

June 2, 2000

Mr. Samuel L. Newton
Vice President, Operations
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, Vermont 05301

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000271/2000-003

Dear Mr. Newton:

On April 24 through May 11, 2000, the NRC completed a baseline inspection of your problem identification and resolution process. The enclosed report presents the results of that inspection. The findings were discussed on May 11, 2000 with Mr. M. Balduzzi, Plant Manager.

This inspection was a review of activities conducted under your license as related to the identification and resolution of problems, compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations, and with the conditions of your license. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of an examination of selected procedures and records, observation of activities, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the results of the inspection, the team concluded that, in general, problems were properly identified, evaluated, and resolved. Nonetheless, the team did identify a vulnerability in your corrective action program associated with the maintenance work order request (WOR) process. Three examples were noted where problems were entered into the WOR process, instead of the event reporting (ER) process, and the problems had not been resolved as of the inspection. In addition, two of those examples had to do with failed surveillances. Your procedure required the initiation of an ER for the evaluation and resolution of the problem. The failure to initiate the ERs is a violation of your Technical Specifications related to procedure implementation. The violation is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation, consistent with Section VI.A of the Enforcement Policy, issued on May 1, 2000 (65 FR 25368).

Mr. Samuel L. Newton

2

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room and will be available on the NRC Public Electronic Reading Room (PERR) link at the NRC home page, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Wayne D. Lanning, Director
Division of Reactor Safety

Docket No. 50-271
License No. DPR-28

Enclosure: NRC Inspection Report 05000271/2000-003

cc w/encl:

R. McCullough, Operating Experience Coordinator - Vermont Yankee
G. Sen, Licensing Manager, Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
D. Rapaport, Director, Vermont Public Interest Research Group, Inc.
D. Tefft, Administrator, Bureau of Radiological Health, State of New Hampshire
Chief, Safety Unit, Office of the Attorney General, Commonwealth of Massachusetts
D. Lewis, Esquire
G. Bisbee, Esquire
J. Block, Esquire
T. Rapone, Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety
D. Katz, Citizens Awareness Network (CAN)
M. Daley, New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution, Inc. (NECNP)
State of New Hampshire, SLO Designee
State of Vermont, SLO Designee
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, SLO Designee

Mr. Samuel L. Newton

3

Distribution w/encl:

W. Lanning, DRS
B. Holian, DRS
L. Doerflein, DRS
G. Meyer, DRP
R. Summers, DRP
R. Junod, DRP
NRC Resident Inspector
DRS File

Distribution w/encl (VIA ADAMS):

Team Members
J. Shea, RI EDO Coordinator
E. Adensam, NRR
R. Croteau, NRR
W. Scott, NRR
J. Jacobson, NRR
D. Screnci, PAO
Inspection Program Branch, NRR (IPAS)

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SYSTEMS\NORRIS\VY 2000-003.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: To be publically available.

"C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE:	RI/DRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RI/DRS	<input type="checkbox"/>	RI/DRP	<input type="checkbox"/>	RI/DRS	<input type="checkbox"/>
NAME:	BNorris		LDoerflein		GMeyer		WLanning	
DATE:	05/30/00		06/ 01/00		06/01/00		06/02 /00	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION I

Docket No: 50-271

License No: DPR-28

Report No: 5000271/2000-003

Licensee: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

Facility: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Location: Vernon, Vermont

Dates: April 24 - May 11, 2000

Inspectors: Barry S. Norris, Senior Reactor Inspector
Brian J. McDermott, Senior Resident Inspector
Kathy D. Modes, Radiation Specialist
Ram S. Bhatia, Reactor Inspector

Approved By: Lawrence T. Doerflein, Chief
Systems Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station NRC Inspection Report 5000271/2000-003

This inspection report details a review Vermont Yankee's effectiveness in problem identification and resolution. The inspection was conducted by three region based inspectors and one resident inspector. The review was performed using the NRC baseline Inspection Procedure 71152, "Identification and Resolution of Problems." The significance of issues is indicated by their color (green, white, yellow, red) and was determined by the Significance Determination Process in Inspection Manual Chapter 0609. (Refer to Attachment 1)

Identification and Resolution of Problems:

- The licensee was effective in the identification, evaluation, and prioritization of problems. However, the team did note that issues identified by the Quality Assurance organization were not always presented to senior management (via the screening meeting) in a timely manner. In one case, the Event Report (ER) went to the screening committee 20 days after it was initiated. (Section 4OA2.1) The licensee's root cause analyses were commensurate with the significance of the issue. The quality was generally good and comprehensive. (Section 4OA2.2) The corrective actions were normally completed as proposed and as scheduled. (Section 4OA2.3) The VY staff were familiar with the program for implementation of a safety conscious work environment. There was no indication of any hesitancy on the part of the station personnel to identify safety issues to management. (Section 4OA2.4)
- NO COLOR. The team identified that the augmented off-gas building ventilation system failed a surveillance in May 1999. Subsequently, the licensee identified that the shutdown iodine filter for the mechanical vacuum pump for the main condenser failed a surveillance in March 1998. In both cases, a work request was initiated to repair the system; but no ER was written, as required by the ER procedure. The team identified a third example where a work request was initiated to resolve a discrepancy related to an alarm setpoint, but the request was canceled without resolving the problem. Nonetheless, the failure to initiate ERs for the first two issues is a violation of the VY Technical Specifications related to procedure implementation, and is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation. The violation was not assessed using the Significance Determination Process, as it did not impact one of the cornerstones; however, it provides substantive information relative to the cross cutting issue of problem identification and resolution. (Section 4OA2.1)

