



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV
611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400
ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064**

August 15, 2002

Gregory M. Rueger, Senior Vice
President, Generation and Chief Nuclear Officer
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, California 93424

**SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT - NRC INTEGRATED INSPECTION
REPORT 50-275/02-06; 50-323/02-06**

Dear Mr. Rueger:

On July 11, 2002, the NRC completed an inspection at your Diablo Canyon Power Plant. The enclosed report documents the inspection findings, which were discussed on July 11, 2002, with Mr. D. Oatley, Vice President Operations and Plant Manager, and other members of your staff.

This inspection examined activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and with the conditions of your license. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selected examination of procedures and representative records, observations of activities, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the results of this inspection, the NRC has identified one finding of very low safety significance (green).

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Charles S. Marschall, Chief
Engineering and Maintenance Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

Dockets: 50-275; 50-323
Licenses: DPR-80; DPR-82

cc w/enclosure:

David H. Oatley, Vice President
Diablo Canyon Operations and Plant Manager
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 56
Avila Beach, California 93424

Lawrence F. Womack, Vice President, Power
Generation & Nuclear Services
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 56
Avila Beach, California 93424

Dr. Richard Ferguson
Energy Chair
Sierra Club California
1100 11th Street, Suite 311
Sacramento, California 95814

Nancy Culver
San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace
P.O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, California 93448

Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Truman Burns\Mr. Robert Kinosian
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness, Rm. 4102
San Francisco, California 94102

Robert R. Wellington, Esq.
Legal Counsel
Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee
857 Cass Street, Suite D
Monterey, California 93940

Ed Bailey, Radiation Control Program Director
Radiologic Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178)
Sacramento, California 94234-7320

Steve Hsu
Radiologic Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
P.O. Box 942732
Sacramento, California 94327-7320

Christopher J. Warner, Esq.
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

City Editor
The Tribune
3825 South Higuera Street
P.O. Box 112
San Luis Obispo, California 93406-0112

Robert A. Laurie, Commissioner
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street (MS 31)
Sacramento, California 95814

Electronic distribution by RIV:
Regional Administrator (**EWM**)
DRP Director (**KEB**)
DRS Director (**EEC**)
Senior Resident Inspector (**DLP**)
Branch Chief, DRP/E (**WBJ**)
Senior Project Engineer, DRP/E (**JSD**)
Staff Chief, DRP/TSS (**PHH**)
RITS Coordinator (**NBH**)
Scott Morris (**SAM1**)
DC Site Secretary (**AWC1**)

SRI:EMB	RI:EMB	RI:EMB	SRI:EMB	RI:EMB	SRI:EMB	C:EMB	C:DRPE	C:EMB
MFRunyan/lmb	JFMelfi	JTaylor	PAGoldberg	WCSifre	WMMcNeill	CSMarschall	WBJones	CSMarschall
/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/
08/06/02	08/02/02	08/08/02	08/06/02	08/06/02	08/06/02	08/06/02	08/08/02	08/13/02

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

T=Telephone

E=E-mail

F=Fax

ENCLOSURE

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV

Dockets: 50-275; 50-323

Licenses: DPR-80; DPR-82

Report No: 50-275/02-06; 50-323/02-06

Licensee: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Facility: Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit 1 and 2

Location: 7 ½ miles NW of Avila Beach
Avila Beach, California

Dates: June 17 through July 11, 2002

Team Leader: M. F. Runyan, Senior Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch

Inspectors: P.A. Goldberg, Senior Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch
W. M. McNeill, Senior Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch
J. Taylor, Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch
J. F. Melfi, Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch
W.C. Sifre, Reactor Inspector, Engineering Maintenance Branch

Accompanying Personnel: G. Skinner, Beckman and Associates
J. Diecker, Engineering Associate

Approved By: Charles S. Marschall, Chief
Engineering Maintenance Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000275; -323/02-06; Pacific Gas and Electric. Co.; 06/24-07/11/2002; Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2; safety system design and performance capability.

The inspections were conducted by six regional inspectors and one contractor. The inspectors identified two green findings, one of which was characterized as a noncited violation. The other finding did not involve a violation of NRC regulations. The significance of most findings is indicated by their color (Green, White, Yellow, Red) and determined by using Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process (SDP)." Findings for which the significance determination process does not apply are indicated by "No Color" or by the severity level of the applicable violation. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described at its Reactor Oversight Process website at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/index.html>.

