DOCUMENT CHANGE PROPOSAL/BRIEFING SHEET

FINAL DISPOSITION

CHANGE: 1

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 26, 2012 TRACKING #: 31- 17-5-12

SPECIALIST/ROUTING: Donald Kemp AJV-11 (202) 267-5791

1. PARAGRAPH NUMBER AND TITLE:

17-5-12. DELAY REPORTING

- 2. BACKGROUND: FAA Order JO 7210.3, paragraph 17-5-12d, requires facilities to verbally notify the ATCSCC when delays reach or are anticipated to reach 90 minutes, except for EDCT delays as a result of a GDP, but there is no requirement to log that the notification was made.
- 3. **EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** This change adds the requirement to log in NTML, or daily log if the facility does not have NTML, that the verbal notification was made. This change cancels and incorporates N JO 7210.806, Delay Reporting, effective March 19, 2012.
- 4. CHANGE:

OLD

NEW

17-5-12. DELAY REPORTING

17-5-12. DELAY REPORTING

a thru c

d. Facilities must verbally notify the ATCSCC, through the appropriate protocol, when delays reach or are anticipated to reach 90 minutes, except for EDCT delays as a result of a GDP. The facility

manager must be notified when delays reach 90 minutes, except for delays as a result of a GDP.

No change

d. Facilities must verbally notify the ATCSCC, through the appropriate protocol, when delays reach or are anticipated to reach 90 minutes, except for EDCT delays as a result of a GDP. Facilities must document in their NTML, or daily log if the facility does not have NTML, that the verbal notification was completed. The ATCSCC must document in their NTML that the 90-minute verbal notification was received. The facility manager must be notified when delays reach 90 minutes, except for delays as a result of a GDP.

No further changes to paragraph.

1

- 5. **INDEX CHANGES**: N/A
- 6. REFERENCE CHANGES: N/A
- 7. GRAPHICS: N/A
- 8. GENOT/NOTICE: N JO 7210.806, Delay Reporting, effective March 19, 2012
- 9. FORMATTING & PLAIN LANGUAGE REVIEW: 🛛 HM 11/8/2011
- 10. SAFETY RISK MANAGEMENT: (Check appropriate box).
 - **SRMD**. Proposed change meets full SMS requirements for safety risk assessment.
 - SRMDM. Proposed change does not introduce new safety risks into the NAS.
- 11. ICAO DIFFERENCES: YES ☐ NO ☒

len King

Ellen King **Director**, System Operations

Date: