

## EQRO TECHNICAL REPORT REVIEW TOOL

<b>State:</b>		Region:	
EQRO			
Report Date:	Report Receive Date:	Review Date:	

EQRO COMPONENT	DESCRIPTION	MET	NOT MET
I	Data from all activities is conducted in accordance with all requirements: data were aggregated and analyzed, and conclusions were drawn as to the quality, timeliness, and access to care furnished by each MCO/PIHP		
п	The following was documented for each activity was conducted  Objectives  Methods of data collection and analysis		
	Description of data obtained  Conclusions drawn from the data		
III	An assessment was documented of each MCOs and PIHPs strengths and weaknesses with respect to:  Quality  Timeliness  Access		
IV	Recommendations for improving quality of health care services furnished by the MCO is documented		
V	Comparative information for all MCOs/PIHPs is included		
VII	All applicable MCOs and/or PIHPs are included in the report		

VIII	Information on the validation of Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs), required by the State, is included:  A description of the Validation Process/methodology is included (was the CMS PIP Validation Protocols used, or method consistent with CMS protocol?)  Overall validity and reliability of study results is reported – threats to accuracy / confidence in reporting to the State  All State required PIP topics are validated	 
	Validation results are reported for each MCO/PIHP	 
IX	Information on the Validation of Performance Measures (PMs), reported to or collected by the State in the past twelve months, is included:	
	A description of the Validation Process/methodology is included (was the CMS PM Validation Protocol used, or method consistent with CMS protocol?)	 
	It is clearly documented which PMs were required by the State to be validated (a subset of required PMs can be validated)	 
	Assessment of the MCO/PIHP information system is included as part of the validation process	 
	Validation results are reported for each MCO/PIHP	 
X	A review for compliance with State's Quality Operational Standards is included:	
	A description of the Validation Process/methodology is included (was the CMS Protocol on "Determining MCO/PIHP Compliance" used, or method consistent with CMS protocol?)	 
	Compliance assessment results are reported for each MCO/PIHP from an assessment conducted within the past three years	 

EQRO COMPONENT	DESCRIPTION	YES	NO
XI	PIP TOPICS:		
	Does the report include information on whether the State specifies the PIP topic(s), or if MCOs/PIHPs self-select topics?		
	Is there a summary on MCO/PIHP PIP outcome performance provided (separate from the validation outcomes), such as showing a trend of improvement in rates for the study, improvement in satisfaction results, etc.		
XII	INTERVENTIONS TO IMPROVE CARE & SERVICE:		
	Does the report document MCO/PIHP specific interventions to improve PIP results?		
	Does the report include State initiatives to improve care or service to Medicaid enrollees?		
	Does the report document that PIP results are showing sustained improvement? (improvement at least 2 years in a row)		
XIII	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT:		
	Does the report specify all PMs required by the State? (not required)		
	Does the report document the State specifications for how MCOs/PIHPs collect data, calculate rates, or report to the State?		
XIV	HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY:		
	Does the report document efforts for any MCOs/PIHPs efforts to enhance system technology, use of electronic health records, e-technology?		
	Does the report document State efforts for health information technology, such as participation in a Regional Health Information Organization (RHIO), initiation or enhancement of an Immunization Registry, etc?		
XV	DISPARITIES IN HEALTH CARE:		
	Does the report document MCO/PIHP or State efforts to reduce disparities in health care?		
XVI	STATE MANAGED CARE QUALITY STRATEGY		
	Does the Regional Office have the initial State Quality Strategy		
	Does the Regional Office have an update to the State Quality Strategy submitted with the past three years (if applicable)		