Cornerstone: Mitigating Systems

- Green. The plant electrical distribution consisted of a design where the three redundant 4160 V safety buses and a non-safety bus were supplied from a common transformer winding during both normal and emergency operation. The 4160 V buses were interconnected by conductors so that a voltage disturbance on any part of the system would affect the entire system. The system had a high resistance grounding design to limit the magnitude of ground faults and to enable continued operation of a faulted load. The grounding resistor admits sufficient fault current to prevent severe over-voltages that could occur. However, if the grounding resistor developed an open circuit, the entire system would be susceptible to over-voltage. The licensee was periodically checking the continuity, but not the actual resistance of the grounding resistors and, thus, assumptions in the design were not being verified. The licensee issued Action Request A0561002 to evaluate the preventive maintenance program of the high resistance grounding program.

This issue did not involve a violation of NRC requirements, but was considered to be a finding because it revealed a vulnerability in the licensee's design and maintenance that could result in a safety problem. However, the finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because there was no evidence that the grounding resistor had ever been degraded and that the probability of a grounding resistor failure in combination with a sparking ground fault was very small (50-275; -323/0206-01) (Section 1R215.b).

Report Details

1 REACTOR SAFETY

Introduction

A team inspection was performed to verify that facility safety system design and performance capability were adequate and that the initial design and subsequent modifications have preserved the current design basis of the systems selected for review. The scope of the review also included any necessary nonsafety-related structures, systems, and components that provided functions to support safety functions. The inspection effort also reviewed the licensee's programs and methods for monitoring the capability of the selected systems to perform the current design basis functions. This inspection verified aspects of the initiating events, mitigating systems, and barrier cornerstones.

The probabilistic risk assessment model for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant is based on the capability of the as-built safety systems to perform their intended safety functions successfully. The area and scope of the inspection were determined by reviewing the licensee's probabilistic risk analysis models to identify the most risk significant systems, structures, and components according to their ranking and potential contribution to dominant accident sequences and/or initiators. Deterministic effort was also applied in the selection process by considering recent inspection history, recent problem area history, and all modifications developed and implemented.

The team reviewed in detail the 4.16 kV electrical distribution system and the component cooling water (CCW) system. The primary review prompted parallel review and examination of support systems, such as, electrical power, instrumentation, room cooling systems, and related structures and components.

The objective of this inspection was to assess the adequacy of calculations, analyses, engineering processes, and engineering and operating practices that were used to support the performance of the safety systems selected for review and the necessary support systems during normal, abnormal, and accident conditions. Acceptance criteria utilized by the NRC inspection team included NRC regulations, the technical specifications, applicable sections of the Final Safety Analysis Report, applicable industry codes and standards, as well as, industry initiatives implemented by the licensee's programs.

An inspection to assess the performance of the licensee's program to meet the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 50.59, "Changes, Tests, and Experiments," was conducted during the first week of the inspection.

1R02 Evaluation of Changes, Tests, and Experiments (71111.02)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed a selected sample of eight safety evaluations to verify that the licensee had appropriately considered the conditions under which the licensee may make changes to the facility or procedures or conduct tests or experiments without prior NRC approval in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59.

The inspectors reviewed 14 safety evaluations pertaining to modifications and procedure and calculation revisions, in which the licensee determined that evaluations were not required, to ensure that the licensee's exclusion of a full evaluation was consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59.

The inspectors evaluated the effectiveness of the licensee's corrective action process to identify and correct problems associated with 10 CFR 50.59 requirements. In this effort, the inspectors reviewed five condition reports. Further, the inspectors reviewed the most recent 10 CFR 50.59 program audit. Additionally, the inspectors reviewed the 10 CFR 50.59 training curriculum and the qualification records of a sample of independent technical reviewers identified in the screening and evaluation forms.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R21 Safety System Design and Performance Capability (71111.21)

.1 System Requirements

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed the following attributes of the CCW system and the 4.16 kV electrical distribution system: (1) process medium (water, steam, and air), (2) energy sources, (3) control systems, and (4) equipment protection. The team verified that procedural instructions to operators were consistent with operator actions required to meet, prevent, and/or mitigate design basis accidents. The review also considered requirements and commitments identified in the Final Safety Analysis Report, technical specifications, design basis documents, and plant drawings.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 System Condition and Capability

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed the periodic testing procedures for the CCW and 4.16 kV electrical distribution systems to verify that the design requirements were adequately demonstrated. The team reviewed the environmental qualification of a sample of system components to verify the capability to operate under design environmental conditions and the assumed operating parameters including: voltage, speed, power, flow, temperature, and pressure.

