

RIBBON SEAL (*Phoca fasciata*): Alaska Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

Ribbon seals inhabit the North Pacific Ocean and adjacent fringes of the Arctic Ocean. In Alaska waters, ribbon seals are found in the open sea, on the pack ice, and only rarely on shorefast ice (Kelly 1988). They range northward from Bristol Bay in the Bering Sea into the Chukchi and western Beaufort Seas (Fig. 13). From late March to early May, ribbon seals inhabit the Bering Sea ice front (Burns 1970, Burns 1981, Braham et al. 1984). They are most abundant in the northern part of the ice front in the central and western parts of the Bering Sea (Burns 1970, Burns et al. 1981). As the ice recedes in May to mid-July the seals move farther to the north in the Bering Sea, where they haul out on the receding ice edge and remnant ice (Burns 1970, Burns 1981, Burns et al. 1981). There has been little agreement on the range of ribbon seals during the rest of the year. Recent sightings and a review of the literature suggest that many ribbon seals migrate into the Chukchi Sea for the summer (Kelly 1988).




Figure 13. Approximate distribution of ribbon seals in Alaska waters (shaded area). The combined summer and winter distribution is depicted.

The following information was considered in classifying stock structure based on the Dizon et al. (1992) phylogeographic approach: 1) Distributional data: geographic distribution continuous, 2) Population response data: unknown; 3) Phenotypic data: unknown; 4) Genotypic data: unknown. Based on this limited information, and the absence of any significant fishery interactions, there is currently no strong evidence to suggest splitting the distribution of ribbon seals into more than one stock. Therefore, only the Alaska stock of ribbon seal is recognized in U. S. waters.

POPULATION SIZE

A reliable abundance estimate for the Alaska stock of ribbon seals is currently not available. Burns (1981) estimated the worldwide population of ribbon seals at 240,000 in the mid-1970s, with an estimate for the Bering Sea at 90,000-100,000.

Minimum Population Estimate

A reliable minimum population estimate (N_{MIN}) for this stock can not presently be determined because current reliable estimates of abundance are not available.

Current Population Trend

At present, reliable data on trends in population abundance for the Alaska stock of ribbon seals are unavailable, though there is no evidence population levels are declining.

An element of concern is the potential for Arctic climate change, which will probably affect high northern latitudes more than elsewhere. There is evidence that over the last 10-15 years, there has been a shift in regional weather patterns in the Arctic region (Tynan and DeMaster 1996). Ice-associated seals, such as the ribbon seal, are particularly sensitive to changes in weather and sea-surface temperatures in that these strongly affect their ice habitats.

There are insufficient data to make reliable predictions of the effects of Arctic climate change on the Alaska ribbon seal stock.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

A reliable estimate of the maximum net productivity rate is currently unavailable for the Alaska stock of ribbon seals. Hence, until additional data become available, it is recommended that the pinniped maximum theoretical net productivity rate (R_{MAX}) of 12% be employed for this stock (Wade and Angliss 1997).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Under the 1994 re-authorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: $PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_R$. The recovery factor (F_R) for this stock is 0.5, the value for pinniped stocks with unknown population status (Wade and Angliss 1997). However, because a reliable estimate of minimum abundance N_{MIN} is currently not available, the PBR for this stock is unknown.

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Fisheries Information

Three different commercial fisheries operating within the range of the Alaska stock of ribbon seals were monitored for incidental take by NMFS observers during 1990-95: Bering Sea (and Aleutian Islands) groundfish trawl, longline, and pot fisheries. The only fishery for which incidental kill was observed was the Bering Sea groundfish trawl fishery, with 1 mortality reported both in 1990 and 1991. Averaging the estimated mortalities over the 1991-95 period results in a mean annual (total) mortality rate of 0.2 (CV=1.0) ribbon seals per year. The range of observer coverage over the 6-year period, as well as the annual observed and estimated mortalities are presented in Table 12.

An additional source of information on the number of ribbon seals killed or injured incidental to commercial fishing operations is the logbook reports maintained by vessel operators as required by the MMPA interim exemption program. During the 4-year period between 1990 and 1993, logbook reports from all Alaska fisheries indicated no mortalities of ribbon seals. Complete logbook data after 1993 are not available.

Table 12. Summary of incidental mortality of ribbon seals (Alaska stock) due to commercial fisheries from 1990 through 1995 and calculation of the mean annual mortality rate. Data from 1991 to 1995 are used in the mortality calculation when more than 5 years of data are provided for a particular fishery.

