Human Factors **Research Issues for Cooperative Intersection Collision Avoidance Systems** (CICAS)

Vicki Neale, Ph.D.

Director, Center for Vehicle-Infrastructure Safety

Virginia Tech Transportation Institute

CICAS Overview

 CICAS will use vehicle/infrastructure communications to address traffic signal and stop sign intersection crashes.

■ ~2 M Crashes Per Year

■ 32% of All Police-Reported Crashes (TSF, 2005)



Intersection Crash Types of Focus

Straight-Crossing Path Crashes



Left Turn Across Path/Opposite Direction

Stop Sign Assist



CICAS-V Signal System (Addresses SCP and Some LTAP Crashes)





LTAP/OD System





Human Factors Research Issues

- Each warning system has human factors research needs in common, although the method to address the research need and final answer may be different for each.
 - Development of an Algorithm (Warning timing)
 - Depends on warning type and driver characteristics
 - Driver alertness (Willful violation vs. Unintentional due to distraction)
 - Age
 - For example, with Gap acceptance issues, if design for older drivers, younger drivers may consider warning "too early"

TRANSPO

- Determining the driver interface (in-vehicle or infrastructure)
- Determining acceptance of alert rates, including nuisance alarm rates
- Determining scenarios for which warning is appropriate Tech
- Determining overall system reliability



- Sample Research Question:
- What are the circumstances under which a driver violates?
 - Willful vs. unintentional violator
 - Driver distraction observed
 - Distraction types observed
 - Intersection control type (signal, stop sign)
 - Time after red phase onset (for signalized intersection only)
 - Visual checking behavior (e.g., looking both ways at intersection)
 - Driver age
 - Driver gender
 - Driver aggressiveness (e.g., car following behavior)
 - Following driver presence/headway
 - Intersection approach speed
 - Posted speed limit
 - Traffic density
 - Time of day
 - Weather/Visibility/Road conditions



Mining the 100-Car Database to understand what drivers are doing when they commit violations and near-violations.



Research Questions

- How many false alarms and misses result from any particular algorithm?
- How often do vehicles violate any particular signalized or stop controlled intersection?



Use naturalistic driving approaches to intersections to refine the alert timing approach and determine rates of violations and nearviolations

Metric Example		Predicted	
		Compliance	Violator
Observed	Compliance	Correct Rejection	False Alarm
	Violator	Miss	Hit Virginia
			TRANSPORTA

Determine the Driver Vehicle Interface

- Is the warning meaningful?
- Does it illicit the appropriate response in a timely manner?

DVI Issues

Driver perception of scenario

- Driver reaction time
- Final algorithm



Conducting a series of controlled Smart Road tests to evaluate drivers' response to DVIs in a "surprise" trial.



IDS/ICAV Occlusion Technique

Open State (light is green)



Closed State for 2 Seconds (light turns amber)



Open State (**alert issued**; light turns from amber to red)





Occlusion technique used to simulate driver distraction and place precise experimental control over when forward scene and traffic signal phase can be viewed



VTTI Smart Road intersection

How will the final CICAS-V system function in the real-world with naïve drivers?
What needs to be changed?

Are we FOT ready?



- Conduct a pilot FOT with naïve drivers using the final FOT CICAS-V system design.
- This final HF task is the culmination of the coordination of all of the HF and non-HF tasks.



Challenges/Next Steps

- Conduct FOT to investigate potential safety benefits and customer acceptance associated with the system
- Determine how to integrate the CICAS warnings with each other and with other invehicle warnings
 - Understand how integrated systems perform in the real world
- Maintaining the necessary coordination and collaboration