The team also reviewed the systems' operations by conducting system walkdowns; reviewing normal, abnormal, and emergency operating procedures; and reviewing the Final Safety Analysis Report, technical specifications, design calculations, drawings, and procedures.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.3 Identification and Resolution of Problems

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed a sample of problems identified by the licensee in the corrective action program to evaluate the effectiveness of corrective actions related to design issues. The sample included open and closed condition reports for the past 3 years that identified issues affecting the selected systems.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.4 System Walkdowns

a. Inspection Scope

The team performed walkdowns of the accessible portions of the CCW and 4.16 kV electrical distribution systems, as well as, the required support systems. The walkdowns focused on the installation and configuration of power supplies, piping, components, and instruments. During the walkdowns, the team assessed:

- The placement of protective barriers and systems,
- The susceptibility to flooding, fire, or environmental conditions,
- The physical separation of trains and the provisions for seismic concerns,
- Accessibility and lighting for any required local operator action,

- The materiel condition and preservation of systems and equipment, and
- The conformance of the currently-installed system configurations to the design and licensing bases.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.5 Design Review

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed the current as-built instrument and control, electrical, and mechanical design of the CCW and 4.16 kV electrical distribution systems. These reviews included a review of design assumptions, calculations, required system thermal-hydraulic performance, electrical power system performance, protective relaying, and instrument setpoints and uncertainties. The team also performed a single-failure review of individual components to determine the effects of such failures on the capability of the systems to perform their design safety functions.

The team reviewed calculations, drawings, specifications, vendor documents, Final Safety Analysis Report, technical specifications, emergency operating procedures, and temporary and permanent modifications.

b. Findings

Grounding Resistor Vulnerability

A (green) finding was identified concerning the consequences of a loss of a ground resistor that could make the entire 4160 V electrical system susceptible to damaging over-voltage. The 4160 V electrical distribution system featured a design where the three redundant 4160 V safety buses and a non-safety bus were supplied from a common transformer winding during both normal and emergency operation. As a consequence of this arrangement, the 4160 V buses were directly interconnected by conductors so that a voltage disturbance on any part of the system would affect the entire system. The team noted that the design employed a high resistance grounding scheme that limited the magnitude of ground faults and, thus, enabled continued operation of a faulted load as long as no other faults occurred on the system. The grounding resistor was designed to admit sufficient fault current to prevent a severe over-voltage that could occur as a result of an intermittent (or sparking) ground fault. Therefore, if a ground resistor or its associated connection circuitry developed an open circuit, the system would be susceptible to severe over-voltage. The team concluded that, because of the interconnection of the 4160 V buses, the over-voltage would affect

all redundant safety buses. The over-voltage that could occur from an intermittent ground fault could reach several times normal system voltage, and cause failure of redundant safety-related equipment. As a worst case (but unlikely) scenario, the over-voltage could cause faults on all three safety-related 4160 V switchgear and prevent re-energizing of the buses from the diesel generators.

The team noted that the grounding resistor was not electrically monitored so that an open circuit would not be detectable while the 4160 V system was energized. The resistors were subject to preventive maintenance during refueling outages including cleaning, inspection, and meggering. The team noted that the actual resistance of the resistors was not measured so the performance capability assumed in Calculation 99-DC, "Calculation of Capacitive Charging Current and its Impact on 4.16 kV High Resistance Grounding System," Revision 3, was not verified. However, the continuity of the resistor to ground was checked informally as part of the procedure for removing ground buggies after bus maintenance (Maintenance Procedure MP E-57.11B). In response to the team's concerns, the licensee issued Action Request A0561002 to evaluate the preventive maintenance program on the high resistance grounding system.

Although this finding did not involve a violation of NRC requirements, the team considered it to be significant because the loss of a grounding resistor could make the entire 4160 V system susceptible to damaging over-voltages. This was considered to be a very low probability/ high consequence event. However, because there were no known occurrences of degradation of the grounding resistor during the history of plant operations and that the probability of a grounding resistor failure in combination with a sparking ground fault was very small, the finding had a very low safety significance (green) (50-275;50-323/0206-01).

Effect of Harmonics on Second Level Under-Voltage Relay Accuracy

An unresolved item was identified as a consequence of the licensee having not evaluated the susceptibility of the second level under-voltage relay to the effects of harmonics on either the voltage parameter measured in the field or the voltage source used for calibration. Harmonics may be imposed on the 4160 V safety buses from the offsite power system or from the onsite 4160 V buses because of operation of equipment, including large motors.