Report Details

1. REACTOR SAFETY

Cornerstones: Initiating Events, Mitigating Systems, Barrier Integrity, Emergency Preparedness

1R12 Maintenance Rule Implementation (IP 71152)

(Closed) VIO 50-271/99012-01: Failure to Balance Reliability and Unavailability in the Periodic Evaluation Required by 10CFR50.65(a)(3)

In August 1999, the NRC identified that Vermont Yankee (VY) did not monitor the unavailability of specific structures, systems, and components (SSCs) during the 1998 refueling outage. The NRC concluded that VY was unable to effectively balance reliability and unavailability for the periodic evaluation required by 10CFR50.65(a)(3). In response to the violation, VY revised Implementation Guideline No. 9, "SSC Performance Monitoring," to require the use of specific unavailability criterion for risk significant SSCs. Quantitative unavailability targets for SSCs were derived and used in the assessment for the balancing of SSC reliability and unavailability for the recently completed refueling outage. The inspector noted that this evaluation identified several instances where unavailability criteria were exceeded, and VY appropriately entered these events into the corrective action process to identify the causes of the ineffective maintenance. This violation is closed.

4. OTHER ACTIVITIES

Cornerstones: Initiating Events, Mitigating Systems, Barrier Integrity, Emergency Preparedness, Occupational Radiation Safety, Public Radiation Safety, and Physical Protection

4OA2 Identification and Resolution of Problems (IP 71152)

The inspection was to determine if problems affecting equipment, personnel, or processes at Vermont Yankee (VY) were properly identified and resolved. This included VY's review and prioritization of the problem, the evaluation for operability and reportability, and that the appropriate level of root cause analysis (RCA) was performed to ensure the problem did not recur. The inspectors reviewed Event Reports (the formal corrective action program) and other documents used at VY for the identification and resolution of problems. The systems used VY included: Event Reports (ERs), Maintenance Work Requests, Contamination Reports, Training Change Requests, and Procedure Change Requests.

The inspectors' review included: 16 implementing procedures; 18 Event Level 1 ERs, 51 Event Level 2 ERs, and 51 Event Level 3 ERs; 37 non-cited violations and 5 cited violations; 21 items related to operating experience; 16 self-assessments; 25 quality assurance audits and surveillances; and 8 meeting minutes for the onsite and offsite review committees. The inspectors focused on safety-related and risk significant systems and components. The specific documents reviewed were chosen to acquire a sufficient sample across all of the cornerstones, and are listed in Attachment 2 to this report.

.1 Effectiveness of Problem Identification, Evaluation, and Prioritization of Issues

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the documents listed in the Attachment 2 to determine that problems, when identified, were entered into the appropriate program for resolution. The inspectors also reviewed the lists of operator workarounds and temporary modifications, the security events log, and the results of the management observation program to determine if all issues, as necessary, were entered into one of the programs for correction. Interviews were conducted with plant staff and management, and the employee concerns program coordinator.

The inspectors reviewed a sample of ERs to assess VY's determination of ER Event Level (1, 2, or 3) which relates to the depth of review to determine the reason for the problem (RCA, apparent cause evaluation, or most probable cause). The inspectors assessed the licensee's review of the ERs for operability and reportability. The team also examined the identification and characterization of equipment issues affecting reliability and/or unavailability of system within the scope of the Maintenance Rule.

In addition, the inspectors reviewed quality assurance (QA) audits and surveillance reports, departmental self-assessments, and functional area assessments.

b. Observations and Findings

There were no significant findings in this area. Problems identified at VY, whether by the licensee, contractors, or third party reviewers were entered into one of the licensee's programs for corrective action. For most problems, personnel used the ER system to initiate the process of evaluation and resolution; although many of the issues were entered directly into the work order request (WOR), or one of the other systems. The ERs were appropriately classified for Event Level (1, 2, 3), which correlated to the depth of the review (RCA, apparent cause evaluation, most probable cause) for the reason for the problem. The identification of problems by VY personnel was not limited to actual problems at VY, but also to potential or undiscovered problems discovered through the review of operating experience information. The inspectors attended the daily screening meetings of the ERs and WORs, and considered the management at the meetings to be questioning and conservative with respect to assigning the Event Level.

