

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN INKJET INK SUPPLIES AND  
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-691**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW  
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION FINDING SEVEN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) finding seven respondents in default.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on October 29, 2009, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California (“HP”) on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009. 74 *Fed. Reg.* 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,959,985; 7,104,630; 6,089,687; and 6,264,301. The complaint named as respondents Zhuhai Gree Magneto-Electric Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China; InkPlusToner.com of Canoga Park, California; Mipo International Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong (“Mipo International”); Mextec Group, Inc. d/b/a Mipo America Ltd. of Miami, Florida (“Mextec”); Shanghai Angel Printer Supplies Co. Ltd. of Shanghai, China (“Shanghai Angel”); SmartOne Services LLC d/b/a InkForSale.net of Hayward, California; Shenzhen Print Media Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (“Shenzhen Print Media”); Comptree Ink d/b/a Meritline, ABCInk, EZ Label, and CDR DVDR Media of City of Industry, California;

Zhuhai National Resources & Jingjie Imaging Products Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China (“Zhuhai National”); Tatrix International of Guangdong, China (“Tatrix”); and Ourway Image Co., of Guangdong China (“Ourway”).

On December 11, 2009, HP filed a motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(b) for an order to show cause and default judgment against respondents Mipo International; Shenzhen Print Media; Zhuhai National; Tatrix International; Ourway; Mextec; and Shanghai Angel for failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. On December 23, 2009, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion for an order to show cause, and reserved its position on the ultimate issue of default pending any responses to an order to show cause. On December 28, 2009, the administrative law judge issued Order No. 8 requiring these seven respondents to show cause why they have not responded to the complaint and notice of investigation by January 15, 2010. None of these seven respondents have submitted a response to the order to show cause, nor to the complaint or notice of investigation. On January 28, 2010, the administrative law judge issued the subject ID (Order No. 9) finding these seven respondents in default pursuant to Commission Rules 210.16(a)(1) and 210.17. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: February 17, 2010