


National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

DATE FILMED

9/04/81


MFI

U.S. Department of Justice
Bureau of Justice Statistics



Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1978

Percent change in direct expenditure by activity and type of government, 1977 to 1978



66482

Bureau of Justice Statistics Reports

Single copies are available at no charge from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

National Crime Survey:

Criminal Victimization in the United States (annual):

Summary Findings of 1978-79 Changes in Crime and of Trends Since 1973, NCJ-62993

A Description of Trends from 1973 to 1978, NCJ-66716

1978 (final report), NCJ-66480

1977, NCJ-58725

1976, NCJ-49543

1975, NCJ-44593

1974, NCJ-39467

*1973, NCJ-34732

The Cost of Negligence: Losses from Preventable Household Burglaries, NCJ-53527

The Hispanic Victim: Advance Report, NCJ-67706

Intimate Victims: A Study of Violence Among Friends and Relatives, NCJ-62319

Crime and Seasonality, NCJ-64818

Criminal Victimization of New York State Residents, 1974-77, NCJ-66481

Criminal Victimization of California Residents, 1974-77, NCJ-70944

Indicators of Crime and Criminal Justice: Quantitative Studies, NCJ-62349

Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American cities (summary report, 1 vol.), NCJ-18471

Boston, NCJ-34818

Buffalo, NCJ-34820

Cincinnati, NCJ-34819

Houston, NCJ-34821

Miami, NCJ-34822

Milwaukee, NCJ-34823

Minneapolis, NCJ-34824

New Orleans, NCJ-34825

Oakland, NCJ-34826

Pittsburgh, NCJ-34827

San Diego, NCJ-34828

San Francisco, NCJ-34829

*Washington, D.C., NCJ-34830

Public Attitudes About Crime (13 vols.):

Boston, NCJ-46235

Buffalo, NCJ-46236

Cincinnati, NCJ-46237

Houston, NCJ-46238

Miami, NCJ-46239

Milwaukee, NCJ-46240

*Minneapolis, NCJ-46241

New Orleans, NCJ-46242

Oakland, NCJ-46243

Pittsburgh, NCJ-46244

San Diego, NCJ-46245

San Francisco, NCJ-46246

Washington, D.C., NCJ-46247

***Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia:** A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings, NCJ-36360

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight American Cities: A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis, NCJ-36361

***Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972, NCJ-16909

***Crimes and Victims:** A Report on the Dayton/San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization, NCJ-013314

Applications of the National Crime Survey Victimization and Attitude Data:

Public Opinion About Crime: The Attitudes of Victims and Nonvictims in Selected Cities, NCJ-41336

Local Victim Surveys: A Review of the Issues, NCJ-39973

***The Police and Public Opinion:** An Analysis of Victimization and Attitude Data from 13 American Cities, NCJ-42018

An Introduction to the National Crime Survey, NCJ-43732

Compensating Victims of Violent Crime: Potential Costs and Coverage of a National Program, NCJ-43387

Rape Victimization in 26 American Cities, NCJ-55878

Crime Against Persons in Urban, Suburban, and Rural Areas: A Comparative Analysis of Victimization Rates, NCJ-53551

Criminal Victimization in Urban Schools, NCJ-56396

Restitution to Victims of Personal and Household Crimes, NCJ-72770

Myths and Realities About Crime:

Nontechnical Presentation of Selected Information from the National Prisoner Statistics Program and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-46249

National Prisoner Statistics:

Capital Punishment (annual):

1979, NCJ-70945

Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31:

1979, NCJ-73719

***Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974** advance report, NCJ-25642

Profile of State Prison Inmates: Sociodemographic Findings from the 1974 Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, NCJ-58257

***Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973,** NCJ-34729

Census of Jails and Survey of Jail Inmates, 1978, preliminary report, NCJ-55172

Profile of Inmates of Local Jails: Sociodemographic Findings from the 1978 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, NCJ-65412

***The Nation's Jails:** A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, NCJ-19067

Uniform Parole Reports:

Parole in the United States (annual):

1979, NCJ-69562

1978, NCJ-58722

1976 and 1977, NCJ-49702

A National Survey of Parole-Related Legislation Enacted During the 1979

Legislative Session, NCJ-64218

Characteristics of the Parole Population, 1978, NCJ-66479

Children in Custody: Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census

1977 advance report:

Census of Public Juvenile Facilities,

NCJ-60967

Census of Private Juvenile Facilities,

NCJ-60968

1975 (final report), NCJ-58139

1974, NCJ-57946

1973, NCJ-44777

*1971, NCJ-13403

State and Local Probation and Parole Systems, NCJ-41335

State and Local Prosecution and Civil Attorney Systems, NCJ-41334

National Survey of Court Organization: 1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems, NCJ-40022

*1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems, NCJ-29433

1971 (full report), NCJ-11427

State Court Model Statistical Dictionary, NCJ-62320

State Court Caseload Statistics:

The State of the Art, NCJ-46934

Annual Report, 1975, NCJ-51885

Annual Report, 1976, NCJ-56599

A Cross-City Comparison of Felony Case Processing, NCJ-55171

Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data

for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-77

(annual), NCJ-57463

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System (annual)

1979 advance report, NCJ-73288

1978 Summary Report, NCJ-66483

1978 final report, NCJ-66482

1977 final report, NCJ-53206

Justice Agencies in the U.S.:

Summary Report of the National Justice Agency List, NCJ-65560

Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology:

Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange, NCJ-36747

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics

Project:

Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1980

(annual), NCJ-71096

***Offender-Based Transaction Statistics:** New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting, NCJ-29645

Sentencing of California Felony Offenders, NCJ-29646

Crime-Specific Analysis:

***The Characteristics of Burglary Incidents,** NCJ-42093

An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offender Characteristics, NCJ-43131

***An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders and Offense Characteristics,** NCJ-42476

Sources of National Criminal Justice Statistics: An Annotated Bibliography, NCJ-45006

Federal Criminal Sentencing: Perspectives of Analysis and a Design for Research, NCJ-33683

Variations in Federal Criminal Sentences: A Statistical Assessment at the National Level, NCJ-33684

Federal Sentencing Patterns: A Study of Geographical Variations, NCJ-33685

Predicting Sentences in Federal Courts: The Feasibility of a National Sentencing Policy, NCJ-33686

U.S. Department of Justice

Bureau of Justice Statistics



Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1978

NCJ-66482, EE-16

March 1981

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Domain/DOJ

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

*out of stock but available on interlibrary loan

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Bureau of Justice Statistics

Benjamin H. Renshaw, III
Acting Director

Charles R. Kindermann, Ph.D.
Acting Director
Statistics Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared for the Bureau of Justice Statistics by the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, under the direction of John R. Coleman, Acting Chief. In the Bureau of Justice Statistics, project direction was provided by Sue A. Lindgren and editorial supervision by Marilyn Marbrook, both of the Statistics Division. In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by Alan R. Jones and Diana M. Cull. Significant contributions were made by Bernice J. Brigham, Pamela H. Butler, Victoria E. Campbell, Ora E. Dorsey, Pauline E. Fain, Dennis E. Gosier, Martha S. Hazelbush, Laverne N. Hicks, Purnell E. Johnson, Helen M. Kneeland, Charlene M. Sebold, Gloria A. Spicer, Joyce M. Stanford, and Joan B. Taylor. Preparation of publication copy was supervised by Corrine W. Davis. In the Field Division, general coordination was provided by W. Wilson Burdorff, and in the Data Preparation Division by Janet Kitterman and Mary Helen Isaacs.

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1978. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1981

IMPORTANT

We have provided a User Evaluation Form at the end of this publication. It will assist us in improving future reports if you will complete and return it at your earliest convenience.

TABLE FINDING GUIDE

Subjects by Type of Government and Table Designation

This guide lists all subjects covered in this report, but does not indicate all the detail shown in some tables, e.g. percent distributions, capital outlay, employment by full-time and full-time equivalent, etc. Expenditure data are for FY 1978 and employment and payroll data for October 1978. Numbers or letters in bold type refer to tables or figures showing only aggregate data for the designated type of government or SMSA's. All other numbers and letters relate to data for individual governments.

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM							
Direct expenditure: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76, '77, '78	A	A	A	A			
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,8	2,6,8		15,17	20,22
Total expenditure from own sources (variable pass-through)			1	1			
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76, '77, '78	B	B	B	B			
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
POLICE PROTECTION¹							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,25	2,6,25		15,29	20,30
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9,27	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10,28	G,H,3,10,28	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
JUDICIAL							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,31	2,6,29		15,35	20,38
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,33	C,I,J,7	J,12	16,36	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9,34	D,G,H,3,9	13	18,37	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10,34	G,H,3,10	14	19,37	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 6	Figure 6			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll, by type of court and miscellaneous			33,34			36,37	

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6,39,40	2,6,39		15,41	20,42
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
PUBLIC DEFENSE							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,43	2,6,43		15,43	20,46
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
CORRECTIONS							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,47	2,6,47		15,57	20,60
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,55	C,I,J,7	J,12	16,58	21,61
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9,52,56	D,G,H,3,52,56	13	18,59	23,62
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10,56	G,H,3,10	14	19,59	24,62
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll for correctional institutions, by type, and for administration, probation/parole/pardon, and miscellaneous			48,49,52,53,55,56	48,49,52,53		58,59	61,62
OTHER, CRIMINAL JUSTICE							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,63	2,6,63		15,	20
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			

¹ Exhibit Tables A and B in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for large special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts. These data are not included in other police protection data in this report. Exhibit Tables C and D in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for special police forces which are part of State and local colleges and universities. These data are included in other police protection data in this report.

Contents

Selected findings

- General, *xi*
- Federal Government, *xi*
- State governments, *xi*
- Counties, *xi*
- Municipalities, *xii*
- Local governments in the largest SMSA's, *xii*

Introduction

- Historical review, *1*
- Organization of this report, *1*
- Important definitions, *2*
- General, fiscal 1978, *2*
- Federal Government, *4*
- State governments, *5*
- Local governments: Counties and municipalities, *6*
- Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, *8*

Survey methodology—Sources and limitations of data

- General, *9*
- Survey coverage, *9*
- The survey period, *10*
- Data collection, *10*
- Data limitations, *10*
- Changes in survey methodology, *11*
- Changes in classification, *11*
- Availability of data, *11*

Graphic summary, *13*

Data sections

- I. Variable pass-through, *29*
- II. Criminal justice system, *31*
- III. Police protection, *190*
- IV. Judicial, *219*
- V. Legal services and prosecution, *258*
- VI. Public defense, *276*
- VII. Corrections, *294*
- VIII. Other criminal justice, *386*

Appendix

- 1. Exhibit tables A, B, C, D, and E, *393*
- 2. Definition of terms, *419*
- 3. Survey forms, *425*

Figures and tables

Introduction

Table:

Total U.S.

- A. Total direct expenditure and percent change by level of government (fiscal 1971 to 1978), *1*
- B. Total full-time equivalent employees and percent change, by level of government (October 1971 to October 1978), *3*
- C. Total direct expenditure, by activity and level of government, *3*
- D. Full-time equivalent employment, by activity and level of government (October 1978), *4*

Federal

- E. Full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, *5*

State

- F. Full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, *6*

County

- G. Full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, *7*

Municipal

- H. Full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, *7*

Independent cities and consolidated city-county governments

- I. Direct expenditure and employment, *8*

All local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's

- J. Direct expenditures, *9*

Local

- K. Relative standard errors of local government totals for criminal justice expenditures, *10*

Graphic summary

Figure:

Total U.S.

- 1. Change in total expenditure, by function, (1976 to 1977 and 1977 to 1978), *14*
- 5. Change in total direct expenditure, by function and type of government (1977 to 1978 and 1977 to 1978), *20*
- 6. Average monthly salaries for employees on a full-time equivalent basis, by activity and type of government, *21*
- 7. Direct expenditure by level of government, *22*
- 8. Full-time equivalent employment by level of government, *22*

Federal

- 9. Total expenditure, *23*
- 10. Full-time equivalent employment, *23*

State

- 11. Total expenditure, *24*
- 12. Full-time equivalent employment, *24*

State and local

- 2. Per capita direct expenditure, by State area, *15*
- 3. Change in total expenditure, by region (1976 to 1977 and 1977 to 1978), *17*
- 4. Change in total expenditure, by State area within regions (1977 to 1978), *18*

Local

- 13. Total expenditure, by activity, *25*
- 14. Full-time equivalent employment, by activity, *25*

County

- 15. Total expenditure, by activity, *26*
- 16. Full-time equivalent employment, by activity, *26*

Municipal

- 17. Total expenditure, by activity, *27*
- 18. Full-time equivalent employment, by activity, *27*

17 largest SMSA's

- 19. Per capita expenditure, *28*

Section I

Variable pass-through

Table:

- 1. Total expenditures from own sources, by State, *30*

Section II

Criminal justice system summary data

Figure:

- 20. Direct expenditure, by State and type of government, *32*

Table:

Total U.S.