The team determined that the second level under-voltage relays installed at the site were ABB (formerly Westinghouse) Model SSV-T relays, which use a rectifier-type sensing circuit. The team noted that the relay did not appear to contain special circuitry required to produce a "True RMS" reading in the presence of harmonics. The relay vendor confirmed that the relay accuracy was affected by variations in input voltage frequency but that the effect of harmonics had not been quantified. If the relay input voltage was not a pure sine wave, or if the relay was not a "True RMS" reading relay, harmonics could cause the relay to trip either high or low. If the relay tripped too low, safety-related loads might not be protected, or technical specification limits could be exceeded. If the relay reset too high, the offsite source could be subjected to spurious loss during design basis events.

The team concluded that the licensee was not evaluating the susceptibility of the second level under-voltage relay to the effects of harmonics on either the voltage parameter measured in the field or the voltage source used for calibration. In response to the team's concern, the licensee performed measurements for the presence of harmonics on operating 4160V buses and found minor harmonic content. This team considered this test to be incomplete because it did not include some motors that could be running during an emergency. Consequently, the team was not able to conclude from the test information provided that the second level under-voltage relay would perform as required.

The significance of this issue depended on the magnitude of harmonics in the system, but a definitive measure of this parameter was not available during the inspection. Consequently, the team could not determine if this item was in noncompliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," which requires that design control measures provide for verifying the accuracy of design, or, if so, whether the issue constituted a finding of more than minor significance. A violation may exist if it is established that the second level under-voltage relay setpoint was inadequate because of failure to account for harmonic effects. The licensee agreed to perform additional testing to determine the susceptibility of the system to harmonics. The finding was identified as an unresolved item pending NRC review of the licensee's tests (50-275; -323/0206-02).

.6 Safety System Inspection and Testing

a. Inspection Scope

The team reviewed the program and procedures for testing and inspecting selected components in the CCW system and the 4.16 kV electrical distribution system. The review included the results of surveillance tests required by the technical specifications.

The team reviewed the program and procedures for testing and inspecting the CCW pumps and heat exchangers.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.4 **OTHER ACTIVITIES (ZA)**

4OA6 Management Meetings

Exit Meeting Summary

The team leader presented the inspection results to Mr. D. Oatley, Vice President, Operations and Plant Manager, and other members of licensee management at the conclusion of the onsite inspection on July 11, 2002.

At the conclusion of this meeting, the team leader asked the licensee's management whether any materials examined during the inspection should be considered proprietary. Some proprietary information was identified, but it was returned to the licensee.

ATTACHMENT

Licensee Contacts :

A. Afzali, Acting Supervisor, PRA
K. Bush, Electrical Consulting Engineer
K. Bych, ICE Engineering Manager
P. Colbert, Electrical Design Supervisor
R. Hess, Assistant to Director of Engineering Services
J. Hodges, Supervisor, NQS
R. Jett, NRC Interface Engineer
K. Kaminski, System Engineer
S. Ketelsen, Supervisor, Regulatory Services
D. Malone, Regulatory Services Engineer
D. Miklush, Director, Engineering Services
P, Milne, System Engineer
D. Oatley, Vice President, Operations and Plant Manager
J. Portney, Senior Engineer, System Engineering
G. Reimers, Electrical Design Engineer
J. Shoulders, Manager, Design Engineering
D. Vosburg, Manager, NSSS
L. Womack, Vice President, Nuclear Services

NRC:

T. Jackson, Resident Inspector
D. Prouix, Senior Resident Inspector

ITEMS OPENED AND CLOSED

Opened and Closed

50-275;50- 323/0206-01	FIN	Grounding resistor vulnerability (Section 1R21.5.b)
---------------------------	-----	---

ITEMS OPENED

Opened

50-275; 50- 323/0206-02	URI	Effect of harmonics on second level under-voltage relay accuracy (Section 1R21.5.b)
----------------------------	-----	---

Documents Reviewed:

Safety Evaluations:

LBIE Log 2002-002, RHR Line 1-S6-509-8 Venting/SI-1-8818D Post-Stroke Leak Testing, Ref. Doc. TP TO-0110/TP TB-0106, dated 2/1/2002

LBIE Log 2002-003, Delete references to Reg Guide 1.75 in DCP E-049605, dated 3/13/2002

LBIE Log 2002-005, ECG 7.8, Accident Monitoring Instrumentation, dated 4/25/2002

LBIE Log 2002-006, DCP Unit 1 Cycle 12 Reactor Core Fuel Load and COLR, dated 4/25/2002

LBIE Log 2002-007, TP TD-0203, Low Pressure Turbine Cover Handling, dated 5/7/2002

