA? Further, DNR's comments on EIS scoping must be made public just as comments by individuals are.

Therefore, I ask that you:

1. Require DNR to publicly comment on the project,
2. Encourage BPA to focus only on building in uninhabited areas where the impact on residents is minimized, and
3. Encourage BPA to eliminate routes through populated areas as quickly as possible.

I'm looking forward to your prompt reply. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter which is critical to so many residents of Washington State.

Enclosures:

1. BPA Map 85
  2. BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project Study Area Map
  3. PP&L June 1987 photograph sheet 14A of22
- 

Communication ID: 12699

Date Received: 12/14/2009

Name: JUDY SILLS

Subject: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project Opposition

Dear Jim,

As chair of EFSEC, I believe that you help set standards for new lines in Washington and, more importantly, exercise authority over Bonneville Power Administration, ultimately approving or modifying project-specific requests.

I am writing to express concern over the BPA's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project in its current form.

There seems to have been inadequate research into alternative options (or at least it has not been conveyed to us) including superconductor lines buried underground, and using/enhancing existing corridors. Instead, BPA has proposed multiple above-ground routes that all adversely impact thousands

of citizens in Clark, Cowlitz and Multnomah Counties. The socioeconomic fall-out is huge. All affected homeowners are effectively held hostage by the situation until a decision is reached, unable to sell their home if so desired and unwilling to put money into their home for remodels. Tax revenue received by the Counties is dramatically reduced. This hurts all of us, not just those living directly in the proposed segments' paths, and delays the economic recovery so vital to our country.

There are specific health concerns regarding exposure to electromagnetic fields that tend to get glossed over. Epidemiological research has found an association between childhood leukemia and living near high-voltage power lines. Critics point to the lack of biological, laboratory-based studies that show this association. Understanding limitations of certain research methodology would lead one to conclude it may not be feasible to identify a biological or biophysical response in the lab setting. More weight may need to be given to epidemiological studies that can track an individual's health over a longer period of time. Bottom line? We can't place the burden of proof on innocent children, let alone oblivious adults. If there is any potential risk for such serious public health concerns, the BPA should abort the project in its current form as it would place hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals in the path of high-voltage lines creating an EMF that is potentially fatal. There are already 2 schools, Minnehaha (current) and Crestline (past) that have reported higher incidences of childhood leukemia and both these schools are situated close to power lines carrying substantially less voltage than those proposed by the BPA for the current I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. Would you want your children to take that risk?

The BPA has not provided planning documents when requested nor responded to recent requests for further extension of the public comment period. The project with its proposed routes connecting Castle Rock and Troutdale is seriously flawed and would negatively change the character of the affected communities. Despite the monumental and historic impacts on our area, the BPA does not seem open to truly sharing information with the public and having an open, honest dialogue in an effort to find a win-win solution for everyone.

With your influence, please ensure the BPA will look into creative solutions and innovative technology, choose solutions that eliminate or minimize any affects on individuals and families, and create a win-win for everyone.

Sincerely,  
Judy Sills

---

Communication ID: 12700

Date Received: 12/12/2009

Name: PATRICK BORUNDA

RE: Bonneville Power Administration's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project -

Economically this boondoggle will deprive Cowlitz and Clark Counties of tax revenues by reducing property values along a 76 miles scar. Co-extensive tax districts (e.g., Ft. Vancouver Regional Library or Battleground School Districts) will also suffer. And the power carried will not even serve our counties! I

demand an answer to my question: "Why should these two Southwestern Washington Counties take a huge hit on their tax revenues to benefit power producers in Canada and power retailers in California?"

This is a fatally flawed project on several levels; economically, fiscally, environmentally and as responsible public stewardship. This should not be allowed to proceed on the Washington side of the Columbia River. If common sense fails, then at the very least it should pass over public lands well east of the currently suggested routes.

Very truly,

Patrick Borunda

[address]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12701

Date Received: 12/12/2009

Name: HALY R LEWIS

There has to be a better way than running huge lines through the community of Hockinson. Please don't ruin our neighborhoods!

Do not choose Segment 31 .

Thank you!

Haly Lewis

[city]

---

Communication ID: 12702

Date Received: 12/14/2009

Name: JIM MALINOWSKI

I am one of the many Clark County citizens concerned about the routing of the BPA I-5 Corridor Project 500 kV line. I am a retired PG&E electrical engineer who moved back home to the property near Amboy where I grew up. While I am convinced there is a need for NW network reinforcement I want to do everything I can to insure the selected route minimizes impact on Clark County citizens, scenic and historic resources.

As a former Transmission Planning Manager for Pacific Gas & Electric Company it appears clear to me that the most easterly route possible would best meet the objectives stated above.

Line segment 27 crosses Chelatchie Prairie. The prairie is has a rich history including being a gathering place for native Americans, part of the route of the main native American trail from the Columbia River

to east of the mountains, and one of the areas settled before statehood was established. In addition, the route passes over or very near the Hein Kuiper home built in 1876. President US Grant in 1871 issued the homestead certificate to Mr. Kuiper when Washington was still a territory. Finally, SR 503 passes through this beautiful mountain valley and has been designated a scenic route.

Given the rich history and scenic values of Chelatchie Prairie it is an inappropriate location for a major transmission line. We urge you to ask BPA to eliminate segment 27 from further consideration in consideration of alternative routes for the proposed transmission facility.

It is unfortunate that BPA (I have great respect for this utility and its personnel) included so many route segments for study that would affect thousands of land owners and the scenic and historic resources of the county when routes through the forested areas of east county are available.

I urge you to work with BPA to select an easterly route for this needed NW network reinforcement transmission line that minimizes impacts on the citizens of Clark County and on the county's scenic and historic resources.

Jim Malinowski  
Amboy WA  
[phone number]  
[cell phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12703

Date Received: 12/14/2009

Name: CHARLES LYNCH, BEVERLY LYNCH

December 14, 2009

EFSEC

We are writing in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

We live on the proposed line #27.

We are very concerned about this project and if they chose this route.

We feel they need to choose a route that minimizes the impact on home and property where people live and raise animals.

We feel they need to extend the scoping period to at least March 31, 2010 to give people more time.

We are concerned because we feel that living that close to high power lines (those that are proposed in this project) are a health issue to humans and livestock alike.

We are concerned about the noise these power lines would emit and the annoyance and health problems this noise would cause.

We are concerned about the devaluation of the property that this would cause. We would never had bought this property and build on it if these lines were in existence at that time.

We bought this property with plans that it would be our retirement fund if we came to a stage where we couldn't take care of it and had to sell. We are both 65 and not able to start over again at this age.

---

Communication ID: 12704

Date Received: 7/26/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

My comment is that the extra expense of moving it over where there is no people or at least very few people greatly impacted by these lines is a critical thing. The money is irrelevant in this case. You are impacting the live of thousands and thousands of children for years and years by running this line in highly populated areas. So please reconsider where you will put it

---

Communication ID: 12705

Date Received: 7/12/2010

Name: LAURA C LAMM,LESTER LAMM

Subject: Re: Responding to your request for more information

Thank you Ms. Asgharian. I appreciate your prompt response. I have looked at the website information and the Fact Sheet. This has taken us by surprise as we were in the buying process on this home since last July but no one mentioned this to us. Not being from the area or big media/news consumers, we were completely unaware. Despite our offer to purchase the home from the bank, the home ultimately went into foreclosure so we ended up buying it at auction in late November. I suppose disclosures are not applicable to homes in foreclosure. Had we known, we most likely would not have purchased the home.

The existing power lines are unsightly enough - particularly since the landscape is so poorly maintained despite it's residential locale. We are fortunate not to see the lines from the deck on the back of our home, which greatly contributed to our decision to buy the house. However, we are not anxious to see more lines installed at the end of our street (visible from the front of our home) that would further negatively impact home resale and the aesthetics of the neighborhood. I am sure my neighbors would all echo a similar desire. This neighborhood (Granite Highlands) has been hit extremely hard in the housing decline. A number of homes have been empty for years (even before the housing crash) due to the power lines and the very unkempt landscape beneath them. It's unbelievably unsightly and disappointing in terms of BPA's maintenance of its residential structures.



We understand the need to serve the area with sufficient power and we applaud BPA efforts to study the options and listen to consumers like us about our misgivings and truly relevant fears. It would be ever-so helpful (and perhaps reassuring) to see artist renderings (or photos of the same types of structures erected in residential settings elsewhere under BPA management) of what the new lines might look like so we can better envision what is planned. If I have missed this information on the website, please just direct me to the correct page(s). I have heard the towers might be taller. Would there be more towers added in the same routes or would they replace the existing towers with others? Will land be confiscated? Will BPA make the areas surrounding the lines more aesthetically appealing and maintain them better than they do now?

Also, it took me quite a bit of time to decipher the map on the BPA website (back and forth comparing to Google Maps and Map Quest) to determine where our home is in proximity to the planned lines. I wanted to make sure I knew where the proposed lines were in proximity to our home before I contacted you to make sure my concern is relevant and not to waste your time. In terms of a suggestion (as invited on the Fact Sheet), it would be far more informative and efficient if the map was user friendly/interactive so that the public can simply zoom in to see street names and/or enter addresses to clearly learn about the proximity of the proposed lines to existing structures/businesses/homes.

I apologize for my lack of familiarity with Washougal (and the Northwest). I have only recently moved here from the East Coast. It's agonizing to think we may have purchased this home only to lose a great deal of money if it's value continues to decline. We were counting on this home to at least hold some of it's value as retirement is near. Now it's future value seems doubtful. It would be so helpful for us to gain a better idea of what we are facing if the route near our home is chosen for the reinforcement project.

Aesthetics play a huge role in this as you well know. If power lines were beautiful, the discussion would probably be moot - other than the discussion regarding the possible health impact. I can live with power lines if they are reasonable in number and the land upon which they sit/travel is not carelessly or willfully robbed of the beauty necessary to support the quality of life and aesthetics for those who must live an work near them.

If it's necessary for our access to our property for study purposes, we would like to be notified. Perhaps our property has already been accessed without our knowledge. If so, we would like to be notified that it has already occurred as well.

Thank you very much. Again, I appreciate your reply.

Sincerely,

Laura and Lester Lamm  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12706

Date Received: 7/21/2010

Name: LAURA C LAMM

Subject: Re: Responding to your request for more information

Dear Ms. Asgharian:

Thank you for your informative response. We also received some information and a request for permission to enter property from BPA Realty Specialist Paul Woolson in the mail today. Thank you for facilitating that request as well.

I accessed the interactive map on the BPA website that you mentioned. I am not sure how I missed it before - thank you. It was quite easy to use, but I have one question. The legend for the Google interactive map indicates light blue for "Land Parcels" and pale yellow for "Notification Buffers" but does not define the meaning of either. I assume "notification buffers" includes home/landowners in that vicinity who have been or will be notified of the project and potential impact. I am not sure what is meant by "land parcels." Do you know?

You are welcome to share my email as a public comment on the project.

Thank you again for the information and assistance. We will be interested to see how the study and decision goes. We hope for the very best possible outcome for everyone that, in particular, will preserve (and/or improve the paths of the existing residential power lines) the aesthetic beauty and safety of this beautiful region for those who have chosen to live and work in the area.

Thanks very much.

Laura Lamm

---

Communication ID: 12707

Date Received: 7/28/2010

Name: MARGARET A HENDRIX

Received via voicemail:

My name is Margaret Hendrix. I have tried on three occasions to submit comments on your website, and it does not work. This is very disturbing to if you are going to listen to people. You are spending \$650 million on fish and wildlife. Don't quiver over spending \$60 million onetime to keep the children of Vancouver safer. Move this line out of a high impact urban area.

-----  
Received via fax

"Your website doesn't accept comments"

[a screen shot of the web form was sent via fax with only part of the comment showing]

Partial comment captured: the BPA budgets 850 million for fish and wildlife and seems to be quibbling over 60 million for one time to keep children safe since no one else seems to really know the impact of high voltage on their young bodies. Please keep this

---

Communication ID: 12708

Date Received: 7/27/2010

Name: PETER MCNAUGHTON, BONNIE MCNAUGHTON

Dear Mr. Korsness, Project Manager,

I wish to reinforce the concerns of the population in the Yacolt/Amboy area in efforts to have BPA move future high power transmission lines to the east into the government owned forested areas of our state rather than having them run through our populated area.

Our concerns are many. Having taught for 20 years in Washington State, I chose to retire among the families of the thousands of children that came under my tutelage in my role as a teacher and library media specialist. And now I am informed that I will have High Tower Lines adjacent to my home!

Ever mindful as to the research resources available I am cognizant of the multiple dangers that DO accompany such High Powered Lines, as YOU must be. Controversial studies show the "yeas and nays" and the results are suspect when paid for by groups with a monetary interest in the matter, as YOU know.

There are so many large families in this area with five to ten or more growing children. So the number of potential health problems is not merely 2 to 4 per household but as much as SIX times that in these thousands of children who would be growing under these High Power Lines!

Not only am I concerned as to the High Power Emissions, but what happens to our only supply of drinking water when the areas beneath the Lines are contaminated with defoliants and pesticides and other chemical products that leach into our water table?

Last of all is the de-valuation of our homes: my retirement home earned most lovingly in the care of the children of SW Washington State. Now the peace and serenity I have long sought is to be destroyed by the constant worry of disease, noise pollution by humming wires, and loss of value in my home. Would YOU choose to live in such a location?

And, because of the loss of value to our property, we should see a great reduction in our taxes in the entire area affected, and therefore a loss of tax revenue to our state!!! Why not argue for the easy way: over the forest, NOT over the people? Think LIVES, not \$\$\$\$.

I sincerely hope that you are on the side of the lives of the people, and can convince the BIG \$\$\$ folks of a "Better Way for BPA."

Bonnie and Peter McNaughton

[Address]

[Phone]

PS. How interesting it is that the initials are BPA

Surely not foreboding yet another disaster????!!!!

---

Communication ID: 12709

Date Received: 8/3/2010

Name: CLAY MYERS

I am trying to figure from your latest August map if my property is off your list or not. It's not real helpful to not have roads on your map, that doesn't do us much good. I need to speak with somebody, I can not figure it out, I am on your website looking at your map, I don't know if I am still targeted for this or not. My name is Clay Myers, [phone number]. I'd appreciate it someone could call me back so I can figure this out.

---

Communication ID: 12710

Date Received: 8/3/2010

Name: RUTH M DOIRON

I need a call from somebody about the inability of the maps here and at the meeting I attended in the city of Longview at the high school. You don't show where the Longview Bridge is, I mean the Lexington bridge is off of I-5. And you don't show our property and if we are being considered. We had problems with LNG. We have no net access, we can't get on the web to look at your maps. So being set by LNG you have to understand we are extremely suspicious. It's natural because I called the head of LNG and they said they were still planning to bring their pipeline out here, and they are going to be doing it, but for right now they are just resting. So we will be having that fight again. I don't see the bridge and it isn't marked when we go to the meetings. The maps don't have the Lexington bridge, so we can't pinpoint if you are coming on Pleasant Hill Road or not. We see you crossing I-5 and we can't tell where Pleasant Hill Road. And the people at the meetings cannot tell us either. When we go to all the meeting, no matter who we talk to who are supposedly in charge cannot tell us where Pleasant Hill Road is. So this is very deceiving, your map is not true. I need someone to call me at [phone number], I am in Kelso , Ruth Doiron. I am represent probably some 100 odd people who are concerned about Pleasant Hill road, and I can get to all of them.

---

Communication ID: 12711

Date Received: 8/3/2010

Name: MARGARET A HENDRIX

I have just receive the new map dated August 2010, and strongly urge you to use the most northerly and easterly routes as they would seem to impact the fewest people...our people and our communities are what matter....a few dollars higher utility bills or thousand of dollars to is lost proptery values...easy choice. a few dollars in higher utility bills or the health of our children...easy choice

---

Communication ID: 12712

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: ANDREA PERONI

Hi, my name is Andrea Peroni, my address is [ADDRESS]. It looks like I am on the 28 line letter V (?). It looks like I am about 300 in from the edge of the line. I wanted to know if you bulldoze everything in that line, like if you would take my house away or if you are just looking for an easement or exactly what you are looking for. Because I don't know whether to do maintenance to my house or not do anything for the next 3 years because it's going to be taken away. If you could let me know what you do with the houses 300 feet in at the edge of the line or what, that would be great. My phone is [phone number]. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12713

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: LYLE DAUGHERTY

Lyle Derty calling [phone number], [address]. I am already on your list. I want to ask you a question. Last time you were at Prairie High I never got an answer back. I wanted to know, because my thing was to put the cabling underground and put the concrete vualts every so often for access. So I want to know what the price is per foot. You guys know what size cable you got around so you know what size conduits you'd have to run and I want to know what the cost of that is per foot and also what the cost is of whatever you are doing with the towers. So I'd like to know what those figures are. It is about noon on Wednesday. I hope you get back to me soon

---

Communication ID: 12714

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: CAROL WILLIAMS

This Carol Williams, my address is [ADDRESS]. If someone could call me back I'd appreciate it. My number at my land line is [PHONE NUMBER]. If could have someone call me back and help translate this letter for me please and the map I got as well. I got it yesterday. I totally don't understand I just want to know what they are going to in the Minnehaha neighborhood, that is where I live. Call me, thanks.

---

Communication ID: 12715

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: KATHERINE HOOD

My name is Katherine Hood, [ADDRESS]. I also own [ADDRESS], Kelso. You are not allowed to access my property at anytime, no agents, no lessees, no one whether they be part of your company or someone you contract to. I do not want any easements or overhead hangs whatsoever on my property or near my property. My number is [PHONE NUMBER]. I would also like to have a copy of your map that not only shows the rivers but is overdone so we can see just which road and properties are expected by this change you want. I noticed there is no one's properties are being discussed no one's property numbers are around, yet we keep getting these. So I would like to see just which ones are being proposed. Because you've already done the work up here near Williams Denny. And like I said before you are not allowed to access [TWO ADDRESSES] Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12716

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: DAVID SCHULTZ

This is Dave Schultz. I live at [address] Camas, WA [zip]. I've read all of your information. You sent me a lot of stuff. My letter is dated August 2nd, so it is kind of surprising to me that you are not taking any more information, and I just got the letter. I just can't see figure out how your map here demonstrates how it's not going to go straight through my property. Your map doesn't even show Lacamas Lake at all. Which is kind of a big thing, it shows Vancouver lake and all the tributary creeks, but the lake is completely missing. My house is right by the lake. I want to find out it is going to affect my house by the lake. So please have someone call me back to let me know. My number is [phone number]. I'd just like a little information to demonstrate exactly where this is going to go. Thanks.

---

Communication ID: 12717

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: ZOANNE WALKER

We live on Olsen Road in Yacolt and we are wondering if it is going through still to post in that area. We are right on the east of the Lewis River. So please contact us [phone number] and let us know what is happening so we can pursue what we need to do in regards to our property.

---

Communication ID: 12718

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: MICHAEL A SWIFT

I live up almost the end of bodine rd. in the owl creek water shed and i don`t even see owl creek on your map, Owl creek dumps into the carrol channel in the columbia river is this power line going where the power line is now or above it?

---

Communication ID: 12719

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: KELLY J M GARDNER

The new maps (8/4/10)show our property within the notification buffers. We just purchased this property as our retirement homestead and are VERY UPSET. What happens to our property? What happens to our property values if all of our trees are required to be cut for the transmission path right of way?

---

Communication ID: 12720

Date Received: 8/4/2010

Name: LISA M KAYSER

I am appalled that you are even considering running these lines through areas that owned by private citizens. We work so so so very hard to obtain what we have and work even harder to maintain it and then you come in and say you need to build right through property owners lives and livelihoods. If it costs more to build in a remote area then DO IT!! Your being put out by expense of putting your lines and stations in a more remote area does not outweigh landowners being put and their rights in keeping and maintaining the quality of life that they have worked hard to achieve. It's not right and you know it's not right, but you're doing it anyway because somewhere someone said you could possibly get away with aquiring land from property owners even if they don't want to release their property!! Build this substation in a remote area, EVEN IF IT COSTS MORE!!! I would pay more for my electricity rather than lose my home. My son, his dad, his dad, and his dad have lived here for 100 years!!! On this very same piece of land, and you will be ruining the quality of my family's life!! Not to mention my son's ability to have this land to make a living on in the future and his kids too. I will fight this as will many others.

---

Communication ID: 12721

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: LINDA WILSON

My name is Linda Wilson, and I would like to be added to your list, But I also would also like someone to call me back. This website says you do, but this voicemail says you don`t. And my name is Linda Wilson, and my area code is [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12722

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: RON SUGGS

Hello, this is Ron Suggs. I own properties, actually two properties close to the powerlines. And I know I was on the list as possible sites. You are sending my correspondence to my old address. The old address you had was [ADDRESS]. My new address is [ADDRESS]. Anyway I was hoping to talk to someone. My phone number [PHONE NUMBER]. I am interested in possibly selling my property for these public utilities. Give me a call back. My number is [PHONE NUMBER]. If I am not, in leave a message. Again this is Ron Suggs.

---

Communication ID: 12723

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: WENDY LARKIN

Dear BPA Project, We live next to hwy 14 in oak park and by the map it looks like your stations or wires are going right through. You have sent us paper work to allow access to our property which we have said yes. I would be willing to let you buy our property for a station, substation or tower.Please let me know. Thank you.Wendy Larkin

---

Communication ID: 12724

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: TOM J ELHARDT

It seems you are now considering moving the power lines to the East of your previous plan( plan F) west of Firlane in Castle Rock. This is NOT a sparsley developed area as stated in the Daily News. This proposed route would go right directly over our property in Skyline Ridge Development in Castle Rock!! We are currently bulding a new home there. I don't want power lines going over my house, Thank You. My parents are building next to us. This is a beautiful gated forested development which we chose for it's private forested parklike beauty. If you put power lines over our house....it will destroy our dreams.THe protesters managed to move it from their back yard to ours.. Did you research this route before you decided it was sparsely developed? I pray you change your route...There must be a route that doesn't go directly over somebody's house. Tom Elhardt, Skyline Ridge Development property owner.

---

Communication ID: 12725

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: JUSTON M POELING,SHANNON POELING



I was just notified that BPA 500KV transmission line proposed alternate route will be running directly through my property. My wife and I are about 90% complete with building our dream home that we have been saving and planning for all our lives. This decision would be totally devastating for us and all the new property owners of Skyline Ridge Forest Reserve. BPA needs to seriously reconsider this route....you can not move it from one back yard and just put it into another depending on who yells the loudest. I expect to hear of the reasoning for this decision and BPA will definitely hear from us! Juston and Shannon Poeling cell# [phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12726

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: LORI M ERICKSON

I would like to express my vehement opposition to option "F", which will run high voltage transmission lines directly through property we own in Castle Rock. This option is devastating to us, personally and financially, as well as devastating to our community.

---

Communication ID: 12727

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: BARBARA WRIGHT

My name is Barbara Wright, and I am a property owner at [ADDRESS], and the I-5 project, I received your project map and it looks like you are still considering the line, the existing line as part of your review. And I am really disagree with that line. I like your new one, K, that goes out further east. To me there is too much, you will destroy my property if you consider that, and while that may not be important to anyone else, it is important to me. And I have a park like property that my husband put in and it is terraced and it is kept mowed on my .9 acre. There is no room between my fence and your right away up the hill and the only way you could expand that would be take the hill and you would take some of my property which has ponds on it, and it has mallards and wood ducks on it and deer can get to it. And I strongly recommend your new proposed line. I will be at the meeting in August. If you want to call me back that is fine, but it effects, the existing line, effects too many properties, and you need to consider the human element in this and not just the project need. Thank you for your consideration and thank you for the new proposed line K, and I am not understanding what the number 25 means. That points to new holdings, new substation site, I don't know. Those are my concerns, the consideration of the existing line 09, 24 and I would really appreciate it if you take this further east to K and O. My number is [PHONE].

---

Communication ID: 12728

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: JOHN KEATLEY

This John Keatley and my address [ADDRESS]. And I was looking at the I-5 corridor reinforcement map of August of this year. It looks like the F segment runs very near or overhead of my farm. My property is [COORDINATE LOCATION]. I have commercial farm and I would like to talk to someone about getting a better map of the proposed F line, F segment goes from Castle Rock west, either to Baxter Creek or Kasey (??) road. I would appreciate a call back. My phone number is [phone number], John Keatley. Thank you, hope to hear from you. Put me on the mailing list for any surveying or any other advancements of this I-5 corridor project.

---

Communication ID: 12729

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: LARRY G RAINE, BARBARA K RAINE

Hi I would like to leave a change of address. This is for Larry G Raine, and Barbara K Raine. The new address is [ADDRESS]. The old address was [ADDRESS]. If you could change it in the system to the new address that would be appreciated.

---

Communication ID: 12730

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: JENNIFER HICKS

My name is Jennifer Hicks. We've been getting some mailings about this Bonneville I-5 corridor reinforcement project. We just moved, we used to live in Amboy. And so could you take us off your mailing list that would be appreciated. You can go ahead and give me a call, my number is [PHONE NUMBER], just so I know you got this message.

---

Communication ID: 12731

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: KATHI WHEELER

Called Maryam at BPA

Cathy Wheeler called me yesterday (and again today) to request a copy of map 51. She was hoping that we had more details about where the lines would actually go within the yellow buffer zones.

---

Communication ID: 12732

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: JODIE SHARP

I am a real estate agent in the process of selling a property at [address]. The potential buyers want to make sure this property will not be impacted by the new proposed high voltage power lines. What information can you provide to us? Thank you!

Jodie Sharp  
Premier Realty  
[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12733

Date Received: 7/29/2010

Name: MARGARET A HENDRIX

Talked with Luanne Grow at Clark County PUD

-----

Thank you, yes to both questions this time...I read the material and sent an e-mail message to the website and got an e-mail back saying that it had been received. M Hendrix

---

Communication ID: 12734

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: LINDA WILSON

Linda Wilson called my cell number after reading the newspaper story about the I-5 Corridor project changes.

She was trying to figure out whether or not the new route segments included her home and by looking at the map she was pretty sure it would affect her. While we were on the phone I looked her up on the interactive map and informed her she was just south of the notification zone, so would most likely not receive a personally addressed mailing from us. I walked her through how to use the map to identify where the route segments are in comparison to where she lives, and explained what a corridor represented, etc. We talked about EMF, property values, and a wide range of things.

I made sure she knew about the meeting on August 30, and she can't make it to that, and will try to come to other meetings. We discussed the EIS process , how to comment, etc.

She then wanted to talk about our website, and whether or not she felt we wanted to hear from us. She called the main phone operator, and was treated very well. She called our number, and was told to leave her address and she would be added to the mailing list. That upset her, as she thought it indicated that no one is available to talk to her, and we only communicate via mail. I asked her what number she called and she didn't remember. In any event, I assured her that we were taking comment continually

and that I was available to her at any time. We agreed she would check the project website more fully, try the interactive map and look at the materials there and get back to me if she still had questions.

She gave me her email and phone number, at my request, and I will follow up with her via email.

Luanna Grow, Public Affairs

---

Communication ID: 12735

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: BOB VOID

My name is Bob Void, I live at [ADDRESS]. I would very much like to know the new proposal K, where it crosses 503. I would like an address so that I can tell how close it is to me. Please call me back at [phone number]. I would appreciate it very much. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12736

Date Received: 8/5/2010

Name: DOLORES SHIRTS

Concerning I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project: Our neighbor gave us a copy of a map detailing the properties that will be affected by the above named project. We live on a cul-de-sac on the west side of the proposed addition to the power line right-of-way. Every house on our street is indicated to be acquired by BPA except ours. Why would you not think we should be informed of the change that could be happening to our neighborhood. If it goes as proposed you would leave us sitting in a vacant field and probably destroy our property value. We have confirmed the map in question on your internet site. We would not have had a clue of what you were up to, if the neighbor had not shared his map! Can you explain your lack of courtesy to us? Please reply ASAP!

---

Communication ID: 12737

Date Received: 7/29/2010

Name: CHERYL KAY BRANTLEY

Spoke with Luanna Grow at the July 2010 Clark PUD meeting

First, you asked for an explanation of how the new 500 kilovolt I-5 Corridor transmission line would link in to Clark and Cowlitz counties, and support southwest's Washington's electrical needs. In our future meetings we will explain this in more detail, so that more of the interested public can appreciate how this line will benefit them.

Secondly, you wondered about the limitations that might affect BPA's ability to put the new 500-kilovolt line on the existing rights-of-way we are considering, that already have 230-kilovolt lines on them. Was the word you were thinking of "derate"?

---

Communication ID: 12738

Date Received: 8/6/2010

Name: RICHARD RENSHAW

I am just trying to get further clarification of how my parcel is involved in this project. My name is Richard Renshaw. My phone number is [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12739

Date Received: 8/8/2010

Name: STEVEN D ANGLIN

(In response to your last newsletter, TEP-TPP-3) Screw distance, terrain, corporate timber harvesting or government-viewed "needs" of this project as reasons for alternatives. How about what the people want?! How about what the land wants?! How about what the salmon want?! Conservation and reduction of electrical power dependence should be the ONLY function of BPA. No alternatives to this project are acceptable. Cancel this project. Decommission and remove Bonneville Dam.

---

Communication ID: 12740

Date Received: 8/8/2010

Name: STEVEN D ANGLIN

Additional comments to newsletter TEP-TPP-3 Sustainability in all areas of life is the only option for our survival on earth. This project illustrates the opposite of sustainability. It is the expansion of the exploitation of our Northwestern environment. Save the earth. Save us. Cancel this project.

---

Communication ID: 12741

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: ERIC R CHILD

You call this the I-5 project when in fact you are doing everything possible to move it away from I-5. You should call it the Ariel-Yale Lake project because that's where you really want to put it. We live in the quiet area of Mulkey Meadows, peaceful and with amazing views. We moved here to raise a family away from city chaos. Now, you want to bring the chaos and trash out here. Why can't you keep the damn thing on the I-5 corridor instead of 25 miles east through our property...? Are you willing to pay us what we paid for our home and property...? Because after this junk goes through our values will be horribly

degraded and BPA will be richer at our expense. Your letter dated August 2, 2010 says "in response to input from timber landowners and the Washington State D of NR, BPA has added segments further east." It says nothing of public homeowner input. You mention ONLY corporate interest. Why should BPA be concerned about the property values and lifestyle adjustments of a few dozen homeowners when large timber companies and corporate interests are at stake...? As this debacle unfolds BPA's true colors are slowly shining through...

---

Communication ID: 12742

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: ANN WATKINS

My name is Ann Watkins, my property records are under the last name Fennelly. I can't figure out, I don't live anywhere near that property. I can't figure out from the maps if my property is involved or not. My number is [PHONE NUMBER], I have three properties near Chelatchie

---

Communication ID: 12743

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: CHRIS D CANNARD

I would like to know what the impact would be of a new Tower Structure on the Minnehaha Section 25. Is more right of way anticipated, or can the existing right of way support any new tower structures? Thank You, Chris

---

Communication ID: 12744

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: NANCY

I have a question, I was looking at the website, and it has a map that shows the pink coding, yellow coding and then it has the blue, and it just says land parcels. Can you tell me what that means? Our piece is a blue land parcel. This is Nancy, my number is [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12745

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: BLAIRE MARTIN

I own a property on Skyline Ridge, from the looks of it right in the route of Option F, I am very upset that I had to hear about this through new media and not from BPA directly. I will be attending the upcoming meetings and want to voice my disagreement with this strategy. How can you find it appropriate to move it from one communities back yard to our. This is supposed to be the location of our dream home

and now I have to worry about not only the value of our property being eroded but the side affect of power lines so close to my family. What does BPA plan to do to compensat us, and do we have any rights to not allow you to put these lines on our property.

---

Communication ID: 12746

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: ROBERT HARDY

This is Robert Hardy, my phone number is [phone number]. You guys sent me some information and you want me to give you permission to come on to my property. I don't even know where this line is even running. You sent me maps, and I can't even tell, they don't show me where if, you are running these lines through my property. I want contact. If I am going to send you these permission letters you are going to tell me where you sending the power lines. So give me a call and I will talk to someone. This one way talk is... you are going to do the talking to me and I am going to do the listening... I don't think that is going to work too good. At least if you are going to talk to me, you are going to tell me what you are doing. Please get back to me at your convenience. I am more than reasonable, I just want to know where the lines are running.

---

Communication ID: 12747

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: GLENN HUDSON

The Monahan Creek site is horrible, as is route 3 because you are intruding on populated areas that have been settled for decades and nothing you would pay could adequately compensate for disturbance or loss of them! The only acceptable sites are Baxter Creek or Casey Road and routes 01, 02, or F and K. Please stop keeping us under threat and in suspense so that we can live in peace and make future plans.

---

Communication ID: 12748

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: BRIAN BARCUS

This is Brian Barcus. I need to have my mailing address changed. It was [ADDRESS]. I saw a whole map of the property, the rental property. My new address [ADDRESS]. If you have any questions, please give me a call back at [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12749

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: RANDALL J MARRS

Dear BPA Officials, I am vehemently opposed to your proposed High Power lines numbered; 35, P, 30, V, 28, U, N, 26, 24, 18, L, M, 23, 15, 14, 12, 10, H, J, G, 11, 05, 06, 07, 08, 03, and 01. I live less than ½ mile down hill from one of the lines you are proposing. Specifically Line P and Line 30, and possibly line V. When my wife and I purchased the property we now live on, one of our first considerations was that no high power lines were near or even visible from the location we were looking at. We accomplished this purchase and have been very satisfied with our selection. Now you are considering putting a high power line close to our property and we are adamantly opposed to this. Our property value has recently dropped by over \$90,000.00 due to the adverse economy. Your plans would not only endanger us, but would also limit the percentage of people that would even consider purchasing our land when and if we ever decide to sell it (just ask any Real Estate Broker how many less people want a home near a High Power Line). The value of our land will most likely drop even further if these power lines do become a reality. I am very concerned about the location of the transmission lines proposed by BPA. The existing lines should be used to increase the transmission capabilities before any other options are used. This should be done to limit the cost to utility customers, and to limit the effects to the property of private landowners. This will also limit the proven adverse effects to the physical health of the people near the high power lines. Of course, the existing lines can be upgraded to accommodate the increased power needs no matter how you decry that as not possible. If we really have no say about your determination to build more transmission lines and you do not want to listen to your utility customers, and if you must create a new cancer causing high power line (and other disease causing lines), your best and least opposed option would be to route the power lines to the point furthest east. This would be via line 51 to line 49, to line S connecting to line 39. Connect line 39 to line R, up line O to line W. Line W connecting to Line K. Line K to line I and F, back to line A. If you must build another transmission line, this appears to be the most unpopulated area to build on. Following this plan will use limited residential land as much as possible and use forest lands that have a minimum of population. This would have the least adverse effect to the population of the areas you are considering destroying by your new lines. You say that High Power lines are not a danger, but you are unwilling to establish another line next to the current for fear there will be a forest fire potential. How can you be certain that the lines near population can be safe?

---

Communication ID: 12750

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: BENJAMIN M CULLEY

I've recently received a letter regarding my property and the new transmission line. I've looked over the maps, and am having a very difficult time understanding what the possible implications are. If someone from the appropriate department could contact me and let me know what is being proposed regarding my parcel, I would appreciate it.

---

Communication ID: 12751

Date Received: 8/11/2010

Name: RUSS WEGNER, BARBARA WEGNER



We are writing to vehemently oppose building a new transmission line and associated substations along the existing BPA transmission lines of Segments 9 and 25. We are deeply concerned about the potential exposure to EMF radiation as well as the inherent buzzing noise associated with the new transmission lines. It can be argued back and forth the long term effects of EMF radiation but unless the BPA can 100% guarantee that there are no possible side effects, this proposal puts hundreds of families in harm's way. Although building the new transmission lines along the existing lines is probably more economically feasible, the potential health problems far outweigh the financial savings of this project. It would make much more sense to build the lines further east where the population is less dense.

We would hate to see folks unable to use parks under power lines who have pacemakers.

Another great concern of ours is the lower property value that is inevitable if the new transmission lines are built along the existing lines of Segments 9 and 25. We feel that we are held hostage by the BPA. People cannot sell their homes now without disclosing the possible building of the new transmission lines. Hundreds of homes currently exist next to the 230KV power lines with a greenbelt as a barrier. If the proposed 500KV towers are built next to the 230KV lines, the greenbelts will be cut down and people will be forced to look at the massive towers, which can be built as close as 75 feet from their homes. Who will want to buy a home that borders the towers? How many tens of thousands of dollars would our property values decrease? In addition, many people could not sell their homes unless it was a cash buyer because the property would be appraised below either an outstanding mortgage or what the property is actually worth.

Property values will definitely be affected, possibly by as much as \$100,000 per household. That also means that homeowners will demand for new home assessments. The reduction in property tax revenue will affect needed funds for schools, libraries, fire districts and the county, including road funds.

Recently Steve Wright wanted to be clear that a "Preferred alternative"(preferred route) does not mean that will be the final selection. It will be telling the public that is what looks best to BPA at that point and they will put it out for public comment. Mr. Wright discussed the additional \$30 to \$60 million additional dollars the eastern route will cost and said it was a factor he had to include in his decision but is not the primary factor. It is our understanding that BPA spends \$850 million dollars every single year on fish and wildlife so to spend \$30 to \$60 million dollars one time only on the citizens of SW Washington is actually only a small percentage of that on a one time basis.

The BPA proposed route of 9 and 25 needs to be stopped before it is started. We plead with you to put pressure on the BPA to build the transmission lines further east in less populated areas. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Russell and Barbara Wegner

[address]

[phone]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12752

Date Received: 8/11/2010

Name: DONNA TORRES,LARRY TORRES

Subject: BPA Towers & our community

We are writing to vehemently oppose building a new transmission line and associated substations along the existing BPA transmission lines of Segments 9 and 25.

We live in a gated community that directly borders this area called Summit at Autumn Hills in which all of our homes are valued at \$600k to \$2M. We all have 5 acre parcels and this is an equestrian friendly community that borders miles of trails on DNR land. DNR is currently logging the land around us in timber sales forcing wildlife into our community (many sightings of black bear and one cougar attack on a horse that is still in the hospital). Fish & Wildlife have already relocated one black bear. 15,000 acres are being logged between now and 2012 and now we are faced with BPA destroying our property values with the towers that will greatly impact our home values, views and expose our entire community to EMF radiation as we will be less than a mile from the towers. I am attaching an outline of where our community is on Google Map extract.

We are deeply concerned about the potential exposure to EMF radiation as well as the inherent buzzing noise associated with the new transmission lines. It can be argued back and forth the long term effects of EMF radiation but unless the BPA can 100% guarantee that there are no possible side effects, this proposal puts hundreds of families in harm's way. Although building the new transmission lines along the existing lines is probably more economically feasible, the potential health problems far outweigh the financial savings of this project. It would make much more sense to build the lines further east where the population is less dense.

Another great concern of ours is the lower property value that is inevitable if the new transmission lines are built along the existing lines of Segments 9 and 25. We feel that we are held hostage by the BPA. People cannot sell their homes now without disclosing the possible building of the new transmission lines. Hundreds of homes currently exist next to the 230KV power lines with a greenbelt as a barrier. If the proposed 500KV towers are built next to the 230KV lines, the greenbelts will be cut down and people will be forced to look at the massive towers, which can be built as close as 75 feet from their homes. Who will want to buy a home that borders the towers? How many tens of thousands of dollars would our property values decrease? In addition, many people could not sell their homes unless it was a cash buyer because the property would be appraised below either an outstanding mortgage or what the property is actually worth.

Property values will definitely be affected, possibly by as much as \$100,000 per household. That also means that homeowners will demand for new home assessments. The reduction in property tax revenue will affect needed funds for schools, libraries, fire districts and the county, including road funds.

The BPA proposed route of 9 and 25 needs to be stopped before it is started. We plead with you to put pressure on the BPA to build the transmission lines further east in less populated areas. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Donna & Larry Torres

[address]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12753

Date Received: 8/11/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

I am calling because I see that the latest refinements to I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The Monahan Creek site is a terrible site to choose. Either one of the areas within that Monahan Creek site is bad. As is route 3 because it is affecting so many people who have been settled in for years and years and is a beautiful area that doesn't need to be interfered with all that goes with such a high powered line. Instead, Batch Creek or Casey Road would be fine and using route 1 or 2 or F and K. That would be acceptable. But definitely not route 3 or the Monahan Creek site. Just eliminate those so we can get on with our lives and stop being in limbo. And not able to do anything else with our property or enjoy them because of this hassle. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12754

Date Received: 8/10/2010

Name: KATHLEEN A JOHNSON,GEORGE RAITER,AXEL SWANSON

August 10,2010

Mark Korsheness, Project Manager

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Bonneville Power Administration

P. O. Box 9250

Portland, OR 97207

RE: BPA's Proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Mr. Korshness:

The Board of Cowlitz County Commissioners is requesting that BPA reverse its decision to not study the Pearl routes, or in the alternative, hold a formal public meeting regarding that decision. In January 2010, we urged Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) to form a Citizen Advisory Committee, so that our

constituents could be fully informed and to provide input. To our knowledge, that has not happened. While we recognize and appreciate BPA's commitment to meet with citizens, that commitment is not being fulfilled in transparent citizen involvement. In particular, we have received many citizen complaints about the decision to not study the Oregon alternatives.

We are aware that the Board of Clark County Commissioners requested a more complete and effective public involvement effort and to be a party of record in December 2009. Washington State 18th District legislators submitted a letter dated December 14, 2009 requesting BPA to develop and pursue construction of the needed transmission on routes not identified in the current scoping process, including public lands further east and through industrial areas along the Columbia River in Oregon.

More recently, Senator Patty Murray sent a letter in July 2010 urging BPA to examine route locations that impact the least number of families in Southwest Washington. We believe that the sum of this correspondence expresses the need to add Oregon routes back to the scoping of the project.

We respectfully request that BPA reverse its administrative decision to drop the Pearl Alternatives and proceed to study them. In the alternative, we request that BPA hold a formal public meeting on its decision to drop the Pearl alternatives from the NEPA process. If you choose the alternative, please

contact Clerk of the Board Vickie Musgrove at 360-577-3020 to set a date for the public meeting.

Sincerely,

Board of County Commissioners  
of Cowlitz County, Washington  
George Raiter, Chair  
Axel Swanson, Commissioner  
Kathleen A. Johnson, Commissioner  
cc: Commissioners' Record

---

Communication ID: 12755

Date Received: 8/12/2010

Name: BARBARA EIGNER

This is Barbara Eigner, at [phone number]. I received in the mail a copy of the reinforcement map for August 2010. I am calling in reference to Kwik Center LLC. I recognize that although segment 29 has been dropped. We have some property on map page 93, which is near the bottom of segment 28 and segment 30. The purpose of my call is, I was wondering if that segment of Kwik Center property is still in line for route for a transmission line. The piece of property I am concerned about is on map page 93, Kwik Center LLC. and it up in the left hand corner. My phone number is [phone number]. A few months ago I talked with Sandra Billings.

---

Communication ID: 12756

Date Received: 7/29/2010

Name: DENNY HUFFMAN

Ms. Asgharian -

Thank you for the phone call yesterday and for checking on our home's location relative to existing BPA power lines. I understand that you have added us to your mailing list so we will receive all periodic information about this process.

Since we have just been added to your mailing list, we are interested in receiving previous background information that has been shared with others on your mailing list and/or at public meetings - general information, maps, scoping announcements/results, etc. Please send me all such information so I can get up to speed on what has transpired and how the process will unfold in the future. Hard copies will be appreciated.

Please mail to:

Denny Huffman  
[address]

If you have questions about my request, please call at [phone]

Denny Huffman

---

Communication ID: 12757

Date Received: 8/12/2010

Name: SANDRA PARGMAN

Please contact...

Sandra Pargman  
[phone]  
[email]

Request for an aerial map of exactly where and what streets are affected by the new proposed "P" on I-5 reinforcement project.

Prefer to pick up the map at the Van Mall location.

Need a map by today if possible.

---

Communication ID: 12758

Date Received: 8/17/2010

Name: WAYNE N LIVICK

I would like to know what proposed route my property is on. Parcel ID# [parcel number]. The parcel # on your map is [parcel #]. Remark. I called on the 10th and the call was returned. I was told I would receive a return call on the 11th with an answer. Needless to say that never happened. Better communication would probably result in better cooperation. This is my 3rd attempt to send this email. Your email security feature really sucks. It almost makes one think you don't really want to hear from anyone!  
Wayne Livick

---

Communication ID: 12759

Date Received: 8/17/2010

Name: PERRY J CALABRESE

I was just told by a BPA contractor that route #2 above Hazel Dell Road should NOT be used for the 500kv line due to unstable soil. There are lines in place (wood and metal towers) at both ends of the hillside that show signs of decay and crumbling soil. DO NOT USE THIS ROUTE as you will be placing people's homes in danger of sliding. The hillside above Hazel Dell Road and Trout Lake Estates are in a documented RED SLIDE ZONE. DO NOT MODIFY THE FOLIAGE, TREES, HILLSIDE, or any of the ecosystem in anyway for risk of catastrophic slide that will put people and property in harms way.

---

Communication ID: 12760

Date Received: 8/17/2010

Name: BARBARA EIGNER

This Barbara Eigner, calling with reference to Kwik Center LLC. I received the refinement letter concerning the I-5 corridor reinforcement map, I don't have internet. The purpose of this call is I would like you to clarify the property owned by Kwik Center LLC. According to my map reading skills I believe that segment 29 leads to the possibility of Bonneville Power going over our property up on Dole Ridge. However on map page 93, that aerial photo, I own property along Cedar Creek, this is a little harder for me to figure out because portions of the segment 28 and 30 have been eliminated and I don't know which portions, and I can't tell by looking at map page 93 whether or not our property is shown on map page 93. But I can't tell whether it falls within the portions that were eliminated. Please call me back [phone number] so I can clarify whether or not I need to continue further on this

---

Communication ID: 12761

Date Received: 7/22/2010

Name: ALY , ANONYMOUS , ANONYMOUS , BORRIN , CARL , CAROL , DAVID , DONNA , EDWIN , EILEEN , GEORGE , JOHN , JOHN , JULIE , KATHLEEN , LILLY , MARINA , MERISSA , PAUL , RICHARD , SHAUN , VIOLETTA , (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), (ILL) (ILL), BRENDA (ILL), BRUCE (ILL), C (ILL), CHARLENE (ILL), DONALD S (ILL), G (ILL)

(ILL), J (ILL) (ILL), JOANN (ILL), KATHLEEN (ILL), KI (ILL), MIKE (ILL), RITA (ILL), SHEILA (ILL), T (ILL) (ILL), TINA (ILL), WILLIAM (ILL), LINDA ?, SHEENA ?, ALEXIS A, KATHLEEN M ABRAMSON, STEPHAN ABRAMSON, CATHY ABSHIRE, LAUREL ACHAUTY, ELIZABETH ACKERMAN, VIRGINIA ADAM, KELLY ADAMS, NANCY AGURE, LIDIA AILINCHI, JENNIFER ANAST, JENS ANDERSEN, JULIE ANDERSEN, KEITH ANDERSEN, BECKY ANDERSON, JACKIE ANDERSON, JOHN ANDERSON, KARI ANDRELL, ANONYMOUS, DENISE ANONYMOUS, HEATHER ANONYMOUS, INNA ANTONYUK, JULIO ARMEITZ, MAURISA ARRURLA, KATHLEEN ARSENAULT, ROBERT ARSENAULT, EMILEE ASKMAN, DEBRA ASTETE, PETE ATKINSEN, MARK AUGA, EMILY BACHTEL, IAN BACHTEL, WILL BACON, DARRELL BADERTSCHER, JOAN BADERTSCHER, BRENT BAFUS, REBECCA BAFUS, DEBBY BAILEN, LEW BAILEN, CAROL BAILEY, AMY BAKER, NANCY BAKER, JOHN BARKER, ROXANNE BASH, MATTHEW BASHAW, MELISSA BASHAW, ROBERT BATES, VERONICA BATES, CRYSTAL BAUER, KAREN BAXTER, BROOK BAYS, JAY BAYS, DONALD BEAH, SHERRY BEATTIE, BEN BEATY, JOE BEATY, MICHAEL BEATY, MARY ANN BECK, ANN BELL, BRUCE BELL, LESLIE STEWART BELL, MALANI BELL, LAURA BENITEZ, CAROLYN BENJAMIN, CHRIS BENJAMIN, ALFRED BENNETT, SANDRA BENNETT, TAESHA BENSON, BARBARA BENTLEY, DONALD BENZ, D. BERF, PAULA BERG, BEVERLY BERGMAN, NEIL BERRY, MONTE BERTOLIN, MARIA BIGELOW, ECHO BILL, MICHELLE R BJORK, MICHELE BLACK, PATRICIA L BLACK, TOM BLACK, DONNA BLETH, FRANK BLETH, GREG BOICE, L. BOLAEKR, (ILL) BOND, PAM BOND, MARK BOSHIN, MARGIE BOSLAUGH, LAVINA BOSSERT, BECKY M BOWER, DABID BOWMAN, PENNY BOWMAN, SHERRI BOWMAN, DICK BOWNE, JANICE BOYER, BETH BOYNTON, BRETT BOYNTON, LISSA BOYNTON, STEPHEN BOYNTON, ERA BRADLEY, NANCY BRADY, EMILY BRASIER, JAN BRIDGE, JULIE BRISLAWN, MARK BRISLAWN, AARON BRISTON, LINDA BRISTON, STEVE BRISTON, ANGELA BRITTAI, CARISSA BRITTAI, CARSON BRITTAI, JAMES BRITTAI, LORIELLEN BRITTAI, THONAS BRITTAI, EDWARD BRODHAL, LAURA BROSS, RICK BROSS, ADELE BROWN, JAMES C BROWN, WILLIAM BROWN, ANN BUBB, JODI BUCHANAN, RHONDA BUCK, BILL BUCKEY, DAVID BUFFORD, JUDITH BUFFORD, ADAM BULL, GABRIELA BULWA, JOHN BUNTIN, PHYLLIS BURTON, JANET BUSCEMI, REES BUTTIMER, THOMAS BUTTIMER, JAN C, ROBERT C, ALEX CAGE, CAROLE CAGE, RAYMOND CAGE, RYAN CAGE, SCOTT CAGE, PERRY J CALABRESE, KENNETH CALLEN, SANDRA CALLEN, DIANNE CANOOSE, NIKI CANTRELL, SHEILA CAREY, MATTHEW CARNEY, TODD CARPENTER, KATHY CARRUTHERS, ERICA CASTRO, ED CAUN, CARL CHADUIDE, MARY CHANEY, RICHARD CHANEY, SHAW CHANG, MARK CHARLES, CARTH CHRISTIAN, CHRISTINE CHRISTIAN, KEITH CHRISTOPHERSON, SARAH CHRISTOPHERSON, ALINA CHUKLANOV, ANNA CHUKLANOV, DANIEL CHUKLANOV, DINA CHUKLANOV, EUGENE CHUKLANOV, NIKOLAY CHUKLANOV, MERLE CISNEY, WILLIAM CITTEGWINE, PAUL CLARE, ANN T CLARK, HEATHER CLARK, MICHAEL T CLARK, TERRY CLEMENT, PATRICIA CLEMONS, AL CLEMONS JR, EDWARD COALE, MARTY L COALE, BERT A COFFMAN, LAURA COLE, STEVEN COLE, LEE COLEMAN, LUCIENNE COLEMAN, SHERIDAN COLEMAN, ELLIOT COLLINS, PAMELA COLLINS, TRIANA COLLINS, CAROL

COLLURA, PAUL COLLURA, TUCKEN COMISAR, ELIZABETH CONNALLY, GILLIAN L CONROY, TERRY CONSTANCE, LAURA COOK, MICHAEL COOK, REGINA COOK, GERALD COON, JACLYN COON, NORMA CORDY, THERESA CORNETT, JOE COSTANZA, GAY COTTON, GUTTE COTTON, MELVIN COUGHLIN, WENDY COUGHLIN, SARAH COWGILL, MARY COX, KATIE CRAIG, JENNIFER L CRAWFORD, JESSICA CRAWFORD, PAT CREKS, DOUG CROUCH, CASIE CROWELL, TREVOR CROWELL, JIM CROWSON, SHARON CROWSON, SHARON CULBERTSON, ROYAL CUMMINS, DENISE CURRY, CLYDE CYKE, RITA CYKE, STEVE DAIL, DALEN, DESIREE DAMICO, GREG DAMICO, CHELSEY DANIELS, PRISCILA DARAKJIAN, TERESA DARLAIN, TODD DAVID, TYRUKÉ DAVIS, KATHERINE DEERFIELD, DARLENE DEERING, MIKEL DEGAGNE, RAY DEHLER, MICHAEL DEJONG, NACOLE DEJONG, TROY DELLES, DAN DEMPSEY, MARK DENNY, DOUGLAS DENTON, JANET DENTON, DARON DEONIES, JIM DERRY, JULIA DERRY, SHANNON DEVITO, CJ DI PIETRO, MARIA DI PIETRO, JEANNIE DIPIETRA, TAMMY DIXON, VICKY DOMBEK, DONALL, IRWIN DOUGHERTY, ROSE MARIE DOWNING, WES DOWNING, JAN DOWNS, JIM DOWNS, WILLIAM DROREY, RANDY DUBOFF, AMY DUNFORD, SCOTT DUNFORD, BRIDGET DUNHAM, KENNETH DURCK, (ILL) E (ILL), ALAN EARL, STEVEN EASON, GEORGE EATON, LINDA EATON, BRAD EDGERTON, JANICE EDGERTON, JONATHAN EDGERTON, KEN L EDWARDS, LINDA EDWARDS, RALPH EDWARDS, BARBARA EGBERT, RACHEL EGGERTSEN, SHANE EICHSTADT, JOHN ELKIN, BRIGETTE EMERV, KEN ENGLISH, COLLEEN ENGSTROM, JUDY ENGSTROM, JAMES C ENGSTROM JR, CALVIN ERICKSON, LILA ERICKSON, SHARON ERICKSON, IRENE ESLUND, DON EVANS, MARGARET EVANS, YVONNE EVANS, STEVE EVENETT, WLAY F, KEITH FARRELL, SIHEIK FARRELL, RICK FEASE, STEPHANIE FECHT, BRENT FERNANDEZ, FLOR FERNANDEZ, LAURA FERNANDEZ, ELDEN FERRIS, MARGIE FERRIS, AMY FIELDS, JENNIFER FILIPCZAK, KRISSY FINDLAY, DOTTIE FINLEY, SUE FIRMAN, ABIGAIL FISCHER, ERIC FISCHER, NICOLE FISCHER, BRIAN FISHER, LISA JO FISHER, KRISTIN FLEISCHAUER, MARK A FLEISCHAUER, MATTHEW FLEISCHAUER, MICHELE FLEISCHMAN, LISA FLERCHINGER, ANDREW FLOWERS, SUSAN FORD, COLLEEN FOSTER, JEANNE FOSTER, GLEN R FOWLER, HEIDI FRANICH-UNTZ, ANN R FRANKEL, ED FRANKEL, DEANNA FRASIER, RICKY FRASIER, KAREN FREE, RANDALL FREE, RICHARD FREEDING, LARRY FRIESEN, TED FROEBER, JAMES FROHLICH, MARY FROHLICH, RHONDA FROST, WILLIAM FROST, ANDREW FUERSTENBERG, AUSTYN FUERSTENBERG, JOSEPH FUERSTENBERG, JULIE FUERSTENBERG, SUSAN FULMER, BRAD GABLE, KATE GABLE, LYNNE GALBALLY, DEBRA GALE, JOHN GALLUCCI, PAULA GALLUCCI, HARRY GAMBLE, TERRI GAMBLE, TIM GATY, HEIDI GAYLOR, JESSICA GEFFIN, GERY GEHRMANN, DIANE GELORMINO, HEIDI GHAY, JOANNA GIACOMAZZI, AARON GIBSON, GORDON GILFILIAN, DAVID GILROY, R. GLANK, DAVID GLASS, ELANA D GLASS, GRAHAM GLASS, JUDY GLASS, LESLIE GONEAU, JOE GONZALES, CONNIE GOOCH, JAMES GOODIN, RACHEL GORMAN, DELORES GOSH, MITCH GRAGG, WALT GRAGG, ELIZABETH GRAUER, JAMES GRAVEU, BRUCE GREENBERG, KARLY GREENBERG, LINDSAY GREENBERG, BRUCE GREER, MARIE GREY, MARIE GRIFFEY, KAMALA GRIFFITH, JOSH GROBALL, JESSE GROBELL, JAMES GROSS, LEON GROVER, GARY W GRUMLEY, COURTLEIGH GUERCI, JAN M GUERCI, THOMAS GWOREK, ELLEN GYBERG, BARBARA H, BRUCE A HAACK, KENNETH HADLEY, RON HAGELGANZ, KEN HAHN, MICHELLE HALFLULL, WILLIAM HALKER, DONALD HALL, HELEN HALL, JANE HALL, RONALD HALL, GERALD HAMMAN, MIRIAM HAMMER, PATRICIA HAMMILL, MITCHELL R HAMMITT, GARETH HAMMON, MARGUERITTE HAMTING, DEWEY HANEY, BILL HANLEY, HILDE HANLEY, MARK HANLEY, MARGARET HANXLEY, AMOREENA HAPKE, KATE HARKER, JAMES A HARO, MARIAN HARO, REX HAROLD, MELIRATT HARONA, BILL C HARPOLE, PHILIPPINA HARPOLE, JAMES HARRIS, LOU M HARRIS, MARY J HARRIS, TIM HARRIS, VICKY HARRIS, JOHN HARSHBORGER, R. HART, BRANT HARWOOD, DEBORAH HARWOOD, APRIL HATFIELD, JOHN HATFIELD, BETTY HAUSER, CHRISTA



HAVEN, ANONYMOUS HAWKES, WILLIAM HAWKES, ANN M HAY, CRAIG HAY, JOHN HAYENGA, KATHLEEN HAYENGA, SUE HAYLER, JACK HEAD, ROSE HEAD, KAREN HEATER, BRUCE HEGNA, KRISTI HEICK, LOWELL HEIL, JEFF HEINRICHS, BARBARA HENARIE, DENISE HERBERT, GENE HERING, JENNIFER HERMES, LINDSAY HERNANDEZ, VERONICA HERNANDEZ, GREGG HERRINGTON, LINDA HERRINGTON, ELISE HESSELTILE, LOU HESSMAN, BECKY HIBBS, MARION B HICKLE, CARL HIGGINS, CLIFFORD HIGGINS, DALE E HILLMAN, ERIN HILLMAN, LISA HILLMAN, (ILL) HILTON, POKSIL HIMRICH, RICK HIMRICH, KATHY HLADKY, NADINE HOBSON, ROGER HOBSON, BELL HOGAN, CINDI HOGAN, DELL HOGAN, KERRI HOLDEN, JENNIFER HOLLARD, TRAVIS HOLLARD, DON HOLLIDAY, STEVE HOLMAN, BRAD HOLTEN, AMY HOOK, ELENA HOPPER, PEGGY HOUSE, DOOP HOUSED, JASON HOUSER, VIENNA HOWARD, CERI HOWELL, LESA HOWELL, NICOLE HOWELL, GRETCHEN HOY, JIM HRELL, TONIA HRELLA, JIM HUBBARD, PATTI HUBBARD, MICHELE C HUFFMAN, BOB HUFSCHEMID, LYNN HUFSCHEMID, ANDRA HUGHES, MARISSA HUGHES, TOM HUGHES, BARB HULTON, SHENA HUNTINER, DIANA HUNTSINGER, SHEILA HUSTON, KEN HUTCHENS, SHARON HUTCHENS, LORI HUTCHISON, MARGARET HUTCHISON, SCOTT HUTCHISON, SHIRLEY HUYETTE, JUDITH IBBS, EMEKA IKENZE, MISTY ILAC, DAVID INMAN, MONIKA ITZEN, MELISSA J, GREGORY JACKSON, JUDY JACKSON, RHEA JACOBSON, MADISON JAMES, PAMELA JAMES, FRANCIE JAYLIR, JAMMIE JAYLIR, BETH JENNER, DULCEY JENNISON-BUSTILLOS, TIM JENSEN, KEITH JOHNS, ANDREW JOHNSON, BILLIE JOHNSON, BLAINE JOHNSON, KAROLYN JOHNSON, KRISTY L JOHNSON, LISA JOHNSON, MARY JOHNSON, MICHAEL JOHNSON, MINDY JOHNSON, RICHARD JOHNSON, RICK JOHNSON, ANGELA JOHNSTON, JAMES JOHNSTON, JAY JOHNSTON, LEROY JOHNSTON, LYNN JOHNSTON, MARY JOHNSTON, ROBYN JOHNSTON, SHELIA JOHNSTON, FRANCES JOLER, ROBERT JOLY, JANE JONES, TONI M JORDAN, DON JUDY, PENNY JUNE, SLOIANNA K, H (ILL) K (ILL), JEANET K (ILL), KATIE KADLEC, TIM KADLEC, PAT KAGI, ROBIN KAGI, R J KALETA, CONNIE KAY, KEITH, MELITTA KELLE, MELETTE KELLER, JEANNETTE KELLEY, SUZANNE KELLY, JOHN E KENCK, LISA KENNISON, SHARON KENOSKI, DAY KERIKAIID, BEVERLY KERRAS, JANET KESLER, ANN KIELY, MELISSA KIMBALL, MILLIE KIMERY, JACK KIMMINS, JERALD KING, JESSICA KING, STEPHANIE KING, GEORGE H KINSEY, LAURIE KINSEY, GARY KIRBY, LISA KIRBY, KRISTINA KISILITSA, BRANDI KITTERMAN, TIFFANY KLAGER, BARBARA KLAUSMAN, EMMA KLEEFSTRA, ANNA KLEIN, WALTER KLEISE, BRIAN KLINE, VERONICA KLINE, DANNY KLINGMAN, JEFF KNAPP, LINDA KNAPP, STUART KNIGHT, SUZANNE KNOWLES, LOUANN KOEHLER, ANNE KOGY, CARL KOGY, E KOGY, NATALY KOLAMIYETS, VADIM KOLAMIYETS, PENNY KONNIERKO, ROBERT S KORELL, DON KREBS, MAXINE KREBS, KERT KRISSE, ZANE KRUEGER, VIRGINIA KRUIZHKOVA, RANJIT KURUP, REBECCA KUSSMAN, STEVE KUYATT, NATHAN KUZMA, TRACI KUZMA, GUAN KWEE, DOREEN KYLER, CYNTHIA L, DENISE LA LANDE, GWEN M LAKEY, JULIA LAMB, MICKEY LANE, LORI LARSON, PERRY LARSON, JIM LASSANSKE, PAT LASSANSKE, BETTY LAUCH, PAUL LAUCH, TIM LAW (ILL), TODD LEAVITT, JOSHUA LECORN II, CHUCK LEDERER, LISA LEDERER, AARON LEE, MELISSA LEE, MARK LEED, COLLINS LEFORE, PAMELA LELAND, DONALD LEONARD, SHARON LEONARD, JANET LEOPARD, EDWIN LEUNG, BRAD LEVERENZ, RISA LEVERENZ, BOB LEVIN, DAVE LEVINE, KEITH A LEY, NAO LEY, PHYLLIS LIGHTNER, ROBERT LIGHTNER, APRIL LINDEN, MARTI LINDHOLM, MATTHEW LINDSAY, NATHALIE LINDSAY, JOSHUA LINGO, KATHLEEN LINGO, KATIE LINGO, LORI LITTERAL, MICHAEL LITTERAL, SHANE LLOYD, DOWERTHY LOCORN, CAROYL N LOFT, KATE LOFTESNESS, RANDY LOFTESNESS, SUSAN LOFTESNESS, TRAVIS LONDON, COURTNEY LONG, JAN S LONG, JANET LONG, KACIE LONG, KENNETH LONG, MICHAEL LONG, BART LORI, ANGELA LOWE, G SCOTT LOWE, PAT LOWE, SUSAN LOWE, SHANNON LUCAS, KIMM LUIM, ANDREW LUNDGREN, ELLE LUNDGREN, MICHAEL LUNDGREN, PATTI LUNDGREN, RICHARD LYNAM, THERESA LYNAM, JAN LYNCH, LYNNETTE LYNCH, PAM LYONS, TOM