- 2. Expenditure, by level of government, *33*
- 3. Employment and payrolls, by level of government, *33*

Federal

- 4. Expenditure, by character and object, *34*
- 5. Employment and payrolls, *36*

States

- 6. Total expenditure (direct and inter-governmental), by State and type of government, *38*
- 7. Direct expenditure, by State and type of government, *46*

- 8. Total expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, *54*

- 9. Employment and full-time employees by State and type of government, *58*

- 10. Payrolls, by State and type of government, *66*

- 11. Total expenditure, by character and object, *74*

- 12. Direct expenditure of local governments, by county area, *76*

- 13. Employment of local governments, by county area, *80*

- 14. Payrolls of local governments, by county area, *84*

- 15. Total expenditure (direct and inter-governmental), *88*

- 16. Direct expenditure, *98*
- 17. Total expenditure, by character and object, *108*

- 18. Employment of full-time equivalent employees, *114*

- 19. Payrolls, *126*

- 20. Total expenditure (direct and inter-governmental), *136*

- 21. Direct expenditure, *148*
- 22. Total expenditure, by character and object, *160*

- 23. Employment of full-time equivalent employees, *166*

- 24. Payrolls, *178*

- 25. Expenditure, by character and object, *192*

- 27. Sworn and nonsworn employment, full-time and part-time, *197*

- 28. Payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel, *202*

- 29. Expenditure, by character and object, *207*

- 30. Expenditure, by character and object, *213*

- 31. Expenditure, by character and object, *221*

- 32. Total expenditure, by character and object, *225*

- 33. Detail of direct current expenditure, *226*
- 34. Detail of direct employment and payroll, *227*

State and local

- 25. Expenditure, by character and object, *192*

- 27. Sworn and nonsworn employment, full-time and part-time, *197*

- 28. Payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel, *202*

- 29. Expenditure, by character and object, *207*

- 30. Expenditure, by character and object, *213*

- 31. Expenditure, by character and object, *221*

- 32. Total expenditure, by character and object, *225*

- 33. Detail of direct current expenditure, *226*
- 34. Detail of direct employment and payroll, *227*

- 35. Expenditure, by character and object, *229*

- 36. Detail of direct current expenditure, *235*
- 37. Detail of employment and payrolls, *242*

- 38. Expenditure, by character and object, *252*

- 39. Expenditure, by character and object, *260*

- 40. Total expenditure, by character and object, *264*

- 41. Expenditure, by character and object, *265*

- 42. Expenditure, by character and object, *270*

- 43. Expenditure, by character and object, *278*

- 44. Total expenditure, by character and object, *282*

- 45. Expenditure, by character and object, *283*

- 46. Expenditure, by character and object, *288*

- 47. Expenditure, by character and object, *296*

- 48. Detail of total expenditure, *300*
- 49. Detail of direct expenditure, *305*

- 50. Expenditure for correctional institutions, by character and object, *310*

- 51. Expenditure for probation, pardon, and parole, by character and object, *315*

- 52. Detail of employment, full-time and full-time equivalent, *320*

- 53. Detail of payroll, by activity, *330*

- 54. Total expenditure, by character and object, *335*

- 55. Detail of direct current expenditure, *336*

- 56. Detail of employment and payrolls, *337*

- 57. Expenditure, by character and object, *340*

- 58. Detail of direct current expenditure, *345*
- 59. Detail of employment and payrolls, *352*

- 60. Expenditure, by character and object, *364*

- 61. Detail of direct current expenditure, *370*
- 62. Detail of employment and payrolls, *374*

- 63. Expenditure, by character and object, *387*

- 64. Expenditure, by character and object, *403*

- 65. Expenditure, by character and object, *403*

State and local

- 43. Expenditure, by character and object, *278*

- 44. Total expenditure, by character and object, *282*

- 45. Expenditure, by character and object, *283*

- 46. Expenditure, by character and object, *288*

- 47. Expenditure, by character and object, *296*

- 48. Detail of total expenditure, *300*
- 49. Detail of direct expenditure, *305*

- 50. Expenditure for correctional institutions, by character and object, *310*

- 51. Expenditure for probation, pardon, and parole, by character and object, *315*

- 52. Detail of employment, full-time and full-time equivalent, *320*

- 53. Detail of payroll, by activity, *330*

- 54. Total expenditure, by character and object, *335*

- 55. Detail of direct current expenditure, *336*

- 56. Detail of employment and payrolls, *337*

- 57. Expenditure, by character and object, *340*

- 58. Detail of direct current expenditure, *345*
- 59. Detail of employment and payrolls, *352*

- 60. Expenditure, by character and object, *364*

- 61. Detail of direct current expenditure, *370*
- 62. Detail of employment and payrolls, *374*

- 63. Expenditure, by character and object, *387*

- 64. Expenditure, by character and object, *403*

- 65. Expenditure, by character and object, *403*

- 66. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 67. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 68. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 69. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 70. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 71. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 72. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 73. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 74. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 75. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 76. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 77. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 78. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

- 79. Expenditure, by character and object, *418*

SELECTED FINDINGS

General

Total civil and criminal justice expenditure in fiscal year 1978 rose 12 percent to \$24.1 billion, greater than the 10 percent increase in FY 1977 (table A). For the month of October 1978, civil and criminal justice full-time equivalent employment rose 2 percent to 1,157,436 (table B), a decline from the 5 percent increase in FY 1977.

Local governments (counties and municipalities) accounted for 59 percent of all direct justice expenditure; State governments for 28 percent; and the Federal Government for 13 percent (figure 7). Nearly the same distribution applies to full-time equivalent justice employment (figure 8).

On a sector basis, about \$13 billion or more than half of total justice expenditure was allocated for police protection; close to a fourth, \$5.5 billion, was expended for corrections. Judicial activities absorbed \$3 billion or 13 percent; \$1.5 billion or 6 percent was spent for prosecution and legal services; \$0.5 billion or 2 percent for public defense; and \$0.4 billion or 2 percent for other criminal justice activities.

The distribution of justice expenditure and employment by level of government within each of the six justice activities or sectors varies substantially, as shown by text tables C and D. State governments predominated in the corrections sector, while the other five justice activities were supported primarily by local governments to varying degrees. In most sectors, the proportion of people employed by Federal, State and local governments approximated each sector's proportion of total criminal justice expenditure.

Other sharp variations in the pattern of total justice expenditure occurring by region and State (figures 3 and 4) include an \$849 million or 17.1 percent increase among the State and local governments of the Northeast Region, over three times greater than the rise of \$266 million for the region in 1977. However, New York, whose State and local justice expenditure rose \$597 million, accounted for 70 percent of the 1978 Northeast Region increase.

On a per capita basis, State and local government justice expenditure was \$97 in 1978, an 11.5 percent increase over the \$87 recorded in 1977 (figure 2). Local governments spent \$66 per capita, or 68 percent of the total State and local government per capita justice expenditure.

The \$784 million increase in police protection direct expenditure of municipalities and the \$362 million increment in State direct expenditure for judicial activities together accounted for 45 percent of the total direct expenditure increase for all governments in FY 1978.

Federal Government

Total Federal justice expenditure rose \$233 million or 6.5 percent to \$3.8 billion in FY 1978, compared to a \$280 million or 8 percent increase in FY 1977. However, the 1978 increase of \$233 million reflects a decline of \$127 million in "other criminal justice" activities and a rise of \$360 million in the other five sectors.

Slightly more than half of Federal justice expenditure (51 percent or \$2 billion) was spent by Federal agencies in the police protection sector (figure 9), which also accounted for the largest dollar increase among the six Federal justice activities or sectors: \$187 million. In addition, Federal police agencies accounted for 71 percent of the total Federal justice full-time employment of 104,000 (figure 10).

A decline of \$127 million or 15 percent in the "other criminal justice" sector was primarily the result of a decrease of \$114 million in Law Enforcement Assistance Administration block grants to State and local governments, which comprise nearly all of Federal intergovernmental outlays for civil and criminal justice.

Expenditure increases of 19, 11, and 11 percent occurred in the Federal legal services and prosecution, judicial, and corrections sectors, respectively.

State governments

Civil and criminal justice expenditure of State governments, increasing \$855 million or 13 percent to \$7.5 billion, constituted 4 percent of their total 1978 expenditure of \$180 billion—the same proportion of total expenditure as in 1977.

As in past years, the corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State justice expenditure, \$3.3 billion or 44 percent (figure 11).

SELECTED FINDINGS

The balance of State justice expenditure was distributed as follows: police protection, 27 percent; judicial, 15 percent; legal services and prosecution, 5 percent; public defense, 2 percent; and "other criminal justice," 7 percent (figure 11).

Accounting for nearly half (48 percent) of the total 1978 increase in State justice expenditure, the judicial sector rose sharply from \$736 million in FY 1977 to \$1.2 billion in FY 1978 for a 56 percent or \$414 million increase. Expanded State government responsibilities for court systems as a consequence of legislated court unification programs is responsible for this large increase.

The sharp decline of 14 percent in State "other criminal justice" expenditure from \$610 million in FY 1977 to \$527 million in FY 1978 stems almost entirely from the decrease in State intergovernmental payments to local governments from \$470 million to \$404 million. This, in turn, reflects the decline in Law Enforcement Assistance Administration block grants, which States redistribute to local governments.

Overall State full-time equivalent justice employment rose 4 percent to 310,554. However, considerable variation was shown in sector changes, with the legal services and prosecution, judicial, and corrections sectors increasing by 21, 12, and 4 percent respectively. These were offset by declines in police protection, public defense, and other criminal justice.

Counties

County governments spent a total of \$5.7 billion for civil and criminal justice activities in 1978, up 11 percent or \$570 million over FY 1977. Nearly one-third (32 percent) of county justice expenditure was for police protection; 28 percent for corrections; 25 percent for judicial; 9 percent for legal services and prosecution; 3 percent for public defense; and 3 percent for "other criminal justice" (figure 15).

County full-time equivalent employment was 295,970, an increase of 8,210 or 3 percent—one-half the 6 percent county increase in 1977.

The 343 counties with a 1976 population of 100,000 or more accounted for 77 percent (\$4.4 billion) of total county justice expenditure and 72 percent of all county full-time equivalent employment for justice (tables 15-19).

Municipalities

Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on justice activities by \$3.2 billion, but the difference is solely attributable to the police protection sector, where municipal governments outspent counties by more than a 4 to 1 margin (figure 17). Municipal governments were outspent by county governments in the other justice sectors.

Municipal justice expenditure increased by \$889 million or 11 percent to \$8.9 billion in 1978, nearly double the amount of increase in 1977.

Municipal full-time equivalent justice employment totaled 447,234 in 1978, an overall increase of only 0.4 percent. However, increases by sector include a rise of over 6,000 to 390,000 in police protection, which was offset by a decline of over 5,000 in the judicial sector (figure 18).

The 406 city governments with a population of 50,000 or more in 1976 contain 53 percent of the total municipal population but account for 68 percent of all municipal justice expenditure and 62 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent justice employment (tables 20-24).

Local governments in the largest SMSA's

The county and the municipal governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas, containing 29 percent of the United States population, expended \$6.6 billion for justice activities—almost half (46 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure (table 12).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's accounted for 296,000 employees on a full-time equivalent basis, or 40 percent of total full-time equivalent local government employment for justice activities (table 13).

INTRODUCTION

This publication is the twelfth in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on civil and criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year (FY) 1978, and employment data are for the month of October 1978. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the variation in some fiscal year ending dates.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments, and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) within each State, for each of the 343 counties with a 1976 population of 100,000 or more, for the 406 municipalities with a 1976 population of 50,000 or more, and for the 17 standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) with a 1976 population of 2,000,000 or more.¹

Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in fiscal year 1967, caution should be exercised in comparing data in the FY 1971 and later volumes with data in earlier volumes. Beginning with FY 1971, the survey was greatly expanded. The survey panel of governmental units was increased by a third, and the number of units for which data are compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited from a growing familiarity with the justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States. Data from the FY 1971 through FY 1978 annual volumes are essentially comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same panel of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for more detail.

Table A shows the steady rise in expenditure for justice activities at all levels of government over the 8 years. Full-time equivalent justice employment also rose steadily at all levels of government (see table B). A report entitled **Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-1977**, which summarizes data from the annual publica-

¹ Once an SMSA, county, or city is selected for individual presentation on the basis of its population, the unit continues to be shown individually even though its population may later drop below the established criteria. This is to retain comparability in the presentation of individual unit data from year to year.

tions, is available. The next trends report, covering fiscal years 1971 through 1978, is being prepared for release in the fall of 1980.