LBIE Log No. 2001-045, Unit2 EDUPS2 Battery Reconfiguration/Appendix R Compensatory Measures, dated 12/28/2001
LBIE Log No. 2001-042, Revision to Commitment NBR T31460, dated 12/19/2001

LBIE Log No. 2001-037, Post Accident Sampling System, dated 9/28/2001
DCP E-050322, Interim Operation of Unit 2 Startup Transformer SU21 as a fixed Ratio Transformer after ON-Line Replacement, Revision 1

DCP E-049322, Replace SUT11 with new transformer equipped with LTC, Revision 0

Action Requests:

A0549345	A0517945	A0012461	A0301654	A0518733	A0554081
A0547979	A0393627	A0012469	A0315425	A0520616	A0561002
A0545470	A0231186	A0078312	A0351319	A0520617	A0559897
A0542755	A0237713	A0078315	A0368139	A0520618	A0560002
A0349338	A0542646	A0109944	A0453315	A0520580	A0541186
A0369822	A0419062	A0232589	A0464107	A0520582	A0419448
A0454631	A0559965	A0243993	A0467846	A0536318	A0301535
A0517415	A0559975	A0245077	A0517740	A0554076	A0300421
A0517595	A0559976	A0301653	A0517741		

Procedures

STP M-77, Safety and Relief Valve Testing, Revision 25

MA1.ID19, Safety and Relief Valve Maintenance and Inspection Program, Revision 1A

STP M-51.5, Testing and Maintenance of Safety/Relief Valves, Revision 18

OP AP-11, Malfunction of Component Cooling Water System, Revision 19B

STP V-302, Exercising Valves DEG-214, 225, 236, 247, 258, and 269, Diesel Generator Starting Air Compressor Discharge Check, Revision 10

STP M-130, Leak Rate Testing of the CCW Surge Tank Pressurization System, Revision 4

AR PK01-07, CCW System Surge Tank Level/Make-up, Revision 11A

AR PK01-14, CCW Surge Tank Pressure, Revision 8A

AR PK01-06, CCW Vital Hdr A/B, Revision 18

EOP E-1.3, Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation, Revision 19

OP F-2:VI, CCW System Alignment Verification for Plant Startup, Revision 26

OP K-10B1, Sealed Valve Checklist for Containment Manual Isolation Valves Inside Containment, Revision 14

OP K-10B2, Sealed Valve Checklist for Containment Manual Isolation Valves Outside Containment, Revision 26

OP K-10E1, Sealed Valve Checklist for Component Cooling Water Pump 1-1, Revision 8

OP K-10E2, Sealed Valve Checklist for Component Cooling Water Pump 1-2, Revision 8A

OP K-10E3, Sealed Valve Checklist for Component Cooling Water Pump 1-3, Revision 7

OP K-10E4, Sealed Valve Checklist for Component Cooling Water Vital Headers A and B, Revision 20

STP M-16, Safeguards Active Component Operation by Slave Relay Operation, Revision 9

STP M-26, ASW System Flow Monitoring, Revision 25

STP P-MUW-01, Routine Surveillance Test of Makeup Water Transfer Pump 0-1, Revision 4

STP V-11, Containment Isolation Phase B Valves FCV-355, FCV-356, FCV-357, FCV-363, FCV-749, and FCV-750, Revision 12

STP-13A, CCW Flow Balancing, Revision 13

MP E-63.6A, Maintenance of SF6 4 kV Circuit Breakers, Revision 10

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-06, CCW VITAL HDR A/B, Revision 18

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-07, CCW SYS SURG TK LVE/MK-UP, Revision 3A

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-08, CCW HEADER C, Revision 15B

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-09, CCW PUMPS, Revision 12

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-11, CCW PP. 1-1 RECIRC, Revision 7

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-12, CCW PP. 1-2 RECIRC, Revision 7

Annunciator Response Procedure AR PK01-14, CCW SURGE TANK PRESSURE, Revision 8A

OP AP SD-4, Loss of Component Cooling Water, Revision 13

OP AP-8A, Control Room Inaccessibility - Establishing Hot Standby, Revision 13

OP AP-11, Malfunction of Component Cooling Water System, Revision 19A

STP I-1A, Routine Shift Checks Required by License, Revision 86

STP M-93A, Refueling Interval Surveillance - Containment Fan Cooler System, Revision 12