The inspectors did, however, identify an example where an equipment problem was entered into the WOR process, but the equipment was not repaired in a timely manner. During the inspection, the licensee identified a second example. Specifically:

- In May 1999, the augmented off-gas building ventilation system failed the annual filter differential pressure test. During the inspection, the team identified that the system had not been repaired or retested. The licensee initiated ERs #2000-0635 and #2000-0719.
- In March 1998, the shutdown iodine filter for the mechanical vacuum pump for the main condenser failed the differential pressure test. During the inspection,

VY identified that the filter had not been replaced or retested. The license initiated ER #2000-0704.

The above issues were determined to be more than minor, did not affect any cornerstone, but provides substantial information regarding cross-cutting issues (i.e., no color) in accordance with NRC Manual Chapter 0610*, Appendix E. Although the systems were degraded, the equipment was capable of performing its function or was not required for the current plant condition. Procedure AP-0009, "Event Reports," paragraph A.1.a requires that an ER be initiated for surveillance testing that identifies conditions outside acceptance limits that are indicative of plant equipment problems where function is impacted. The VY Technical Specification, Section 6.4, states that written procedures shall be established and implemented. Although the significance was low, the failure to initiate an ER upon when a surveillance did not meet acceptance criteria is a violation of the VY Technical Specifications. This violation is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation (NCV), in accordance with Section VI.A of the NRC's Enforcement Policy. **(NCV 50000271/2000-003-01)**

In addition, in May 1999, during work on a 345KV switchyard breaker, VY identified that the setpoint for the insulating gas (SF₆) low pressure alarm for this breaker was 600 pounds per square inch (psi). A WOR was initiated to resolve the discrepancy between the alarm setpoint for this breaker and the alarm setpoint for the other breakers, which was 1400 psi. During the inspection, the team identified that the WOR had been canceled without resolving the discrepancy. The licensee initiated ER #2000-0722.

VY self-assessments and third party reviews were critical and identified several issues that were entered into the corrective action program for resolution. The types of problems identified during the self-assessments and QA audits and surveillances were comparable to the issues identified by the inspection team. However, the inspectors did note that when QA identified a problem, the ER did not always go to the screening meeting in a timely manner. For example, in one case the ER went to the screening committee 20 days after it was initiated. Although the ER procedure, AP-0009, does not have a specific requirement for timeliness of ER screening, the team considered 20 days to be excessive and could result in delay for an operability determination. The licensee initiated ER #2000-0720.

.2 Root Cause Analysis

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's investigation into the reason for the problems identified on the ERs listed in the Attachment. The extent of investigation was dependent on the Event Level of the ER.

b. Observations and Findings

There were no significant findings in this area. The root cause analyses (Event Level 1 ERs) and the apparent cause evaluations (Event Level 2 ERs) were generally of good

quality and comprehensive. As appropriate, they considered common cause and extent of condition.

However, the team did identify one example where an RCA was required for an Event Level 1 ER, but it was not completed and VY management was unaware of this. Specifically, in September 1999, the refueling floor radiation monitor failed high, resulting in a Group III containment isolation (as designed). ER 1999-1052 was written and classified as Event Level 1, which required an RCA. As part of VY's corrective action process, actions resulting from an ER were assigned a number in the Commitment Tracking System and the ER was closed. In this case, Commitment 1999-1052-01 was to send the failed monitor to the manufacturer for determination of a root cause. The monitor was shipped in November 1999 and the commitment was closed. During the team's review of the ER, the inspector noted that the RCA was not in the package and requested a copy. At that time, it was identified that the manufacturer had submitted a failure analysis report but not an RCA. The licensee subsequently initiated ER 2000-0637 to address this issue. This particular matter constitutes a violation of minor significance and is not subject to normal enforcement action.

.3 Implementation of Corrective Actions

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the recommended corrective actions against the reasons for the problem identified by the licensee during their investigation (for example, the RCA). The review included an assessment of the backlog of corrective actions, including the maintenance and engineering backlogs, to determine in any actions, individually or collectively, represented an increased risk due to the delay of implementation.

b. Observations and Findings

There were no significant findings in this area. The proposed corrective actions were appropriately scheduled commensurate with both the risk significance and the plant impact. By sampling, the inspectors verified that corrective actions were properly completed; such as procedure revisions, engineering calculations, and in-plant repairs.

.4 Assessment of Safety Conscious Work Environment

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's Employee Concerns Program (ECP) for implementation of a safety conscious work environment. The team interviewed plant staff to determine if conditions existed that would result in personnel being hesitant to raise safety concerns to their management and/or the NRC. Approximately forty individuals were interviewed.

b. Observations and Findings

There were no significant findings in this area. Plant personnel were familiar with the ECP process and there was no indication of any hesitancy on the part of personnel to identify safety issues to management.

4OA5 Management Meetings

Exit Meeting Summary

The inspectors presented the inspection results to Mr. M. Balduzzi, Plant Manager, and other members of licensee management at the conclusion of the inspection on May 11, 2000. The licensee acknowledged the findings presented.

The inspectors asked the licensee whether any materials examined during the inspection should be considered proprietary. No proprietary information was identified.