Table A. Total civil and criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971 to 1978

Year	Total	Federal	State	Local
Amount (millions of dollars)				
1971	10,517	1,215	2,681	6,621
1972	11,732	1,502	2,948	7,281
1973	13,007	1,651	3,304	8,052
1974	14,851	1,859	3,900	9,092
1975	17,249	2,188	4,612	10,449
1976	19,681	2,450	5,204	12,027
1977	21,574	2,779	5,812	12,983
1978	24,132	3,122	6,688	14,322
Percent increase or decrease (-)				
1971 to 1972	11.6	23.6	10.0	10.0
1972 to 1973	10.9	9.9	12.1	10.6
1973 to 1974	14.2	12.6	18.0	12.9
1974 to 1975	16.1	17.7	18.3	14.9
1975 to 1976	14.1	12.0	12.8	15.1
1976 to 1977	9.6	13.4	11.7	7.9
1977 to 1978	11.9	12.3	15.1	10.3
1971 to 1978	129.5	157.0	149.5	116.3

Note: Because of rounding, detail does not always add to total.

Organization of this report

In this introductory text, Federal, State, and local government justice expenditure and employment data are discussed and compared with 1977 data. Accompanying tables and charts are followed by a description of survey methodology, data sources, and limitations.

Following the introductory text are the main tables, which are organized into three major parts. Section I presents "variable pass-through" data (table 1), with an accompanying explanation of this unique concept. Section II presents summary data for the justice system at the Federal, State, and local government levels and for selected SMSA's and individual governments, showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system (tables 2-24). Sections III-VIII cover each of the six "sectors" of the justice system individually, providing where possible a further breakdown of data on particular justice activities (tables 25-63). Two new tables in Section VII display data on total and direct expenditure for the three cor-

INTRODUCTION

rections subfunctions (i.e. institutions, probation/parole, and miscellaneous) by State and type of government. Charts showing percent distribution of justice expenditure by State and type of government appear at the beginning of Sections II-VIII (see figures 20-26).

Tables A and B of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals for general local governments. Tables C and D of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for campus police forces of State and local governments—data which are included in the summary tables. Expenditure and employment data for the commonwealth of Puerto Rico are presented for the first time in table E. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the differences in general local governments and independent school districts and special districts in the presentation of data in this report.

Immediately preceding this introduction, a table-finding guide has been provided for quick reference to the subjects covered. The guide cross-references all subjects contained in this report pertaining to civil and criminal justice activities by all governments combined and each level of government—Federal, State, and local. Local governments are further broken down into counties and municipalities.

Definitions for concepts, categories, and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 3. Appendix 4 exhibits the mail questionnaires used in the 1978 survey, followed by a user evaluation questionnaire soliciting comments from readers.

Important definitions

Appendix 3 presents detailed definitions for the terms, concepts, and categories used in the survey and in this report. Two concepts that recur frequently, however, are worth noting here. **Total expenditure** is comprised of direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for civil and criminal justice activities. In most expenditure tables, certain totals must be adjusted to exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. For example, money paid by a State government to a county government within that State is reported by the State government as an intergovernmental expenditure and by the county government as a direct expenditure when the money is spent. Therefore, in order to arrive at a combined State-local government total that does not duplicate these amounts, intergovernmental expenditure amounts are deducted from the State-local total, since those amounts are also reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient governments. The same is true of intergovernmental payments between counties and municipalities within the same State.

The other concept that should be noted here is **full-time equivalent employment**; this is a statistical measure that represents the total number of employees (full- and part-time), discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. It is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the resultant quotient by the number of full-time employees.

It is also important to note that in this report the judicial, legal services and prosecution, and public defense categories include expenditure and employment data for both criminal and civil justice activities because available source documents for many governments do not segregate these activities for courts or agencies that handle both. Nor are the State and local governmental officials who provide the survey data able to make this break reliably and consistently. Therefore, in the absence of a consistent and reliable basis for proration, both criminal and civil activities are included for these categories to preserve uniformity in the collection and presentation of data.

General, fiscal year 1978

Total expenditure for civil and criminal justice purposes in FY 1978 by all levels of government was \$24 billion. This is an increase of \$2.6 billion or 12 percent over FY 1977 and greater than the 10 percent gain recorded in FY 1977 (table A). Total general expenditure for all governmental functions increased by 10 percent over FY 1977.

Over half, \$13 billion, of total justice expenditure was expended for police protection, and close to one-fourth, \$5.5 billion, was expended for corrections. The balance was distributed as follows: \$3 billion or 13 percent for judicial activities, \$1.5 billion or 6 percent for prosecution and legal services, \$0.5 or 2 percent for public defense, and \$0.4 billion or 2 percent for other criminal justice activities. All justice functions except "other criminal justice" showed increases in 1978 over 1977. Public defense expenditure led with a 30 percent increase, followed by legal services/prosecution (up 19 percent), judicial (up 16 percent), corrections (up 12 percent), and police protection (up 11 percent). The "other criminal justice" category declined 14 percent, the first overall decline in this function in the 8-year period (figure 1). This decrease occurred in Federal and State intergovernmental expenditure and was the result of reductions in Federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration grants.

In October 1978, there were 1,157,436 justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis. This was 26 thousand more than in October 1977 for an increase of 2.3 percent, less than half the 4.8 percent increase in 1977. As seen in table B, sharp declines in the rate of employment growth at the State and local government levels were partially offset by the increase in Federal justice employment.

On a per capita basis, using 1978 Bureau of the Census State population estimates, direct expenditure of all State and local governments for civil and criminal justice activities was \$97 in 1977, an 11.5 percent increase over the \$87 recorded in 1977. Local governments spent \$66 per capita, or 68 percent of the total State and local government per capita expenditure (figure 2). The District of Columbia per capita expenditure of \$320 was the highest amount recorded in 1978. Among the States, Alaska at \$238 led the Nation, followed by Nevada (\$165), New York (\$165), and California (\$131).

INTRODUCTION

Table B. Total full-time equivalent justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971 to October 1978

Payroll period	Total	Federal	State	Local
Number of employees				
October 1971	861,776	77,523	205,859	578,394
October 1972	898,305	85,222	216,603	596,480
October 1973	945,309	87,139	232,299	625,871
October 1974	1,011,205	93,755	252,588	664,862
October 1975	1,050,503	96,136	263,208	691,159
October 1976	1,079,892	99,553	272,488	707,851
October 1977	1,131,780	100,088	298,571	733,121
October 1978	1,157,436	103,678	310,554	743,204
Percent increase or decrease (—)				
October 1971 to October 1972	4.2	9.9	5.2	3.1
October 1972 to October 1973	5.2	2.2	7.2	4.9
October 1973 to October 1974	7.0	7.6	8.7	6.2
October 1974 to October 1975	3.9	2.5	4.2	4.0
October 1975 to October 1976	2.8	3.6	3.5	2.4
October 1976 to October 1977	4.8	0.5	9.6	3.6
October 1977 to October 1978	2.3	3.6	4.0	1.4
October 1971 to October 1978	34.3	33.7	50.9	28.5

In 10 States (Alaska, Delaware, Maine, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wyoming) per capita justice expenditure of the State government exceeded that of its local government units. This represents an increase of 3 States over 1977. In addition, as shown by figure 2, per capita expenditure of State governments showed larger 1977-78 gains than local governments in 27 States.

The total expenditure increase of State and local governments from FY 1977 to FY 1978 varied sharply by region, as shown in figure 3 in the graphic summary. The Northeast led all regions with an increase of \$849 million or 17.1 percent, followed by the South, up \$536 million or 8.3 percent, and the North Central region up \$467 million or 10.5 percent. The West region had less than half of the increase of that of the Northeast, with total expenditure rising only \$362 million or 10.9 percent compared with an increase of \$431 million in 1977. The Northeast regional increase of \$849 million in 1978 is over three times greater than its rise of \$266 million in 1977. However, New York State, whose justice expenditure rose by \$597 million, accounted for 70 percent of this increase.

Table C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1978

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal	12.9	14.9	10.5	14.8	39.9	6.1	19.9
State	27.7	14.4	33.0	26.4	18.7	57.5	28.0
Local	59.4	70.7	56.5	58.8	41.4	36.4	52.1

Year-to-year changes in expenditure of State and local governments by individual States are shown in figure 4. Twenty-two States and the District of Columbia showed gains in the rate of increase over 1977; and twenty-eight States showed declines in their rate of increase in justice activities. Following New York's \$597 million increase, California's justice expenditure rose \$167 million and Michigan's by \$133 million. After these States, the biggest dollar gains at State and local government levels were made by Illinois (\$115 million), Ohio (\$114 million), Texas (\$92 million), and Pennsylvania (\$86 million). In terms of percent increase, Utah led all States with a 37 percent (\$30 million) increase, followed by Alabama (26 percent or \$48 million), New York (26 percent or \$597 million), Rhode Island (26 percent or \$18 million), and Tennessee (23 percent or \$60 million). In each of these States, the increase in justice expenditure exceeded the increase in general expenditure for all governmental functions.

Local governments continued to spend more than the Federal and State governments combined for all justice activities. Figure 7 in the graphic summary (page 00 - 00) shows that local governments accounted for 59 percent of all direct justice expenditure; State governments contributed 28 percent; and the Federal Government 13 percent. However, when each activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the justice system.

As seen in table C, five of the activities—police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, and "other criminal justice" were supported mainly by local governments. State governments contributed the largest amount for corrections.

Figure 5 shows the change in direct expenditure by type of government and by function from FY 1977 to FY 1978. The two largest direct expenditure increases in dollar amounts occurred in police protection of municipalities (up \$784 million) and in judicial expenditure of State governments (up \$362 million). These two increases alone accounted for over 45 percent of the total increase in direct expenditure for all governments between FY 1977 and FY 1978. The judicial sector of the States registered the largest year-to-year percent increase (56 percent), followed closely by the Federal public defense sector (up 49 percent) and the State legal services sector (up 30 percent).

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the various levels of government also apply to employment.

Figure 8 shows that almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent justice employment of all governments was at the local level. As seen in table D, the proportion of people employed by three levels of government in each sector generally approximated the proportion of expenditures made in the sector. Exceptions to this pattern are seen in the public defense and "other criminal justice" sectors. The Federal Government accounted for 40 percent of the total expenditure for public defense but only 3 percent of the employees. This is because the Federal Government makes more extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems, whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes, than do State and local governments, which more frequently have their own attorneys to provide public defense services. It should also be noted that 89 percent of Federal public defense expenditure was in the form of payments to the Legal Services Corporation for counseling indigent persons in purely civil matters. Because the corporation is not an agency of the Federal Government, their employees are not included in the count of Federal employment. Other Federal public defense programs service clients in criminal matters. Local governments accounted for 52 percent of "other criminal justice" expenditure but only 41 percent of the employees, while State governments contributed 28 percent of the expenditure and 41 percent of the employees. This is due in part to the effect of large capital expenditures in this category at the local government level for construction of multipurpose justice buildings. Moreover, this residual category has smaller expenditure and employment totals and small changes have a greater proportionate impact.

Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

The Federal Government expended a total of \$3.8 billion on civil and criminal justice activities in fiscal year 1978. This represents slightly over 1 percent of the total 1978 Federal general expenditure of \$348 billion. The \$3.8 billion amounts to a \$233 million, or 6.5 percent increase, over FY 1977. The increase in Federal justice direct expenditure (which includes current operating expenses and capital outlay but excludes Federal grants to State and local governments) was even greater at 12 percent.

The police protection sector accounted for the largest proportion of the total dollar increase: \$187 million for an increase of 11 percent. However, the public defense sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 49 percent or \$69 million, reflecting a \$66 million increase in the expenditures of the Legal Services Corporation referred to above.

Table D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the justice system by activity and level of government, October 1978

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal	9.0	11.3	5.6	10.9	3.2	4.5	18.3
State	26.8	14.1	27.9	26.3	38.0	57.1	40.8
Local	64.2	74.6	66.5	62.8	58.8	38.4	40.9

The next largest increase occurred in Federal legal services expenditures, which rose 19 percent or \$34.5 million. Increases also occurred in corrections and judicial expenditure, both up 11 percent. The latter reflects increases of \$17.5 million by the U.S. District Courts, \$7.6 million by the U.S. Marshals Service, and \$1.5 million by the Federal Judicial Center. For the second straight year, "other criminal justice" expenditure experienced a decline, dropping \$127 million or 15 percent. This is primarily the result of a decrease in intergovernmental expenditure. Law Enforcement Assistance Administration grants (comprising nearly all of Federal intergovernmental outlays for criminal and civil justice), decreased from \$774 million in FY 1977 to \$660 million in FY 1978. A \$120 million drop (from \$705 million to \$585 million) in LEAA block grants distributed to State governments, was only slightly offset by a \$6 million increase from \$69 million to \$75 million in discretionary grants distributed directly to local governments.