Fishery name	Years	Data type	Range of observer coverage	Observed mortality (in given yrs.)	Estimated mortality (in given yrs.)	Mean annual mortality
Bering Sea/Aleutian Is. (BSA) groundfish trawl	90-95	obs data	53-74%	1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0	0.2 (CV=1.0)
Total estimated annual mortality						0.2

The estimated minimum mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is 1 ribbon seal per year (rounded up from 0.2), based exclusively on observer data. Because the PBR for this stock is unknown, it is currently not possible to determine what annual mortality level is considered to be insignificant and approaching zero mortality and serious injury rate. However, if there were 50,000 ribbon seals the PBR would equal 1,500 ($50,000 \times 0.06 \times 0.5 = 1,500$), and annual mortality levels less than 150 animals (i.e., 10% of PBR) would be considered insignificant. Currently, there is no reason to believe there are less than 50,000 ribbon seals in U. S. waters.

Subsistence/Native Harvest Information

Ribbon seals are an important species for Alaska Native subsistence hunters, primarily from villages in the vicinity of the Bering Strait and to a lesser extent at villages along the Chukchi Sea coast (Kelly 1988). The annual subsistence harvest was estimated to be less than 100 seals annually from 1968 to 1980 (Burns 1981). In the mid-1980s, the Alaska Eskimo Walrus Commission estimated the subsistence take to still be less than 100 seals annually

(Kelly 1988). A reliable estimate of the annual number of ribbon seals currently taken by Alaska Natives for subsistence is unavailable.

STATUS OF STOCK

Ribbon seals are not listed as “depleted” under the MMPA or listed as “threatened” or “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act. Reliable estimates of the minimum population, PBR, and human-caused mortality and serious injury are currently not available. Due to a lack of information suggesting subsistence hunting is adversely affecting this stock and because of the minimal interactions between ribbon seals and any U. S. fishery, the Alaska stock of ribbon seals is not classified as a strategic stock. This classification is consistent with the recommendations of the Alaska Scientific Review Group (DeMaster 1995: pp. 26).

REFERENCES

- Braham, H. W., J. J. Burns, G. A. Fedoseev, and B. D. Krogman. 1984. Habitat partitioning by ice-associated pinnipeds: distribution and density of seals and walrus in the Bering Sea, April 1976. Pp. 25-47, *In* F. H. Fay and G.A. Fedoseev (eds.), Soviet-American cooperative research on marine mammals. vol. 1. Pinnipeds. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 12.
- Burns, J. J. 1970. Remarks on the distribution and natural history of pagophilic pinnipeds in the Bering and Chukchi Seas. *J. Mammal.* 51:445-454.
- Burns, J. J. 1981. Ribbon seal-*Phoca fasciata*. Pp 89-109, *In* S. H. Ridgway and R. J. Harrison (eds.), *Handbook of Marine Mammals.* vol. 2. Seals. Academic Press, New York.
- Burns, J. J., L. H. Shapiro, and F. H. Fay. 1981. Ice as marine mammal habitat in the Bering Sea. Pp. 781-797, *In* D. W. Hood and J. A. Calder (eds.), *The eastern Bering Sea shelf: oceanography and resources.* vol. 2. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA, Off. Mar. Pollut. Assess., Juneau, Alaska.
- DeMaster, D. P. 1995. Minutes from the 4-5 and 11 January 1995 meeting of the Alaska Scientific Review Group, Anchorage, Alaska. 27 pp + appendices. (available upon request - D.P. DeMaster, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way, NE, Seattle, WA 98115).
- Dizon, A. E., C. Lockyer, W. F. Perrin, D. P. DeMaster, and J. Sisson. 1992. Rethinking the stock concept: a phylogeographic approach. *Conserv. Biol.* 6:24-36.
- Kelly, B. P. 1988. Ribbon seal, *Phoca fasciata*. Pp. 96-106. *In* J. W. Lentfer (ed.), *Selected marine mammals of Alaska. Species accounts with research and management recommendations.* Marine Mammal Commission, Washington, D.C.
- Tynan, C., and D. P. DeMaster. 1996. Observations and predictions of Arctic climate change. Unpubl. doc. submitted to Int. Whal. Commn. (SC/48/O 21). 11 pp.
- Wade, P. R., and R. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks: report of the GAMMS workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-12, 93 pp.