CF3.ID4, Design Calculations, Revision 10

CF3.ID9, Design Change Package Development, Revision 16

CF6.NE1, Instrument Channel Uncertainty and Setpoint Methodologies, Revision 2A

MP E-50.30C, Time Delay Relay Maintenance, Revision 0A

MP E-50.33A, Westinghouse Type SSV-T One Unit Voltage Relay Maintenance, Revision 6

MP E-57.11B, Protective Grounding, Revision 21

OP J-2:VIII, Guidelines for Reliable Transmission Service for DCP, Revision 3

STP I-1C, Routine Weekly Checks Required by Licenses, Revision 73

STP M-75, 4KV Vital Bus Undervoltage Relay Calibration, Revision 27

Surveillance Tests

R0202175, CCW Flow Balancing, dated May 24, 2001

R0214520, CCW Flow Balancing, dated May 25, 2002

R0221324, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 1-1, dated April 22, 2002

R0228901, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 1-3, dated April 13, 2002

R0228902, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 2-3, dated April 12, 2002

R0229319, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 2-1, dated April 27, 2002

R0230053, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 2-2, dated May 18, 2002

R0231667, Routine Surveillance Test of Component Cooling Water Pump 1-2, dated June 11, 2002

Safety Evaluation Screenings:

- LBIE S-2002-0372, Unit 1 MOD Cycling for Aux Switch Verification, dated 5/21/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0466, Reactor Coolant Drain Tank High Level Alarm Channel, dated 2/14/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0451, RVLIS RTD Qualified Life Determination, dated 5/10/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0429, Motor Protective Device Setting Calculation, dated 5/17/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0421, Motor Protective Device Setting, dated 5/15/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0366, EDG Design Class 1 Governor Model EGB10C/13C Refurbishment, dated 5/17/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0356, Reduced resin loading in CVCS Mixed Bed During Operations, dated 3/19/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0354, Flush Line 7010: Rapid Drain Down Fill Line From CST, dated 4/17/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0351, Steam Generator Nozzle Dam Assembly, dated 4/19/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0337, Motor Protective Device Setting, dated 5/1/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0313, Motor Protective Device Setting and Penetration Protection, dated 5/4/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0311, Unistrut CGI Fasteners, Fittings and Struts (Generic), dated 5/7/2002.
- LBIE S-2002-0289, 120VAC Class 1E Panels, dated 3/21/02.
- LBIE S-2002-0276, Scaling Calculation Update, dated 2/9/2002

Work Orders

C0169414	C0143532	R0064420	C0169520	R0200883
R0136671	C0143779	R0223043	R0213299	C0178220
R0223043	R0064420	A0561048	R0212995	C0178244

Modifications

DCP —049284, Pressurization of the Unit 1 CCW Surge Tank, Revision 0

Calculations

N-190, ASME III Overpressure Protection Devices, dated October 11, 1995

M-1005, Pressure Retention Capability of the CCW Surge Tank, Revision 2

D.2.7, Component Cooling Water System-PRA System Analysis, Revision 6

M-0911, Fire Evaluation of Postfire Safe Shutdown Equipment Operability During Loss of HVAC, Revision 2

M-0912, HVAC Interactions for Postfire Safe Shutdown/room heat-up Due to Loss of HVAC as a Result, Revision 2

M-1017, To Determine Flows in the CCW System, Revision 3

WCAP-13907, Analysis of Containment Response Following Loss-of-Coolant Accidents for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, Revision 0

WCAP-13908, Analysis of Containment Response Following Main Steamline Break Accidents for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, Revision 0

WCAP-14282, Evaluation of Peak CCW Temperature Scenarios for Diablo Canyon Units and 2, Revision 1

M-998, Component Cooling Water Surge Tank," dated April 5, 1996

WCAP-14282, Evaluation of Peak CCW Temperature Scenarios for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, Revision 1

CAP-12526, Auxiliary Salt Water and Component Cooling Water Flow and Temperature Study for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, Revision 1

WCAP-13907, Analysis of Containment Response Following Loss-of-Coolant Accidents for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, dated December 1993

WCAP-13908, Analysis of Containment Response Following Main Steamline Break Accidents for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2, dated December 1993

M-938, CCW Data Input for 1993 Containment Analysis Program, Revision 3

M-966, Establish Maximum CCW Flow Rate to the RHR Heat Exchangers, dated April 8, 1994

M-962, CCW Heat Exchanger DP Limit Calculations, Revision 3

M-1027, To Determine the Maximum Allowable ASW Temperature when Two CCW Hxs are aligned, Revision 2

420DC, Final Unit 2 CCW Heat Exchanger Test Results, dated November 22, 1994

420DC-98.49, DCPD Unit 2 CCW Heat Exchanger 2-1 Test, dated February 1998

420DC, DCPD Unit 1 CCW Heat Exchanger Test, dated April 1995

420DC, Final Unit 1 CCW Heat Exchanger 1-1 Test Results, dated April 22, 1996

420DC, Final Unit 2 CCW Heat Exchanger Test Results, dated November 22, 1994

420DC, DCP Unit 1 RHR Heat Exchanger Performance Test, dated April 16, 1996

93-DC, Unit 1 Load Summation for 120VAC Panels (Class 1E Instrument Power Systems, Revision 10

99-DC, Calculation of Capacitive Charging Current and its Impact on 4.16 kV High Resistance Grounding System, Revision 3

156-DC, Determine Starting Time for 4 kV Motors as a Function of Voltage, Revision 5

101-DC, Unit 2- Load Tabulation and Load Flow Analysis of 120VAC Class 1E Instrument Power Panels, Revision 12

160-DC, O/C Relay Settings for Non-1E 12kV and 4kV Motors, Revision 3

170-DC, Provide Setting for new Basler Electric Overcurrent Relays Used in Overcurrent Protection of 4 kV Class 1E Motors, Revision 15

192A-DC, Determine the maximum allowable length of 120 VAC control wire for control circuits of 480V MCCs, Revision 2

196A-DC, Voltage Drop Calculation for 460V Continuous Duty Motors, Revision 0

235A-DC, Battery 11 Sizing, Voltage Drop, Short Circuit and Charger Sizing Calculation, Revision 5

235B-DC, Battery 12 Sizing, Voltage Drop, Short Circuit and Charger Sizing Calculation, Revision 5

357I-DC, 230/12 kV Startup Transformer LTC (System 69). Revision 2

195F, Verification of MOV Motor Voltages During Starting and Running Conditions, Revision 3

357A-DC, DCP Unit 1 & 2 Load Flow, Short Circuit, and Motor Starting Calculations, Revision 6

357K-DC, 4160 Volt Second Level Undervoltage Relay and Timer Setpoint Calculation, Revision 3

357P-DC, 4160 Volt Second Level Undervoltage Relay and Timer Setpoint Calculation, Revision 0

359-DC, Determination of 230 kV Grid Voltage Limits for DCP Oper Instr O-23, Revision 4

EQP205.1, Safeguard Relay Boards in Turbine Bldg @ El. 119' – Anchorage, Revision 1

ES-13, Qualification of Safeguards Relay Boards, Revision 16

Design Criteria

SCM S-14, Component Cooling Water System, Revision 15C
DCM S-62, 12kV System, Revision 10D
DCM S-63, 4160 Systems
DCM T-18, Electrical Protection, Revision 9
DCM T-19, Electrical Separation and Isolation, Revision 7
DCM T-21, Grounding, Revision 4

Drawings

102014, Sheet 5, Revision 56

102014, Sheet 5A, Revision 45

102014, Sheet 6, Revision 53

102014, Sheet 10, Revision 59

108014, Sheet 5, Revision 45

108014, Sheet 5A, Revision 35

108014, Sheet 6, Revision 40

108014, Sheet 10, Revision 41

498124, Electrical Schematic Diagram Stand-by Start-up Transformer No. 11 Load Tap Changer, Revision 7

437518, Electrical Single Line Diagram for Station Auxiliaries, Revision 35

437519, Single Line Diagram 12/4.16KV System, Revision 19

437529, Electrical Single Line Meter and Relay Diagram Generation Excitation Main and Auxiliary Transformers, Revision 35

437532, Single Line Meter and Relay Diagram 4160 V System, Revision 26

437533, Electrical Single Line Diagram Single Line Meter and Relay Diagram 4160 Volt System, Revision 33

441220, Single Line Diagram for Station Auxiliaries, Revision 23

441219, Electrical Single Line Diagram 12/4.16KV System, Revision 12

441226, Electrical Single Line Meter and Relay Diagram Generation Excitation Main and Auxiliary Transformers, Revision 27

441228, Single Line Meter & Relay Diagram 4160 V System Bus Section D & E, Revision 15

441229, Single Line Meter & Relay Diagram 4160 V System Bus Section F, Revision 14

441230, Single Line Meter & Relay Diagram 4160 V System Bus Section G & H, Revision 20

437566, Electrical Schematic Diagram Condensate and Condensate Booster Pumps, Revision 32

437583, Electrical Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps, Revision 23

