

U.S. Department of State

CH CH	HECKLIST FOR EXISTING ANNUAL RU	LE REVIEW	
	SECTION 1: ANNUAL RULE REVIEW BACKO	ROUND	
"regulatory system must protect publ	ma issued Executive Order 13563, which seeks to improve regic health, welfare, safety, and our environment while promoting best, most innovative, and least burdensome tools to achieve	economic growth, innovation, competitiveness,	
The Executive Order outlines several regulations (Check all that apply):	I guiding principles for Federal agencies to follow in their regula	ations, such as ensuring that an agency's	
Are accessible, consistent, Use the least burdensome r	able science that allows public participation and written in plain language methods to achieve regulatory goals		
this review will focus on the elimination	systematic method for identifying those significant rules that a on of rules that are no longer warranted, DOS will also conside - including, as relevant, undertaking new rulemakings.		
	SECTION 2: GENERAL RULE INFORMATION	ΓΙΟΝ	
Name of the Rule			
Docket Number or RIN	Responsible Bureau Office	Date Rule went into Effect (mm-dd-yyyy)	
Responsible Bureau Representative	(Last, First, MI)	Requested Action on Rule	
	SECTION 3: REVIEW QUESTIONS		
Is it your recommendation that the ru	le be cancelled? Yes No If so, please explain you	ur reasons why, how, or what should be done?	
Does this rule simply no longer make sense? Yes No If so, please explain below.			
If the rul	le should be cancelled then skip to the last page and sign	and date this checklist.	
Is it your recommendation that the ru	le remain unchanged as is? Yes No If	yes, please explain below.	
To the best of your knowledge, did th	e creation of the rule include public participation?	No If yes, how did the public participate?	
Were innovative technologies, new a	pproaches, or noteworthy new changes made to establish the	regulations when creating the rule?	
Yes No If so, please exp	lain below.		
Describe if, what, and how maximum	net benefits were considered for the recipient of the rule wher	the rule was written.	
Were any available alternatives considered other than the proposed or final rule? Yes No If yes, please explain below.			
Were any economic, performance-ba	ased incentives considered in developing the rule? Yes	No If yes, please explain below.	

Describe where freedom of choice was available for the public or where the public was given the opportunity in the rule to choose other approaches, methods, or alternatives.		
Describe obstacles in the rulemaking or rule implementation process.		
Describe any perceived impediments in the rulemaking or rule implementation process.		
Is the intention of the rule appropriate or does this rule warrant, in the current regulatory environment, improvements consistent with the current regulatory framework? Yes No If so, please explain below.		
Is the rule's intent and objectives consistent with similar rulemakings? Yes No If yes, please explain below.		
Is the rule written in plain language? Yes No If so, please explain below:		
Does the rule use the least burdensome economic and labor-intensive methods to achieve its regulatory goals?		
Does the rule need to be updated to be consistent with current regulatory considerations? Yes No If yes, please explain below.		
Does the rule need to be modified? Yes No If so, please explain below:		
Should the rule be repealed or abolished? Yes No If yes, how or what should be done?		
Identify what Bureau/Agency monitors compliance.		
How is the rule monitored for compliance?		
Identify what Bureau/Agency enforces the rule.		
How is the rule enforced?		
Is the rule compliance/enforcement activity available for review?		
Should the rule be simplified? Yes No If yes, how or what should be done?		

Should the rule be expanded to accommodate changes in the regulatory environment and/or meet new statutory requirements? Yes No If yes, what changes need to be made?		
Should the rule go unchanged? Yes No If yes, please explain below.		
Additional Comments		
Signature Date (mm-dd-yyyy)		

DS-4181

Page 3 of 3