LYONS, STEPHANIE M, MARY MABOY, FRANCIS MADORE, MAURICE MAGDEL, JESSICA MAHER, MATT MAHER, REBECCA MALEM, MARILYN MANCHESTER, MIKE MANCHESTER, LILLIAN MANCINELLI, IVAN MANCINELLI-FRANCONI, GEORGE MANESSIOTIS, JANE MANESSIOTIS, SCOTT MANN, ADENA MANZER, LANETTE MARCACCI, DEE MARS, ANNETTE MARTIN, DICK MARTIN, JOHN MARTIN, GINA MARTINEZ, VICKY MARTINI, DAVID W MASON, VERLEE MASON, PAMELA MASSON, TOM MASSON, PATTY MATSON, PHYLLIS MATSON, ROBERT L MATSON, RACHEL MATTSON, PERRY MAUPIN, THELMA MAUPIN, NANCY MAXWELL, TERRY MAXWELL, ROCHELLE MAYER, LARKIN MCBELLAR, BARBARA MCBOYLE, KEITH MCCARTHY, CHRISTINE MCCLURE, DEBRA MCCLURE, KEN MCCOLLUM, COLIN MCCORD, MARILEE MCCORD, TARA MCCORMICK, KELLY MCCOY, CHRISTINE MCDANIEL, PATRICIA MCDONNELL, MAURA MCELROY, LAUREN MCEUIN, JULIE MCFADDEN, LYNN MCGEEIN, COREY MCGUIRE, JAMES S MCGUIRE, RACHEL MCGUIRE, ROBIN MCGUIRE, (ILL 2) MCKEE, (ILL) MCKEE, JENNIFER J MCKEE, MCKENNA MCKEE, PATRICK MCKINSEY, CAREY MCLEAN, JOHN B MCLEOD, LYNDA MCLEOD, GARY MCMERRICK, ROSALIE MCPHERSON, DEEANN MEANS, SCOTT E MEANS, RICHARD MEDCRAFT, J MEELEY, LORRIE MEISNER, KEVIN MEITZ, REBECCA MEITZ, MALDREED MELLER, ASHLEY MELO, PETER MENZA, ANN MERL, DAVID MERL, J MERLINO, SHELLY MERLINO, LINDA MERRICK, LISA MERRICK, RICHARD MERRICK, CARRIE MERRILL, DARLENE MERRILL, MIKE MERRILL, BRUCE MEYER, CARMEN MEYER, MONICA MEYER, ROBERT MEYER, SHAWNA MEYER-RAVELLI, JAY MICHEL, RONNIE MICHEL, REBECCA MIEGER, KATHY MIFFLIN-BRODAHL, SHANNON MILES, TODD MILES, HEATHER MILLAR, CINDI MILLER, GREGORY S. MILLER, LISA A MILLER, MICHAEL MILLER, ZOE MILLER, SUSAN MILLS, ELKE MILTON, PETER MILTON, JESSIE MOHANDESSI, MADILYN MOON, SHARI MOORE, JEAN MOREN, MARGARET MORGAN, CHERYL MORRIS, RHEN MORRIS, KATIE MORRISON, CAROL MORSE, ROGER MORSE, PAUL MORSETH, CAROLINE MOSCHETTI, DOUGLAS MOSCHETTI, MARY KAY MOSKAL, MONA MOYER, WILLIAM E MOYER, SHARON MUILENBERG, GLORIA MUNSTE, SHELLEY MURPHY, JIM MURS, CRISTOFER MUTH, TAMI MUTH, MIKE N, DENNIS NASH, RUTH NASH, CHARLES NAVY, NEAL, CAROLYN NEAL, TAMMI NEBLOCK, ELISE NEEL, EVERLEY NEEL, RICHARD NEEL, ROGER NEILSON, JUDITH NELLIS, KAREN NELSON, KAYE A NELSON, WILLIAM A NELSON, JOHN NEMETH, PEARL NEMETH, MADHAV NERURKAR, RUPA NERURKAR, BILL NEWCOMER, DEBBIE NEWCOMER, REED NEWCOMER, MICHAEL NEWCOMERE, MARY NICHOLS, BOB NICHOLSON, MARY ANN NICHOLSON, TYLER NOIR, ANN NOKES, CHUCK NOKES, DYLAN NUNN, MICHAEL O, SHELLEY O'BRIEN, CURT O'CONNOR, GENE ODLE, GERI ODLE, BONNIE OJA, ALEXANDER OLBRICHT, CATHARINE OLBRICHT, ERIC OLBRICHT, MADELAINE OLBRICHT, DEBRA OLEN, DEAN OLSEN, KATHY OLSEN, PAULIE ONKEN, RICH ORCUTT, WENDY ORCUTT, MARIA ORENDARFF, BARBARA OROZEO, CRAIG F ORPUT, LAVON ORPUT, EDWARD ORR, TINA ORR, CHARLES OSBORNE, RACHEL OTTERBACH, DAWN PACK, HELENA PACKELL, MARK PACKELL, JENNIFER PACKER, MIKE PACKER, LINDA PAGE, DOUG PALIN SR, GWEN PALINE, LES PALMER, PHYLLIS PAMLOW, BRENDA PANNELL, CAROLE PARKER, GERALD PARRIENES, L JO PARRIENES, CYNTHIA PARRIES, CHRIS PAULSEN, ABBIE PAYLOZ, RANDALL D PEARL, BRITTANY J PEDERSEN, JON K PEDERSEN, KATHY PEDERSEN, ROBERT PELLYMOUNTER, ALMA PENCE, GARY PENCE, WALT PENCE, JAMES PERRY, SANDRA PERRY, CLIFFORD PERU, DEBRA PERU, MICHAEL PERVERE, SUSAN PERVERE, ALYSSA PETER, JANICE PETERS, LARRY PETERS, BOBBI PETERSEN, JEFF PETERSEN, JESSICA PETERSEN, AMY PETERSON, JENNIFER PFANNES, DIANNE PHILLIPS, K A PHYFFER, MARCIA PHYFFER, JODI PINK, SUSAN PITCHFORD, HARRY POLITOVICH, IRENE POLITOVICH, CARLOS PONT, ANNE M POOLE, DEBBY POTTER, JIM POTTER, GAIL PRAGER, DENIELLE PRATOUSSY, GABRIEL PRATOUSSY, ROBERT PRATOUSSY, JOE PRATT, PAULINE PRATT, DEBRA PRENTICE-THORNLEY, ED

PREZKOF, KAREN PRICE, PATRICIA PRICE, RON PRICE, RUSS PRICE, RUSSELL PRICE, MICHELL PRIOR, TAHIRA PROBST, BARBARA PROVOLT, MARK PROVOLT, JOANNE PRZYGODA, CHERYL M PUCHRIK, SARA PURSLEY, JOEL RAFFEN, STACY RAFFEN, JEFF RAILSBACK, JENNIFER RAMSAY, MARK RANKIN, BRETT RASMUSSEN, HOLLY RASMUSSEN, KRISTIN RATLIFF, JIM RAVELLI, PAULA RAYA, ROBERT RAYA, JUDY RHOADS, TALYN RICE, CLINTON RILEY, CHRISTINA RIORDAN, MARY KAY RIPPE, RODNEY RIPPE, G JERRY RIVER, DANIEL ROBERTS, MICHAEL ROBERTS, BETSY ROGERS, CHRISTOPHER ROGERS, LEAH ROGERS, TODINA ROOS, SHIRLENE ROPER, DEVI ROSEBORO-CASAS, ROSEOLD, CYNTHIA ROSS, JON ROTH, LAURA ROTH, MARIA ROY, MIKE ROY, SANDRA RUFF, THOMAS RUHSAM, REGINA RULE, ALAN RUST, GREG RYAN, KATHY RYAN, ROY RYATT, DAVE S, LAURA S, RAYMOND SABA, JULIE SAKSHAY, ELIZABETH SALERNO, JOHN SAMMMS, AARON SAMRO, DAVID SANCHEZ, LAURIE SANCHEZ, LORI SANDERS, JAN SANDERSON, JIM SANDERSON, JANICE SANTOLUCETO, FRED SANTOLUCITO, ANTHONY SANTONIFO, SAMANTHA SANTONIFO, (ILL) SARAIVA, CHRISTEE SARANVA, ERNA SARASOHN, LESTER SARASOHN, MELINDA G SARLEY, DAVID SCHAAF, MARLA SCHAAF, TERRY SCHAEFFER, MARLA SCHAIF, EUGEN SCHANG, OHI SCHANG, HELGA SCHIEBER, KRISTINA SCHILTZ, TERESA SCHLEICH, DOUG SCHLEREL, ANONYMOUS SCHLESSINGER, LOUISETTE SCHLESSINGER, CHRISTINA SCHLUTER, WENDY SCHMALTZ, MICHAEL SCHMITT, EVELYN SCHNOEBELEN, YARO SCHNOEBELEN, JULYN SCHROEDER, KATHY SCHROEDER, ARON SCHUR, J SCOTT, PHYLLIS SCOTT, MARY SEALS, CHRIS SEAMENS, JOHN SEAMENS, SUSAN SEAMENS, WAI SEE, DIANA SELFRIDGE, JIM SELFRIDGE, ANNETTE SELLS, CAROLYN SHEPHERD, MICHAEL SHEPHERD, MICHELLE SHIFFLETTE, ESTHER SHORT, BILL SHRUM, LINDA SHULL, CHRIS SICILIA, CAROL SILVA, SHIRLEY SILVA, CATHERINE SIMMONS, CATHI SIMMONS, DENIS SIMSON, TINA SIMSON, LISA SKAADEN, CAREY SMITH, DEBBY SMITH, JAN SMITH, JASON SMITH, JERRINE SMITH, LEUNU SMITH, PAT MORRISON SMITH, STACI SMITH, DONNA SMITLEY, RICHARD SMITLEY, MICHELLE SNAFF, CHERYL SNODGRASS, JEAN SOCOLOFSKY, W. DAVID SOCOLOFSKY, THERESA SOHFT, CHRISTOPHER SOUTH, MARGARET SOUTH, DAVID SPENCER, KATHLEEN SPENCER, STANLEY SPENCER, LIND L SPILAR, PAMELA SPINNER, BILL SPRAGUE, ELSIE SPRAGUE, LARRY SPREUBEL, ANNETTE SPREUKEL, REBECCA STALCUP, KARLYN STANKYE, RON STANKYE, MARGARET STAPENHORST, ANITA STARK, BRENDA STEANDLIGER, BILL STEARNS, CHERYL STEARNS, JASE STEFANSKI, JEANNIE STEFANSKI, CONNIE STEFFEN, MICK STEFFEN, SANDRA STEPHENSEN, LYNN STIGLICH, CHARLES STINGER, KARA STIRLING, ROBERT STIRLING, (ILL) STOKES, ALFRED STOKES, DAVID STOLLER, ELLA STREBIG, GRETTA STREBIG, PAUL STREBIG, SARAH STREBIG, MARY STREHLOW, LUANNE STRICKER, JEAUANNE STRITZEL-STEPHENS, ANDREW STROMBERG, KIMBERLY STROMBERG, THEA STUMM, TAMMY SUBEA, KENNETH SUNDE, TERRI SUNDE, DOROTHY SVOREN, AARON SWENNINGSON, ERIK SWENNINGSON, FRAN SWENNINGSON, MICHAEL SYRON, GARETT T, KELLY T, BEV TAFOLLA, BOB TAFOLLA, BAILY TANGEMAN, FREEDOM TANGEMAN, JOZIE TANGEMAN, KYLIE RAE TANGEMAN, TIMOTHY TANGEMAN, TYLER TANGEMAN, ALEX TERRY, MIKE TERRY, STEPHANIE TERRY, TASHA TERWILLEGER, ANNA THEOBALD, BETTE THOMAS, ELAINE THOMPSON, JESSIE THOMPSON, MICHELLE THORN, DAVID TINKER, KARI TINKER, NORMA J TIPTON, JULLI TKACHENKO, KETIE TODD, LISA TODD, DAVID TOMMEVDAHL, SHELLI TOMPKINS, DONNA TORRES, LARRY TORRES, ANITA TOTMAN, HENRY TREADAWAY, JODI TRIPP, JENNIFER L TUCKER, TERRY TURNER, MEL TURNKOLI, LLOYD TUTTLE, RAFAELA TUTTLE, TYLER, DENISE UHDE-FRIESEN, NATALIE ULFORD, BETTY JO UNDAHL, JERRY UPLINGER, DEANN UYEMATH, ROSA V, CLAUDIA VAIL-GOSS, SAM VATOZE, DOUG G VAUGHAN, LOLA VAUGHN, MARY ANN S VELEZ, DAWN VENA, DONALD VENA, KRISTY VICK, LEE VICK, RICHARD VIGIL, DEEYA VOGHT, MICHAEL VOGHT, JENNY VRTISKY, JOE VRTISKY, KURT W, M VAN

WAGNER, LORI WALSWICK, TIM WALSWICK, RONALD WANGER, SAYLE WARD, TREY WARD, ELKE WARREN, ED WASSMER, LEANNE WATSON, MARSHALL WATSON, MARY JANE WATSON, SAMMY WATTS, ZACH WATTS, LISA WEAVER, SCOTT WEAVER, LISA WEBB, LISA WEBER, SHERI WEBER, CHRISTOPHER I WEINGARTNER, JEFF WEITZEL, ERMIYAS WELDU, JOHN WELINSKI, KAREN R WELINSKI, ROSS WELLBAINS, JOHN WELLS, MICHELLE WESSELEE, BRIGHTON WEST, GAYLE L WEST-GRUMLEY, LYNN WESTCOH, ELIZABETH WHITMAN, JACOB WHITMAN, RICK WHITMAN, KAREN WIBLE, MATT WILLIAMS, FREDERICK WILLIAMSON, RON WILSON, RONALD WILTSEY, CAROL WISEMAN, TODD WISEMAN, HAROLD WITTERS, JO ANN WOHLERS, YVONNE WOLD, BRIAN WOLF, AMANDA WOMACK, GEORGE P WOOD, PAULETTE WOOD, SUSAN WOODRUM, KIMBERLY WOODS, KELLI WREN, TERRY WREN, BARBARA WRIGHT, BETTY WRIGHT, DAVID WRIGHT, EARL WRIGHT, GARY WRIGHT, BRIAN WUNDER, JAMES WUNDER, JEFF WUNDER, LISA WUNDER, MYRTLE YEE, LIZA YHALLE, ARLENE YINGER, JOHN YINGER, AMY YINGLING, AMANDA YOUM, CATHY YOUNG, DONALD E YOUNG, DR SHARON YOUNG, ERLA YOUNG, PENNY YOUNG, JOHN ZEDELLA, VICTORIA ZEDELLA, CHRISTINE ZEIGH, ROBERT ZIEBELL, STEPHANIE ZIEBELL, BRUCE ZIEGMAN,

500 KV TRANSMISSION LINE

#### PETITION

We are asking Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) to remove from consideration all segments from Bonneville Power Authority I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project as outlined in their study map, and consider only segments that are easternmost beyond segments 11 and 29. The new segment would be primarily on public lands, away from private property and urban and rural populated areas. The issues that we consider most urgent are:

**Economic Burdens and Visual Impact:** The proposed corridor will significantly devalue our homes and property. Expected loss of property value has been estimated up to \$100,000 for many homes, according to real estate agents we have contacted. Residents living 75 feet from the centerline will have 150-foot towers looming over their homes. We have major concerns about the feasibility to sell our homes at all if the proposed towers are within 75 feet from our property lines. We have been told that BPA does not include decreased property values as a "cost" when comparing alternative routes. However, this is a very real cost to individual homeowners. The collective financial impact on individual homeowners is an impact we want BPA to include in their analysis.

**Health Effects from Electric Magnetic Fields:** There are a number of scientific studies on electric magnetic fields (EMF) and health that suggest a link between long term EMF exposure and cancer and neurodegenerative diseases in adults; including studies that are documented in the Electrical and Biological

**Effects of Transmission Lines A Review.** This is a review that is authored by Jack M. Lee Jr. PhD and assisted by the BPA's own Biological Task Team. Based on the available data, there is no conclusive evidence that long term exposure to high voltage transmission lines does NOT affect tissue at a cellular level resulting in cancer (in particular leukemia, brain and breast cancer), Alzheimer's disease and Lou Gehrig's disease. In fact, the link between long term EMF exposure, cancer and other diseases is still

being studied and is at this time "inconclusive" at best. Thus we, the citizens of Clark County do NOT want to be exposed to the electrical magnetic field of a 500kV transmission line and be the "guinea pigs" to this on-going debate.

An article as recent as February 4,2010 quoted David Carpenter, a medical doctor and Director of the Institute for Health and the Environment at University at Albany, SUNY as saying" I believe that the health risks posed by long-term exposure to magnetic fields are serious, especially to children and fetuses. There is definitive scientific evidence that exposure to magnetic fields from power lines greater than 4 milligauss is associated with an elevated risk of childhood leukemia ....Scientific evidence also links magnetic field exposure to cancer in adults as well, particularly leukemia and brain cancer. There is strong evidence that lifetime exposure to magnetic fields above 2 milligauss is associated with an increased risk of neurodegenerative diseases in adults, Including Alzheimer's disease and Lou Gehrig's disease."

Noise: Clearly audible noise is emitted from 500 KV power lines. This noise increases on wet days and decreases with distance from the lines, but it will be a disturbing background noise for residents living near the proposed towers.

Respectfully submitted by the landowners, business, concerned individuals, and groups or entities who wish to PROTECT environmental quality and resources, health of our children and community, private property rights, property value, economic growth, business and industry, aesthetic beauty, and quality of life for ourselves and every generation that has the right to a clean, healthy, and safe environment in Southwest Washington.

---

Communication ID: 12762

Date Received: 5/27/2010

Name: MARY M BURCH

Thursday, May 27, 2010

Editor, The Columbian

Re: BPA's Proposed I-5 Corridor 500-Kilovolt Transmission Line

This has been an interesting year for BP companies. First British Petroleum poisons the Gulf of Mexico and turns it into the Dead Sea. And, now the BPA is asking the adjoining property owners, along their cross county power lines, to accept the prospect of a future being poisoned by electrical leakage from their high powered, mega wattage, gargantuan power lines.

Who benefits from this? Certainly not we home owners along this line. We stand to lose our health, half or more of the value of our homes, which in many cases is the only substantial asset any of us has, and, quite likely, our only gain is the chance to view this ascetically challenging eyesore.

Why should this electrical marvel be subsidized at our expense? Why should the citizens of Oregon and California get their future electrical needs furnished by our losses? Why should all of you BPA employees, your "public management" hirelings and your Washington lobbyist's enjoy great bonuses with the profits you will be reaping from the sale of this electricity?

If we lose our health because of this "electrical leakage "we have to hire attorneys to plead our cases. You, on the other hand, can use your in-house legal staff to rebut our views and your costs get paid for by the public. Is there any fairness here? I think not. You have an alternate route through uninhabited land, take it and leave us alone.

Sincerely yours,

Mary M. Burch  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12763

Date Received: 5/28/2010

Name: DONALD E YOUNG,DR SHARON YOUNG

May 26,2010

Mr. Larry Bekkendahl

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Bekkendahl:

We are writing to you about the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that the Bonneville Power Administration is developing in Clark and Cowlitz Counties. We are strongly opposed to 500 kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

Building these high voltage transmission towers will have huge negative impacts on our local community; including aesthetics, environmental, economic, and possibly health related. Our property borders a BPA right-of-way and we will be directly affected by these towers and high voltage lines. We plan to live in our current home long term but are concerned about the unknown health effects these 500 kv lines pose. Also, there appears to be no concrete data on how much loss in property value we would suffer if the towers were built behind our house, but there seems to be a unanimous consensus that property values would decline. If we wanted to sell, we may not find willing buyers or have to sell at a substantially reduced price. This economic loss we might not be able to afford.

We urge you to not irreparably damage our community by placing these towers along the I-5 corridor when alternatives are available.

Thank you for consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Donald Young  
Dr. Sharon Young  
CC: Governor Christine Gregoire  
Senator Patty Murray  
Senator Maria Cantwell

---

Communication ID: 12764

Date Received: 5/25/2010

Name: JOSHUA LINGO,KATHLEEN LINGO

Mr. Larry Bekkendahl  
Vice President, Engineering & Technical Services  
Mr. Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator & CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR. 97208-3621

Dear Sirs,

This letter is in regard to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am generally not one to protest. However, I have the utmost concern about this project and I am convinced it is important for my family and community that I voice my opinion about the harm these 500kv transmission lines could have on the individuals living in SW Washington. It is my hope that Bonneville Power Administration will work with the community and consider more appropriate routes; ones that are located in unpopulated areas away from schools, hospitals and vibrant residential communities.

I am a homeowner living in the path of the proposed transmission line route. I have two young children whose health and safety are of the utmost importance to me. I have been to several meetings in which employees of BPA have stated that there is no evidence that supports the idea that electromagnetic fields (EMF) can cause harm to individuals. This is a misrepresentation of the facts because there are several studies that demonstrate electromagnetic fields are in fact related to various health conditions including: high blood pressure, cancer, migraine headaches, fibromyalgia, asthma, ADHD, and brain tumors. Dr. Samuel Milham, a retired epidemiologist, is just one of the many in his field of research who agrees that there is a the link between electromagnetic radiation emitted from power lines and cancer.

Even if there was hard evidence to support BPA's opinion of the effects of EMF (which there is not), there is a very negative perception about this colorless, odorless substance and there is no amount of propaganda that will change the minds of the many people affected by these power lines. I know that putting these power lines in a different area or even underground will cost BPA more money. However, I feel that doing just that, even if it cost more money, is the morally right thing to do. Money can always be recovered, but that is not always the case for the health of our family members. In order to better understand my fears, I ask you to consider this question, "Would you move your own family into a community adjacent to this type of danger"?

The decrease in property values due to the proposed 500kv power lines will also have an adverse affect on the property value of those individuals living near the power lines. We are in such a difficult economic time right now and I do not feel that there is any argument that justifies placing a larger financial burden on the people that live in the pathway of the proposed power lines. As citizens and residents of SW Washington, I believe we have a right to expect integrity from both our corporate and political leaders. We are only asking for a safe solution and I hope that you will act justly and responsibly as an advocate for families such as ours who seek your support.

I know that my husband and I, along with thousands of other individuals of SW

Washington are opposed to the I-5 Corridor Project and hope that BPA will do both the ethical and morally responsible thing by placing these potentially dangerous power lines away from populated areas.

Sincerely,

Kathleen and Joshua Lingo

CC:

Patty Murray  
The Marshall House  
1323 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA. 98661

Maria Cantwell  
Marshall House  
1313 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA. 98661

Christine Gregoire  
Office of the Governor  
416 Sid Snyder Ave. SW, Ste 200  
PO Box 40002  
Olympia, WA. 98504-0002

---



Communication ID: 12765

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: LOUIE BENEDICT

I have received your information about the new location that may cross our property but no exact locations. The corridors are obvious. Is it too early to know exactly where the line/tower placements are in relation to our west property line? This will have a bearing on our thoughts on this and how it affects our property/lives. I see Paul Woolson is the Realty Specialist on this so could you have him contact me at work, [phone number], or my email at [email].

We will no doubt be at the meeting to see what there is to see. Thank you. lb

---

Communication ID: 12766

Date Received: 8/19/2010

Name: A PETERSEN

I am within one of your proposed routes of your power line. We haven't gotten any information or anything. I got my information from a neighbor, none of my other neighbors have gotten anything. I would like a map of everything of the proposed routes so I can better tell how close to my property this is going to come. If you would send that to [address] to A. Petersen. I would appreciate that. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12767

Date Received: 8/18/2010

Name: WARREN KNUTH

August 18, 2010

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 9250

Portland, OR, 97207

RE: Sanitary Sewers within the I-5 Corridor Project

To Whom It May Concern:

We have received your letter of August 2, 2010, soliciting comments on the subject project. The Clark Regional Wastewater District has several sewer lines and facilities in the area of segment 25 of your project. If the project ultimately utilizes this alignment, we request that we be involved in the process so we can coordinate the protection or relocation of our facilities.

Please contact us at this office at your earliest convenience so that we can provide you with maps relevant to the location of these facilities. Thank you for including us in your review of this project. If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at [phone] or email to [email]

Sincerely,

Warren Knuth  
Engineering Supervisor  
Clark Regional Wastewater District

---

Communication ID: 12768

Date Received: 8/19/2010

Name: KEN KRAISLER

Dear Another Way BPA Board,

Thank you for your hard work. I live at [Address], Battle Ground, WA [zip] on Line 30 near where it intersects P. I want to point out some very inscrutable behavior on the part of BPA in regards to the newly added P line and the related adjustments to line 26. Prior to P, line 30 included about 1/4 acre of the Western edge of my 5 acre parcel. Now, it includes the entirety of my property along with a dozen or so neighbors to my West. This means that line 30 now includes the entirety of my sub-division; around 30 homes. About half of these homes were not included in the original notifications. I do not know if they will now be notified, but since the initial public process with the BPA (through NEPA) has been closed, it seems the bulk of my subdivision has been de-facto excluded from the full review process. This line adjustment is more than a "tweak" but constitutes a process that may ultimately condemn people's property without giving them their full voice in the eminent domain NEPA process. This adjustment is not fair, seems illegal and sets a dangerous precedent for the future.

I would like to add that the most recent letter I received from BPA spoke of recreation sites to my East that should be avoided. Well, there are no recreational sites. There's larch mountain correctional facility as well as state and DNR timber land. This seems to be another discrepancy that BPA should explain.

I request this board to look into this matter and comment on it. If this board deems appropriate, I request that this letter with my name and the board's name and the points and arguments I make within it be presented to Mark Korseness and BPA for comment I would ask BPA why line 30 has suddenly and mysteriously shifted Eastwards.

Thank you,

Ken Kraisier  
[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12769

Date Received: 8/11/2010

Name: DENNIS KAMPE

August 6,2010

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing to you in regards to the BPA's I-5 Corridor Transmission Reinforcement Project. I believe that additional 500 kV power lines should not be constructed in Clark and Cowlitz Counties as it will negatively impact property rights and the lives of citizens living in this region. I have researched the issue and have spoken with various homeowners and businesspeople in the area, and it is for the following reasons that I would urge you to add a new route as far east, and as far north as possible.

My primary concern with this project is the impact on citizens of the 18th legislative district and the economic impact it would have on home and business owners in the area. Both home appraisers and real estate professionals have concluded that the material value of homes will depreciate significantly with the construction of additional power lines. Should this development occur, it will negatively impact home sales in the future in neighborhoods surrounding the proposed construction areas. Additionally, families who already live in the area of construction would face a reduction of their net assets-a setback that would be difficult to recover from, especially in this economic climate.

I am also deeply worried about the change in the quality of life that additional power lines would bring. Not only would homeowners need to make the aesthetic adjustment to power lines 70 feet taller than existing ones, the new lines would lead to a much noisier neighborhood with substantially less biodiversity. Current towers are buffered by mature trees, which would be cleared for construction, killing the wildlife habitat that has grown there and leaving a path for noise to move directly through homes in the area. Furthermore, although there is not conclusive information that these 500 kV lines would bring health risks to the area, it is a real possibility. I believe that for the safety and well-being of the individuals that would be affected by this development, it should not proceed in Clark and Cowlitz Counties and surrounding areas.

On April 25th of this year, almost 1,000 citizens met at Prairie High School to stand against this development. With the vocal support of businesses, homeowners and politicians of the region, southwest Washington has made it clear that the construction of additional power lines in this area is not in their best interest. I respectfully ask that the BPA relocate the I-5 Corridor Transmission Reinforcement project to a route that is as far east, and as far north as possible or on a route in Oregon. This decision would reflect the opinions of the region and would provide the best result for both the BPA and southwest Washington.

Best Regards,

Dennis Kampe, Candidate  
State Representative

Communication ID: 12770

Date Received: 8/15/2010

Name: BARBARA CLEMENT

[ADDRESS]

August 15, 2010

Mark Korsness, Project Manager

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

PO Box 9250

Portland, OR 97207

Dear Sir:

I was disappointed when I received your letter dated August 2, 2010 because, though BPA has eliminated a few routes from consideration, many populated areas are still impacted by the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. It's difficult to believe that one of our own governmental agencies would proceed with a project of this nature that would be so financially devastating to its citizens and communities in southwest Washington, especially with our current administration which supposedly is trying to help the low income and middle class citizens facing financial hardships.

Personally, my husband, who is disabled due to a massive stroke, and I are struggling to get by on a limited fixed income. We retired to this area nearly 10 years ago to improve his well being and enjoy the beauty and serenity here. Because my own health is failing, the upkeep of 2 acres and the distance to receive medical care, we may have to move closer to town. Now our assets are literally "frozen" until early 2013 (final EIS) because of this project that we must disclose to potential buyers. Buyers are not willing to risk investing in a property that could depreciate significantly in value if this line goes in. Property values will be severely negatively impacted if the new transmission lines are placed in this area (segment N). This is in addition to an economy that has already reduced our property value by nearly 50%. BPA offers NO compensation for loss of property values. Our home is not paid for and we have already lost a significant amount of our retirement income. We simply cannot afford to lose any more.

With property values declining, our schools and public services (emergency medical service, fire protection, human service, roads, etc.) will be negatively impacted due to the loss of tax revenues. Because these services depend on property taxes for their operating, capital and replacement levies, they may have to cut critical services. If citizens' property values shrink, so does the amount allocated for these services.

This area is a great recreational attraction in all seasons for water sports of all kinds, hunting, hiking, biking, cross country skiing, snowmobiles and fishing. The scenic values and recreational uses of the area would be negatively impacted if the transmission lines go through here and would also affect the local economy with loss of business.

---

This area is habitat for many wildlife and plant species including elk, deer, eagles, osprey and other raptors and includes protected wetland areas. The herbicides used to clear the lands would impact wetlands and our well water. Loss of trees and other foliage could lead to erosion and landslides resulting in other losses.

Why would you consider putting these high voltage lines in populated areas when studies across the globe have shown increased risk of leukemia in children, Lou

Gehrig's disease, breast, lung, brain and skin cancer? Thousands of people who live, work, play, or attend school next to the proposed 500,000 volt transmission lines will be exposed to the EMF. Thousands of students attend schools that are in close proximity to the proposed lines. Other countries and states are taking the health of their citizens seriously (Canada, Austria, Spain, Sweden, Germany, the U.K., Connecticut and Whatcom County in Washington State) and have passed legislation regulating the placement of these transmission lines in populated areas. You must show the same regard of the citizens you serve.

I urge you to remove the populated areas from consideration and continue to look for more appropriate areas for construction of these high voltage power lines. It would be even better to use the taxpayers' resources for new alternative and renewable energy sources. Please do this before 2013 to remove this heavy burden from our shoulders.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours truly,

Barbara J. Clement  
cc: Governor

---

Communication ID: 12771

Date Received: 8/22/2010

Name: JOHN P TUININGA

Kaskillah Road Association [address] August 22, 2010 Bonneville Power Administration I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project PO Box 9250 Portland, OR 97207 Dear BPA: I am writing to express our concern about your proposed Line 30 and P in the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project and the pending decision to acquire Right-of-Way through our subdivision. Our subdivision is located east of Battle Ground and is adjacent to DNR land. Needless to say it is a very pristine area with many view lots of both the Yacolt National Forest and the valley below. The thought of BPA erecting main transmission lines through this area is very alarming to the homeowners. Our subdivision does have covenants and restrictions on file that preclude homeowners from erecting structures that would be a visual impairment to the local vistas. It clearly states the land use restrictions. BPA has arbitrarily shifted lines out of DNR land and located them through some of the most expensive subdivisions in Clark County. The newly affected people have not been allowed any comment in the NEPA process and that is a violation of law for the whole public scoping period and the permitting process that you are trying to circumvent. Furthermore

you are holding hundreds of homeowner's hostage with the proposed right-of-way buffers effecting property values and sales. This would make you liable for damages incurred while you debate where the lines are going to go. The fact of the matter is that nobody up here wants your power lines or substations anywhere near our area, for many reasons. The erection of transmission lines would directly affect home and property values for the worse. Not only is there the health effects but also it can effect household electronics. Nobody wants to hear the ever present hum and snap of high voltage lines adjacent to their house. Scientists have mixed opinions on the effects of EMF and what it can do to people and livestock; however there are cases where it is believed it has had a detrimental effect. I personally know the effects of the power lines because I have worked around them. I am not going out to my truck each morning to get shocked nor have all my household electronics corrupted so you can reinforce the grid for California or Oregon. Our homeowners live here for many of the same reasons, the views, rural surroundings, peace and quiet, and to make a better life for our families to be raised. Your proposed Line 30 and P directly affect our way of life and we will not sit idle while you attempt to jeopardize this. The BPA Line 30 and P proposed Right-of-Way is rather large and it is unclear how it was ascertained. It appears you have other routes you could use to construct transmission lines that would have less impact on people. I thank you for your time and I am looking forward to your response.

Respectfully, John Tuininga President Kaskillah Road Association

---

Communication ID: 12772

Date Received: 8/22/2010

Name: JAMES N COCHRAN

Our organization owns two parcels of land, both without mailing addresses. Therefore, it is difficult to find our property in the map section of your website ([www.bpa.gov/go/i5](http://www.bpa.gov/go/i5)). In addition it is difficult to determine where your proposed line might occur (the color selections are not conclusive). Do you have a tentative route or just a wide area to research? It appears that we may be close to your Segment O. We are not in favor of Segment O crossing our property. I have not returned your PEP form yet as I have not determined if you will be given access.

---

Communication ID: 12773

Date Received: 8/20/2010

Name: ROBERT D LONG, MERLE L MOORE

Dear Secretary Chu,

I am writing to you about the Bonneville Power Administration's I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

The property my husband and I own and live on is in one of the proposed line segments, line 26, in north Clark County. Our community is one of the most beautiful, historical and ecologically significant areas in Clark County. If you haven't visited here, you can't know about the amazing scenery, rare biodiversity, pristine beauty, scenic views, and state history encompassed in this area.

We are proud and protective of the natural beauty of this area. The East Fork of the Lewis River is the only pristine watershed left in Clark County. The county acknowledges that "Water plays a defining role in the natural beauty, heritage, and economy of Clark County. And the way we use our land significantly influences the health of our waterways".

The BPA has admitted they have never run a project like this through an area like this, and they have been very boastful in stating they haven't had to upgrade the transmission lines here in 40 years.

What have they been doing over the last 40 years that they didn't have time to plan a 21st century project, but instead, a business as usual project? How long will it be before they adopt new technology? They are an agency of the Department of Energy, which is where I presumed "green" would be a given.

What will this country look like in 20 years, 40 years, 50, 60, 100 years if 'business as usual' is always the fallback position because one project or another just has to be done right now? I imagine looking down on towers far taller than 15 stories and lines crossing in every conceivable direction, in endless webs across the country, just humming away.

Secretary Chu, taking care of watersheds and nature is vital to our own health and survival. We do not understand the need for this project, in terms of local access to more power, and the BPA has vet to explain that to us. Clark and Cowlitz Counties are using less power now and have been for several years.

It is wrong for the BPA to take our properties, for any reason.

My husband and I, like thousands of other private landowners, are at risk of losing our lifetime investments. We are retired, on a fixed income and cannot risk that happening to us. Many of these lands are multigenerational homesteads, with families who have lived here for well over 100 years.

Thousands of us believe this is a reckless plan. We believe there is a better way.

Respectfully

Robert D. Long and Merle L Moore  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12774

Date Received: 8/20/2010

Name: WES DOWNING, ROSE MARIE DOWNING

Hi Mr. Korsness:

Just wanted to touch bases with you, and reiterate our feelings about the towers. We are more committed than ever to keeping the towers off our property, and having them go in a different direction than over peoples homes. Please get this over and done with.

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12775

Date Received: 8/20/2010

Name: THOMAS ASHFORD

Would you be so kind as to call us back? We need a map of section 15 on the I-5 Corridor reinforcement map since it looks like our neighbor says, it looks like it is going through our property. Section 26, number 15 on the map. We haven't heard anything from BPA, not a letter or anything and I think that is odd. You can call us [phone number]. We are of course anxious to hear from you.

---

Communication ID: 12776

Date Received: 8/21/2010

Name: JESSICA JUNGWORTH

My name is Jessica Jungworth. I wanted to be placed on any mailing list for the BPA project that is happening in the Cowlitz County/Castle Rock region. I'm located in Seattle, Washington and my address is [address]. My father would also like to be added to the list, his name is Mark Jungworth and he is a land owner in Castle Rock, WA but he is currently located in Lynden, WA. Address [address]. Thank you. We are really interested in what's been happening and we are concerned about what's happening with the people in the area.

---

Communication ID: 12777

Date Received: 8/23/2010

Name: LYNN K CHMELIR

Dear BPA: I have looked at the maps and wonder why our house is still within the yellow boundary of a route being considered. We are in an established subdivision with a city water filter directly behind our home. We are very near the west edge of the yellow blob on page 110. (The interactive map points to another place on another 185th Ct--near us but not us.) The yellow blob is quite wide. To our east, there are large stretches of unbuilt property. Why would you even consider putting towers in our neighborhood when they could be located nearby in a rural area? Sincerely, Lynn Chmelir

---

Communication ID: 12778

Date Received: 8/11/2010

Name: ROD NYLUND

Subject: tax parcel [parcel #]



I am interested in seeing exactly where the current proposal for the high powered line will go. I am not excited about it going anywhere near my property. I have a 1mil plus valued home, a BPA line would all but devastate my value. Please send me a link to how my property would be effected...

Sincerely,

Rod Nylund  
[email]

---

Sent 8-17-10

would you compensate home owners for loss of value? I have a 6800 sq ft home on 20 acres with a view...this would devastate my home value?? Your shaded area goes thru my home, barn, and shop???

how would that work?? how wide is the shaded area??

Rod

---

Communication ID: 12779

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: STEVE RAPALUS

9 August 2010

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

P.O. Box 9250

Portland OR 97207

#### COMMENT

I purchased the property at [address] in 1994 as a retirement location. We chose that location to get away from electrical wires and freeways. We built a house there and it is currently rented while we get ready to retire next year.

Your new proposed route "P" passes too close to my property and others where people live. I already have a thyroid problem and don't need more EMF in my environment. In response to citizen and business complaints, you have altered your original plan and moved routes to areas that affect others. Now more meetings and input are needed. Let's use route "O" which affects few, if any, residential areas. Yes, it may be a bit more expensive, but let's not find out down the road that the EMF is in fact a lot more dangerous than we were told.

Sincerely,

Steve Rapalus  
Property Address: [address]

---

Communication ID: 12780

Date Received: 7/25/2010

Name: WILLIAM E MOYER

July 16, 2010

Steve Wright, Administrator

Bonneville Power Administration

P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Subject: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project Comments

Dear Mr. Wright:

I am writing to you regarding BPA's announced intention to add a new eastern route, along predominantly public and forested lands, to those already under consideration for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. It is an important, even a critical, step toward addressing concerns of residents whose property lies adjacent to or near the previously proposed expansion right-of-ways. Thank you.

However, adding another proposed-route to the map to be published in August is not sufficient to undo BPA's unintentional but very real harmful financial and emotional impacts on many innocent county residents. Gratifying as it is to see BPA's implicit agreement with the principle of minimum impact to residents and their properties, as endorsed by Senator Murray, more needs to be done-and sooner rather than later.

Although no properties have as yet been condemned to provide the necessary power line rightof- way expansion, nor has a specific route been chosen by BPA, nor even a final decision been made to proceed with the proposed expansion, adverse financial impacts to adjacent residential property values are not hypothetical. They are already happening. People's lives and investments in their homes are already being damaged.

Public dislike of towering high-tension power lines being erected adjacent to residential properties, neighborhoods, and schools has had and will continue to have negative impacts on people's quality of life. One can argue about the rationality of some people's fear of proximity to power lines. Those fears are nonetheless very real. For some it is a matter of aesthetics: concern over degraded views from looming towers and overhead lines, audible disturbance of

an otherwise quiet ambient by buzzing and snapping power lines, or increased exposure to freeway noise due to removal of sound-buffering vegetation from the edge of an existing rightof-way to accommodate new lines and consequent exposure to previously adequatelyattenuated freeway noise.

For others, it is a question of economics, further erosion of property values already impacted by the recession, and inability to readily sell one's home for a good price when real estate agents shun

previously-desirable neighborhoods and instead show homes in other neighborhoods which do not have potential or actual unwelcome power line right-of-ways running through or adjacent to them. As we have been finding in our neighborhood, it is not just the properties directly adjacent to the right-of-way which are affected, it is the whole neighborhood. In real estate, it's location, location, location. And proximity to intrusive power lines is never a plus.

Logic suggests that deleterious impacts to county residents from the I-5 Corridor Expansion Project can best be minimized by removing from consideration those proposed routes with the greatest aggregate number of residential properties directly adjacent to and within a half mile of the right-of-way. In particular, the proposed use or expansion of the existing right-of-way, comprised of segments 09, 25, 36, 41, 45, and 50 (per the October 2009 I-5 Project Map), should be eliminated from further consideration for the project and should be removed from the forthcoming August 2010 I-5 Project Map. Focusing now and going forward on the easternmost routings which impact the least number of residential properties will best serve county residents and property owners and will make best use of BPA planning resources.

Yours truly,

William E. Moyer  
cc: Sen. Patty Murray  
1323 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA 98661

Sen. Maria Cantwell  
1313 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA 98661

Gov. Christine Gregoire  
P.O. Box 40002  
Olympia, WA 98504-0002

---

Communication ID: 12781

Date Received: 7/29/2010

Name: HAL DENERINK

July 26,2010

Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
905 NE 11 th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232

Dear Mr. Wright:

I am writing regarding the Bonneville Power Administration's proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

As part of the growth in Southwest Washington, Washington State University

Vancouver realizes that there is a continuing increase in the demand for electricity. While we support the need to supply sufficient power at a reasonable cost, WSU Vancouver also shares the concerns of many others about the placement of the enhanced power transmission lines in heavily populated areas.

In locating the proposed power lines, I urge you to consider a route that minimizes the impact on communities and citizens.

H.A. Dengerink, Ph.D.  
Chancellor

---

Communication ID: 12783

Date Received: 6/25/2010

Name: JEANNE FOSTER

June 17,2010

Mr. Stephen J. Wright

CEO / Administrator

Bonneville Power Administration

P. O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright:

RE: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

I am writing this letter out of frustration and disappointment and in hope that you will not make a decision that could ruin many lives in Southwest Washington. Based upon what I have read and heard, The Bonneville Power Administration has proposed and stated the following:

Construct 500kV transmission lines and towers the size of 15-story buildings (150 feet tall and 150 feet wide) along an existing easement (300 feet wide) which runs through heavily populated areas and near schools. The upgrade is necessary due to "increasing congestion on its transmission grid, especially

between Portland and Seattle with population growth and increasing use of summertime air-conditioning and within five or six years BPA will not be able to operate the system reliably."

Using an existing easement would be more cost effective for BPA than going elsewhere (for example, segment No. 25). My Position:

I find this issue to be extremely disturbing. I am appalled to think that the BPA received \$246 million from the Federal Government's stimulus package to build a 500-kilovolt transmission line from Castle Rock to Troutdale and that the BPA is considering construction through heavily populated areas of Clark County, and more than likely next to existing 250-kilovolt lines.

Basically, what BPA is proposing is to take THE PEOPLE'S MONEY (tax revenue; stimulus money) to build something that will jeopardize THE PEOPLE'S HEALTH AND ASSETS. What BPA is considering is in the same league as what British Petroleum has done... put profits above all else including the safety and the well being of American citizens. The BPA claims that the lines are necessary and there will be a shortage of power in our area if these lines are not installed. Amazing! It is my understanding that the largest amount of power used in Washington is during the winter months...not summer. ..when there is less demand on the system, and that a significant amount of the excess power will be sold to California and other areas (28 utilities outside of the region) and not used by Washington. Amazing! A recent article in The Columbian newspaper states that due to heavy rains this year (quite common in these parts) there is a power surplus in the Northwest and that the BPA has been forced to "give away some surplus power."

In support of my request for BPA to route their transmission lines through unpopulated public land, I offer the following viewpoint as sound reasoning behind considering other routes.

#### Health and Safety - EMF

Health effects from electric and magnetic fields (EMF) have been discussed in great detail by BPA and concerned citizens. Renowned epidemiologist and former department head for the state Department of Health, Dr. Samuel Milham, has raised concerns for many years about EMF and the link to leukemia and other cancers in people of all ages and has documented his findings. He further concludes that children are more susceptible than adults to the affects of EMF.

Dr. David Carpenter, Director at the Institute for Health and the Environment, University at Albany, New York, has written that there is strong evidence that "children living near high voltage power lines are at increased risk of developing leukemia, as well as evidence that excessive exposure of adults to magnetic fields from power lines increases risk of both cancer and Alzheimer's Disease."

There are volumes of studies that have been done supporting the potential for EMF causing cancer and other diseases, [the power lines are run through populated areas (route No. 25 for example), not only will the residents of all the homes in the area be affected but also the 41,139 students attending the 95 schools that are near the proposed routes. Numerous communities and states throughout the United States have adopted rules and laws prohibiting power lines near schools.

It is my understanding that the easement with the existing 230kV lines and towers on route 25 is 300 feet wide. With the addition of the 500kV towers and the required distance between any new towers

and the existing towers, many residents could be faced with the 500kV towers or lines actually going over their property lines. This could bring continuous exposure to EMF that would be 10 times the level many scientists believe causes childhood leukemia,

BPA contends that there is no proven link between EMF and cancer. Based upon a presentation given at the public hearing in April, please explain to me why then do BPA employees wear monitors when working in the field? When the monitors indicate a certain amount of EMF exposure, the employee is pulled from the job site. Remember when the government and others stated that tobacco and asbestos were harmless? Should BPA build 150 foot towers carrying lines with 500 kilovolts of electricity right next to the existing 250 kilovolt lines thereby producing 750 kilovolts of juice? I don't think so. Safety wise, if you build the new towers on the current easement (route No. 25), what happens in a natural or manmade (God forbid) disaster whereby the two sets of lines and towers come down? Why put so many eggs in one basket, as the old saying goes?

#### Property Values - Permanently Destroyed

According to the Clark County Assessor's office, if these lines go through the proposed routes (especially No. 25 or similar routes), the property values disappear forever. The tax burden will have to be picked up by everyone else (neighbors). The property that loses its value will be charged less and neighbors will make up the difference.

During the public meeting attended in April by over 700 (some say 900) concerned citizens, real estate experts spoke on how the installation of these lines would lower the value of the homes near the towers. Most estimates range from 10% to as much as 50% loss in value. As one realtor pointed out, 7 to 9 out of 10 people won't look at a home if it is near power lines. With a real estate market already hurting, property values down 30% or more, and many homeowners either walking away from their homes or losing a significant portion of their value, how can BPA consider furthering the destruction of this market and individual assets? In addition, FHA will not offer loans to those who live within what they call the "fall zone" of 150 foot towers. Many existing homes would fall within that category.

The health and safety issues, noise produced by the wires, destruction of trees from the right-of way area, exposure to increased freeway and street noise, and the overall scenic and visual impact all contribute to the loss in property values (assets) and tax revenues. Lost revenue to local and state government means loss of valued services to all state communities and revenue for maintaining their infrastructure.

In conclusion, The Citizens Against the Towers group have been working diligently to lobby for a route change that will not endanger the thousands of property owners and school children that will be affected negatively should BPA decide on route No. 25 or any other route through populated areas. The Citizens Against the Towers have tried unsuccessfully to have a meeting

with Senators Cantwell and Murray only to be told that the senators "have no available time." I take offense to this approach by our elected leaders on an issue that will have significant financial impact on Southwest Washington residents and businesses, as well as potential severe health ramifications

especially to our children. On the health impact alone, how can BPA accept the outcome if just one child or adult is diagnosed with cancer due to extreme EMF exposure created by the addition of 500kV transmission lines having been installed near their home, business or school?

If the addition of these high voltage transmission lines is truly necessary for the betterment of Washington and Northern Oregon, won't you please consider using THE PEOPLE'S MONEY to locate these lines and 150 foot towers in an area that is east of populated Southwest Washington.

The cost (dollar wise) may be greater up front to BPA (a one-time cost), but the final outcome will be significantly more beneficial to all parties involved and a much better use of the PEOPLE'S MONEY, aka the Federal Government's stimulus package.

I thank you for your consideration to route the 500kV lines and towers east of populated areas.

Yours very truly,

Jeanne Foster

Concerned Citizen and Property Owner

C: The Citizens Against the Towers

President Barack Obama

Governor Christine Gregoire

Senator Maria Cantwell

Senator Patty Murray

Congressman Brian Baird

Peter Goldmark, Commissioner of Public Lands

Board of Clark County Commissioners

Don Benton

Craig Pridemore

Tim Probst

Deb Wallace

Jim Moeller

Jaime Herrera

Mark Korsness

Larry Bekkendahl

---

Communication ID: 12784

Date Received: 7/12/2010

Name: JUDITH IBBS

July 10, 2010

StephenJ. Wright, Administrator

Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing with regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I live within the triangle of segments 20, 21, & 22.

So far, it appears that most of your proposals amounts to government take over of private property. At meetings your people make certain they say that BPA is federal government and that BPA does not have to abide by the laws of regions, counties, cities, or state. That certainly must make you feel very, very powerful.

In your heart, YOU know that YOU are wrong and that YOU are propagating false

information to push through a project that will NOT benefit the citizens of southwest Washington. You know that and we also know that; your employees know that - at least the ones working on this project know the real truth.

Judith Ibbs

[Address]

---

Communication ID: 12785

Date Received: 7/12/2010

Name: DON K RANDOLPH, KELLI RANDOLPH

July 8, 2010

Stephen J. Wright  
Bonneville Power Administration  
905 NE 11 th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232

re: BPA - I-5 Project

This letter is in reference to the proposed line between points 36 and 37 on map page 105. As you know or will discover, the placement of the new line to the North of the existing one will put the towers perilously close to the houses in the neighborhood of NE 48th Circle. I am very concerned about the additional Electro-Magnetic Forces from the new line and its possible effect

on our health. In addition, the new line may detrimentally affect the existing wetlands in our neighborhood.



The placement of this new line in this area may be very costly to BPA. The houses on NE 48th Circle are considered high-end homes not only for the quality of the structures but also due to the aesthetics of the area. These new towers will greatly de-value our land and houses or necessitate the purchase of our homes by the BPA.

The line placement option to unpopulated areas in Eastern Clark County would be a better choice for many reasons, but mainly due to minimal impact on your customers; the residents of Clark County.

We ask you to seriously consider these concerns and the impact of this proposed line placement.

Don & Kelli Randolph

[address]

[phone number]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12786

Date Received: 7/12/2010

Name: EVELYN SCHNOEBELEN, YARO SCHNOEBELEN

Mr. Larry Bekkendahl

Vice President

Engineering & Technical Services

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Bekkendahl,

We are writing to you to express our strong opposition to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. We very much oppose the 500kv transmission line and the 150 foot towers that would be constructed over the most populated areas of SW Washington. This would be in addition to the present towers and lines that are already in place. We are asking and hoping that you will support the people of SW Washington and choose a route for the new line that is on public and unpopulated areas.

BPA has stated that using a route over public and unpopulated areas would cost BPA between 50 and 69 million more dollars than using the present right of way in the I-5 corridor. If you use the I-5 corridor all the property near that route would decrease in value by at least 30% and many up to or more than 50%. That would mean a tax loss which supports the 95 schools that are within one mile of the proposed line. Those losses would never recover but continue at the depressed levels from now on. The 50 to 69 million dollars more that BPA would spend in constructing the line on public or in unpopulated areas would be passed on as rate increases to the people buying the electricity. So BPA would earn back that

money. The property owners and the schools do not have that option. The people in SW Washington do not need more electricity.

Our electrical use has declined a bit but the people in Oregon and California will be the beneficiaries of the new line. Why not have those that use the electricity pay for that electricity. By using the I-5 corridor you are asking the people in SW Washington to subsidize all those using the electricity carried on the new line.

The electric magnetic field health issue also is of great concern to us. We understand that there is an ongoing debate as to whether or not EMF is harmful. Reputable scientists have shown that there are "no causative effects" between EMF and health risks. Other reputable scientists have shown that the incidence of leukemia in young children, dementia in senior citizens and other forms of cancer are considerably higher when exposed to EMF. BPA must feel there is some creditable health risk from EMF as their own employees wear monitors when working in the EMF area and when at a specific level that employee must leave the area. We understand that when BPA employees work under and/or near the lines they wear protective suits as well as the monitor.

If BPA felt there were no risks then why do they require all of this protection for their employees? Should all the children attending the 95 schools and the adults working in those schools all wear monitors and leave the area each day when the level reaches the specific level? We also understand from BPA that people with heart monitors and other medical devices living close to the line would need to move out of the area. Further, does this mean that all the people living close to the line should wear monitors?

BPA has been a government agent that we have all been proud of. We all depend on electricity for improving the quality of our lives. Now BPA is considering taking the quality out of our lives and not for just a few people but thousands of people along the I-5 corridor. Given all the above we can not understand why the I-5 corridor is still being considered. We are asking that you take the populated areas in SW Washington off the list of routes being considered for the new 500kv transmission line and 150 foot towers.

We ask that you choose only routes for this line that are over unpopulated areas.

Sincerely,

Yaro ana Evelyn Schnoebelen  
[address]

cc. Senator Patty Murray  
cc. Senator Maria Cantwell  
cc. Washington Governor Christine Gregoire

---

Communication ID: 12787

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: KIM L SMITH

July 9,2010

Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator and CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Re: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Mr. Wright,

BPA has indicated repeatedly that there is some special plan for dealing with Segment 52, which is the Columbia River crossing point and first segment in Camas, as proposed for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I have a couple of questions and comments regarding those ideas and the project in general.

1. If BPA can come up with ideas to satisfy Segment 52 issues related to tower visibility, EMF, and easement width, why can't you do something similarly creative with other existing easements? However, aren't these options also more expensive?

2. Mark Korsness repeatedly states that BPA is not taxpayer funded. However, you are have been rushing this project to fall under Economic Recovery Act funding. In fact, recent news indicates that BPA will receive \$2 Billion in funding under the recovery act for various projects. This happens with treasury borrowing. Who do you think funds the treasury and foots the bill for the Economic Recovery Act? It's all on the backs of taxpayers, including you. Please tell Mark to stop insulting us. Since BPA does indeed plan to use taxpayer money, any new easements and more government spending should be off the table completely as you have lower cost existing easements that could handle this project.

3. Finally, speaking of the Economic Recovery Act, Senator Murray and Governor Gregoire apparently thought that BPA would actually create some jobs in Clark and Cowlitz Counties with this project when they invited BPA through. However, Mark has not been able to sufficiently answer questions related to job creation other than saying that once approved the project would create jobs during the construction phase. Further, BPA has engaged only Oregon based engineering firms for the planning phase since January, 2008 according to a recent FOIA release. Actual construction for the project won't start until at least 2012. I don't know about others who vote, but I am not willing to wait until 2012 to create jobs to aid the economic recovery. Are you, Governor Gregoire, Senator Murray or anyone in government serious about the need for economic recovery or is it all just lip service and more blank checks to enable spending (taxes on candy, for crying out loud)? I think there is probably someone out there who wants to represent me who feels the same way that I feel regarding spending and job creation.

When I described to my son the project and the 7000+ property owners that are affected by this, he did some quick math. His assumption was that each property owner would be part of a family of four, like

our family. He commented that with that math there are at least 28,000 people affected by this project. My guess is probably more.

I'd like your timely and definitive response for #1 and #2. My address is:

[address]

You can discuss #3 and any commitments you made with Governor Gregoire and Senator Murray if you'd like. Good luck with that as Senator Murray has been especially quiet on this project You have collectively put our many lives on hold and have collectively shown how little respect you have for the interests of the American taxpayer and for the welfare of the citizens of Clark and Cowlitz Counties.

Kim L. Smith

Cc: Clint Didier, Jaime Herrera, Governor Christine Gregoire, Senator Patty

Murray

---

Communication ID: 12788

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: CAROL A MAULER

Stephen J. Wright, Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing to you at this time to express my concern to you about the possibility of the Bonneville Power Administration confiscating my property to place high tension power lines on or near it. My husband died six years ago and a year after that event I purchased this property where I was able to build a new home and a new life for myself - surrounded by dear friends and neighbors. I have been in this house now for almost four years, and treasure it and my small 2 acre lot. I have spent much time developing the yard and garden so that it is an attractive place for those passing by to see and enjoy. I have created a new life under oppressive circumstances and, at my age, probably have very few years left to enjoy it. Even the thought of possibly losing it and having to move again is devastating to me. And I cannot imagine living near to or under these lines because of the potential health risks, not to mention the noise they produce. I also am concerned about the loss of value to my property should they be installed near by.

I am sympathetic to the needs of future generations who desire the availability of electric power to live a comfortable life. I, myself, so easily have taken for granted that availability to make my own life more comfortable. But it is my understanding that new power lines can be completed in forested areas where

homes will not be threatened. I cannot urge you strongly enough to reconsider your present plans to take these lines through inhabited areas where they will create a hardship for many people. To the East of me there are many miles of uninhabited forest land that should be an ideal place to build and maintain them. We depend on you to provide our electric power for us and we trust you to make wise decisions in how you provide that power that will in no way jeopardize our current living conditions.

Thank you very much for your considerations of these things. And thank you for the great way you manage these resources for us.

Sincerely,

(Mrs.) Carol A. Mauler

---

Communication ID: 12789

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: ANN R FRANKEL

July 13, 2010

Mr. Steve Wright CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Mr. Steve Wright, my name is Ann Frankel and I am a resident and owner of [address]. My husband and I purchased our newly built home three years ago after searching for over a year. I am a handicapped senior citizen and require a one level home and a level lot to accommodate my physical disabilities. We paid far more than the house value of today and retro fitted the interior to make it safe for me. We also installed railings in the front and back yards and other adjustment amenities to make it safer outside.

I walk in a limited way with a walker or cane, but also need a wheelchair. Recently I had an emergency hospital stay including intensive and critical care for a period of two weeks, followed by almost two months in a pulmonary rehabilitation facility. I had pulmonary failure and heart failure.

I am so distressed that you are considering ruining such a new and beautiful area with clean air and families that paid far more than today's values for their homes. But, most of all to erect electrical towers in such a pristine community is unconscionable. We moved here to be close to our young grandchildren and with all the other young families with their children you are putting us in extreme risk. I am sixty eight years old with compromised health, I will probably need a pace maker.

We selected our home because it was so close to the hospital and clinics that saved my life. Our home is everything we have and it gives us great joy in our senior years. We are very involved in this community and I am so distressed over this inconsiderate and outrageous solution, that I am willing to picket anywhere and any time in my wheel chair with an oxygen tank.

There are so many other areas that are sparsely inhabited that could work. What are you thinking to disrupt an entire community when there are so many other alternatives?

Ann R. Frankel

---

Communication ID: 12790

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: ED FRANKEL

July 13, 2010

Mr. Steve Wright CEO

Bonneville Power Authority

PO Box 3621

Portland OR 97208-3621

Mr. Steve Wright, I am Ed Frankel of [address] a concerned homeowner due to your intent to build high voltage towers within thirty feet of our home. We moved to this community three years ago to be close to our young grandchildren. Since that time our home has declined in value to the tune of forty percent. We are now faced with the reality that when you build this tower system the value of our community will be depleted beyond where it is today. I am aware of economic conditions causing reduction in home values, but there is hope when economic conditions improve home values will rise again. However a deliberate condemnation of values by building of a potential health risk is permanent with direr impoverish consequences..Young growing families will not want to endanger their children to high levels of voltage, nor would cities want to build schools in or around such dangers.

I implore you to reconsider this project and place the towers in rural areas where you will not bring risks or permanent loss of value

Edward I. Frankel

---

Communication ID: 12791

Date Received: 7/21/2010

Name: DONALD BEVANS,YVONNE BEVANS

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are writing to you in hopes that you reconsider the placements of these high voltage towers. When the possibility of this, has already impacted our lifes. On a daily basis we and our neighbors are reminded what such a project would do to our area. One of our neighbors has their house up for sale. And the prospective buyer don't even get out of the car the moment they see the towers that is currently there.

We cannot but loose in this situation, our health and finances are in jeoporday. This pending decision is so worrysome to so many of us. We beg that you consider th eimpact such towers would have on so many of us. Please place thes in an unpopulated area, and not outside my kitchen window. Thank you

Yvonne and Donald Bevans

[address]

Segment No. 47/50

---

Communication ID: 12792

Date Received: 8/24/2010

Name: JOHN GROSBOLL

Proposed New High-Power Transmission Lines in residential areas. I'm writing to lodge my family strong protest against BPA plans to build new high-voltage transmission lines in populated areas of Clark County. There is no upside to this plan for the residents. It unquestionably destroys property value, and likely also has negative health consequences. When BPA has already identified unpopulated areas to run the line, the proper choice should be clear. To run lines through relatively dense population areas, given other options seems reckless.

Thank-you

John Grosboll, PE

[address]

[phone]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12793

Date Received: 8/24/2010

Name: KEN KRAISLER

Dear Mr. Wright We at the Kaskillah sub-division request help with Bonneville Power Administration. We have 28 homes on acreage affected by BPA's line 30. We believe BPA unduly affecting us in five different ways: 1. BPA is fast tracking the NEPA process. They have recently adjusted their possible routes to include half our sub-division in July 2010, however the public comment phase ended around December 2009. Our sub-division simply lacks time to organize and express how these routes unnecessarily impact our sub-division. 2. BPA is listening to DNR with a far greater weight than they are to home owners. We are discovering that DNR is stating that routes should go over home owner properties and not DNR land because of impact to schools. However, we have not seen any statistics indicating any substantial impact to school land trust. We believe that BPA gives more weight to DNR because DNR has more ability to organize and resist these towers, and the school impact is negligible. 3. We are gravely concerned about the EMF field. Some of these towers will be 150 feel tall. There is no indication that homes within the BPA acknowledged 500 feet boundary will be fairly compensated. Nor

do we believe 500 to be sufficient distance for these new 500 KV lines. We believe 500 feet is very much insufficient. We see no reason to impose the risk of cancer on growing children or families in general. 4. We are highly concerned that BPA will not properly compensate people for easements or condemnation. 5. Lastly and most importantly, we believe BPA can reconcile this problem by choosing routes through non-populated areas near or using line O. There is no need to devalue home owners or risk the health of the families. Lastly, we believe this is a fundamental issue regarding property rights on the part of individual citizens. When large government organizations affect or condemn the property of individuals, it is un-American to do so when in the presence of viable alternatives.

Ken M. Kraiser, representing Kaskillah Sub-division

[address]

[phone]

[email]

---

Communication ID: 12794

Date Received: 8/24/2010

Name: JOHN KEATLEY

Called to learn more about where Segment F would cross I-5, if we had considered crossing further north to stay mostly on public land west of I-5 north of Castle Rock and to get clarification about the use of existing rights-of-way. He also had questions about signing the PEP form and said he would attend the Castle Rock meeting to talk to a realty specialist.

---

Communication ID: 12795

Date Received: 8/27/2010

Name: ORVILLE D ESTEB

I have a question , could I please get an answer . I just received a notice that you included another route to the east that goes thru 80 acres I own in Dole Valley . You could have moved it a mile to the east and gone thru public ground , DNR , but chose to impact a bunch of private land owners instead by routing it where you did . Wouldn't it make more sense to run a public power line thru public ground ? I read in the paper that the DNR asked to move it to our ground in order to miss some of their recreational spots . Is this the case ? They simply don't want it on their ground . What input did the Wa. St. DNR have in your decision to put the line where you did and is the decision making process open to public review ? Also I read that the total budget for the power line is \$200 plus million and you'll have to buy access over about 30 miles of property plus build the towers so how are you going to accomplish this without stealing the easement and the value in our adjacent ground with a power line thru the middle ? I was offered \$750,000 for my land and timber 2 years ago , are you going to pay me fair market value ?

Orville Esteb

---



Communication ID: 12796

Date Received: 8/29/2010

Name: ARVID ANDERSON,VICKI ANDERSON

8/9/10

To Whom It May Concern:

When considering the route for the I-5 corridor project I would like you to be aware of the impact it will have on our business, Anderson Lodge, located in the Yale Valley, near Ariel and Cougar, Washington. Anderson Lodge has been in operation for 25 years and hosts retreats, seminars, family reunions, school workshops and weddings. If the line intersected the property of Anderson Lodge I know our business would suffer as many would no longer consider our facility a pristine and tranquil location. Less clients means we couldn't stay in business. This would not only impact our business but also the local employees, local businesses and the already depressed economy of the area. This would mean loss of jobs for our employees, loss of revenue for local businesses like the Lone Fir and less people that would be visiting our recreational sites here. The wedding sites capitalize on the spectacular views of the valley and mountains. If we were to have the buzzing transmission lines and towers on our property it would adversely affect our natural setting and I know our clients would no longer choose our property for their event. Who would choose to have a backdrop of transmission towers for their wedding photographs? To give you an idea of the impact and effect we have on the community of Yale, I would like to provide you with some numbers of guests that utilize Anderson Lodge every year. Last year, we had 43 weddings with approximately 6,450 guests in attendance, 50 church retreats with approximately 2,000 guests, 17 family reunions with about 850 guests, 20 educational retreats with about 1,000 students and staff in attendance, and 7 business seminars with about 350 people present. Buzzing transmission towers would not only be a distraction, but would ruin our tranquility that we have strived to maintain all these years. Our sole existence relies on our natural setting. After 25 years of talking to clients, giving tours of our facility and having feedback from clientele, I know without a doubt that it is the picturesque beauty of the Yale Valley that is our main appeal. Transmission towers would definitely deter clients from using Anderson Lodge. I hope that you now realize that a transmission line running through our property would be an automatic death sentence to us and to the community.

---

Communication ID: 12798

Date Received: 8/27/2010

Name: DOROTHY A COUTSOUBOS

I am already on your distribution list and I've already made some comments, I need a better map. The map they keep printing in the newspaper doesn't include any street or any landmarks like Salmon Creek. I want to know how close I am to latest proposals and I can't tell that from looking at those maps. If somebody would call me my phone number is [phone number]. My address is [address]. Maybe someone could plot that into a map and see how close the nearest proposed line is to my house. But

again I need a map where I can have the proposed lines over-laid onto some kind of a street map. My phone number is [phone number]. Dorothy Coutsoubos. I hope to hear from somebody soon.

---

Communication ID: 12799

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: JANIE JOHNSON, PHILLIP JOHNSON

I thought there might be somebody there that I might be able to talk to about to see if our property up at Lake Merwin is included as part of this possible reinforcement project. I am assuming that since we are getting this information that we must be in some position up there. But I don't know where. Can you give me a call back and let me know if our property is going to be included in this project and how far away it is going to be. I am not understanding any of these maps and this letter is complete gibberish to me and my husband. The name is Johnson, Phillip, Phillip Janie and Johnson, we have property at Lake Merwin, spot number [spot number], it is at the very, very top of the lake overlooking Merwin dam and Yale Lake, I think. Our number is a Portland number [PHONE].

---

Communication ID: 12800

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: DOUG G VAUGHAN

Hi,

Are there alternative routes being considered to cross the Columbia River? If not, why? Best I can tell from the maps, there is still just the one that goes from Camas-Washougal area on the Washington side.

Regards,

Doug Vaughan  
[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12801

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: TESSIE M CHERRINGTON

My husband and I are quite upset over the new proposed plan F. We are in our early 30's and have two small children. We both work extremely hard for what we have and have many dreams and goals for the future. One is to build another new home, where our children can continue to grow up safe and healthy. We just had property on Thimbleberry Rd put in our names so we could start making this dream come true. A few short weeks later, my in-laws recieved the paperworke about the new proposed route. We didn't even have much time to enjoy the excitement before our dreams were crushed. So for the next

few years, we won't be able to sell our property, because this nobody will want it, and we have to wait until you've made up your decision before we can build. So basically our future goals are in your hands. I know that we are not the only people in this situation. Weyerhaeuser sold several pieces of property to people up there who are planning to retire and build their dream home. Those people have worked their whole lives to achieve these dreams and I think it is horrible to take that away from them. One of my questions is why can't you stay away from the homes and the cities and go towards Mt. St. Helens. Or just go through Weyerhaeuser property. Either way, you are going to have to cut down timber and I think it would be a lot safer if that timber wasn't next to anyones homes. You also wouldn't have to worry about crossing any large rivers or I-5. Another question that I have is, are there any BPA employees that are affected by the new proposed plan? Just out of curiosity, would anyone from BPA feel comfortable living and raising their children under the power lines? My third question is, how safe is it to live under power lines? I know this answer, but I would like to hear yours. At the meeting last night in Castle Rock, I felt that Rick kind of tip toed around this question. My Dad died of a Brain Tumor this past February and my family has done some research on this matter. But again, would you raise your children living next to the power lines?

---

Communication ID: 12802

Date Received: 9/1/2010

Name: ZIYUAN ZHANG

I just bought and moved into this house which is on one of the possible transmission paths. I would like to be added to the mailing list.

---

Communication ID: 12803

Date Received: 8/27/2010

Name: HAROLD WITTERS

Dear Mr. Wright:

I would like to bring to your attention the increased danger of an accident or terrorist attack with the addition of the proposed 500 kv lines in the vicinity of the Williams Gas Pipeline Compressor Station in Washougal Washington. These lines will run parallel and in some places over the pipelines. The proposed lines will also make a 90 degree turn within 1/4 mile of the Compressor Station. This Compressor Station is at the intersection of five very large gas pipelines (see Google Map - Williams Pipeline). BPA has already denied Williams a right of way to install their new 36 in line parallel to BPA's existing 230kv lines in this same area but are now proposing to add these new 500kv lines over the Williams right of way at the Washougal Compressor Station. A look at the attached map will make it very clear how much damage a not so very large device could do at this intersection. Note that there are also seven other 230kv lines merging at this same point already. An attack at this Compressor Station would interrupt a large portion of the Portland and Vancouver area power and natural gas supply and, if the new 500kv line goes through, also a large area of northern California's power. This is a very large target

that I would rather not have in my backyard. Please remember that BPA has already denied Williams a right of way for SAFETY reasons in this same area.

The present proposed routes through very populated areas of Vancouver and Camas create a possible danger to the residents. These areas contain many children and, although the studies are not yet conclusive, there is a concern that the EMF from this type of line may be causing cancer in children. I am concerned when any thing interferes with our pristine forest but when it comes down to a choice between a few trees and the possibility of endangering our children and grandchildren, the trees must go.

We have been informed that NONE of this power will be available for use in SW Washington and that this line is being installed to supply reliable power from Canada to California. We know this to be true from the BPA schematics that show NO distribution taps to the 500kv lines in SW Washington.

There are at the present time towers at Bonneville Dam that have free space on them to carry the additional three 500kv lines to the Willsonville Oregon substation which, we are being informed, is the ultimate destination of the BPA I-5 Project (500kv lines). By utilizing this route there would be no impact to the Gorge Scenic Area but it would impact Gifford Pinchot National Forest. The route through the National Forest should save the BPA (the taxpayer) the expense of purchasing expensive Rights of Way and by using these existing half utilized towers (see attached picture) from Bonneville to Willsonville, BPA could save a huge amount of money. The most important aspect of this route is that it will avoid the populated areas and possibly save a disaster in the future for our children.

Please help us and select the SAFE route.

Thank you for your consideration,

Harold Witters

[address]

Link: <http://maps.google.com/maps/ms?client=firefox-a&hl=en&ie=UTF8&t=h&msa=0&msid=101113458559609139180.00048e083f1cd3162a809&z=14>

---

Communication ID: 12804

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: STEPHEN C RAPALUS

Great! A bunch of people got together and complained about the old routes so now you have a new route near my place! Put the towers as far away from people as possible... that means the furthest East route. If it costs more, then every electrical user should pay the same. If you take a cheaper route, the people living near the towers will pay more than those far away (I'm not just talking about \$\$\$).

Steve Rapalus

[address]

[Mailing address]

---

Communication ID: 12805

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: DAVID SCHULTZ

BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project,

I called your office and found out that there is no alternative plan for the route that will pass closely to my property. I watched a long public broadcast video of one of your most recent meeting concerning this matter. Several of my neighbors have personally attended your public meetings. The general consensus from talking with these property owners is that if we are going to be f--k-d, we would be better off staying home and enjoying our loving spouses do the job. The last time I checked rape was a federal offence with very sever penalties. To our community this is exactly what this "project" feels like. Expect strong decent from our neighborhood and/or representation by our corporate attorney.

My own personal study of this controversy convinces me that it is obvious that the BPA has no genuine intention of stopping this program or to change the route you have selected. If possible, please send a digital video copies of all meetings related to this crossing for our homeowners association & attorney review.

--

Columbia Pacific Firestop

David Schultz / Sr Project Manager

---

Communication ID: 12806

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: YVONNE WOLD

When a private citizen wants to improve their home, the government requires them to abide by current regulations in order to meet recognized standards relating to health and safety.

Similarly, when a developer wants to introduce electrical service to a property he must follow current government regulations and bury all electrical lines underground. Again, this is to meet health and safety standards.

So, when the BPA wants to improve it's electrical system, it logically follows that they should have to abide by the same rules and regulations that private citizens and businesses do. ALL POWER LINES LOCATED WITHIN ONE MILE OF EXISTING RESIDENCES, SCHOOLS AND BUSINESSES THAT ARE GOING TO BE CHANGED NEED TO BE BURIED UNDERGROUND ALONG EXISTING PATHS. If burying is not possible, then the lines must be re-routed away from existing developed areas.

This current trend of government distancing itself from the citizens it's supposed to serve is alarming. Having one set of rules for the government and it's members and a different set of rules it imposes on the citizens is not what this country is about - or should that be WAS about? I don't want to be a part of furthering this separation between our government and it's citizens. Do you?

Inflicting these massive proposed towers on the citizens of SW Washington to serve Oregon and California is wrong. If it must be done, then at least DO THE RIGHT THING. BURY IT, MOVE IT, OR LEAVE IT BE!

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yvonne Wold

---

Communication ID: 12807

Date Received: 8/27/2010

Name: JULIA STOLL

Had an extended conversation with Ms. Stoll today. She had originally been in touch with Realty folks and it was recommended she call me. I called her about 3 weeks ago and she returned my call today.

She lives within the large buffer polygon by Yale Dam (Segment K I think), north side of the Lewis River on Lewis River Rd. She is the daughter of a previous attorney here at BPA. We spoke for over an hour. She was quite upset at times but appreciated the time I spent with her. We walked through the website and Google Maps, and how to submit comments. I showed her how to find her comments that she made in December. I will send a copy to her next week. She could not get them to print on her printer. She said that neither she nor her neighbors received the latest letter even though she should be on the mail list. This was confusing to me and I couldn't explain why. She believed that it was possibly deliberate.

She described to me in detail the natural beauty of her surrounding area and why the area is so important to the folks that live there as well as the people who don't as they get 1/2 million visitors to the area per year. She and her husband have invested their adult lives in the area, trying to make it a special place to live and to attract visitors to the area. They have an annual Yale Valley Arts Festival which has been growing steadily and which attracts many well know artists to the area. She describes a very connected community where the integrity and quality of life is extremely high. She's convinced that a new line will ruin all of that and mentioned that her family and others will fight to the end to make this project go away.

---

Communication ID: 12808

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: DAWN M FORSYTH

August 31, 2010 To Whom It May Concern: I am writing to oppose building a new transmission line along the proposed BPA transmission line of Segment P. I am extremely concerned about the potential exposure to EMF radiation as I am currently pregnant with twins. It can be argued back and forth the long term effects of EMF radiation but unless BPA can 100% guarantee that there are no possible side effects, this new proposal puts many families in harm's way. It would make more sense to build the lines further east either where originally planned in the middle of state park or out further where there would be little impact on human life. Another concern of mine is the lower property value that is inevitable if Segment P is built. At this point hundreds of lives are held hostage since people cannot sell their homes now without disclosing the possible building of the new transmission lines. Prospective buyers would have a difficult time getting a loan after the lines are built because of FHA restrictions. But very few people would want to buy a property that borders towers, I know this to be true because it was a determining factor 2 years ago when my husband and I bought our house. The other house we were considering was nearby a high voltage transmission line and we were concerned about our health. How many tens of thousands of dollars would our property decrease? Property values would be affected by these towers, possibly by as much as \$100,000 per household. That also means that homeowners will demand new home assessments. The reduction in property tax revenue will affect needed funds for schools, libraries, fire districts and the county, including road funds. In this economy what community can afford a reduction in revenues? If BPA can spend \$850 million dollars annually on fish and wildlife, why can't \$30 – 60 million be spent one time on putting the lines further east so they won't affect the lives of so many people? DNR complains that they will loose money on timber sales if the lines are put further into the park. But won't the same amount of land be affected wherever they are placed? They cite the reason that the lines were moved as being the result of a project that they are planning. But there are two issues with this. First, the project is only in the planning stage and they have no funding to proceed and with this economy I doubt that there will be money for a long time. Second, there is a huge difference between putting high voltage power lines where people live and where they choose to go for recreation. My husband and I use the park ourselves to go hiking. I'd rather spend a few hours near them when we go hiking than have to live next to them all day, everyday. This new Segment P was added on at the last minute, not giving myself or my neighbors time to be heard in the appropriate scoping period. Many people are still trying to digest the news of this happening and it seems like it is being pushed through quickly so that we won't have time to assemble and make our voices heard. I am asking that BPA consider a route further east than Segment P where the lines would have a little impact on human lives. Sincerely, Dawn Forsyth [address]

---

Communication ID: 12809

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: CHUCK KELLOGG

August 17, 2010 To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to oppose the BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project! We have rights as homeowners not to let BPA on our community properties to put this terrible eye sore within our backyard. We have small children and worry about the possible long term effects it could have on there health, along with the effects on us adults. This will affect our property values,

which in turn will escalate into many other problems within the community. Why should we put our personal lives and finances at stake for BPA to profit for selling power to another state? I encourage you to put pressure on BPA to change the route of these proposed lines to an unpopulated area. Sincerely,  
Chuck Kellogg

---

Communication ID: 12810

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: JERRY BOHANAN,PENNY SHEPARD

August 16, 2010 To Whom It May Concern: I am writing to express my opposition over building a new transmission line and associated substations along the newly proposed lettered route segment P and numbered route 30. I along with my neighbors am deeply concerned about the potential exposure to EMF radiation as well as the inherent buzzing noise associated with the new transmission lines. It can be argued back and forth the long term effects of EMF radiation but unless the BPA can 100% guarantee that there are no possible side effects, this proposal puts my family in harm's way. Although building the new transmission lines along the existing lines is probably more economically feasible, the potential health problems far outweigh the financial savings of this project. It would make much more sense to build the lines further east where the population is less dense. This would give people a choice, do you want to purchase property next to powerlines or not. Because of the unknown health risks of EMF radiation, this project has the potential to have a new Erin Brokovich movie written about it in the future, I don't want to be part of that. This past summer we have invested time and money into improvements in our backyard with landscaping, building a new deck, and the purchase and installation of a spa. We have only recently begun to enjoy this investment. Now if the powerlines are built in the near vicinity, we will not be able to enjoy our backyard due to the buzzing of powerlines and the potential of being electrocuted when we enter our hot tub. This isn't even mentioning the sight of 150ft towers. Just how fair is that? Another great concern is the lower property value that is inevitable if the new transmission lines are built along this new proposed lettered segment P and numbered route 30. It feels that we are being held hostage by the BPA. In fact, BPA's scoping deadline ended at the end of 2009. The changes that added my neighborhood community occurred well after that deadline. Our rights to be heard were done before we were ever notified our community was in consideration. Myself and people in my close vicinity can no longer sell our homes without disclosing the possible building of the new transmission lines. As I know well, powerlines are not a desired community feature. When we bought our property out on the edge of the public property lines, we specifically choose it because it did not have powerlines near by. In fact, the powerlines to our homes in this area are built underground. Who will want to buy a home that borders the towers? How many tens of thousands of dollars would our property values decrease? In addition, many people could not sell their homes unless it was a cash buyer because the property would be appraised below either an outstanding mortgage or what the property is actually worth. We have already taken a large hit just with the current economical climate. Property values will definitely be affected, possibly by as much as \$100,000 per household. This means that homeowners will demand for new home assessments. The reduction in property tax revenue will affect needed funds for schools, libraries, fire districts and the county, including road funds (which are



all already tight). The BPA proposed segment P and numbered route 30 needs to be stopped before it is started. We plead with you to put pressure on the BPA to build the transmission lines further east in less populated areas or moving it back to the original Pearl route in Oregon. Please consider how you would feel if this were your home and your family that were being affected. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jerry Bohanan and Penny Shepherd Battle Ground, WA

---

Communication ID: 12811

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: JOHN CURPHEY,BETTY CURPHEY

To Washington State Senator Patty Murray regarding BPA's proposed high voltage transmission lines: As homeowners directly affected by the proposed BPA transmission lines in eastern Clark County, we object to the denial of our civil rights in regards to the addition of sections P and 30 without a legal scoping period. These options were not available for public input at the time that period closed, thereby denying our rights to participate in the public input on these sections. Indeed, we have major concerns about the positioning of any huge lines in populated areas when just to the east of the Battle Ground, Vancouver, Camas/Washougal areas there are huge unpopulated areas in both the Yacolt State Forest and Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Consider what the degradation of property values over the whole course of such lines in populated areas will do to the incomes of both county and state revenues. Compare these two scenarios: loss of timber revenues once or twice a century, versus a year after year loss of tax revenues on properties costing up into the millions of dollars each over an area from the Columbia to Castle Rock. We also wish the areas being considered to include equivalent unpopulated areas in Oregon. Since BPA already sends a large percentage of its generated power to California, looking at decent options in Oregon should only lead to finding better routes, rather than limiting it to just one approximately 50 mile long area in Washington. We have concerns about what such huge power lines near our properties could do to satellite, phone, tv reception, etc. There is no cable access here so our tv and internet services must come from satellites. We have no option. We have concerns about possible adverse health effects from living so close to the proposed power lines. We have concerns about losing the esthetic value of our property. We have a beautiful, peaceful, quiet timbered property. We dreamed and planned for such a place long before we were able to retire here. We both were raised in rural areas; we lived our working lives in big cities and wished to return to the rural life once we reached retirement age. We have an agreement with the County that we will keep parts of our timbered land available so that it will ultimately contribute to the logging economy and tax base. If property values drop drastically due to the proposed transmission lines, it could become financially necessary to remove that land from such allocation and sell it in order to maintain our financial independence, thus removing that land from involvement in the program. I, Betty, love to walk through our land. It has salal, vine maples, alder, firs, the sweetest blackberries you could imagine (the ones native to Washington, not the invasive ones), salmonberries, tiger lilies, Oregon iris, and a host of other plants and bushes. We have seen bobcats casually walking through the front yard, deer, coyotes, raccoons, birds in abundance - chickadees, juncos, goldfinch, black-headed grosbeaks, a group of beautiful evening grosbeaks that hung out at our feeders all winter a few years ago, woodpeckers, and

many more. I, John, love to get out and maintain the beauty of the area around the house. I enjoy keeping the lawn in good order, making sure it's appearance is pleasant and well kept. I have planted several bushes and trees since we moved to our home, and love to see them flowering in the spring. We have returned to our rural roots and wish others the right to enjoy their properties as much as we do. The threat of having 150 foot transmission lines looming over our home is very distressing, both economically, esthetically, technologically, and health-wise. We wish that all affected people have the right to enjoy their chosen way of life in safety and with their civil rights protected. And ultimately all of Clark County will be affected by the placement of these proposed transmission lines. Signed: John Curphey Signed: Betty Curphey [address]

---

Communication ID: 12812

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: DAVID D DOSCH

I lost my best friend (Capt Jason Dahl FLT 93) during 911. Maybe 911 is the appropriate format for my writing you now. I live in a rural (5 acre setting) near Battle Ground WA. When I wish to get my US mail I travel a bit to do so. My choice. When two crack heads break into my house (2003) I wait for first responders up to 30 minutes. My choice to live this far out. Luckily I was armed and they got to go to jail. My RIGHT to be armed. My sewage is by septic as is my water by well. My expense and my choice. I pay property taxes that increase in spite of decreasing home values. Need your help but not 911. My federal income taxes, that yes, pay your salary, may go up due to the repeal of Bush cuts. Need your help but not 911. HOWEVER, NOW I AM DIALING 911 ! As of a few days ago I have discovered that I NEED a FIRST RESPONDER...I NEED a HERO. There is a home intruder that requires your IMMEDIATE ACTION. Without proper legal or moral notification the BPA is going to run power lines via eminent domain through my happy neighborhood. How inconvenient a time can it be as all of the federal employees are pre-occupied with keeping their jobs? My community and I are being denied our RIGHTS and I humbly request your fastest response to our needs. Sincerely, David D. Dosch [ADDRESS] [EMAIL]

---

Communication ID: 12813

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: BRYAN W CALL

I am a Software Engineer working for Yahoo!. I have been working with Yahoo! for 12 years and I am able to work from home. Out of all the places in the US I chose to live in Battle Ground Washington to start a family and raise children. My wife Dawn and I moved into our dream house two years ago and we really enjoy the country life and our friendly neighborhood. Dawn got pregnant last February and we are expecting to have twin girls in September. We don't have any children and this will be a very special time for us. At the beginning of August after going to an all day child care class, since I know very little about taking care of children, we came home to find an envelope from BPA. We knew that BPA was proposing power lines on the DNR land, but we knew it was about a mile away so we had little concern

about the effects of the lines. After opening the envelope and discovering that BPA decided to move the power lines 1 mile west to border private property. I don't think that segment P was well thought out after looking at the proposed ATV park plans. The power line for segment 32 would have been next to one trail on the west side. Now that it has been removed P was added right next to homes. I believe this a very bad choice and could have at least been in the middle ½ mile away from the one trail and ½ away from homes. Also, the ATV park is just a plan that has \*no funding\*. Is one ATV trail more important then people's health and property values? We are concerned about the health risks to children, since my wife is pregnant with twin girls. Nothing has been proven, but many states have laws pertaining to power line placement and the EPA makes suggestions about keeping power lines away from populated areas if possible. Also, we are concerned about the property values in our neighborhood. This will reduce the property values and in turn reduce the tax revenue for schools and other services in our area. With schools and funding being cut I don't think it is wise to do something that would reduce tax revenue. There is a lot of wildlife were segment P is being proposed. There are salamanders, red tailed hawks, and a beaver pond right next to where they want to put towers. I think it is reasonable for BPA to keep the power lines away from populated areas when possible and this is a case when they don't need to build power lines next to homes. I am concerned that BPA added the route at the last minute and we haven't been able to voice our opinion in the normal process like people did in the fall of 2009. This has added a lot of stress for Dawn at a time when we should be enjoying the birth of our twin girls. Bryan Call (soon to be father of two girls) Battle Ground, WA

---

Communication ID: 12814

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: DANIEL L CLARK

We are landowners that have 8 parcels that the F line would cross. These 8 parcels are forest land that we have bought to build a housing project that we have been working on for ten years. We do not want the proposed transmission line to cross our property.

---

Communication ID: 12815

Date Received: 9/1/2010

Name: TERESA E BERGMAN

As I understand, only those people whose land is actually used to build a tower would be reimbursed. How is it determined how much these people will be paid? If it is appraised value, what happens if they owe more on their home than the appraised value is? In this day and age many people are losing homes because they cannot pay their mortgages, but there are others who are able to pay the mortgage, but owe more than what it is worth. If you only paid appraised value, they would lose their home, have to come up with a way to pay the difference and then what? I would also like to know how many homes are involved in each one of the segments. Looks to me like lots of people losing what they have worked and saved for all their lives.

---

Communication ID: 12816

Date Received: 9/1/2010

Name: PERRY J CALABRESE

Mr. Calabrese is the individual concerned about slides in a documented red slide zone area along Hazel Dell Road. About 5 years ago, BPA cut trees in the ROW, causing cracks in the walls and foundation of his house. Since then he has supposedly chased off vegetation management crews. He also noted that the ground was eroding at the base of a tower near his home and that, directly below the tower, erosion had exposed a gas pipeline.

---

Communication ID: 12817

Date Received: 9/1/2010

Name: TERRY REAMS

Mike and Lou, we need to check on this property.

Monday night I met and today got a call from Terry Reams, a very nice guy who is heavily involved in emergency communications for Cowlitz County and countless other government agencies.

He lives at

[ADDRESS]

[PHONE]

His property is parcel [PARCEL NUMBER] and has a home, barn, and two 55 foot towers with 26 antennas.

He plans on adding 3 more towers up to 200 feet tall.

His neighbors parcel is [PARCEL NUMBER] and has a very active shooting range on it.

He plans on signing the PEP and sending back to us.

Thanks.....Mark

---

Communication ID: 12818

Date Received: 9/2/2010

Name: GEORGE H KINSEY

The new line "O" is proposed to go down the west side of a north/south ridgeline on the west side of the valley south of Larch Mountain. If this proposed line were to be moved to the east side of the associated ridgeline, it would keep this alternative from being viewed by several homeowners now living in or near this valley. Moving it would put this proposal on the very eastern edge of Clark County (or on the very western edge of Skamania County) Line "P" has brought a proposed line that was north of Larch Mountain (line 32 and 34 to the south of Larch Mountain and will now be seen (if this route is chosen) by all the residents on top of Spud and Livingston mountains.

---

Communication ID: 12819

Date Received: 9/2/2010

Name: GLENN HUDSON

Please eliminate the Monahan Creek site and Route 3 because they are in the middle of thousands of people who, some for their whole lives, live there and have millions of dollars and countless hours of toil invested. It's insane to even consider these areas when other routes and sites are far more less intrusive! We cannot do much of anything more with our property until this is off our necks.

---

Communication ID: 12820

Date Received: 9/2/2010

Name: ALGIRD ZALPYS, ELIZABETH ZALPYS

Algirdas and Elizabeth Zalpys

Property owners of [address]

Mailing address = [address]

William and Luana Zalpys

Physical and mailing address:

[address]

As you can see by the attached copy of the envelope received by us (Algirdas and Elizabeth), you have our family mixed up with William and Luana. We are related, but do not live with them, and have separate households!

Thank you,

Call me if you need more info.

Elizabeth

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12821

Date Received: 9/2/2010

Name: KERRY L POTTS

Please add me to your mailing address and deliver any previous documents relating to this address: [address] There is no mail receptacle at this location, my mailing address is [address]. We are purchasing this property under a private unrecorded contract, and have not been notified of any potential BPA projects, but looking at your interactive map this property is under consideration. Please advise what that use may be. We are in the middle of planning a building project. Thank you

---

Communication ID: 12822

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: JERRY BOHANAN,BRYAN W CALL,JOHN CURPHEY,BETTY CURPHEY,RAYMOND DICKSON,THERESA DICKSON,KEVIN EPP,SARAH EPP,JAMES D HOWSLEY,DON KATES,KEN KRAISLER,JAMES MARTIN NIE,GINA FAYE NIE,PENNY SHEPARD,BRADLEY L SLOCUM,JOHN P TUINGA

I-5 Reinforcement Project Segment P Comments

Dear Mr. Korsness:

Our office represents a group of property owners listed below located in rural Clark County just outside of the City of Battle Ground, Washington. On their behalf we are providing comments prior to the BPA preparing the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS") and would like to state our vehement opposition to the proposed alternative line Segment P. And furthermore, would like to specifically request that the following items be addressed in the DEIS.

Impacts to Property Value

Numerous real estate market studies indicate that homes that are located

adjacent to power lines are worth significantly less money than those that are not. Bringing power lines into this area will negatively impact property values. In State v. Evans our Washington Court of Appeals adopted the majority view for condemnation proceedings in regards to psychological impacts and held that regardless of whether they were actual health effects of an eminent domain taking the fact that there were psychological impacts caused by the condemnation is enough to justify an award for depressed property values. And therefore, any compensation due for an easement or fee taking of our client's property will also be accompanied by a request for a diminution in property value.

Health Concerns and Safety

BPA should consider the effects of EMF hazards to residents of nearby

lines. A 500 kv transmission line is much larger not only in terms of the size, but in the potential EMF hazards. A review of the literature suggests that these much larger lines have not been studied adequately and therefore BPA should minimize its own exposure to litigation by moving the lines as far

away from residents as possible. We believe that the underground or DC transmission cables should also be considered in the DEIS.

#### Property Access

Our clients remain concerned with full and unfettered access to their entire property. Any new proposed line that bisects our client's property will limit their ability to have full access to their property. In addition, concerns remain that if a new line proceeds in Segment P that it might limit or cut off the primary access to their property further diminishing property value. Any consideration of impacts to property value should also consider impacts to access.

#### Environmental Issues

A thorough study should also occur as to the environmental impacts that a new line would create in this area. In addition to the potential habitat loss for logging in the easement right of way, BPA needs to study the potential for impacts caused by stormwater and any potential landslide or erosion hazards nearby.

The Clark County GIS suggests that several of the nearby parcels where the proposed right of way would be are severe erosion and landslide areas. As our clients would be downhill from these areas there remains the potential for impacts from a landslide or erosion event. And this is made even more hazardous in a situation where a substantial amount of trees and vegetation will be removed to accommodate the power lines.

Clark County has an NPDES permit under the Clean Water Act through an agreed to order with the Washington Department of Ecology. This order requires that any new development, including public projects, create no new net impacts stormwater. In other words, BPA must consider not only the impacts to the environment caused by the removal of vegetation, but it must also consider how to treat and contain the additional stormwater caused by the removal of vegetation. This could require BPA to build and maintain stormwater facilities that may result in the additional taking of private property.

#### Process

Finally our clients feel that BPA stumbled in this process by continuing to add segments after the scoping comment period closed. Had our clients been aware of the additional segments prior to the scoping period closing they would have commented earlier in the process. In particular, Segment P which impacts our client's property was added for consideration recently well after the scoping period closed. We believe the addition of Segment P late in the process is a detriment to our client's due process under law.

And our client's question as to why BPA continues only to consider one Columbia River crossing alignment. Alternative alignments located to the east of that proposed crossing continue not to be considered. There does not appear to be any explanation for that limitation in the alternatives and therefore the DEIS may be deficient in that regard.

Please cross reference this letter to all of the property owners listed below and be sure that our office along with each of them receives notice of any new developments during this process. Our clients reserve the right to supplement these comments as necessary, and to comment on and appeal, as necessary, the DEIS and FEIS. Should you have any questions or a request for specific information regarding these properties, please do not hesitate to contact our office directly. We thank you in advance for the careful consideration of our comments.

Very Truly Yours,

James D. Howsley, P.C.

On behalf of the following neighbors

Don Kates

[address]

Bryan Call

[address]

Raymond Dickson and Theresa Dickson

[address]

James Martin Nie and Gina Faye Nie

[address]

Jerry Bohonan

[address]

Penny Shepard

[address]

John and Betty Curphy

[address]

Ken Kraisler

[address]

Kevin and Sarah Epp

[address]

John Tuininga

[address]

Bradley L. Slocum



[address]

---

Communication ID: 12823

Date Received: 9/3/2010

Name: SHELLEY A VANDERHEYDEN

Thankfully, our property is so far not affected by the I5 reinforcement project and hopefully this will continue to be the case. In my opinion, even 1 person, their home, property, quality of life, health being affected by this project is too much. No citizens, their home, property or quality of life or health should be threatened. How would any of the BPA people involved in this project feel if their home/property/quality of life/health were threatened? Find another way other than any that are being considered now.

---

Communication ID: 12824

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: FREDERICK TABBUTT, ELIZABETH TABBUTT

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

P.O. Box 9250

Portland OR 97207

August 30, 2010

#### COMMENTS ON BPA I-5 CORRIDOR REINFORCEMENT PROJECT

We are land owners whose property might be affected by the new proposed route for the transmissions lines. We have owned this property for more than 40 years and have valued it for its wildlife, quiet and scenic beauty. We have harvested timber once in that time. That harvest was only alder trees which volunteered after the Yacolt Bum and were well past their optimal growth. Following that cut we have planted a mix of evergreens and allowed alder to return. We have worked, by hand, to control the blackberries and encourage the seedlings. Our family plans to maintain this land for its potential as a healthy, varied and productive forest land.

We strongly urge that BPA reconsider the premise that it needs to establish a new route rather than add capacity to the existing route. The only argument we have heard that a new route is necessary is because there is the small chance that "redundancy" could be desirable. That being the case, the EIS must include a thorough risk assessment as to the vulnerability of the existing route. That risk then must be weighed against the cost of creating a separate route. That cost must include not only the construction cost and the land acquisition costs but, importantly, also the "lost opportunity" costs. By that we mean the future potential for timber production, the protection of water resources, the carbon sequestration potential to off-set climate change, habitat for fish and wildlife. Only when all these costs

are weighed against what appears to be the small risk of power disruption can the EIS adequately serve as a decision document.

It seems to us a spurious premise to claim redundancy is necessary. We do not build an interstate highway system with that premise, nor most other public service or infrastructure projects.

With regard to the new proposed route (labeled F, I & K on your updated project map), this route being farther east, brings it close to the Mt. St. Helens hazard zone as identified by the USGS. The EIS must also address the risk from a volcanic eruption within the same radius as the destructive force of the 1980 eruption had it blown out the SW side instead of the NE side. This, likewise, needs to be addressed with a risk assessment in the EIS.

Frederick and Elizabeth Tabbutt  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12825

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: GINA ANDREWS

August 23,2010

Gina Andrews  
[address]  
[phone]

Mark Korsness, Project Manager  
I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project  
POBox 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

Mr. Korsness,

The purpose of this letter is to provide formal comment on your most recent proposals for the I-5 Reinforcement Project and to request changes be made to the proposal.

First, I will express concerns about the proposal and then finish with a recommendation for an alternative solution which I believe needs to be considered.

In addition to my Dec 12, 2009 opposition to segments 9 and 10, I am opposed to the construction of the 500KV line along routes B & U for the following reasons:

- The 500KV line - as proposed - appears to cross two of my properties which are non-industrial private forestland. These parcels are currently being managed for timber production and provide clean air and clean water as well as habitat for fish -some species of which may well be on the threatened or

endangered list. If your transmission lines cross either of these properties, you would need to cut trees in the Riparian Management Zone (RMZ) along a major fish-bearing creek - something I have been prohibited from doing in the name of protecting threatened and endangered salmon. Why then would you be allowed to harvest that timber when I am prohibited from doing so? And what will happen to the protection of water quality and fish habitat?

I am concerned about this proposed routes as well as other routes through timberland since such a line through currently timbered property would have several devastating environmental and economic impacts on the owners of those properties including:

- Increasing the risk of trespass, illegal dumping, soil erosion (from ATV use), and drug production and use. These are problems which many landowners already struggle with and which are known to be aggravated along powerline ROW's/easements. Trespass can lead to legal (injury) liability issues; dumping can lead to clean up (including toxic wastes) liability; and erosion can lead to requirements to control sediment created by non-forestry (unauthorized ATV) use.
- While BPA may be required to ,mitigate for creating a long, narrow strip clearcut which will never be reforested, the project will still lead to wider breaks in riparian zone timber along fish bearing streams and rivers - most of which support threatened or endangered species of fish. Landowners have suffered additional regulations on their forestlands in order to protect fish, therefore, any other degradation of habitat could lead to yet more stringent regulations being forced onto landowners. And, once any mitigation is completed, I have little confidence that BPA will adequately maintain such mitigation nor return if such mitigation is later found to be inadequate.
- Construction of a new line will lead to the construction of new roads across private timberland in order to access new towers. This increases the risk of erosion and places landowners in a situation where other portions of roads will be used more extensively. Considering that BPA is doing poorly in participating in maintenance of the roads they use now, my concern is that it will only aggravate this problem as well.

In light of the concerns stated above, I request that all Washington routes be eliminate from consideration.

In place of these routes, I believe BPA needs to formally consider a route through Oregon. It seems to me that most of the property from Troutdale to Rainier, Oregon is either industrial land (and industries use a lot of power) or uninhabited, open areas. It is likely that there is or has been an easement/ROW in this area in order to transmit power from the now deactivated Trojan Nuclear Power plant. It seems to me that the new line could be run up the Oregon side of the Columbia River and tie into a substation on the north-south line near Rainier, Oregon.

This whole route proposal also begs the question: If you are trying to get power to California, why are you sending it so far north (Troutdale, OR to Castle Rock, WA) to get it so far south (to California)?! All the more reason an Oregon route makes so much more sense.

I believe that the above factors show that an Oregon route would have far less impact on homeowners and forestland owners as well as have less impact on fish and wildlife and the areas they inhabit, therefore, I strongly request that you reject any Washington route and construct the added transmission needed using an Oregon route.

Sincerely yours,

Gina Andrews

---

Communication ID: 12826

Date Received: 8/18/2010

Name: CHARLES ACKLIN

August 18, 2010

Bonneville Power Administration  
I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project  
P.O. Box 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

Re: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Concern about Neighborhood Impact

And Permission to Enter Property (PEP)

ParcelID: [PARCEL #]

I have received your communication to interested parties for the referenced project, dated August 2, 2010, and a request to enter our property.

I am very concerned about the newly proposed corridor passing through our neighborhood as opposed to the previous corridor which was mostly on DNR land adjacent to our neighborhood. However, I cannot give my final opinion until I receive notification of the exact location of the corridor. Please consider this letter as a request to keep me advised and notify me of the corridor's exact location, when determined. My mailing address and e-mail are:

Charles R. Acklin

[address]

E-mail: [email]

In regard to the Permission to Enter Property (PEP) request, my legal council has advised me that significant modifications to the request are required. I do not wish to incur legal expenses which may not be required if the corridor's final location is not through our neighborhood.

In conclusion, both my concerns and authorization to enter our property depends on the final corridor's location. Authorization to enter property is denied until additional information is received.

Respectfully,

Charles A. Acklin

---

Communication ID: 12828

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: ED ORCUTT

Mark Korsness, Project Manager  
I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project  
PO Box 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

Dear Mr. Korsness,

The purpose of this letter is to formally state my opposition to the proposal for the new 500 KV line to be constructed as part of the I-5 reinforcement project. I will reiterate my concern over routes through timberland - especially non-industrial private forestland - or near homes in Southwest Washington.

I believe that all Washington routes should be rejected and that several Oregon alternatives be developed.

First, if much of the power is to go to California, there seems to be little sense in running power so far north (from Troutdale, OR to Castle Rock, WA) just to send it south to California. If this is needed in part to send power to California, then it would make far more sense to run the line due west or southwest. Running the lines west would run them through industrial areas of Portland (which use a lot of power), then would run them primarily across low agricultural lands which are uninhabited.

Such a route would reduce the impact on threatened and endangered species as no timber would need to be cut along streams. And, fewer roads would need to be constructed. All of this means that there would be less risk of increased water temperature in streams and less likelihood of erosion into these streams which will result from removal of timber and increased road construction.

If a forestland route is needed, then BPA should use a southwestern route from Troutdale to the Wilsonville area of Oregon. This would get power closer to the (BPA) desired destination in California. Also, since Oregon's forest practices rules require narrower buffers, there would be lower costs for purchasing easements/ROW's and less to mitigate, thus, lower costs for mitigation.

Furthermore, I am concerned that landowners will be left with greater federal liability in light of the recent 9th Circuit Court of Appeals decision regarding forest roads in which the court ruled that forest roads create pollution which should be regulated by EPA under the Clean Water Act. No one knows

what that will mean in terms of new road standards or road maintenance requirements. In light of this new ruling, it would be in BPA's best interest - and that of all landowners - for BPA to choose/develop a route across agricultural lands not yet ruled upon by the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. That could be accomplished using an Oregon route.

For the above stated reasons, I believe BPA is failing in its duty to consider all viable options until it considers (and chooses) an Oregon route.

Sincerely,

Representative Ed Orcutt  
18th Legislative District  
EO/ady

---

Communication ID: 12830

Date Received: 5/27/2010

Name: PAT MCDONNELL

May 27, 2010  
Mark Korsness  
I-5 Project Manager  
BPA TEP-TPP-3  
P.O. Box 491  
Vancouver, WA 98666

Dear Mr. Korsness:

On May 24, 2010 the Vancouver City Council adopted Resolution #M-3718 (enclosed) regarding the proposed alignment of the Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) new electric transmission lines in Clark County.

A number of our citizens have raised concerns about the proposed route of the electric transmission lines and the impact the lines would have on property values, community appearance and potentially on health and the environment. The transmission lines will have a dramatic and long-term effect on the community and therefore the Vancouver City Council is asking that BPA select a transmission line route that is located outside of the city limits and outside of the Urban Growth Area (UGA). Please see the attached resolution for more details regarding the City's position.

The City appreciates BPA's willingness to be a good partner and your on-going outreach efforts on this project to both the Council and to the community and we look forward to continued dialog. If need additional information, please contact Richard Landis at [phone] or [email]

Sincerely,

PAT MCDONNELL  
City Manager

Cc: Congressman Brian Baird  
Senator Patty Murray  
Senator Maria Cantwell  
Clark County Board of Commissioners  
Cc: Senator Craig Pridemore  
Senator Don Benton  
Senator Joe Zarelli  
Senator Jim Honeyford  
Representative Jim Jacks  
Representative Tim Probst  
Representative Jim Moeller  
Representative Deb Wallace  
Representative Ed Orcutt  
Representative Jamie Herrera  
Representative David Taylor  
Representative Bruce Chandler  
Enclosure - City Council Resolution #M-3718

-----  
Resolution M-3718

WHEREAS, BPA's proposed new transmission line has generated significant interest and concern from the local community, including citizen testimony to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, with the proposed new transmission line traveling from the Castle Rock area in Cowlitz County, Washington to Troutdale, Oregon, many home and property owners stand to be significantly affected; and

WHEREAS, the Council understands the importance to the future of our community of this new line in continuing to provide the northwest region with reliable, low-cost energy which is largely from hydroelectric sources and thus one of the cleanest power sources available; and

WHEREAS, the Council also recognizes that Clark County residents, including Vancouver residents, continue to express strong concerns about property values, community appearance, and potential health and environment impacts; and

WHEREAS, the Council is sensitive to the fact that at least two of the currently proposed east/west lines come through current Vancouver City limits and others are within the City's Urban Growth Area (UGA) and will have dramatic and long term effects on homes and businesses in Vancouver; and

WHEREAS, the Council shares the concerns of the Clark County Commissioners and believes that BPA should choose the least disruptive route possible, not only to citizens but to all local communities and jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, the Council recognizes that while BPA's costs for purchase of right-of-way and installation of the line are of key importance, those costs must be weighed against the potential economic impacts on local communities that will be affected by the proposed alignments; and

WHEREAS, the Council appreciates BPA's interest in being a good community partner and in keeping the affected cities and counties involved and apprised of the most up-to-date information about the project, and

WHEREAS, the Council encourages BPA to continue to solicit public input and engage the neighborhoods in this essential process; and

WHEREAS, the Council will continue to encourage residents to remain involved in this process, particularly in the public comment period after the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is published; and

WHEREAS, the Council encourages BPA to bring forward updated information about the citizen input BPA receives and the Draft EIS.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY OF VANCOUVER

Section 1. The Vancouver City Council hereby requests that • BPA select a new transmission line located completely outside the current city limits of Vancouver and completely outside the Vancouver Urban Growth Area (UGA).

Section 2. The Vancouver City Council hereby directs the City Manager to transmit this Resolution to the Bonneville Power Administration, the Board of Clark County Commissioners, and to the Clark County state legislative and congressional delegations.

ADOPTED at regular session of the Council of the City of Vancouver, this 24th. day of May, 2010.

Timothy D. Leavitt

Attest:

R. Lloyd Tyler, City Clerk

By: Carrie Lewellen, Deputy City Clerk

Approved as to form:

Ted H. Gathe, City Attorney

Judith Zeider

Chief Assistant City Attorney

---

Communication ID: 12831



Date Received: 9/5/2010

Name: BRAD WOOD

BPA Voicemail

Date received: September 5, 2010, 12:53 pm

Please add me to the distribution list, Brad Wood, [address]. I certainly appreciate the city of Castle Rock providing a Monday, August 30 meeting at 4-7 pm to discuss the proposal, however now it is September 5th. I'd like to see another meeting scheduled. Please send me any information you can.

---

Communication ID: 12832

Date Received: 9/7/2010

Name: DARREN ANONYMOUS

BPA Voicemail

Date received: September 7, 2010, 11:54 am

I just had a quick question there for somebody about the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement project. If somebody could me a call back that would be great, my name is Darren. My phone number is [phone number].

---

Communication ID: 12833

Date Received: 9/9/2010

Name: JEFRIE ADAMS

In regards to the "I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project" In Cowlitz County near Castle Rock, WA; I would like to find out exactly which properties in the Lookout Ridge Community are on the plans to have Power Towers erected. The maps on this site aren't detailed enough to determine how close they will come to our property which is located in the Lookout Ridge Community at parcel # [parecel] (Lot C) Lookout Ridge Road, Castle Rock, WA Thank you, Jefrie S. Adams

---

Communication ID: 12834

Date Received: 9/9/2010

Name: WES DOWNING,ROSE MARIE DOWNING

I can't believe there isn't a better way to put these lines than what you have stated. This area is mighty important to the people that live here, and we aren't going to lay down and roll over for you.

Rethink the Pearl route- there must be some underhanded reason you aren't doing so. So you spend more money-isn't that our money too? I think we have a right to say how its spent. Get 'er done the right way!!!!

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12835

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: PATRICIA E BIEZE

I have only recently become aware of the BPA I-5 corridor reinforcement Project so my questions at this time are designed to understand the impact of the project on my neighborhood. Although the path would be shorter if it were more direct, It appears that the project will go through part of my neighborhood. Is this correct? How can I see a detailed map ( house by house) of the direction of the project through my neighborhood? This is an older established neighborhood. The houses in my neighborhood have been here for decades, why did you decide to take it through my neighborhood? In my neighborhood, how many houses will be removed and which houses will remain? How tall will the towers be? What are the dimensions of the base? What is the distance from the base of the towers to the nearest houses? What hazards to the existing houses would you track? How would you track the impact of the high wires on health of the people in the neighborhood? I look forward to your reply. Pat Bieze

---

Communication ID: 12836

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: GEORGE H KINSEY

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am very concerned about the various proposals for the new power line that will build in the next few years in Clark County between Castle Rock, Washington, and Troutdale, Oregon. Several factors have not been answered to my satisfaction.

- 1) All the proposed routes include areas populated by county residents. Questions have been raised as to whether or not power lines are responsible for medical diseases such as childhood leukemia.
- 2) Property values will be reduced as a result of picturesque views being destroyed and because of possible health issues.
- 3) Why was the Oregon option dropped so early in the decision making process (lines crossing near Longview, Washington, and then going to the Pearl substation near Wilsonville, Oregon)?

4) Why were no routes proposed into Skamania County where there is ample room to build these lines away from populated areas?

5) Why is it that Clark and Cowlitz Counties will suffer from the effects of these new towers when the power that will be carried is for Oregon (Multnomah County) and California?

I look forward to your addressing these concerns. It is my hope that the lines are built either further east of Clark County in nonpopulated areas or are built in the Oregon counties of Columbia and Multnomah since Oregon residents are to be the ultimate users of the newly transmitted electricity.

Respectfully,

George H. Kinsey

---

Communication ID: 12837

Date Received: 9/11/2010

Name: RON WOOLCOCK

I live next to line 25 in map 103. I hope you are looking at the area from 137th to 162nd Vae north of 49th street. If you would construct your line on the north side of the exsiting line, then you would not be evicting approximaley 250 familys. In addtion, I think you would save the tax payer money since the land north is just farm land. I like to have a responce to this idea. Thank you Ron

---

Communication ID: 12838

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: CONNIE BARTOLD

BPA Voicemail

Date received: September 10, 2010, 11:55 a.m.

This is Connie Bartold, I need to speak to somebody regarding an address change, actually a name on an address. So please call me at [phone number]. This home [address] belonged to Warren Bartold and Connie. It only belongs to Connie Bartold. Please call me back at [address] and tell me how to get the address changed.

---

Communication ID: 12839

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: CONNIE BARTOLD

Hello, this is Connie Bartold, at [address], please email me at [email], confirming that you have taken off Warren Bartold name off of this property. If you look at the Cowlitz County title records his name is no

longer on this and he signed a quit claim deed in 2009. Your records are wrong, please send all correspondence to [address]. This is Connie Bartold, please call me back also at [address]. I need to find out what you need to be able to change this address and to take his name off his address and make sure all correspondence gets to my P.O. Box. Again my phone number is [address]. Please leave a message if I do not answer my phone. I would appreciate a quick response.

---

Communication ID: 12840

Date Received: 2/22/2010

Name: MICHAEL O'BRIEN,SHELLY O'BRIEN

To: Mark Korsness,

Project Mgr, BPA

We are writing to you regarding our concern about the possible building of new 15 story towers and high voltage transmission lines bordering many communities along Segments 9 and 25, as proposed by the BPA.

The exposure to new power lines and towers would not only be a health hazard but the unsightly equipment would seriously affect the value of our homes and make the sales of homes difficult and reduce much needed property tax to the county.

How could BPA put adults at risk for brain and breast cancer, Alzheimer's, Lou Gehrig's disease and leukemia for our children because you are not willing to spend a little more and take the unpopulated route?

The BPA proposed route of 9 and 25 needs to be stopped before it is started.

We encourage you to pressure the BPA to not build the towers and high voltage lines along the highly populated routes of 9 and 25 and instead, direct the high voltage lines through unpopulated forested areas to the East.

---

Communication ID: 12841

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: BRIAN AGREN

My wife and I own a small horse training facility within one of your proposed lines. I do not believe from our own experience that EMF dose not have any effect on health. We have boarded horses at other barns that are under and or around high voltage power lines. The horses did not do well. They were agitated and did not eat well, lost weight and had poor performance, I believe we would have to move our business if you put this line through at great expense to us with no compensation from you.

---

Communication ID: 12842

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: MONTE MARTINSEN

Having managed Longview Fibre Timberlands in S.W. Wash for over 30 years it makes sense to me to use Casey Rd area to head East across I-5 into Wco. lands and turn south to intersect proposed R/W when crossing spirit lake Hwy in vicinity of Tower Rd. Thus staying in mostly DNR and WCo Timberlands and minimizing impacts to the many residential parcels as presently proposed. My experience proves that forest management and harvesting operations can indeed be done. LFCo lands have many miles of power line R/Ws and we managed.

---

Communication ID: 12843

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: JUSTON M POELING

Can BPA look at some minor adjustments to the proposed option F at Skyline Ridge Forest Reserve. Your current alignment comes close to new houses being built. There are larger parcels just east of our development around the intersection of Headquarters Road and Fir Lane. Would like to meet and discuss in further detail.

---

Communication ID: 12844

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: DIANE L COOK

I have property at [address] in Castle Rock with a house etc...

We would like the following info mailed to us on the card.

Thanks,

Diane Cook

---

Communication ID: 12845

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: GLENN HUDSON

Need to eliminate Route 3 and the Monahan Creek site because they adversely affect the most people. The best choices are Route 1 or 2 and one of the substation sites north of Castle Rock because they impact the least number of people.

---

Communication ID: 12846

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: ELLEN WALLACE

There are Bald Eagles in Rose Valley- NESTING eagles- how can you disturb this area?

Can you attach cell tower to lines?

What about earthquake hazard?

Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12847

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: CLINT MOORE

We recently purchased property in Weyco's 'Skyline Ridge' gated, forest reserve; Weyco promoted this area as being tranquil and serene. In BPA's proposed route F, high voltage power lines would come directly thru Lookout Ridge, as well as Skyline Ridge. We feel we have been misled, as our promise of tranquility, along with a natural forest land atmosphere, will be greatly compromised for what, residents of California and Oregon! We don't want to see our property values decrease, listen to the constant hum of high voltage lines, and these unsightly structures within constant view.

---

Communication ID: 12848

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: ROBIN UHLENKOTT

BPA should make it their highest priority to not impact people's homes.

-People living along route "F" have had the least amount of time/involvement in this process. It was added after others have had many months to comment. It feels like we are getting "the short end of the stick."

---

Communication ID: 12849

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: JUSTON M POELING

Can BPA extend the closing date for the Scoping Phase of this project? People that have been affected by the new option "F" have not had enough opportunity to comment.

We would like a copy of the EMF Report from the 1990s!

---

Communication ID: 12850

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: CLARA OTTOSEN-WILKINS

Map A: Going through Weyerhaeuser land/crossing Headquarters Road. I can't believe that Weyco would agree with such a plan. Hundreds and hundreds of beautiful building acres that sell for \$50,000 and Weyerhaeuser likes your plan? Don't think so\_ Go south more to the edge of Weyco land would be more practical and not impact future growth in the area. \$500,000 and homes on S. S. L. Rd\_

Keep me on your mailing list please.

---

Communication ID: 12851

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

If BPA were to move the Troutdale further up the C. River, consider an "under the river" route to avoid the unsightly towers in the area. This would take the lines North thru DNR land and would avoid many private citizens.

---

Communication ID: 12852

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: LIZ HAMILTON

3-18-10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

Jim Bryant Attn: TERR- 3

PO Box 3621

Portland, OR. 97208

Questions of importance to me:

0. Since you ask for 150Ft. swath for Towers, please show me a smaller foot print. At present you are asking for all 5 parcels of my land which is 130 acres, no need for all of it. Your aerial photo down the middle is enough for now.

1. Impt.: Sec. 368 ENERGY CORRIDORS ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005 was to designate Energy Corridors in Federal Lands precisely to facilitate transmission investments which would minimize negative human impacts and public opposition. Is BPA doing this? If not, why not?

2. I do not have a computer and I don't know how to use one. No need for one. Thus, please keep me informed.

3. I would like to have BPA send a man capable of making decisions, come out to see me, and walk with me as I could show him the best route, avoiding creeks, wet land, water holes and service road. I would then like his input. When scoping begins, I want to walk with you.

4. How many feet do you recommend my house be away from Electromagnetic Field? I understand 600 ft. for 500 Kilovolt transmission line. If this is possible -- + plus. I would be a happy camper.

5. Now for my concerns:

1. Is this form of BPA transmission considered efficient? Is there a better way?

2. Is there a contract between BPA and land owner? Will land owner be allowed any say in contract?

3. Does exposure to 500 Kilovolt power lines cause tumor or cancers?

4. How wide is underground transmission line?

5. How wide if wanting to grow timber?

410 ft. for overhead? Underground?

6. [DIAGRAM INCLUDED]

After BPA decides approximately where on owners land they want to cross, will BPA let land owner have a say in choice thats reasonable if possible? It should not be to save dollars for the BPA. There are many familys involved here, children, Grand children, Great great Grand children and all their familys for generations to come. I log the trees, I plant the trees & my children will do the same for their children & etc.. These are our hopes & dreams, to be self sufficient, independent & financially take care of old age.

Will BPA return all paper work to Land owner regarding the scopeing process when route is determined & release the land owner?? They will not be filed in BPA's negative or dead letter file??.

7. If Hi tech or another form of transmission should be developed, our contract with BPA should read, if ever BPA lines are abandoned, towers & lines should be removed & land go back to land owner.

8. Give us a true map showing the closest potential crossing over our land.

How wide the towers??

How high? How far apart? Lightening grounded?

9. Does BPA pay for Liability?

Does BPA pay for anything toward Taxes?



Does BPA give land owner free electricity for use of land?. Good Idea - !!

10. What are the affects on "Well Water Table" ?? with all the big holes dug & earth moving? Elect. arching?? Flash over?? Old bath tubs used for cattle water??

11. Well BPA replace my fencing, gates, locks, No trespass signs, No hunting signs?

12. If BPA causes fire & it consumes my timber, home, buildings, do they pay 100% replacement?

13. How will BPA discourage motor cycles?

14. What are the existing dangers to people, animals, crops?

15. Electric & Electromagnetic fields, how does this affect:

Heart pace maker, hearing aids, dental fillings, metal buildings - steel - aluminum- etc. ?

Gasoline engines - working under power lines -?

Chain saws, tractor, D2 Cat, truck, car, stored gas, diesel ???

Cattle with cow bells on chains?

10 ft. dia. satellite TV dish ?

Electronic things, cell phones, TV antenna on roof of house ?

Magnetic North, seasonal surveying ??

confusion for critters on ground under power line, buried line?

16. Fire, static elect., broken line, electrocution, underground or above ??

17. Confusion for wild life, birds, Elk, Deer. coyote, cat, dog ??

18. Fencing, barb wire, field fence, metal gates & etc.?

19. I have 3 locked gates for my security:: CALL FIRST

20. Buriel site on sec 21

21. PUD & Quest have buried cable and Right OF Way.

22. Buried water line & elect. wire thru out farm.

23. I have SEPTIC Field - how affect ?

24. WELLS, all underground, pipeing & Elect. thru out?

25. Water hole & creek used to water cattle ?

26. Splitting wood with wedge & sledge, creating sparks, under lines ?

27. How does PUD deal with lightning, 90 mi. Per hour winds??

28. Because of less environmental damage, are you willing to use Weyerhaeuser road for service that goes direct to Oysterander?

29. You have roads: Hamilton Heights & Mt. Brynion, in the wrong place on your Photo map, off by almost 2 miles...

When you come out to see me, I'll be glad to show you.

30. When & if you do scopeing, I want to go along.

31. All for now, will think of more later.

Thank You.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth L. Hamilton

[address] OR [address]

Phone: 3[phone number]

32. What effects from BPA Electromagnetic field on PUD newly installed Digital Meter System?

33. Also, effect on home burgler system?

34. Electric fence for cattle?

35. Car alarm-burgler? -car electronics and etc.?

Material submitted at your Longview meeting.

Never heard back.

No computer here.

---

Communication ID: 12853

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: MARK PORTUKALIAN

Thanks for destroying my property value for the next 3 years while you diddle daddle around with all your wide swaths of potential line runs. I can't sell my property due to this ridiculous procedure. If you choose line 18 it will even further destroy my property value, even if you run it south of my property onto the DNR land.

Mark Portukalian

---

Communication ID: 12854

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

The initial pre-selection of such a broad area impact has diminished the potential value & saleability to the proposed property routes. Should one wish to sale a property designated "in the path", disclosure of the proposed project would be necessary & potential buyers would not wish to buy properties that might be "taken" in the near future.

A different method of selection should have been considered & areas no longer being considered should be notified in a more timely manner.

Thank you

---

Communication ID: 12855

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: MORGINE JURDAN

I would like to know if BPA ever considered the most cost efficient- Least Impactful to residents Line? It appears that cost is the bottom line, while the purpose is to transmit excess electricity. So You will, in the end, make a profit at OUR EXPENSE -Not only do we deal with the devastation of land, lower property values, pollution, possible health hazards, our tax base goes down and we Lose services like Fire and Ambulance, which took us years to acquire, we lose revenue from tourists (half a million a year statistically) who come here to hike, fish, camp, boat, etc- Mt. St. Helens is known for its pristine nature. Less people will be attracted to an area covered with your towers.

We moved here 30 years ago to escape city life, phone lines, noise and live immersed in Nature. Your towers do not attract wild life visitors or anything beneficial.

I could go on and on. There is NO hatred here. A line needs to come somewhere- we do NOT have a lot to fall back on, like city areas. This could Devastate our entire valley- I just want something BETTER and I know you CAN CREATE IT- IF YOU OPEN YOURSELF TO YOUR BRILLIANCE - THERE IS A BETTER WAY [HEART] Thank you for creating it.

---

Communication ID: 12856

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: BOB WINSOR, BECKY WINSOR

Please explain why, with hundreds of acres of forest land around us, you would have to come across our small 2 acre parcel.

In the event of an appraisal, how do your appraisers set a value on 30 years of our lives on this property? Bob waited 20 years for the garage/shop of his dreams. I built all the rock walls, we built a pond and waterfall. To BPA, this is just a little bit of property- it's 30 years of our lives.

---

Communication ID: 12857

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: ROGER L MILES

As it seems widely known that a project of this nature will result in severely reduced private property value, why is any selection of route other than the farthest under serious consideration?

---

Communication ID: 12858

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: JOHN HAYENGA

We live 30' from Line 9 in rural La Center and as you know many houses are on Line 9 as it reaches the populated areas in Vancouver. The impact to us and all of these houses in property values and health concerns are both perceived and real, especially property values.

Please continue to consider at all costs placement of the new lines to the far east.

GO EAST PLEASE

Thank you for your time

---

Communication ID: 12859

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: DARLENE DORCHEUS

Please consider moving your line further east and crossing between Yale Lake and Swift Dam. Not as many homes. Few in fact. None on the other side. Let us keep our property values. What is left.

---

Communication ID: 12860

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: PATRICIA STEPP

The projected OK line through the Yale Valley is not OK! This valley is a wilderness area and recreation area for thousands of people every year.

The people who live in the valley have moved here to be close to the forest and the outdoor lifestyle. They want to protect and sustain the quality of life that they have worked to insure over the last 40 years.

Change is coming, but it need not be forced on rural populations- more thought should be put into the route. We want to protect our valley!

---

Communication ID: 12861

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: ANDREA PIRRONE

Please include on your website using Google Maps the ability to add or search a specific address to see if that address is still being considered in your I-5 Corridor Project.

---

Communication ID: 12862

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: NITA KING,SANFORD KING

This parcel is now in Escrow. The buyer, when informed of the project, may now rescind the offer. We invested our retirement money in this project. The recession has lowered the values, now this project is not allowing us to sell the lots that we were counting on to recoup our investment. Plus we built our home here, planning to stay for many years. Our home and neighborhood is 3 years old- We had no idea that this area could be impacted by a project like this.

Skip & Nita King

See map.

[Map of parcel included]

---

Communication ID: 12863

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: BOLTON C MINISTER

We own property along the "P" Route. We had no idea that BPA had any plans to build a lower line along this route and in June of this year started construction on a new house and shop on property within 50 feet of the western boundary of the DNR land. We would never have started this home had we known of this proposal. We are very concerned about living adjacent to a 500 kilovolt power line

because of the health hazards from EMFs, reduction of property value add general affect on quality of life. The DNR recently logged lands to the east of us. The DNR, following state environmental laws left a strip of timber adjacent to their westerly boundary adjacent to our property boundary to buffer a tributary of Lacamas Creek. The creek adjacent to our boundary run approximately 100' east of the property line. That creek has cutthroat trout and bull trout. On our property we have a small pond stocked with rainbow trout. Every day osprey fly to and from our pond from the DNR Land. They have nests in the DNR land. Also blueheron fly that route to our pond, so they too must nest on DNR land. These birds feed on our trout. We don't mind because we like seeing the birds. How is it that the BPA doesn't have to follow the same environmental laws as the DNR? This proposal would require removal of a 150' swath of timber through the creek area that runs north and south along the DNR westerly boundary. That would destroy the fish and bird habitat and the habitat for many deer and bear that live in that wooded area. We don't understand why BPA would want to disrupt and impact so many people as well as wildlife along this route when the BPA already has existing right-of-way further west, where this powerline could be built. If they can't build the line there, why can't they build it in proposal route further east in DNR land away from the public impacts in public land.

---

Communication ID: 12864

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: ILENE BLACK

In the past 30 years this valley has been hit with major financial, job and other domestic problems. This was a logging community. Then the spotted owl stopped many jobs. Then the eruption of Mt St Helens, red zone, businesses closed and people moved away. The community changed to retirees and commuters. If the retirees now loose their real estate value- due to high voltage power lines and the scenic loss with towers within view.

Saddle road community has 45 homes with wells average 80 ft deep. Only 2 go thru rock the rest thru sand. Any sprays under power lines could leech into water supply. If property suffers appraised less- community services, ambulances, school and fire will loose tax money they can't afford to loose and still provide service.

---

Communication ID: 12865

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: CHERYL HILL

Destruction of pristine property, wildlife.

Noise levels - humming from lines.

Cancer!

If the majority of power is going to Oregon why do we want it over here? We don't!

---

\*Build along the I5 corridor (09)you already own that property!

We moved out here for the beauty and peacefulness. I don't want to drive to work or recreation areas and see these lines in my front or back yard.

\*Underground would also be a good option- I don't think people would care about the expense.

If all else fails: I5 best option, underground 2nd and (O and K) third.

---

Communication ID: 12866

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: CARRIE BLADOW

If at all possible, please move the line further east, avoiding the greatest amount of private land owners. I don't want to have to move. I am mostly concerned with health issues and property value.

---

Communication ID: 12867

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: JIM MALINOWSKI

Routes 30, 26 and 18 should be eliminated in favor of route O and K. The criteria for route selection should be to minimize impacts on scenic and historic values in Clark Co.

---

Communication ID: 12868

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: JOHN HUFFMAN

Can you put the line over Yale Lake? If you can, then there are no homes by Yale boat launch or across the lake.

