

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
4 **BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

5 **Before The Honorable James P. Timony**  
6 **Administrative Law Judge**

7  
8 In the Matter of

9 **RAMBUS INCORPORATED,**

10 a corporation.

Docket No. 9302

11  
12 **NON-PARTY NEC ELECTRONICS, INC.’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION**  
13 **OF TIME TO RESPOND TO RAMBUS’ MOTION TO COMPEL**

14 NEC Electronics, Inc. (“NEC”), a non-party to the above-captioned action, through its  
15 undersigned counsel, hereby files this motion for an extension of time for NEC to file a response to  
16 Rambus Incorporated’s (“Rambus”) Motion to Compel the Production of Documents in the Possession  
17 of NEC’s Parent Company, dated December 20, 2002 (the “motion”).

18 Rambus served NEC with the motion by facsimile and overnight mail on December 23, 2002.  
19 Although no agreement was in place regarding facsimile or express mail service, the time to file a response  
20 under applicable procedural rules for first-class mail service is January 6, 2003.<sup>1</sup>

21 On December 27, 2002, counsel for Rambus and NEC telephonically conferred in an attempt to  
22 resolve the issues raised by Rambus’ motion and NEC’s concerns about the scope and burden of the  
23 requests therein. Without admitting the right of Rambus to call for documents in the possession of its  
24 foreign parent, the call concluded with an agreement by NEC to submit proposed limitations on the  
25 requests to its parent company and await a response.

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Rambus disputes this characterization and believes NEC’s response is currently due on January 2,  
28 2003. However, this disagreement is moot given the parties’ agreement on this motion.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

In order to resolve this dispute without litigation, Rambus and NEC agreed to extend the time for NEC to file a response to the motion to January 14, 2003. Accordingly, NEC requests that this motion be granted and that it be given until January 14, 2003 to file a response to the motion, without prejudice to seek a further extension of this deadline.

Dated: January 2, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

---

Charles M. English, Jr.  
Wendy M. Yoviene  
THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP  
701 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Telephone (202) 508-4000  
Fax (202) 508-4321

Wynne S. Carvill  
Jonathan E. Swartz  
THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP  
101 Second Street, Ste. 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
Telephone: (415) 371-1200  
Fax: (415) 371-1211

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**Before The Honorable James P. Timony  
Administrative Law Judge**

In the Matter of  
RAMBUS INCORPORATED,  
a corporation.

Docket No. 9302

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of Motion for an Extension of Time to Respond to Rambus' Motion to Compel, filed in the above-captioned action on January 2, 2003 by NEC Electronics, Inc. ("NEC"), it is hereby ordered that NEC shall be given until January 14, 2003 to file a response to Rambus' motion, without prejudice to seek a further extension of this deadline.

---

The Honorable James P. Timony  
Administrative Law Judge