437589, Schematic Diagram Safety Injection Pumps, Revision 14

437590, Electrical Schematic Diagram Containment Spray Pumps, Revision 17

437591, Electrical Schematic Diagram Residual Heat Removal Pumps, Revision 21

437593, Electrical Schematic Diagram Component Cooling Water Pumps, Revision 28

437594, Electrical Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Salt Water Pump, Revision 25

437595, Electrical Schematic Diagram Charging Pumps, Revision 25

437613, Schematic Diagram Bus Potential and Synchronizing 4160 Volt System, Revision 15

437614, Electrical Schematic Diagram Bus Potential and Synchronizing 4160 Volt System, Revision 31

437616, Schematic Diagram 4160 V Bus Differential, Revision 5

437617, Schematic Diagram 4160 Volt Bus Differential, Revision 9

437620, Schematic Diagram Stand-by Start-up Transformer No. 12 and Associated Circuit Breakers, Revision 13

437621, Schematic Diagram Stand-by Start-up Transformer No. 12 and Associated Circuit Breakers, Revision 21

437624, Schematic Diagram 4160 V Bus Section D & E Automatic Transfer, Revision 6

437625, Electrical Schematic Diagram 4160 V Bus Section F Automatic Transfer, Revision 28

437626, Electrical Schematic Diagram 4160 V Bus Section G Automatic Transfer, Revision 29

437627, Electrical Schematic Diagram 4160 V Bus Section H Automatic Transfer, Revision 31

437628, Schematic Diagram Motor Control Center Transformer, Revision 12

437628, Electrical Schematic Diagram Motor Control Center Transformer, Revision 15

437663, Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Transformer No. 12 and Associated Circuit Breakers, Revision 16

437664, Electrical Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Transformer No. 12 and Associated Circuit Breakers, Revision 15

Licensee Event Reports

97-001

Miscellaneous Documents

PG&E Letter No. DCL-90-004

Design Calculation STA-133, Maximum allowable leakage from the HHSI header into RCS without imposing thermal stress to the injection piping.

R003P1A, EOP Local Actions Walkdown, dated August 8, 2000

R996P1, AOP/EOP Local Action Walkdown Package L, dated November 24, 1999

LPA-11, Malfunction of CCW System, dated September 8, 2001

NRC Inspection Report 50-275/91-07 and 50-323/91-07, dated July 18, 1991

NRC Inspection Report 50-275/91-07 and 50-323/91-07, dated February 19, 1993

PG&E Letter No. DCL-91-207, Reply to notice of violation, dated August 19, 1991

OMa-1988, Inservice Testing of Valves in Light-Water Reactor Power Plants Technical Specifications, Revision 15

Final Safety Analysis Report, Revision 14

Operating Experience Assessment Log 97-079

Maintenance Rule Database

Pump and Valve Trending Database

DC663312-10-4, D & DB Series Safety Relief Valve Maintenance Manual

P-8136, Safety/Relief Valve Data Sheet

50.59 Review associated with Design Change Package M45691, Revision 0

Listing of rubber lined butterfly valves

Vendor Instruction Manual 663173-120, Fisher Controls Co. - Type 7600 Butterfly Control Valve Body, Revision 7

Vendor Instruction Manual 663314-38, Instruction Manual for Continental Butterfly Valves - Series 9100 RR/L Design, Revision 7

Vendor Instruction Manual 663314-116, Limitorque Type HBC Instruction and Maintenance Manual, Revision 3

PG&E Letter DCL-94-037, Auxiliary Saltwater System, dated February 15, 1994

PG&E Letter DCL 94-120, Auxiliary Saltwater System Outside Design Basis Due to Fouling
File SS5, Supplementary Specification for Squirrel Cage Induction Motors

63ST11: Test New Sudden Pressure Relay Pre-OTG

THU11: Startup XFMR 1-1 Perform Inspection

THU21: Startup XFMR 2-1 Perform Inspection

Westinghouse I.L. 41-766.5B, Installation, Operation, Maintenance Instructions Types SSV-T and SSC-T Relays for Class 1E Application

Maschinenfabrik Reieinhausen Motor Drive Unit MA 7 Operating Instructions No. 40/02
Beckwith LTC Backup Control M-0329A

ABB Instruction Leaflet 48-500-24A, Instructions for Automatic Control of Load Tap Changers
Cut Sheet for Struthers Dunn 112XAX and 112XBX relays

NRC Letter dated April 29, 1999, Issuance of Amendments for Diablo Canyon Nuclear
Power Plant, Unit 1 (TAC No. MA0743) and Unit No. 2 (TAC No. MA07744)

System Training Guide J-6a, 4 kV System, Revision 11