I saw a huge power line across Kootney Lake in B.C. This lake is much wider than Yale, so it seems like it can be done.

---

Communication ID: 12869

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: JOHN SWATOSH

09/25 is my vote

Please stop blaming wind for the I-5 reinforcement

Thanks for the cheap power.

---

Communication ID: 12870

Date Received: 9/8/2010

Name: CAROL TOCCO

I want a printed copy of the draft EIS as soon as it is available.

---

Communication ID: 12871

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: RYAN OJERIO

I am a representative for the Washington trails association a private non-profit organization that advocates for healthy recreation. Silver Star Mt and the western Yacolt Burn Forest are outstanding resources for the Vancouver/Portland area and surrounding communities. Line segment "O" would severely impact the recreational quality of these areas. The towers and associated clear cut swath would tarnish the view from Silver Star Mt and the trails that go to its summit. Line segment "O" would also cut across the Chinook trail a designated national recreation trail, the Tarbell Trail, and several proposed new trails. These new trails were identified in the western Yacolt Burn Recreation Plan that seeks to provide for the recreation needs of 50,000 annual visitors as well as many future generations. Please strike segment "O" from consideration and protect Clark County's most outstanding recreational resource.

---

Communication ID: 12872

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: FRANK ZHANG

The potential route 50 is very close to Camas High School and residential area in Lacamas Summit. I think it is not a right thing to do to add such high voltage power line so close to school. My neighbors share the same opinion as mine. We shall put health of our children at the highest priority. We think BPA shall NOT keep the route 50 in the consideration.

Another thing I want to point out is that Camas already added about 1000 acres north of Lacamas Lake into city boundary, and planned a lot future growth in that area, which route 50 cuts through. That area north of Lacamas Lake will be more and more dense in population. So route 50 will impact more and more people in a negative way.

-Frank

---

Communication ID: 12873



Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: DAN GEORGE

I own property in the Cougar/Ariel area and do not want these lines running through the forested areas around me.

---

Communication ID: 12874

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

To the Panel:

Answers short and to the point. Rick should know answers - no beating around the bush!

---

Communication ID: 12875

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: PAT TROWBRIDGE

The highest purpose that should be considered in evaluating the preferred location for this project is the socioeconomic impact to the individuals who live in the areas impacted. The cost, the impact on timber land, the impact on recreational land are secondary.

As individuals we have seen our property values plummet and our retirement funds disappear. At what point do we as individuals have any value or importance?

---

Communication ID: 12876

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: ROBERT J O'LEARY

My major concern is the proposed "P" line this directly affects many residents that have been a long time est. Proposed lines to the east have little to no effect on residents. The "O" line if needed cuts through uninhabited state forest land. Another concern I have is the state's opposition to the "O" line. From what I understand the state's concerned about lost revenue from log proceeds and recreational area impact. The last three forest fires have been started in the Jones' Creek area by recreation mishaps. The loss of state revenue due to loss of logs will be about the same no matter where the line is run, and it will cost BPA far more to remove people from their properties than to pay for forested lots.

---

Communication ID: 12877

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: LOLA HISSMAN

Please do not put these power lines in the I-5 corridor. They are unsafe and unhealthy especially to young children.

---

Communication ID: 12878

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: SHARON KENOSKI

- 1) Do not put the line through populated areas as will have greatest impact along I-5 corridor.
  - 2) Be HONEST - how much power goes to OR?
  - 3) EMF could have health effects so needs to be located away from populated areas.
  - 4) Take away P line. Use O line because it impacts less people. Use public land for public projects.
  - 5) If you don't want a law suit consider line through OR to cross at Longview if OR gets > 30% of power = only fair!
  - 6) Improve land for trails only in populated areas ie Camas.
- 

Communication ID: 12879

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: MARGARET A HENDRIX

Please keep this new line out of Clark Cty Residential Areas.

---

Communication ID: 12880

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: CHRISTINA KENOSKI

It not only effects people that have houses 400 ft. from where the want to put the towers but there is also schools that will be affected and you may say I don't know enough to say that EMF will largely effect (caused by the towers) the kids that are around it a lot, but if cell phones can do damige and you can't even relize what your talking about when you say oh you can't put lines hear its to close to animals habitats well thats going to be the case almost everywhere you go. Not to mention how it affects home values. Go with line O it has hardly any population, and if you don't go with that because of \$ well in the long run after you by all the propoerty from the home owners it will be about the same!

---

Communication ID: 12881

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: VINCENT ROSALES

One proposed line (P) will pass much to close to our property.

---

Communication ID: 12882

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

Are there various width for 500 KV right-of-ways, or is there a standard width that will be applied to all line segments?

---

Communication ID: 12883

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: KATHLEEN LINGO

I feel that there has to be some way to put the towers in a place that affects the least amount of people. I understand that there have been several studies that suggest that EMF does not cause harm to individuals. However, there are just as many studies that support the link between EMF and various illnesses including childhood leukemia. I hope that BPA feels an ethical responsibility to do the right thing and put the least amount of people in harms way. I would hate to be a part of a company that could potentially harm individuals not only medically, but financially. Who knows what science will discover years from now about the effects of EMF. It is scary for me as a homeowner w/ young children to think about. Please, please take our concerns seriously and use a route to the far east.

---

Communication ID: 12884

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: JANE M REVESZ

Who are the major negotiators for DNR?

Is there a Mr. Brady recently assigned to this project and an employee of DNR?

Jane Revesz

[email address]

---

Communication ID: 12885

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: BOLTON C MINISTER

My property is on the western boundary of the DNR where the "P" line is proposed. The DNR recently logged and left a strip of timber to protect a tributary of Lacamas creek following state environmental rules. Now the BPA is proposing to wipe out that strip of timber. Why doesn't the Federal Gov have to follow state environmental rules. If the BPA is going to cut a 150 foot swath of timber through DNR land, why don't they do it where they don't affect so many private landowners? I am building a new house that would be within 100 feet or less of the proposed line. I would never have built the house had I known that the BPA was proposing this line. I know that the BPA says that the transmission lines do not cause health problems. I disagree. Someday it will be proven that the EMF's DO cause health problems. Why would BPA want to extend their future liability by locating this new line adjacent to existing residences when they have an opportunity to locate it on public land that will not affect private landowners and not cause untold health problems. BPA has NO permission to EVER come onto my land for any purpose! -They have already sent people trespassing on our property.

---

Communication ID: 12886

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: PAUL NELSON

Away from population areas-

Put it where effects the least amount of people-

---

Communication ID: 12887

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: LESTER SARASOHN

I understand that one of the considerations leading to cancel plans to extend the wire through Oregon, was the close proximity to existing wires, and the resulting dangers. Why is not the same reasoning being given along route #9 and #25?

---

Communication ID: 12888

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: NADINE ROBSON

I believe it is the bureau of parks and recreation has dedicated in Sept., a public trail near Goot Park and the existing power lines. The plan is also to establish a dog park in the same area. Because of that, more people will be in the area, additional parking will be need etc. Is this a good area for a high voltage line when it would not only affect the present population and residents, but also people entering that area frequently?

---

Communication ID: 12889

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: HILLARY AXFORD

Questions about proposed route 39

\*Is the easement on segment 39 already large enough for the additional line?

\*If so, is the room for the new line to the north or south of the existing line?

\*How far apart does the new line and existing need to be?

---

Communication ID: 12890

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: BETH LEAS

1) The lack of knowledge, understanding and information regarding the health issues impact is terrible. BPP (Rick) should have the facts before proposing the I5 corridor project and holding these meetings.

2) People and the homes they own and their health is the number one priority!! The environment, recreational use animals and cost is all second.

3) Does anyone on the panel have a home that will be impacted by this project?

4) Please put people first.

---

Communication ID: 12891

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: BILL WHEELER,KATHI WHEELER

We are residents of the Yale Valley and we believe the number of towers to negotiate the valley is excessive. By our measurements, within a 2-3 mile distance there could be 5-6 towers. Looking at the project map, this is the highest density of towers proposed with the I-5 corridor.

The Yale community is a viable community. We have a elementary school, Yale, K-5 which is one of the remote and necessary schools in WA state. We have a fire district, a library and EMS services. While we are are area, Yale Valley is active and valuable community.

---

Communication ID: 12892

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

Segment 45

1)As I think about EIS-

The project will hurt the environment but if we have already decided to do do the project - the environment will be hurt.

-I don't believe that the impact to the human environment (health & land values) are given enough weight as compared to environmental impacts.

2) How soon will we see proposed center-lines within segments and tower locations. How will info be shared. This impacts how I will invest in my own property.

---

Communication ID: 12893

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

1) If line losses are the real drivers for not constructing further east (ie by Bonneville Dam), the argument presented sounded very weak, and stating the reason about not building in the Gorge also was not well supported.

2) The attendee indicated that BPA had an easement on USFS land from the Bonneville Dam, but BPA didn't give a clear response. Whether they have an (easement?) available or not.

---

Communication ID: 12894

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

If segment 45 is selected there is a strong possibility that it will circumvent to the south and west the neighborhoods at intersection of segments 45, 50, 46, 47

(Yes, yes, yes please!)

Avoid going thru the subdivision

-follow edge of neighborhood SW corner along woods.

---

Communication ID: 12895

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

When BPA presents info @ meetings as well as printed info - Environment is always stressed more.

Verbal and printed subconscious bias to environment over people?

---

Communication ID: 12896

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: COLIN MOSCHETTI,CORI MOSCHETTI,ASHLEY ROELOFS,STEVEN ROELOFS

August 9, 2010

Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
905 N.E. 11th Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing to ask that Bonneville Power Administration reconsider their plan to construct a 500KV line through Clark County. The Pearl route through Oregon should be included in BPA's scoping process and all lines in populated areas be removed.

In 1912 my family purchased four pieces of property located on the proposed Line 26. Three of the four properties are still owned and occupied by family members.

It is disturbing to me that the residents of Clark County are being told they must submit to invasive and costly power lines so that power can move from Canada to Oregon and eventually on to California.

These lines are of no benefit to the residents of Clark County or the property owners that will suffer greatly from their construction.

BPA's offer to "compensate" for a right of way or completely destroy and buy property is a travesty. You cannot put a price on history or heritage: we could never replace 98 years of family history no matter the amount of compensation offered.

I ask that BPA do the right thing and consider the long term effect to property owners and the livability of Clark County as a whole. It is only fair and just that BPA include the Pearl route in Oregon and keep power lines out of populated areas.

Respectfully,

Colin Moschetti  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12897

Date Received: 9/30/2010

Name: MARGARET BOYLE

August 30, 2010

Anita Decker, COO

BPA

PO Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

Ms. Decker:

My name is Margaret Boyle and I am writing to you about the proposed BPA power lines. Last October, on my birthday, I received a letter from BPA stating their intention to route a 500 kilo-volt power transmission line through my 5 acre home. Needless to say, it was not the best birthday I've ever had, and I'm sorry to say things haven't gotten any better since.

Our home is located along Line 26, along with many, many others who also live on 5 acres parcels. Any route using this line will destroy not only our home values, but our lives here as well. Can you imagine looking out your window and seeing towers 75' away from you, well over 100' tall, buzzing constantly, standing over a clear cut and stripped swath 150' feet wide? My lot, as are the lots of most of my neighbors, is only 300' wide. We are not living in a suburban or urban area that has many man-made features. We did not choose to buy a property or home close to existing power lines or freeways or any of the other things you expect in an urban neighborhood. We live in rural Washington. When I look out now I see trees and pasture. This is what we worked for, sacrificed for, saved our money for, and invested our SELVES in and for. And now, because BPA needs to route a power line, I lose all that? I get a pittance? BPA gets to destroy my land and my way of life? This makes no sense to me.

There are viable alternatives to the east that route through state lands, the recently added Line O. One of the arguments against Line O is the increased cost to take the line further east and through terrain that may be a bit less hospitable. Well, quite honestly, I think BPA can better afford a more costly project than I can to lose my life's savings. Already my property value has decreased almost 50% from when we bought our home 2 1/2 years ago. I will spend years here recouping that loss. I have 2 neighbors that are in the process of giving their homes back to the bank, as they cannot sell for even remotely close to what they owe on them. Though the general loss of home values is not BPA's doing, the added burden of trying to sell your home along a proposed BPA route makes selling impossible, not even a short sale. No one is buying at any price. Another home was foreclosed upon earlier this summer and a fourth is in the process. That's 4 homes out of the 11 on our road.

My grievance is that a very few of us are being called upon, or forced, to bear a huge burden so that BPA can build their power line more cheaply. I understand that building a line as cheaply as possible means lower electricity costs (for whom is another debatable point I won't go into here). However, this is a time and circumstance when it is inappropriate to ask the few to sacrifice so much for the benefit of the many, or, more accurately, the benefit of the BPA. Route the line to the east, along Line O. Please do not allow BPA to destroy so much. Should I personally have to lose hundreds of thousands of dollars so you can pay a few dollars less per year on your electricity bill? I can't pass that cost onto anyone. I can't



share that cost with thousands of clients like BPA can. Have the people who will benefit from the line pay the true cost of the line. Don't have us relatively few property owners bear that burden. It is not only unfair, it's unethical and immoral.

Thank you for your time,

Margaret Boyle  
[address]  
[phone]  
[email]

---

Communication ID: 12898

Date Received: 9/9/2010

Name: SHERRY MORSE

Stay off Private property! Use existing right of way and save us tax payers our tax dollars. Use DNR property it's owned by the tax payer! If you use line 28 you will destroy many families some who have been here for over 60 years!

---

Communication ID: 12899

Date Received: 8/9/2010

Name: ARVID ANDERSON,VICKI ANDERSON

To Whom It May Concern:

When considering the route for the I-5 corridor project I would like you to be aware of the impact it will have on our business, Anderson Lodge, located in the Yale Valley, near Ariel and Cougar, Washington. Anderson Lodge is the largest business in the area and if the proposed route runs through our property, it would be devastating. We employ many people in the community, have thousands of guests visit our lodge every year and our guests often utilize the local businesses and visit our recreational facilities in the region. If the line intersected the property of Anderson Lodge, it would not only impact our business but also the local employees, local businesses and the already depressed economy of the area.

Anderson Lodge has been in operation for 25 years and hosts retreats, seminars, family reunions, school workshops and weddings. We have 2 lodges located on Highway 503, with acreage totaling over 90 acres. Our guests spend anywhere between 1 and 7 nights in our facilities. We offer quality lodging and catering. Visitors to our lodges are here for the tranquility, the secluded location and most of all the natural setting. We have strived to maintain our forest around the lodge. The wedding sites capitalize on the spectacular views of the valley and mountains. The deciding factor for our clients to book our site is always the natural beauty. Our slogan is: "Where natural beauty is the icing on the cake!" If we were to have the buzzing transmission lines and towers on our property it would adversely affect our natural setting and I know our clients would no longer choose our property for their event.

---

In these tough economic times, we have struggled to keep our business alive. We have lost several businesses in the area already. During the recession, we have seen a drop in our rentals, but have managed to stay open. With the addition of transmission towers, I know our number of clients would drop. We could no longer boast of natural beauty as nature walks, wedding photos and solitude would be impacted. I doubt if we could stay open with a decrease in bookings. That would mean loss of jobs for our employees, loss of revenue for local businesses like the Lone Fir and less people that would be visiting our recreational sites in the area. Not to mention our means of making a living would no longer be possible.

To give you an idea of the impact and effect we have on the community of Yale, I would like to provide you with some numbers of guests that utilize Anderson Lodge every year. Last year, we had 43 weddings with approximately 6,450 guests in attendance, 50 church retreats with approximately 2,000 guests, 17 family reunions with about 850 guests, 20 educational retreats with about 1,000 students or staff in attendance, and 7 business seminars with about 350 people present. This is an impressive amount of visitors we have brought to our wonderful Yale Valley! We have many returning guests every year and they all rave about the serenity and beauty of our wonderful Yale Valley. Our website illustrates the picturesque scenery and transmission towers would hinder this view tremendously.

We have groomed many hiking trails on our property. Hiking is a popular activity for all our clients whether it is a reunion, a retreat or a wedding. There are many times that guests have marveled at the natural setting with the ferns and the tall fir trees. Often they are surprised by deer, grouse, fox, and magnificent elk. This is what our guests come to Anderson Lodge for. We provide an experience unlike their busy urban lives. The scenery, the wildlife, the natural uncultivated setting is so good for the soul. Buzzing transmission towers would not only be a distraction, but would ruin our tranquility that we have strived to maintain all these years. Through no fault of our own, we would have the natural setting on our property be negatively impacted.

I urge you to take into consideration the devastating effect such a radical project would have, if these transmission lines and towers were to be on our property. Please take a moment to view our website to see the many photos of our gorgeous, natural beauty we have on the Anderson Lodge property. [www.andersonlodge.com](http://www.andersonlodge.com). To complete your study of the environmental analysis and realize the full impact this project has on our business, we request you view our property first hand and tour the property. Please call 360 247 6660 and we will set up a time to meet you up there and show you our facility. It is imperative that you see our property before you make a decision.

I hope that you now realize that a transmission line running through our property would be an automatic death sentence to us and to the community. Our sole existence relies on our natural setting. After 25 years of talking to clients, giving tours of our facility and having feedback from clientele, I know without a doubt that it is our picturesque beauty of the Yale Valley that is our main appeal. Transmission towers would definitely deter clients from using Anderson Lodge.

I appreciate your time and hope to hear from you soon.

Sincerely,

Arvid and Vicki Anderson  
[BROCHURE AND MAPS INCLUDED]

---

Communication ID: 12900

Date Received: 9/17/2010

Name: RICK DUNCAN

I attended the BPA mtg at Skyview HS in Vancouver WA 8-31-2010. My property is along RT P. I found it hard to believe that BPA selected a route through a populated area and right through our subdivision. Under no circumstances should the line be placed on this route. The lines are industrial power lines - 150' towers. They are noisy unsightly and will ruin property values. No one should live near these lines for health reasons. Route "O" crosses Weyhauser and DNR land which are logged for commercial use. 150' wide corridor would have little effect on tree production or outdoor recreation again industrial power lines do not belong in populated areas!

---

Communication ID: 12901

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: JOHN CURPHEY,BETTY CURPHEY

Mr. and Mrs. John Curphey

[address]

[email]

[parcel number]

August 28,2010

Mr. Steven Wright, Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

RE: BPA Proposed 500 kV power lines in Cowlitz & Clark Counties, WA

Dear Mr. Wright:

Thank you for your time in regard our concerns about the placement of BPA's proposed power lines in southwestern Washington. We request your approval for moving these power lines away from any populated areas and putting them instead in the state or federal forests in eastern Clark County and Skamania County or Oregon due to the following concerns:

Denial of Scoping Period for new routes proposed after the 2009 Scoping Period ended.

Preference for No Lines in Populated Areas.

Degradation of property values.

Reconsider Oregon Lines based on the availability of accessible unpopulated areas there.

Possible degradation of satellite reception for television, internet, phone, etc.

Adverse Health Concerns.

Loss of Aesthetic Values.

Concerns regarding additional cost of placing the lines in unpopulated state and national forests.

Summarization: The threat of having 150 foot or taller transmission lines looming over our homes is very distressing. We wish that all affected people have the right to enjoy their chosen way of life in safety and with their civil rights protected. Ultimately all of Clark and Cowlitz Counties will be affected by the placement of these proposed transmission lines. We are not questioning their need, just their placement.

A detailed list of our concerns follows:

#### Detailed List of Concerns

---Denial of Scoping Period for new routes proposed after the 2009 Scoping Period ended. As homeowners directly affected by the proposed BPA transmission lines in eastern Clark County, we object to the denial of our civil rights in regard to the recent addition of sections P and 30 without a legal scoping period. These options were not available for public input at the time that period closed, thereby denying our rights to participate in the public input on these sections.

---No Lines in Populated Areas. We have major concerns about the positioning of any huge lines in populated areas when just to the east of the Battle Ground, Vancouver, Camas areas there are large unpopulated areas in both the Yacolt State Forest and the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

---Degradation of property values. This is occurring over the whole course of such lines in populated areas. When selling their properties, homeowners are already required to disclose that proposed power lines will impact the properties. This will result in even more of a hardship to the sellers than the current recession has imposed on them, and this situation will continue for at least the next couple of years until the lines are built.

Such degradation will also lower both county and state tax revenues. Compare these scenarios: loss of timber revenues once or twice a century, if the lines are built in the state/federal forests, versus a year after year loss of tax revenues on properties costing up into the millions of dollars each over an area from the Columbia to Castle Rock.

---Reconsider Oregon Lines. We also wish the areas being considered to include

unpopulated areas in Oregon. BPA already sends a large percentage of its generated power to California. Looking at unpopulated options in Oregon should only lead to finding more acceptable routes, rather than limiting it to just one strip of land in Washington.

---Possible degradation of satellite reception for television, internet, phone, etc. We have concerns about what such huge power lines near our properties could do to such reception. There is no cable access here so our television and internet services must come from satellites. We have no option.

---Adverse Health Concerns. Although results of studies done on EMF radiation have been controversial, ultimately it comes down to this: Which choice is safer? If the lines are not built in populated areas and it is determined there are no detrimental EMF health affects, no one is harmed and BPA is clean. If the lines are built in populated areas and it is determined that EMF radiation does damage human health, BPA becomes guilty of damaging the lives of Washington's people. Since there is unpopulated forest area readily available for the lines, shouldn't we vote on the side of safety?

---Loss of Esthetic Values. We have concerns about losing the aesthetic value of our property. We have a beautiful, peaceful, quiet timbered property. We dreamed and planned for such a place long before we were able to retire here. We both were raised in rural areas; we lived our working lives in big cities and wished to return to the rural life once we reached retirement age. We have an agreement with the County that we will keep parts of our timbered land available so that it will ultimately contribute to the logging economy and tax base. If property values drop drastically due to the proposed transmission lines, it could become financially necessary to remove that land from such

allocation and sell it in order to maintain our financial independence, thus removing that land from involvement in the program.

---Additional cost of placing the lines in unpopulated state and national forests. BPA has estimated the cost of moving the lines into the nearby state or federal lands as a one-time-only \$30-60 million. Comparing that to the \$850 million spent yearly on fish and wildlife issues by BPA, the \$30-60 million is a drop in the bucket. The amounts spent on fish and wildlife issues are vital to the health of our ecosystem, and BPA is to be commended for their concern for the environment. BPA should now be just as concerned for the human population they serve.

I, Betty, love to walk through our land. It has salal, vine maples, alder, firs, the sweetest blackberries you could imagine (the ones native to Washington, not the invasive ones), salmonberries, tiger lilies, Oregon iris, and a host of other plants and bushes. We have seen bobcats casually walking through the front yard, salamanders and insects in the forest understory, deer, coyotes, raccoons, birds in abundance - chickadees, juncos, goldfinch, black headed grosbeaks, a group of beautiful evening grosbeaks that hung out at our feeders all winter a few years ago, woodpeckers, and many more.

I, John, love to get out and maintain the beauty of the area around the house and our timber. I enjoy keeping the lawn in good order, making sure it's appearance is pleasant and well kept. I have planted

several bushes and trees since we moved to our home, and love to see them flowering in the spring. We have returned to our rural roots and wish others the right to enjoy their properties as much as we do.

We repeat, the threat of having 150 foot transmission lines looming over our home is very distressing, both legally, economically, aesthetically, technologically, and healthwise. We wish that all affected people have the right to enjoy their chosen way of life in safety and with their civil rights protected. And ultimately all of Clark and Cowlitz Counties will be affected by the placement of these proposed transmission lines. We are not questioning their need, just their placement.

Sincerely yours,

Betty Curphey  
Property Owner  
Clark County  
John Curphey  
Property Owner  
Clark County

---

Communication ID: 12902

Date Received: 9/7/2010

Name: WILLIAM E MOYER

September 7, 2010

Hon. Sen. Patty Murray  
1323 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA 98661  
Hon. Sen. Maria Cantwell  
1313 Officers Row  
Vancouver, WA 98661

Dear Senator Murray and Senator Cantwell:

Subject: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project Considerations

We citizens of Clark and Cowlitz County urgently need your help with regard to the BPA's I-5 Power Corridor Reinforcement Project. BPA, on the basis of their preliminary investigations, decided in September 2009 to eliminate a potentially-viable set of alternate route segments, designated the Pearl Route and which runs through Oregon, from any further consideration, BPA has chosen instead to focus exclusively on 500 kV routes through Clark and Cowlitz County.

Refer to attached: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project BPA System Map, dated 9 October 2009, <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/i-5-eis/maps/I-5rproject08-18overview.pdf>, and the earlier I-5 Corridor

Reinforcement Project Study Area Map, dated 14 September 2009, a scanned image from BPA's "Why all the routes go from Castle Rock to Troutdale," August 2010 fact sheet, not presently available online.

As I hope to make clear in the paragraphs which follow, that far-reaching BPA-internal decision needs to be rescinded, and BPA needs to include the Pearl Route among the alternatives considered through the Final EIS for the project. I know of no way to make that happen without your assistance. My apologies for the length of this letter. This is somewhat complicated and some background must be provided. If this were a simple matter, BPA wouldn't have made such a one-sided decision and we in Washington State wouldn't have this problem.

#### Some Background Information

Conceptually there are 3 routing alternatives which are, or should be, on the table here: running the new 500 kV line parallel and directly adjacent to the existing 230 kV right-of way through Vancouver next to and impacting the greatest number of residential properties (which may be the least costly route), running as far east as necessary through Clark and Cowlitz Counties to minimize adjacency to or condemnation of residential and small business properties, or running as far west through Oregon as necessary to again minimize adjacency to or condemnation of residential and small business properties.

BPA has evidently decided that the third alternative, the Pearl route, is so completely undoable that no further consideration need or should be given to it. That may be true, but if so, to my knowledge they have yet to make a convincing case to the public or to our local elected officials. They are instead effectively attempting to "ride rough shod" over Washington for the local benefit primarily of Oregon, as I will show using previously-published and attached documents.

The Pearl route, from a point west of Castle Rock southwards to the Pearl Substation, per my conversations with BPA representatives including Mark Korsness at BPA's Public Meeting held Tuesday 31 August 2010, at Skyview High School in Vancouver, would electrically be equivalent to a route going from that same point west of Castle Rock to Troutdale, which BPA designates the Troutdale route. Either the western Pearl Route or one of the eastern Troutdale routes would serve the same FERC reliability rules-driven purpose. That being to provide a separate parallel 500 kV transmission line located more than 1000 feet distant from the existing two adjacent 500 kV transmission lines which run from west of Castle Rock (by present BPA planning from a new Castle Rock Substation) to connect up with the Portland area 500 kV system. Electrically that can be done equally well by connecting to either the existing BPA Pearl Substation south of Portland or to the new Troutdale Substation east of Portland.

Presently with only two 500 kV lines running from west of Castle Rock down to Allston and then down to Pearl, those lines, being physically separated by less than 1000 feet, are considered to be a single 500 kV line for reliability calculation purposes per FERC rules. Hence they are an unacceptable single-point failure locus for the 500 kV distribution running from north of Allston down south to the Portland metro area.

#### The Problem

At least in terms of the public record this BPA internal decision appears to be somewhat arbitrary and unjust, and to have been made too hastily, without sufficient detail consideration given to potential reasonable means of overcoming what appear from the lay perspective to be relatively minor technical difficulties associated with the Pearl route.

#### Details-Unfairness

The primary problem in regard to fairness is that Clark and Cowlitz county residents, who will at most see 10-15% of the benefit of local power capacity improvement (per attached Power Demands figure, <http://bloximages.chicago2.vip.townnews.com/tdn.com/content/tncms/assets/editorial/a/24/15a/a2415aac-703c-11df-b73e-001cc4c002e0.pdf> originally accompanying Eric Olson's June 5, 2010, (Longview) Daily News article "The route BPA rejected") are expected to bear all but 100% of the burden of this proposed project. Oregon residents who will receive 85-90% of the benefits essentially are getting a free ride with regards to this project.

A Troutdale route may be the correct technical and overall minimum impact solution, but without having the Pearl route carried through the full NEPA EIS process, neither the public nor BPA can be assured that residents of Washington will have been given a fair shake and that all of the relevant facts will have been considered and that the appropriate trade-offs have been made in selecting the best (least objectionable) alternative.

#### Details-Logical Irrelevance of No Available Existing Right-of-Ways in Oregon

One of the reasons given by BPA for eliminating the Pearl route is a lack of existing right-of-way which could accommodate the new 500 kV line. Unless BPA is now only going through the motions of considering other routes than the existing right-of-way (proposed route segments, 2, 9, 25, etc.) for their now-preferred Troutdale Route, and the "fix" is in, then that reason is specious. That then leaves the bottleneck at Pearl and the crossing of the Columbia at or near Longview as the primary technical problems with the Pearl route, along with BPA's stated concern about being unable to meet schedule if a Pearl route is included and studied to the same depth as the various Troutdale routes in the EIS.

#### Details-Pearl Substation Routing Bottleneck

Regarding the routing bottleneck at the Pearl substation, it is by no means clear from the public record that more-creative alternates such as selective limited undergrounding or re-designation of line voltages among existing transmission lines and towers between Pearl and Sherwood have been considered (so that for instance the new 500 kV line makes use of lines routed along the western side of a valley with new lines further east dedicated to the existing 500 kV distribution, thus avoiding a need to physically cross over existing lines). Nor is there evidence that BPA gave consideration to simply sharing the facilities at the (possibly expanded) non-BPA Sherwood Substation, which in principle could move the required Portland 500 kV distribution tie point from Pearl to the (expanded) Sherwood substation, effectively eliminating concerns with the Pearl to Sherwood bottleneck.

#### Details-Columbia Crossing at or Near Longview



Regarding the difficulties attendant on crossing the Columbia at or near Longview, it is by no means clear from the public record that an additional 500 kV line cannot be routed across the Columbia east of and directly adjacent to, and possibly within the right-of-way of, the existing 230 kV lines and then to either an expanded Longview substation or directly to a new Castle Rock Substation, again possibly with some limited selective undergrounding of existing lines or the new line. Furthermore it is far from clear from the public record that, if a river crossing east of the existing Longview crossings is not feasible, then a crossing of the Columbia west of Longview can only be accomplished using extremely-tall towers on the opposite shores, rather than making use of an in-river intermediate tower, conceptually a single caisson-piling-construction tower located in the river itself or erected on one of the numerous small islands west of Longview.

#### Details-Inconsistency in Key Information in Available BPA Maps

Nor is it clear that the required northern tie of a new parallel 500 kV line to the existing 500 kV distribution presently planned for west of Castle Rock could not be made at the Alston substation south of the river, with no additional 500 kV crossing of the Columbia required for the Pearl Route. That is if the towers for the existing 500, 230, and 115 kV Columbia-crossing lines were appropriately re-purposed to eliminate presently adjacent 500 kV lines, which must by FERC rules be pair-wise treated as a single line single-point-failure locus for reliability purposes.

Regrettably, the available BPA maps are inconsistent with regards to the transmission lines routed between the existing Alston substation and the point west of Castle Rock where the existing 500 kV lines and some but quite possibly not all of the parallel 230 kV lines from the Chehalis substation diverge. The attached BPA Transmission Lines and Facilities Map, dated 28 September 2009, [http://transmission.bpa.gov/LanCom/Geographic\\_Information\\_Services/pdf/All\\_BPA\\_KV.pdf](http://transmission.bpa.gov/LanCom/Geographic_Information_Services/pdf/All_BPA_KV.pdf) shows that a common 500 kV and 230 kV power corridor runs from the divergence point west of Castle Rock down south to the Alston substation. (See especially the attached expanded views of selected regions of that map.) The previously-listed and attached BPA I-5 Corridor Project Maps do not.

Conceptually that 230 kV line segment either is or could possibly be made to be routed adjacent to and located physically between the 2 existing 500 kV lines routed from the point west of Castle Rock, which would push south to Allston the point southward of which the reinforcement is required for the existing two adjacent 500 kV lines which run down to the Pearl substation. What the true state of affairs is, I don't know, and it doesn't appear that BPA knows either.

#### Details-Additional BPA Work Load due to Alternate Pearl Routes Being Included in EIS Study and Potential Impact on Project Schedule

As regards BPA's stated concern about being unable to meet schedule, energizing the new 500 kV line before the summer of 2016, if the Pearl route is included: on the face of it adding in the Pearl route alternatives does not appear to be that much of an additional burden and at worst represents a minor BPA staffing problem.

Per BPA's 10 September 2009, Agency Decision Framework (ADF) for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project <http://www.nowaybpa.com/component/docman/docdownload/3-response.html> (attached), the alternate Pearl routes would consist of a total of 43 line segments over about 253 miles affecting about 5,900 parcels of land and 3,100 associated landowners. That is a fraction of the then-identified alternate Troutdale routes consisting of 45 line segments over about 240 miles affecting about 11,600 parcels of land and 7,750 associated landowners. Since then line segments which would have gone through Hockinson have been eliminated and additional further east Troutdale alternate routes have been added, with numbers unknown to me. Most probably there are now more parcels and affected property owners on the Troutdale routes than before, almost certainly not fewer.

So, conservatively scaling the additional required Pearl route consideration effort required by BPA using the previously published numbers of affected property owners and the numbers of affected parcels of land, an additional 40-51% effort would be required by BPA. That presumably could be accommodated by BPA increasing the staffing level dedicated to the project by an estimated additional 16-20 people, and quite possibly by fewer, assuming adequate staffing is now in place to accommodate the currently-expanded scope of the Troutdale alternate routes within BPA's scheduled timeline. Alternately, BPA could equally-arbitrarily eliminate all but the existing 230 kV right-of-way from further consideration north of the river, compare that against the western Pearl route alternatives, and complete the EIS and build process within schedule using less staff than they are presently. Of course then BPA would have to battle Oregon property owners, residential neighborhood advocates, and government officials and not just us here in Washington.

#### Conclusions

I don't know if any of the above suggestions are potentially feasible or have already been analyzed in detail and rejected for cause, or if any of my above questions are in fact legitimate or have already been asked and answered. I don't know if anyone in BPA knows. If such information is available now in the public record, I haven't been able to find it and not for lack of trying.

I don't know of any means, short of having the Pearl route given the same depth and duration of consideration by BPA through the Final EIS and the complete NEPA process, of getting BPA to providing more than off-the-cuff answers to these and similar questions of so much interest to so many members of your Clark and Cowlitz County constituency here in Washington. Do you?

Very truly yours,

[SIGNATURE]

William E. Moyer

Enc: 8 attachments (hard copies + CD)

3 BPA maps, 1 scanned from BPA handout hard copy

- 1 scanned text file
- 1 newspaper-published figure
- 3 expanded-view PDF's from BPA's All\_BPA\_KV Map

cc: Commissioner Steve Stuart  
Board of Clark County Commissioners  
1300 Franklin St., 6th Floor  
Vancouver, WA 98666-5000

Commissioner Axel Swanson  
Cowlitz County Administration Bidg.  
207 N. 4th Ave., Rm. 305  
Kelso, WA 98626-4124

Steve Wright, Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Mark Korsness, BPA Project Manager  
I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project  
P.O. Box 9250  
Portland, OR, 97207

[ATTACHMENTS]

---

Communication ID: 12904

Date Received: 9/13/2010

Name: JESSICA A WALZ

September 13, 2010  
Department of Energy  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 491  
Vancouver, Washington 98666-0491

RE: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Submitted via fax to 888-315-4503

Dear Responsible Official:

---

I am writing on behalf of the Gifford Pinchot Task Force (GP Task Force) to comment on the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The Task Force supports the biological diversity and communities of the Northwest through conservation and restoration of forests, rivers, fish, and wildlife. The GP Task Force is a non-profit organization with over 4,000 members in the Pacific Northwest. One of our primary campaigns focuses on protection and restoration of public lands and the preservation of habitat for wildlife. Although we are highly supportive of finding alternative ways of producing energy and creating

a functioning power grid, we are concerned that some of the newly proposed routes may interfere with areas that are less highly developed and serve as habitat for wildlife. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on these routes.

I want to thank the BPA team, including Mike Johns, Molly Moreland, and Elizabeth Klumpp, for prompt answers to my questions and for providing me and a group of other individuals a site visit to a portion of the "O" route, the route of greatest concern for the GP Task Force. The opportunity to have a site visit answered a large portion of my questions as well as provided me with a base from which I write these comments.

Part of the GP Task Force's mission is to conserve and restore the Gifford Pinchot National Forest (GPNF). The BPA I-5 corridor project comes close to, but not into, the Gifford Pinchot National Forest boundary. We believe that a transmission line corridor is not compatible with the uses of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest because of the need to clear a wide swath of land for the transmission line and thus interfering with wildlife needs in valuable forest habitat. The area just west of the "O" route is also home to Silver Star, a popular hiking destination and important wildlife area. We appreciate that BPA has not consider a route through this area and we oppose any consideration of a route into or directly on the border of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest in the next phase of the project.

Although we are pleased that the "O" route does not interfere with the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, we do have concerns that wildlife habitat could be disrupted by placing a transmission corridor in a more rural location and that the placement could significantly impair the visual experience from Silver Star. Our largest concern, however, is the interference a transmission line corridor could have with popular hiking and horseback riding trails that lead into Silver Star, as well the ability of this transmission line to provide a more accessible incursion for off highway vehicles (OHV) into areas that will be closed for OHV use.

DNR has recently released the Yacolt Burn Recreation Plan proposed for this area. The "O" route would mainly interfere with the hiking trails in the northern portion of the area as proposed under the new Yacolt Burn plan. On the site visit with Molly Moreland and Mike Johns I expressed my concerns about the possibility that the line could provide a corridor for off highway vehicles (OHVs) to access the northern hiking routes, which would violate the DNR recreation plan to separate the two activities into a northern and southern portion. OHVs can be highly disrupting to lower impact activities like hiking and horseback riding and can cause tremendous damage to areas like the Silver Star trail, which is closed to OHV use. There is very little enforcement of OHVs in this area and a transmission line will provide a more accessible route from which OHV users can violate the closed to OHVs trail systems in the north. The BPA representatives have stated that OHVs can and do use these transmissions lines and BPA does not enforce closures of the area. For this reason we do not favor the eastern "O" route.

In closing I would like to stress that the Task Force does not favor the eastern route including segments O, P, V, N, W, U, 28 or any of the lines feeding into those routes because of the impact it has on forest landscapes. We are not supportive of moving routes any farther east than it is currently mapped on the August 2010 map provided by BPA as it would begin to encroach on the boundary of the GPNF.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 503-221-2102 x 101 or Jessica@gptaskforce.org.

Sincerely,

Jessica Walz

---

Communication ID: 12905

Date Received: 9/13/2010

Name: WES DOWNING, ROSE MARIE DOWNING

I suggest you look at the Pearl route more closely.

Wes and Rose Marie Downing

---

Communication ID: 12906

Date Received: 7/29/2010

Name: ERNA SARASOHN

Greetings Maryam,

When I said the homeowners were active Wednesday I did not realize just how active--my phone did not stop ringing all day Wednesday.

I was going to phone you but on second thought, realized you may want this in writing. I received many comments as a result of the meeting Tuesday night but three questions/comments were well in the majority and I thought you and BPA may be interested in hearing about those comments.

1. We do not believe BPA is serious about adding an eastern route and we think this is a smoke screen to calm us down so they can put the towers and lines up in our backyards.
2. If BPA's EMF expert says the distance where you will not be subjected to EMF from a 500kv line is 500 ft, how can BPA possibly think about putting up the towers as close as 50 ft from some homes. BPA has no conscience and does not care how many people will get sick.
3. We know S. Wright will make the final decision but we do not know how that decision will be made. We should be told what weight is given to what factors. As far as I can see, he will do whatever he pleases and what will cost BPA the least--at our expense.

I have talked to the homeowners but I thought you may want to address these concerns during the meetings you will hold with homeowners in the near future. I was surprised how many times #1 was brought up. I was interviewed the day the eastern route was announced and the reporter asked me the

same question-do you believe and trust BPA? Do you believe they are serious about adding the eastern route? I thought they were odd questions but it seems the homeowners are asking them too.

Thanks Maryam, I just think the homeowners concerns must be addressed.

Erna

---

Communication ID: 12907

Date Received: 9/13/2010

Name: RANDY BROSIUS

Hi, this is Randy Brosius. I'm at [address] and that's Brush Prairie, Washington 98606. I just received a letter and a bunch of stuff about this I-5 corridor project about the new proposals and I want to find out exactly where my property is in relation to this new proposal and if it were up to me I would move the power lines as far east as possible and have them cut through you know land that doesn't have homes or places where people have worked their whole lives and their life savings into their place. I have currently eight and a half acres and I want to find out exactly where the lines are in relation to my property. And I would greatly appreciate a phone call back [phone number] or my cell phone is [phone number] or even my work number is [phone number] and that's the best during the day. I'd greatly appreciate any input I can get in regards to this information where it fits into my property. Thank you. Goodbye.

---

Communication ID: 12908

Date Received: 9/15/2010

Name: ROMAN V NIKULIN

Hello. The BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project is very relevant for me because it will impact the area I and my family enjoy outdoors so often. I prefer a westerly route, for the following reasons. The Eastern line goes right over Tarbell trailhead (several miles SE of Moulton Park, please see map attached) and crosses Tarbell Trail several times. I don't want one of my favorite trails to be ruined (and it's not just me - lots of people from Clark County use it!). Also, this powerline, especially the eastern option, will impact views from Silver Star Mountain. I want the powerline to go more westerly route, but even 1/2 to 1 mile west from your planned easterly route will spare Tarbell Trail. Lastly, I want to say about sacrifice involved in such projects, as powerlines inevitably cover a lot of land. If a powerline goes further west from Silver Star it will go over a handful of sparcely populated private properties - only a hanful of owners will be unhappy. It the powerline goes close to Silver Star Mtn over recreational areas just west of the mountain - hundreds, if not thousands of people will suffer a loss of their weekend destination. It's a spectacular and very popular hiking/riding/biking area and PLEASE don't ruin it. Please don't put a powerline over Tarbell trail and in so close view from Silver Star. Thanks!

---

Communication ID: 12909

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: CHARLES RENEAU

I believe that, instead of simply building more capacity, BPA ought to spend its millions on conservation. If people use less electricity, there'll be no need to route these dumb new lines over either private property OR public recreational property. The environmental and social effects of either route are too large to simply bulldoze it through. If it has to go in though, put it right over private property. At least the social effects will be over in a generation. A public easement though (as evidenced by the incredible eyesore at Lolo Pass) will stick around until BPA ceases to exist. Thanks.

---

Communication ID: 12910

Date Received: 9/17/2010

Name: DAVID FD BUCK

I vehemently oppose route 25 due to public health safety. Route 25 would expose thousands of residents to higher electromagnetic fields and EMFs are PROVEN to cause health issues. The only reasonable point of debate is on the exposure level. It has been argued by the BPA that everyone is exposed to EMF and that home wiring can expose occupants to similar levels. This is STUPID argument. First, EMF levels in the home vary significantly depending on appliance usage. High voltage lines constantly emit EMF. Second, high voltage lines ADD to the house levels, resulting in a net increase in exposure. Since exposure levels are the primary concern, then high voltage lines should not be run through residential areas. The BPA cannot afford the lawsuits brought by future generations that are harmed by these lines. Please, DO NOT CHOOSE ROUTE 25.

---

Communication ID: 12911

Date Received: 9/17/2010

Name: DAVID FD BUCK

I vehemently oppose route 25 due to the impact on property values. Property values will be negatively impacted due to the aesthetic issues and health safety concerns. Route 25 would expose thousands of residents to higher electromagnetic fields and EMFs are PROVEN to cause health issues. The only reasonable point of debate is around the exposure level at which health concerns arise. It has been argued by the BPA that everyone is exposed to EMF and that home wiring can expose occupants to levels similar to high voltage lines. This is a STUPID argument. First, EMF levels in the home vary significantly depending on appliance usage - high voltage lines constantly emit EMF. Second, high voltage lines ADD to the house levels, resulting in a net increase in exposure. Since increasing exposure levels increase the health risks, high voltage lines should not be run through residential areas. Please, DO NOT CHOOSE ROUTE 25.

---

Communication ID: 12912

Date Received: 9/20/2010

Name: JENNIFER MCKEE,RICHARD MCKEE

We attended the last meeting Saturday July 12 at Union High School in Camas, Wash. We spoke with Rick (EMF) and Martha? (relator) regarding a request to have a personal BPA representative come make a private appearance to our property at the address listed above to tell us if Route 25 is to be decided does our house stay?? We would appreciate someone to conact us so we will be able to schedule an appointment time. Thank you, Richard and Jennifer McKee.

---

Communication ID: 12913

Date Received: 9/22/2010

Name: MICHAEL PATTERSON

It just dawned on me that you have not considered running a sub-marine cable along the Columbia from Longview to Troutdale. Why not?

---

Communication ID: 12914

Date Received: 9/25/2010

Name: RICHARD CANTER

Hi, this is Richard Canter my phone number is [phone number] and I have a question on the I-5 corridor. Also my cell phone, my email address is [email]. I'd like to know what kind of transmission wire you guys are using on this corridor and hopefully it's eco-friendly. If you can give me a call or email me I'd appreciate it. Thank you, bye.

---

Communication ID: 12915

Date Received: 9/27/2010

Name: BILL WHEELER,KATHI WHEELER

September 26, 2010

Bill & Kathi Wheeler

[address]

BPA

I-5 Reinforcement Project

PO Box 9250

Portland, Oregon 97207

To Whom It May Concern:



We would like to take this opportunity to comment regarding the new map, August 10, 2010, and Line K, which will run through the Yale Valley. We have lived most of our adult lives in the Yale Valley, raised 2 children and are retired. We live on a small farm and raise dairy goats. The proposed K Line would likely pass over or very near our farm.

BPA, looking to install new 500Kv lines in Clark and Cowlitz counties, may overlook in the process of routing lines, the small, possibly invisible communities that will be affected. We believe the Yale Valley is one of those communities. Many of the families that live in the valley have lived here for years, some generations. The community has a 3-room elementary school, Yale Elementary School, with approximately 41 students, K-5. A long established destination retreat, Anderson Lodge. Our fire department, Cowlitz Skamania District #7, has a paid fire chief and many volunteer firemen and women. In 2003, the residents voted to become a library district, the Yale Valley Library District, which serves 1400 residents. Each July, the community hosts the Yale Valley Arts Festival which presents regional artists and musicians.

The huge lines and multiple towers would forever change the natural beauty and dynamics of our valley. Loss of property values would cause a hardship to any resident trying to sell their property. Others, who are renting, may just leave the valley. While some residents will stay, they will suffer the ill effects and stress from the giant lines. In our case, we have planned to live the rest of our lives in the valley and we have nowhere else to go or the means.

The lines you are proposing through Yale Valley are causing this community we have described much tension and anguish. We are asking you to stop and take another look at the damage that would be done by a multitude of towers in a 2 miles stretch through the Yale Valley community.

Sincerely,

Bill & Kathi Wheeler

---

Communication ID: 12916

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: TERRY REAMS

I talked with Rick Stearns about my Emergency Communications taking place on my property. I do the ERC communications for the Cowlitz Co. Dem, races, ares, ERC, Skywarn, CERN, Navy and Marine Corp mars and National Traffic Systems. I now have 24 antennas, two towers and am in the process of installing three more towers for communications.

I also operate a grand faith ERED shooting range also in this area of my home.

I am concerned that I will not be able to operate the emergency communications if this power system was installed above my home.

Terry Reams

[address]

[email]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12917

Date Received: 9/22/2010

Name: JIM NEIMAN,AMY ZENGER-NEIMAN

September 16, 2010

Mark Korsheness, Project Manager:

We whole heartedly disagree with BPA plans to locate a 500kV Power line on or around our property! Ten years ago we sunk all our savings, as well as future income, into a lovely view home with acreage in rural northern Clark County. Our intent was, and still is, to raise our children and farm animals in this gorgeous, somewhat secluded area. Never in our wildest imagination would we have considered that ANY of our property would be forcibly taken from us, especially not from our own government. This is exactly what construction of a mammoth power line would do to our family.

No amount of money could ever repay us for the effect such an eye soar would have on the value of our home and property nor the quality of our daily lives. There is nothing fair about "fair market value". Nor is there any value in an annual county discount on taxes for a swath cut through our land without our consent.

A forced right-of-way through our land would create access to more than huge, buzzing power lines. In our area hunters, dirt bike riders, and others use the lands below power lines to create trails and regularly access private property. These lines would create easy access points for trespassers to enter our property and neighboring properties.

Non-native and noxious weeds, including Tansy Ragwort, Scotch Broom, and Evergreen Blackberry, take root and spread to land below and adjacent to Power line right-of-ways. Worse yet, pesticides used to control weeds would easily perk into our water supply.

There are springs all over our steep property. A small creek fed from a spring that bubbles out of the ground on our land is the ONLY source of water for our cattle. The ONLY source of water for our home is a 29 foot deep well that taps into an underground spring. Any drilling on or near our property threatens to containment and/or eliminate our water sources. A BPA engineer explained to me that a general rule for drilling footings for power line towers is ten percent the height plus a few feet. For a 150 foot tower drilling depths would need to be much deeper than seventeen feet!

The land and skies on and around our property is home to many sensitive, if not threatened or endangered, wildlife. There are amphibians, such as newts, salamanders and frogs, that live and breed in our small pond. A bald eagle regularly flies just above our home and perches on branches off our

deck. Prior to clear cutting on nearby property we would see an Elk herd that migrated every year over the hill top above us down to water at the North Fork of the Lewis River. Indian pipes and Indian Paint brush grow on our hill sides. There are no doubt many other sensitive species all over our area.

Since hearing about the unwelcome possibility of power lines being forcibly resurrected either directly on or in close vicinity to our home, we have learned more than we ever wanted to know about these EMF producing 500kV monstrosities. Unfortunately, it seems the Law of Eminent Domain provides government entities, like BPA, the right to take land that we have labored to purchase, improve, nurture and plan to pass on to future generations of our family.

We are left wondering...

- What does the right to own private property really amount to in our country?
- Why would a country founded on individual freedoms opt to seize private property when public lands and BPA right-of-ways are available for public projects?
- How much will our view acreage be worth once transected by (or even grazed by) hundred and fifty foot high metal towers draped with buzzing, electrified wires?
- Will the fresh water springs, that currently provide the only source of drinking water to our home and cattle, still flow after construction of huge pilings drilled deep into our soil?
- Will there be any water, clean or contaminated, in our twenty-nine feet deep family well?
- If so, will our water be safe? If not, who will pay to drill a new well?
- Do "inconclusive results" of studies regarding the potential health risks of living in proximity to EMF-producing power lines really mean our children and grandchildren are safe?
- How do the rights of individuals to preserve wildlife habitat on private, country land stack up against BPA's stated desire to "keep power lines less visible" to inhabitants of more densely populated, higher powering using customers?
- What is our recourse? What are our rights? How do we stop this?!?

The Green Mountain area of Clark County is home to many independent home owners just like us that simply want their beautiful, private property to be kept exactly that way - beautiful and private. Please do not allow the construction of any of the 500 kilovolt power lines leading to a crossing at Merwin Dam. Do not construct lines in the Green Mountain area or leading into the area, Lines L, M 18 and 26 would be devastating to private, rural landowners. These 500 kV power lines threaten our very way of life.

James Neiman  
Amy Zenger-Neiman  
[address]

[phone number]

---

Communication ID: 12918

Date Received: 9/28/2010

Name: MIKE NERLAND

September 27, 2010

Dear Mark Korsness

We appreciate the opportunity to provide input to the planning and environmental impact analysis for the BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. We were notified of this project because a corner of Camas High School (Parcel #178174000 in Camas, Washington) lies within the proposed corridor route segment 50 (see attached map). We understand that an existing BPA transmission line runs through this corridor, and if this corridor were selected, the new line would parallel the existing line. We respectfully submit that any proposed new line be located no nearer to the school property than existing.

Our review of the information you provided on your website regarding electric and magnetic fields (EMF) associated with power transmission lines indicates that "there is still sufficient evidence to warrant limited concern regarding the association between increasing exposure to EMF and increasing risk of childhood leukemia" according to the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Institutes of Health. It is in all of our best interests to minimize exposure to EMF in areas where children are likely to spend significant time, such as homes and schools. The chart on page 37 of the June 2002 EMF Questions and Answers report indicates that "at a distance of 300 feet and at times of average electricity demand, the magnetic fields from many (500kV) lines can be similar to typical background levels found in most homes." Existing 230 kV lines have the same reduction at approximately 200 feet.

In school terms, 300 feet is the length of a football field. The current BPA transmission line (assumed to be 230kV) is approximately one football field from the nearest point of our property line and the location of our sports fields. We'd like it to remain that way.

Thank you for your consideration.

Mike Nerland,

Superintendent

---

Communication ID: 12919

Date Received: 9/27/2010

Name: KAREN SCHLIMER

My neighbor says you may have to take our home. Can you please call me back and tell me more about the project?

---

Communication ID: 12920

Date Received: 9/27/2010

Name: LOUIE BENEDICT

Good morning all. You may need to ship this information address off to another department but,,,, FYI.  
Go to:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez>, then in the search box put: electromagnetic field and health. Then hit "search" and you will have over 1900 published studies to look at. Better safe than liable in the long run. I know that if here were black and white answers to this there would be no question as to the EMF question. I guess I'm old school when I say "better safe than sorry". If enough of the wrong/right people find out that all this time there is health damaging data that has been available or speculates and states that "its better to error on the side of caution", I can only guess at the legal class actions that would crawl out of the wood work. I noticed that there are numerous studies in this website that states that EMF is not good stuff to chance with. Hope this helps and I'm glad I don't have your job.

lb

Sorry, I forgot to mention that this web site came from numerous enquiries I made trying to locate information showing the EMF stuff was bad news. This site came from a Dr. Crinnion who is Chair of the Environmental Medicine Department Professor, Southwest College of Naturopathic Medicine in Tempe, Arizona.

---

Communication ID: 12921

Date Received: 9/30/2010

Name: RICHARD TILL

September 30, 2010

United States Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 3621

Portland OR 97208-9874

Re: NEPA Scoping for the I-5 Corridor Project

Dear Responsible Official:

Friends of the Columbia Gorge (Friends) has reviewed and would like to comment on the above-referenced proposal. Friends is a non-profit organization with approximately 5,000 members dedicated

to protecting and enhancing the resources of the Columbia River Gorge. Our membership includes hundreds of citizens who reside within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 USC § 4321 et seq. requires that the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) take a hard look at the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative social, economic, physical, and biological effects of the proposed action and reasonable alternatives.

The proposed transmission project has the potential to generate significant direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts. The proposed development would cause direct impacts to local communities and nationally important resources like the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail. These impacts also include the extensive impacts of increasing the capacity of the grid to accommodate new sources of energy, thereby increasing impacts of energy development throughout the region. Given this range of impacts, BPA must undertake a broad, programmatic analysis of impacts to the environment from new transmission facilities and related energy developments.

#### Environmental Impacts

BPA must take a hard look at the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of the proposal. The proposed development would increase the grids capacity to transmit energy to California markets while ensuring reliability for local markets. The increased capacity would allow the interconnection of more wind energy generated on the east side of the Washington and Oregon Cascades. But for the proposed transmission lines and other related projects additional energy production facilities would not be able to interconnect to the grid. As such, the environmental impacts analysis of the proposed development must include the following:

- The amount of new energy sources that would be accommodated by all new transmission lines and the impacts of that development. This includes wind energy development and any new sources of power that would be required to mitigate the intermittent production of energy from wind facilities. The impacts analysis must include cumulative impacts analysis of all proposed and likely foreseeable projects in addition to existing projects.
- The environmental effects on plants and wildlife, including state and federally listed sensitive, threatened, and endangered species;
- The impacts to National Audubon Society-designated Important Bird Areas where wind energy development and transmission lines have been or will be developed;
- The impacts to Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife-designated Priority Habitats from wind energy and transmission line developments;
- The impacts to migratory birds and compliance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- The impacts to bald and golden eagles and compliance with the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

- The aesthetic and scenic impacts to designated scenic areas and recreation sites such as the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail, and Wild and Scenic Rivers.

The BPA must analyze impacts to the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail.

---

The BPA's Draft EIS for the Central Ferry-Lower Monumental 500-kilovolt Transmission Line Project concluded that construction of a 200-foot-tall transmission line within viewsheds visible from the Lewis and Clark National Scenic Trail and the Lewis and Clark Scenic Byway would have "high" impacts to scenic resources. Central Ferry-Lower Monumental 500-kilovolt Transmission Line Project DEIS (July 2010) Section 3.7, p 3-91 to 3-104. The analysis explained that the transmission line would be 1.6 miles (middleground view) from the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail at its closest point. Central Ferry DEIS at 3-98. The I-5 Corridor project would cross the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail and traverse within foreground views from the trail. As such the impacts would likely be significant and must be documented using the best available science. The best available methodology for evaluating scenic impacts are the Forest Service and BLM visual resource assessment methodologies. The BPA must ensure these tools are used for evaluating the impacts of the proposed development and for developing possible mitigation measures.

#### Endangered Species

Several endangered plant and animal species may inhabit the analysis area. Pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the BPA must consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service ("NMFS") and the Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") to ascertain whether the alternatives would impact any threatened or endangered species.

#### Cumulative Impacts and Tiering

NEPA prohibits the consideration of the environmental consequences of a project or series of projects in a piecemeal fashion. In the seminal NEPA segmentation case, *Thomas v. Peterson*, the Ninth Circuit held that the failure to consider several related actions in a single EIS "would permit dividing a project into multiple 'actions,' each of which individually has an insignificant environmental impact, but which collectively has a substantial impact." 753 F.2d 754, 758(1985) (citing *Alpine Lakes Protection Society v. Schlapfer*, 518 F.2d 1089,1090 (9th Cir.1975)).

NEPA also requires that the BPA consider the effects of possible future construction that would be made possible if the proposed project moves forward. See *Lange v. Brinegar*, 625 F.2d 812 (9th Cir. 1980); *Swain v. Brinegar*, 542 F.2d 364 (7th Cir. 1976). (Federal Highway administration must consider the effects of possible future highway construction that will be made possible by a proposed highway project.) If the BPA tiers its environmental review to prior environmental review documents the BPA must take into account any significant new information. See CFR 40 § 1502.9(c)(ii).

Cumulative impacts and new information that must be considered include the extraordinarily rapid rate that industrial wind energy has been developed in the region. The BPA has enabled this development by approving new interconnections to the grid. By constructing new transmission lines the BPA increases

grid capacity that allows additional energy production facilities to be constructed and connected to the grid. Despite this direct connection, the BPA has not undertaken comprehensive review of the impacts of its transmission system and associated energy developments. The BPA's last comprehensive review of the transmission system was in 1995. BPA Business Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0183) (hereinafter "BPA BP EIS"). That review noted that wind energy could cause adverse impacts to wildlife and scenic resources, but did not undertake any detailed review of how providing access to the transmission system would lead to impacts from the explosion of wind energy development throughout the region. BPA BP EIS at 4-42, Section 4.3.1.

In 2007, the BPA undertook a supplemental analysis of the Business Plan EIS, but declined to undertake a new programmatic environmental review. Supplemental Analysis of the Business Plan EIS (DOE/EIS-0183) (April 6, 2007). The supplement stated that "continued consideration of a comprehensive policy for BPA's transmission business is not in the best interests of the agency at this time." At the time of the supplemental analysis four wind projects totaling 750 MW of wind energy that had been connected to the grid. Id. at 42. The analysis did not discuss impacts to wildlife from this development. Id. at 46. The analysis did not include a section on scenic impacts, much less how wind energy development enabled by the BPA has transformed scenic landscapes. The supplemental review also failed to acknowledge the ongoing impacts to cultural resources from the development that has been enabled by BPA transmission project. Id. at 48-49.

Since the BPA's last review of the environmental impacts associated with the transmission system and the energy production that system allows, an unprecedented level of new wind energy development was occurring throughout the region. Currently over 3,000 MW of wind energy has been interconnected to the grid. The BPA has signed PTSAs for as much as 12,000 additional MW of new generating capacity, most of it wind. (See Footnote 1)

Wind energy developments have dramatically changed landscapes throughout thousands of acres of rural Washington and Oregon along with countless scenic vistas. Some of these vistas are designated as nationally significant, including the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area and the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail. To a large extent wind energy development has been given a free pass based on unquestioned acceptance of the industry talking point that that visual impacts analysis is subjective and that people like the way the wind turbines look. These unsubstantiated assertions completely ignore that federal agencies have decades of experience in evaluating impacts to scenic resources based on standardized, objective methodologies. Many developments have not undergone environmental review using these best available scientific methodologies for evaluating scenic impacts. When these methodologies are used during environmental review they are often misapplied by individuals without sufficient training or expertise in using the systems. The BPA must address this failure by perform comprehensive review of regional energy development and ensure that best available science is used in that analysis.

The potential for adverse impacts from energy development were also acknowledged in the BPA's Business Plan EIS. BPA Business Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement(DOE/EIS-0183) (hereinafter BPA Business Plan EIS). The Business Plan EIS stated:



In areas used for recreation, particularly in undeveloped places, studies show that many users find transmission lines to be an unwelcome visual intrusion. Also, many citizens feel strongly that transmission lines near their homes are visually intrusive, and that some property values may be reduced. Adverse visual effects may be perceived up to several kilometers from the line. Transmission lines may be more compatible with industrial areas. The effectiveness of potential mitigation measures depends on the site, and some measures may substantially increase the cost of the project. Possible measures include darkened towers in forested areas; different tower designs more compatible with a particular environment; non-specular (nonshiny) conductor; and locations that avoid visually sensitive areas.

(Footnote 1: To respond to the increased demand for interconnections to the grid, the BPA conducts annual Network Open Seasons where prospective energy producers can submit Transmission Service Requests (TSRs) to BPA. From these requests the BPA offers eligible producers Preferred Transmission Service Agreements (PTSAs). Based on these agreements the BPA calculates the demand for transmission services and the need for any new transmission facilities. In response to the 2008 Network Open Season, the BPA signed PTSAs securing 6,410 MW of transmission capacity. And in response to the 2009 Network Open Season the BPA signed PTSAs securing 1,553 MW of transmission capacity. In 2010 alone the BPA received TSRs for 4,456 MW of wind energy development that would be eligible to sign PTSAs. If all eligible PTSA are signed and all interconnections are completed, the new transmission services provided by BPA will total over 12,000 MW.)

BPA BP EIS at 4-52, Section 4.3.2.6. The Business Plan EIS also explained that one of the main environmental risks of wind energy development is visual impacts. BPA Business Plan EIS at 442, Section 4.3.1. Despite this cursory analysis, the BPA has not reviewed the cumulative effects to scenic resources that have resulted from building over 3,000 MW of wind energy facilities since the BPA BP EIS was prepared.

Energy development facilitated by BPA is also killing or displacing an unknown number of birds and bats. During the project-specific review of wind energy facility proposals throughout the Columbia Plateau the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) have called for analysis of cumulative impacts avian and bat species, with emphasis on the need to evaluate impact to wildlife using migratory bird corridors. No agency has conducted a programmatic environmental review of extensive industrial-scale energy development throughout the region. To Friends' knowledge there have not been any peer reviewed studies of impacts from wind energy development on wildlife. Initial post-construction reports for some projects have shown a high level of raptor mortality. To fill this void, the BPA must prepare a programmatic EIS to study the impacts of the its actions on wildlife.

---

Industrial development enabled by the BPA is also causing damage to cultural resources from ground disturbance for turbine construction and road building. Some County permitting agencies have failed to consult with tribal governments in a timely fashion and BPA interconnection decisions have failed to respond to this oversight. Native American cultural resources continue to be destroyed by development that could not occur absent a connection to BPA's grid. The BPA must perform some comprehensive

review and consult with tribal governments to determine the full extent of damage to cultural resources and identify avoidance and mitigation measures.

The BPA's existing environmental review documents do not appear to address the limited capacity of the grid to integrate wind energy. Recently, BPA expressed concern about how it will reliably integrate over 6,000 MW of wind energy by 2013. Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Sixth Power Plan, at 12-11 (available at <http://www.nwcouncil.org/energy/powerplan/6/default.htrn>). The BPA currently has over 3,000 MW connected to the grid and has contractual obligations to connect up to 12,000 additional MW with the majority of that energy coming from wind facilities. If the BPA's own numbers are correct, then there may be insufficient capacity to integrate all of the potential wind energy sources that have been promised access to the grid. This ignores future projects that have not participated in the Network Open Season process to secure grid capacity.

