

transshipping, closed, or unable to produce records to verify production.

Should CITA determine that this list should be amended, such amendments will be published in the Federal Register.

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

July 27, 1999.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: The U.S. Customs Service has conducted on-site verification of textile and textile product production in a number of foreign countries. Based on information obtained through on-site verifications and from other sources, U.S. Customs has informed CITA that certain companies were illegally transshipping, were closed, or were unable to produce records to verify production. The Chairman of CITA has directed the U.S. Customs Service to issue regulations regarding the denial of entry of shipments from such companies (see related directive concerning regulations to prevent circumvention published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**). In order to secure compliance with U.S. law, including Section 204 and U.S. customs law, to carry out textile and textile product agreements, and to avoid circumvention of textile agreements, the Chairman of CITA directs the U.S. Customs Service, effective for goods exported on and after September 1, 1999, to deny entry to textiles and textile products allegedly manufactured by the Macau companies listed below; Customs has informed CITA that these companies were found to have been illegally transshipping, closed, or unable to produce records to verify production:

1. Artistica, Fabrica
2. Broadwell Garment Factory
3. Chan Chan, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
4. Cheong Long, Fabrica de Art de Vestuario
5. Chi Fat, Fabrica de Vestuario
6. Chi Fung Garment Factory
7. Cidade Nova
8. E. Tin Chong Ou, Fabrica de Fia. Vestuario
9. Ever Long, Fabrica de Art de Vestuario (AKA Wan Long, Wing Long)
10. Fok Seng
11. Harvest Garment Factory
12. Henford, Fabrica de Art de Vestuario
13. Heng Tai, Fabrica de Vestuario
14. Hip Seng
15. Iu Fai
16. Ka Fung
17. Kai Fu
18. Kai Son, Fabrica de Vestuario
19. Kai Tak, Fabrica de Vestuario
20. Kai Vai Hung
21. Kin Cheong, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
22. King Cheong, Fabrica de Vestuario
23. Knitsway, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
24. Kong Lei
25. Kuck Chon
26. Kun Cheong

27. Lai Kong, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
28. Leon Garment Factory Limited
29. Ling Chu, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
30. Luen Tai
31. Macau Ltd., Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
32. Man Heng
33. Man Ka
34. Manway Lda., Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
35. Mao Cheong Limited, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
36. Mei I, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
37. Ming Tak
38. Nam Pan Garment Factory
39. Nation Garment
40. Ngan Wu
41. Ou Ion
42. Pak Seak
43. Perfect Garments
44. Po Che
45. Richness, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
46. Sai Land Garment Factory
47. Sam Yu Garment Factory
48. San Fu Lai, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
49. San Hoi Seng
50. Seng Wai, Fabrica de Malhas
51. Seng Yee
52. Shan Lee, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
53. Shing Un, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
54. Sing Wai, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
55. Strong Billion
56. Sufficient
57. Tai Ku, Fabrica de E. Artigos de Vestuario
58. Tak Mei
59. Tak Weng Heng
60. Tim Pou, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
61. Tin Sin Overseas
62. Tong Heng, Fabrica de Vestuario
63. Tong Hoi Garment Factory
64. Tong Lee, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
65. Uni-Leader, Fabrica de Vestuario
66. Va Tai, Fabrica de Vestuario
67. Vang Tak, Fabrica de Vestuario
68. Veng Fat Tak
69. Veng Kuong Meng
70. Vo Wong
71. Wai Dai Meng
72. Wai Lung Garment Factory
73. Wai Wa
74. Weng Iat, Fabrica de Artigos de Vestuario
75. Weng Wa Garment Factory Limited
76. Winton Garment Factory
77. World Wide Knitting Factory

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 99-19611 Filed 7-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

New York Mercantile Exchange, Comex Division, Amendment To Petition for Exemption From the Dual Trading Prohibition in Affected Contract Markets

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice of amendment and update to a petition for exemption from the prohibition on dual trading in an affected contract market.

SUMMARY: The New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX" or "Exchange"), Comex Division ("Comex"), has submitted to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") an additional update to the Commodity Exchange, Inc., October 21, 1993 petition for exemption from the prohibition against dual trading in two contract markets. The additional amendment requests an exemption for a new affected contract market. Copies of the entire file, including any future submissions, will be available to the public upon request, except to the extent the Exchange has requested confidential treatment.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the file are available from the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581. Reference should be made to the Comex dual trading exemption petition file.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Duane C. Andresen, Special Counsel, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581; telephone: (202) 418-5490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to sections 4j(a)(1) and (3) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act") and Commission Regulation 155.5 thereunder, a board of trade may submit a petition to the Commission to exempt any of its affected contract markets (markets with an average daily trading volume equal to or in excess of 8,000 contracts for four consecutive quarters) from the prohibition against dual trading. Regulation 155.5(d)(6) authorizes the Director of the Division of Trading and Markets to publish notice of each exemption petition deemed complete under Regulation 155.5(d) and to make the petition available to the public as required by section 4j(a)(5) of the Act.