ATTACHMENT 1

NRC's REVISED REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS

The federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) recently revamped its inspection, assessment, and enforcement programs for commercial nuclear power plants. The new process takes into account improvements in the performance of the nuclear industry over the past 25 years and improved approaches of inspecting and assessing safety performance at NRC licensed plants.

The new process monitors licensee performance in three broad areas (called strategic performance areas): reactor safety (avoiding accidents and reducing the consequences of accidents if they occur), radiation safety (protecting plant employees and the public during routine operations), and safeguards (protecting the plant against sabotage or other security threats). The process focuses on licensee performance within each of seven cornerstones of safety in the three areas:

Reactor Safety

- Initiating Events
- Mitigating Systems
- Barrier Integrity
- Emergency Preparedness

Radiation Safety

- Occupational
- Public

Safeguards

- Physical Protection

To monitor these seven cornerstones of safety, the NRC uses two processes that generate information about the safety significance of plant operations: inspections and performance indicators. Inspection findings will be evaluated according to their potential significance for safety, using the Significance Determination Process, and assigned colors of GREEN, WHITE, YELLOW or RED. GREEN findings are indicative of issues that, while they may not be desirable, represent very low safety significance. WHITE findings indicate issues that are of low to moderate safety significance. YELLOW findings are issues that are of substantial safety significance. RED findings represent issues that are of high safety significance with a significant reduction in safety margin.

Performance indicator data will be compared to established criteria for measuring licensee performance in terms of potential safety. Based on prescribed thresholds, the indicators will be classified by color representing varying levels of performance and incremental degradation in safety: GREEN, WHITE, YELLOW, and RED. GREEN indicators represent performance at a level requiring no additional NRC oversight beyond the baseline inspections. WHITE corresponds to performance that may result in increased NRC oversight. YELLOW represents performance that minimally reduces safety margin and requires even more NRC oversight. And RED indicates performance that represents a significant reduction in safety margin but still provides adequate protection to public health and safety.

The assessment process integrates performance indicators and inspection so the agency can reach objective conclusions regarding overall plant performance. The agency will use an Action Matrix to determine in a systematic, predictable manner which regulatory actions should be taken based on a licensee's performance. The NRC's actions in response to the significance (as represented by the color) of issues will be the same for performance indicators as for inspection findings. As a licensee's safety performance degrades, the NRC will take more and increasingly significant action, which can include shutting down a plant, as described in the Action Matrix.

More information can be found at: <http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/index.html>.

ATTACHMENT 2

PARTIAL LIST OF PERSONNEL CONTACTED

Vermont Yankee

M. Balduzzi - Plant Manager
K. Bronson - Superintendent, Operations
D. Calsyn - Manager, Technical Support
M. Desilets - Manager, Radiation Protection
J. Dreyfuss - Superintendent, Technical Services
J. Hoffman - Director, Design Engineering
D. Katch - Manager, Chemistry
J. Laughney - Manager, Operational Quality Assurance
J. Moriarty - Manager, Security
S. Naeck - Manager, Mechanical Maintenance
S. Newton - Vice President, Operations
R. Sojka - Superintendent, Maintenance
R. Wanczyk - Coordinator, Employee Concerns Program
D. Yazi - Manager, Engineering (acting)

NRC

J. Jacobson - Project Manager, NRR
E. Knutson - Resident Inspector
R. Summers - Senior Project Engineer

ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED/UPDATED

Opened & Closed

271/2000-003-01	NCV	Failure to Initiate an Event Report for Out-of-Service Equipment, as Required by Procedure	(IR Section 40A2.1)
-----------------	-----	--	---------------------

Closed

271/1999-012-01	VIO	Failure to Balance Reliability and Unavailability in the Periodic Evaluation Required 10CFR50.65(a)(3)	(IR Section 1R12)
-----------------	-----	--	-------------------

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ER	Event Response
NCV	Non-Cited Violation
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
RCA	Root Cause Analysis
TS	Technical Specification
VIO	Violation
VY	Vermont Yankee
WOR	Work Order Request

LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

PROCEDURES

AP-0009	Revision 10	Event Reports
AP-0010	Revision 28	Notifications and Reports Due
AP-0020	Revision 21	Control of Temporary and Minor Modifications
AP-0028	Revision 19	Commitment Tracking
AP-0038	Revision 01	Operating Experience Procedure
AP-0057	Revision 00	Self-Assessment
AP-0094	Revision 00	NRC Performance Indicator Reporting
AP-0844	Revision 02	Performance and Documentation of Procurement Quality Receipt Inspections
AP-6004	Revision 18	Engineering Design Change Request
AP-6005	Revision 01	Functional Area Assessment Development
AP-6008	Revision 00	Vermont Yankee Design Change
AP-6010	Revision 14	In-Plant Audits and Surveillances
AP-6025	Revision 05	Quality Control / Independent Inspection
DP-0550	Revision 02	Radiation Protection Department Self-Assessment Procedure
OP-4501	Revision 15	Filter Testing
PP-7016	Revision 01	Vermont Yankee Observation Program
PP-7017	Revision 00	Corrective Action Program Procedure
RP-0537	Revision 04	Contamination Events Procedure