Figure 9 shows that, as in previous years, the largest share of Federal justice money was expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$3.8 billion in total Federal criminal justice expenditure, about one half (\$2 billion) was for activities in the police protection sector, including those of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$487 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$415 million), the Drug Enforcement Administration (\$169 million), and the Postal Inspection Service (\$142 million). About 20 percent of all Federal justice expenditure was reported for activities classified in the "other criminal justice" sector. The other four sectors accounted for the remaining 30 percent of Federal justice expenditure.

Full-time equivalent Federal employment in civil and criminal justice activities increased 3.6 percent from October 1977 to October 1978. There were nearly 104 thousand full-time equivalent employees in October 1978, including 74 thousand (71 percent) in the police protection sector; 12 thousand (12 percent) in the corrections sectors; 7.6 thousand (7 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector; and 8 thousand (8 percent) in the judicial sector (see figure 10). Public defense and "other criminal justice" together accounted for less than 2 thousand full-time equivalent employees (2 percent) although these two sectors accounted for 25 percent of the total Federal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of public defense expenditure was for court-appointed counsel, who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

Federal justice employees drew an October 1978 payroll of \$184 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to the percentage of full-time equivalent employment (see table E). There is a slight disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector had 8 percent of the employees but received 9.5 percent of the payroll. As shown in figure 6, the Federal Government has the highest average monthly salaries in every justice sector. An average monthly salary of \$2,108 for judicial employees is followed by average salaries of \$1,981 and \$1,977 in the public defense and legal services sectors respectively.

State governments (tables 6-11)

The total general expenditure of State governments in FY 1978 was \$180 billion, of which \$7.5 billion or 4 percent was expended on civil and criminal justice activities, about the same proportion as in past years. This represents an increase of \$855 million or 13 percent in justice expenditure from the previous fiscal year, slightly more than the 12 percent increase from 1976 to 1977.

The increase in total justice expenditure by State governments was reflected in all justice sectors except "other criminal justice." The judicial sector, rising sharply from \$736 million in 1977 to \$1.2 billion in 1978 for a 56 percent or \$414 million increase, accounted for nearly half (48 percent) of the 1977-78 increase in State justice expenditure. This increase in State judicial expenditures reflects expanded State government responsibility for the court systems in several States, including Alabama, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, and Virginia. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, rising 27 percent to \$400 million, recorded the second largest percent increase.

The sharp decline of 14 percent in total State "other criminal justice" expenditure from \$610 million in FY 1977 to \$527 million in FY 1978 follows several years of decreased rates of growth and a slight decline in 1977. Most of the decrease occurred in State intergovernmental payments to local governments, which dropped from \$470 million to \$404 million, reflecting the decline in the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration block grants, which States redistribute to local governments.

Police protection and corrections expenditure of State governments both showed decreased rates of growth from the previous year. Police protection expenditure increased 3 percent compared with 10 percent in 1977; and corrections expenditure rose 12 percent, down from the 15 percent increase in the prior year. Public defense total expenditure rose 21 percent—6 percentage points higher than the increase of a year ago.

As in past years, the corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State justice expenditure (\$3.3 billion or 44 percent). These expenditures included the operation of State prison systems and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole systems as well. The balance of State justice expenditure was distributed as follows: Police protection, \$2 billion, or 27 percent; judicial, \$1.2 billion or 15 percent; legal services and prosecution, \$400 million, or 5 percent; public defense, \$109 million, or 2 percent; and "other criminal justice," \$527 million, or 7 percent (see figure 11).

Full-time equivalent employment of State civil and criminal justice agencies increased overall by 4 percent to 311 thousand in 1977-78, down substantially from the 10 percent increase from 1976 to 1977. However, some sectors recorded increases while others decreased. The legal services/prosecution and judicial sectors showed 21 and 12 percent increases respectively. State corrections added about 6 thousand employees (an increase of 4 percent). Three sectors, police protection, public defense, and other criminal justice, recorded small decreases in employees (1.3 percent, 0.5 percent, and 2 percent respectively).

State governments' justice payroll increased 10 percent between October 1977 and October 1978. The 311 thousand full-time equivalent employees (15 percent of the total 2.9 million full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$407 million in salaries, wages, or other compensation in the month of October 1978. The percentage of full-time equivalent employment in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector with the exception of "other criminal justice" (see figures 11 and 12).

The October 1978 payroll of \$407 million was divided among the six justice sectors roughly in proportion to the full-time

Table E. Percent Distribution of Federal Criminal Justice Full-Time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1978

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1978 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1978 payroll
Total	103,678	\$184,202	100.0	100.0
Police protection	73,993	128,182	71.4	69.6
Judicial	8,289	17,474	8.0	9.5
Legal services	7,558	14,940	7.3	8.1
Public defense	265	525	0.2	0.3
Corrections	11,918	20,162	11.5	10.9
Other criminal justice	1,655	2,919	1.6	1.6

equivalent employment in the sector (see table F). For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees, namely judicial, legal services, and public defense (see figure 6), the sector's share of payroll of course exceeded the share of employment.

Local governments: Counties and municipalities (table 6-10 and 14-24)

Total expenditure for justice by local governments in fiscal year 1978 was \$14.5 billion, a \$1.4 billion or 11 percent increase from FY 1977—its comparison to an 8 percent increase from 1976 to 1977. As in past years, close to two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$9.3 billion or 64 percent). The judicial sector and the corrections sector accounted for 13 and 14 percent of expenditure respectively, while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 13).

Local full-time equivalent employment was also distributed among the six sectors roughly in the same proportions as total expenditure (see figures 13 and 14). Two slight exceptions to this generalization are the public defense sector, where payments are frequently made to private court-appointed counsel who are not direct employees of the government, and the "other criminal justice" sector, where expenditures are frequently grant funds of private agencies associated with justice activities or are used for construction of multipurpose justice facilities. In both instances, large expenditures may be made without the governments involved directly employing the workers.

Analyzing local justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure important differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments for justice activities by about \$3.2 billion overall, but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by more than 4 to 1. Over one third (37 percent) of county justice expenditure was for activities in the judicial, legal services/prosecution, and public defense areas; another third (32 percent) was for police protection; corrections (28 percent) and other criminal justice (3 percent) round out the

Table F. Percent Distribution of State Criminal Justice Full-Time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1978

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1978 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1978 payroll
Total	310,554	\$406,897	100.0	100.0
Police protection	92,443	122,337	29.8	30.1
Judicial	41,642	68,050	13.4	16.7
Legal services	18,212	27,334	5.9	6.7
Public defense	3,159	4,724	1.0	1.2
Corrections	151,408	179,654	48.7	44.1
Other criminal justice	3,690	4,797	1.2	1.2

county justice total. Municipal governments spent \$7.5 billion (or 85 percent of all their justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether. However, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments in the other five sectors. No one sector dominates county justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see figures 15 and 17).

County justice expenditure for all activities increased by 11 percent over FY 1977, slightly more than the 10 percent increase from 1976 to 1977. The greatest individual sector percent increase occurred in public defense, \$24 million or 15 percent which, however, represents a decline from the prior year increase of 20 percent. Legal services increased \$61 million, or 13 percent, compared to 16 percent the prior year. "Other criminal justice" declining by \$4 million, or 2.3 percent, primarily reflects decreased county direct current expenditure in this sector. However, overall county justice expenditure, partly recouping from the prior year in which every sector's rate of expenditure growth declined, showed increased growth rates in police protection from 9 to 12 percent, judicial from 8 to 9 percent, and corrections from 10 to 12 percent respectively.

Total justice expenditure for municipalities increased by almost \$1 billion (\$889 million) or 11 percent to \$8.9 billion in 1978. This was nearly double the \$509 million or 6.8 percent increase in 1977. The total municipal police protection expenditure of \$7.5 billion, accounting for 85 percent of total municipal justice spending, consumed \$797 of the total \$899 million increase. Municipal legal services and public defense spending, although rising sharply at 20 and 30 percent rates respectively in 1978, still constitute only a combined total of 4.3 percent of municipal justice spending. Municipal judicial expenditure rose 2 percent in 1978, partly offsetting a 4 percent decline in 1977. The sharpest reversal, however, occurred in "other criminal justice" which decreased 25 percent, or \$24 million.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in roughly the same percentages as expenditure (see tables G and H). There were 296 thousand full-time equivalent county justice employees in October 1978 drawing a monthly payroll

of \$343 million. This was an increase of 8 thousand or 3 percent in the number of county justice employees over October 1977, about one-half the increase from 1976 to 1977. The police protection sector showed the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, over 3 thousand or 3 percent. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees such as legal services and public defense (see figure 6), the percentage of total payroll exceeded the percentage of total employees. Average monthly pay for county justice employees for all functions rose 2 percent from 1977 to 1978—down sharply from the 10 percent increase from 1976 to 1977. County average monthly pay, although increasing in the judicial, legal services, and corrections sectors was offset by declines in the police protection, public defense, and other criminal justice sectors. Except the public defense sector, county average monthly justice pay was the lowest in every sector among all governments.

Table G. Percent distribution of county justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1978

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1978 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1978 payroll
Total	295,970	\$343,125	100.0	100.0
Police protection	99,889	124,757	33.7	36.4
Judicial	78,748	79,803	26.6	23.2
Legal services	29,208	38,713	9.9	11.3
Public defense	4,543	6,824	1.5	2.0
Corrections	81,015	90,290	27.4	26.3
Other criminal justice	2,567	2,739	0.9	0.8

Table H. Percent distribution of municipal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1978

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1978 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1978 payroll
Total	447,234	\$592,859	100.0	100.0
Police protection	389,846	521,735	87.2	88.0
Judicial	20,611	21,663	4.6	3.7
Legal services	14,269	20,301	3.2	3.4
Public defense	336	513	0.1	0.1
Corrections	21,043	27,423	4.7	4.6
Other criminal justice	1,129	1,223	0.2	0.2

There were 447 thousand full-time equivalent municipal justice employees in October 1978 with a monthly payroll of \$593 million. This was an increase of only 0.4 percent or 2 thousand employees on a full-time equivalent basis over the October 1977 employment level. Although municipal police employment on a full-time equivalent basis rose by over 6 thousand to 390 thousand; this was offset by a decline of more

than 5 thousand in municipal judicial employment.* In the remaining municipal sectors, increases in legal services, public defense, and corrections were partly offset by a decline in "other criminal justice" employment. Average monthly municipal pay by justice sector not only exceeded county pay in every sector, but also exceeded State employees' pay in the functions of police protection, public defense, and corrections.

Separate data are presented in tables 15-19 for the 343 county governments with a 1976 population of 100,000 or more. Over one-half (58 percent) of the total United States population lives in the 343 largest counties, and these counties accounted for 77 percent (\$4.4 billion) of the total expenditure for justice activities at the county level. These same counties accounted for 72 percent of all county-level full-time equivalent justice employment (212 thousand) and paid 78 percent of the total county justice payroll for October 1978. These figures, of course, reflect the greater need for justice services and the higher salaries in densely populated areas.

Separate data are presented in tables 20-24 for the 406 city governments with a population of 50,000 or more in 1976. Total justice expenditure by the 406 large city governments amounted to \$6.1 billion, and full-time equivalent justice employment was 276 thousand. These 406 cities contain slightly more than one-half (53 percent) of the total municipal population and accounted for slightly more than two-thirds of the municipal justice expenditure, 68 percent. These same 406 cities employed 62 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees working in the justice system and paid them 63 percent of the total municipal justice payroll. As with the large counties, the proportion of payroll exceeded the proportion of employees, reflecting the generally higher salaries in the large metropolitan areas.

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various justice sectors in the 343 counties and the 406 cities reflects the distribution for counties and cities generally.

It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities displayed are either independent, being wholly outside any county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. In general, these cities are more similar to large counties than to other large cities in the scope of their justice responsibilities; that is, in addition to police protection, which is the primary justice function in most cities, these cities also operate extensive judicial and corrections systems and may have significant public defense expenditures. The independent cities are: Anchorage, Alaska; Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Md.; St. Louis, Mo.; Columbus, Ga.; Carson City, Nev.; and the following cities in Virginia: Alexandria, Chesapeake, Hampton, Lynchburg, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Richmond, Roanoke, Virginia Beach, and 31 others, 20 of which are

*Most of this decline in turn was due to a drop in municipal judicial employees in New York State, stemming from State takeover of the local courts.

