


ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of)
)
)


ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)
_____)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**


On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of _____
ProMedica Health System, Inc., _____
Respondent. _____

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.


ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)
_____)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.


ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**


On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.


ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,
Respondent.

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES




In the Matter of)
)
ProMedica Health System, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

DOCKET NO. 9346

**ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REPLACE WITNESS WITH EQUIVALENT WITNESS FROM THE SAME
ORGANIZATION ON COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S WITNESS LIST**

On May 23, 2011, Complaint Counsel filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to modify its Proposed Final Witness List to substitute one representative of FrontPath Health Coalition ("FrontPath") with an equivalent witness who will testify to the same facts. Complaint Counsel states that the individual it originally designated to testify from FrontPath has very limited availability to testify. Complaint Counsel further states that the individual it intends to call instead is expected to provide the same testimony as the originally listed witness from FrontPath. Respondent does not oppose Complaint Counsel's motion. Based on the statements made by Complaint Counsel regarding its original witness' unavailability, and the substantial equivalent testimony to be provided by the substitute witness, good cause is found to support Complaint Counsel's request. Accordingly, Complaint Counsel's Unopposed Motion to Replace Witness with Equivalent Witness from the Same Organization on Complaint Counsel's Proposed Final Witness List is GRANTED.

ORDERED:


D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 24, 2011