EVENT REPORTS:

Event Level 1 ERs (includes a Root Cause Analysis)

95-0303	Both Plant Stack Sampling Systems Were off at the Same Time
97-0217	Non-Conservative Design Assumptions Discovered in a VY Equipment Qualification Program
97-0401	Group III Isolation in "B" Reactor Building Vent Rad High Spike
98-0098	Fire Barrier Work per Minor Modification Resulted in Plant Scram (Noise)
98-0298	Hydrogen Recombiner Tripped on Low Cooling Water Flow
98-0352	Cable Routing Not Consistent with FSAR
98-0579	Failed Fuel Assembly Identified During Sipping
98-0942	HPCI/RCIC Low Steam Pressure Isolation Bypassed During Startup
98-1013	Reactor Building Blowout Panels-relief Pressure Design
98-1037	Lack of Coordination - Breaker 3v4 Control Power to Establish the Vernon Tie for Alternate Shutdown
98-1251	Group III Isolation Following Loss of Power to "B" RPS
98-1339	"B" SBGT Fan Tripped When HPCI Gland Exhaust Fan Started
99-0544	Missed Surveillance for Reactivity Anomalies
99-1052	Refuel Floor Radiation Monitor Failed High Resulting in GRP III Isolation
99-1413	The MG-1-1b Recirculation MG Set Field Breaker Failed to Open on Demand
99-1529	SJAE Source Check Not Performed
99-2071	"A" Recirculation Pump Tripped Due to Loss of Both MG Lube Oil Pumps
2000-0264	Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water Design

Event Level 2 ERs (includes an Apparent Cause Evaluation)

98-0149	Tritium Detected in North Storm Drain Sample
98-0199	Fire Barrier with a Major Defect
98-0201	Cable Tray R352SII with a Degraded Fire Stop Material
98-0268	High Radiation Area Boundary / Barricade Found Open
98-0273	Boundary of HRA Compromised by Ladder

98-0296 Loss of Vernon Tie Informed by ISO NE
 98-0313 Discrepancy Between the HPCI High Steam Flow Limit in the TS and FSAR for Automatic Isolation
 98-0436 High Radiation Area Boundary Violation
 98-0446 "B" Recirculation MG Set Field Breaker Failed to Open
 98-0514 Adverse Trend - Molded Case Breaker Failures
 98-0667 MOV V23-17 Motor Failed Performance Test
 98-0774 Wiring Not in Accordance with CWD
 98-0817 Valve Positioner Damage
 98-0886 Inadequately Posted HRA at CRD Room
 98-0908 Molded Case Breaker Inspection Failure
 98-1080 Not Following Procedure During Release of Upper Drywell
 99-1099 Licensed Operator Placed on Medical Hold Without Informing Operations Department
 98-1238 Unanticipated HRA During Calibration of Main Steam Line Monitor Using Radiography Source
 98-1304 Lack of Timeless in DBD Updates
 98-1426 Administrating Controls for the Control of Temporary Modification (TM) and Minor Modifications Have Not Been Consistently Implemented
 98-1715 No Administrative Controls for the Implementation of the Blind Performance Test Program
 98-1960 High Radiation Area Master Key Not Provided to SS
 98-2205 Nearest Site Residence Exceeding Dose Assumptions
 99-0067 Ineffective Corrective Actions Have Resulted in the Recurrence of Similar Events
 99-0176 Fire Door Gaps
 99-0617 Missing Auxiliary Operator Key Ring
 99-0629 HPCI/RCIC Pipe Gap Tolerance Exceeded
 99-0691 NNS (C1729G) Cable Routed Through an SI Containment Penetration as Well as in Other SII Raceway
 99-0697 Diesel Fire Pump Failed to Achieve Required Pressure
 99-0784 Core Spray "B" Pump Suction Valve Tripped During IST Surveillance
 99-0859 Calculation Error Pertaining to the Water Hammer Concerns Found in the Review of EDCR 97-417
 99-0864 Low Starting Air Pressure on "B" EDG Due to Failure of Flexible Hose
 99-0929 Apparent Required Actions Included in Setpoint Program Calculations as Recommendations Have Not Been Formally Dispositioned from a Self-Assessment
 99-0938 Flow Oscillation in Recirculation Loop 'B'
 99-1012 During EDG Testing, the HPCI Suction Swapped to the Torus
 99-1099 Licensed Operator Placed on Medical Hold Without Informing the Operations Department
 99-1140 7 of 22 SROs Failed to Make Correct Protective Action Recommendation During 1999 Annual Operating Exam
 99-1269 Operations Has Noted an Adverse Trend in Equipment Status
 99-1503 Workers Unaware of Area Dose Rates
 2000-0033 Tech Spec Table 4.9.2 Required Test Not Performed Every Quarterly
 2000-0034 Potential Adverse Trend Exists in the Performance of Plant Surveillances
 2000-0220 Procedure Allow Breaching of the Control Room Habitability Envelope Without Adequate Compensatory Measure (MM 99-059)
 2000-0298 Operability Determination for ER 99-1589 and DBD Validation Report Contain Inaccurate Information - DC Disconnect Switches
 2000-0313 Main Station Battery B Cell Problem