INTRODUCTION

included in the survey sample and are reflected in table I. Data are not available for eight cities not included in the survey sample and four cities which did not respond. The consolidated city-county governments are: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Indianapolis, Ind.; Lexington, Ky.; Baton Rouge, La.; New Orleans, La.; Boston, Mass.; New York City, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; Nashville-Davidson, Tenn.; and three others that are not displayed in the individual city tables because they had populations of less than 50,000. They are Juneau, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska; and Nantucket, Mass. All 15 however, are displayed in table I to show their effect on total municipal direct expenditure and employment for all criminal justice functions.

Because New York City's justice direct expenditure accounted for 52 percent of the combined total of independent cities and consolidated city-counties, these units' share of total municipal

direct expenditure is less dramatic when New York City is removed, dropping from 31 percent to 15 percent. Similarly, New York City accounts for 43 percent of the total justice employment for this group and, when it is removed, the share of total municipal employment is reduced from 24 percent to 14 percent.

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 12, 13, and 14)

Data are presented on justice expenditure, employment, and payroll of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and within the individual SMSA for each of its component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for all municipalities within that county. In a few instances, data for individual cities are listed separately within individual

Table I. Criminal and civil justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Estimated population July 1, 1977	Total justice system		State and type of government	Estimated population July 1, 1977	Total justice system	
		Direct expenditures	Total employees			Direct expenditures	Total employees
United States:							
Local governments, total ¹	(X)	\$14,321,513	801,506	Kentucky: Lexington-Fayette . . .	190,142	12,082	671
Counties, total	(X)	5,611,815	322,538	Louisiana: Baton Rouge-East			
Municipalities, total ¹ . . .	(X)	8,709,697	478,968	Baton Rouge	308,178	21,808	1,659
Independent cities and consolidated city-county governments . .	18,887,804	2,669,301	116,174	New Orleans-Orleans . .	561,266	49,560	3,619
Alaska:				Maryland: Baltimore	804,304	91,978	4,951
Anchorage	181,754	11,054	333	Massachusetts: Boston-Suffolk	705,719	98,047	4,508
Juneau	19,093	1,269	36	Nantucket	5,469	649	43
Sitka	7,315	468	22	Missouri: St. Louis	517,671	63,780	3,934
California: San Francisco	655,072	93,942	4,031	Nevada: Carson City	27,674	1,583	95
Colorado: Denver	475,098	54,677	2,442	New York: New York-Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, Richmond . .	7,297,787	1,380,432	59,255
District of Columbia	684,891	206,665	9,026	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	1,778,345	293,144	14,096
Florida: Jacksonville-Duval	556,031	34,578	1,977	Tennessee: Nashville-Davidson . .	428,957	32,260	1,915
Georgia: Columbus-Muscogee . . .	165,511	9,279	647	Virginia: Independent cities (29) ²	2,017,955	115,704	7,018
Hawaii: Honolulu	717,852	45,336	2,050				
Indiana: Indianapolis-Marion . . .	771,720	51,635	2,846				

X Not applicable.
¹ Expenditure and employment data for independent cities and consolidated city-county governments are included.
² There are 41 independent cities in Virginia; however, 8 of these cities are not included in the survey sample; and four did not respond.

INTRODUCTION

SURVEY METHODOLOGY-SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail below, and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2. As in the previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions of the particular government or level of government.

The "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970 required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government only in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure. Beginning with the FY 1971 report, total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in several tables have included data for units of general local government only and have excluded dependent school districts or special districts. Justice expenditure and employment data, however, have always been for units of general local government only; i.e., no data on the justice activities of independent school districts or special districts have ever been included. As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 presents separate data for those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditure and employment. In addition, appendix 1 includes separate data for campus police of colleges and universities that are dependent agencies of State and local general governments—data that are also included in the summary figures for State and local governments.

Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered the Federal Government, all State governments, and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (and townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining municipalities and townships with less than 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual police protection expenditures as reported in the 1972 Census

SMSA areas in the SMSA tables because the city is either the only government within a county area, e.g., consolidated city-county governments such as Philadelphia or New York City, or the city is organizationally independent and within no recognized county boundary, e.g., St. Louis, Mo., or Baltimore, Md. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than the SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are defined in terms of cities and towns rather than counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is made up of counties.

As seen in table 12, the 17 largest SMSA's expended \$6.6 billion for civil and criminal justice activities in FY 1978. Almost half (46 percent) of the Nation's total local justice direct expenditure was made by governments in these areas, where 29 percent of the estimated 1977 United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted for 47 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 39 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 47 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 49 percent of local expenditure for public defense activities; 47 percent of all local expenditure for corrections activities; and 45 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table J).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 296 thousand justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis, or 40 percent of the total number of local government full-time equivalent justice employees. The percentage of all local government employees working in each justice sector in these SMSA's was: Police protection, 40 percent; judicial, 35 percent; legal services and prosecution, 45 percent; public defense, 42 percent; corrections, 41 percent; and "other criminal justice," 35 percent.

On a per capita basis, the 17 largest SMSA's spent \$106 in 1978. Police protection accounted for \$70 or 67 percent of the 17 largest SMSA's total justice per capita expenditure, a three percent increase over 1977 in police protection's share of total per capita justice spending. Total per capita justice expenditure varied from \$47 for the Pittsburgh SMSA to \$165 for the New York, New York—New Jersey SMSA. Police protection expenditure as a percentage of total SMSA expenditure ranged from 47 percent for San Francisco—Oakland to 80 percent for the New York, New York—New Jersey SMSA.

Table J. Total criminal and civil justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1978

(Millions of dollars)

Local government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
All local governments	\$14,322	\$9,276	\$1,733	\$859	\$217	\$2,009	\$228
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's . . .	6,633	4,398	673	400	107	952	103
Percent of all local governments ¹	46.3	47.4	38.8	46.6	49.3	47.3	45.2

¹ Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 12.

INTRODUCTION

of Governments. The survey panel therefore included the Federal Government, the 50 State governments, and 9,044 local governments (the 3,042 county governments, 4,305 municipalities, and 1,697 townships).

The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for FY 1978, which began on October 1, 1977 and ended September 30, 1978. The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978 for all States except four whose fiscal years ended as follows: New York, March 31, 1978; Texas, August 31, 1978; and Alabama and Michigan, September 30, 1978. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1978 fiscal years reported are those that closed between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1977 or June 30, 1978. The fiscal year reported for Washington, D.C. ended on September 30, 1978.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local governments are for October 1978.

Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: Field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data from the governments' own records for the 50 States, the 343 largest counties and the 406 largest cities. The compilation work was done between October 1978 and April 1979 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1979 and ending in May 1979. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 93 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are exhibited in appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup of Federal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2).

Data limitations

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error. Because all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error.

The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the portion of total justice expenditure made by local governments with a relative sampling error of less than one-half of 1 percent. For the fiscal year 1977 data, the errors were less than one-half of 1 percent in all but 11 States; however, in all States, the errors were less than three-quarters of 1 percent, at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government justice expenditure has been calculated at the two-thirds confidence level and found to be within 3 percent of the estimated totals for all States and less than 2 percent for 32 States. The results of the computations of standard errors for local government totals on a relative standard error basis are summarized in table K.

Because State government figures are not subject to sampling variation, the State-local aggregates shown for individual States are relatively more reliable than the local government estimates they include.

Table K. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure

0.5 or less	0.5-1.0	1.0-2.0		2.0-3.0	
California Hawaii Michigan Nevada New Mexico New York Texas	Alaska Arizona Connecticut Indiana Rhode Island Vermont	Colorado Delaware Florida Georgia Illinois Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine	Maryland Massachusetts Montana Nebraska North Carolina Ohio Oregon South Dakota Virginia Washington	Alabama Arkansas Idaho Iowa Minnesota Mississippi Missouri New Hampshire New Jersey	North Dakota Oklahoma Pennsylvania South Carolina Tennessee Utah West Virginia Wisconsin

INTRODUCTION

The data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample survey. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, because other differences in functional responsibilities from State-to-State and government-to-government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Changes in survey methodology

As discussed earlier, this series of annual reports dates back to FY 1967. The survey originated in the Bureau of the Census as a special in-house study in which police protection, judicial and corrections data were extracted for selected large governments from data compiled in the Bureau's regular annual finance and employment sample surveys. In the FY 1969 survey, prosecution and public defense were added to the original three criminal justice categories, coverage was extended to the entire regular annual survey sample of counties and municipalities, and a mail canvass questionnaire designed specifically to elicit criminal justice data was used for all but the largest governments which were canvassed by field agents. These changes must be taken into account in making year-to-year comparisons from FY 1967 through FY 1970.

Changes in classification

In the FY 1971 report, police protection expenditure included amounts expended for traffic safety and related traffic engineering. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these expenditures were excluded from the police protection figures. Also, data for special police forces administered by general-purpose governments (such as airport police, park police, housing police, etc.) were included in the police protection figures if the force

employed 10 or more full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, data for these forces were included regardless of size.

In the FY 1971 report, corrections expenditure figures covered a number of drug and alcohol abuse facilities and programs administered by assorted agencies, departments, and private organizations receiving public funding. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these institutions and programs were included only if administered by a corrections agency of the criminal justice system.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. In the FY 1971 report, an attempt was made to count these judges and their total payroll only at the State level. However, this effort was not uniformly successful. Therefore, beginning with the FY 1972 report, judges actually receiving a check from both the State and local governments were counted as part-time employees at both levels.

In the FY 1970 report, individual unit data were published for 128 large counties (as compared with 55 counties in earlier reports) and for 158 large cities (compared with 48 cities in earlier reports). The Federal expenditure data presented in all reports through FY 1970 were extracted from the Budget of the United States Government, and the employment data were provided by the U.S. Civil Service Commission. Since FY 1971 Federal data have been collected by a special mail canvass.

For the FY 1971 survey, a new and enlarged panel of local governments was drawn specifically for the justice expenditure and employment survey, including all county governments and a larger sample of municipalities and townships, to produce more reliable estimates for use by LEAA in the allocation of block grant funds between State and local governments. The data presented in reports since FY 1971 are therefore essentially comparable, because they are derived from the same sample using the same methods of data collection and processing. The only significant variations occurred in classification of certain expenditure items discussed in detail in the following section.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA


Copies of the annual and trends reports are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; U.S. Department of Commerce District Offices; and the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850.

In addition to the publications, data are also available on magnetic tape and computer printout. For details, contact the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20531.

GRAPHIC SUMMARY


Preceding page blank

FIGURE 1.
Percent and amount of change in total criminal justice expenditure by function, from 1976 to 1977 and from 1977 to 1978



*Decrease of \$69.2 million or 13.6 percent from 1977 to 1978

FIGURE 2.
Per capita direct expenditure for criminal justice activities of state and local governments, for state areas, fiscal year 1978








FIGURE 4.
Change in total criminal justice expenditure of state and local governments, by state areas, by region, 1977 to 1978



*Decrease of 1.0 percent or \$3.2 million

FIGURE 4.
Change in total criminal justice expenditure of state and local governments, by state areas, by region, 1977 to 1978 (Cont'd)




FIGURE 5.
Change in total criminal justice direct expenditure by function and by type of government, 1976 to 1977 and 1977 to 1978




FIGURE 6.
Average monthly salaries for criminal justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis by activity and type of government, October 1978





FIGURE 7.
Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, Fiscal Year 1978



*For each level of government, this graph depicts direct expenditure only and excludes intergovernmental expenditure to avoid duplication, since payments from one level of government to another are reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient level of government. On the other hand, figures 9, 11, 13, 15 and 17 depict total expenditure, including both direct and intergovernmental expenditure, of individual levels and types of governments. See text and Appendix 2: Definitions of terms.

FIGURE 8.
Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, October 1978





FIGURE 9.
Federal government criminal justice system total expenditure, Fiscal Year 1978



*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 10.
Federal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1978





FIGURE 11.
State government criminal justice system
total expenditure, Fiscal Year 1978



*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 12.
State government criminal justice system
full-time equivalent employment, October 1978




FIGURE 13.
Local government criminal justice system
total expenditure, Fiscal Year 1978




FIGURE 14.
Local government criminal justice system
full-time equivalent employment, October 1978





FIGURE 15.
County government criminal justice system
total expenditure, Fiscal Year 1978



*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 16.
County government criminal justice system
full-time equivalent employment, October 1978





FIGURE 17.
Municipal government criminal justice
system total expenditure, Fiscal Year 1978



*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 18.
Municipal government criminal justice system
full-time equivalent employment, October 1978





FIGURE 19.
Per capita criminal justice expenditure
of the 17 largest SMSA's, Fiscal Year
1978*



*Computed from 1977 population estimates

Section I. Variable Pass-Through Data

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 which required that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their *own revenue sources*.