Even if the above-referenced numbers are in error, the basic concern is still valid. At some point the grid will be saturated with intermittent sources of energy. The BPA must do comprehensive review of the grid and energy development to determine the long-term capacity of the grid. The BPA must also evaluate how it can ensure the energy production facilities with the fewest adverse environmental impacts are given higher priority for transmission space on the grid.

The BPA is the only agency with a central role in permitting all new connections to the grid and managing and expanding the transmission system. In this role the BPA must undertake environmental review of impacts to projects using BPA interconnections and must undertake a programmatic review of the impacts of the large-scale energy development throughout the region.

Consultation with agencies with expertise.

NEPA requires that BPA request comments from federal agencies with special expertise in the resources that would be affected by the proposed development. 40 C.F.R. § 1503.1(a)(1). NEPA requires that the BPA seek comments from state agencies and tribal governments. 40 C.F.R. § 1503.1(a)(2). The NEPA regulations also requires that federal agencies respond to requests for comments: "Federal agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved and agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards shall comment on statements within their jurisdiction, expertise, or authority." 40 C.F.R. § 1503.2. NEPA regulations also require that BPA prepare the DEIS "concurrently with and integrated with" required consultations. 40 C.F.R. § 1502.25(a).

The BPA must consult with agencies that have expertise in the resources that would be affected by the proposed action. This must include consultation with agencies with expertise in the resources that would be directly affected, and agencies with expertise in resources that are subject to indirect and cumulative impacts. The BPA must consult with the USDA National

Forest Service, the National Park Service, the BLM, state and local governments, and tribal governments regarding the likely impacts to resources that are within their jurisdiction or expertise. To date the BPA has not complied with its consultation duties. This must be corrected through a programmatic EIS.

## Conclusion

The direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the BPA's actions in facilitating the expansion of the regional energy production system have not undergone sufficient environmental review. The BPA must prepare a programmatic EIS that takes a hard look at the environmental impacts caused by the explosion of energy production developments in the region. As part of that review the BPA must consult with all governments that have expertise in the resources that have been and will be affected by energy developments.

Sincerely,

Richard Till

Conservation Legal Advocate

---

Communication ID: 12922

Date Received: 10/1/2010

Name: WALTER JOHNSON

A lot of opposition would dissolve if BPA buried its lines in conduits placed six feet underground, such as in street rights of way. EM Shielded conduits underground would get an even better reception. No matter how you look at it power lines are ugly, a smear on the landscape and a direct assault on property values. Above ground lines also make it easier to start wild fires and facilitate terrorist attacks on the infrastructure. Lastly a huge solar flare hitting the United States as happened to one Canadian province is far less likely to cause a melt failure of the U. S. Power grid. One science show I watched reported that so many transformers would blow in such a known to have happened event that it would take 3 years of the entire global production capacity working at full capacity to replace the lost transformers. I am willing to pay higher rates to have underground power lines, but for almost no other reason. At our last home we paid to have a pole removed and our service put underground because we abutted property that had underground service. I knew at the time it meant a small increase in the monthly service bill indefinitely even though we paid for the not yet depreciated cost of the wire and pole removed out of pocket.

---

Communication ID: 12923

Date Received: 10/2/2010

Name: THERESA HAMMERQUIST, MIKE HAMMERQUIST

Mark Korsness, Manager

September 29, 2010

I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

PO Box 9250

Portland, OR 97207

Dear Mr. Korsness:

My wife and I are the property owners of land located where BPA's proposed 500kv line segment 49 would intersect BPA's R/W for two existing East-West 230kv lines. Such existing 230kv R/W uses most of the Northern portion of our property except for a 22 foot strip on the North edge. Located on such 22 foot strip of property is an "artisan" well which is the sole water supply for our home and the rest of our property. This well is approximately where segment 49 will interconnect with BPA's existing 230kv R/W. The water line connecting our well to the rest of our property crosses the BPA R/W through an easement granted at the time BPA acquired the R/W.

At the September 12, 2010 Public Meeting at Union High School in Camas, we provided oral comments and were assured that BPA would not compromise or interrupt our water supply as a result of any construction or other activity on the BPA R/W. During our oral comments we also asked that: in the event that Segment 49 is built and requires a portion of the 22 foot strip North of the BPA R/W, would BPA also acquire the remainder of the 22 foot strip. We understand that it is BPA policy to acquire such incidental property if, as a result of acquiring a portion of such property, the remainder becomes of little value.

An additional issue that we did not resolve at the September 12, meeting is the concern we have as to any possible interference with our satellite internet service due to the proximity to the BPA 500kv Project. The satellite internet service is the only internet service available in our rural area and our economic livelihood depends on the ability of my wife to continue her employment as a "cottage worker" which requires the dependable availability of a high speed internet connection. Please advise us as to what, if any, potential interference might be expected to our satellite high speed internet service and television reception.

Very Truly Yours

Michael Hammerquist  
Teri Hammerquist

---

Communication ID: 12924

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: VICENTE MOLINOS

Dear Maryam,

Ten days ago, during the Castle Rock meeting, I requested as you suggested, directly from your project engineer, a digital map with the actual geographic locations and years when existing HVTL of 230kv or more had been built in the Cowlitz and Clark Counties. She wrote my email but I have not heard from her. Could you please let me know if and when I will receive this information. During our recent meeting with Mark Korsness he seemed anxious to receive any additional input soon and this delay does not help.

We would like a larger scale that is similar to those regional photomaps A, B that you prepared in the past to locate individual landowner parcel maps (maps 11-20 etc) might be good, so we have an overview of each line, where it begins and ends. A grid of geographic coordinates and other locational references would help. It should be comprehensive and include all existing lines even if they are not owned by BPA showing its official name/number, voltage and the year it was built. An electrical diagram would not help since we are looking for geographical positions.

You could have it put on a CD and give it to Leslie and will pick it next week when I go to Vancouver.

Thanks,

Vicente Molinos

---

Communication ID: 12925

Date Received: 9/13/2010

Name: VICENTE MOLINOS

Thanks for your follow-up. I do not need large hardcopy maps. I just want to be able to identify the existing lines in Clark and Cowlitz Counties with their year built on a digital photo map of a scale that is adequate to show the whole line or at least its main segments within a computer screen and with a grid of geographic coordinates. We need to be able read and determine with good precision the coordinates of different segment end points so we can transfer them to the GIS systems of the counties.

Thanks,

Vicente Molinos

---

Communication ID: 12926

Date Received: 9/22/2010

Name: MICHELLE BLACK

Communication summary:

Please provide us with the number of people who would be impacted by each potential transmission line route for the BPA I-5 Corridor project.

---

Communication ID: 12927

Date Received: 9/22/2010

Name: MICHELLE BLACK

Hi Maryam,

Thank you so much for sending this information and for the clarification. I understand that landowners is as deep as you can go, so no worries. Also, I know that Erna has requested information on the number of notified landowners for the new letter segments. We are looking for each lettered segment broken out individually). Will that be available any time soon?

Thank you,

Michele

---

Communication ID: 12928

Date Received: 8/17/2010

Name: WALTER GUY,SUSAN GUY

Communication Summary:

Request for regular mailing information and schedule of public meetings.

---

Communication ID: 12929

Date Received: 9/9/2010

Name: MACK EPPERSON

Maryam Asgharian was contacted by phone on 9/9/10 by Mack Epperson.

Mack Epperson requested a map to show where his property is in relation to the project and links to our website and the interactive Google Map file.

---

Communication ID: 12930

Date Received: 9/13/2010

Name: DANNY YOUNCE

Information request: Maryam Asgharian was contacted by phone on 9/13/10 by Danny Younce.

Danny Younce is purchasing property that is in proposed Segment 26. He requested information about the popping or buzzing noise.

---

Communication ID: 12931

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: ERNA SARASOHN

Map request: Maryam Asgharian sent Erna Sarasohn [address] 100 project maps for use with the citizen group "Citizens Against the Towers" on 9/16/10

---

Communication ID: 12932

Date Received: 9/9/2010

Name: FLORENCE HIGHTOWER, MICHELE UNKNOWN, ERIC UNKNOWN

Map request: Maryam Asgharian was contacted by phone on 9/9/10 by Michele and Eric for Florence Hightower

Florence Hightower (c/o Michele and Eric)

[address]

[email]

Michele and Eric live with Flo and are helping to handle her personal mail and personal affairs. They requested a map that shows the property and links to more information.

---

Communication ID: 12933

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: KEENE FORNEY

Information request: Maryam Asgharian was approached after the Castle Rock public meeting on 8/30/10 by Keene Forney [address]

He requested the 1996 study report on EMF - a big red book.

---

Communication ID: 12934

Date Received: 8/30/2010

Name: DARYL D BROOKSHIRE

Question: At the Castle Rock public meeting on 8/30/10, Maryam Asgharian spoke with Daryl D. Brookshire

[address]

[phone]

Daryl was concerned that none of his neighbors were notified.

---

Communication ID: 12935

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: CORDELIA CHEN

Map request: At the Skyview public meeting on 8/31/10, Maryam Asgharian spoke with Cordelia Chen [address]

She requested two copies of a map that shows where segments 18 and 28 come together, including just south of 419th St.

---

Communication ID: 12936

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: JEFRIE ADAMS, LEON ADAMS

Maryam Asgharian received a phone call from Jefrie Adams (her husband's name is Leon Adams).

Jefrie Adams requested information about the project because some of their neighbors in Kelso were notified, but they were not. Need to add them to the mailing list.

---

Communication ID: 12937

Date Received: 10/5/2010

Name: CAROLA PENN

I was quite disturbed to hear of the proposal to run electric towers through the Yale Valley, especially the area north of the Lewis River. I am an artist and have been painting the forest and streams in this same area for 17 years. (some of these paintings can be seen at the Southwest Washington Medical Center in Vancouver and at Lower Columbia College) The beauty of this area has been an important inspiration for my paintings. I've also taught and attended many presentations at the Yale Valley Arts Festival at Anderson Lodge which is a cultural treasure of the area. The magnificent view from the Anderson Lodge would be irreparably blighted by a clearcut path for these power lines. And this is to say nothing of the harm to the health of the environment and residents who have been fighting to preserve this area for many generations. Thank you for considering these concerns, Carola Penn

---

Communication ID: 12938

Date Received: 9/29/2010

Name: DAVID CHERRINGTON

Dear Mark Korsness, [Duplicates also addressed to Anita Decker and Stephen Wright]

I'm writing this letter in regards to the most recently proposed route for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. The route proposed from the Baxter Creek/Casey Rd substations goes directly through where my dream house was intended. I recently attended a meeting on the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project in Castle Rock, and heard several fellow citizens propose that the BPA consider a more northern route that would avoid residential areas. There was never an explanation as to why the route is not being



considered other than the fact that it could possibly interfere with some of Weyerhaeuser's logging operations and might cost a little more money.

My piece of property was funded by my late grandfather, Harold Huntington, who was a direct descendant of the Huntingtons' who discovered and settled Castle Rock and the greater Cowlitz County area. My grandfather left this legacy for me to enjoy and I fought through "incurable" cancer to do so. Ironically, or sadly depending on your point of view, my grandfather was able to fund the purchase of this piece of property for myself from the very small amount of money he received after an act of severe negligence by the Weyerhaeuser company nearly killed him while he was a foreman for them. The situation is even more ironic and sad when you consider that not only is the more northern route that avoids residential routes not being considered; the most recent proposed route also avoids going through Weyerhaeuser properties that have recently been put up for sale for profit in the Skyline Ridge Forest Reserve gated community. The piece of property my grandfather funded the purchase of lies within Weyerhaeuser's gated community, but it just so happens, it is the only piece of property in the development that Weyerhaeuser does not own or has not recently owned.

The Weyerhaeuser company was responsible for a great deal of damage to my family which they can never repay. It makes me sick that the legacy that my grandfather set aside for me is in jeopardy for what in all appearances looks like an attempt to spare a little bit of expense for the company he gave his life for. When my grandfather was on his death bed after the negligent incident by the Weyerhaeuser company he always used to tell me a story about how he sent the preacher who was to read him his last rights packing, and with that perspective I was able to fight my way through "incurable" cancer to enjoy what little he was given in exchange for his extreme hardship. With all of this being said, I know that through Eminent domain you can go through anyone's property you want to. I acknowledge this and am asking you to please reconsider this route. I realize I have virtually no chance of winning a battle with you if you choose to go through my property, but it is my sincere hope that me and my family will be spared from having to fight any more battles when it appears you have less harmful options.

Sincerely,

David Cherrington

---

Communication ID: 12939

Date Received: 9/17/2010

Name: FRED SANTOLUCITO

Dear Larry,

Thank you for adding this "Homeland Security" Issue as a factor to consider. My 15 minute presentation is attached for your review.

Fred Santolucito

I5 Corridor Expansion

Homeland Security Issue

The Best Option

By: Fred Santolucito

Audio: Hello, my name is Fred Santolucito. Thank you for listening to the presentation of what I believe to be a homeland security issue- The placement of the I-5 corridor 500 kv lines and towers next to the existing lines and towers. I will speak to you based on my personal experiences in the military intelligence, specifically aerial surveillance in Vietnam, as well as my business experiences with a career in supplier management and finance.

Introduction

Objectives: Inform homeowners of a security issue; Influence BPA to separate the new 500 kV line from the existing lines.

Notes: As an aerial observer, I did many missions in Vietnam looking for enemy positions and taking photos for intelligence purposes. There are several of my photos in my presentation so as to give my real life example as to the point I am trying to convey. I also reviewed suppliers worldwide for HP and had cost accounting background.

Audio: My objectives are to inform homeowners of a security issue and to influence BPA to separate the new 500 kv lines from the existing lines.

500 KV lines and towers – Current situation

Notes: Proposing new 500 KV lines: Addressing issues and taking public comments prior to building

Audio: First I'd like to look at the current situation of BPA as I surmise it to be.

Quotes from BPA Physical Security Roadmap

“To provide for more secure facilities”

“The Remoteness of many electrical facilities and the fact that many sites are unmanned, contributes to these problems...”

-February 2007

Audio: Here's a quote from the BPA physical security roadmap. They're talking about providing for more secure facilities in one area of the roadmap and the remoteness of many electrical facilities and the fact that many sites are unmanned contributes to problems is also stated in this roadmap.

Current Local Situation

Many towers are in remote areas

Some in public areas: Dog Park

Notes: Live close to the Ross complex and one day I took my bike and camera around the trail the circles the BPA's Ross complex.

Audio: The current towers run throughout Vancouver and the area and I live close to the Ross complex, and one day I decided to take my bicycle around the complex and look at the exposure of the towers. On your left is a tower that's in a remote area and easily accessible, as well as in a public area that's on the other side of the complex, there is a dog park and parking lots in an open area right next to the existing towers.

Homeowner Concerns

Home Values

EMF

Unsightliness

Security

Notes: While we have concerns about home values, EMF emissions, Unsightliness which may be our reasons for your being here, there is a higher level concern which I would like to bring to your attention and that is a Homeland Security Concern

Audio: There are a number of homeowner's concerns and they have been expressed publicly. They are: the homeowners' values and depreciations with the new towers going up near their homes; the potential for EMF emissions and their effect on our children; The unsightliness and largeness of these towers being right near our homes; but I want to speak specifically about the security issue.

A Homeland Security Concern – The placement of the new 500 kv towers and lines beside and along the existing lines.

Audio: That's why I'm giving this presentation. So a homeland security concern is the placement of new 500 kv towers and lines beside and along the existing lines is the main point of discussion.

BPA position:

Use existing Easement

Cost effective

Easiest alternative

Easy to manage

Notes: BPA has been focused on options like Option 9 and 25 because...

Audio: BPA's position I believe to be, they want to use the existing easements because they are cost effective, they're the easiest alternative, they're easy to manage, and as a business person I can understand this and understand the rationalization of why everybody might go there with a limited amount of money and buy-

Existing easements

Notes: Here are towers within the Ross Complex

Audio: We can see the existing easements as I said on the Ellen Davis Trail here is a site right through the Ross complex where it would be very easy to put up another line.

Use existing easements – Why not?

Notes: So what could be a reason for not taking the easy choice

Audio: So using the existing easements, why wouldn't you use the existing easements? It's kind of a no brainer, why wouldn't you go this way?

Primary Issue: Attractive Target

New lines running parallel to existing lines become a target of opportunity – Risk is heightened

“All your eggs in one basket” – Easily accessible

Notes: I want to show how putting new lines, which are easily accessible, next to existing lines creates, what I am calling a target of opportunity

In other words it is like putting all of your eggs in one basket and that they then are easily accessible

Audio: The primary issue is that the new lines would be running next to the existing lines and become a target of opportunity. Together, they become an attractive target. It's like putting all of your eggs in one basket. They're easily accessible.

Easily Accessible

Notes: Here is a tower in the trees with a vehicle trail leading right to it

Audio: Here's easy access, as I said, here's another picture of a road that goes right up to the tower, with a trail that leads right to it. Probably used by BPA.

Accessibility

Back gate open to the Ross Complex

Daily Truck Traffic

Audio: There's ease of access, I saw a gate that was open on the back side of the Ross complex, actually a truck was going in and out of it and I've seen it opened repeatedly. Maybe there's reasons for this but it appears there's ease of access to the facility that goes in to the back of the Ross Complex. There's no visible man security around this.

Historical Perspective: Target of Opportunity

Quote: War made new by Max Boot

"To make them easier to guard, aircraft were neatly lined up wingtip to wingtip on the tarmac; as it turned out this also made them easier to destroy from above. Although some studies had warned of the danger of air attack, the prevailing opinion was that the Japanese were simply incapable of such a feat."

Attack on Pearl Harbor

Notes: Read Full quote

Audio: From a historical perspective I'd like to talk about a target of opportunity in regards to what happened at Pearl Harbor. So from a quote from a book by Max Boot called War Made New, he states in a quote that "To make them easier to guard, aircraft were neatly lined up wingtip to wingtip on the tarmac; as it turned out this also made them easier to destroy from above. Although some studies had warned of the danger of air attack, the prevailing opinion was that the Japanese were simply incapable of such a feat."

Notes: Here is what it looked like.

Audio: And here are those planes gathered together on the tarmac before the attack. Also it might be noted that there were ships that were gathered together at Pearl Harbor that were also sunk. But fortunately, saving grace there were some out to sea and separated from the ones at Pearl Harbor.

Incapacitating: Targets of Opportunity

Simple explosive device

Result in disruption

Notes: Here are two of my Vietnam photos, which showed what enemy disruption that took place when a section of two bridges were easily disabled by a few. John's comment: "I don't know if a homeowner will realize what we do; how much damage a few sticks of dynamite can do!"

Audio: Here are two photos that I took in Vietnam. They're aerial views of sections of bridges that had been taken out by the Vietcong. They used simple explosive devices and caused disruption by several, several people. A friend of mine who was in military intelligence made the comment to me that he didn't believe that homeowners realized the impact that just a few could have using several sticks of dynamite.

9/11: Homeland Security Issue

Audio: And here is a photo of 9/11 and the twin towers. I don't mean to make this too dramatic but it is a reality for us and it is a homeland security issue.

Potentially other options

Lines separated

Why this would be more secure?

Audio: Potentially there are other options that will allow us to separate the lines. Why would this be a better option?

Wyoming Study on Transmission Lines

Framework for analyzing separation distances between transmission lines in Wyoming, August 2009

Notes: In August 2009 there was a Wyoming study that analyzed the separation distances between transmission lines

Audio: I came across and was given a Wyoming study of transmission lines and its framework for analyzing separation distances between transmission lines dated August 2009. In this report one of the small paragraphs that I chose to-

Separation of Lines

"...Separation distance could mitigate the risk of simultaneous outage of two transmission lines"

Notes: Read slide and also "Power system reliability improves as the separation distance between parallel transmission lines in a common corridor increases to the point that failure of one line can not physically impact the adjacent line"

Audio: Was that the separation distance could mitigate the risk of simultaneous outage of two transmission lines. That is the very point that I'm trying to make with talking about separating the current lines and the current 500 kv lines- that it would mitigate the risk of simultaneous outage.

With both lines down and out

A disabling loss of power to Washington and Oregon

But one line could temporarily serve by itself to cover major power needs

Notes: Example: an airplane with the loss of one of two engines

Rarely need 100% from one line

Audio: With both lines taken out by a terrorist attack, it would be a disabling loss to the power of both Washington and Oregon, but one line could temporarily serve by itself to cover major power needs. It

would be like an airplane with two engines. If one engine went out you could make it home, but with both engines out, it would be disastrous.

The cost factor

Notes: Now what about looking at the cost factor

Audio: So now let's look at the cost factor and the decisions that need to be made around cost.

An Effective Way and Conceivable Way

Washington Senator, Craig Pridemore

Also previously Military Intelligence training

"I've been following the BPA issue closely and looking for effective ways to influence the decision making process. You may have come up with the only conceivable way of doing that.

He then recognized the Cost Factor.

Notes: Read quote

Audio: I recently had an email correspondence with Washington Senator Craig Pridemore who also has a military intelligence training background. In this email he stated: "I've been following the BPA issue closely and looking for effective ways to influence the decision making process. You may have come up with the only conceivable way of doing that." But he also made comment about the cost factor and the additional costs that are necessary to separate these lines.

Cost issue drives decision:

Initial costs to place lines together will be less due to BPA's already having the existing easements.

However, there are more associated costs over the lifetime of these towers and lines.

Notes: It is a fact that the Initial Costs to place the lines on existing easements will be less due to BPA having the existing easements But

Audio: So the cost issue drives the decisions, we all know, that's the driving decision in all businesses. As I worked with HP that was an important ingredient in engineering a product and in the business decision. Initial costs are easily recognizable and accountable. So initial costs to place lines together will be less due to BPA's already having existing easements. It's really cost effective to do it this way, we recognize that. However, there are more associated costs over the lifetime of those towers and-

Total Lifetime Cost

Total Costs drive management decisions- allocated funds and budget

However, the factors which influence Total costs are more than just the initial cost:

## Technology-Quality-Responsiveness-Delivery and Environmental/Safety

Notes: Up front costs drive management decisions; costs are important and budgets are to be met. But costs over the life of a product or project must be considered. (Quality)

Audio: Along with the initial costs and the budget that drive management decisions, there should be considerations for the total costs. And the total costs involve more than just the upfront costs. In the businesses that I conducted we recognized the technology, the quality, the responsiveness, the delivery. The technology might be the size of the 500 kv line. Quality might be, you know, the product that's being put out. Are you willing to pay more for a quality product? Responsiveness. Are you responding to the demands of the customer? The needs of the customer? In this case, more power for the Northwest or for certain states. Delivering them on time. But I'm looking at specifically at environmental and safety issues.

Areas of high concern

We are discussing the security issue

Others: earthquake, wind storms, mudslides, airplane crashes, heat wave and lightning strikes: 1977 NY city Blackout

Notes: Along with this security issue come other concern which the BPA recognizes....here is a real life example with the NY City Blackout

Audio: So these are the areas, there are areas of high concern which I know BPA has given some discussion to internally and I respect that. We are discussing the security issues specifically but others are earthquakes, wind storms, mudslides, airplane crashes, heat waves and lightning strikes as occurred in the 1977 New York City blackout which now I'd like to discuss.

Consequences of a Power Blackout

New York City 1977 Power Blackout

Quote by Mayor Abe Beame:

"We've seen our citizens subjected to violence, vandalism, theft and discomfort. The Blackout has threatened our safety and has seriously impacted our economy. We've been needlessly subjected to a night of terror in many communities... The costs when finally tallied will be enormous!"

Notes: Quote by Mayor Abe Beame

We've seen our citizens subjected to violence, vandalism, theft and discomfort.

The Blackout has threatened our safety and has seriously impacted our economy. We've been needlessly subjected to a night of terror in many communities.....

The costs when finally tallied will be enormous



Audio: And here is a quote by Mayor Abe Beame, the mayor of New York City at the time of the blackout. He states: "We've seen our citizens subjected to violence, vandalism, theft and discomfort. The Blackout has threatened our safety and has seriously impacted our economy. We've been needlessly subjected to a night of terror in many communities." And then he pointed out, "The costs, when finally tallied, will be enormous."

What risk should be taken?

Forbes, Kenneth G Brill - The Real Cause of the BP Oil Spill

"The company's systems were designed to mostly work instead of never fail."

"As an engineer I was trained to design things to "work." Working implies tolerating occasional failures. The engineer's job is to balance performance with cost."

Cost factor; "However, my clients have refocused me on "never failing" because some failures are so critical they directly impact the bottom line and the very future of organizations."

Forbes, May 26, 2010.

Notes: An acceptable failure rate is in relationship to the situation needs for the life of the it's use

Audio: So what risks should we take? There's another article by Kenneth Brill in Forbes Magazine in May 26, 2010 that talks about the recent and the real cause of the BP oil spill that states: "The Company's systems were designed to mostly work instead of never fail. As an engineer I was trained to design things to "work." Working implies tolerating occasional failures. The engineer's job is to balance performance with cost." I have seen this in my own business workings and I understand this fully and the engineer that I worked with worked with this criteria. He continues on with the cost factor and says, "However, my clients have refocused me on "never failing" because some failures are so critical they directly impact the bottom line and the very future of the organization."

Cost to Risk Assessment

Risk X Cost of Failure =

It's not easy to estimate or calculate the lifetime cost of a project: X2? X3? X10?

Notes: While the initial costs are visible and able to be analyzed, cost and risk analysis are somewhat intangible and less able to be proven on paper..which becomes a problem in the taking a more upfront expensive view of an option which separates the lines. BUT DOES DEVISTATION AND CRITICAL FAILURES EVEN NEED TO HAVE A DOLLAR FIGURE ASSIGNED TO MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION??

Audio: So there is a cost to risk assessment, but I have found this awfully difficult to cost out. There have been attempts to do this by taking risk times cost of failure, but it's not easy to estimate or calculate the life cost of a product. Is it times 2? times 3? times 10? What is it? We don't really have the ability to calculate this as we do initial cost.

But

Does devastation and critical failure even need a dollar figure assigned to make it the right decision?

Audio: But Does devastation and critical failure even need a dollar figure assigned to make it the right decision?

A large region: Major Target of Opportunity

Current lines and 500 kv lines will provide power to the Northwest States

Washington, Oregon, as well as California

Notes: Not only is the state of Washington served with this electrical power but five other states get the majority of power from these new lines as will be shown in one of the upcoming presentations.... extended loss of power would result in "a night of terror" at an enormous cost as Mayor Beame experienced

Audio: We're dealing with a large region here which is a major target of opportunity. Current lines and 500 kv lines will provide power for the Northwest states to include Washington and Oregon as well as continuing on to California, a large chunk of this power we know will be used in California and Oregon.

Leading Question:

Is the failure of our power grid system acceptable for any reason??

Are low initial costs a reason to take this risk?

Notes: So

Audio: So the leading question becomes: Is the failure of our power grid system acceptable for any reason? Are low initial costs a reason to take this risk?

Funding additional costs

Stimulus package funding \$342 million for I5 Corridor Project (500 KV lines and towers)

Total allocation equals 3.25 billion

Added cost for eastern Option between 30-60 million or 1 to 2% of total allocation

If BPA budgets \$850 million/year for fish and wildlife this added cost for this project is not excessive

Notes: The additional costs could and should be made available

Audio: The funding of the additional costs has to be accounted for and we see this as being coming through the stimulus package which is funding 342 million dollars for the I-5 corridor project for the 500 kv lines and towers. Totalled allocation equals 3.25 billion that's accessible to BPA. Added costs for the

eastern option or another option which separates these lines would be between 30 and 60 million as we've projected. Hopefully we're within range here but we see it as being 1 or 2% of the total allocation. Also BPA budgets 850 million dollars a year for fish and wildlife. This added cost for this project is not accessible when you look at it from this perspective. And we find that homeowners should be as valuable as fish and wildlife and would like to be looked at or considered for just a 1 or 2% additional allocation. We see this reasonable and acceptable for the risk that's involved.

Separate the Lines and Towers

Loss of both lines is unacceptable for our Homeland Security

There is other potential route options

It makes good common sense

It can be funded

Is good insurance to negate the associated risk

Gives everyone peace of mind

Thank you

Fred Santolucito

[email]

Audio: Loss of both lines is unacceptable for our homeland security. Separate the lines and towers. There are other potential route options that makes good common sense, that can be funded, that is good insurance to negate the associated risk, and that gives everybody peace of mind.

Personal Experience

Audio: This was intended to be the end of my presentation. But I can't help but think that there might be a response.

Yes I know, but it will never happen to us

Audio: Yes I know, but it will never happen to us, and I have a personal experience in Vietnam that I would like to convey to you. And that was the landing on top of a mountain called-

In memory of Black Virgin Mountain

The enemy doesn't bother us, we don't bother them

Overrun and massacre: May 1968

Audio: Or Black Virgin Mountain. I landed there by helicopter and I talked to a Lieutenant and he said that he liked the service that he had there. That there were helicopter delivered steaks and it was pretty good service. And he made a comment that I'll never forget. He said, "The enemy doesn't bother us, and we don't bother them." He knew that they were in the sight of the mountain, they knew that we were at the top of the mountain with signal detachment that served a number of divisions around the southern portion of Vietnam. I left and about a month later in May 1968 the Vietcong, 15 Vietcong overran and massacred the whole detachment. So I wanted to convey this to you because it is real, it has happened, and it should be taken into consideration as a real potential and we have the opportunity to do something about it at this point in time. And hopefully the right decision will be made. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12940

Date Received: 10/5/2010

Name: JOHN F WALENTA

There is no obvious need for another power line from the viewpoint of a private citizen. I have not read about power outages, shortages, etc. The power line would negatively impact a scenic area close to two metropolitan areas, and thus easily accessible. I have hiked in this area several times, both as a resident of Portland and my current residence in Seattle. I object to the power line's construction unless an independent commission can verify the need for such a line. If there is a need, then I object to the intended placement in the Silver Star scenic area.

---

Communication ID: 12941

Date Received: 10/6/2010

Name: JANE M REVESZ

TREE FARMS--THE BEST USE OF THE LAND

As tree farmers who are very seriously impacted by your proposed lines, we will describe some objections we have to 500 kv power lines over or adjacent to any of our family tree farms. As you itemize in your publications, high voltage lines and trees are incompatible. We raise trees; we want to keep all the acreage we can to be available to grow our tall timber. Most of the forests of east and north Clark County were decimated by the Yaoclt Burn but are now among the anchor forests in Washington. (Anchor forests are landscape-scale areas in which managed forests are the dominant land use.) These anchor forests are of great importance to the State and recognized as such by the Legislature. Now the federal government and its agencies such as BPA and the people of the United States need to recognize that effort and expense will be required to save our forests in a healthy and sustainable condition.

Family forests, managed by Small Forest Landowners in an intensive, site-specific way are under a great deal of pressure that results in conversion of many acres per year to development and other uses. Population growth, economic realities, and the severe regulatory climate for forests in Washington State make it very difficult for small forest landowners to continue through the generations. The conscientious

portion of our world understands this. We see that BPA can understand this, too, when conserving private forests to the tune of some \$9.75 million in the Willamette Valley along with the Trappist Abbey. The Trappists' forest is younger than ours and not as ready for sustainability. BPA even seems to have understood that a forest is much more apt to be sustained if it is a "working forest" and, so, the Abbey forest will continue to send logs to the mill. Working forests have been found to have a better track record of continuing than non-managed lands. There is plenty of information out there at OSU websites, forestry websites, or try searching for REVESZ Family Forestry in the NY Times archives on the importance of keeping our country's family forest lands intact and as working forests. Washington's working forests are already severely restricted by the "Forest and Fish rules"; the amount of land we cannot harvest is much greater than Oregon. Rather than threatening our survival further here in Clark County, put the high voltage power line in Oregon, the state that will receive more of this power than we do. (Even on those days when the facts seem to get in the way of your spokespeople it seems clear the Portland metro area in Oregon gets far more advantage from this line than Washington.) Washington tree farmers already give far more than our share. NO MORE!

#### THE BEST FOREST LAND HAS AND SHOULD GROW TREES--NOT POWER TOWERS

Our family (Witter/Revesz and descendants) has property that lies on line 18, line 28, and line "O". A fourth property is adjoined by line "O". Each of these properties has been owned by our family for more than 55 to 60 years. Before Winifred and Leon Witter bought the tree farms from private property owners, these properties had each been homesteaded and were continuously owned by family farmers of one sort or another. Most of the surrounding acreage was also privately owned until many hundreds of acres were lost to taxes during the Depression. Only sections 36 in each township were School Lands early on in the 1900's. The DNR is a latter-day owner of timberlands in our neck-of-the-woods.

Small forest landowners (SFLO) with their family tree farms are vulnerable to the changes that are going on in our country. But it is extremely important that these owners be able to maintain their ownership and their unique ways of managing timberlands. SFLO with their responsive and inventive goals and management objectives offer advantages and values that the bigger owners do not provide. The DNR must push for larger harvests when the prices are down, the industrial forests are now almost entirely REIT's (Real Estate Investment Trusts) or other stock market driven solutions. It is family forestry that has a real stake in perpetual, sustainable forests. The rewards that come from a life managing your own forest lands is truly hard to explain to those who live without that closeness to the land, its water, its flora and fauna, its vistas. There is no more peaceful or invigorating way to live. But to keep that peace of mind, the multiple advantages for all, those forests should be working, too. The forests should pay their own way and, also provide some amount of sustainable income or many of the SFLO's will be gone, too.

#### FOREST REALITY CLOSE-UP!

Small forest landowners in Washington State have been forced to sacrifice large buffers for fish in riparian set asides; this has removed many acres of productive forestland from forest management for timber products. BPA personnel do not seem to be familiar with the reality in our family forests. Some

have said they only fly over the East Fork of the Lewis River Valley and aren't really on the ground and claim that is good enough. Yet even flying over, you can readily observe, that the Revesz/Witter forest land, Section 27 T4 R4 EWM is managed in a much gentler rotation than the adjoining DNR lands. Take a look at your aerial photographs. We are an oasis and protection for fish and wildlife, great protectors of clean air and water, carbon is sequestered in great quantity in our forests. As small forest landowners, if we are to have sustainable income from timber, we must keep our cuts to small acreages at frequent intervals. We operate on much smaller cuts than the DNR or Weyerhaeuser. If we did cut like they do, we would be out of timber in 10 years or so, and then the next 2 generations would have to wait until the old age of the grandchildren to harvest again. That kind of rotation may work for DNR or Weyerhaeuser but it isn't sustainable for us as small forest landowners. On the other hand, we have the advantage of enough merchantable timber now and more trees of different ages coming along that if we do take small cuts we can have sustainable harvests every year or two and keep the tree farm forests going in the family forever. That is, we could, if the tree farm was still attractive and income-producing enough for the children and grandchildren to be able to keep it and keep it safe. Already conditions and regulations have pushed us into supporting our tree farms rather than the tree farms supporting us in our old age. But we know that could straighten out and the tree farms again be income-producing when the building industry recovers if we do not lose more productive timber-growing land to government regulation or confiscation by BPA.

#### LANDS TO CHERISH--NOT SACRIFICE

Our property on line 28, the Boody Place, has been owned by our family since 1946. Prior to our purchase, the quarter section was homesteaded in the early 1900's with the homestead land transaction completed in 1906. It was lived on for many decades, a millpond and sawmill were located on it since the very early 1900's. The sawmill is gone but the Boody Pond remains a very special haven for native cutthroat, salamanders, frogs, many varieties of ducks (it is a winter haven for buffleheads and others, sometimes a hundred or more at a time), herons, osprey, golden eagles, otters, beaver, and, of course, the insects, vegetation, etc. to support all the fish and wildlife. In 1990 with WDFW and DNR approval and all the necessary permits all at the Witters' expense, the dam that controls the pond level and outflow was rebuilt with multiple culverts and a fish ladder to accommodate fish passage. A powerline over this pond and/or the creek that flows through it (Boody Creek) would seriously effect these waterbodies and cause erosion of unstable soils. I know of no other Creek or Pond that compares for beauty, variety, and general good, pristine condition. It has been a haven, again among vast acreage of pretty much similarly aged reforestation. This pond is several acres in size and is present on many maps. It is thoroughly visible from any fly over. Our place contains almost all of the older and multi-age and biodiverse forests in the area. Again it was the Witter family plan that we would harvest in a sustainable manner that would protect the fish, wildlife, native vegetation, and the beauty and magic of an almost hidden paradise.

This magical place taught us that it is very hard to control intruders and this would be impossibly aggravated by passages wide open to the experimental off-roaders with paths right to the Boody Pond or Creek along the BPA openings through the forest. The over 100% slopes of the very steep foothills

that are both to the north and to the south of the Pond would be terrible invitations for even more joyriders.

#### AND THERE IS FIRE.....

The danger of wildfire in the Yacolt Burn is well-known and made more apparent to non-natives by the history of the area--both current and past--and the name itself--Yacolt Burn Forest. Now that funding is being withdrawn and Larch Correction Center is slated to close, the danger of fire will be even more. The inmates there are trained firefighting crews since the mid' 50's and have fought many a fire in the timberlands, especially in Clark and nearby Counties. The increased possibilities of wildfire that accompany high-voltage lines through trees are vividly shown in BPA's videos and publications. Newspaper reports of outage after outage caused by vegetation and tree mishaps are well-known. In addition, intruders often carelessly build campfires or other fires for the purpose of burning insulation off confiscated wiring. Who will control and supervise these hazards?

#### POWER LINE EXPERIENCE...

Our tree farm along line 18 has the current Yale-Merwin line down (or rather, up) the middle of it. The experience of having lived with this line for 57 years or more has made us adamantly opposed to trying to live with yet another line. We have been treated in a rotten manner and have been inflicted on by a very expensive series of mishaps and intentional entries without permission by the utility and its hired contractors that caused several types of damage and interference with forest operations. It didn't start out so bad, but then bigger machinery was used without appropriate analysis or skills, inappropriate chemicals were applied to our private road edges killing our fir trees, unsupervised contractors were hired, almost everything without notifying the landowner (which of course had been promised over and over verbally and repeatedly in writing), the Doug fir killing biologist got over on our property and killed a very large Doug fir, access to our own property through the utility was denied, etc. etc. We have found that continuous supervision of contractors and employees on the ground is essential for any kind of work they do on our place. All of these activities: letting them in, discussing their proposed projects, and supervising their activities are at the time and expense of the landowner or the landowners' employee. So after an easement is purchased by a utility, then the troubles and expense begin. This current utility has never graveled a road, paid for a gate, paid for our lawyer which we hired in desperation to get their attention, nor spent anything on road maintenance except to repair the most egregious damage of their subcontractor's causation.

BPA's explanations of what they will pay landowners absolutely does not cover the real costs. A onetime payment and, then, ongoing expense of time and money to the landowner. Unthought of changes will happen like recreational intrusion and trespass, trash, noise and vandalism on any possible entry point to lovely backcountry. Who are the enforcement officers and who pays for them? Who keeps the roads in good repair all year? Does BPA check the culverts and water bars after every rain? How do you comply with the same regulations for the fish that we do? Who is responsible for compliance with regulations and dealing with consequences of violations? Do you leave a 200 foot riparian zone untouched on each side of King Creek? How will BPA keep the hunters and mud lovers out of King

Creek? For decades we have worked to keep this backcountry secure. DNR tries to control damage, too, and worries about increased problems with decreased money.

#### A SOBER VIEW OF THE FUTURE

Problems will surely change and grow in the next century, too. When I was a kid, the East Fork of the Lewis River Valley was filled with silver snags and eventually little puffs of Douglas fir the size of small mushrooms began to grow. Now these trees are either 180 feet tall, harvested and replanted, or a mixture of vegetation. The valley is very scenic and has many viewpoints and trails where one can see the beautiful scene from Silver Star to the southeast to the Gumboot Ridge on the north.

Douglas fir forests grow to 200 feet easy; the amount of land needed to be restricted from growing trees that tall has to be more than the 50 feet on each side of the conductors your hard-nosed real estate people insist is sufficient. So the land taken away from optimal or even modified timber management is much more than what BPA says is needed for an easement. This means BPA takes/steals the land out to the distance where the rest of the danger trees grow. Not to mention the absurdity promoted by the Department of Energy that there is no loss in real estate value from the presence of the high-voltage line.

The huge “walking men” with their clattering, clicking lines would be very obtrusive. The loss of the soaring unobstructed views of our Lewis River Valley is agony to contemplate, as would be the loss of pristine spots like the Boody Place with its almost wilderness presence. That is just the beginning of identifiable expense and loss. We are in a difficult position with difficult decisions facing us without BPA. Will the BPA line be the straw that breaks the camels back, that last insult to survival that breaks the will of people and families to hold onto forest lands? If this is the result, it is NOT THE RIGHT THING. It is time for humanity to recognize what is truly valuable on this earth and make choices that save our most valuable assets not continually sacrifice the irreplaceable to current fads for energy supply. Surely in the years ahead our scientists and entrepreneurs will figure out how to use wind energy and other new renewable ideas without accelerating the decline of family tree farms and fragmentation of anchor forests. Making electricity cheap for some users and states on the backs of other individuals, counties and states should not happen. It is the WRONG CHOICE.

To quote from the national news media concerned with evil consequences borne by some for advantage of cheap or renewable energy for others:

“What are we going to do with the people that it ruined their lives?”

---

Communication ID: 12942

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: RICHARD VAN DIJK

Hi Luanna,



Hope this dreary Thursday finds you well,

Here is a cut and paste from PGE's IRP. Page 183

We anticipate that this mid-voltage transmission line, which could be built within the existing BPA corridor, will provide needed transfer capability and congestion relief on the SoA path. We anticipate that the line could be in service by 2014, which will allow an increase in flows over the SoA cutplane before BPA completes its reinforcement project.

In the same leaflet that references the Pearl property, BPA talks about no ROW and the ability to assemble a corridor.

Please clarify.... How could PGE build in a non-existing BPA corridor?

What have you found out about the Pearl property..... would have thought that this would have been a single phone call to the property folks.....

Regards,

Richard

---

Communication ID: 12943

Date Received: 10/7/2010

Name: ROBERT W LITTLETON

Why should we care about cheaper electrical rates if our house is condemned by BPA? How can you say that Clark County is growing when real estate development and manufacturing have come to a standstill? It is not likely to recover any time soon so projections of electrical demand must be adjusted accordingly! We don't need more spin from BPA!!!

---

Communication ID: 12944

Date Received: 10/9/2010

Name: EDWARD M MCANINCH

While I am aware that you have serious NIMBY problems and there is only a small constituency of hikers, flower lovers. and back-country travelers, we would prefer that other options than option "O" be considered where the view shed is already trashed by sub-divisions and strip malls.

---

Communication ID: 12945

Date Received: 10/9/2010

Name: PAUL LAMBSHEAD

I strongly oppose the construction of line segment "O," which would permanently damage recreation opportunities in Southwest Washington for generations to come. Particularly Silver Star mountain, one of the best & most popular hiking areas in the region!

---

Communication ID: 12946

Date Received: 10/10/2010

Name: JANA DEMARTINI,TOMAS SVOBODA

To: BPA I5 Corridor Reinforcement As a composer and an artist who take part each summer in the Yale Valley Art Festival we want to express our concern with the BPA plan to place the 150' transmission towers through the Yale Valley. The valley is a unique place where artists and musicians find inspiration and have created a number of original music compositions and art based on the natural beauty of the area. If you visit this valley you would have to agree that the beautiful view from the hills, like the Andersen Lodge, would be totally ruined by looking at some huge towers and power lines crossing the valley. Even though this area seems to be not heavily populated, the community is comprised of half a million inhabitants and the natural beauty of the place draws thousands of tourists every year. We urge the BPA to reconsider this plan and find a less harmful way to carry the high power lines to its customers. Sincerely, Tomas Svoboda, composer Jana Demartini, visual artist

---

Communication ID: 12947

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: ROBERT BURNS

BPA questions.

- In planning for the need for this additional power transmission line did BPA take into account the rapid development of the wind power in the area from Goldendale, south into eastern Oregon that could be transmitted to western Oregon or points south? How about the potential for solar power fields, or generators powered by forest materials?

- WHY did BPA back off of the eastern / public lands alternatives that would result in fewer homes and independent tree farms being impacted?

(The loss of timber revenue on these lands would be a very minor % of there total revenue from timber harvested. For the NF it would be no consequence as they are not harvested timber anyway.) For some tree farms it could result in some tree farms

-WHY is it, when BPA proposes to "take" timberlands and timber from an individual tree farmer they fail to recognize the true value of both the timber and timberland.

Case in point!

- Tree farmers are very flexible in scheduling any timber harvest so they "catch" the log market at a high point. BPA will set the value based on the moment in time when they do the valuation. This can result in the tree farmer receiving a fraction of the value in relation to their marketing strategy. Does BPA take this into account when evaluation the timber.

- Does BPA search out special markets to maximize the return to the tree farmer?

- Does BPA recognize the management costs increases that occur when a power line crosses a property?

- DOES BPA recognize the value of a young stand of timber? This includes those from a recent plantation to the time of a first commercial harvest. There is a standard format for doing this. Basically it projects the stand to the age of harvest. From this it determines the value of the stand at that time then discounts it back to today. What it does is recognize that there is a significant value in these young stands.

- Does BPA recognize that for many independent tree farmers the timber on their tree farm, especially the younger stands, are part of their retirement plan? If BPA "takes" these stands, are they going to set up an account to pay this tree farmer an "in lieu" of payments? Visualize a young (20) year old, thrifty stand that is held for an additional 20+ years and think what it could be worth at age 40 or 45.

- How does BPA compensate a tree farmer for loss of timberlands or the whole tree farm for future generations? Many tree farms are multigenerational with a commitment to future generations, with up to three generations currently involved in the management of the tree farms. There are tree farms in this area that have been in the family for nearly 100 years, with the 5th generation a part of the management. If BPA "guts" this tree farm and it is no longer a viable tree farm, how does BPA compensate for this?

- Within most independent tree farms the lands are currently being managed to provide timber, protect wildlife habitat and species, protect the streams and adjacent riparian areas, and recognize special sites. Many of the tree farms are within or near the edge of urban development. If these tree farms fail as a result of BPA's "taking" this is loss forever. How does BPA compensate for this?

Robert Burns

[address]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 12948

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

SMALL FOREST LANDOWNER ISSUES ON

HIGH-TENSION POWER LINES ON TREE FARM PROPERTY

SEPTEMBER 2011

A. Power Line Routings

1. On what grounds was Oregon routing dismissed from consideration of alternate routing, when, in fact the overwhelming power demand in the N-S distribution involves Oregon and California?

2 Why is the Allston - Keeler - south 500 kv line misrepresented on BPA's maps distributed to the public? It is a major alternate to the I-5 corridor in Oregon.

3 Why can't existing lines be upgraded instead of building a completely new line?

For example, where there are currently four lines with the western one being one of the smaller ones this could be upgraded to a 500 Kv line with only a slight widening of the right-of-way.

4 Since BPA introduced new alternate routes "FURTHER EAST", the impact is most heavily detrimental to small forest land owners. Therefore, the scope -and therefore the SCOPING of the project should be re-opened for comments and considerations equally to the original opportunity.

5 The presence of high-tension power lines curtails forest land use, management latitude, forest productivity and revenue potential of land under, within the ROW, adjacent to, and in view of the power line.

6 The access roads, security gates, fencing, control, surveillance, and trespass problems impose legal, procedural, liability, damage assessment and bureaucratic burdens on the Small Forest Land Owner disproportionately, compared to governmental and industrial landowners. Past experience and BPA procedures disallow monetary or other compensation for these burdens outside the ROW.

7 Past experience with power lines is a history of damage to private forest land by increased vandalism, trespass, interference with forest operation and stealing result from the presence of power company rights of way, access roads, poorly trained or negligent power company employees, contractors and subcontractors. Experience also led to expensive and time-consuming supervision and gate-keeping by the landowner for Power Company operations.

8 In view of the foregoing problems, SPECIAL CARE SHOULD BE PUT ON AVOIDING ROUTINGS OVER SMALL FOREST LANDOWNER PROPERTIES.

9 A BRIEF BUT SPONTANEOUS STATEMENT THAT CROPS UP FROM PRIVATE FOREST LAND OWNERS SUMS IT UP BLUNTLY:

OWNING FOREST LAND UNDER OR NEAR HIGH VOLTAGE POWER LINE ROBS ME OF MY PIECE OF MIND

B, RIGHT OF WAY AND RELATED ISSUES.

1. BPA lists Right of Way widths at 150 feet. In the forests of SW Washington, in Clark and Cowlitz Counties, trees grow to 150 to 200 or more feet. Many will reach heights that power companies often judge as "danger trees" and may, therefore, remove. That leaves the forest landowner in uncertainty

about growing timber from 75 feet to 200+ feet distance from the center of the ROW. In fact, it may become a losing investment to attempt growing trees -- the only legal use of the property. The obvious contradiction calls for legal and other attention.

2. Leasing the land under the power line, instead of purchasing an easement would allow the timber owner a steady income stream. We recommend consideration of doing this. (Has it been done elsewhere?). A lease arrangement would also be more attractive to the DNR as a landowner.

3. How does BPA compensate adjacent and nearby land owners, the public and the Counties for unsightly power lines and EMF fields that extend onto their property and devalue properties?

4 Will the roads under the new lines follow the DNR standards and will existing BPA roads also be brought up to these standards? Will BPA comply with DNR, WDFW, WDOE and Federal regulations, including stream buffers, shade and large woody debris according to the Clean Water Act, Endangered Species Act, and mandatory reforestation?

5 Who is responsible for design, construction, and maintenance of appropriate access roads leading to and across non-BPA land (including upgraded or re-designed joint-use forestry and BPA roads)?

6 What are BPA's commitments for timely restoration of access roads in land and facilities adjacent to the ROW when damaged by BPA, it's employees, contractors and subcontractors? How are damages, restoration costs, short- and long-term operational impairment costs to the underlying, adjacent, and connected landowners, to be appraised, apportioned, budgeted and disbursed?

7 Who has responsibility for security and liability of the ROW, access roads, gates, fencing, surveillance and alarm/control protection of the Power line facilities and structures?

8 BPA is expected to assure the use of appropriate machinery, hand tools and helicopters to avoid damage from vegetation control and road maintenance on BPA controlled ROW and access roads. BPA is expected to take operational and financial responsibility for competent maintenance, spraying and security control by well-trained employees, contractors and subcontractors.

9 On most BPA right-of-ways the gates have been inadequate to keep trespassers out. Will the gates on the new right-of-way and on access roads be any different? Would BPA be willing to post and maintain no trespassing signs?

10 How close are trees allowed next to the power line or associated structures? Is this area also part of the compensation?

11 What activities and crops are not permitted under the power lines - please list? For example, is loading log trucks OK?

12. Are there any limitations on the landowners for using the roads built by BPA?

13 If the landowner's crop or road usability is damaged by the BPA crews, how does the compensation process work? How is the value of the damage determined?

14. Currently if the landowner wants to plant something under the power line the burden is on the landowner to make and post signs and get a signed contract with the BPA. Seems like this burden should be carried by BPA..

15. Please detail the appraisal methods for the timber, the land, the land use, revenue and real estate value loss.

16. How will the spread of noxious weeds be prevented by the construction and maintenance crews?

17 What is the power usage for California for the last 20 years and the projected usage for the next 20 years? Have the data been updated since the economy changes in late 2008?

18 If a landowner's property is cut in two by the new power line, would BPA be willing to buy the undesirable side?

19 May healthy timber trees be grown adjacent to the right-of-way to a height that could reach the lines if the wind happen to blow them in that direction? (According the the real estate BPA person at the meeting you can grow trees outside the right-of-way as long as they are healthy - when I got home Ron said no way would they let you do this).

20 Mark Korsness, BPA project director for the I-5 corridor project, said at the Castle Rock meeting that in determining the easterly routes, BPA folks made adjustments in line locations for Weyerhaeuser and DNR to interfere less with logging (example given was cable logging). Are these concessions being offered to private landowners?

---

Communication ID: 12949

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: JOHN KEATLEY

Comment on Economic Values of private land compared to public land; private forest land generally have more economic value than public forest land for the following rationale and reasons-

1. Often higher site/soil productivity lower elevations
  2. Often higher volume yields- for #1 above and more intensively managed..
  3. Timber goes to better markets i.e. export logs are not avail from public lands
  4. Values are higher on private land and admin. costs are lower
  5. Private land often has higher and better uses.
- 

Communication ID: 12950

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: DICK EASTER

BPA receives income from the use of power lines therefore we as landowners should be reimbursed for our loss of timber income.

BPA owned R/W #09 would certainly be the least expensive of all the routes!!! Overhead where appropriate and underground in populated areas.

Payment based in 40-50 year rotation.

Value based on 5 year average and EPI adjustment every 5 years less normal expenses.

Yearly payments (lease) would be 1/4000 1.50 of future value.

--

1. Farmer receive annual lease income for wind turbines

2. Cell towers provide rental income to property owners

Dick Easter

---

Communication ID: 12951

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: PATRICIA LEE WITTER

Have you really evaluated the risk of major forest fire in areas like the Yacolt Burn?

A lightning strike or an arcing incident could create a forest fire and conversely a forest fire could do great damage to your line.

If untrimmed trees caused major, major outtages, why would you route through Doug fir forests which grow very tall.

---

Communication ID: 12952

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: PATRICIA LEE WITTER

Pacific Corps' 115 kilovolt Yale-Merwin crosses my forest stand. Pacific Corp has a 175 foot right of way for towers up to 100 feet tall. Vegetation control has been a 60-year problem: I cannot grow long-rotation Doug Fir for some distance beyond their easement; their heavy spray equipment tears up my roads, their line is suspected of an arcing incident (a whole acre of tall timber hit simultaneously by lightning strikes?).

I cannot imagine BPA putting a much taller 500 kV line through heavy Doug Fir forest without disaster. I grew up among the snags of the Yacolt Burn.

---

Communication ID: 12953

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: KEN L EDWARDS

To acquire an easement from Pacificorp nulifies the easement, therefore it must be renegotiated with the current landowner. I would like it to be publicly known the conditions of the acquisition.

---

Communication ID: 12954

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: JAMES WILDER

The only good option is the easternmost corridor. It is the least disruptive for thousands of property owners. I have a 9 acre easement on my property from Pacific Power's Lake Merwyn line. The land is basically worthless because I can't use it for anything. 3 dollars was paid for the easement in 1931 but I pay 30 dollars a year in property taxes. I have the property in current use tax or I would pay up to \$1000 a year. I also have a constant problem with trespassing, motorcycles, hunting etc. Because people access the easement from neighboring property.

---

Communication ID: 12955

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: WILLIAM DOTY

Re meeting with Clark County Tree Farmers it is practically unanimous that this line go an existing ROW or DNR/Weyerhaeuser land to the east. It only makes sense that a government project go on government land as much as possible. We've planted thousands of trees thinking that our heirs would be the eventual beneficiaries.

---

Communication ID: 12956

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

If you bury the line - there are still a lot of health and safety issues: magnetic fields and what if there is a break? What do you do to look for the voltage? West Coast is not set up for underground utility infrastructure like New York

---

Communication ID: 12957



Date Received: 10/11/2010

Name: EDWYNA MCANINCH

Oct. 11, 10

Do I have your attention? I am 83 years old - PLEASE listen to me: I am a hiker. Among many other places on this planet I have spent a LOT of time leading hikes in and around - up and under Silver Star from all directions and countless hours exploring the Tarbell Trail etc, etc.

As a result: Please protect the western Yacolt Burn Forest and Silver Star. I am totally against the construction of line segment "O".

Please pay attention to the public. Please re-route away from silver star and Tarbell. Thank you.

Edwynna McAninch

---

Communication ID: 12958

Date Received: 9/10/2010

Name: DAVID M SIMMS

Dear BPA

BPA should now reconsider the affects of Gas Pipelines in proximity to power lines. With the recent failure of a steel gas-lines underground in California, BPA should be very careful in not locating power lines anywhere close to gas lines, and especially not parallel for miles on end. I am located in area 51 and so also are underground natural gas pipelines in parallel only a few hundred feet from existing BPA power lines in parallel.

This is of great concern to further burden residents already burdened by the existing gas and power line traveling through our area above and underground.

David Simms

[address]

---

Communication ID: 12959

Date Received: 9/16/2010

Name: JILL R KURTZ

Dear Ms. Grow,

I represent William McKee and was advised that proposed line "O" will be traversing his property. Would you please send me the map depicting the line with respect to his property? Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jill R. Kurtz  
[vocation]  
[business address]  
[work phone]  
[work fax]  
[email]

---

Communication ID: 12960

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: RICHARD VAN DIJK

Hi Luanna,

Nice talking to after the meeting.

Was completely surprised that none of you were aware that BPA owned land adjacent to Pearl. As promised here is the stuff on the parcels at Pearl – no FOIA required :) This is the kind of stuff that impacts your credibility and raises doubts on statements BPA makes going forward.

Hope our discussions will be used to constructively help BPA to do what is right and not to develop new set of talking points so that we continue to play whack a mole – to be honest for now I will remain a skeptic. The sooner BPA takes what the people are telling them seriously and not just pay lip service, the quicker the line can get built and we can all get back to our lives. The message we have had since day one still stands - NO LINES IN POPULATED AREAS, Urban or Rural.

Best,

Richard

[http://www.wilsonvillemaps.com/mox6\\_multimap/index.cfm?fuseaction=v.search](http://www.wilsonvillemaps.com/mox6_multimap/index.cfm?fuseaction=v.search)

---

Communication ID: 12961

Date Received: 8/31/2010

Name: RICHARD MCKEE

Request: Send copy of easement and map identifying ROW width. They would like a Bonneville Representative make an appointment with them view their property and discuss the position of lines and amount of right-of-way in respect to the position of their house where it stands. They are currently 125' from the outside conductor of the right of way.

---

Communication ID: 12962

Date Received: 9/2/2010

Name: JOHN CURPHEY,BETTY CURPHEY

Mr. and Mrs. John Curphey

[address]

[email]

September 2, 2010

Mr. Mark Korsness, Project Engineer

Bonneville Power Administration

P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

RE: BPA Proposed 500 kV power lines in Cowlitz & Clark Counties, WA

Dear Mr. Korsness:

Thank you for your considerations in regard our concerns about the placement of BPA's proposed power lines in southwestern Washington. We request your approval for moving these power lines away from any populated areas and putting them instead in the state or federal forests in eastern Clark County and Skamania County or Oregon due to the following concerns:

Denial of Scoping Period for new routes proposed after the 2009 Scoping Period ended.

Preference for No Lines in Populated Areas.

Degradation of property values.

Reconsider Oregon Lines based on the availability of accessible unpopulated areas there.

Possible degradation of satellite reception for television, internet. phone. etc.

Adverse Health Concerns.

Loss of Aesthetic Values.

Concerns regarding additional cost of placing the lines in unpopulated state and national forests.

Concerns specific to Sections P and 30.

Summarization: The threat of having 150 foot or taller transmission lines looming over our homes is very distressing. We wish that all affected people have the right to enjoy their chosen way of life in safety and with their civil rights protected. Ultimately all of Clark and Cowlitz Counties will be affected by the placement of these proposed transmission lines. We are not questioning their need, just their placement.

A detailed list of our concerns follows:

#### Detailed List of Concerns

---Denial of Scoping Period for new routes proposed after the 2009 Scoping Period ended. As homeowners directly affected by the proposed BPA transmission lines in eastern Clark County, we object to the denial of our civil rights in regard to the recent addition of sections P and 30 without a legal scoping period. These options were not available for public input at the time that period closed, thereby denying our rights to participate in the public input on these sections.

---No Lines in Populated Areas. We have major concerns about the positioning of any huge lines in populated areas when just to the east of the Battle Ground, Vancouver, Camas areas there are large unpopulated areas in both the Yaoclt State Forest and the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.

---Degradation of property values. This is occurring over the whole course of such lines in populated areas. When selling their properties, homeowners are already required to disclose that proposed power lines will impact the properties. This will result in even more of a hardship to the sellers than the current recession has imposed on them, and this situation will continue for at least the next few years until the lines are built. For those directly on the lines the devaluation will be permanent. Such degradation will also lower both county and state tax revenues. Compare these scenarios: loss of timber revenues once or twice a century, if the lines are built in the state/federal forests, versus a year after year loss of tax revenues on properties costing up into the millions of dollars each over an area from the Columbia to Castle Rock.

---Reconsider Oregon Lines. We also wish the areas being considered to include unpopulated areas in Oregon. BPA already sends a large percentage of its generated power to California. Looking at unpopulated options in Oregon should only lead to finding more acceptable routes, rather than limiting it to just one strip of land in Washington.

---Possible degradation of satellite reception for television, internet, phone, etc. We have concerns about what such huge power lines near our properties could do to such reception. There is no cable access here so our television and internet services must come from satellites. We have no option.

---Adverse Health Concerns. Although results of studies done on EMF radiation have been controversial, ultimately it comes down to this: Which choice is safer? If the lines are not built in populated areas and it is determined there are no detrimental EMF health affects, no one is harmed and BPA is clean. If the lines are built in populated ares and it is determined that EMF radiation does damage human health, BPA becomes guilty of damaging the lives of Washington's people. Since there is unpopulated forest area readily available for the lines, shouldn't we vote on the side of safety?

---Loss of Aesthetic Values. We have concerns about losing the aesthetic value of our property. We have a beautiful, peaceful, quiet timbered property. We dreamed and planned for such a place long before we were able to retire here. We both were raised in rural areas; we lived our working lives in big cities and wished to return to the rural life once we reached retirement age. We have an agreement with the

County that we will keep parts of our timbered land available so that it will ultimately contribute to the logging economy and tax base. If property values drop drastically due to the proposed transmission lines, it could become financially necessary to remove that land from such allocation and sell it in order to maintain our financial independence, thus removing that land from involvement in the program.

---Additional cost of placing the lines in unpopulated state and national forests. BPA has estimated the cost of moving the lines into the nearby state or federal lands as a one-time-only \$30-60 million. Comparing that to the \$850 million spent yearly on fish and wildlife issues by BPA, the \$30-60 million is a drop in the bucket. The amounts spent on fish and wildlife issues are vital to the health of our ecosystem, and BPA is to be commended for their concern for the environment BPA should now be just as concerned for the human population they serve.

As to the loss of revenue for the schools, a report dated October 2, 2007 was prepared by the Sonoran Institute/Lincoln Institute of Land Policy Joint Venture and Children's Land Alliance Supporting Schools (CLASS). That report states that in 2003, out of all State funding for schools, only 1A% (\$75,000 out of \$5 million) was provided by Trust Land revenue. We strongly doubt that percentage has changed much since then.

At that rate, losing timber from the Trust Lands for the lines can hardly make a significant difference in school funding whereas loss to general state/county revenues could be disastrous.

Concerns specific to Sections P and 30.

In regard to moving the lines to Section 0 and crossing the Columbia river at Bonneville, we would by far rather accept a rate increase than accept a devaluation on our home of the currently estimated \$100,000 or more that putting the lines at Section P would cause - indeed, has already caused due to BPA's stated proposals for the lines. It would take several lifetimes of this specific rate increase to make up the difference.

I, Betty, love to walk through our land. It has salal, vine maples, alder, firs, the sweetest blackberries you could imagine (the ones native to Washington, not the invasive ones), salmonberries, tiger lilies, Oregon iris, and a host of other plants and bushes. We have seen bobcats casually walking through the front yard, salamanders and insects in the forest understory, deer, coyotes, raccoons, birds in abundance - chickadees, juncos, goldfinch, black headed grosbeaks, a group of beautiful evening grosbeaks that hung out at our feeders all winter a few years ago, woodpeckers, and many more.

I, John, love to get out and maintain the beauty of the area around the house and our timber. I enjoy keeping the lawn in good order, making sure it's appearance is pleasant and well kept. I have planted several bushes and trees since we moved to our home, and love to see them flowering in the spring. We have returned to our rural roots and wish others the right to enjoy their properties as much as we do.

We repeat, the threat of having 150 foot transmission lines looming over our home is very distressing, both legally, economically, aesthetically, technologically, and healthwise. We wish that all affected people have the right to enjoy their chosen way of life in safety and with their civil rights protected. And

ultimately all of Clark and Cowlitz Counties will be affected by the placement of these proposed transmission lines. We are not questioning their need, just their placement.