2000-0421 Equivalency Evaluation Did Not Perform Assessment Required by GL 95-02
 2000-0484 Unanticipated Trip of Recirculation MG LO Pump
 2000-0582 Untimely Screening of Condition Adverse to Quality Identified by the NRC
 2000-0206 Consignee's Current NRC Rad Material License Was Not in File
 2000-0704 Untimely Response to Work Order Request/corrective Actions
 2000-0720 Adverse Trend - QA ER's Found with Significant Delays Between Initiation and Screening

Event Level 3 ERs (includes a Most Probable Cause)

98-0278 Unposted HRA
 99-0004 1T Breaker High Pressure Cylinder Pressure Switch Confusion
 99-0005 Fire Barrier Inoperable Due to Change in Ventilation
 99-0041 Missing LHRA Door Key
 99-0063 Chemistry Department Does Not Always Generate, Store, and Maintain QA Documents
 99-0115 NUPIC LOCA Audit Finding
 99-0118 Training Missed of Procedure
 99-0120 Unposted Radiation Area
 99-0162 RCIC CST Low Level Auto Suction Transfer
 99-0175 RCIC Flow Element Curve - RCIC Flow Testing
 99-0215 Delay Between Completion of MOVATS Testing and Declaring the "A" LPCI and CS Systems Operable
 99-0248 Random Drug Screening Discrepancies
 99-0302 Work Orders Generated for the New Torus Range Level Indication But the Work Was Not Completed as Scheduled
 99-0313 10 CFR 73.57 Alignment with Internal Procedure
 99-0345 Radiation and Contamination Surveys Were Not Performed in Accordance with Station Procedures
 99-0346 Weaknesses Noted in RWP Area
 99-0353 Loss of Channel #3 on UHF Radio
 99-0376 Rad Material Shipping Container Not Properly Marked
 99-0377 Management Expectations Not Met with Respect to RCAs Associated with Licensee LERs
 99-0457 HRA not on the logsheet
 99-0750 Designation of Which Are Locked Valves Is Not Consistent Between Procedures
 99-0873 DBD Pending Changes Not Submitted for Validation Findings
 99-0940 Inconsistencies Exists Between VY C-411 and T.S. LCO 3.7.A.6.b
 99-0958 Loss of Electrical Power at Environmental Station
 99-0959 Issued Wrong Identification Badge/Card Key
 99-1153 RBCCW Piping Stresses at Recirculating Pump Connectors
 99-1210 Problem with RO-20 Meters May Cause Intermittent Drastic Under-response
 99-1261 FAVP - Inconsistent Air Ejector Description in UFSAR
 99-1284 Security Officer Issued Wrong ID Badge/Card Key
 99-1286 Failure to Comply with Posted Instructions Following PCM Alarm
 99-1333 Inadequate Contamination Survey Techniques Observed
 99-1398 Station Back-feeding Test
 99-1480 Parts Ordered under Wrong Safety Class
 99-1527 Posting Violation/Improper Posting
 99-1777 Worker EPD High Dose Rate Alarm - Signed in on wrong RWP
 99-1974 Missed Step during Calibration of SJAЕ Radiation Monitors
 99-1989 Failure to Report Arrest
 99-2009 an Incorrect Transmitter Installed

99-2038	Clear Zone Parking Violation
99-2039	Procedural Non-compliance (Arrests)
2000-0028	Discrepancy Between Computer Presentation and Content in RWP
2000-0045	Unexplained Increase in SJAE Off Gas Release
2000-0169	an Area That Was Being Controlled as a LHRA Was Left Unguarded for Approximately 5 Minutes
2000-0685	Cracks Identified in Spare Shotgun Stocks
2000-0305	Analysis Associated with ER2000043 May Have Been Adequate to Address Broader Issues
2000-0446	Work Orders Reference EE's that Do Not Address the Component to be Changed
2000-0499	NRC Violations Were Not Entered into ER Process
2000-0509	Failure to Initiate a Condition Affecting Installed Plant Equipment Was Discovered
2000-0635	Untimely Resolution To Work Order Requests/Work Orders
2000-0637	Inadequate Corrective Action Creates Potential for Potential for Inadequate Review of RCA
2000-0719	Failure to Write ER for Equipment Test (May 1999) Not Meeting Acceptance Criteria

NON-CITED VIOLATIONS AND VIOLATIONS:

Non-Cited Violations (NCVs):