The Safe Streets Act was amended in 1979 by the Justice System Improvement Act which changed the method of distributing the block grants to State and local governments. Although the new distribution formula is still based on law enforcement expenditure data, it uses a slightly different concept of expenditure—"expenditure from *general purpose revenue sources*." An explanation of this concept and corresponding data tables are contained in the *Summary Report of Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System FY 1978* issued prior to this report.

Table 1 of this report presents the variable pass-through data to allow for historical analysis with earlier data published in previous reports.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources.)

Specifically for local government, any expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Government or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received *directly* from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State *payments* to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and *payments* from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received *directly* from the Federal Government and local criminal justice *payments* to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year, any discrepancy will cancel out over time.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item	Expenditure from own sources			Percent distribution	
	Total State-local ¹	State	Local ¹	State	Local
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	18 436 656	6 547 333	11 889 323	35.5	64.5
ALABAMA	187 919	74 392	113 527	39.6	60.4
ALASKA	89 513	67 240	22 273	75.1	24.9
ARIZONA	247 369	77 953	169 416	31.5	68.5
ARKANSAS	81 315	41 317	39 998	50.8	49.2
CALIFORNIA	2 674 472	705 553	1 968 919	26.4	73.6
COLORADO	251 639	111 005	140 636	44.1	55.9
CONNECTICUT	220 866	114 754	106 112	52.0	48.0
DELAWARE	53 189	40 135	13 053	75.5	24.5
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	194 194	-	194 194	-	100.0
FLORIDA	784 400	282 359	502 042	36.0	64.0
GEORGIA	352 109	152 869	199 240	43.4	56.6
HAWAII	80 273	39 441	40 832	49.1	50.9
IDAHO	54 786	24 508	30 277	44.7	55.3
ILLINOIS	1 056 339	262 462	793 877	24.8	75.2
INDIANA	274 398	101 808	172 590	37.1	62.9
IOWA	163 206	69 190	94 016	42.4	57.6
KANSAS	143 273	62 301	80 972	43.5	56.5
KENTUCKY	186 297	121 463	64 834	65.2	34.8
LOUISIANA	303 312	116 218	187 094	38.3	61.7
MAINE	49 764	27 589	22 175	55.4	44.6
MARYLAND	415 651	255 436	160 215	61.5	38.5
MASSACHUSETTS	523 016	161 406	361 610	30.9	69.1
MICHIGAN	852 034	301 987	550 047	35.4	64.6
MINNESOTA	262 952	83 533	179 419	31.8	68.2
MISSISSIPPI	99 851	43 345	56 505	43.4	56.6
MISSOURI	299 783	91 160	208 623	30.4	69.6
MONTANA	47 935	18 865	29 070	39.4	60.6
NEBRASKA	96 177	43 399	52 778	45.1	54.9
NEVADA	101 650	35 615	66 036	35.0	65.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	49 764	18 808	30 956	37.8	62.2
NEW JERSEY	712 551	193 754	518 797	27.2	72.8
NEW MEXICO	93 807	50 752	43 055	54.1	45.9
NEW YORK	2 492 720	748 886	1 743 835	30.0	70.0
NORTH CAROLINA	345 671	202 335	143 336	58.5	41.5
NORTH DAKOTA	30 767	11 717	19 050	38.1	61.9
OHIO	760 354	250 840	509 513	33.0	67.0
OKLAHOMA	187 778	98 953	88 826	52.7	47.3
OREGON	210 917	86 460	124 457	41.0	59.0
PENNSYLVANIA	843 922	306 028	537 894	36.3	63.7
RHODE ISLAND	69 936	45 881	24 055	65.6	34.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	149 976	80 717	69 259	53.8	46.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	36 127	15 722	20 405	43.5	56.5
TENNESSEE	302 029	112 737	189 293	37.3	62.7
TEXAS	781 294	235 576	545 718	30.2	69.8
UTAH	98 440	46 179	52 261	46.9	53.1
VERMONT	29 667	23 098	6 569	77.9	22.1
VIRGINIA	384 056	212 177	171 879	55.2	44.8
WASHINGTON	268 208	106 240	161 968	39.6	60.4
WEST VIRGINIA	80 661	43 953	36 708	54.5	45.5
WISCONSIN	315 926	104 503	211 423	33.1	66.9
WYOMING	44 400	24 715	19 685	55.7	44.3

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variations; see text for data limitations.

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

FIGURE 20.
Percent distribution of total criminal justice direct expenditure by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1978.
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity ¹	Amount				Percent distribution		
	All governments ¹	Federal Government ²	State governments	Local governments ¹	Federal Government ²	State governments	Local governments ¹
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ¹	24 131 995	3 834 607	7 544 251	14 456 004	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	24 131 995	3 122 290	6 688 192	14 321 513	12.9	27.7	59.4
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	712 317	856 059	295 356	(x)	(x)	(x)
POLICE PROTECTION ¹	13 120 193	1 959 305	2 016 228	9 231 149	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	13 120 193	1 891 786	1 892 127	9 276 280	14.9	14.4	70.7
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	7 519	124 101	96 279	(x)	(x)	(x)
JUDICIAL ¹	3 067 221	321 373	1 150 265	1 823 223	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	3 067 221	321 373	1 012 899	1 732 949	10.5	35.0	56.5
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	-	137 366	118 381	(x)	(x)	(x)
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION ¹	1 459 859	220 058	400 367	862 094	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	1 459 859	215 525	385 783	858 551	14.8	26.4	58.8
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	4 533	14 584	4 537	(x)	(x)	(x)
PUBLIC DEFENSE ¹	523 735	209 060	109 294	216 824	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	523 735	209 060	97 956	216 719	39.9	18.7	41.4
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	-	11 338	2 152	(x)	(x)	(x)
CORRECTIONS ¹	5 522 711	375 802	3 341 200	2 030 722	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	5 522 711	357 174	3 176 963	2 008 574	6.1	57.5	36.4
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	38 628	164 237	58 369	(x)	(x)	(x)
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ¹	438 276	749 009	526 897	241 992	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	438 276	87 372	122 464	228 440	19.9	28.0	52.1
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(¹)	661 637	404 433	15 638	(x)	(x)	(x)

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.
¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variations; see text for data limitations.
²Federal Government data are for the fiscal period beginning October 1, 1977 and ending September 30, 1978.
³The total line for each sector, and for the total Criminal Justice System, excludes duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount. The intergovernmental expenditure lines are not totaled for the same reason.

Table 3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal system, by level of government, October 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	All governments ¹	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments ¹	Percent distribution		
					Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1 228 928	105 376	322 046	801 506	8.6	26.2	65.2
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	1 125 974	102 722	303 950	719 302	9.1	27.0	63.9
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	1 157 436	103 678	310 554	743 204	9.0	26.8	64.2
OCTOBER PAYROLL	1 527 082	184 202	406 897	935 983	12.1	26.6	61.3
POLICE PROTECTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	697 726	75 182	98 651	523 893	10.8	14.1	75.1
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	642 306	73 559	89 977	478 770	11.5	14.0	74.5
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	656 171	73 993	92 443	489 735	11.3	14.1	74.6
OCTOBER PAYROLL	897 010	128 182	122 337	646 491	14.3	13.6	72.1
JUDICIAL:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	163 264	8 321	43 625	111 318	5.1	26.7	68.2
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	140 080	8 229	39 448	92 403	5.9	28.2	65.9
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	149 290	8 289	41 642	99 359	5.6	27.9	66.5
OCTOBER PAYROLL	186 990	17 474	68 050	101 466	9.3	36.4	54.3
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	75 950	7 811	18 974	49 165	10.3	25.0	64.7
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	65 475	7 325	17 596	40 554	11.2	26.9	61.9
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	69 247	7 588	18 212	43 477	10.9	26.3	62.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	101 288	14 940	27 334	59 014	14.7	27.0	58.3
PUBLIC DEFENSE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	8 715	265	3 256	5 194	3.0	37.4	59.6
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	7 981	265	3 121	4 595	3.3	39.1	57.6
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	8 303	265	3 159	4 879	3.2	38.0	58.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	12 586	525	4 724	7 337	4.2	37.5	58.3
CORRECTIONS:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	273 440	12 055	153 770	107 615	4.4	56.2	39.4
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	261 347	11 800	150 148	99 399	4.5	57.5	38.0
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	265 384	11 918	151 408	102 058	4.5	57.1	38.4
OCTOBER PAYROLL	317 529	20 162	179 654	117 713	6.3	56.6	37.1
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	9 833	1 742	3 770	4 321	17.7	38.3	44.0
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	8 785	1 544	3 660	3 581	17.7	41.7	40.7
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	9 041	1 655	3 690	3 696	18.3	40.8	40.9
OCTOBER PAYROLL	11 679	2 919	4 797	3 963	25.0	41.1	35.1

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURE, ALL FUNCTIONS	348 000 000	268 828 000	232 539 000	36 289 000	79 172 000	52 043 000	27 129 000
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3 834 607	3 122 290	2 978 292	143 998	712 317	591 736	120 581
POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL	1 959 305	1 951 786	1 861 076	90 710	7 519	150	7 369
THE CONGRESS:							
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	24 256	22 827	22 152	675	1 429	-	1 429
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE	1 599	1 599	1 599	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES							
POLICE FORCE	1 284	1 284	1 229	55	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:							
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:							
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	5 943	(+)	(+)	(+)	5 943	150	5 793
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:							
U.S. PARK POLICE	14 038	14 038	13 271	767	-	-	-
U.S. PARK RANGERS	20 910	20 910	20 399	511	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	168 641	168 641	163 288	5 353	-	-	-
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	487 344	487 344	456 807	30 537	-	-	-
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:							
U.S. BORDER PATROL	69 739	69 739	68 283	1 456	-	-	-
INVESTIGATION DIVISION	27 454	27 454	26 832	622	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	19 027	19 027	18 639	388	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:							
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:							
AIRPORT POLICE	3 162	3 162	3 105	57	-	-	-
U.S. COAST GUARD	75 149	75 149	47 444	27 705	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:							
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	112 189	112 189	112 052	137	-	-	-
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	17 620	17 538	11 854	5 684	82	-	82
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:							
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	111 551	111 551	110 298	1 253	-	-	-
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION	13 155	13 155	13 089	66	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	410	410	410	-	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	414 528	414 528	409 728	4 800	-	-	-
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	124 686	124 621	116 790	7 831	65	-	65
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:							
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	83 618	83 618	82 658	960	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:							
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	502	502	502	-	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	324	324	323	1	-	-	-
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:							
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	142 101	142 101	140 249	1 852	-	-	-
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:							
SECURITY DIVISION	20 075	20 075	20 075	-	-	-	-
JUDICIAL, TOTAL	321 373	321 373	306 133	15 240	-	-	-
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	41 043	41 043	38 834	2 209	-	-	-
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL	7 085	7 085	6 753	332	-	-	-
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	7 085	7 085	6 753	332	-	-	-
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	33 958	33 958	32 081	1 877	-	-	-
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS	33 958	33 958	32 081	1 877	-	-	-
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TOTAL	218 182	218 182	207 451	10 731	-	-	-
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	218 182	218 182	207 451	10 731	-	-	-
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL	17 310	17 310	16 280	1 030	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS	3 890	3 890	3 837	53	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS	1 414	1 414	1 397	17	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT	3 926	3 926	3 833	93	-	-	-
U.S. TAX COURT	8 080	8 080	7 213	867	-	-	-
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL	44 838	44 838	43 568	1 270	-	-	-
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS	10 876	10 876	10 583	293	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	27 747	27 747	27 181	566	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER	6 215	6 215	5 804	411	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL	220 058	215 525	211 127	4 398	4 533	4 533	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
ANTITRUST DIVISION	30 484	25 951	25 789	162	4 533	4 533	-
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS	972	972	972	-	-	-	-
CIVIL DIVISION	15 807	15 807	15 711	96	-	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	10 366	10 366	10 329	37	-	-	-
CRIMINAL DIVISION	18 275	18 275	18 145	130	-	-	-
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	7 797	7 797	7 722	75	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	1 124	1 124	1 121	3	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	666	666	666	-	-	-	-
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE	11 199	11 199	10 721	478	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1 165	1 165	1 162	3	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	1 260	1 260	1 260	-	-	-	-
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS	104 788	104 788	101 553	3 235	-	-	-
OFFICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE	1 162	1 162	1 162	-	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	2 040	2 040	2 030	10	-	-	-
TAX DIVISION	12 953	12 953	12 784	169	-	-	-
PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL	209 060	209 060	208 333	727	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	3 347	3 347	3 347	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	7 275	7 275	6 946	329	-	-	-
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL	13 075	13 075	13 075	-	-	-	-
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION	185 363	185 363	184 965	398	-	-	-
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL	375 802	337 174	305 314	31 860	38 628	-	38 628
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
BUREAU OF PRISONS	269 245	247 941	218 794	29 147	21 304	-	21 304
INSTITUTIONS	222 736	201 432	172 964	28 468	21 304	-	21 304
FOR MEN	157 687	157 687	133 691	23 996	-	-	-
FOR WOMEN	9 980	9 980	7 661	2 319	-	-	-
FOR JUVENILES	8 036	8 036	7 637	399	-	-	-
OTHER AND COMBINED	47 033	25 729	23 975	1 754	21 304	-	21 304
ADMINISTRATION	46 509	46 509	45 830	679	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE PAROLE ATTORNEY	232	232	231	1	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	42 692	25 368	24 851	517	17 324	-	17 324
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION	3 854	3 854	3 801	53	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	59 779	59 779	57 637	2 142	-	-	-
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL	749 009	87 372	86 309	1 063	661 637	587 053	74 584
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH: CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY	4 460	2 374	2 374	-	2 086	2 086	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS							
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES	23 333	23 333	22 463	870	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	4 436	4 436	4 409	27	-	-	-
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION	709 645	50 094	50 074	20	659 551	584 967	74 584
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	7 135	7 135	6 989	146	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.