Sincerely yours,

Betty Curphey  
Property Owner  
Clark County, WA  
John Curphey  
Property Owner  
Clark County, WA

---

Communication ID: 12963

Date Received: 9/12/2010

Name: JASE STEFANSKI

See health issues attached. Only real option is using your existing easement.

See underground info attached.

Jase Stefanski

---

Communication ID: 12964

Date Received: 10/13/2010

Name: TERRY CONSTANCE

Who are we?

We are homeowner's and BPA impacted citizens that live in Cowlitz and Clark counties.

Another Way BPA was formed to oppose the construction of 500kv lines in ALL areas specifically related to the I-5 corridor reinforcement proposal.

There is NO fuzzy line here,..... our position is clear.

We are a Washington non-profit corporation.

Our corporate name is: NO LINES IN POPULATED AREAS.

We oppose this project in any area that affects any one.. single ....person, urban or rural.

We do not represent a specific sector, city, county, or region.

Simply put, we oppose BPA's current plan in ALL of the currently mapped sectors of the project that impact people or any person.

Our difficult mission

To inform the people and elected officials and use the freedom of information laws and other means to obtain the truth behind what we see presented improperly to the public by BPA.

The proposal to run 500kV lines through Clark and Cowlitz counties has angered many citizens whose homes, investments, security and quality of life are threatened by BPA's approach to expanding the existing power grid that would destroy the American dream in the Pacific Northwest.

Our Sister group

Stop the Towers and Another Way BPA combine efforts and other resources to oppose BPA together.

Our missions are the same.

We have multiple talented people in each group that bring their expertise into play to make speeches and presentations to keep the public informed.

We are all organized and have linked our networks to better serve the people in this endeavor.

Make no mistake, our groups will make it clear that populated areas are not on the table for any government agency to consider for high voltage lines like these.

Does BPA really listen?

Have you recently felt like BPA does not really listen?

There is a lot of truth to this feeling. You see BPA has a documented track record of not listening or letting public opinion direct anything they do. If you look at the thousands of complaints from homeowners on prior projects, they just sent their property attorneys in to take the homes and steal the retired elderly homeowner's property for a few dollars and others who had no defense.

These homeowners are people who have worked hard their whole life to have a place to retire, only to have the government kick them out and move them into a rental that was within their social security allotment.

Good ol' BPA.

FOIA documents

This information in this document was obtained through the freedom of information act. Here are some facts uncovered that may correct or add information to what we have heard that was found to be incorrect from Bonneville Power Administration.

The money is here, drop everything.

In early 2000 BPA was in the middle of upgrading the grid and planning additional power from the Allston sub-station to reinforce the need for power. 2007 came along with little improvement made.

The project manager, Lou Driessen was not successful in getting the Pearl Station route built and the project died.

Why was the Pearl Station route not included in this latest proposal?

BPA has since been asked multiple times about the Pearl Station route and why it was removed.

Each and every time they gave a response with only half truths to every person who asked including our elected officials.

Remember, BPA wanted the people to help direct them and asked for public feedback.

The truth was uncovered in a freedom of information document that we requested to find that the real answer was:

BPA's best legal position would best be served to NOT carry the Pearl option into scoping due to combined opposition from Clark, Cowlitz counties and Oregon groups.

So you can see, an attorney made the decision for them.

The Decision Maker

This year, at a private meeting with Stephen Wright who is not really connected to Washington, a Portland, Oregon resident, we were told things that were not accurate. He is a politician that spends a lot of time with the bosses in DC that direct the Federal money train and pull the strings. In order for him to understand his own agency's activities, someone there would have to let him know what they currently are.

Actually, the only person that BPA will listen to is the Sec. of Energy and still they can not comply with his wishes either.

That's just how dis-functional BPA is.

At our meeting, Wright was asked if there would be any sectors taken off the first numbered map, he answered NO, there will be no changes.

Two weeks later, multiple sectors were removed and new lettered segments added. This will give you some insight into how BPA works.

No one there really knows what they will be doing next including the boss.

Is the revolving back door legal?

Hope you are not too disappointed here.



BPA is not actually the federal govt. they are a close cousin, a quasi-govt. Agency. They do have some government power.

Although they have a large number of qualified engineers on the payroll, when the stimulus money was available, they had to hire retired ex project manager from 2007 and another retired engineer to start where they left off.

So it appears no one knew how to do this except these two retirees right?

You might think this hiring would be illegal, not in the eyes of BPA, they simply used their own agency sub-management division to have the two new guys create a consulting group get around the law.

Does this consulting corp. really exist?

Quite nice for all, couple of hundred thousand dollars is a drop in the bucket for BPA.

Revolving door hiring policy is the BPA norm.

Who is the power for?

BPA says this power is for us. This is false!

It will not be directly connected to Clark or Cowlitz counties in any way whatsoever.

Basically ,it's is a high voltage super highway project that connects Castle Rock to Troutdale with no connections for us at all.

It is not our job but.. we have provided alternate solutions to provide power to California and Oregon that do not put all of the burden on just Clark and Cowlitz counties.

We have serious concerns about issues relating to the project that would affect rural and urban areas.

Again, the power is not for us.

We suggested a completely unpopulated route.

A map of a suggested eastern route that goes north of silver lake through a completely unpopulated area was given to BPA and they have yet to include it on any map for consideration.

Although Stephen Wright said the map would not change, they created a DNR suggested map that would impact even more people in added sectors and did little to solve the prior problems.

DNR has caused things to get worse for more people

DNR reacted to BPA's request for access by telling them that they could only use the edges of the public's property they manage.

This allowed BPA to put more homes in danger on new sector P and other DNR areas. Again, who is DNR protecting? Or is it what?

State Rep Ed Orcutt scolded DNR with numbers at the DNR public meeting stating that the timber production they are worried about equates to the value of three or four homes.

Transparency is the law

We have found that DNR is keeping the details behind closed doors with BPA and either agency has no intention of being transparent.

There is a Federal Law requiring BPA to work with local elected officials.

Every group or person has a common purpose and direction that opposes the project for many valid reasons.

We found that BPA had not complied with Washington State law to work with local govt. as required..... so we citizens had to make presentations to County Commissioners and have talked to Washington Senators to inform them that they were not properly notified about the impact to these counties.

Washington citizens were upset that their elected officials are being ignored in this process and we, as citizens, must put meetings and rally's together on our own to make our opposition to BPA known to the public.

This project is a First

This is the most heavily populated area ever proposed for these huge lines in BPA history.

This 40 year old tower technology will not be safe enough or visually acceptable in the currently proposed areas.

This is not only a safety hazard but will destroy the beautiful neighborhoods they invade with these ugly structures.

There is no prudent avoidance here by BPA as required by many laws.

The CHILDREN (who speaks for them?)

Rather than discuss EMF and epidemiology and negative health effects related to this very high voltage exposure, it is a fact that the courts have ruled in many states since they only need reasonable doubt, to create setbacks from lines around schools of 350 ft. on 500kv lines.

This is important as Washington has no such law.

The Washington Dept. of Health has the responsibility over high voltage line sitings near schools but no authority to enforce the EMF law.

BPA has notified pacemaker and tens unit owner's to contact them for special consideration and possible relocation due to emf coming from these lines.

What does that say about public safety.

When someone walks or drives under one of these 500 kV lines with one of these devices, what should they do? Call 911

Sorry no, these lines affect and may disrupt cell phones and other signals and devices too.

Who is this power for?

We have no problem with BPA supplying power to other states but not with risk to anyone's health, property or security here or anywhere!

We have shown in our presentation that more power is not needed for Clark or Cowlitz counties but we will have to endure the burden of property valuation loss, health hazards security risks for those in other states that need power for future growth.

BPA makes more mistakes

BPA did not consider state owned alternate locations for eastern routes on DNR land and were completely missing from their first map.

Only after pressure from public groups, have they made marginal changes to their map.

There was no notification in mass mailing to some major segments before scoping began as required by law.

Now, new lettered segment impacted homeowners have missed out on notification also and have limited response time before the DEIS is completed.

These people do not have time to form opposition to this plan before it's too late.

Permission to enter property letters did not address liability for these homeowner's either.

Did DNR and BPA think a heavier population sector was ok?

What about our schools?

It appears that BPA did not do their home work as school locations were not shown on segment routes and just ignored. Why were these left off the map?

Was there something they didn't want us to know?

Important maps and other documents were not readily given or made available.

We had to use freedom of information laws to access some documents to learn what BPA was actually planning. This information was and is still being withheld.

WHY?

Again , does BPA listen to the impacted homeowner's?

BPA has posted on their website a 1286 page document with all the affected homeowner's letters indicating that NO ONE wants these lines in these areas but.. they still pursue this. That simply indicates they are not listening or reacting to what the citizens say.

Have you been branded, can you lose your home?

BPA wants to appraise every impacted homeowner's property.

Are they kidding? That's an insult to every homeowner everywhere.

Fox guarding the chickens analogy comes to mind.

HUD and FHA are no longer financing easement connected or property within the tower fall zone.

Many property sales have currently been stopped on easement attached property and on nearby properties due to the BPA proposal and new seller's disclosure. If you received a property entry permission letter, you have been BRANDED.

Branding simply defined indicates your address is within the scope of consideration to be taken or impacted by the proposal and you can not sell your home without disclosing high voltage line issues.

If branding has stopped you from selling your home, you lost the entire sale, not just a portion of it's value.

BPA may take your property under eminent domain. They will tell you this is done only as a last resort. How many last resorts would it take to need a full time property attorney on their payroll?

Protect yourself!

We recommend an independent appraiser to protect the property owner's rights in court and not to give up any rights to your property by giving permission for ANYTHING.

We know for a fact, Realtors are no longer accepting listings with easement impacted property or parcels.

If you signed that BPA property permission entry letter, download the retraction document from our website and withdraw your permission asap.

Many elected officials are up in arms!

Jim Luce of the EFSEC has asked, during the Big-Eddy hearing, why all of these routes for the I-5 corridor?

He saw how senseless it was holding this many people hostage for no reason. We ask the same question.

Why do the people need to suffer for BPA's years of non existent planning and shortsightedness?

Steve Stuart, Clark county commissioner has said that if BPA brings this to his area, he will keep them in appeals court, long term.

Axel Swanson, Cowlitz county commissioner has said he is very disappointed in BPA planning the I-5 project through his county with no local benefit to the area at all.

Patty Murray is extremely disappointed with BPA because of the people that are being affected by this proposal also.

Denny Heck has pledged support to our cause also.

Poor BPA Planning

BPA could have planned ahead and provided leadership to manage power for the future in this area but it appears to us instead chose to do little or nothing.

What does this say about the BPA's planning?

After 10 years, still no plan?

I think it's time for the people of Clark and Cowlitz counties to hand BPA a serious wake up call.

This project must be planned properly, done honestly, transparently and responsibly in a way that no person is negatively impacted whatsoever.

These reasons, in our opinion, warrant our non-violent protests and presentations to offer a way to solve the power issues for these other states without the burden on just Washington citizens.

We all need electric power.

The citizens and those who work for BPA should be concerned about the Agency's policies that have led to this kind of public response and assault on the citizens way of life.

The way I see it, BPA has two choices.

1. Take the \$342 million dollar trust and do the right thing and build these high voltage lines in unpopulated areas and get the project done.
2. Choose to try to build these lines in populated areas in opposition to the people.

If you choose no. 2, our elected officials and their attorneys will tie BPA up in appeals court indefinitely and BPA will again fail in upgrading the grid yet again simply because the trust money will not be there.

DO IT RIGHT OR LOSE IT COMPLETELY!

If BPA wants all of the public opposition to go away, they can just take all of the current sectors off the map and select an unpopulated one, get contracts signed and that's it.

It's that simple and that important!

Terry Constance

Another Way BPA

<http://www.nowaybpa.com>

No Lines in Populated Areas - Urban or Rural

---

Communication ID: 12965

Date Received: 10/15/2010

Name: CORDELIA CHEN

Dear Maryam,

How are you?

Thanks very much for sending me 2 copies of a map of the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that shows where segments 18 and 28 come together. It is exactly the map that I wanted. Sorry that I was not able to send you this e-mail earlier.

I was told that the width of proposed 28-segment is about one mile width. But the final transmission line needs only 150 feet wide. May I ask you when BPA would announce where the actual transmission line would locate in a segment? Is there any undated information?

Thanks again for your time.

Enjoy the sun and

Have a wonderful weekend!

Cordelia

---

Communication ID: 12966

Date Received: 10/20/2010

Name: ALEX DIXON

Alex Dixon, [phone number], thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12967

Date Received: 10/19/2010

Name: AARON S GARNER

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Aaron Garner and my family and I live on proposed route 26. I am 29 years old and teach in the Battle Ground School District. I am writing because I am extremely concerned with the choice of proposed routes for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. It does not make much sense to build the 500 kilovolt lines through populated areas where dozens, if not hundreds, of individuals and families will be affected through possible loss of property, decreased property values, and potential adverse health effects. I strongly urge those involved with the decision making process to consider routes further to the east through public land and the Pearl route through Oregon.

I know you have heard many concerns from Cowlitz and Clark County citizens about how the power lines will affect them. I have many of the same concerns, but I also want to add a few of my own personal concerns. Four years ago my wife and I were forced out of the home we were residing in because of the I-5/SR 502 interchange. That home had been built by my wife's great-grandparents in 1937. We accelerated our plans and built our dream home in northern Clark County where we plan to live for the rest of our lives. We have already had the property we were living on acquired by the state once before. For this to happen again in such a short time frame is ignoble. Even if the planners chose a route other than 26 that went through northern Clark County, it would destroy the beautiful view we have of the surrounding countryside. The list of grievances continue, but for brevities sake I will not list them here.

Again, I strongly urge all parties involved to consider other routes outside of populated areas. I would gladly accept the small decrease in public funding and environmental effects that may happen by building on alternative routes rather than destroying the livelihoods of many families in Cowlitz and Clark County. Thank you for your time.

Most sincerely,

Aaron Garner

---

Communication ID: 12968

Date Received: 10/21/2010

Name: DENNIS MEIER II

I understand the need for the I5 Corridor Reinforcement and believe that all efforts are being made to ensure that this project has as little negative impact on the people near the project area. I would implore you to not put route #26 in the project scope, there are plenty of other routes that will work as

well without having as big an impact as route #26 would have. Please DO NOT CONSIDER ROUTE #26.  
Thank You.

---

Communication ID: 12969

Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: GUDRUN UCOM MAHRT

I understand that the power to this part of the state needs to be increased. Route #26 is one of my concerns. The potential/projected route goes over the Royal Ridges and Andersen Ranch which comprises about 1/2 of that one mile width. Before such determination is made, I strongly suggest to consider the huge impact this has on one of our regions prime camps and recreational places for youth from all over the nation - but mainly our local kids. This ranch provides much needed and very affordable fun; my kids have gone for years, have even volunteered and worked there to help make the best citizens they can be. A power line running through Royal Ridges would hinder the experience we enjoy at Royal Ridges. I oppose choosing Royal Ridges inclusion in route #26. Use existing right-of-ways or move the line as far east as possible to avoid populated areas such as Royal Ridges.

Sincerely,

Gudrun Mahrt

---

Communication ID: 12970

Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: KATRINA WREGGIT

Please so not choose route #26 for this project. It will be too close to Royal Ridges Retreat, where my children have attended summer camp for the last five years. I have been informed that this camp would shut down if route #26 is chosen.

---

Communication ID: 12971

Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: YVETTE S GOODRICH

Please do not build in area #26. It would go over Royal Ridges Retreat and it would take away from the camp that has been there since 1978. It needs to go around this camp.

Thank you,

Yvette Goodrich

---

Communication ID: 12972



Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: JUDY L PREWITT

I am writing to express concern regarding the impact on Royal Ridges Retreat, a hosemanship camp, that will be felt if BPA chooses #26 for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. This camp has served Clark Co. and surrounding areas for over 30 years and has touched the lives of untold children, youth, and adults. It currently works with Transitional Youth, a Portland street ministry, in helping young men and women get off the streets and become self supporting. Before his death, my husband spent many volunteer hours at RR helping to build cabins, improve barns and many other projects too numerous to mention. My grandchildren have attended RR and come away not only learning a new appreciation for the beauty of God's world, but also learning how to work and play together with other children from many different backgrounds. To allow this camp to be dissolved in order to put a power line through it would be, in my opinion, criminal. I sincerely hope you will choosing another site.

Thank you for listening.

---

Communication ID: 12973

Date Received: 10/23/2010

Name: SARA F RAMIREZ

As I understand that determining the best route for the reinformcesment project is complex, I urge you to reconsider Route 26. Royal Ridges offers an amazing experience for so many people, ranging from children to families, to public and private groups. Personally, Royal Ridges was a summer destination for my children, allowing them to have a fantastic time while growing spiritually and physically, all in a beautiful and safe environment. During the other months of the year, my daughter would partake in horse lessons, while my son and I would explore the beautiful surroundings. In fact, Royal Ridges instilled such a love for horses in my daughter, that our whole lives changed, by first adopting "Buddy" through their Foster Horse program. Furthermore, they have been on retreats to Royal Ridges for both Church and School functions. This is such a pristine, viable, and usable area. To damage it would destroy countless habitats, people's workplace, horse shelters, and a safe and amazing place for so many. Again, I implore you to choose a direction, other than #26.

Thank you so much,

Sara Ramirez

---

Communication ID: 12974

Date Received: 10/25/2010

Name: SALLY RIVERA

Please disturb Royal Ridges a wonderful horseranch and camp that serves several children and adults as well. I am opposed to line #26. Please lay the line as far East as possible and do not disturb the Royal Ridges and Anderson Ranch area.

Thank You.

Sally Rivera

---

Communication ID: 12975

Date Received: 10/25/2010

Name: MARLA SELBY

Please do not pursue Route #26 which runs through Royal Ridges. Royal Ridges has offered the community's children and their families an opportunity to experience nature and horses which may be their only involvement in their lifetime due to today's modern society.

---

Communication ID: 12976

Date Received: 9/22/2010

Name: DAVID SCHULTZ

Maryam Asgharian,

With so much at stake, literally billions of dollars and with the video capabilities that are available even at Walmart, can you give me a reason that these public meetings are not recorded? I bet they are. I insist that you send a copy to me, If not, I will pursue the freedom of information act to procure this requested documentation and make it public knowledge that you denied public access to public meetings paid for by public dollars. Please contact me asap regarding this request.

---

Communication ID: 12977

Date Received: 10/12/2010

Name: DAVID SCHULTZ

[See Communication 12976 for reference]

Maryam Asgharian,

Thank you for your response. I'll check YouTube and see if anyone has uploaded their recordings. I would think that if the BPA truly was interested in public input at a minimum it would make a public record of events held, especially since you are intending on spending Billions of dollars of public money.

My personal experience is that a thief usually likes to hide his, her or it's corporate tracks, keeping very sketchy records in the event that uncomfortable questions arise at a later date. It seems odd that BPA

wouldn't want this kind of documentation. I would suggest that you might change your political protocol and gain a bit of goodwill concerning public interest and concerns.

---

Communication ID: 12978

Date Received: 10/25/2010

Name: CHRISTINE B BRUNNER

I am writing to ask you not to choose Route #26 for this project. My daughter and my niece have attended Royal Ridges Retreat for several summer camps and have enjoyed the natural beauty of the camp and the opportunity it provides to interact with horses and with other children in an outdoor environment. I believe that this experience would be greatly diminished if the power line ran through the Royal ridges property which I believe it would do if you chose Route #26. Thank you for listening to my opinion.

---

Communication ID: 12979

Date Received: 10/20/2010

Name: L DAVID BALLARD

October 20, 2010

Mr. Jim Luce, Chairman  
Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council  
PO Box 43172  
Olympia, WA 98504-3172

Dear Mr. Luce,

During the month of August this year I received a letter from the Bonneville Power Administration advising my neighbors and me that BPA proposes to build a 500 kv high-power transmission line, with 15 story towers, through our neighborhood, where there are no preexisting BPA rights of way.

My first reaction was one of shock and incredulity. How could the state and federal governments conspire to such a plan when directly to the east of my property line, and that of my neighbors, lay miles and miles of vacant state owned (DNR) land? How could these government agencies even consider imposing such a burden on private property owners and their children? The entire plan is unconscionable, to say the least.

There is much at risk for all who live in this neighborhood. It is bad enough to live under or near these power lines and towers, but the mandatory granting of easements, loss of property value (already depressed due to the recession), and the undetermined health effects of EMF, is more than we can bear.

In addition, this community is blessed with scenic views, rare biodiversity, and pristine beauty. Some or all of this would be lost to us forever if the BPA is allowed to follow through on its plans. Everyone living in this community has made a conscious decision to escape the noise, pollution, traffic, and intrusion of urban life. We pay a high price in the price of gasoline and travel time just to get to and from our homes every day, because we want to be here and desire that our neighborhood remains forested and untouched by commercial development. Our homes are located on a private road and maintained at the expense of the Vinemaple Road residents. We like it that way. We do not want BPA anywhere near our homes.

In my youth I lived on the north side of the BPA Ross Substation in Hazel Dell under a countless number of power lines. Since the power lines existed when my parents bought the property we had no right to complain. However, when I bought my property on Vinemaple Road, there were no such infringements there and I was looking forward to spending my retirement years in the serene beauty of the Hockinson forest hills. If this ill- advised plan goes through I will be at a tremendous financial loss as property values will be depressed even further. Nobody will be able to sell their land with this literally hanging over our heads. This does not even take into account the loss of serenity and aesthetic value.

I cannot help but think that this is another example of government imposing its will at the expense of the citizenry. The DNR clearly wish to preserve their own land, unencumbered with unsightly impediments such as transmission towers, so that their real estate value will substantially appreciate. DNR tells us that they want to preserve their land for recreation use, to be used by all-terrain vehicles. If this is true, does the state think that recreational land is more important than the welfare of an entire community? This argument seems so transparently false that we suspect that their real desire is to retain the value of their land, to the detriment of ours, so that they can sell it some time in the future for development at great profit.

BPA's first option was the Pearl route, crossing the Columbia River at Longview and running the lines down the existing easement on the Oregon side and on to Troutdale, Oregon. We have never heard a feasible reason why this route was dropped. Personally, we believed that the members of the BPA panel (who, by their own admission, were all Oregon residents) didn't want the power lines in Oregon. The BPA panel members did tell us that most of the power those new lines would carry would be for Oregon and California consumption. Only about 12% of the power would be for Washington state use. Why should Washington state citizens carry the burden, inconvenience and financial loss for such a small reward?

We trust that you will look into these matters, and convince BPA to "find a better way" to build their transmission lines in a way and along a route which will honor our property rights and protect the beauty of our neighboring hills.

Sincerely,

David Ballard  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 12980

Date Received: 10/25/2010

Name: BARBARA EIGNER

Hello, this is Barbara Eigner, from Kwik Center LLC, K-W-I-K Center LLC. I received a letter from Bonneville Power, it was on October 15th, 2010 letter from Paul Woolson, W-O-O-L-S-O-N, stating that the number of tax parcels that has been removed from consideration for the I-5 corridor reinforcement project. The thing that I want to check is the Kwik Center LLC owns another little parcel that wasn't listed. It is at [parcel number] and I want to make sure- perhaps that it is an oversight that this wasn't listed but I just wanted to double check to make sure that Bonneville Power wouldn't consider putting up a line over that 7-acre property. The [parcel number] and [parcel number] and [parcel number], those have been listed on this letter for October 15th. But the question I have is on [parcel number]. It's in the northeast corner of the property. My telephone number is [phone]. Please call me back when you can. Goodbye.

---

Communication ID: 12981

Date Received: 10/13/2010

Name: KIM ENARSON

Dear Mark,

As a result of my grandfather's dream, acres of land has been pieced together to create a sanctuary where people can learn about love and hard work. This has been accomplished since 1978 when Royal Ridges Retreat was established.

Royal Ridges Retreat is a camp and retreat center incorporating thousands of people, young and old, year around. There are several reasons why people of all ages come to this area... the main reason is its scenic beauty. It is over 300 acres of pasture, timber, trails, absolute splendor. If BPA goes forward with creating new right of ways for their powerlines, line 26 will destroy everything my grandfather worked towards.

I am asking you on behalf of future generations, both family and friends of Royal Ridges, to reconsider this proposed line. I am asking you to not take away from me this sanctuary I call home. It is my dream to keep this generational land as natural as the day Grandpa Andersen stood on this land, walked these hills, and waved from his front porch.

Thank you,

Kim Enarson

---

Communication ID: 12982

Date Received: 10/27/2010

Name: ALEX DIXON

My name is Alex Dixon. I'm calling in reference to a Paul Woofner called me and he left his number as [phone] and when I tried to call that I don't get him I get somebody else, so if you could give me the correct phone number I would appreciate it. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12983

Date Received: 10/28/2010

Name: JOHN S HOWARD

How about getting on the ball and doing a map so people will know if their property will or will not be involved, some people want to sell their homes NOW and with all this in the up roar everyone wants to know where are the power lines going. do a map that shows the proposed lines going thru who's property now.

---

Communication ID: 12984

Date Received: 10/28/2010

Name: ANONYMOUS

BPA October Newsletter with notes:

"Where is the key to this map? Could you have chosen a worst map? GEEZ! Why this map it shows nothing F-"

---

Communication ID: 12985

Date Received: 10/28/2010

Name: STEPHEN C RAPALUS

Route "O" was added after citizen comments... it was not on the original plan. Route "O" now sits very close to my parcel. I want to retire there and bought that parcel because it was away from freeways and voltage lines. Do you just keep adding lines in less populated areas as citizens complain? This stinks! Put the lines along existing lines or far away on DNR land.

---

Communication ID: 12986

Date Received: 10/28/2010

Name: ROBERT HERNDON

Hello this is Robert Herndon calling. My phone number is [phone]. I'm very concerned that you're gonna run this power line within about 600 feet of my house and wreck my property value. I would hope that someone could contact me and let me know what the status is in that regards and how you plan on compensating me from wrecking what was once a beautiful place to live. Please give me a call at your convenience. I'll talk to you then. Goodbye.

---

Communication ID: 12987

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: J. C. KENNEDY

Well I am on your list but I keep getting ridiculous pieces of paper that don't tell me anything. So, I want a map that shows my address and where it stands because my neighbors- 6 of us standing out there this morning and none of us knew. So, you can mail it to [address] and I want a clear map because now I understand that it's coming and ending right over the top of my house, which wasn't my understanding a few meetings ago. So it's [address], I'm sure you must know where that is, and I'd like somebody to tell me why on page 3 of your I-5 corridor reinforcement project there is a map with absolutely no explanation of what 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 etc means, there's no delineation, there's no key, there's nothing. If you lived right there, which I do, you couldn't find where you're at. So I also want a map with an absolute clear key or something. I'd like to walk in and have somebody explain this to me but since you're hiding, I certainly understand that so I will expect something in the mail to [address], a map that shows exactly where the line is, with streets, so we know where we're looking at. I'd say "thank you" but you should have done this before.

---

Communication ID: 12988

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: J. C. KENNEDY

Yes, and I also wanted- I just called and want a map sent to [address]- I also want a website to look up to see, find some maps. Somebody in the BPA has to be able to read a map and put it on, there has to be a website. So, since nobody can find it, and there's nothing listed as a website, I want a website, or I want somebody to come to my office with a map and show it to me, this is getting ridiculous, which I'm sure you've heard from everyone.

---

Communication ID: 12989

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: J. C. KENNEDY

Ok, so now I'm at [address] and I've left two messages, and now that I've had somebody help me find this map on the website and I realize that you're going right through my front yard, what are my

choices, where do I find that out? Am I, do you buy me out? Do you offer me something? Do you take part of my property? Do you what? Where do I find that on this glossy little four page sheet thing? Because in all the meetings I went to there wasn't any discussion of that, so, that I could find, and so you can call me back at my work, you can send it to me at my home, but I would like to know what is the process now? You just put the lines overhead? And I have huge old metal lines sticking in my yard? I would like a call back at [phone].

---

Communication ID: 12990

Date Received: 11/1/2010

Name: KEMP C FAIRBANKS II

Property sold Feb 2010 we have relocated out of BPA area. Please remove us from mail list. Thank You --  
--Kemp Fairbanks

---

Communication ID: 12991

Date Received: 10/30/2010

Name: MARGARET VAN NOS

I have received 2 mailings of the same October Project Update!! Please check your mailing list, it might save some money. Thank you, M. van Nus

---

Communication ID: 12992

Date Received: 10/30/2010

Name: CHRISTOPHER L CONGER

I live adjacent to one of the routes where BPA lines current run. It is my understanding that this same corridor is being considered for expansion for either additional lines or the very tall new towers. As part of the project is to build redundancy, the idea of either installing more lines or taller towers on this same corridor seems counter to that prime directive. I am very concerned that my propert value will drop and my children will be affected by the increase in Electrical waves. I understand the need for additional lines, but those lines should be away from home owners and should truly build the redundancy that is needed.

---

Communication ID: 12993

Date Received: 10/30/2010

Name: DAVID R BOTTEN

Could you please put street names on your maps so we can better visualize where your lines might go. This will allow us to better communicate if we think this is a good place to place a new powerline. It is



hard for me to figure out exactly where your baxter creek and casey rd substations will be. As the map looks now I would recommend going through the existing Lexington site and then continue out to Castle Rock. There is already lines there and so less people would be affected since people have stayed away from these existing lines. Thank you for your time.

---

Communication ID: 12994

Date Received: 10/30/2010

Name: MILAN KOKTA

Hello, and my name is Milan Kokta. My number is [phone]. I have attempted to sign up on the internet but it refuses to sign me up, asking for some dumb five two words and always says, "incorrect words." I think I need to get a contact. I didn't get any October Newsletter, even though I was getting them before, and I- your senior body supervisor is out of reach until November. I need a reply before then. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 12995

Date Received: 11/1/2010

Name: KAREN A FERGUSON

I am writing to express my opposition to the potential I-5 corridor route # 26, which would run through Royal Ridges Retreat. Royal Ridges provides a beautiful, natural landscape for many children to enjoy during the year, and during summer camps. To run a power line through this area would certainly detract from the beauty and experience that benefits so many young people in our communities. Please consider another route for this power line. Thank you!

---

Communication ID: 12996

Date Received: 11/2/2010

Name: ZOLTAN COSA

Hello, my name is Zoltan Cosa. My address is [address], and I would appreciate it if you send me a map showing the latest proposed lines which might affect our property. I appreciate it if you mail it to us as soon as possible. I tried it on the internet and get the information but I couldn't. So I appreciate it if you would mail me that map. Thank you, have a nice day.

---

Communication ID: 12997

Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: MEGHAN AMANDA MAC-RHYANN

October 22, 2010

BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement  
P.O. Box 9250  
Portland, OR 97207

RE: New Power Line Location

I am writing to request that you do not select route 26 to put in the new power lines. This route would go through the middle of the camp called Royal Ridges Retreat. This camp houses children, and has many that come in for repetitive day activities, and power lines give off EMF's which are reputed to cause cancer, particularly in children.

I'm begging that you consider the children and not choose route 26. Not only would it be unsightly for the camp's natural beauty, but it would also be a danger for the many children that attend. Please choose the most eastward route possible to avoid populated areas.

Blessings,

Meghan Mac-Rhyann

---

Communication ID: 12998

Date Received: 10/27/2010

Name: TIM JUPP

October 27, 2010

To: BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement  
PO Box 9250  
Portland, OR. 97207

RE: My Thoughts on choosing Rt. 26 for BPA power transmission

Dear Civic Planner,

It is the rural beauty of Royal Ridges Retreat, the single most authentic camp in miles that can offer boys and girls a place to call their own. It is an well established horse camp and day camp that is a key contributor to the success and welfare of the community of Yacolt, Battleground and even Portland.

I believe BPA should use existing right-of-ways or move the line as far east as possible to avoid populated areas such as Royal Ridges.

I personally plan to take part in an event there this fall and summer of 2011.

Sincerely,

Tim Jupp

---

Communication ID: 12999

Date Received: 10/23/2010

Name: BOLTON C MINISTER

October 23, 2010

Stephen Wright

Administrator

Bonneville Power Administration

905 NE 11th Ave

Portland, OR 97232-4170

Dear Mr. Wright:

I'm writing this letter to voice my concern over the potential siting of 500Kv power lines and 150 foot towers on the "P" line.

This route, the "P" line, was included many months after the other lines were chosen. BPA has admitted that subtracting some routes and adding others has in fact added to the number of people whose lives will be impacted by where these lines are built.

The proposed route encompasses the area of the Oceanspray timber sale. I have been paying close attention to this sale. Last year the state decided to harvest the timber. Staff at the Washington State Department of Natural Resources worked with neighbors and came up with a plan to harvest the timber, and create buffers that would preserve our views as much as possible, and protect wildlife habitat along several streams, including Lacamas Creek, which runs very close to our property lines.

After the harvest, I made the decision to build a new home on my property. Construction was well underway this summer when I received the packet in the mail stating BPA was now going to consider placing these massive power lines potentially on my property, if not very close by.

Our neighborhood worked with D.N.R. during the Oceanspray timber sale. We feel like we did our part, and the State of Washington did its part as far as preserving our property values and adjacent wildlife habitat. At the scooping meeting at Skyline High School, after talking with BPA staff, I'm led to believe that BPA is on the verge of driving it's bulldozers up this fragile ecosystem, as close as five feet from one of the structures I am building.

Had I known that BPA was even remotely considering this option, I never would have started construction on our home. I believe this route is a mistake, both in the way it will impact homeowners in terms of property values, and the environmental damage it will do to habitat to Lacamas Creek and its tributaries.

I strongly urge BPA to find a better way using existing rights of way for this project.

Sincerely,

Bolton Minister  
Homeowner / Concerned Citizen  
Enclosures

---

Communication ID: 13000

Date Received: 11/3/2010

Name: ARNOLD E DINGLEY

My property borders proposed segment 43. Why are segments 40 & 43 still under consideration when there is an existing 45 connector with a right-of-way within one mile, and a proposed T, S or 49 connector (can't tell from map which it is) that is in line with the proposed main route? Route 43 passes through forest land that I understand is DNR land managed for school income. It was harvested and replanted recently. I understand that it also has a spring on it. I've lived on the edge of a 500KVA line (about the same distance route 43 would be from my house) and the hum of the lines is significantly audible. Also the crackling corona during high humidity and rain is irritatingly loud, and way more audible than the hum. It also will interfere with my off-the-air TV signal, radio and satellite dish.

---

Communication ID: 13001

Date Received: 11/3/2010

Name: HEATHER DERINGER

Dear Mr. Wright,

I find the running of BPA power line through Clark and Cowlitz counties, without having fully explored an Oregon line option, unconscionable. As an affected landowner, and as taxpayer, and as a utility user, I cannot implore you strongly enough to reconsider your proposed route, and do your complete and fiduciary duty to explore all options. To push a decision onto a few property owners and taxpayers for a line that does NOT directly offer them power benefits, yet destroys their property values and is a health hazard and eyesore, is not an acceptable action.

I have shared my opinion of BPA's actions with other local elected officials, and with several grass roots groups who, thankfully, spotted the harmful and irreversible impact of your proposed routes. My husband and I pay a considerable amount in property taxes, as do many of our neighbors, and I direct you to verbiage from your own website, in urgently asking you to perform your entire responsibility in working with 'region stakeholders'. Since you have chosen routes that will not benefit me, but will harm me, that makes me a stakeholder. I ask that you do the same research on routes that WILL benefit others, and NOT harm me. Simply assuming the path of least resistance is not the same as fulfilling your responsibilities.

Engaging the public and developing long-term relationships with communities are critical to the success of the Bonneville Power Administration. BPA works to involve customers, property owners, Tribes, local,

state and federal agencies, elected officials and community groups in program and project public involvement processes. We are committed to working collaboratively with region stakeholders [highlighted] to provide safe and reliable power and transmission services.

I would prefer to bring my opinions to the Ridgefield meeting tonight, the 4th of November, but do not have adequate child care available.

Regards,

Heather Deringer  
Affected Camas Homeowner  
[ADDRESS]  
[PHONE]

---

Communication ID: 13002

Date Received: 11/3/2010

Name: AMIE E NELSEN

My Name is Amie Nelsen and my husband and two small children are building our dream home in Rose Valley ([ADDRESS]). My parents have owned land in the Rose Valley area for over 30 years. I have great memories of growing up out there and always wanted my children to have the same experiences. A couple years ago, my parents gifted my brother and I land. My brother already lives out there with his family and we are in the process of building. We will be complete in about 3 months. I absolutely oppose the idea of having power lines running through my property and dream home. This is the last home we plan on living in and I don't want my two small children and nephew living under power lines. I feel that there are better alternatives to going through private land. What about the corporate timber lands like weyerhauser and fibre?

---

Communication ID: 13003

Date Received: 11/2/2010

Name: ERNA SARASOHN

Greetings Maryam,

I know you are busy but one of my homeowners asked questions regarding the right of way at WSU. If you could get back to me including confirmation of the assumption that the right of way was purchased prior to the land being donated to WSU.

Thank you Maryam-see you Thursday evening?

Erna

In Houston, in 1985, a judge ruled that a utility company showed a wanton disregard for public safety by running an overhead transmission line through school property. There was an award of \$25 million dollars against the utility company. This is referred to in this very excellent letter. Do you know how wide the right of way is that BPA owns on WSU Vancouver, and did they purchase ingress and egress rights on this now State-owned university property when they purchased the right-of-way? I'm assuming they purchased the right-of-way long before it was given to the state by the original owner.

---

Communication ID: 13004

Date Received: 10/24/2010

Name: LESLIE STEWART BELL

First, thanks for the maps. They arrived toward the end of the week.

Next, a member emailed me Saturday saying she was unclear of the particulars, but Steve Wright met with a few individuals on Friday. I believe Mariah Reese and Margaret Heppola Coff and her son were present. Can you tell me who arranged the meeting, who the participants were, and any discussion that was involved.

Thanks, and wish you the top of the week.

Leslie Bell

Another Way BPA

---

Communication ID: 13005

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: CLARK K COWLITZ,HARRY HURLESS,ERNA SARASOHN

Maryam,

Mr Hurless mailed this letter to Steven Wright but if you could make sure Mr Wright gets a copy, I know it will be appreciated by Mr Hurless.

Thank you, hope all is well.

Erna

E-Mail To BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Interveners

Friends:

I attended a recent BPA public meeting at the Hazel Dell Grange related to the subject line. I discussed the subject with several of the intervening persons; gave them my name and e-mail address; explained







As a policy-level, executive manager for the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) during the 1960's and 70's, I played a major role in the planning, financial control, and construction of the high-voltage electric power system that serves our Pacific Northwest region. The reliability of your electric service and the low rates that you paid in the early 1980s when I retired, speaks to the effectiveness with which we accomplished our task. And, in spite of inept management of that organization in the intervening time (no major new facilities have been added to that system during this 25-year time span) your reliability of service continues to be good. The rates you pay are something else. Ineffective cost control practices, major management errors of judgment, and the diversion of ratepayer funds to a number of unrelated activities has resulted in doubling or tripling of rates. Unresolved problems, and continued lack of management prowess in the industry will surely result in significant additional future rate increases.

I retired from the BPA in 1981. That is about the time the "baby boomer" generation with their Masters Degrees in Business Administration and Public Administration began to take over management of our society in both the public and private arenas. Evolutionary change of our management practices, which had achieved a very acceptable level of success, was not for them. With egos inflated beyond imagination, they proceeded with revolutionary changes designed to show the rest of us how it should be done.

We need to examine the situation that existed at that time in more detail. The feminine movement was in full swing. Members of that group as well as those of some minorities felt underprivileged in the working world. Past practices of starting at the bottom, learning the business, and achieving "merit" advancement into management positions, did not fit into the vision of these new geniuses. They were taught, and they believed, that their college education qualified them immediately for top management positions.

Several new concepts were sold to the public in the process of accomplishing the goals of these different groups. It was not necessary or advantageous for a top management candidate to have experience in and understand the vagaries of a particular business. The concept that it was important to win was discarded for individual and group; personal and business activities. Judging the qualifications of candidates for a particular position became passé; a form of bias. In this part of our universe, and in the political realm, the valid concept that some jobs can be done most effectively by women and the others by men is unacceptable. The value of individuals specializing their study and careers in important, narrow fields of an activity became verboten in only part of our society; but it was a large part. It has been interesting, for example, that the activists who were pushing this agenda did not rely on the genius of this group when they needed a tonsillectomy, or heart by-pass surgery. Then, experience and demonstrated ability meant something!

As a result of this practice, most positions have been filled in the intervening years, based on who the candidate knows in the organization rather than what the candidate knows of the business and what he or she might bring to improve it. The gullibility by which the esteemed Boards of Directors, owners of private organizations, and the general public accepted – and continue to accept - these insane concepts, speaks in an unflattering way to the intellectual level of this generation.

The mess that faces us today at all levels of society; federal, state, county, and local, public and private, activities is the result of their brilliant policies and techniques. Your generation, the “Bungling Boomers” will live to suffer some of the adverse consequences of your actions during your declining years. That is as it should be! But, beyond that, you are creating and will pass on to your children and theirs; levels of debt and social and physical problems, that may be unsolvable. They deserve better! Life as we have known it in this country is in jeopardy! Although I realize the probable futility of my effort, I feel compelled to try to save what is salvageable by communicating some of my thoughts to those of you who share the responsibility for determining the future of this nation, this region, and its citizens.

I must warn you that some of what I say in what follows may seem callous or demeaning in the world in which you live. But that is how we did things in my generation. Nothing was off the table. Management was alert to existing and developing problems; they accepted the responsibility for objectively identifying the best solution; and proceeding with its implementation in a financially and environmentally acceptable manner. Solving the problem or improving conditions was the measure of our success.

It is important to recognize that my critique is not politically based. Most members of both major parties do not have an intellectual grasp of the present situation, the gravity of the problems, or what is needed to effectively achieve the best result to serve present and future citizens of the country. The boomer generation has directed the spending of literally trillions of dollars during their 25 to 30 year tenure in power. I cannot name one aspect of our condition, public or private, that is not orders of magnitude in worse condition than it was when they took over. Contemplation as to the basis for their dismal performance leads to two possible choices. Either, the ruling elite are intellectually incapable of doing the job (intellectual pygmies) or they do understand the gravity of the cataclysmic condition they are managing toward and they just don't care.

Is it possible to salvage the situation; to inform the ruling elite of the inadequacy of their ways, and to convince them to redirect their efforts in more thoughtful, constructive ways? I feel compelled to do what I can to achieve that goal.

11/26/2007

Copyright©2007

All Rights Reserved

HARRY D. HURLESS

[address]

[phone number]

[email]

October 21,2010

Mr. Steve Wright, Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration

P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

(Note: The following critique has been developed as part of my personal project of "Sharing My Concern"; designed to share with today's critical decision makers, my thoughts about the current situation, based on experiences of my 84 years of involvement in what life has to offer. I have attached a document entitled "Sharing My Concern – Introduction". You may wish to read that document before you proceed with reading the body of this letter.)

## SHARING MY CONCERN

BPA #1

Dear Steve:

Although I have visited with you casually at BPA retirement parties etc. you are not familiar with my contribution to BPA. I retired in 1981, you was named acting Administrator in 2000. I am 84 years old, a member of the World War II generation. I graduated from the University of Idaho with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering in June 1949. I reported for work at BPA in early July of that same year. I enjoyed a very diverse and successful career at BPA, advancing at an accelerated rate to executive management positions in the Divisions of Engineering and Construction and Operations and Maintenance.. I was intimately involved in the transmission planning process during most of my career. I developed some of the digital computer processes that we used in system planning. I was familiar with and used both the analog and digital load-flow methodologies in that work.

I would guess that during my time at BPA, we planned and constructed 50% of all of the 230 KV and below transmission on the system today; and all of the 345 KV and 500 KV Alternating Current facilities, including the very technically sophisticated intertie between The Dalles, Oregon and Los Angeles, California with its ultrahigh voltage Direct Current component. BPA was recognized around the world, at that time, for its engineering excellence, it's inventiveness in advancing the state-of-the-art, and it's organizational effectiveness. The fact that no new major transmission has been added to that system for over three decades and that the electrical loads are still being served in the region has to be a testament to the excellence of the system design.

You are in the process of learning that the conscious BPA management decisions not to build new transmission during the past 3 or 4 decades were major errors in judgment. While BPA was "fiddling", development was closing the gaps geographically, presenting formidable new challenges to the construction of electrical facilities that are absolutely necessary for the continuing developmental evolution of the region.

The situation today, as you describe it in your information supporting the I- 5 Corridor Reinforcement Project concerns me greatly. In one statement you relate to a situation that occurred, I believe in 2009. "The loss of one heavily loaded line in the Clark County area would have resulted in a major blackout!" I

have to wonder from that, "What reliability of service criteria are you expected to meet?" "Are you meeting it regularly?" In my time, federal regulations required the system to be able to carry all of the loads when a momentary outage occurred on the system with any other line out of service. Even with those more strenuous regulations in force, major blackouts occurred in the nation and the region.

Reliable electric service is an absolute necessity for maintaining the health and welfare of our citizens, our commerce and our society. Based on your description of conditions on the system, I question the adequacy of the electric utility industry's reliability criteria today!

Given my lack of reliable, up-to-date information as to the existing load conditions on the BPA transmission system, to the size and location of the assumed 20-year load growth, and the size and location of future resource development, I do not purport to be able to identify the major additions to the BPA grid that would most satisfactorily meet the needs of the region. However, knowledge

of fundamental principles involved in effective electrical power system planning can serve as a basis for critique of the proposed plan. I fully appreciate the difficulty of the situation BPA faces today, and it is

not my intent to unnecessarily impede resolution of the problem. On the other hand, I am simply unable to apply my substantial understanding of effective electrical system planning and conclude that your proposed solution to the problem is either effective or that it serves the best interests of the regions rate payers.

My introduction to the details of the I-5 reinforcement Project was interesting. I went to one of your earlier public meetings and sought out the planning staff in attendance. I asked two questions:

1. "BPA has not built a major new transmission facility for the last 30 years; why are you proposing to build a new one now?" I was astounded by the reply. "We received some stimulus money." Are

we to assume that lack of funds was the reason? An organization that has spent tens of billions of other people's money developing and funding fish and wildlife welfare programs (with questionable beneficial results) could not fund new transmission facilities?

2. I pointed out the obvious fact that future load growth in this area will be concentrated in the western portion of the Willamette Valley and on the coast. I suggested that it might be a good idea to consider reinforcing the I-5 corridor with a line through that area - along the eastern edge of the Coast Range - in

preparation for serving those new loads; before further development of the area makes that impossible.

Again, I was surprised by the answer. "That route has been ruled unacceptable by BPA environmentalists!!!! The Columbia River crossing would require towers 400 feet tall, possibly a tower on an island in the river. This would be a hazard for aviation in the area, towers would require strobe lights which would adversely affect wildlife in the area, and the visibility of the towers down the Willamette Valley would be detrimental to the people living there. (I pioneered the development of the environmental program and staff at BPA. I developed the very first Environmental Impact Statement submitted in response to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. In that process I consulted with

some of the National legislators from this area as to the intent of the act and what was expected in response to it. Those people would turn over in their graves if they knew what has resulted from their "good intentions". )

The southern terminal for such a line would likely be the Pearl substation near Wilsonville, Oregon. You relate in your documentation that development in the vicinity of that substation has progressed to the point where access to the station with a new line would be very difficult! (This raises a question in my mind. "Is BPA willing to rule out future access to the Pearl substation from all future development plans? If not, will access to the station be any easier 5, 10, or 15 years hence? Or impossible?") There are critical situations like this, crying out for attention, all over your system. They need to be identified and action taken to make possible future access for critically needed future transmission lines.

Effective electric utility system planning is a very sophisticated activity that requires the knowledgeable, honest application of state-of-the-art electrical theory by able, experienced practitioners using dependable projected future loads and resources. The literature for the I-5 project states that you are using a 5 to 10 year horizon for your studies. The adequacy of that time frame to identify an addition with the capacity of a 500 KV line seems questionable. Justification to construct a 500 KV line between Castle Rock and Troutdale (of all places!) is based on the need to bolster the service for electrical customers in Clark and Cowlitz Counties; the need to reduce flicker on the power system; and the availability of a vacant right-of-way in that area that "might" be used; etc. I can not relate to any of those as serious reasons for spending millions of dollars on this line! If a new 500 KV line is needed, and I'm sure that it is, we need to identify and construct the system addition that will most effectively increase the capacity of the total 500 KV grid to serve the region. Identification of the facility(s) that will best serve the need for increased grid capacity requires comprehensive load-flow studies using reliable projected future loads and resources, benefit to cost analyses and decisions tempered by environmental impact considerations. This proposed line will not serve that purpose!

Increasing the capacity for serving the needs of Clark and Cowlitz Counties will be achieved by installing new transformation at strategic locations within the area. Constructing this new proposed 500 KV line will do little to solve that problem. It will not solve the flicker problem which occurs when circuit breakers in the area operate, usually due to faults on the system. My impression is that possible use of the vacant right-of-way in Clark County is no longer an active consideration

I have no idea why Castle Rock was chosen for the Northern terminal for the line. I'm even more flabbergasted by the choice of Troutdale for the Southern Terminal. The Troutdale substation was originally located and built to serve a large aluminum smelter on the site. Low-voltage transmission lines later emanated from the site to serve the loads in the Portland metropolitan area. Indications are that the utilities serving Portland loads are not planning on Troutdale for serving their load growth. One of those low-voltage lines has been de-energized and is being dismantled.

From my perspective, there is no way that Troutdale can play an important role in serving the short and long-term future load growth in the area; the bulk of which will occur south of Oregon City and west of Beaverton. I'm at a total loss in trying to understand how your staff arrived at the recommendations for

this project. It is understandable that their planning skills might be a bit rusty, since this is the first time they have been involved in this kind of activity – I suspect none of them was around when the last major additions were planned and constructed on the system 30 - 40 years ago.

Spending all this money on a project of minimal benefit would be a grievous disservice to the ratepayers of the area. I recommend that this project be tabled, and that new studies be initiated to more accurately identify the system addition(s) that will best serve the needs of the people of the region.

Sincerely yours,

Harry D. Hurless

Attachment: Sharing My Concerns; Introduction

---

Communication ID: 13006

Date Received: 11/4/2010

Name: CATHY OGDEN

We were recently added to your notification list of 29 land owners in the Castle Rock area. I attended the small meeting that was held on Nov. 3rd. It seemed to me that these landowners are an afterthought and should have been included in the August meeting where more information was available. I have check your website periodically and found that the Segment F Notification Additions are not included on the google map that you currently have posted. Why is this? Also people that were informed earlier who believed to be on the fringe now find themselves smack in the middle of proposed Segment F and don't even know of the changes. This is very troubling to me and my neighbors. We do not want the proposed line to dissect our road and create a health hazard as well as lowering our property values. My husband and I also own land in the Monahan Creek area but feel like that would be the best place to put the proposed substation. Use exisiting right-of ways and put your new lines there. Thank you, Cathy Ogden

---

Communication ID: 13007

Date Received: 11/4/2010

Name: SUSAN LOWE

Please provide a list of homes/addresses that are in the proposed routes. We are 2 houses away from the current lines in the Oak Park (52)area. We would like to do some major improvements to our home and would like to know if our home is one of the houses under consideration to be removed. Thank you for your time, Susan Lowe

---

Communication ID: 13008

Date Received: 11/4/2010

Name: KATHY SCRAGLAND

This is Ken and Kathy Scragland. We live outside of a preserve area and you guys are working down below our house on the polls, and it has to do with the Albany Falls Sand Creek Transmission line project. The guys are going to the bathroom in the woods on the property. We do not like this and you guys need to take and provide them with a porty-pot. And also I want the mess cleaned up. And if I don't hear from you in a few days I am calling the environmentalists. So take care of this. My phone number is [phone number] and you guys should have done this before they started. Goodbye.

---

Communication ID: 13009

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: MATTHEW L BAKER

I can't really tell looking at the map you've created if my property would be directly affected by the project or not. Why don't you add roads and/or landmarks to your map to better show homeowners what the impact really might look like?

---

Communication ID: 13010

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: RON BALCHEN

Yeah, my name's Ron Balchen over on [address] in Lexington. I keep getting information saying something about my property. I haven't responded to anything and I'm getting another one of what you guys call "the update." Just concerned on these power lines, where these power lines are going to affect, whose property they're going to go over the top, and if I'm affected at all by this. So, I haven't gotten any information other than just these news fliers. So, if there's somebody that can kind of clue me in on what the hell is going on it would be much appreciated. My phone number is area code [phone]. I can be reached anytime. Thank you, buh bye.

---

Communication ID: 13011

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: RANDALL BROWN

Hello, my name is Randall Brown. I'm the homeowner at [address]. My cell number- the only number I have- is [phone]. I'm interested to know if my property is one that will need to be purchased to run this powerline. The house is for sale, it's vacant. I couldn't tell by the letter I just received, so, I would be interested to know that. And my new mailing address is [address]. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 13012

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: STAN CLARK

Hi, my name is Stan Clark, [address]. The problem is I own a piece of property that you're going to go right through the whole thing. Right dead center of all eight of my parcels. And, that was my retirement money and it's for our plan to put a project for dry camp and you guys are going to go right through it. And I've tried to get somebody to really call me back, a live person, but everybody tells me you're closed, you guys already made your mind up, and, you know I guess I stand and hold to the letter F that is just tearing me up over here. Because that's the piece that you're going to tear up. My property's right off the Kalmbach Road. I wish somebody'd get back to me. I hate reading these things in the news paper and everybody's closed mind. You're going to take my property and I wish you'd pay me for what I'd sunk into it already, I really would appreciate that, but somebody needs to talk to me I think. So I'd appreciate it if you'd get back to me, my phone number is [phone], and my home at this time is still at [address]. And I'm off of Kalmbach Road up the hill there by the pump station and my 68 acres go straight across right where you're putting your line or what you plan to do, put your line through there. You could go up a little higher and there's nothing up a little higher, which would be Fiber, you know, you wouldn't bother anybody that way. But, coming right down, you're gunna nail me all the way across. I've already got an easement going across cross-ways from the top of the hill, down into Castle Rock. And they don't even clean that, I can't even get to the other side of the parcel. They don't keep it up. And so it's hard for me to get across there. 68 years old and I need my property. So I've gotta go raise hell with them too. They promised they'd be keeping it clean and all maintained and they haven't done a dang thing in years. So I'd appreciate it, I'd appreciate a phone call. And I'd like to get to one of your meetings. You always schedule the darn things so that only a few people can get to it the rest of us can't because we have to work. So anyway, appreciate it catch you later. Buh bye.

---

Communication ID: 13013

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: RALPH KLINE

Yes, my name is Ralph Kline, my previous address was [address]. My new address, mailing address, is [address]. And my new phone number is [phone]. Again that address is [address]. Phone number, [phone]. Thank you. Bye.

---

Communication ID: 13014

Date Received: 11/8/2010

Name: JENNIFER HICKS



Hi my name is Jennifer Hicks. I've called before to have you guys take us off your mailing list because we used to live in Amboy but now we live in Vancouver, and I received another information about the project going on. So, again I would like to just request that you take us off your mailing list. Our old mailing address that you guys were sending it the information to is [address]. And if you could take us off your mailing list we would appreciate it. Ok, thank you. And if you could give me a call back to I would appreciate that to know that you got this message. The number here is [phone]. Ok, thank you, bye bye.

---

Communication ID: 13015

Date Received: 11/6/2010

Name: LILLY E LONGSHORE

If at all possible, can Route #26 be moved so it doesn't go through Royal Ridges property? My son goes there for summer camp, and we would like to keep it in the beautiful state that it currently is in. Thanks.

---

Communication ID: 13016

Date Received: 10/10/2010

Name: GEORGE T DILL

Dr Steven Chu, Secretary  
US Dept Energy  
1000 Independence Av SW  
Washington DC 20585 22 Oct 10

Subject: Reality check on Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

Dear Dr. Chu,

It is optimistic to think this will reach you, but perhaps significant parts will. Forget "Joe the Plumber." You need to know how your Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) has become the second jaw of the vise squeezing the middle class homeowner here. Incredible sounding since President Obama has prioritized helping the beleaguered homeowners overcome mortgage default, and now mortgage title chaos.. They tell us the homeowner is a main engine in this economy, but out here in Clark and Cowlitz Counties Washington BPA is impacting homeowners who have held on to their homes. Realtors will not show their homes to prospective buyers, and homeowners face property devaluation on top of current market depreciation due to the prospect of becoming highways of 500Kv electrical towers.

The BPA project was defined years ago as the "I-5 Reinforcement Project;" EPA has abandoned the plan to run the new towers where they logically belong, along I-5 highway into Portland Oregon. Instead BPA has chosen a path east across beautiful Cascade Mountain foothills. Over 10,000 homeowners are affected in Washington. BPA's method increases the rancor.

Since targeting this area with numerous potential routes BPA has initiated a media campaign to convince homeowners that they will face "brownouts" by 2016 , and blame the use of heat pump summer usage here where we have no more than several days suggesting such usage. BPA power point presentations do not hold up to scrutiny. It appears the additional towers are required to supply Portland Oregon metropolitan area and California with additional power. There are also political overtones favoring the mad rush to wind power investments and criticism of past BPA inability to move all wind power available minute-to-minute. Just now BPA has renamed the project "Clark and Cowlitz Reinforcement Project" as a name repetition tactic to convince local citizens to give up their yards and views to the 150 foot towers.

Please review the backlash by homeowners on Nantucket who will not allow any towers near their scenic coastline, even 15 mile out at sea. This resistance is led by Washington politicians whose homes are affected. The feeling here is that Portland-based BPA has selected the relatively sparsely populated Clark and Cowlitz Counties for easy conquest after caving to political pressure.

BPA has experience primarily in wilderness and farm/ranch areas where they have had easy right-of-way for 40 years. They are amateurs dealing with the public in urban and suburban settings. The freeze they currently have put on targeted homeowners is prolonged (2 years) , and rigid under color of authority. Department of Energy has a void in their organizational structure and chartered goals.

DOE is concerned about minorities, nuclear energy, science, the Navy, counter terrorism, biological research, and even the flu. Where is the office looking out for homeowners' rights? Next to BPA the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) looks like a welfare agency. DOE and its BPA agency are not participants in the "American Recovery and Reinvestment Act" out here. It is odd to look at the DOE organization chart and see the obscure bloc called "Bonneville Power Administration" in view of the damage it is doing here. Whoever reads the BPA activity letters should read this letter. I can send more if requested.

Yours Truly,

GT Dill

[address]

BPA Route "p"

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 13017

Date Received: 10/31/2010

Name: GLENN KYLLO,SHELLEY KYLLO

Hi,

Just to let you know our address has changed. Please send following information to [address]. Glenn & Shelley Kylo. We no longer have a PO Box.

Thank you,

Shelley & Glenn Kylo

---

Communication ID: 13018

Date Received: 10/30/2010

Name: LEE R DAVIS

I've followed this closely. Recently BPA has instituted a new route that horrifically impacts us. This is the "P" route. I am a veteran, lived here 10 years, and am fighting cancer. To add this stress and worry is a very heavy burden. I can't see the correctness of a route right through our neighborhood. To the east, are much less populated areas. Please drop the "P" route, and move elsewhere. Lee Davis

Lee Davis

---

Communication ID: 13019

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: CYNTHIA JOHNSON

As a precinct committee officer I hear from many people, with many concerns about the routes. I have urged and compelled to write you about not using routes 26,30, P and 35. It seems like you should use the land with the least impact on families and their homes. Many of them have not been able to sell off land to allow other homes to be built and they don't feel this project should be allowed. By using O, R,39, or K would seem to be a better choice for those of us concerned about this project.

Respectfully,

Cynthia Johnson

---

Communication ID: 13020

Date Received: 10/28/2010

Name: RUSS STAGNER,TERESA STAGNER

We are on the mailing list for information on the I-5 powerline project. We have closed our P.O. Box and would like our mailing address updated. It is:

Russ & Teresa Stagner

[address]

Please remove the P.O. Box of [POB #]. Thank you!

Communication ID: 13021

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: ROSS E GRAHAM

Pls. include me on your e-mail list for updates. Thanks!

Ross E. Graham  
Forest Land Use Manager  
St. Helens Tree Farm  
Weyerhaeuser Company

---

Communication ID: 13022

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: FRED SANTOLUCITO

Hello Mr. Wright, Mr. Bekkedahl, Mr. Korsness and Mr. Stuart,

Thank you for opening your County Commissioners meeting last night to the public to discuss the I5 Corridor expansion issues.

My name is Fred Santolucito and I raised the question with you regarding the Homeland Security issue of the placement of the new 500KV lines next to and parallel to the existing lines.

I requested and you showed interest in seeing my Powerpoint presentation that I have put together on the subject. This presentation has been given in public presentations several times. Also, it was previously sent to BPA for your review. There is audio behind the slides and should take approximately fifteen minutes to watch the whole message. (it takes about 30 seconds to pull up).

As you know from my comments last night, I am surprised that this is not one, if not the first of areas of concern in making the decisions of placement of these new towers and lines.

Since I do not have Mr. Wright's email address, I would appreciate if this could be forwarded to him since he said he would be willing to listen to my message.

Also, Steve, could you forward this on to the other commissioner that were present last night?

I would appreciate feedback on your thoughts after listening to the presentation.

Best Regards,

Fred Santolucito  
[address]  
[phone]

---

Communication ID: 13023

Date Received: 11/5/2010

Name: TERRY CONSTANCE

All,

A special thank you is in order for our elected officials.

At least these issues are on the table and discussed even though they may be discarded later by the BPA.

Honorable mention for: Steve Stuart, Ed Orcutt and Axel Swanson.

If looks could kill, BPA Administrator Stewart would NOT have survived Rep. Orcutt's cutting glare after hearing responses to his questions.

After attending the meeting last night I was left with the following impressions.

Stephen Wright, the Administrator of BPA gave a misleading presentation with the usual power outage threats. He was complacent and unresponsive to questions asked by the commissioner's in addition to Representative Orcutt and had to have others answer the majority of questions directed to him. He would not even acknowledge that Oregon growth and power usage was higher than Washington. Public questions were left with blank stares and no answers. Orcutt was visibly unhappy with the lack of response from Wright. If BPA had an ounce of credibility left, it has now vanished completely.

Axel Swanson was great but indicating Multnomah county having highest power growth was a tad off, actually Washington and Yamhill counties are.

This was supposed to be a Pearl Station meeting to explain why it was removed from consideration before scoping began. I asked a direct question related to the lack consideration for anything except BPA and was left with nothing also. This is no way for an administrator to respond. Instead of answers and reasons we were given the Longview tall tower excuse. Why is it ok in Troutdale to use tall towers to connect to the aluminum smelter sub-station add-on with PDX airport traffic nearby and not Longview. Again, no answers.

How a govt. agency can sit by for 30 years with no planning for the future is beyond me. In Wright's 10 years at BPA he has no significant accomplishments relating to power transmission improvements. Indeed, he will not be writing the EIS as his predecessors have done.

The person that was in charge who built the existing grid agrees that nothing has been done and is upset with the current BPA agenda and I-5 proposal.

The truth is, it was a mistake for Wright to remove the Pearl station route before scoping began. If the Pearl Station route had been included in the first map, the BPA could have studied it and included the

public in reasoning why it was impossible for consideration. Then remove it later. I suspect that since they could not prove this, it was discarded as legally too risky.

If you look at email traffic at that time between BPA mgmt. and engineers in the field the following truth emerges.

The BPA's best legal position would best be served to NOT carry the Pearl option into scoping due to combined opposition from Clark, Cowlitz counties and Oregon groups.

Project mgr. Mark Korsness and lawyer Herbert Adams outlined technical and legal disadvantages to the route along with BPA attorney advised Stephen Wright to drop the route before scoping began.

So as you can see, the BPA considers BPA to be the only criteria for decisions of this magnitude. Not people's lives, property, security or the need for transmission improvements.

To sum this up, it will require a huge public effort to get our elected officials to appeal later. Many people left early due to the BPA attitude and lack of care about the impacted citizens

We best join together and become stronger to organize a more powerful opposition.

Ongoing project...Further east route pursuit.

May be time to consider a tv spot we can run on these issues.

I just emailed Erin Brockovitch.

Here is something to think about.

The reason Steve Wright and the others threaten blackouts is to create fear. If someone can't sell you something, they will use fear to persuade you to buy it.

Our position differs.

If you endanger a mother's children, she will give her life to defend them.

This is not a quote, it's a fact of life. Human or any animal it's the same way.