50-271/98-04-01	Fuel Bundle Incorrect Orientation
50-271/98-04-03	Inadequate Installation of Over-Pressure Relief Valve
50-271/98-04-05	High Radiation Area Not Barricaded
50-271/98-08-09	Failure to Maintain Fire Barrier
50-271/98-09-02	Failure to Continuously Monitor Torus Temperature
50-271/98-10-01	Drain Valves in Torus Vent Systems Not in Proper Position
50-271/98-10-02	1 Hour Notification Not Made
50-271/98-10-03	Inadequate Procedure for Maintenance on 4KV Circuit Breakers
50-271/98-11-01	Instrument Isolation Valves Not Closed as Required by TS
50-271/98-11-02	Seismic Wall Qualification Affects EDG LCO
50-271/98-12-01	Missed Surveillance of Relays
50-271/98-12-03	Degraded Fire Barrier
50-271/98-12-04	Delay in Compensatory Fire Watch
50-271/98-13-01	Bypass of HPCI/RCIC Isolation Signal
50-271/98-13-03	Inadequate Design Control for Blowout Panel
50-271/98-14-01	Worked on Wrong Division of Standby Gas Treatment
50-271/98-14-02	HPCI Pressure Switch Isolated During Maintenance Not Returned to Service
50-271/98-80-05	Inadequate 50.59 for HPCI/RCIC Vacuum Breakers
50-271/98-80-09	Failure to Submit LER
50-271/99-02-01	IST Procedure Deficiency
50-271/99-02-02	EDG Temperature Switch Set Wrong
50-271/99-02-03	Inadequate Procedure for Weld Repairs
50-271/99-03-01	Inadequate Procedure for Implementing Missed TS Surveillances
50-271/99-03-03	Failure to Perform an ASME Code Inspection after Valve Repair
50-271/99-05-02	Inadequate Procedure for Alternate Cooling System
50-271/99-05-03	Inadequate QA Controls for Purchased Engineering Design Services
50-271/99-06-02	Failure to Revise Limiting Case Analysis for Containment Depressurization
50-271/99-07-01	Inadequate Procedure for Operation of Refueling Bridge
50-271/99-08-01	One Channel of Rod Block Monitor Left Out-of-Service
50-271/99-09-01	Design of RHR Heat Exchanger Bypass Valves Not Properly Incorporated into Procedure
50-271/99-09-03	EOP for Containment Flooding Changed Without Approval of NRC (50.59)

50-271/99-09-04	Modification for Hardened Vent Did Not Consider Containment Flooding
50-271/99-09-05	Failure to Inform Workers of Elevated Dose Rates
50-271/99-10-01	Neutron Monitoring Equipment Inoperable Due to Inadequate Surveillance Test
50-271/99-10-02	Work on 6 Risk Significant Valves Not Performed Correctly by Contractors
50-271/99-10-03	High Energy Line Break Isolation Valves Chamfering Not in Accordance with Design Documents
50-271/99-11-03	Cable Separation Issue

Violations (VIOs):

Note: Due to there being no NCVs in the Physical Protection Cornerstone, three violations were chosen for review and implementation of the associated corrective action.

50-271/98-04-06	Unmonitored Access Pathway into Reactor Building Protected Area
50-271/98-05-01	Failure of the Security Force to Detect a Test Device a Physical Search
50-271/98-05-02	Failure of the Perimeter Intrusion Detection System at the Protected Area Barrier to Detect the Regional Assist Team in Six of Ten Zones

NOTE: In accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, the two violations below had been closed administratively, and entered into the licensee's corrective action program (similar to an NCV). As such, these violations reviewed similarly to an NCV.

50-271/98-80-04	Failure to Control Changes to Design Basis Documents
50-271/98-80-07	Failure to Obtain NRC Approval prior to Revising QA Commitments

OPERATING EXPERIENCE:

Part 21

- Vendor 99010, dated 7/6/99, Rosemount Part 21 Notification "Potential Need for Capacitor Replacement in Specific Trip/Calibration Systems
- Vendor 99006, dated 4/26/99, Part 21 on Fairbanks Morse / Coltec Diesel Generator Turbocharger (model 730)
- Vendor 99001, dated 1/15/99, Rosemount Nuclear Part 21 for Model 1153B Alphaline Nuclear Pressure Transmitter
- Vendor 2000002, dated 2/29/00, Part 21 for Minimum Test Voltage for GE Type AK/AKR Circuit Breakers

Information Notices

- IN 98024, dated 7/14/98, Stem Binding in Turbine Governor Valves in RCIC and Aux Feedwater Systems
- IN 98043, dated 12/8/98, Leaks in the Emergency Diesel Generator Lubricating Oil and Jacket Cooling Water Piping
- IN 98021, dated 6/30/98, Potential Deficiency of Electrical Cable/Connection Systems
- IN 98007, dated 3/10/98, Offsite Power Reliability Challenges from Industry Deregulation
- IN 97090, dated 1/16/98, Use of Non-Conservative Acceptance Criteria in Certain Safety-Related Pump Surveillance Tests
- IN 98040, dated 11/4/98, Design Deficiencies Can Lead to Reduced Pump Net Positive Suction Head During Design-Basis Accident
- IN 98003, dated 3/1/98, Inadequate Verification of Overcurrent Trip Setpoints in Metal-Clad, Low-Voltage Circuit Breakers

OTHER OE

- SOER 990101, dated 1/5/00, Evaluate SOER re: Loss of Grid
- OE8748, dated 3/1/98, RCIC Fails to Develop Proper Discharge Pressure, Speed, Flow Due to Binding of Governor Valve (Hatch)
- OE8728, dated 1/31/98, Common Mode Failure Analysis for Emergency Diesel Generator (DG) Start Failures
- UND 98049, dated 5/22/98, Multiple Control Rod Drift Alarms Results in Manual Scram at Fitzpatrick

SER 9803, dated 9/22/98, Flooding of ECCS Rooms Caused by Fire Protection Water Hammer (WNP-2)
 SEN 189R1, dated 12/13/98, Water Hammer Causes Component Cooling Water System Rupture Disc Failure Following Safeguards Bus Loss of Power
 OE 9301, dated 10/9/98, RCIC Turbine Exhaust Rupture Disc Burst During Cold Quick, Start Test (LaSalle)
 SIL 0623, dated 11/1/99, HPCI and RCIC Peak Pump Discharge Pressure During Surveillance Test
 SIL 0621, dated 10/13/98, Reactor Recirculation System Operation With Locked Flow Control
 SER 001, dated 1/20/00, Reactor Coolant Leak Resulting from Residual Heat Removal (RHR) Piping Failure

SELF-ASSESSMENT & FUNCTIONAL AREA ASSESSMENT REPORTS:

Technical Support Self-Assessment 2000-01: Conformance with INPO Document "Principles for Effective Self-Assessment and Corrective Programs"
 Technical Support Self-Assessment 2000-02: Problem Identification and Resolution (NRC-type inspection using IP 71152)
 Technical Support Self-Assessment 2000-03: Corrective Action Effectiveness
 Technical Support Self-Assessment 2000-04: Corrective Action Effectiveness
 Self Assessment on Security Equipment, dated 12/30/1999 and associated ER
 Self-Assessment on Seven Day Protected Area Fence Alarm System Climb Test, dated 9/14/1999
 Self-Assessment on Security/Safeguards Event Reports, dated 1/12/2000
 Self-Assessment on Access Authorization, dated 2/28/2000
 Self-Assessment on Security Equipment, dated 3/9/2000
 Self-Assessment on Fitness for Duty, dated 3/10/2000
 Self-Assessment on NRC Inspection Report 50-271/98-05 and NOV, dated 3/2/1999
 Self-Assessment on Tritium Related Issues and Commitments, dated 3/22/1999
 RP Self-Assessment 99-03, Compare 40 Radiation/Contamination Surveys Against Internal Procedure Criterion, dated 3/19/1999
 RP Self-Assessment 00-10, Assess RP Performance in the Area of HRA Controls During RFO21, dated 2/1/2000
 RP Self-Assessment 00-02, Assess Human Performance Attributes of RP Dept's Performance during RFO21, dated 2/3/2000
 RP Functional Area Assessment, dated 10/14/1999

QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDITS & SURVEILLANCES:

Audits:

Audit # 98-02: Chemistry/RETS/REMP/ODCM
 Audit # 98-04: Security
 Audit # 98-09: Radwaste/Process Control Program
 Audit # 99-01: Operations
 Audit # 99-02: Chemistry/RETS/REMP/ODCM
 Audit # 99-03: Radiation Protection
 Audit # 99-04: Security
 Audit # 99-14: Emergency Preparedness
 Audit # 99-16: Corrective Action / Functional Area Assessment
 Audit # 99-19: Fitness for Duty / Access Authorization
 Audit # 2000-02: RETS/REMP/ODCM
 Audit # 2000-19: Fitness for Duty / Access Authorization

Surveillances:

Surveillance # 99-013: Operator Performance (Auxiliary Operator Rounds)
 Surveillance # 99-023: Emergency Preparedness Drill of 3/23/99

Surveillance # 99-049: Security Force Training and Force on Force Drills, dated 5/19/99
 Surveillance # 99-056: Engineering Self-Assessments
 Surveillance # 99-069: Reactor Engineering
 Surveillance # 99-092: Northeast Mountain Radio (Public Notification System)
 Surveillance # 99-096: Chemistry - Post Accident Sampling System
 Surveillance # 2000-030: Emergency Preparedness/Respiratory Protection
 Surveillance # 99-009: Radioactive Material Shipment 99-02
 Assessment # 99-095: Radiation Protection Work Practices
 Assessment # 99-057: Radioactive Material Shipment
 Assessment # 99-067: Radioactive Material Shipment
 Joint Utility Management Audit (JUMA), dated September 20-24, 1999: Corrective Action Program Effectiveness

OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES:

Plant Operations Review Committee

PORC Meeting Minutes, dated 2/3/00

PORC Meeting Minutes, dated 11/28/99

PORC Meeting Minutes, dated 12/01/99

Nuclear Safety Audit Review Committee

NSARC Meeting #2000-02R) Minutes, dated 3/8/2000

NSARC Meeting #1999-09R) Minutes, dated 8/16/99

NSARC Meeting #1999-014R Minutes, dated 11/3/99

NSARC Open Item List, dated 4/5/2000

NSARC Questions List, dated 4/5/2000