²Information developed for the current fiscal year indicates that no Federal employees are assigned to administer this program.

³Estimated criminal justice activities only.

⁴Total expenditure allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

⁵Primarily focuses on matters of a civil nature in contrast to State and local programs which focus on criminal as well as civil matters.

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT, ALL FUNCTIONS	2 888 169	2 630 454	2 746 628	4 343 851
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	105 376	102 722	103 678	184 202
POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL	75 192	73 559	73 993	128 182
THE CONGRESS:				
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	1 120	1 120	1 120	2 016
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE	107	107	107	151
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	70	70	70	108
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:				
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:				
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:				
U.S. PARK POLICE	679	644	661	1 157
U.S. PARK RANGERS ²	1 867	1 786	1 827	1 390
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	3 921	3 906	3 911	9 640
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	19 432	19 430	19 431	34 307
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:				
U.S. BORDER PATROL	2 477	2 442	2 458	3 882
INVESTIGATION DIVISION	1 066	1 062	1 064	1 785
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	550	511	542	1 036
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:				
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:				
AIRPORT POLICE	164	163	163	287
U.S. COAST GUARD	3 475	3 475	3 475	4 210
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:				
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	4 012	3 721	3 940	8 099
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	295	286	288	467
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:				
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	4 246	4 151	4 192	7 671
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION	575	566	570	1 140
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	9	9	9	23
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	16 488	15 401	15 597	28 782
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	3 578	3 577	3 578	7 928
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:				
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	3 676	3 639	3 669	4 030
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:				
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	29	26	27	41
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	21	21	21	24
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE:				
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	5 586	5 509	5 534	8 297
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:				
SECURITY DIVISION	1 739	1 737	1 739	1 711
JUDICIAL, TOTAL	8 321	8 229	8 289	17 474
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	1 436	1 408	1 419	2 334
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL	274	246	257	472
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	274	246	257	472
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	1 162	1 162	1 162	1 862
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS ⁴	1 162	1 162	1 162	1 862
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TOTAL	5 112	5 112	5 112	11 730
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ⁵	5 112	5 112	5 112	11 730
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL	448	441	445	912
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS ⁶	106	106	106	231
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS ⁷	35	35	35	82
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT ⁸	111	111	111	220
U.S. TAX COURT	196	189	193	379
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL	1 325	1 268	1 313	2 498
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS ⁹	435	435	435	788
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	801	744	789	1 511
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER ⁹	89	89	89	199

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL	7 811	7 325	7 558	14 940
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
ANTITRUST DIVISION	955	852	899	1 814
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS	37	37	38	78
CIVIL DIVISION	620	570	588	1 170
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	404	377	391	790
CRIMINAL DIVISION	676	652	669	1 400
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	261	253	258	564
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	39	35	36	87
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	27	26	26	56
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE	482	438	462	908
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	37	35	37	78
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	21	21	47
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS	3 627	3 461	3 537	6 731
OFFICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE	43	39	41	98
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	48	46	47	109
TAX DIVISION	531	483	508	1 010
PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL	265	265	265	525
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS ²	265	265	265	525
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL	12 055	11 800	11 918	20 162
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
BUREAU OF PRISONS	8 497	8 298	8 372	13 899
INSTITUTIONS	7 857	7 743	7 793	12 489
FOR MEN	6 120	6 043	6 076	9 874
FOR WOMEN	357	354	356	478
FOR JUVENILES	347	339	343	522
OTHER AND COMBINED	1 033	1 007	1 018	1 615
ADMINISTRATION	640	555	579	1 410
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	10	8	8	19
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	733	681	723	1 381
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION	157	155	157	304
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE ⁴	2 658	2 658	2 658	4 559
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL	1 742	1 544	1 655	2 919
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:				
CENTER OF STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY	10	10	10	23
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:				
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES	563	506	546	603
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	136	121	127	280
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION	828	716	769	1 624
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	205	191	203	389

X Not applicable.
¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.
²Information developed for the current fiscal year indicates that no Federal employees are assigned to administer this program.
³Estimated criminal justice activities only.
⁴Total employment and payroll allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by U.S. Marshals Service.
⁵Employment and payroll total for 1977 were repeated due to non-response to survey questionnaire.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total general expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount ⁴	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	216 332	219 998 000	21 009 705	9.5	11 168 407	53.2	2 745 848	13.1
STATES,	(X)	145 637 000	7 544 251	5.2	2 016 228	26.7	1 150 265	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	109 502 000	14 456 004	13.2	9 281 149	64.2	1 823 223	12.6
COUNTIES,	(X)	43 274 000	5 699 974	13.2	1 832 616	32.2	1 418 524	24.9
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	66 664 000	8 916 894	13.4	7 539 943	84.6	432 806	4.9
ALABAMA	3 690	2 972 000	232 063	7.8	121 944	52.5	43 719	18.8
STATE,	(X)	2 253 000	100 385	4.5	30 373	30.3	25 021	24.9
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	914 000	136 381	14.9	92 512	67.8	18 738	13.7
COUNTIES,	(X)	296 000	54 088	18.3	17 179	31.8	16 698	30.9
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	623 000	82 978	13.3	75 370	90.8	2 234	2.7
ALASKA	407	1 488 000	95 966	6.4	47 387	49.4	16 005	16.7
STATE,	(X)	1 158 000	70 839	6.1	24 518	34.6	15 976	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	596 000	26 402	4.4	22 875	86.6	53	0.2
BOROUGH,	(X)	141 000	1 652	1.2	1 196	72.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	455 000	24 781	5.4	21 679	87.5	53	0.2
ARIZONA	2 296	2 168 000	278 994	12.9	157 284	56.4	30 169	10.8
STATE,	(X)	1 362 000	88 432	6.5	36 521	41.3	4 613	5.2
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	1 084 000	1 198 241	18.3	121 751	61.4	25 584	12.9
COUNTIES,	(X)	465 000	90 118	19.4	25 119	27.9	20 266	22.5
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	620 000	109 149	17.6	96 737	88.6	5 321	4.9
ARKANSAS	2 144	1 504 000	101 532	6.8	55 956	55.1	11 040	10.9
STATE,	(X)	1 228 000	49 219	4.0	17 006	34.6	7 572	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	441 000	57 909	13.1	39 032	67.4	7 529	13.0
COUNTIES,	(X)	205 000	24 201	11.8	10 429	43.1	5 369	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	236 000	34 328	14.5	28 695	83.6	2 343	6.8
CALIFORNIA	21 896	25 459 000	2 919 787	11.5	1 440 077	49.3	333 819	11.4
STATE,	(X)	16 284 000	778 622	4.8	253 335	32.5	45 185	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	14 440 000	2 238 658	15.5	1 200 575	53.6	296 050	13.2
COUNTIES,	(X)	8 856 000	1 290 901	14.6	342 490	26.5	281 172	21.8
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	5 608 000	988 134	17.6	895 597	90.6	15 170	1.5
COLORADO	2 619	2 528 000	271 597	10.7	139 570	51.4	39 924	14.7
STATE,	(X)	1 581 000	120 132	7.6	24 220	20.2	29 810	24.8
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	1 189 000	157 454	13.2	115 365	73.3	10 130	6.4
COUNTIES,	(X)	462 000	40 926	8.9	19 070	46.6	2 650	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	727 000	117 194	16.1	96 459	82.3	7 479	6.4
CONNECTICUT	3 100	3 911 000	248 025	6.3	146 958	59.3	29 312	11.8
STATE,	(X)	2 383 000	128 679	5.4	30 341	23.6	27 993	21.8
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	2 109 000	124 499	5.9	117 585	94.4	1 343	1.1
COUNTIES,	(X)	2 108 000	124 942	5.9	117 890	94.4	1 389	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	2 108 000	124 942	5.9	117 890	94.4	1 389	1.1
DELAWARE	582	662 000	63 303	9.6	30 835	48.7	10 904	17.2
STATE,	(X)	516 000	44 195	8.6	13 060	29.6	9 924	22.5
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	190 000	20 988	11.0	18 004	85.8	1 997	9.5
COUNTIES,	(X)	92 000	8 343	9.1	6 472	77.6	1 405	16.8
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	98 000	12 749	13.0	11 635	91.3	592	4.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	690	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5
STATE,	(X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5
FLORIDA	8 452	6 408 000	868 287	13.6	444 419	51.2	123 546	14.2
STATE,	(X)	3 570 000	330 228	9.3	65 907	20.0	36 631	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	3 334 000	553 054	16.6	379 230	68.6	87 264	15.8
COUNTIES,	(X)	1 742 000	295 648	17.0	148 657	50.3	80 588	27.3
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	1 613 000	261 215	16.2	233 539	89.4	7 329	2.8
GEORGIA	5 048	3 758 000	391 251	10.4	194 903	49.8	50 833	13.0
STATE,	(X)	2 716 000	169 977	6.3	48 401	28.5	9 695	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	1 320 000	235 733	17.9	146 784	62.3	41 223	17.5
COUNTIES,	(X)	641 000	129 171	20.2	53 414	41.4	36 758	28.5
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	684 000	107 217	15.7	93 520	87.2	4 471	4.2
HAWAII	895	1 687 000	106 461	6.3	56 401	53.0	15 467	14.5
STATE,	(X)	1 359 000	46 818	3.4	1 739	3.7	15 467	33.0
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	380 000	61 415	16.2	54 662	89.0	-	-
COUNTIES,	(X)	98 000	16 079	16.4	12 241	76.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	282 000	45 336	16.1	42 421	93.6	-	-
IDAHO	857	737 000	64 883	8.8	33 808	52.1	8 349	12.9
STATE,	(X)	590 000	28 102	4.8	9 183	32.7	4 130	14.7
LOCAL, TOTAL,	(X)	215 000	38 083	17.7	24 799	65.1	4 240	11.1
COUNTIES,	(X)	124 000	23 582	19.0	11 287	47.9	4 091	17.3
MUNICIPALITIES,	(X)	91 000	17 459	19.2	16 242	93.1	246	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 244 334	5.9	314 675	1.5	5 185 537	24.7	350 904	1.7
STATES,	400 367	5.3	109 294	1.4	3 341 200	44.3	526 897	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL,	862 094	6.0	215 824	1.5	2 030 722	14.0	241 992	1.7
COUNTIES,	519 871	9.1	180 215	3.2	1 576 185	27.7	172 563	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES,	343 217	3.8	38 655	0.4	490 758	5.5	71 515	0.8
ALABAMA	10 780	4.6	1 816	0.8	52 470	22.6	1 333	0.6
STATE,	5 512	5.5	1 571	1.6	33 295	33.2	4 613	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL,	5 270	3.9	266	0.2	19 196	14.1	398	0.3
COUNTIES,	3 410	6.3	129	0.2	16 296	30.1	376	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES,	1 860	2.2	137	0.2	3 355	4.0	22	(2)
ALASKA	9 977	10.4	2 159	2.2	19 071	19.9	1 367	1.4
STATE,	7 226	10.2	2 159	3.0	19 170	27.1	1 790	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL,	2 770	10.5	-	-	704	2.7	-	-
BOROUGH,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES,	2 345	9.5	-	-	704	2.8	-	-
ARIZONA	18 928	6.8	5 496	2.0	65 885	23.6	1 232	0.4
STATE,	3 883	4.4	-	-	36 173	40.9	7 242	8.2
LOCAL, TOTAL,	15 045	7.6	5 496	2.8	29 874	15.1	491	0.2
COUNTIES,	10 153	11.3	5 403	6.0	29 112	32.3	66	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES,	4 892	4.5	426	0.4	1 347	1.2	425	0.4
ARKANSAS	4 387	4.3	552	0.5	26 577	26.2	3 019	3.0
STATE,	1 055	2.1	537	0.9	21 423	43.7	6 078	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL,	3 432	5.9	57	0.1	5 969	10.3	1 410	2.4
COUNTIES,	2 397	9.9	453	1.9	5 005	20.7	547	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES,	1 059	3.1	84	0.2	1 129	3.3	1 018	3.0
CALIFORNIA	257 541	8.8	75 938	2.6	785 767	26.9	26 645	0.9
STATE,	55 058	7.1	6 469	0.8	359 823	46.2	58 752	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL,	202 510	9.0	71 222	3.2	448 589	20.0	19 710	0.9
COUNTIES,	155 689	12.1	67 907	5.3	428 629	33.2	15 014	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES,	46 921	4.7	3 315	3.3	22 043	2.2	5 087	0.5
COLORADO	20 413	7.5	3 067	1.1	63 805	23.5	4 818	1.8
STATE,	4 510	3.8	3 045	2.5	45 000	42.0	8 035	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL,	15 903	10.1	22	(Z)	13 317	6.8	2 718	1.7
COUNTIES,	9 901	24.2	16	(Z)	8 222	20.1	1 066	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES,	6 261	5.3	22	(Z)	5 321	4.5	1 652	1.4
CONNECTICUT	11 406	4.6	3 196	1.3	53 751	21.7	3 401	1.4
STATE,	7 198	5.6	3 183	2.5	53 660	41.7	6 304	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL,	4 208	3.4	13	(Z)	103	0.1	1 246	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES,	4 294	3.4	13	(Z)	103	0.1	1 252	1.0
DELAWARE	2 932	4.6	906	1.4	16 446	26.0		