Comex originally submitted a petition for dual trading exemptions for its gold,

silver, and copper futures contracts and options on gold future contracts on October 21, 1993. After the Commission requested additional information, Comex submitted a corrected petition on November 30, 1993. That petition was made available to the public by a notice of availability published in the **Federal Register** on December 22, 1993.¹ On January 21, 1997, in response to a Commission letter offering the opportunity to update its petition, Comex submitted an updated petition. On May 6, 1997, the Commission issued an Order granting dual trading exemptions to Comex for its gold and silver futures contracts, the only affected contract markets as of that date. This Order provided that if other Comex contracts become affected contract markets after the date of the Order, the Commission may expand the Order in response to an updated petition that includes those contracts. Pursuant to that provision, Comex submitted an update to its petition dated June 25, 1999, requesting an exemption from the dual trading prohibition for the copper futures contract market.

Copies of the file containing all these materials and any future submissions, except to the extent the Exchange has requested confidential treatment in accordance with 17 CFR 145.9, are available for inspection at the Commission's Office of the Secretariat, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581, and may be obtained by mail at that address or by telephone at (202) 418-5100.

Petition materials subject to Comex's request for confidential treatment may be available upon request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") (5 U.S.C. § 552) and the Commission's regulations thereunder (17 CFR Part 145), except to the extent they are entitled to confidential treatment as set forth in 17 CFR 145.5 and 145.9. Request for copies of such materials should be made to FOIA, Privacy, and Sunshine Act Compliance Staff of the Office of the Secretariat at the above address in accordance with 17 CFR 145.7 and 145.87.

Comex timely submitted its amended petition before June 30, 1999, the effective date of the dual trading prohibition in the newly affected contract market. Therefore, application of the prohibition to the contract market covered by the petition amendment has been suspended in accordance with Commission Regulation 155.5(d)(5) and will remain suspended until the petition is acted upon.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 27, 1999.

Alan L. Seifert,

Deputy Director, Division of Trading and Markets.

[FR Doc. 99-19573 Filed 7-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Collection of Information Under OMB Review; Mouthing Behavior Study

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires, the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) described below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The proposed collection of information consists of a study to observe 200 children ages 3 months through 35 months to record what items they put in their mouths and for how long. The study also includes a telephone survey of the parents of about 400 children between 36 and 72 months old to estimate the mouthing behavior of these children. The information will help the Commission assess the risks associated with children mouthing products containing potentially harmful substances. Comments on the study should be submitted to OMB and CPSC.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Mouthing Behavior Study" and mailed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for CPSC, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503. Copies of comments also may be: mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207; delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, telephone (301) 504-0800; or filed by telefacsimile to (301) 504-0127 or by email to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY

CONTACT: Celestine T. Kiss, Engineering Psychologist, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207; 301-504-0468 ext. 1284 or by email to ckiss@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission staff is investigating the potential exposure and health risks to children from teething, rattles, and toys that are made of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) that contains various dialkyl phthalate (DAP) plasticizers, especially diisononyl phthalate (DINP). Manufacturers use plasticizers to soften the PVC. Tests using animals exposed to high levels of certain DAP plasticizers have caused concerns that PVC children's products might present a risk of liver or other organ toxicity to children. Whether DINP would cause toxic effects in humans depends on the amount of DINP that is ingested. Thus, determining the amount of time children have DINP-containing products in their mouths is one important component of the risk assessment.

The CPSC staff recently released a report, *The Risk of Chronic Toxicity Associated with Exposure to Diisononyl Phthalate (DINP) in Children's Products (Dec. 1998)*, which concluded that, based on the best available information, few, if any, children are at risk of liver or other organ toxicity from PVC toys that contain DINP. This was based on estimates of the amount of DINP ingested, which indicated that DINP exposure did not reach a potentially harmful level. However, the staff believes that there are a number of uncertainties in this assessment, particularly regarding the types of toys that children are mouthing and how long they typically mouth these toys. In addition, the staff at that time did not address the potential carcinogenic risk from DINP, which is being investigated by a Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel (CHAP) appointed by the Commission. After the CHAP provides advice on the carcinogenic risk of DINP, accurate exposure data will be needed in order to perform a risk assessment. Therefore, CPSC will perform this study to gather better data on which to base the health-risk assessment.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA) (44 U.S.C 3501-3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to the agency or a third party. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information

¹ 58 FR 67777 (December 22, 1993).