Heres the Columbian story on the meeting:

<http://www.columbian.com/news/2010/nov/04/bpa-chief-decision-power-lines/>

Thanks,

Please forward to all impacted citizens.

Terry Constance

Another Way BPA

<http://www.nowaybpa.com>

No Lines in Populated Areas - Urban or Rural

---

Communication ID: 13024

Date Received: 11/7/2010

Name: KEITH BROWN,TERESA ROBBINS

November 7,2010

BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

P.O. Box 9250

Portland, OR 97207

Dear BPA Official:

In a recent conversation with Ryan Ojerio of Washington Trails Association, we became aware of BPA's newly proposed "O" route for transmission lines through DNR land near Silver Star Mountain. We are concerned that this new proposed route will have a significant negative impact on the current Tarbell Trail System and Chinook Trail. Furthermore, three proposed trails in DNR's exciting new recreation plan for the Western Yacolt Bum State forest would suffer similar adverse impact. Spectacular scenic views from Larch Mountain, Silver Star Mountain and the newly established Cape Horn Trail in the National Scenic area would be unavoidably and substantially degraded by the towers and their required clear-cut right-of-way.

As we understand it, option "O" was put on the table due to opposition to other alternatives. This new option needs to have the same kind of rigorous review by the public. We urge you to fully disclose this new proposed route to the hiking and conservation communities, that they may be appropriately informed and provided an opportunity to analyze and deliver comment on potential impact of this BPA's new proposal. This option was not included in the original scope of the I-5 corridor reinforcement project. Additional public meetings are therefore warranted, thus allowing BPA to obtain scoping comments prior to any development of the draft environmental impact statement or resultant decisions.

The terrain, hiking opportunities and spectacular vistas of this area in western Skamania county and eastern Clark county are truly unique and warrant serious and careful consideration as BPA evaluates any option for the route of the I-5 corridor reinforcement.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Keith Brown and Teresa Robbins

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13025

Date Received: 11/1/2010

Name: RYAN OJERIO

November 1, 2010

BPA I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

PO Box 9250

Portland, OR 97207

Dear Responsible Official,

No other area in Southwest Washington is as unique and beautiful as Silver Star Mountain and its surrounding forests. Nor is there any other site that is so accessible to such a large and expanding population. According to Washington Department of Natural Resources' (DNR) estimates, two million people now live within a 45 minute drive of the forested ridges, trails and campgrounds found in the Western Yacolt Burn State Forest just west of Silver Star Mountain. Recognizing the need for outdoor recreation opportunities in the area, the DNR recently completed a visionary ten-year plan to create new trails and campground facilities to serve the estimated 50,000 visitors per year.

Yet relatively few of these people are aware of the impacts that the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to inflict on one of the region's recreational treasures. The Washington Trails Association (WTA) is a non-profit organization that protects trails throughout the state. We represent the voices of over 8,000 members and engage volunteers in trail stewardship projects to ensure that current and future generations will have places to enjoy the outstanding recreational opportunities that Washington is known for.

Back in August, I was surprised to learn that BPA had changed route options and was now considering a line segment that would pass just west of Silver Star Mountain and through an area identified for future trails development. The "O" route would have a drastic and permanent impact on this outstanding and unique public resource. The attached maps and graphics illustrate how the landscape would be split by the proposed right-of-way. Line segment "O" would significantly impact the Tarbell Trail System, the Chinook Trail (a recognized National Recreation Trail), and three proposed trails identified in the new recreation plan for the Western Yacolt Burn State Forest. In addition, the towers and clear-cut right-of-way would tarnish the scenic vistas enjoyed by thousands as they hike, bike or ride their horses up Larch Mt. and Silver Star Mountain.

Of all the peaks in our region, none is as Outstanding as Silver Star in its accessibility and its incredible view. On a clear day visitors can see Mount St. Helens, Mt. Rainier, Mt. Hood, Mt. Adams, the Columbia River Gorge and the entire Portland/Vancouver Metro Area.

In addition to destroying the scenic beauty of the area, there is ample evidence that a variety of other negative impacts are associated with power line corridors. BPA access roads provide opportunities for illegal ORV incursions, dumping, "mudding", trespassing, vandalism and other damaging behaviors. DNR resources are already stretched to their limit in the effort to stop these activities.



In speaking out against the intrusion of power lines through this unique landscape, I represent thousands of hikers who have not heard about this threat But I also speak for millions of people in the generations to come. While energy security is a worthwhile investment for the future, our children and their children will also need places to hike, bike, run, ride and explore. Please do not deny the communities of Southwest Washington an opportunity to keep a valuable treasure intact to pass on to our grandchildren.

Sincerely,

Ryan Ojerio  
SW Washington Regional Coordinator  
Washington Trails Association

[enclosures]

---

Communication ID: 13026

Date Received: 11/10/2010

Name: PATRICIA LEE WITTER

To: Doug Johnson, Public Affairs Specialist Bonneville Power Administration Mailstop DKP-7, PO Box 3621 Portland, Oregon 977232

Dear Mr. Johnson,

I am the little old lady who talked with you briefly at the end of the Amboy meeting October 23 regarding the fact that our family's multigenerational tree farming business in Clark County is threatened by 3 proposed I-5 Corridor powerline sections: 18, 28 and O. That is, 3 separate family tree farms are potential candidates for bisection by the new transmission line.

The brief conversation between you and me October 23 focused on the fact that our 160-acre family tree farm just south of Lake Merwin already has the Yale-Merwin

115 Kilovolt powerline across it, with a 175 foot wide easement (but at least 300 feet in width of restricted timber-raising). You told me to send you further information about this particular location.

The quarter-section in question is the southeast quarter of Section 35, T6N R3EWM and is comprised of eight 20-acre parcels with tax ID numbers [parcel numbers]. The family names differ on these eight parcels, including Revesz, Witter, Witter-Kahn, Brady, Lounsbury and von Hohenbalken. But we are all family members and we manage this tree farm as a single unit with a single Forest Stewardship Plan.

NOW BPA IS PROPOSING TO PUT A SECOND TRANSMISSION LINE ACROSS THE SAME TREE FARM, PARALLEL BUT SEPARATE FROM THE PACIFICORP LINE. This is of course of great concern to us.

Our experience with PacifiCorp has been that BPA's statement that "Trees and Powerlines Don't Mix" is absolutely true.

We however take great exception to other statements BPA has made, especially the two following:

1. "Putting this 500-kilovolt transmission line through deep

forests will provide CHEAP POWER". This power will

have a cheap price only to the extent that BPA and the final consumers shift costs to the innocent, unlucky landowner whose ruination subsidizes the use of said power.

It would seem cheap because much of the actual cost has not been included in the price; therefore we feel that PUBLIC POWER BELONGS ON PUBLIC LAND.

2. "Studies indicate that land values adjacent to, but outside the power easement, will not be negatively affected."

Our experience with PacifiCorp over 50 years has been that our non-easement acres are constantly being damaged and our roads degraded by them. Their vegetation control blunders, illegal closing of roads, disregard of our property rights and attempts to stonewall our requests for damages when they damage our trees, roads and property, can only be contained by constant vigilance on our part, and by retaining legal counsel when necessary. And adjacent to their easement, we cannot grow the long-rotation, export-quality taller trees that our forest stands are capable of.

So our family will continue our struggle to keep the BPA powerline off the forest stands that we have devoted much of our lives to managing in a sustainable, wildlife-friendly, light-footprint manner.

Sincerely,

Lee Witter  
[email]

---

Communication ID: 13027

Date Received: 11/10/2010

Name: ANDREA PIRRONE

I see you are looking to take over the property I have owned for 17 years on the East Fork of the Lewis River. You would be crossing the river 2 times on this route. We have Bald Eagle nests along this portion and see them fish every day. Also the steel head and salmon runs and spawning. I would advise you to choose a different route, not along the river.

---

Communication ID: 13028

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: DAVID M JOHNSON

Keep your god damned towers off of my property. I already have 1/2 of my property crossed with powerlines, you made it unusable, now you want more. My taxes remain the same. This is [explicative]. Keep that tower off of my property or we will have lawsuit issues. I am not kidding.

---

Communication ID: 13029

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: CHRIS BALSKE

Hello my name is Chris Balske, I'm an out-of-state property owner of a home on 227th avenue. We have received the project update, the I-5 Corridor Project update. My address in is Ohio is [address]. Just wondering if you sent out a letter for permission to access for our property. My cell phone- I'd like a phone call please, if possible- area code [phone] thanks, I see the email address and I'll shoot you an email as well. Bye now.

---

Communication ID: 13030

Date Received: 11/12/2010

Name: WILLIAM E MOYER

Subject: Longview Columbia Powerline Crossing Question - Pearl Route Implications

At the joint Clark-Cowlitz County Commissioners special meeting Thursday night, BPA Administrator and I-5 Reinforcement Project decision maker Steven Wright stated that one of the primary engineering problems with the Pearl Route (indeed any Oregon route) was the crossing of the Columbia River at Longview.

That prompted my asking for BPA to explain why an additional third 500 kV powerline crossing was necessary. The answer to that question will be interesting. There are interesting possibilities here. Details follow.

It appears that a relatively simple set of changes to the existing 500 kV BPA lines in the Longview vicinity, and of the present Columbia multiple powerline crossing at Longview, would permit BPA to eliminate the present 500 kV powerline reliability problem there, with no need to run an additional 500 kV line across the Columbia for a Pearl Route. Effectively, the existing BPA lines (with a little re-routing) would suffice within the existing BPA river-crossing right-of-way.

This would allow BPA to move their new 500 kV reinforcement northern tie-point from their proposed new Castle Rock substation down south to the existing Alston substation in Oregon instead. No new station at Castle Rock would be required.

The proper I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project option comparisons would then be between the Alston-Pearl Routes (with minor modifications to existing Longview-vicinity powerline infrastructure) and the Castle Rock-Troutdale Routes (with new Castle Rock and Troutdale substations and a new Troutdale Columbia River crossing).

The reliability problem quite simply is that BPA presently has 2 adjacent parallel 500 kV lines running from a point a few miles west of Castle Rock (where the parallel 230 kV line from the north veers off) south to Longview and across the Columbia (in the existing BPA multi-line right-of-way) and then down to the Alston substation in Oregon, with a single 500 kV line routed south from Alston down to the Pearl substation.

-Adjacent lines, like those from (west of) Castle rock to Alston, must be treated pairwise as common failure points in the power grid for reliability purposes and hence suffer de-rating of their allowed electric current carrying capacity, making them less useful to the power grid.

To solve the 500 kV BPA power grid reliability problem at issue requires an additional 500 kV line from either the Pearl substation or a new Troutdale substation to a Longview-vicinity northern tie-point to the two 500 kV powerlines running south from Centralia.

If the present routing from (west of) Castle Rock to the Columbia and from the Columbia to Alston had a few hundred feet greater separation distance between the two existing 500 kV lines going down those few miles to Alston, then except for the present Columbia powerline crossing itself, there would be no 500 kV reliability issue. It would not be necessary for BPA to de-rate their electric current carrying capacity as they are presently required to do.

Crossing the Columbia is not as cut and dried an issue as BPA has presented it. There is, I think, an engineering alternative which has not been given due consideration.

BPA presently has a number of powerlines crossing the Columbia at Longview, in addition to the two adjacent parallel 500 kV lines at issue. So it seems likely that some re-arrangement of the multiple BPA powerlines crossing the river there, some re-purposing or modification of the existing towers or lines, or possibly some replacing of single-line towers with multi-line towers of similar size would enable BPA to sufficiently separate the two 500 kV powerlines from each other within the existing BPA multi-line right-of-way. From a reliability perspective, the adjacent powerline pairs at risk from a single catastrophic event would not then be the two 500 kV powerlines.

The Columbia River crossing problem and Longview-vicinity reliability problem then being solved, the remaining part of the problem is the Alston-pearl Route, which should be reconsidered by the BPA Administrator and added into the mix of I-5 Corridor Reinforcement options carried through the full NEPA process. It is conceivable, that an Alston-Pearl Route (with Longview-vicinity modifications) may even be more cost effective (and quite-possibly less expensive) than any of the proposed Troutdale Routes. New substations aren't free, and the Troutdale Routes all require two. At worst, an Alston-Pearl route should only require some limited expansion of those existing substations.

Working out the details and the trade-offs is in-large part what the NEPA process should be for.

William E. Moyer

---

Communication ID: 13031

Date Received: 11/12/2010

Name: GEORGE RAITER

Liz Klumpp had a conversation with the Chairman [Raiter] and then followed up with this response with copies of the June 2002 NIEHS report on EMF and BPA's two EMF brochures.

---

Communication ID: 13032

Date Received: 10/26/2010

Name: VICENTE MOLINOS

Maryam,

Would you please help us verify and complete the voltages and year built for the existing segments as numbered in BPA's August, 2010 map?

Thanks,

Vicente Molinos.

fig 1. Line Selection Table (being completed).

[Figure 1 included]

---

Communication ID: 13033

Date Received: 10/29/2010

Name: PAT NORRIS

Pat Norris called from [phone] on 10/29/10. Wants to talk about the project.

---

Communication ID: 13034

Date Received: 10/22/2010

Name: CHERYL KAY BRANTLEY

1. Why can't your planning team tell us what routes are most favorable?
2. Why don't you drop the routes now that you know you cannot use?

3. Can't you come up with 21st Century technology and be more sensible with this project Don't destroy thousands of acres of pristine wilderness and one of the last rural areas close to a major metropolis in the Northwest. What will our country look like in 40 years or even 80 years, if you continue to business as usual??

4. Is the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project being implemented now so that it will be able to push the huge power generation from the "World's Largest Wind Project" being sent to California?

5. Since California is mandated now to receive 20% from renewable resources and this number increases to 33% in 2020 (the highest in the nation), how much wind power is predicted to go to California from the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement project?

6. Since Oregon is mandated to receive 25% of green energy now, how much wind power is predicted to go to Oregon?

7. Since Washington is only mandated to receive 15% of green energy now, why should we carry the burden? Oregon and California should carry the burden of this project and this project clearly should not be in Washington.

8. If you do put this project in Washington, we are asking that you do not destroy our beautiful rural communities. We bought our land knowing there was only trees and beauty, no powerline easements are in our titles. We are asking that you use your existing rights of way, lines 9, 25, to Ross, Camas and on to Troutdale.

It's simple- There are NO environmental impacts along these routes.

In addition to listed questions

We need this information without having to submit a FOIA

1. Population numbers along all routes – gross and along reference points

2. Environmental impacts – Fish/Wildlife – Before the draft EIS you should already have this information and we shouldn't have to wait for it.

---

Communication ID: 13035

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: WILLIAM BANGS

Yeah hi this is William Bangs. My phone number is [phone]. I called about a week ago and asked if somebody could tell me how I could find out where the proposed routes were so that I could find out if either of them go across my property. My property address is [address]. I've got no response. This is typical. I am not very happy. I gave permission to enter my property and all this stuff but I can't get

anybody to call me back or give me any of the information when I ask for it. So, please call me back [phone]. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 13036

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: PETE COMELLA,KAREN J COMELLA

This is the second letter I have written regarding the proposed BPA route. After looking at your maps and typing in my address and checking my parcel shape I find my address is located OUTSIDE the proposed route and the parcel shape is INSIDE the proposed route. How can I be sure which is correct? The shaded area for route doesn't say how wide the swatch of land to be taken is or where the the proposed towers fall within that shape. I would like an answer by e-mail. I have felt very strongly after attending the meeting in Longview and about having the power lines so close to our home. The setting of our house is very unique with trees all around us and a creek in our front yard. This kind of property location is not available any longer. As my husband and I are retired and no longer in the work force, our only possible source of income would be to rent our other small cabin on the property overlooking the creek in the front yard to people who want to come to such a natural setting. The other considerations are the effects of having power lines so close to where our grandchildren could be exposed to them. Please consider the other route to the East. Please respond. Karen and Pete Comella [address]

---

Communication ID: 13037

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: KIT K KANEKOA

We are concerned about how we will be impacted by this project. Our neighbors are telling us that their homes are in the direct path for one of the proposed sights and will have to move. We are wondering where our home falls on the map for the project and if we will be on the list of those needing to move. If we were sent information earlier, we no longer have it as a reference. Thank you for any info/help you can pass along.

---

Communication ID: 13038

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: ANDREW MASON

Maryam spoke with [Andy Mason] on 11/15. [He] wanted to know when we will have maps updated with center lines and tower locations. I let [him] know, the updates should be out by the end of this week.

---

Communication ID: 13039

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: GERALD SORRELL

Maryam spoke with [Gerald Sorrell] on 11/15. [He] wanted to know when we will have maps updated with center lines and tower locations. I let [him] know, the updates should be out by the end of this week.

---

Communication ID: 13040

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: JANET WONG LIU

I understand that the segment "O" of this project would permanently damage recreation opportunities in the Silver Star area. I am very distressed by this possibility. Silver Star has one of the premier spring wild flowers in the Columbia Gorge area. I have been hiking this destination every spring for the last 7 years. The flowers and then the view from the summit has given our hiking group so much pleasure, excitement, and awe for the beauty in the Pacific Northwest. Please consider the permanent damage that would be done and that would not be available to future generations. Please let's leave our children and grandchildren some of the beauty of today to appreciate.

---

Communication ID: 13041

Date Received: 7/28/2010

Name: MARGARET A HENDRIX

Your website doesn't accept comments.

[web print out attached]

---

Communication ID: 13042

Date Received: 11/17/2010

Name: WILLIAM BANGS

My property has a gas line, (Beaver Creek Gas Line) that runs across our property. How does that affect the power transmission route? Thanks

---

Communication ID: 13043

Date Received: 10/30/2010



Name: (ILLEGIBLE) (ILLEGIBLE),(ILLEGIBLE) (ILLEGIBLE),ALBERT J ARVIDSON,ED BUCKNER,MARLANE BUCKNER,ANAMARIA CAMPBELL,PAUL CAMPBELL,DENNIS CONNOR,DARLA ENG,JANALL FANSON,CHRIS GILBERT,DAVE HAFER,TODD A HALEY,DAVID HICKEY,KRISTI HICKEY,MARG HUSTON,AMY JASKOVIK,P

Dear Mr. Wright,

The attached letter includes signatures that represent only a portion of property owners along Segment 35. There will be more, but we wanted to give you an interim letter for your review in view of some of the recent conflicting statements made by BPA.

As the letter indicates, there are significant environmental, social, and cost-related reasons to take the balance of Segment 35 off the table. You have the opportunity to do the right thing in removing this segment.

FYI, a copy of this was also sent to Clark County Commissioners.

Regards,

Segment 35 Property Owners

Re: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Segment 35

Dear Mr. Wright,

BPA recently released revised route maps related to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement project. BPA added several new segments and removed several original segments, including a portion of the original Segment 35. BPA has not explained why this segment is one of the wider segments still under consideration.

A quick review of the remaining portion of Segment 35 indicates the following:

1. East or Center Section - A route through the East or Center section of Segment 35 will impact numerous homeowners, including likely condemnation of several homes. The terrain in the portion of Segment 35 is no more (probably less) accessible than the northern portion of Segment 35 which was removed based on issues of terrain according to BPA. A route through the East or Center section of Segment 35 would also have a significant impact on salmon and steelhead habitat due to the watershed involving the Little Washougal River, the East Fork of the Little Washougal, and Boulder Creek. Such a route would involve multiple river crossings. Attached is a photo of a posting on a tree where NE Boulder Creek Road crosses Boulder Creek. It indicates a project by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Lower Columbia Fish Enhancement Group. This entire watershed drains into the Washougal River at Blair Road. Extensive clearing by BPA in this watershed and the associated sediment and increased herbicide use by BPA would severely damage endangered fish habitat that BPA is charged to protect and enhance in keeping with its mission statement.

2. West section - A route through the West section of Segment 35 would clearly impact more homes directly by condemnation based on the density of 5-10 acre home sites. This route would be prohibitively expensive in comparison to other routes under consideration by BPA and would displace numerous homeowners. Again, the headwaters of the Little Washougal River are located in the area of segment 35, so the downstream impact of destroying those headwaters would have impact on salmon and steelhead runs in the vicinity of the Washougal River.

3. Finally, one reason cited by BPA for dropping consideration of the Oregon route was the lack of "workable rights of way". Segment 35 is not an existing right of way.

We see no compelling reason for BPA to further consider the balance of Segment 35 and, in fact, recognize many compelling reasons not to consider Segment 35. This letter, with attachments including citizen's signatures, is a formal request to remove Segment 35 from further consideration for the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

Regards,

The Undersigned Property Owners of Segment 35

Name Address

Todd Haley [address]

Please Sign Full Sheet

Stream Nutrient Enhancement Project

This is a cooperative study with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and Lower Columbia Fish Enhancement Group (LCFEG).

This project will benefit stream life and other watershed inhabitants through the placement of salmon carcasses in the stream. Decaying salmon carcasses are known to help increase salmon/steelhead juvenile growth rates, body size, improve fish conditions, improve overwhelming survival, and ultimately increase marine survival.

Please do not remove or displace any salmon carcasses in this stream.

For more information or to volunteer go to [www.lefeg.org](http://www.lefeg.org)

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Lower Columbia Fish Enhancement Group

---

Communication ID: 13044

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: BARBARA KING

Greetings!

I just received the most upsetting news. I heard that the magnificent area around Silver Star Mountain is in jeopardy.

I hate to spend time in a car and ride my bike nearly everywhere I go in Portland. But, once a year, I take a deep breath and set out for the drive to Silver Star. It's the most beautiful hike in the area in my opinion (It would be fine if you would improve the road leading to the trail head) But, I implore you to leave the trail and viewpoint and wild flowers alone!!

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara King, hiker

---

Communication ID: 13045

Date Received: 11/17/2010

Name: ROBERT BOYD

I urge the committee to visit Yale Lake now while the water level is down. There are multiple areas to cross the lake at the northern end. A tower placed on the ground while the water is slow would greatly reduce the span across the lake. Route O could then be extended north to the north end of the lake and route K moved north to meet route O. This would bypass the higher concentration of residents in the Yale Valley. Thank you for your consideration.

---

Communication ID: 13046

Date Received: 11/17/2010

Name: DAVE ROSS

Yes, good morning, my name is David Ross, R-O-S-S. And my phone number is area code [phone]. And I'm calling in connection with line 24, which, apparently, is off the table, but I have not received releases, and I may be interested in selling the property, and I need somebody to call me and to discuss this issue. I would appreciate it, thank you.

---

Communication ID: 13176

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: PHYLLIS LIGHTNER

I'm writing because I'm concerned about the proposed power lines that may run right through my neighborhood - less than a block away from my house. Not only will the value of my property be negatively impacted, but the potential health issues are huge. In fact, most realtors are not even showing houses in my neighborhood right now because of the potential for these new lines. That means that property owners like me may have no way to sell our houses and no option for escape if BPA moves

ahead with plans to build these towers in our neighborhoods. There has been lots of talk about avoiding areas because of environmental impacts. What about the Impact to human lives - why is that not just as important, If not more important than protecting environmental and wildlife areas? The people of Washington have suffered enough from the economic issues of the past couple years. Our property values are already depressed, and this project is only adding to that pain. In addition, these lines are being built primarily for the benefit of people and businesses in other states, and if they are built where currently proposed, at great cost to the citizens of Washington state. Power lines for public power should be built on public land in un populated areas, not in our neighborhoods where our families live or near schools where our children spend the majority of their days. We're asking for the same consideration you give to environmental and wildlife concerns, and we're asking that you consider the human cost of building these power lines in our communities. In fact, we insist that other options be seriously considered and only eliminated because of real issues that CAN NOT be overcome, not because other options are cheaper for BPA or even for the end consumers of the power that will be generated. Don't disrupt our lives and risk the health of our families and our financial well being just because it would be cheaper to build on an existing route. WE ARE IMPORTANT TOO!!

---

Communication ID: 13177

Date Received: 11/12/2010

Name: PHYLLIS LIGHTNER

I'm writing because I'm concerned about the proposed power lines that may run right through my neighborhood - less than a block away from my house, Not only will the value of my property be negatively impacted, but the potential health issues are huge. In fact, most realtors are not even showing houses in my neighborhood right now because of the potential for these new lines. That means that property owners like me may have no way to sell our houses and not option for escape if BPA is not stopped. They talk about avoiding areas because of environmental impacts. What about the impact to human lives - why is that not just as important, if not more important than environmental and wildlife areas? The people of Washington have suffered enough from the economic issues of the past couple years. Our property values are already depressed, and this project is only adding to that pain. We need you to stand up for the people of Washington and let BPA know that routing new power lines through populated areas is not acceptable and will not be tolerated. Please --- stand up for your constituents on this important issue. Don't let them take the easy way out by refusing to look at other options, especially when the lines they are building are for the benefit of the residents of Oregon and California.

---

Communication ID: 13178

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: G SCOTT LOWE

I live in the Highlands neighborhood and I don't, DO NOT, want power lines near or close to my neighborhood or school. Find another access route for BPA on public lands.

---

Communication ID: 13179

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: LYNN HUFSCHMID

Dr. Steven Chu

Please support homeowners, families and children in an effort to make sure BPA puts up public lines on public property. One line consideration would destroy thousands of homes property values and would be very near schools. This is wrong. I am asking for your support in this effort to make sure our homes maintain value and our children are not near these high voltage lines that are so dangerous. We urge you to support us in keeping the lines out of highly populated areas.

Thank you,

Lynn Hufschmid

---

Communication ID: 13180

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: BECKY DAVIS

Please listen to the people and put the lines on public land. We are very concerned about the health issues of 24 hour exposure and also our property values.

---

Communication ID: 13181

Date Received: 11/9/2010

Name: KEN M KRAISLER

Dear Secretary

Re: BPA I-5 Corridor Project/Clark County.

Please steer BPA away from placing their 500 kV lines on private citizen land and instead place them on public DNR lands. The lines should be placed on or near the 0 option on public property. There is no reason to diminish or take private citizen property.

I have been struggling with BPA for over a year now.

Ken Kraiser

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13182

Date Received: 11/9/2010

Name: NADA GOHEEN-ESCUE

We are Washingtonians! We live in northern Clark County, there are not many places that are this beautiful and serene and we inhabitants are good stewards of the land. Many of us moved out here knowing that our commute would be long and the property expensive. That is okay, because we planned on using our homes and properties for our retirement. They are our savings.

We are veterans, farmers, blue collar, white collar, students, ranchers, but the one thing we are together is Americans!

During the last two years we have seen our jobs disappear, our companies down size, and we have been out sourced.

Due to the lack of congressional oversight in the investment banking and insurance industries we have been devastated. Our properties have been devalued and some of us have lost our homes. Our homes and our land are that, our homes. They were something else as well. We had sunk everything we had into this land. This is our last hope and our retirements.

The crimes of Wall Street have hammered our home values. Many of us are now upside down in our mortgages. We need a hand up. Now comes the government utility BPA (The Bonneville Power Administration). They are going to confiscate the land and pay us depressed values only if the 15 story towers actually touch our land. These monstrosities will not only harm the land they exist on, but the views of this pristine country where so many of us have worked our lives, stretched our budgets and loans just to have the opportunity to live here.

Eminent Domain is a form of legalized stealing, and the power lines it will bring will ruin our country. It will ruin the views, the water, and most of all it will ruin families and people that rely on their land for their very existence.

BPA has been jumping through the legal hoops to take the land. Public hearings are for naught. BPA knows where they want the lines, and they will run them regardless of the meetings, and the public forums. These are just exercises, public perceptions that are sold to show due consideration. This is a sham, another t crossed and l dotted, a box checked. A legal benchmark met.

Why can't the lines be routed to the east, away from the populated areas? Well private citizens are easier to deal with than the government entities, such as the DNR, and other government lands. They won't consider a route to the west either, which is closer too or over their existing right of way, because it is easier to take our land than it is to have rules changed to use existing right of ways.

Tell me where the honesty and integrity in our government is when now we are desperate and fighting to save our homes and lives and now we are doubly penalized by not even being able to sell our homes for a pittance, now that we have to, to eat or to move to new jobs, simply because now we are required

to disclose the possibility of transmission lines of the 50,000 volt type being within close proximity to our homes. This is the case for the last year and a half and it will be the same for the next 2 years.

Please tell me how this is American, how it is reasonable, and how this can happen under your watch. Please tell us why we are being singled out to carry the burden on our shoulders as though we don't have enough weight on them as is.

What is right about this, and where does the money lead? California, Canada, wind farms in Eastern Washington.

Please, please do something for us.

Pleading for your help,

---

Communication ID: 13183

Date Received: 11/9/2010

Name: STEPHEN C RAPALUS

I live in SW Washington and am about to retire on a rural lot. The BPA has developed a new set of lines that come very close to my place. I moved there years ago to get away from things like that. Put the lines on DNR land far away from people... please!

Steve Rapalus

---

Communication ID: 13184

Date Received: 11/9/2010

Name: CARLOS PONT

Dear Dr. Chu,

I am a neighbor of SW Washington and I live close to the BPA's potential project to build 500kV lines, 15 story towers in populated areas.

My family and I are really concerned about the potential effects of these massive structures may have in our health and in the value of our properties. As a side effect, but not less important, a decrease of the value of our homes will lead in a decrease of the taxes that we should be contributing to the State. Therefore, this will definitely affect the quality of our public education system. We want our schools to be a good and safe place for our children, our house being a valuable part our assets for the future and we want to remain healthy to enjoy the fruits of our labor and see our children grow safe and well educated.

With this letter I encourage you to help SW Washington residents by encouraging Mr. Wright to decide to build all these lines in non populated areas (Eastern routes).

Truly yours,

Carlos Pont

---

Communication ID: 13185

Date Received: 11/9/2010

Name: CINDI HOGAN,DELL HOGAN

Dr. Steven Chu,  
U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC .

Dr. Chu:

19 years ago, we bought our current house in a neighborhood (The Highlands in Pleasant Valley - Salmon Creek) in north Vancouver, WA.

We bought it because 1. Vancouver School Dist. 2. Location, and 3. Part of our retirement portfolio.

My wife and I are now retired, and are empty nesters. That's the good news. The other part of our scenario is the retirement income that, potentially, will not meet our needs if we cannot sell our house in the coming years 5-10.

The reason is that BPA is thinking seriously of erecting 15 story "Power Towers" in our backyard, even though we were in the neighborhood first.

We also understand that this power line is needed to help with the added population growth and need, in Oregon and California. I'm at a loss to understand "why" a large company, Bonneville Power, can come in and "take away" a family's retirement. Is this fair to you? We have a few houses in this neighborhood, currently on the market for sale, yet the real estate agents are not actively showing the homes, because of the pending transmission line issue. No one wants to purchase a house in a neighborhood that could have 15 story towers in their back yard. Would you?

We have attended many meetings and listened to BPA tell us that they want to "make the right decision" and find the most appropriate route to help with the new growth in Ore/Cal. But, there has to be a more appropriate route, such as "non populated" areas in Central Washington. They tell us that it is more expensive for them to erect towers in this area, or that it is within environment sensitive areas. That tells me that they/US is more concerned with money and protecting fish and wildlife, than they are with their own people.

Bottom line.....It's not right to take away someone's retirement savings, in order to save dollars and wildlife. There are thousands of homeowners/voters in this area of proposed power line, and we all feel the same way. It's not fair to come in and place 15 story towers in "populated areas". We were here first. Do you agree? I would certainly hope so.



Sincerely

Dell and Cindi Hogan  
Vancouver Washington

---

Communication ID: 13186

Date Received: 11/10/2010

Name: JESSIE MOHANDESSI

Dear Dr. Steven Chu,

The BPA is threatening the Vancouver community with installing huge power lines that run right through our city. My home is very near these power lines. This would be devastating, economically for our community. Home values would plummet even more, and no one want to live next to huge power lines. We are already thought of as a suburb of Portland with very few people taking an interest in us as a part of Washington. We are overlooked.

Many other states have laws regarding how close transmission lines can be to schools and the ones they want to put here and with in a hairs breath of many here. I am concerned about the heath of our children and teachers and worried that our already stale economy will not recover from this.

Please take an interest in Vancouver, stick up for us and keep these power lines on public land not in our backyards.

Sincerely,

Jessie Mohandessi

---

Communication ID: 13187

Date Received: 11/10/2010

Name: GEORGE T DILL

Dr Chu, We here in Clark and Cowlitz Counties Washington are being impacted by a devious scheme to usurp our beautiful homesites for a "500kV reinforcement project" which is not required in our area and appears to benefit metropolitan Portland OR and California. The BPA has launched a propaganda and harrassment campaign which impacts over 10,000 private landowners, and renders them almost powerless to now sell their homes and later to overcome reduced value due to the power lines. It is rumored you are "fast tracking" this, and have allowed the local administrator to not consider the direct route along I-5 highway into Portland. BPA has refused to use federal lands eastward out of populated areas.

This BPA looks more like a German Panzer Division than a public servant. This project is a failure in public relations and credibility. Please do yourself a favor by reigning in this mess and using common sense.

Yours truly GT Dill Battle Ground WA [phone number]

---

Communication ID: 13188

Date Received: 11/11/2010

Name: JOHN DOMBEK III

Dear Mr. Chu,

As a homeowner along BPA's power lines in Southwest Washington I urge you to consider an alternate route east of Clark County, Washington or Oregon to build the proposed new line. I simply don't understand why the choice would be made to take people's homes when there is an opportunity to build in an area where there are mostly wooded area's.

Thanks for your consideration

---

Communication ID: 13210

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: BOB ZUMSTEIN SR

Steve Wright  
BPA Administrator  
Portland, OR  
November 15, 2010

Mr. Wright:

I have not had the pleasure of meeting you, but hope some day to have that opportunity.

I know the decisions you have to make are not easy, nor do you take that responsibility lightly.

Out of respect, I wanted to send this letter to you and your staff before I submitted it to the local papers and appropriate political heads.

"Please enter this in the record".

Thank you.

Respectfully yours

Bob Zumstein Sr.  
[phone]  
[address]

I am Robert Zumstein Sr and we have an 85 acre tree and cattle farm in the Hayes district, 5 miles out of Woodland, that is not effected by any of these power line options. I am here to support my fellow tree farmers and others who are being impacted in a negative way by this project, be they in Clark or Cowlitz County.

I am not opposed to the condemnation of private property by our government if its necessary, as without that we would have no railroads, interstate highways, major airports, etc. What I am opposed to is the taking of that property, without just compensation. Bonneville Power Administration's offer of a one time payment, at fair market value, for the acreage in that right of way is not just compensation, but falls far short.

A comparison can be made in the long-ago unjust treatment of our Native American's by our government. Could we get away with treating them that way today? This new power line to be built is going to serve tens of millions of people and hundreds of thousands of businesses over the next 200 years. Like the Indians, those few who are directly effected are being saddled with that loss so that the masses can enjoy adequate uninterrupted power.

The private sector, generating that power with wind turbines, pay the farmers on an annual lease basis, and they can still use most of that land to grow their normal crops, or graze livestock. In addition the landowners receive a royalty for every kilowatt generated. Is not the transporting of that power to major substations just as important as its generation. Just because BPA is a government entity does not give them the moral right to take advantage of their eminent domain powers. BPA is operating as a business and there is no reason why they should not match what the private business sector is paying.

I have heard people ask, "What about our property valuation loss? What about our peace of mind?" The answer is always the same, "Oh, BPA can't consider that, the law only allows us to pay fair market value." I believe the old law that was created and put into effect in 1937 to enable BPA to build electric transmission lines across our country was written in favor of that government entity and today is grossly inadequate and outdated. I say we need to seek out that old law, dust it off, then put the powers that be busy changing it to reflect realistic compensation, something that's fair, just, and relevant to today's business world. This open and well publicized meeting is a testimony to the change we're looking for in this obscure law.

Of all the giveaway programs our government is involved in today, for those who produce little, it's a real slap in the face to those outstanding, taxpaying citizens, whom own the right of way you need to cross. I'm asking you please step up to the plate and allow the rewriting of that law to correct this injustice.

Thank You,

Robert Zumstein Sr.

---

Communication ID: 13211

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: EVELYN SCHNOEBELEN,YARO SCHNOEBELEN

Mr. Steven Wright  
Administrator & CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright,

We want to thank you for attending the meeting on November 4, 2010 that the Clark County and Cowlitz Counties in Washington hosted. We were interested in hearing your arguments for not looking at the route through Oregon for the new BPA lines that you are planning to build, known as the Bonneville Power Administration I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project.

Let us be clear when we emphasize that we firmly believe that no new lines should be built in or over populated areas. The majority of the routes that you have suggested and are looking at are in and over densely populated Clark and Cowlitz Counties.

When you look on a map, while sitting at your desk or a conference table, at the present right of way that the BPA owns it would appear that there is extra room on your right of way that is not utilized by the present 230K line with 80 foot tall towers. Thus it should not make a big difference if the new line were added for the 500K line with 150 foot tall towers. That would be a vastly incorrect assumption. The present 230K lines and 80 foot tall towers that pass through Southwest Washington are heavily screened along most of the route by tall evergreen trees and lower trees and shrubs. Even when you drive through the area there are few places where the towers and lines are visible. If you should add the 500K lines with 150 foot tall towers it would mean cutting all of the existing trees and vegetation which grow on your right of way resulting in the present towers and lines plus the new much taller towers and lines being clearly visible with no screening. This swath cuts through southwest Washington which is densely populated and would be an insult to all the people who live in the area and travel through the area. We value our beautiful trees and landscaping. We do not want this ugly construction in our area or any populated area. You do have some choices. You have chosen to not even consider going through rural areas in Oregon. You still have a lot of open areas east of the densely populated southwest Washington where if you place the new lines very few people would be affected. The farther east you go the fewer people you affect.

We are hoping that you will consider the terrible result it will make for thousands of people if you use your present right of way for the new 500 K lines. The loss in property value, loss in taxes for schools, loss in quality of life for people in their own homes and the health problems associated with the EMF emitted by those electrical lines all are serious results of your decision. Just one of those results should be enough to cause you to decide on an alternate route for the new line, a route that in not through any populated areas.

It might be a little cheaper to use the present right of way; however, all those property owners are paying the taxes that will help fund this new project. Then those same people will pay the rates established by BPA to pay for the electricity. Why must those same people also pay with their barnes, their quality of life, and the health of themselves and their children?

Sincerely,

Yaro Schnoebelen  
Evelyn I. Schnoebelen  
[address]

cc. Steve Stuart, Chairman Board of Commissioners, Clark County, WA  
cc. Dr. Steven Chu, US. Department of Energy

---

Communication ID: 13214

Date Received: 11/12/2010

Name: DONALD E YOUNG,DR SHARON YOUNG

Donald and Dr. Sharon Young  
[address]  
[email]

November 12, 2010

Dr. Steven Chu  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave., SW  
Washington, DC 20585

Dear Dr. Chu:

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. We are strongly opposed to 500kV transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington.

The citizens of our community continue to be active against these mega power lines being constructed in heavily populated areas, and we have the support of many of our elected officials. We implore you to protect the citizens of SW Washington and NOT site the 500kV transmission lines for public power in our populated areas, but place them on public land.

Our home is one of the parcels within the BPA notification buffer, along Segment 9. We are in limbo not knowing if our home is going to be taken by Eminent Domain or if we will have an uncompensated easement placed on our property. We do not want to lose our home. We do not want to have the

uncompensated financial impact of living immediately next to 500 kV power lines. We do not want to accept the full unknown long term health risks of living so close to these high power transmission lines.

No 500 kV lines have ever been built this close to homes, so how can the health safety be proven with any certainty? What would happen if a pattern of serious health concerns are identified years down the road? What would happen to all those people living or attending schools next to the power lines? What would be the health and economic effects on our community and the state?

BPA claims that running these lines in the eastern most areas of SW Washington, through DNR lands would be significantly more costly, between \$50 and \$69 million dollars to the project. BPA spends \$800 million EVERY YEAR on fish and wildlife but is not willing to spend \$50 to \$69 million ONE TIME ONLY on human life? We don't oppose BPA wanting to construct these new transmission lines to generate a greater profit, but we do oppose the citizens of SW Washington having to pay the price with their health and wallets for the benefit of the BPA and other states.

We plead with you to put pressure on the BPA to build the transmission lines further east in less populated areas. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sharon Young  
Donald Young

---

Communication ID: 13215

Date Received: 11/15/2010

Name: JOSHUA LINGO, KATHLEEN LINGO

Dr. Steven Chu  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave., SW  
Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator & CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR. 97208-3621

Dear Sirs,

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am generally not one to protest, however I feel it is important to voice my opinion about the possible harm these 500kv transmission lines could have on the individuals

living in SW Washington. It is my hope that Bonneville Power Administration will work with the community and consider routes that are located in unpopulated areas away from the public.

I am a homeowner living in the proposed pathway of the transmission line route. I have two young children whose health and safety are the most important thing to me. I have been to several meetings in which employees of BPA have stated that there is no evidence that supports the idea that electromagnetic fields (EMF) can cause harm to individuals. However, there are several studies that suggest electromagnetic fields are in fact correlated to various health conditions including: high blood pressure, cancer, migraine headaches, fibromyalgia, asthma, ADHD, and brain tumors. Dr. Samuel Milham, a retired epidemiologist, is just one of the many in his field of research that also agrees with the link between electromagnetic radiation emitted from power lines and cancer.

Whether or not, there is hard evidence to support BPA's opinion of the effects of EMF, there is a very negative perception of this colorless, odorless substance and there is no amount of propaganda that will change the minds of the many people affected by these power lines. I know that putting these power lines in a different area or even underground will cost BPA more money. However, I feel that doing the right thing, even if it cost more money, is the best thing to do. Money can always be recovered, but that is not always the case for the health of our family members.

The decrease in property values due to the proposed 500kv power lines will also have a adverse affect on the homes of those individuals living near the power lines. We are in such a difficult economic time right now and I do not feel that there is any argument that justifies placing a large financial burden on the people that live in the pathway of the proposed power lines.

I know that my husband and I, along with thousands of other individuals of SW Washington are opposed to the I-5 Corridor Project and hope that BPA will do the ethical and responsible thing by placing these potentially dangerous power lines away from populated areas.

Sincerely,

Kathleen and Joshua Lingo

---

Communication ID: 13247

Date Received: 7/17/2010

Name: LEROY JOHNSTON

Mr. Wright:

I have a 260-acre dairy farm which has been in my family for over 120 years. It has 6 towers on it and is located north of Lacamas Lake in segment 50. Perhaps you have flown over it in your helicopter.

The existing power line was built through the property in 1940 and over the past 70 years my family has had an excellent relationship with BPA personnel from the brush cutters to the herbicide sprayers, to the tower maintenance people, to the danger-tree fallers. Our relationship has always been mutually

positive and considerate and I am surprised that the BPA, being an organization that I have respected, would consider running a 500-kV line through a densely populated area in Clark County that would negatively impact several thousand people for many years to come when you have an alternative route further east which would satisfy the goals you are trying to achieve.

Respectfully submitted,

Leroy Johnston  
[address]  
Segment 50

---

Communication ID: 13248

Date Received: 7/15/2010

Name: MARY JOHNSTON

I have been reading about the possible affects of EMFs on the body. Though the harmful affects have not yet been conclusively proven, there is reason to believe prolonged exposure does cause serious health issues.

The home my husband and I have to retire to is located close to your proposed line on section 50.

The issue for me is if you build the 500 kV line near my home now and it is found conclusively in the future that EMFs do cause illness, the lines will already be there and we will be stuck having to try to survive the best we can with illness and medical bills that won't stop until we run out of money or die. I'm not willing to take that gamble. As long as there are less populated alternatives it shouldn't be necessary.

Mary Johnston  
[address]  
Segment No. 50

---

Communication ID: 13249

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: MERLE CISNEY

My retirement, health and medical assets are tied up in the acreage that I live on. This acreage is close to the existing powerline and much closer to the proposed new powerline. This would have a very serious effect on the marketability of my land, and therefore would have a serious effect on my options for retirement and on the ability of my children to manage any health issues that might arise for me.



In addition to the above comments, I find it very difficult to see the scenic value of 150' towers near my home. I strongly urge you to move the proposed new power line to some route farther from my location.

There are many others close by who are troubled by your positioning of this new power line.

Merle E. Cisney  
[address]  
Segment No. 50

---

Communication ID: 13250

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: JUDY GLASS, DAVID GLASS

To: Steve Wright/CEO/Administrator

Dear Mr, Wright:

Many years ago a wise rabbi gave a sermon on mental stress, To this day (and I am now a senior citizen) I have never forgotten his words: When a situation or an event occurs that is a cause for personal stress, ask yourself a simple question, "Is this an inconvenience or a catastrophe?" If it is simply an inconvenience, then treat it as the small, fixable thing it is, and go about resolving the situation, Result: No stress. What if the event is a catastrophe? What do you do about three homes a stone's throw from 15 story towers? Our son bought his home on [address] in 1999; our daughter and her husband followed (2 blocks from our son on [address]). My husband and I made the move up here in the summer of 2005; we have a gorgeous view of the WSU campus, Mt. Hood, Mt. Adams and Mt. St. Helens. We do have a view of 3 of BPA's towers; however, I would think they are perhaps 30' tall? They are certainly not 15 stories tall!

In my worst nightmare, I cannot conceive how a 15 story tower will look next to those 30' towers in our Pleasant Valley as I look out my living room windows. Do you live within a stone's throw of the proposed towers, Mr. Wright? Do you have 3 small grandchildren living within 1/8 to 1/4 mile of the electromagnetic field of 740 kv? We have 2 granddaughters who are 8 years old. Our grandson, Connor, is 11 years old. Our son and daughter will not allow their children to live so close to 740 kv, yet no real estate agent will even show a home in The Highlands because it is a waste of their time-no one is interested in purchasing a home there for any price. The situation is the same for our home; prior disclosure of the towers means NO BUYERS. Our home has now become worthless, unless BPA decides to not install 15 story towers and destroy lives, property and entire life savings. Our children say they would walk away from their homes before they would permit their children to live so close to the EMF (they work in health care).

My husband and I are retired; we cannot afford to walk away from our home if BPA recklessly constructs high-voltage lines in populated areas. I am still recovering from brain tumor surgery several years ago; I

still take medication for seizures and severe headaches. My husband had heart surgery several years ago and he now has a severe anemia. I most sincerely believe that the increased EMF from 740 kv would be detrimental to our health. I implore you, as you represent BPA, to make a decision to construct additional high-voltage lines much farther east--out of Clark County in highly unpopulated areas. Please, Mr, Wright; this is a catastrophe--no mere inconvenience.

Judy and David Glass

[phone]

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13251

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: CHARLES DOWD

Dear Mr. Wright:

My family and I have resided at [address] since 1974. Our place is not just an house and a couple of acres. Its a life style that we have been able to enjoy. We have been able to raise our kids and now our grand kids on this place.

I feel putting high voltage towers through our prop. would force us to move and make home and 12 acres worthless.

I feel you are putting a few scenic acres ahead of people and their lives.

If you feel they are a good choice for the I-5 Corridor, why aren't they for the Gorge??

Sin.

Chuck Dowd and Family

[address]

Segment No. 50

---

Communication ID: 13252

Date Received: 7/19/2010

Name: JUDITH IBBS

Dear Mr. Wright,

Once again I am writing with regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I live within the triangle of segments 20, 21, & 22.

This letter comes with my continued concerns about the integrity of our federal government agencies, and the integrity of those who lead these agencies and that includes you, Mr. Wright.

In your quest to be powerful, you need to think very carefully before you make any advances to harm our health and ruin our lives.

I am not at all impressed with the bragging your people do in threatening imminent domain.

Judith Ibbs

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13254

Date Received: 7/22/2010

Name: ORGANIZATION

Presented to:

Stephen J. Wright  
BPA Administration

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

CITIZENS AGAINST THE TOWERS

IN OUR VIEW

We are not opposed to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. In fact, we understand that the transmission grid has nearly reached capacity and to better serve the greater region, BPA must add additional lines.

We are only opposed to BPA's currently proposed routes for the new 500 Kv transmission line through SW Washington. We ask BPA to construct this project away from populated areas; through public and corporate held lands or underground

If BPA selects any of the currently proposed routes....

- Property values will be severely negatively impacted for those living near the new transmission lines and towers. This adverse impact is in addition to an economy that has reduced home values by 25% + and thus far, is showing a very slow recovery.
- By furthering to suppress home values and extend market times, these lines would further hinder the badly needed economic recovery of this region. The reduction in property values will financially devastate hundreds, if not thousands of property owners; of whom many have the majority of their investments and financial future in their property.

- BPA offers NO compensation for loss of property values. This will leave many who are already struggling upside down in their mortgages and face foreclosure. BPA can afford to absorb and recover from the additional one-time cost of constructing these lines to the east. Many property owners will never recover.
- When property values are reduced, so are the tax revenues that fund our schools. Vancouver is known for its terrific public school system and like most districts around the region are already facing budget cuts. Our schools and children cannot afford to lose more funding.
- Thousands of property owner's assets are literally "frozen" until spring 2012 (Final EIS) because those who received the initial letter announcing the project must disclose it to potential buyers. Buyers are not willing to risk investing in a property that could depreciate significantly in value if this line goes in. Those who need to move due to job loss, death of a family member, illness or retirement, are literally stuck.
- Two homes in one Vancouver neighborhood alone have recently lost sales because of the pending decision by BPA. Many realtors are not showing homes in certain neighborhoods and areas around the county until this decision is made. Vancouver already has one of the highest unemployment and foreclosure rates in the region ... this project is delaying a much needed recovery.
- While the effects of EMF exposure are still under debate among the scientific community and until BPA can guarantee the health and safety of those chronically exposed, we do not want our children and families to be the guinea pigs in this debate.

Please do the right thing and construct the lines through public and corporate held lands; away from private property, schools and businesses. We will all benefit; the citizens of SW Washington, BPA, and its customers.

---

Communication ID: 13255

Date Received: 7/13/2010

Name: PATTY MURRAY

Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator  
Bonneville Power Administration  
905 NE 11th Ave  
Portland, OR 97232

Dear Administrator Wright:

I write regarding the Bonneville Power Administration's proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project, which involves the construction of a new electric transmission line from the Castle Rock area in Cowlitz County, Washington to Troutdale, Oregon.

Southwest Washington has grown considerably in the last decade, which has resulted in increased demand for electricity and related infrastructure. I understand the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) believes this project is essential to help keep the lights on for the residents of Southwest Washington while at the same time provide much-needed additional capacity for future economic growth.

At the same time, I share the concerns, particularly around route locations, that many of my constituents have raised regarding this project. I know BPA has made an effort to engage local communities through a public comment process around the Environmental Impact Statement as part of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). I appreciate that you have already responded to my earlier request and extended that comment period to ensure that more residents could participate. I encourage you to continue to take the concerns of affected residents seriously as you move forward with this process.

As you continue to narrow the viable routes, I strongly urge you to work toward identifying a path forward that impacts the least number of people in Southwest Washington as possible. Our state has long enjoyed the benefits of low-cost, reliable electricity from BPA, and I am determined to keep those benefits in place. I appreciate the role you and BPA have played as a partner in efforts to keep power rates low in Southwest Washington.

I look forward to hearing from you on the steps you will take to determine appropriate routes throughout this process and how you will work to ensure the least impact on the quality of life of individuals and families in Southwest Washington.

Sincerely,

Patty Murray,  
United States Senator

---

Communication ID: 13256

Date Received: 5/6/2010

Name: JIM MOELLER,ED ORCUTT,CRAIG PRIDEMORE,TIM PROBST,JOSEPH ZARELLI

May 6, 2010

Mr. Steve Wright  
Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Steve:

We are writing to you about the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that the Bonneville Power Administration is developing in Clark and Cowlitz Counties. While we recognize the need for enhancing

the electrical infrastructure, as the population of Clark County has risen dramatically, with a corresponding increase in demand for electricity, and the existing infrastructure has not been updated in many years. Building new electrical towers that are twice the size of the existing ones will have a huge impact on the communities of Southwest Washington and its citizens.

These impacts will be aesthetic, environmental, economic and possibly health related. Currently, the 80 foot towers are buffered by mature trees, which provide noise barriers, filter the air, and absorb ground water runoff, shelter wildlife, and provide an aesthetic benefit to homeowners nearby. The proposal to replace these with 150 foot towers, along with the loss of green space would negate all these benefits and negatively impact the homeowner's quality of life.

We have little doubt that there will be economic impacts for the homeowners along the corridor should they want to sell their property. There is a very good case to be made that the new towers will have a detrimental economic impact on local property values.

Locating the power lines in densely populated areas will also expose thousands of citizens to 500,000 volt transmission lines. We understand that 40 schools as well as hundreds of homes and businesses are located within one mile of the proposed towers. Although the effects to the health and safety of citizens who are exposed to 500,000 volt transmission lines is still under debate we think that caution is warranted.

We understand from the information provided by your agency that several options are currently being considered; one with towers near population's centers, one with towers located in rural areas, and that it is also a possibility to place the cables underground. As this plan develops, we strongly urge the Bonneville Power Administration to maximize the channels for input from citizens, local governments, business and educational organizations so that no one feels blindsided by the final plan.

We also urge you to choose the plan that will have the least impact on communities and citizens. If the final decision is made to build towers, we urge you to locate those towers away from the population centers. The welfare of citizens must be the number one factor in making the final decision.

Thank you for your consideration of this request and we await your response.

Sincerely,

Representative Jim Moeller  
Representative Tim Probst  
Representative Ed Orcutt  
Senator Craig Pridemore  
Senator Joseph Zarelli  
CC: Governor Chris Gregoire  
Congressman Brian Baird  
Senator Patty Murray  
Senator Maria Cantwell

---

Communication ID: 13257

Date Received: 6/18/2010

Name: ED BARNES,MICHELE BLACK,FRANK JR CASSIDY,CHRIS CROWLEY,DENNIS HECK

June 18, 2010

Mr. Steve Wright  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are writing in regard to Bonneville Power Administration's I-5 Corridor Transmission Reinforcement Project. As concerned citizens from the region involved with organized labor, the renewable energy industry, the private sector and organized community groups, we feel compelled to comment on this massive and important project as you complete the NEPA process.

We have given due consideration to this project, including meeting with community groups and learning a great deal from a first-rate briefing from BPA personnel.

It is clear to us that the case for adding additional transmission capacity is compelling.

Indeed, the economy of the Pacific Northwest - past, present and future - is heavily dependent on the reliable delivery of high quality, low cost, power. This must continue to be assured if we are to preserve existing jobs and develop new ones. It is important to all of us in the greater Pacific Northwest region that this project proceed. It will provide long term job growth, a critical need as our region suffers from extreme unemployment. It will further enable our power grid to more fully utilize forms of renewable energy, such as wind, that are vital to the environmental health of our region.

With that said, the NEPA process presents to you a decision about route selection through our region.

As proponents of transmission capacity expansion, we strongly urge you to add a new route as far east, and as far north as possible. -

There are a number of reasons we believe that an alternative route, one removed from the urban population, must be developed:

- Economic Impact - From testimony by home appraisers and real estate professionals (attached), it is clear home values would be materially damaged should the 500 kv line be added to the existing corridor. There is no provision in federal law to hold harmless the thousands of homeowners who would be affected. The effect of such location would mean a substantial reduction of the net assets of families, many of whom would have no means of recovery. Furthermore, FHA regulations prohibit home loan activity in "fall zones" (for any home or property that might be affected in the unlikely instance of a

toppled tower). Removing this credit option would also have an inherently suppressive affect on home values and resale speed.

- Quality of life - We admit it: if we were living next door to the existing corridor and saw a nearby tower increased in height from 80 feet to sometimes 150 feet, we would find it more than jarring. This is a foundational quality of life issue.
- Security- A corridor that is not co-located with an existing one renders our transmission less susceptible to malicious disruption. This is a possibility that unfortunately must be taken into account in this day and age.
- Practicality - As we stated at the outset, we are supporters of increasing transmission capacity. and it should happen sooner rather than later. We believe it is important to the region's economy. But we are concerned that attempting to proceed in an area with an abundance of legitimate arguments against it might, in fact substantially delay the project. We cannot afford a significant delay, especially given how close the region has come to system capacity problems in the last two years.

Additionally, should a more easterly route emerge as a viable option, we urge you to also seek to minimize impacts on any surrounding population.

Last, we respectfully ask that as you close out the NEPA process, you limit the route options to be studied to the minimum necessary and urge you to declare a preferred route that goes farther east and farther north than the current proposed alternatives. In-so doing, we believe we can have a win-win for the region, BPA and the homeowners.

Sincerely Yours.

Ed Barnes, Retired Business Manager and Financial Secretary  
IBEW #48  
Michele Black  
Citizens Against Towers  
Frank L. Cassidy Jr.  
Former member The NW Power and Conservation Council  
Chris Crowley, President  
Columbia Energy Partners  
Dennis L Heck  
Citizen

---

Communication ID: 13258

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: DALE E HILLMAN

Dear Mr. Wright,



I have been told that writing this letter is symbolic only, and that you most likely will not read it. However, I have everything to lose if BPA puts in a 15 story tower directly above my home as is proposed in your current plan. Therefore, I must try to reach out and at least know that I did all I could as an individual.

In addition to destroying the property value of my largest asset (my home), I oppose the plan to place the towers next to existing towers due to security reasons. I understand the need to develop power for the future, but placing them side-by-side allows either nature or an act of terrorism to easily wipe out that section of the grid. I would think having more options in the event of a catastrophe would be a better option.

I also do not understand how is it that an agency of the Federal Government can cause so much harm to affected property owners and yet not compensate them for what is clearly going to be a negative outcome. The federal government is currently demanding that BP (British Petroleum) compensate owners negatively affected by the oil spill in the gulf yet can turn around and make a decision that will directly destroy others by simply locating towers in populated areas. That makes no sense to me.

I also understand that the towers themselves won't even be built here in America. There is something seriously wrong with that picture.

It is hard to imagine how an administrator of the federal government can have so much power to destroy the lives of hard working people (yes I, like you, started as a G8-7 in the government and worked my way to a G8-14) with a decision that you know will devastate us (homeowners). And to top it off that power will be sold to others not in this region. Your costs are recoverable. Charge more money if necessary, just don't destroy us in the process.

Here is an idea; if you "must", and I know you don't, place power through the easement that you currently have, bury the lines. You don't have to bury them for the entire route, just through neighborhoods. I know it costs more, but you don't destroy us and you pass the cost on to those that will pay for it. So you do have other options than holding us hostage for two years.

I urge you to drop the routes that pass through populated areas and place the lines elsewhere. It seems to be the sensible thing to do.

Thank you for listening.

Dale E. Hillman

---

[phone]

Communication ID: 13259

Date Received: 5/15/2010

Name: PAM C ASHFORD

Washington Education Association (WEA)

2010 Assembly  
Spokane May 13,14,152010

New Business Item 3-PASSED

Step one-WEA President will write letter to BPA. Committee formed regarding health risks for children and impact on community property values. More to come. The entire Washington Education Association is on this. Recommendations will come from the committee for all Washington state educators to act upon.

Pam Ashford

Speech Therapist

Battleground School District

---

Communication ID: 13261

Date Received: 7/22/2010

Name: DICK RILEY

High Voltage Power Lines and Impact on Property Values

All residential properties, urban, suburban or rural depreciate. Depreciation to which residential property is subject and which must be given consideration in every residential appraisal, is divided into three categories:

- 1.) Physical deterioration
- 2.) Functional obsolescence
- 3.) Economic obsolescence

Physical deterioration and functional obsolescence deals with the dwelling and all items within its respective boundary or lot line.

Economic obsolescence is defined as loss in value arising from economic forces. It is always evidenced by conditions outside the property lines.

In case of a high voltage power line that might cross over a certain property or a large tower supporting the lines on the property is a nuisance and a potential for a hazard in the area or neighborhood. The actual presence has a negative external influence on the property's value and its marketability. In a case study of two identical properties, similar in size, age, functional utility, style and condition, one exposed to the external influence of power lines and one lacking the economic factor, one would sell for less and would take longer ( loss of money) to market. The loss of value would be estimated by doing a pared sale analysis as stated. The present market place does not have this full external influence in order to

measure the loss of value. Being mindful that all properties have their own unique amenities, each properties loss of value would have to be estimated on their individual bases.

Question: Will a high voltage power line access have a negative effect on individual properties that are exposed to it? The answer is "Yes", a loss of value and a longer marketing term is inevitable.

Dick Riley

President and Owner of Riley and Marks, Inc. Real Estate Appraisal Firm

Over 35 years of residential appraising in Southwest Washington

25 years on The Columbian Economic Forecast Panel Guest lecture (WSU and Clark College) and on any form concerning residential real estate

---

Communication ID: 13262

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: KATHY L GREENBERG

Dear Steve,

I am writing this letter to share how the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project has impacted my life regarding the line that borders our Highlands Neighborhood. We have lived in this neighborhood for almost seventeen years after moving from Portland. We made our choice based on the highly rated schools for our children and the half acre lots in a neighborhood setting. There is a lot of pride in ownership here which is evident by well kept lawns and homes. There are few homes that come up for sale and they normally sell quickly.

Did you know that realtors will not even bother showing homes in our neighborhood due to the limbo we are in while waiting for a decision on the project? Did you know that potential buyers of a house backed out of the deal after learning about the project at the community meeting at Prairie High School?

I personally became involved after learning how huge the towers/lines would be, where they would be located and how our green space would be gone. Being a visual artist, I could picture the impact and knew that only with visuals could most people understand the full visual impact of the project. I spent countless hours taking photographs of our neighborhood and others and using editing software to remove trees and add towers.

While doing this I encountered many homeowners who were ill informed about the project. In our neighborhood, only around 33 homeowners were notified that the line was proposed even though it will be visible to many more of us. People were frustrated with the maps on your website which were aerial, have no scale and didn't identify schools, neighborhoods and hospitals. Others were under the

impression that the line would run adjacent to the I-5 freeway. I did not meet one person who did not express frustration with the LACK OF INFORMATION in your community open houses.

So, I went to the county and paid for a map showing the proposed lines with schools and neighborhoods. We found that over 40,000 children attend schools within 1 mile of the lines. Many are much closer and in fact Covington Middle School's playground is located almost at the edge of the easement which would violate citing laws in many other states and public health recommendations. There are new newer homes built so close to lines I can't even imagine how they were approved.

I am not a scientist or epidemiologist but have seen enough EMF studies, particularly the studies in Denmark, to understand that there is causation particularly with childhood leukemia. To hear Doug Johnson say on local radio that the EMF from these lines are comparable to computers or a bedside radio is laughable and insulting.

I understand the need for upgrading the national grid particularly for wind power and that secure transmission lines are important for our nation's security. It seems that the security issue alone would make a desirable location for the new lines to be somewhere other than the existing easement and further from neighborhoods and schools.

I would appreciate hearing the truth about why the paths are slow as we are being told that the need is for more local power but your own documents speak of the need being for regional power transfers from Canada to the south, mainly California.

So please, consider public less densely populated land.

Sincerely,

Kathy Greenberg

—

Neighborhoods and loss of Greenspace

Many acres of trees and other native plants will be removed to make room for the 150'towers.

Many of the existing 230kV lines and 80' towers are now hidden from view by

mature trees. 500kV lines and up to 150' towers will be built east of the existing towers and centered 65' 70' feet from property lines; causing removal of acres of trees like those to the left: of the tower shown in the photo on the right.

The power lines will be 40' from backyards. Laws passed in other communities have restricted edge of easement distances to 350' away to protect children. Who is protecting the children in these homes?

[before and after images]

Artist photo simulations comparing existing 230kV towers (75'-80' tall) with proposed 500kV towers (150' tall) For exact tower see tower configuration in right-of-way at bpa.gov.

---

#### Schools, Children and Transmission Lines

Over 400,000 children attend 96 schools, preschools and daycare centers a mile or closer to the lines.

Covington Middle and Orchards Elementary are less than 1/8 mile away from the proposed 3rd lines. The electricity transmitted through these lines will be more than 960,000 volts.

The Covington Middle schoolyard to the north behind the school appears to be right on the edge of easement. This violates the Camas, WA ordinance and siting setbacks in many other states.

The setbacks for child intensive locations are: 150' from edge of easement for 230kV lines; 350' from edge of easement for 500-550kV lines.

---

Communication ID: 13264

Date Received: 8/18/2010

Name: MIKE CASSETTA

Tracking Number: ICR090023

Mike Casetta

[address]

[phone number]

[email]

Hello, I received THE letter some time ago but have been unable to reply until now as my businesses keep me too busy from Oct to Dec to do anything but work. I am the only one in my neighborhood (that I am aware of) who received this letter and the maps do not show my home's site marked for anything specific. I am in the middle of remodeling and have stopped that until I hear further from you. I am interested in finding out what my home's site is being sought after for, and at what estimated date and time a decision will be made as to when it will take effect. I have heard horror stories of BPA offering current market value which is WAY below what I currently owe on my home. Can you please explain the compensation process in the event my property were to be taken. I am not opposed to losing my home to forward growth, I just would expect to walk away clear from the mortgages. Thank you! Mike Casetta  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13265

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: STEPHAN ABRAMSON, KATHLEEN M ABRAMSON

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are writing to you with regard to the BPA's deliberations on the selection of a route for the new 500 kV transmission line to carry power between Castle Rock, Washington and Troutdale, Oregon. In particular, we wish to express our concerns about the proposed alignment popularly known as the I-5 Corridor route that would place the new transmission lines alongside the existing 230 kV lines in the right-of-way that BPA already owns.

We understand the need for erecting and maintaining facilities to provide in a safe and efficient manner for the power needs of the Pacific Northwest and the greater Western power grid. We also appreciate that, because BPA is self-supporting and is accountable to the federal Department of Energy and ultimately to the Congress of the United States, it has a responsibility to weigh cost and accessibility as primary considerations in selecting transmission routes. However, we also strongly believe that especially as a federal agency, BPA has at the very least a moral obligation to weigh the local and regional concerns that constitute the societal cost of your decisions as a factor at least as important and the direct fiscal costs, consistent with the "accountability to the region" that is the last bullet point in BPA's vision statement.

There are three primary reasons that we strongly believe BPA should abandon consideration of the I-5 Corridor route in favor of one of several more easterly routes through thinly populated regions that are mostly forest lands owned by Weyerhaeuser and the Washington State Department of Natural resources. The impact of I-5 Corridor placement of the new lines will have substantially deleterious impact on the aesthetic value of the corridor, the values of real property near the BPA right of way and secondarily to the quality of our children's education, and potentially on the health and well-being of our children and senior populations.

The extent of the aesthetic damage from 150 foot high transmission towers should not be minimized. Even in its settled areas, Clark County, with its rolling hills and elegant adjacent forested areas, is a region of considerable beauty that greatly enhances the quality of life for its residents. Because the area was rural and heavily wooded at the time of its construction, the current lower voltage line and the structures supporting it blend in relatively well to the landscape. However, in today's suburban environment, the green belt adjacent to the current line will be overwhelmed and esthetically damaged by a steady march of gargantuan steel structures that dwarf the natural and manmade structures surrounding it. Moreover, the impact on local mammal populations of the electromagnetic fields radiating from the 500 kV lines is not currently known, but it is not likely to enhance the health and activities of the deer, rabbits and other local wildlife that add greatly to residents' quality of life in the region.

Probably the greatest and most immediate concern for us and our neighbors is the direct and consequential impact on the values of our homes. Because of the announcement by BPA of its consideration of the I-5 Corridor as a possible placement for the lines, the fact must be disclosed by homeowners to any potential buyer. As a result, realtors have refused to present homes adjacent to and near the BPA right-of-way to potential buyers, making hundreds of homes literally unsellable until the

publication by BPA of the final environmental impact statement on the transmission line placement or an announcement formalizing the decision to either use or eliminate the I-5 route as a possibility. The result is a devastating and long-lasting disruption of the local real estate market. In addition, the loss in property value, commensurately reduces property tax revenues and thus the resources needed to adequately and competitively educate our young people.

Many homes in Clark County are owned by married couples 50 – 70 years old, who will depend on the value of their properties as a substantial source of retirement income. Recent data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics project that 50% of baby boomers (the people in the above mentioned age group) will deplete their financial reserves while they are still alive. The loss of this major retirement resource would make the percentage even higher for the thousands of Clark County residents along the BPA right-of-way. Even more seniors not originally planning to sell would likely be forced out of their homes as they face mortgage balances much higher than the diminished value of their properties. The ultimate burden of supplying the necessities of life to these dispossessed people will ultimately fall to the federal government and the state of Washington, and this factor must be considered a part of the real cost of the line.

We recognize that in many respects the health effects of the higher voltage line are still unknown. Especially, evidence in the medical and scientific literature is contradictory regarding the effects, primarily of lines in the 200 – 240 kV range, on the incidence of childhood malignancies, including leukemia. Similarly, there are not conclusive results on the effects of such radiation on the operation of pacemakers in cardiac patients. However, the fields generated by the proposed 500 kV line will be substantially greater than those have been previously studied, and since at least some earlier publications concluded that proximity to 240 kV lines doubles the chance of childhood leukemia, placement of higher voltage lines near school and children's homes seems like an unreasonable risk to task. Also, BPA's own publications "Living and Working Safely Around High Voltage Power Lines" contains a warning that people with pacemakers who must be in the vicinity of high voltage power lines should consult their physicians regarding the potential risk to the operation of the pacemaker.

Ultimately, BPA's decision on the routing of the new transmission lines must embrace the costs and drawbacks of each of the available choices. If there were no reasonable alternative options, we would have to find a way to live with the I-5 Corridor route. However, BPA has available to it several alignments through thinly populated or unsettled forest land with good road access, that does not require disrupting the financial resources, health and quality of life of thousands of Washingtonians who have been BPA's good neighbors for more than seven decades. All we ask is for BPA to be our good neighbors now.

Yours sincerely,

Stephan & Kathleen Abramson

---

Communication ID: 13266

Date Received: 7/15/2010

Name: COREY MCGUIRE,ROBIN MCGUIRE,SCOTT MCGUIRE,RACHEL MCGUIRE,KENTON MCGUIRE

July 15, 2010

Dear Mr. Wright.

Thank you in advance for reading my letter. My name is Scott McGuire and I'm married with 3 children. We moved to Vancouver, Washington's Highland's neighborhood in 1996. In October of 2008, after 23 years with the same company I was let go. This Towers project has limited my options. Because homeowners morally and legally have to disclose the potential of the 150-foot towers next to our homes, it has made it impossible for anyone to sell a single property. And even if a property were sold, it would be sold for far less than even what the economy has done to home values.

As you can imagine, for those that have to find work elsewhere, this creates a very large burden. This is a burden that no one could have anticipated or planned for. I've solved many problems and worked past many challenges in my life. In this situation I'm not sure what to do if the threat of the Towers continues.

More importantly, there is a majority that doesn't want to leave their homes that will face aesthetic and potential health issues. This is a very nice corridor. These Towers would come through the beautiful Washington State campus, through our neighborhood, past the growing Kings Way Elementary, Junior High and High School campus, past the soon to be built Hazel Dell Sports Complex and many other places where people have put down roots.

I respectfully ask you to eliminate this option and consider only those options that minimize the impact to populated areas.

Thank you,

Scott McGuire

(On behalf of Rachel, Corey, Robin and Kenton McGuire)

---

Communication ID: 13267

Date Received: 7/16/2010

Name: BARBARA BLOOMFIELD

July 16, 2010

Stephen J Wright

Administrator & CEO

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621



Dear Mr. Wright:

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am strongly opposed to solve transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

My home is located in the Cedar Highlands subdivision in Vancouver, line 25 on your proposal. Our subdivision has a diverse population of homeowners with young children, middle-aged owners and retired couples. I had hoped to retire in the next few years and chose my home for that purpose five years ago.

Instead of looking forward to living in a lovely neighborhood, I now face the issue of what affect the 500 kv transmission lines would have on my health and the property value of my home. BPA has stated repeatedly that there is "no causative effect" between EMF and health risks, yet BPA employees who work in the EMF wear monitors around their necks. When they have received a specific level of EMF exposure, they are sent home for the day. Does BPA protect their employees but NOT the citizens of SW Washington? If I chose to sell my home and move away from the 500kv transmission lines, I find that as property values have already diminished over the past few years, we cannot afford to allow BPA to reduce them anymore. With the proposed transmission lines in our area, the ability to sell our homes will be severely impacted. If BPA should it take the property, the fair market value could be reduced to an amount lower than the balance of the mortgage.

Mr. Wright, my concerns are real and have a major impact on my life as well as all my neighbors. Our homes listed in your proposal are home of citizens of SW Washington. We are not just addresses on a proposal. Please listen to the voices of our community and consider routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bloomfield

Cc: Patty Murray

Maria Cantwell

Governor Christine Gregoire

---

Communication ID: 13268

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: THOMAS J LINGO,GAYLA J LINGO

Dear Sirs:

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. I am strongly opposed to 500 kv transmission lines and 150 foot

towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

I have two grandchildren that currently live in the proposed pathway of the transmission line route. They are not only precious for their innocence but also because of their potential to grow up and become strong, healthy, contributing citizens to our community. There is an obvious need for citizens and service providers to work together to meet each other's collective needs. BPA certainly needs to be allowed certain rights that economically allow them to provide the electrical power we all require. However, when those rights interfere with the valid concerns of their customers there should be contingencies in place to accommodate those affected.

Even if the effect of EMF radiation can be loosely proven to be non-invasive, the perception of the general population is grossly negative on this topic. EMF radiation is colorless, odorless, and undetectable except by sophisticated, expensive equipment. The fear of this unknown commodity is rightfully driving the fear of those that are directly in the path of the proposed route. No matter how much propaganda can be published, contrary to this perception, people at risk will not be convinced because it is a personal health risk of irreversible proportion.

As consumers, we pay for the energy that is transmitted by these lines. We, and all consumers, pay the price that is determined to be fair and reasonable. The regulators of energy prices should be as concerned with the consequences of an unhealthy practice such as routing these potentially hazardous transmission lines through populated areas. I can not believe that with all of the open green space in Clark County, that land couldn't be found to route these power lines without impacting human beings. Sometimes doing the 'right' thing costs more money. Yet, I have found that doing it the 'right' way always produces the best results.

I'm generally not one to protest as I typically have faith that civic and corporate leaders have subscribe to a consciousness that would make a decision like this fairly easy. If a decision has any potential for bodily harm then an alternative needs to be developed. No matter the cost. Money can always be recovered, personal health not so easily. I trust your judgment is worthy of the faith I have in people with your responsibility. Remember we are all stakeholders, not just those that are stockholders. Please, do not misplace this trust. There is a way to solve this dilemma; it may take just a little longer to pay for it. I know my grandchildren will be safer without the threat of EMF over their heads.

The other concern that needs to be stated is the devaluing of property impacted by this proposal. We have all had a very rough ride with the most recent economic decline. It is unfair to burden an unfortunate few, who happen to be in the path of this plan, with further erosion of property value. There is no argument that can be made that justifies so much of a personal financial burden on so few people.

Both my wife and I stand with hundreds, if not thousands, of SW Washington homeowners that are opposed to the apparent lack of appreciation for our health and wellbeing by placing these potentially dangerous power lines in populated areas.

Sincerely,

Thomas J & Gayla J Lingo

Tax Payers and Electric Energy Customers

---

Communication ID: 13269

Date Received: 7/16/2010

Name: BRAD HOLTEN, JORENE HOLTEN, KELLY HOLTEN, DAVID HOLTEN, MARIA HOLTEN

July 16, 2010

Stephen J. Wright  
Administrator/CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dr. Mr. Wright,

This letter is regarding the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that is being evaluated by the Bonneville Power Administration. My family is strongly opposed to the 500kV transmission lines and associated 150' towers being constructed in the populated areas of Southwest Washington. We are extremely concerned about route segment 25 because it is literally in our backyard.

With the current 230kV lines behind our home we are exposed to a very negligible amount of EMF. This would change drastically with the addition of 500kV power lines. The health risks to our three small children at that point would likely force us to sell our home and move elsewhere.

Unfortunately, the addition of these lines will also severely devalue our home. I believe we could lose as much as 30 to 40% (or more) of our property value. If we are forced to move it will take us years to recover from this economic loss.

Mr. Wright, please do not build these 500kV lines behind our home! If you do, we will either be forced to subject ourselves to unhealthy doses of EMF or face the prospect of near financial ruin upon being forced to sell our devalued home.

Sincerely,

Brad, Jorene, Kelly, David, & Maria Holten  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13270

Date Received: 7/16/2010

Name: LYNN STIGLICH

Stephen Wright  
BPA Administrator  
Vancouver, WA

July 16, 2010

Dear Mr. Wright,

The purpose of this letter is to add my voice to the many thousands of people you have already heard from who are opposed to the construction of the proposed 500 kV high voltage transmission lines in densely populated areas in Southwest Washington. I am strongly opposed to the 500kV transmission lines and the 150' towers specified in the I-5 Corridor project. I urgently request that you consider only routes that are on federal or sparsely populated lands.

As an Electrical Engineer working for Hewlett Packard Company during the 1980s, I spent a number of months researching and learning about electromagnetic fields, and read about their effects on livestock. Through papers written and submitted to the IEEE, a professional engineering association, it became clear to me that close proximity to strong electromagnetic fields was strongly associated with negative and detrimental effects on living things.

At the end of the public meeting held on April 25th, Mark Korsness asked that anyone with a pacemaker living close to the proposed routes come forward so that BPA could identify them and keep them informed of further developments. It occurred to me that people with pacemakers are not the only ones who are at risk from high EMF radiation. For many years I was under the care of a cardiologist for treatment of a heart arrhythmia. A healthy human body depends upon the proper conduction of electrochemical signals in the cardiovascular and nervous systems. These systems are easily disrupted by strong electric and magnetic fields. No one should be chronically exposed to such fields. Living close to 500kV lines is not healthy for anyone, but could be downright life-threatening for those with pre-existing heart conditions.

As a homeowner living in a neighborhood adjacent to the I-5 corridor project, I am inherently concerned about property values. Our home, in which we have lived for 17 years, is an investment. My concern extends to homeowners all along the proposed route. Many of these, people are in a much tighter financial situation than I am. They truly cannot afford to have their property values depressed. In addition, our homes would become virtually impossible to sell in this already challenging market due to their proximity to the 500kV power lines. I daresay that if it were your home and neighborhood that were being adversely impacted, you would be writing one of these letters rather than receiving thousands of them. Please remove the I-5 corridor from consideration as a route for the 500kV transmission line as soon as possible. It is imperative that our public institutions do what is right and protect people from unhealthy and avoidable projects such as this. Our future well-being and quality of life depend on it.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynn Stiglich  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13271

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: ELIZABETH GRAUER

7/2/10

To Mr. Steve Wright  
BPA Administrator

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing you this letter to respectfully request that you encourage BPA to refrain from running high-power electrical towers & lines through my neighborhood. If they were to choose route 25 in the current right of way, I will lose some of the best neighbors I have ever had, and it will destroy a very precious commodity in the Vancouver area: a neighborhood where everyone gets along, likes each other and helps each other out in the day-to-day process of living. I have said many times I want to retire in this home because the neighbors are so fantastic; where am I to go if the neighbors across the street are wiped out by eminent domain? Who would buy my house if there are high-voltage lines nearly overhead? Why would your business want to wipe out one of the best things about living in Vancouver? This will be true for many, many neighborhoods around the Vancouver area & in the BPA areas of interest. I can't help but believe that your motivation is profit: you want to sell power to California and Oregon.

It's apparent from studies presented that there is NO benefit to myself or my neighbors from your selling power to points further south, so why should WE pay the penalty of ruined property values, ruined neighborhoods, and ruined lives so that your company can make more money???

Shame on you. To be taking advantage of deflated property values and forcing people to sell their property for less than they OWE? Shame on you and the federal government you represent. Go east, where you will harm no one. If there are a few properties there you need to claim, pay fair value, not recession-created discounts. Be a representative of the United States of America, not a corrupt and heartless government entity. Stand up for what is RIGHT. I know that is a concept that most federal employees find foreign, but we in Vancouver, USA know the difference between RIGHT and WRONG. Building high-power transmission lines in populated areas is just plain WRONG. Own up to it and move on so we can get on with our lives without fear.

---

Communication ID: 13272

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: MARY J HARRIS,JAMES HARRIS

Dear Mr. Wright,

We are writing to oppose the building of new high-voltage power lines and towers in populated areas. We purchased a lot in The Highlands in Vancouver, Washington in 1995 with the intent to build our dream house and live there until we retired and were ready to downsize. We were attracted to the beauty of the lot because it is next to a greenbelt, and the existing lines along segment 25 cannot be seen from our property.

Should the new lines go through Segment 25, the greenbelt will no longer exist and our view will be that of a looming 150 foot tower and noisy lines within 75 feet from our property line. Had we known that this possibility even existed at the time of the lot purchase, we would have chosen another lot in another neighborhood. The money we planned on using from the sale of the house was to help fund our retirement when we planned to downsize. We would like to sell our home within a year but that is impossible because we would have to disclose the possibility of the new towers and lines going right next to our property. We have had realtors tell us that it would be very difficult to sell our home and even if we could, we have to greatly discount the price. This has been extremely stressful to us and many other property owners in the Highlands.

Even more important than the declining property value is our concern for our health and the health of our two young grandchildren, who visit us often. We have read many articles about the potential health risks such as childhood leukemia, Lou Gehrig's disease and certain cancers associated with EMF's from high voltage power lines.

We implore you to do the right thing and build the new high voltage lines away from populated areas. Please protect the health of our citizens and children as well as property values by choosing a route far east on public lands, away from urban and rural populated areas. Please make this decision soon so that our lives can return to normal. The stress the BPA is causing Clark County citizens is enormous.

Sincerely,

Jim and Mary Harris

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13273

Date Received: 7/17/2010

Name: PETER MENZA

July 17 2010

Mr. Stephen J. Wright

Administrator and CEO

Bonneville Power Administration

PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Mr. Wright:

I am a resident in the Highlands at Pleasant Valley. The purpose of this letter is to go on record to express my strong concerns over the proposed I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project, specifically routes 9 and 25.

In short, 500 KV transmission lines have no place in densely populated, residential areas. The adverse impact these lines will have on the community at large will be far reaching on several fronts. Namely, fiscal impact to property values, aesthetic and noise concerns, and, not the least of which, are health related issues associated with electromagnetic fields. (EMF)

Slumping economic conditions have not bypassed Southwest WA. Home values have declined and inventory levels have increased. As such, more options are created for prospective buyers who seek coveted neighborhoods like the Highlands. Unfortunately, the prospects of unsightly power lines being placed within feet of existing houses have driven buyers away. In fact, real estate concerns will not even show client's homes in the area. This is an untenable situation for homeowners. Further, decreased property values produces reduced individual net worth, yield lower tax revenue and have a negative cascading effect on state budgets. All of which ultimately impact local communities in terms of dollars to spend in support of schools, local businesses and services.

My wife and I have lived here in the Highlands for seventeen years. We are up against the current greenbelt and have experienced first hand the lack of consideration BPA has demonstrated for the neighborhood in general. The existing power lines are generally masked from our home by matured landscape and existing green space. The addition of 150' towers will all but eliminate the current noise barrier of trees, create higher temperatures in our home in the summer and reduce the aesthetics of the overall property. The end result is a house that will not command fair market value.

BPA's position on EMF is, at best, disingenuous. Many states and power companies are well ahead of the curve as it relates to exposure, chronic illness and necessary precautions associated with 500 kv line placement. There is merit to this argument beyond what BPA officials are prepared to acknowledge.

Ultimately, this decision comes down to the choice of money or principal as it relates to doing the right thing for the community. I respectfully urge you Mr. Wright to consider the long range impact placement of these towers will have on residents of Southwest WA and ask that you support our community effort to consider routes that are on public and unpopulated areas.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Peter J. Menza  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13274

Date Received: 7/22/2010

Name: RANJIT KURUP

Steven Wright  
Administrator and CEO  
Bonneville Power Administration  
PO Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright

This letter is regarding the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). I am strongly opposed to 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington, and ask that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

As we see our property values decline over the last couple of years as a result of the housing crisis, it is horrifying to see this compounded by this proposed project. Thousands of home owners in Clark County will be impacted negatively if you proceed with Routes 9 and 25.

Real Estate agents are already avoiding areas that are likely to be impacted. We have homeowners who have been trying to sell their homes due to changing family situations, economic hardship, and job transfers. It is heartbreaking to see them watch helplessly as their biggest financial asset just does not sell and declines in value with every passing day. For a homeowner to hear that the proximity of the power lines funded by tax payers means loss of their financial security is the ultimate irony.

As a public official and a steward of this region's energy resources, we understand you have to strike a balance between growth and cost. I appeal to you to consider the people whose lives will be negatively impacted as you make your decision. For BPA, this is energy and growth; for us it is our lives and our livelihood.

Sincerely

Ran Kurup

---

Communication ID: 13275

Date Received: 7/17/2010

Name: LISA JOHNSON,RICK JOHNSON

July 17, 2010  
Mr. Steve Wright



Bonneville Power Administration  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Dear Mr. Wright:

We are writing to you as concerned homeowners and residents of the proposed segment #9 of the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. Our home is located approximately 1/2 mile from the existing line.

At a public meeting that was held on April 25, 2010 a representative of BPA requested that anyone living in the vicinity of the proposed line that has a pacemaker to inform BPA of that medical implant. Lisa is and has been under the care of a cardiologist for a heart condition and her physician has informed us that it will become necessary to install a pacemaker to control this condition in the future. It is not a possibility, but a certainty. While we cannot inform you that she does currently have a pacemaker, we are taking this opportunity of informing you that this will happen in the near future. What will be the implications for the operation of the pacemaker if the proposed line is constructed through segment #91.

Another concern of ours is the loss of value in our home. We purchased our home in

2007. Based on the current market values, if we were to sell our home in the near future we estimate that we will have a loss of over \$150,000 because of the recent decline of house values. If the proposed line is constructed, we know without a doubt that we will experience an additional drastic decline in value that will put us upside down with regards to our mortgage and equity. The possibility of even being able to sell our home has been questioned while this project is under consideration.

Our personal concerns are for our quality of life, our health, financial stability and the aesthetics of our neighborhood. We are also concerned for the impact of our larger community and the effects that the construction of the additional 500kV line will have on schools, businesses, property values and the tax base that affects everyone's essential services in our local community.

Please reconsider your proposal to add this line through our community. Locating the proposed project east into DNR and federal lands will mitigate the disastrous impact on our lives and community.

Respectfully,

Rick and Lisa Johnson  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13276

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: COURTLEIGH GUERCI, JAN M GUERCI

Dear Mr. Wright:

This letter is regarding our opposition to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. We are strongly opposed to 500kv transmission lines and 150 foot towers being constructed in the populated areas of SW Washington.

Since the announcement by the Bonneville Power Administration to utilize their existing property for erecting much taller towers to carry more lines with greater power output and intensity so very near our homes, many serious concerns have arisen within our household, and those of our neighbors within our development. This entire project is tremendously worrisome and has provoked great anxiety for us all. We do not understand why and how this decision, given the reasons provided by the Bonneville Power Administration is rational and fair to the majority of residents within Clark County.

BPA claims that this project is needed to satisfy the growing demand of utility customers in SW Washington and Oregon. However, we know that the demand is higher in Oregon as opposed to Washington and a significant amount of power is being transferred from Canada to California to profit BPA. We don't oppose BPA wanting to construct these new transmission lines to generate a greater profit, but we do oppose the citizens of SW Washington having to pay the price with their health and wallets for the benefit of the BPA and other states.

Even through the planning stages, this project has already devalued our property to the point that no buyers are interested at any reasonable price; they simply will not even consider purchasing our homes and that leaves us in a multifaceted dilemma as to choice and options.

Property values have already decreased over 30% in the past few years and frankly we cannot afford to see them further reduced. In addition, FHA won't offer loans to those who live within the "fall zone" of the 150 foot towers and since the easement that BPA needs is 150 feet wide, many existing homes fall within that category.

Despite assurances for the BPA, we remain skeptical and no one we have spoken with actually believes the EMF from these high voltage lines is not harmful. Because of certain contradictions we have seen and read, we and others think it is solely in the interest of BPA to manipulate certain data or intentionally mock those with serious research that otherwise challenges the data BPA uses. This means that residents are naturally frightened and perspective buyers are wary.

In addition to the health concerns this represents to those within close proximity to these lines, the valuations have already been affected by 20% or greater and, if factoring in the reduced population of prospective buyers, this will most certainly result in much lower valuations by banks or other lending institutions who must take these factors into careful consideration when determining loan parameters for possible purchasers of our homes.

There have been several announcements from various BPA personnel and in your web site warning of dangers to those who wear pacemakers. Mark Korsness, Senior Project Manager for BPA has requested that those who wear pacemakers should contact his office because they are concerned that certain type's pacemakers could be disrupted by the electromagnetic field.

If the decision is made to insist on building these towers and someone in my household or a neighbor who lives near these towers is prescribed to get a pacemaker later, is there a plan that will allow BPA to then step forward and purchase my home LATER at fair market value because I'm forced to move to save my life? That would only seem fair to me.

This alone should warrant constructing these lines away from more dense populations. I cannot see how it is reasonably possible for BPA to be able to keep pacemaker patients from living or visiting family members around these transmission lines and so this puts people in an untenable situation that would risk their health and possibly their lives and leave them with fewer options and loss of home value if/when they are FORCED to move in order to save their life or that of a loved one!

Several states have passed laws restricting high voltage lines from being built near schools, yet BPA did not even consider school locations on its proposed routes. It is apparent from several of our discussions with others that BPA is not required to follow state laws, only federal laws, but Washington children are valuable as well and deserving of protections from this potential harm.

In conclusion, it has been explained to me that BPA claims running these lines in an easterly route, through DNR lands and much less populated areas would cost between \$50 and \$70 million dollars to the project. Yet we also understand that this is not that significant to the BPA yearly budget which now spends \$800 million on fish and wildlife YEARLY. Why then would not a onetime expenditure of to protect HUMAN LIFE and valuable property be a worthy consideration? The bottom line is to ask yourselves this question, would you honestly want this done to you? I therefore respectfully request that you support the community in only considering routes that are on public and unpopulated lands.

Sincerely,

Courtleigh and Jan Guerci  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13277

Date Received: 6/19/2010

Name: PAUL NELSON, KAREN NELSON

June 19, 2010

Dear Mr. Wright,

We are writing to you in an effort for your and BPA's consideration of placement of the new power lines in a unpopulated area.

At present we have lines right next to our property. This has not been a problem and I have agriculture rights to the BPA property.

The addition of the new lines on this property will greatly effect the value of my home and the ability to sell it. At present with the low voltage line it would only effect a few of the buyers but with another line running next to this line and of higher voltage it will greatly effect the value of my property and the ability to sell it.

We are retired and had planned on living here for a long time. We have been here since 1988. With the addition of another line we would loose a great deal of our asset in our home and it would be, in my opinion from what I have heard, next to impossible to sell for any reasonable amount of money.

Please consider lines away from populated areas. One reason is the loss of value to the people in the area and another is the effect if them could be to terrorism of the lines. Both lines could be lost with a terrorist attack. With the one line away from the Current line it would be a lot safer.

The fewer properties effected my this line is the best way to go. I realize that it seems as though no one wants it in there back yard but the east run in the forest area in our opionion would be the safest and best way to go with the least problems.

Sincerely,

Paul E Nelson  
Karen L Nelson  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13278

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: MARGARET STAPENHORST

Mr. Wright,

My husband and I reside along route #9 of BPA's proposed I-5 Reinforcement Project. Currently, we have a beautiful green space between our backyard and the 80' 230KV tower. If routes # 9 and # 25 are selected for this reinforcement project, life as we know it will be negatively impacted in many different ways.

I could give you a laundry list of negative impacts but I'd like to mention one that doesn't come up very often when this topic is discussed... and it should:

How will choosing lines 9 and 25 affect small business in SW Washington and Clark County?

-Unemployment is at an all time high in Clark County.

-The housing market is in crisis.

-Property values could drop another 10-30+ % for those thousands of homeowners with a new 15 story tall, 500KV tower in or close to their neighborhoods.

The negative impact of tower placement along routes #9 and #25 will work against any improvement in the economic recovery creating a permanent swath of 'suburban blight' through SW Washington and Clark County. Areas of Clark County along routes #9 and #25 may never bounce out of the current housing crisis. Experiencing 'reverse' equity in their homes, effected homeowners will not have the funds to support small businesses. Any type of business or service that relies on people having expendable income will suffer: home improvement businesses and/or services, landscape construction and maintenance services, furniture stores, car dealerships, restaurants, travel related companies, etc. Not to mention; realtors, mortgage and title companies. With decreased revenues, small businesses in the area will not grow, expand or hire new employees.

As a small business owner (Garden Specific Design), my business has taken quite a hit during the last 18+ months due to the recession and housing crisis. I do not foresee things improving if lines #9 and #25 are selected to reinforce the power grid.

To preserve our community, our quality of life and with continued growth of small business and jobs, I urge you to do the right thing and only consider routes in unpopulated areas.

Sincerely,

Margaret Stapenhorst

[address]

[phone]

---

Communication ID: 13279

Date Received: 7/7/2010

Name: LINDA HERRINGTON,GREGG HERRINGTON

July 7, 2010

Steven Wright

CEO I Administrator

Bonneville Power Administration

Portland, Oregon

Dear Mr. Wright:

We don't envy you having to decide whether a new high-voltage power transmission line indeed must be erected through Southwest Washington and if so, along what route. But if a new line is to be built by a public agency, then the route decision should be informed primarily by its impact on the public. Mr. Wright, a route selected by the public Bonneville Power Administration must be one which negatively impacts the fewest possible members of the public, hence the most-remote, uninhabited, route.

We assume that you ask yourself every day, "What will be the legacy of the BPA during my watch?"

Ten or 20 years after any lines are constructed, factors such as the financial cost will be relegated to a footnote in that legacy. Instead of such transient concerns, it will be the line's impact on people that will inform that legacy. Those people include those who will benefit by additional access to power, but also those who lived, worked, played and went to school in the literal and figurative shadow of the towers. The impact on those people includes incontrovertibly negative effects on aesthetics, lifestyle, property values and, arguably, health.

If, indeed, the new line is to be erected, the most defensible - and sensible - route is one that is through the most remote landscape possible that is logistically feasible. In this case, that would be through undeveloped land well east of Vancouver -- the state's fourth largest city - and its populous suburbs.

Gregg and Linda Herrington

---

Communication ID: 13280

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: JO ANN WOHLERS,KURT WOHLERS

July 2, 2010

Steven Wright

BPA

RE: I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project

Dear Mr. Wright and/or BPA Representatives,

We are writing to let you know our position on the proposal to place a 500 KVA power line alongside the existing 230 KVA line 25.

Our family has owned our property for 15 years now. When we purchased our home we were aware of the current BPA right of way for the existing line. Our property is on both sides of this right of way. When we originally toured the property when it was on the market we had a "This IS IT!" moment on the decision to purchase before we had been in the house or shop. We knew that this was home. It had the room for privacy the topography for trails, hill climbing, a huge yard and adventure. Not to mention the valley view from everywhere up on the hill including the tree house.

Now we are feeling a threat to our home, our family and to the livability of our little place on earth that we call home. To add width to the existing right of way to place a much larger set of towers and lines would drastically devastate the looks, character, value and use of our property.

We are urging you to see the detriment of this one rural property and we are sure there is much more harm created in more incorporated areas if this line is placed.

We do not want these towers on our property; we do not want to add to the current right of way.

Please take the towers and place them in areas that effect as few as people as possible, even if it does cost BPA a little more money.

We appreciate your time and that you are willing to meet with local citizen groups who are gravely concerned for our homes and our future.

Sincerely,

Kurt and Jo Ann Wohlers  
[Address]

---

Communication ID: 13281

Date Received: 7/15/2010

Name: BRUCE GREENBERG

Mr. Wright,

The towers/lines you are proposing, are the, topic at our house daily. To think that anyone with a heart would consider putting, these gigantic towers on top of homes, schools, businesses is beyond my understanding We have nonstop anxiety waiting, for you to make your final decision. We worry that we will never be able to sell our home. Right now we cannot sell our home if we wanted to-realtors have to disclose the possibility that 25 story towers with incredible quantities of electricity may be, within arm's reach of our home.

HOW WOULD YOU FEEL if these lines were going to be within 250' yards of your home or your child's school?

Please do the right thing-take these lines where there is open land-no homes, no schools, no people. STOP looking for the easy way out financially-we are all humans. Please do not put ANY power lines where people live, kids go to school, and work. The human race is counting on you sir.

If you do not do the right thing our lives will be forever changes

Thank you,

Bruce Greenberg,  
The Highlands Development  
Vancouver WA.

---

Communication ID: 13282

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: MARIA THOMAS V RAY

Dear Mr. Wright:

This is to make you aware of the following:

-BPA's proposed line has created tension and turmoil in our family. We are reluctant to make improvements due to the BPA liability.

-We cannot sell our place as realtors refuse to show it.

-We will pursue the instigation of oversight to review BPA activities.

-We do not trust your organization.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ray  
[address]  
Segment No. 50

---

Communication ID: 13283

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: DEBRA PRENTICE-THORNLEY

Dear Mr. Wright:

Our house is near the convergence of segments 46 and 50. Our 15 year old son is so impacted by this that although he has always wanted to inherit the house and property, he has serious concerns about raising his children next to 500 kV lines. He would choose not to have children.

Please take such personal stories into consideration and choose to put the lines in unpopulated areas.

Debby Prentice-Thornley  
[address]  
Segment No. 46 & 50

---

Communication ID: 13284

Date Received: 7/15/2010

Name: WILLIAM F HANELY,HILDE HANLEY

Steve Wright  
BPA Administrator  
P.O. Sox 3621  
Portland,OR 97208-3621



Dear Mr. Wright:

I am writing in reference to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project. I know that you and the BPA have been flooded with letters/phone calls regarding this project. As a resident of Clark County my wife and I are deeply concerned about our health and property values with the installation of these 500kv lines in populated areas. As seniors and retirees of the federal government we have worked with our committees in protesting the line route through our neighborhood. We have written our federal and state representatives in voicing our strong opposition to this project. We have suggested placing these lines in the most eastern section over non-populated areas or place them underground. These 15 story towers would eventually make Clark County a ghost town and surely the ugliest sight in the entire state of Washington. Surely the most logical solution would be placing these towers lines over non-populated areas.

Recent articles and editorials in the Columbian newspaper have indicated that the BPA is now considering routes in the eastern section which makes common sense. Also Senator Patty Murray have even suggested along with other government officials that this eastern site proposal is the best solution.

I am sure that the majority of the population of Clark County are having tremendous stress and anxiety over this issue. My wife and I certainly are. We are enjoying our retirement years in this beautiful area with our children and grandchildren. We wish to continue our good living and health. We are certain that you will weigh everyone's input and make the right decision for the benefit of all concern. I suggest to keep this in mind--no lines in populated areas.

Sincerely,

William F Hanley  
Hildegard V. Hanley

---

Communication ID: 13285

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: VICKY DOMBEK

Dear Mr. Wright:

I ask that you choose the farthest-Eastern Route for the 15-story 500 kV towers instead of the populated area of Clark County.

Besides my concerns regarding the EMF levels and the affects on our children in the neighborhood, we are concerned about the ability to sell our home in a few years. Our daughter starts college in two years and we hope to use the \$ from the home sale for tuition. With 15-story towers just yards away from our home, the sale of our house will be almost impossible.

I implore you to make the right decision. Choose the Eastern Route.

Vicky Dombek  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13286

Date Received: 7/14/2010

Name: TWILA LAMB

Dear Mr. Wright:

My husband and I worked hard for many years to finally buy our dream property. We finally found it 9 years ago: Fresh water (well) river, artesian spring, 20 acres for horses, trails that back up to State forest, wildlife... amazing! It was to our great chagrin to suddenly hear that our pristine acreage (and home) is now being overshadowed with your proposed segment # 49 high voltage transmission line. Not only are they an eyesore, but we are terribly concerned over the EMF's they generate. We have a minimum of 35 bantailed pigeons that have been using our feeders for the last several years. Each year they are increasing in number. They are considered an endangered species, and are very sensitive and particular where they will feed. Our wild river is also being stocked by the Dept. of Fish and Wildlife with salmon. We see the minnows each year, and are thrilled by their propagation. We would like to ask you to please reconsider putting up lines in populated areas. While I am greatly concerned about our property value and EMF emissions, I'm also deeply concerned for our communities as a whole and feel terrible this is even a consideration by BPA. Please reconsider!

Twila Lamb  
[address]  
Segment No. 49

---

Communication ID: 13287

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: CATHI SIMMONS

Dear Mr. Wright:

On July 22, 2009 we had a devastating fire which has meant the complete rebuild of our home. Then we got THE letter from BPA which meant the money we put into the rebuild might very well be thrown away in decreased property value. We are retired: my husband is 78 and I'm 68. Our savings are in our house.

These 500 kV lines are unhealthy- especially for children and our older people. They do not belong in the midst of a thriving community. Lines could certainly go to Bonneville Dam directly and avoid ruining our community.

Cathi Simmons

[address]

Segment No. 50

---

Communication ID: 13288

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: TOM MASSON

Dear Mr. Wright:

I am concerned about the proposed 500 kV line that is being considered for location. I realize that we need power and everyone benefits from the plentiful and available resource. However, homeowners who live adjacent to these 500 kV lines will suffer disproportionately. There is no doubt that property values will plummet. In some cases homes will be lost or out buildings that are part of the home and property will be removed to make way for the expanded right of way. Additionally, there are health concerns. We are all exposed to EMF, but not to the extent that this line will bring. Although it might not be cost effective, an alternative route, away from populated areas would be the most equitable and conscientious decision BPA could make. Can BPA guarantee that there will be no ill effects from EMF, and am I safe with a pacemaker that close to a 500 kV line?

Thomas A. Masson

[address]

Segment No. 39/49

---

Communication ID: 13289

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: PAMELA MASSON

Dear Mr. Wright:

My husband and I have been very active in the "No-Way BPA" Citizen group because it has affected so many familie's lives. There is a couple who lives in the "Rushing River" house development very close to a couple of towers, and if these towers are replaced by 500 kV lines there property value will drop dramatically. It is very frustrating to know that the local people who live close to the new proposed line in Washington and Oregon will be exposed to very "high voltage" (not knowing the harmful effects) but the people in California get the benefits!!! Why do the citizens have to suffer??? Plus I am not sure the citizens in Oregon are even aware of the situation. I hope you really consider "the emotional" side of this new line and what harm will it cause to families.

Pamela Masson

[address]

Segment No. 39/49

---

Communication ID: 13290

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: BRAD GABLE

Dear Mr. Wright:

Our property is located along the line 50 right of way. While I did not initially oppose living next to the smaller lines, knowing that the much larger 500 kV line may be built has us greatly concerned for the many children that live in close proximity, not to mention the adults also. Many of our neighborhood youth, including our 2 daughters, play in the fields under your right of way. While this technically may be trespassing, without fencing in place, there is no way BPA can ensure their safety.

Additionally, we recently refinanced our property and learned the appraisal dropped from \$335k down to \$280 just 18 months ago since receiving the letter from BPA announcing the proposed plan. This concerns us greatly as our home is our investment for the future.

Bradley Gable

[address]

Segment #50

---

Communication ID: 13291

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: ROBERT W LITTLETON

Dear Mr. Wright:

My wife and I moved to Camas five years ago, expecting to stay in our home until we pass. We have been told that our home would be condemned if BPA runs the high voltage lines through our property. It took us quite a while to find a house that was appropriate for my home-based business, and for my wife's mobility difficulties, we are low-income seniors who pay taxes and have worked for years to retire in this house. Please route the power lines away from houses.

Robert W. Littleton

[address]

Segment No. 35

---

Communication ID: 13292

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: (ILLEGIBLE) ,RICHARD (ILLEGIBLE),MICHAEL BRACE,REVIN CARRIGAN,NIKOLAY  
CHUKLANOV,TERRY CONSTANCE,MICHAEL DE JONG,RAY DEHLER,ESPERANZA DEMARCO,SETH

DEXTER,ELIZABETH GRAUER,SHAWN HAMBURG,BRAD HOLTEN,YVONNE JACKSON,WILLIAM KELLY,ANNA KLEIN,TIM LEXOW,ABE LOGAN

Dear Mr. Wright,

This letter is in regards to the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project that has been proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration. We are a group of 37 households located in the Green Meadows area (north Heron Hills subdivision) and our properties are adjacent to route 25. We are strongly opposed to the 500kv transmission lines and associated 150' towers being constructed along this route in the populated areas of Southwest Washington. We are asking that you support the citizens of this community and only consider routes located in unpopulated areas.

It is our understanding that BPA has stated repeatedly that there is "no causative effect" between EMF (electric magnetic fields) and health risks, yet BPA employees who work in or near EMF areas wear monitors around their neck. After they have received a specific level of EMF exposure they are sent home for the day. Where are we supposed to go when we have received our maximum safe dose of EMF for the day? Why does BPA protect their employees but NOT the citizens of SW Washington?

Several states (including California) have passed laws restricting the placement of high voltage power lines near schools. However, it does not appear that the BPA even bothered to plot school locations on its segment routes. Are the children living or attending schools on routes 9 and 25 less valuable than those that live in other states?

Additionally, BPA claims that running these 500kv lines in the unpopulated eastern-most areas of SW Washington would cost an additional \$50 to \$69 million dollars. However, it is my understanding that the BPA spends \$800 million EVERY YEAR on fish and wildlife. In light of this, why are you seemingly unwilling to spend \$50 to \$69 million one time only to protect human life?

Furthermore, this transmission line will have a serious negative impact on our property values due to health, aesthetic, and noise impacts. Property values have already decreased by as much as 30% in the past several years and we cannot afford to allow BPA to reduce them further. Even the ability to sell our devalued homes will be severely impacted. FHA won't offer loans to those who live within the "fall zone" of the 150' towers. Most of our homes are located within this fall zone.

Finally, Mark Korsness, Senior Project Manager for BPA has requested that those persons who wear pacemakers should contact his office because they are concerned that certain types of pacemakers could be affected by the electromagnetic field. This fact alone should warrant constructing these lines away from populated areas. How will BPA be able to keep pacemaker patients from working, living, hiking or visiting family and friends around these transmission lines?

We are not anti-electricity nor do we oppose BPA's plan to construct new lines. We do understand that they will eventually be needed. What we do oppose is the fact that the citizens of SW Washington will be forced to pay the price with their health and wallets.

Please do the morally right thing and drop all proposed lines that run through highly populated urban areas and neighborhoods. Please choose the routes that run through unpopulated areas east of Vancouver.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this very serious matter.

Sincerely,

North Heron Hills Neighborhood

---

Communication ID: 13293

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: PRISCILA DARAKJIAN

Dear Mr. Wright

I am writing to you regarding the I-5 Corridor Reinforcement Project proposed by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). I am absolutely appalled that BPA has even considered an option of adding 500kv transmission lines that will run through populated areas of SW Washington (specifically Routes 9 and 25).

Just the fact that this has been considered an option, has already negatively impacted our neighborhoods. While some real estate agents have decided to actively avoid these areas, those who haven't are already experiencing sales falling through once this project is revealed to the prospective buyers. This has happened multiple times in our neighborhood in the last few months.

Regarding the health effects of exposure to EMFs there has been a lot of hand waving and complete disregard for the scientific works that support EMFs being deleterious to human health. I urge you just to use Google's Scholar search (keywords: EMF power lines exposure) and verify what the scientific community has to say about the issue just in the last two years. I would challenge you to continue the status quo mindset regarding this issue after reading some of those scientific papers.

Here is a good summary of what some of the best renowned health organizations around the world have to say about their reviews of projects regarding exposure to EMF from power lines.

Review bodies' assessment of EMF causation of various diseases- health outcomes classified as Class 2B – possible causal.

Disease IARC1 2002 NIEHS 19992 California 2002 EU: SCENIHR3 February 2009

1. Childhood Leukemia Yes Yes Yes Yes
2. Adult Leukemia Yes Yes
3. Adult brain cancer Yes

4. Miscarriage Yes

5. ALS4 Yes

6. Alzheimer's disease Yes 5

1 International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2 US National Institute of Environmental Sciences; 3 EU: Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks: Possible effects of Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) on Human Health; 4 Motor neuron disease; 5 Studies only recently published

The information above can be found in a public letter written by Dr. Denis L. Henshaw (Professor of Physics from the University of Bristol – UK). I would like to quote a section of his letter since I believe it's relevant to the present matter:

"It is notable that some countries took action many years ago to limit public exposure to magnetic fields associated with high voltage power lines, for example Sweden in 1996, Switzerland and Italy in 2000. Included in the substantial literature of EMF health effects is the 2007 study by Lowenthal et al. of increased risk of lymphoproliferative and myeloproliferative disorder in Tasmania. It is indeed unfortunate that power companies and some governments continue to be ill advised on the adverse health effects of EMF exposures. In the case of overhead powerlines, we really are passed the stage where we should be erecting overhead powerlines close to house and centres of population.

You may find the entire letter at:

[http://www.kamionki.net/minister\\_of\\_environment\\_%20poland\\_%203rd\\_aug\\_09.pdf](http://www.kamionki.net/minister_of_environment_%20poland_%203rd_aug_09.pdf)

I appeal to you to consider the people whose lives will be negatively impacted as you make your decision. I trust that you will take this matter as seriously and carefully as possible.

Sincerely

Priscilla Darakjian

---

Communication ID: 13294

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: BERT A COFFMAN

To: BPA

Regarding: Location Selections

Please place yourself into our position and the meaning of many as the letters/people reflect a reality of behavior that is very negative, resentful, monetarily crippling and in a very elementary evaluation of behavior, outright destructive. With these patterns of behavior many, many, many years of distrust and hurt will continue to linger for both the BPA/Public.

The only comments I have heard for this behavior is money. "It's less expensive to follow our plans, less cost to the consumer." QUESTION: How can this be less cost when the value of a home drops 50 to 70%? We have already seen in our subdivision an offer removed when the buyer was informed about the BPA plans. And to add to this situation, the home in question was quite a distance from the lines. MANY people are now aware of this change and BPA has the opportunity to make the right decision or face the inability to sleep at night due to a reduction of a few dollars.

BPA now has to step up to the plate and be a leader with the consumer being the main focus. The consumer is the living motivation, not to be compared to monetary abstractions. Life is priceless and when a group of individuals can validate that position, many moments of joy and peace will create smiles for many years, in fact, close to an infinite Legacy of caring for the benefit of others=That's Electrifying.

We NEED BPA to be OUR HERO. Now's your opportunity to do so!

Bert A. Coffman

Homeowner in Highlands

---

Communication ID: 13295

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: BETTY LAUCH,PAUL LAUCH

Dear Mr. Wright,

As close neighbors to the Pleasant Valley Elementary and Middle Schools, we respectfully register our concerns to the consideration of the BPA to locate a new 500 KVA power transmission line adjacent to or near developed neighborhoods or public schools.

In addition to the concerns outlined in the several petitions protesting the possible proposal, please consider the following:

- (1) Devaluation of affected residential properties may result in deflating assessed valuations, thereby reducing tax revenues needed for public school operation and many other necessary public services.
- (2) Our own personal examination of a map showing routes other than existing rights-of-way (particularly the more easterly one) indicates a shorter distance and likely lower construction costs.
- (3) Separation of critical regional transmission lines reduces the possibility of regional outages caused by catastrophic destruction from natural or terrorist causes.
- (4) Paul also has a personal concern being the fact that he has a shunt in his cranial cavity that is adjusted by a magnetic instrument, and we feel that this could possibly cause a problem for him with stronger magnetic fields associated with high voltage transmission lines.



Thank you for the opportunity to comment on BPA plans and for your kind consideration of our concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul E. & Betty L. Lauch, concerned citizens and neighbors.

---

Communication ID: 13296

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: KEN M KRAISLER

I strongly urge you to place the new power lines east of Clark County or at least along the eastern border of Clark County. Running them along lines 29 and 30 as is currently a possibility will be devastating to the many home owners in this area. The only reason I can think of for you even making this line a possibility as opposed to the less populated areas further East is that DNR and logging concerns have a stronger voice than individual home owners. People such as myself have rearranged our lives to live here. We've spent literally decades doing it and all our hard earned time and money. In one fell swoop you have the power to come in and destroy it. To put insult on top of injury, you are doing it during the greatest home depression since the 30's. BPA would come in and buy up homes at record lows.

If you choose to do this, no doubt BPA's timing will go down in history as nefarious and planned out. Whether your timing was planned or not, I don't know, but the fact is, prices in the market are depressed more than they should be and time will likely show you would be buying homes below fair value. I urge you go East, use land not populated by people. I urge you to conduct all your business dealings with ethics and morality and not simply in accord with the all mighty dollar. I urge you to re-examine all you that are doing and considering and avoid rationalizations that conveniently put you on the side of the dollar.

Ken Kraiser

[address]

---

Communication ID: 13297

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: MARY KAY MOSKAL,RANDALL D PEARL

We are homeowners in the Highlands at Pleasant Valley development in Vancouver, WA. There is nothing extraordinary or special to distinguish us from our fellow homeowners, faced with the same problem: BPA installing massive high voltage lines within proximity to our home near the 9 and 25 route.

We are simply two of many people who have worked extremely hard for over 30 years and invested a large percentage of our earnings in our home. We are two of many that will lose some of our hard

earned money due to the negative affect on property value that will result if BPA retains this route choice.

Your action, if you continue with this choice, directly affects many people. Your decision means that many of us will live in a less attractive (high towers), less quiet (BUZZ), (perceived at best, proven at worst) less healthy (EMF risk) neighborhood.

We have always worked hard to maintain and improve the value of our home. It is a hard blow to have an agency state that it has no choice but to use this route for cost-effectiveness. Your cost effectiveness is at our expense. We pay instead of BPA.

Please pursue the Eastern-most corridor route instead.

Sincerely,

Mary Kay Moskal & Randall Pearl  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13298

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: JAN S LONG

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing this letter to strongly encourage you not to put the proposed 500,000 volt transmissions lines near any populated areas.

I am a homeowner that lives in the neighborhood of one of the proposed routes (25), and I have many concerns. First, I am extremely concerned about the health risks. There is epidemiological evidence that has found a connection to cancers and other diseases with people, especially children, who are exposed to EMF. No risk is worth knowingly exposing families to an increased chance of contracting these severe diseases. Next, I am very worried about the value of our homes. In this tough economy homeowners have seen the values of their homes decrease significantly. Building these towers that could be as tall as 15 stories in these beautiful neighborhoods would drastically decrease the values of our homes even more and would destroy the visual beauty of many neighborhoods. Common sense tells us that no one would want to buy a house that sits next to these huge towers. There are so many homes for sale that most people would not even consider buying a home with these huge towers viewed from their neighborhood. We have all worked hard and invested so much into our homes, and these towers could destroy our financial future.

Lastly, as a teacher, I am very concerned about proposed towers that would be built close to many schools. As a teacher we have two very important jobs. One is to help children learn, and the other is to keep them safe. Building these towers close to schools and exposing children to high amounts of EMF

puts thousands of them at risk of contracting cancer. As teachers, we would be failing to do our job which is to keep children safe.

I understand the need to build these transmission lines. I also understand the other option of building these lines to the east in unpopulated areas. Please do the right thing and build these lines where they will impact very few people. The health and welfare of so many people are in your hands.

Sincerely,

Jan Long  
[email]

---

Communication ID: 13299

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: GRAHAM GLASS,ELANA D GLASS,KIRA GLASS

Dear Mr. Wright,

We are writing to you because the I-5 corridor project has definitely affected our lives and not in a positive way. We live in the Salmon Creek area in Vancouver Washington. Ever since we received a letter in the mail from BPA our lives have been turned upside-down. We understand that you received a large amount of funds from the government to make this project happen, and we also understand that power is necessary. However, we as well as thousands of other homeowners are put in limbo. We don't know what will happen to the value of our homes which are already beyond what they were 11 years ago. We also have over 41,000+ young children around these power lines and that is what scares us the most. Have you ever seen someone dying of cancer? We can't believe that your company denies the fact that EMF rays can't harm you especially at the 500kv voltage. Also, why would you want to add any unsightly 15ft towers along the most beautiful, most populated residential areas? It is bad enough that all the towers we have now are blocking the sky and beautiful landscape.

Please Mr. Wright, we beg you to consider moving this project out of populated areas, away from schools, families and especially children. Just go east and we all win.

Thanks,  
Graham, Kira and Elana Glass

---

Communication ID: 13300

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: STEVEN A HULL

When it became possible to move my family to paradise, I chose Vancouver because of the schools. I looked at many houses with my Realtor. I informed her from the start that I would not consider a home

near Power Lines. The best house we saw not only had Power Lines, it also had an electrical sub station within twenty feet of the kitchen window. It was forty percent cheaper than the house we eventually bought. It set empty for eighteen months and probably sold very cheap. We live closer to our power lines than I ever intended to buy. We love our home and neighbors and feel very welcome in our community.

Twenty eight years ago in Wichita Kansas I served in a jury on a case brought by a family against Kansas Gas and Electric Co. The family owned a 320 acre farm with their home approximatively in the middle of it. KGE a for profit company did not show any respect for individuals or workers. They achieved right of way for the good of our community and paid a nominal fee to the landowner. They cut across his land from corner to corner with the closest tower fifteen feet from his home. Had they shown him one degree of respect for his home as they crossed his land we would have given him only the nominal fee. Just a few more feet of wire to angle slightly away from his home would have saved KGE and their customers a lot of money. We gave him the largest settlement KGE had ever had to pay. They squealed and carried on something terrible. They polled the jury and asked many questions trying to understand our verdict.

I worked at the Boeing Wichita plant for twenty four years. In one area they had electrical sub station under the machine shop to enhance the power for our machines. Almost all the long time employees that worked in that area got some form of cancer. A large variety of different cancers. They told us many things were safe that turned out not to be later. The percentage of cancer was much higher in that area than anywhere else in the plant. I believe large doses of electrical radiation are harmful to most people.

We have already seen your company show more respect and concern for the people and our feelings than any other power company in America. People suing after the fact is hard to predict and plan for. I will not and can not sue because I chose to move near the current towers. A class action might be in your (our) companies future.

I love living here. I want to thank you for the electrical service we enjoy now. I appreciate you being willing to come to our meetings and listen when we talk. We are looking forward to a positive outcome for all of Washington and our community.

Thank You,

Steven A. Hull  
[phone]

---

Communication ID: 13301

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: CHRISTOPHER I WEINGARTNER

Mr. Steve Wright

BPA Administrator

I have written you before expressing my opposition to routes for the proposed BPA 500,000 volt transmission power line that would be placed in the populated areas of Clark County, WA. I know that anything I could write has been written to you before and these points may have been phrased more eloquently. I am sure you are well aware of these arguments. Arguments about detrimental health effects and affects, loss of property value, shift of true costs, etc. I would like to add my feelings on the shift of costs argument, but it is difficult for me to be truthful without becoming insulting, yet I do feel that your plan and the arguments for it is insulting to those of us that will be affected by it. Putting that aside, it is clear that it would be slightly less expensive for BPA to build on the existing right of way, but for us a great cost. I believe that all the facts are not in the open. Where will most of the power to be wheeled ultimately come from, Canada? To whom is it to be sold, California?

The end users will pay a slightly higher rate needed to do the job morally correct (even if the end users are us). Do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Christopher I. Weingartner

---

Communication ID: 13302

Date Received: 7/2/2010

Name: BILL C HARPOLE,PHILIPPINA HARPOLE

Mr. Steven Wright  
Bonneville Power CEO/Administrator

Dear Mr. Wright,

I am writing in regard to the fifteen story towers and 500kv power lines being proposed by BPA near our home which would adversely impact the value of our home, quality of life and potentially our health.

The eastern side of the county, away from populated areas is the proper location for this high voltage power line. The cost may be higher but appear to be acceptable and more fairly recovered through rates for all users, most likely in California and Oregon. The most direct route obviously would cross the Columbia river near Longview and go through Oregon but as Portland would be a much stronger adversary, BPA is considering only routes through Clark county.

A neighborhood home owner had a buyer back out of purchase after learning of the proposed power line. Another owner's home for sale for several months has been told by the listing agent that real estate agents will not show homes in our neighborhood because of the power line. FHA will not insure loans on homes near something that would impact livability. Our neighborhood is now considered undesirable and damaged.

The assessed value of our home has declined by 30% in the past two years. If BPA builds this monstrosity near us, real estate agents and appraisers have said our home values will decline further and potential buyers will be severely limited. BPA has stated they will not compensate for any loss in value. Imminent domain should not result in serious financial harm. We are already suffering financially and it is obscenely unfair for us to absorb further losses caused from the power line. It is dishonest for the federal government to demand the other BP, British Petroleum, pay for damages to citizens caused by an oil spill, but BPA, a federal government entity, deny responsibility for damages to home owner property values.

In a 250 page report BPA states there is potential health risk from high voltage lines i.e., childhood leukemia and other cancers. Other studies have shown at least twice the risk of leukemia for children and fetuses. BPA employees wear monitors when working around power lines. Would up to 40,000 students in the 95 schools 1/8 to one mile from the existing 235kv power line route BPA is considering for an additional 500kv power line need to wear monitors? People with some pacemakers are at risk near the lines. What happens to visitors or people with pacemakers walking nearby?

Reportedly BPA spends \$850 million each year to protect fish and wildlife. The existing 235kv route may be selected because of one time \$30-60 million cost for an eastern route. This nation is doomed when it values human life less than fish and wildlife.

Philippina & Bill Harpole  
[address]

---

Communication ID: 13306

Date Received: 12/16/2009

Name: JOKAY KEARNS

Hi, I just wanted to thank you also for extending the period of time for comments and have faxed in- just faxed in- an official comment that we are no in favor of this project going through, specifically our property but we just feel like it is a rogue route that you're just demoing through. The name is Jokay Kearns and you can look me up. Just faxed in our comments. Thanks, bye.

---

Communication ID: 13307

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: CREIGHTON KEARNS, JOKAY KEARNS

Reference: Power transmission lines through Kearns property: [address]

Dear BPA and the Department of Energy,

I am writing to comment on the proposed project to build a 500-kilovolt transmission line in the area of our property in Yacolt, Washington. This is a small community that would be ruined by the installation of

the high voltage lines. Besides the decrease in property values forever, the displacement of residents that have made this their home is a crime when there are other ways to do this project.

We are opposed to the lines being placed through the community of Yacolt, and specifically our property off Dole Valley Rd. Bury them, run them up I-5, or use public property! I am sure by now you can come up with something better than cutting a path through the lives of others. Our property is not for sale or available for your transmission lines.

Sincerely,

Creighton and Jokay Kearns

---

Communication ID: 13308

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: ANONYMOUS

Yes, I'm calling about the BPA I think it's a great idea. This country needs to be able to move its power across the land. Without the 500k we'll just keep falling behind and be more and more dependent on foreign oil. If you want to run electric cars you have to run the power to'em. We have windmills in Oregon and Washington that turn on and off because there's no place for the power to go. Shame on Bonneville. If you build a housing project, you have to put the roads in first before you build the houses. You build the windmills with no powerlines. Build the powerlines now so we can use our resources and get away from foreign oil. Thank you very much. Bye.

---

Communication ID: 13309

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: COLETTE M DHANENS

Dear BPA, We purchased our property on 214th Street and 227th Avenue over 8 years ago. We reviewed the legal paperwork to the property and found no mention of BPA or related power easements. We have spent the last 8 years remodeling and relandscaping our property into a beautiful, peaceful place to raise our 4 children. We are against an intrusion by the BPA to change this landscape and our way of life. I am aware of several other power line options available to the BPA-many residing in east Clark County where the impact to private citizens would be minimal. The BPA will benefit financially for decades to come with this new power line. We are not against such gains. However, we will be negatively impacted for as long as we reside at our current location and will suffer financial losses upon the sale of our home, at some later date. Please, secure a more easterly route. You will win the approval of thousands. The initial cost of a more rural construction route will be returned to you in the near future. Thank you, Colette Dhanens

---

Communication ID: 13310

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: ANONYMOUS

I'm calling to complain about this. It really isn't a good time for us to be forced to move out of our homes. My daughter has been in this school for many years in Hockinson, and this will be her last apparently. You are basically screwing us, we will have to let our house go back to the bank. How many other people are we doing this to? This is ridiculous. You can go five miles over to the east where there aren't any houses, why can't you put it there. Why does it have to be right in peoples' yards. I think you should look at relocating it in a non residential area. Thank you.

---

Communication ID: 13311

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: WILLIAM E MOYER

Gentlepeople: Apologies for the lateness of these comments; I hope they may still be of some value. In fairness to all concerned, the decision as to where to locate the new 500 kV Powerline should be that which minimizes impact on personal properties (both in and adjacent to the the right of way), at the lowest total cost, providing the maximum reliability, at the full-rated power transmission for such a line. The eastern-most route, maximizing use of public (county and state) lands would seem to best fit those criteria. Costs of condemning / purchasing portions or the entirety of additional properties adjacent to the existing 230 kV line, to widen the right of way sufficiently to accomodate both lines, would most probably be prohibitive. That, given the dollar value of many of those properties, which include some of the more desirable residential real estate in the county. It is also questionable whether the existing right-of-way could be widened sufficiently to avoid de-rating the new line for reliability purposes. Choosing a route forbidding operation of the new powerline at its full power rating seems rather wasteful. In addition, some consideration should be given to how much reduction in future property tax revenue would be seen by the the county and cities due to reduced property values of both condemned or partially-condemned properties used for an expanded right-of-way, and loss of resale value in homes and neighborhoods adjacent to the expanded right-of-way. It is a simple fact that adjacent 80-150 foot tall transmission line towers do nothing good to residential property values or aesthetics. Whether justifiably or not, people fear electromagnetic fields from proximate high-tension powerlines and will not pay top dollar for otherwise premium houses directly adjacent to or "too near" them. That is true especially when they can be seen towering over the houses under consideration. Similar concerns apply to routing the new powerline through Hockinson. There, although many of the properties required would be of lesser dollar value than those adjacent to the existing 230 kV right-of-way, acquiring a new full-width right-of-way would likely require condemnation of a greater number of properties. Additionally, trying to route such lines near or adjacent to an existing school is inviting lawsuits or political action, which will at minimum add cost and delays to the project. However the routing decision is made, it would seem best if most of the possible candidate routes which have been reported were



eliminated quickly, in a coarse-culling process. That would allow most of those property owners presently potentially-impacted by the total number of possible routes to get on with their lives, without this hanging over them, and it would allow the BPA to better focus the required EIS on the most-viable route candidates. As to suitable coarse-cull comparison methodologies, either of the following could suffice: 1) using simply the numbers of residential properties impacted by each route, or 2) using the first-order total cost (miles of T-Line x cost / mile + cost per property condemned x number of properties) for each candidate route. However the decision making is done, it is strongly recommended that it be done as openly and transparently as possible, so that the public understands what was and was not taken into account. Unlike some other places, residents of this county are heavily engaged in the public process. They demand input into those decisions which potentially impact their lives, their families, their homes, their jobs, or their environment. And as our County Commissioners can attest, they bring a valuable perspective on local issues. Sometimes only the citizens have or can take the time to walk the bounds. - William Moyer

---

Communication ID: 13312

Date Received: 12/15/2009

Name: VICENTE MOLINOS

1. Impacts of Lines 10 and 11 on Endangered Coweeman River Fall chinook wild salmon recovery efforts by NOAA and WDFW. Power line construction, operation and maintenance, specially with herbicides and the erosion of offroaders, over segments 11 and 10 would place at risk the habitat of the Coweeman River fall Chinook. This is a genetically distinct stock (Myers et al., 2003) listed as threatened since 1998 by the U.S Endangered Species Act and actively researched and monitored by the WDFW in collaboration with local volunteer groups like the Coweeman Fishermen Group and Cowlitz Game and Angler. The stock is used as an indicator stock by NOAA fisheries for the management of over twenty naturally produced tule fall Chinook populations in the lower Columbia River. The planned crossings of line 10 and 11 over the Coweeman River occur in areas of relatively steep topography that could facilitate the washing of herbicides and eroded soils right over prime salmon spawning waters, according to annual surveys conducted by WDFW. 2. Article with literature review of most current EMF child leukemia and delayed adult lymph cancer is attached.

---

Communication ID: 13313

Date Received: 12/14/2009

Name: CHRISTINE GELRICK

I like to comment. I live at [address]. I am concerned about the powerlines going by my house due to the fact that I live on a hill side and I am on septic and well service. So if you do cut the trees down it will affect my household greatly, let alone the property value and my childrens' health due to the ms line. My Christine Gellrick, my phone number is [phone]. I hope that you choose an alternate route, as does everyone else.

---