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total general expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount ⁴	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	11 245	9 582 000	1 159 866	12.1	635 259	59.1	124 408	10.7
STATE	(x)	6 534 000	299 563	4.6	78 362	26.2	49 700	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 695 000	887 281	24.0	608 999	68.6	77 447	8.7
COUNTIES	(x)	1 043 000	317 712	30.5	56 891	17.9	77 143	24.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 676 000	572 085	21.4	553 666	96.8	738	0.1
INDIANA	5 330	3 622 000	319 136	8.8	177 869	55.7	40 900	12.8
STATE	(x)	2 700 000	122 728	4.5	43 638	35.6	9 122	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	1 631 000	207 385	12.7	134 471	64.8	32 725	15.8
COUNTIES	(x)	757 000	71 216	9.4	22 164	31.1	23 644	33.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	874 000	136 727	15.6	112 690	82.4	9 163	6.7
IOWA	2 879	2 679 000	181 702	6.8	98 041	54.0	26 949	14.8
STATE	(x)	1 836 000	79 868	4.4	28 398	35.6	8 703	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	1 197 000	114 830	9.6	71 110	61.9	18 262	15.9
COUNTIES	(x)	607 000	58 785	9.7	16 876	28.7	18 697	31.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	590 000	58 914	10.0	55 886	94.9	31	0.1
KANSAS	2 326	2 096 000	166 756	8.0	76 167	45.7	27 459	16.5
STATE	(x)	1 252 000	76 253	6.1	13 225	17.3	14 318	18.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	941 000	98 005	10.4	62 945	64.2	14 959	15.3
COUNTIES	(x)	315 000	44 252	14.0	13 982	31.6	13 230	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	626 000	55 948	8.9	50 767	90.7	1 780	3.2
KENTUCKY	3 458	2 935 000	234 454	8.0	125 031	53.3	33 773	14.4
STATE	(x)	2 332 000	143 733	6.2	50 373	35.0	28 561	19.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	712 000	118 453	16.6	81 848	69.1	9 017	7.6
COUNTIES	(x)	322 000	53 258	16.5	24 301	45.6	6 609	12.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	429 000	66 106	15.4	57 866	87.5	2 426	3.7
LOUISIANA	3 921	3 772 000	338 198	9.0	180 040	53.2	46 915	13.9
STATE	(x)	2 721 000	134 083	4.9	45 625	34.0	12 509	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	1 364 000	214 737	16.0	135 006	62.9	34 611	16.1
PARISHES	(x)	642 000	101 404	15.8	56 122	55.3	20 679	20.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	702 000	114 168	16.3	79 277	69.4	13 956	12.2
MAINE	1 085	1 153 000	59 422	5.2	33 197	55.9	8 205	13.8
STATE	(x)	939 000	32 705	3.5	10 346	31.6	6 336	19.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	486 000	29 071	6.0	22 856	78.6	2 323	8.0
COUNTIES	(x)	19 000	8 528	44.9	2 748	32.2	2 292	26.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	467 000	21 389	4.6	20 505	95.9	139	0.6
MARYLAND	4 139	6 164 000	468 226	7.6	240 154	51.3	47 004	10.0
STATE	(x)	3 736 000	279 554	7.5	109 400	39.1	24 916	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 653 000	252 134	6.9	186 692	74.0	22 142	8.8
COUNTIES	(x)	2 527 000	140 742	5.6	99 348	70.6	15 636	11.1
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	1 154 000	111 642	9.7	87 524	78.4	6 506	5.8
MASSACHUSETTS	5 782	8 212 000	593 834	7.2	333 460	56.2	91 870	15.5
STATE	(x)	4 953 000	175 941	3.6	46 666	26.5	23 120	13.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	4 661 000	437 352	9.4	290 461	66.4	78 702	18.0
COUNTIES	(x)	147 000	100 858	68.6	1 508	1.5	57 278	56.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	4 514 000	339 614	7.5	289 056	85.1	24 103	7.1
MICHIGAN	9 129	9 495 000	994 062	10.5	524 872	52.8	151 251	15.2
STATE	(x)	6 539 000	333 988	5.1	91 880	27.5	51 076	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	4 220 000	719 211	17.0	439 708	61.1	114 700	15.9
COUNTIES	(x)	1 497 000	301 222	20.1	64 286	21.3	88 672	29.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 734 000	437 921	16.0	381 187	87.0	28 501	6.5
MINNESOTA	3 975	4 303 000	308 469	7.2	146 888	47.6	44 473	14.4
STATE	(x)	3 073 000	99 426	3.2	34 671	34.9	11 065	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	2 066 000	228 104	11.0	121 936	53.5	33 528	14.7
COUNTIES	(x)	1 086 000	129 682	11.9	29 822	23.0	33 719	26.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	987 000	102 109	10.3	94 093	92.1	158	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	2 389	1 907 000	116 360	6.1	66 305	57.0	15 900	13.7
STATE	(x)	1 384 000	22 162	3.8	23 886	45.8	3 679	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	721 000	67 387	9.3	42 946	63.7	12 240	18.2
COUNTIES	(x)	437 000	32 247	7.4	11 012	34.1	11 045	34.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	284 000	35 472	12.5	31 987	90.2	1 196	3.4
MISSOURI	4 801	3 177 000	361 372	11.4	219 588	60.8	46 378	12.8
STATE	(x)	2 076 000	109 531	5.3	34 544	31.5	15 294	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	1 251 000	263 941	21.1	185 044	70.1	31 084	11.8
COUNTIES	(x)	363 000	79 674	21.9	31 214	39.2	20 165	25.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	906 000	185 262	20.4	154 733	83.5	10 919	5.9
MONTANA	761	793 000	55 876	7.0	27 934	50.0	7 747	13.9
STATE	(x)	602 000	23 041	3.8	6 675	29.0	2 175	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	275 000	34 492	12.5	21 356	61.9	5 578	16.2
COUNTIES	(x)	160 000	20 329	12.7	8 985	44.2	4 738	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	115 000	14 876	12.9	12 837	86.3	841	5.7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	52 595	4.5	14 149	1.2	220 072	19.0	63 382	5.5
STATE	14 120	0.6	1 712	0.6	128 068	42.8	27 601	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 044	4.6	12 437	1.4	92 378	10.4	54 976	6.2
COUNTIES	29 433	9.3	12 414	3.9	91 432	28.8	50 399	15.9
MUNICIPALITIES	11 619	2.0	57	(Z)	1 164	0.2	4 840	0.8
INDIANA	16 663	5.2	3 113	1.0	76 643	24.0	3 948	1.2
STATE	5 311	4.3	1 123	0.9	53 279	43.4	10 255	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 353	5.5	1 990	1.0	24 162	11.7	2 684	1.3
COUNTIES	6 092	8.6	1 968	2.8	15 979	22.4	1 369	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	5 261	3.8	22	(Z)	8 277	6.1	1 315	1.4
IOWA	10 297	5.7	2 538	1.4	42 428	23.4	1 449	0.8
STATE	2 838	3.6	-	-	38 255	42.9	5 674	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 459	6.5	2 538	2.2	14 750	12.8	710	0.6
COUNTIES	5 184	8.8	2 529	4.3	14 803	25.2	696	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 277	3.9	9	(Z)	675	1.1	33	0.1
KANSAS	12 649	7.6	2 311	1.4	43 912	26.3	4 259	2.6
STATE	5 273	6.9	2 243	2.9	34 534	45.3	6 660	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 376	7.3	208	0.2	9 378	9.6	3 139	3.2
COUNTIES	5 132	11.6	118	0.3	8 916	20.1	2 874	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 250	4.0	94	0.2	772	1.4	285	0.5
KENTUCKY	14 818	6.3	2 039	0.9	55 571	23.7	3 223	1.4
STATE	10 014	7.6	2 706	1.9	48 988	34.1	2 191	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 510	5.5	615	0.5	18 613	15.7	1 851	1.6
COUNTIES	3 836	7.2	644	1.2	16 017	30.1	1 851	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 674	4.0	166	0.3	2 892	4.4	82	0.1
LOUISIANA	18 677	5.5	1 631	0.5	86 863	25.7	4 073	1.2
STATE	6 892	5.1	230	0.2	58 243	43.4	10 584	7.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 785	5.5	1 401	0.7	29 682	13.8	2 252	1.0
PARISHES	6 245	6.2	631	0.6	16 567	16.3	1 161	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 553	4.9	988	0.9	13 303	11.7	1 091	1.0
MAINE	3 182	5.4	684	1.2	13 414	22.6	740	1.2
STATE	2 049	6.3	684	2.1	10 694	32.7	2 596	7.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 136	3.9	-	-	2 728	9.4	28	0.1
COUNTIES	540	6.3	-	-	2 917	34.2	31	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	600	2.8	-	-	144	0.7	2	(Z)
MARYLAND	18 213	3.9	7 074	1.5	152 225	32.5	3 555	0.8
STATE	2 769	1.0	7 015	2.5	127 049	45.4	8 405	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 444	6.1	59	(Z)	26 759	10.6	1 036	0.4
COUNTIES	9 541	6.8	59	(Z)	15 774	11.2	384	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 904	5.3	-	-	11 052	9.9	656	0.6

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total general expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount ⁴	Percent of total general expendi- ture	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 561	1 351 000	106 629	7.9	51 755	48.5	15 159	14.2
STATE	(x)	977 000	49 805	5.1	12 416	24.9	7 184	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	611 000	60 806	10.0	39 340	64.7	7 975	13.1
COUNTIES	(x)	305 000	26 197	8.6	9 045	34.5	6 013	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	308 000	35 179	11.4	30 747	87.4	1 968	5.6
NEVADA	633	819 000	109 286	13.3	48 981	44.8	12 996	11.9
STATE	(x)	462 000	39 881	8.6	6 842	17.2	2 218	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	411 000	72 788	17.7	42 149	57.9	10 789	14.8
COUNTIES	(x)	277 000	53 624	19.4	27 513	51.3	8 790	16.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	146 000	19 164	21.8	27 098	85.3	1 999	6.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	849	794 000	57 176	7.2	34 408	60.2	7 494	13.1
STATE	(x)	567 000	21 855	3.9	8 700	39.8	1 996	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	326 000	35 932	11.0	25 755	71.7	5 593	15.6
COUNTIES	(x)	47 000	7 892	16.8	1 723	21.8	2 766	36.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	279 000	28 565	10.2	24 486	85.7	2 777	9.7
NEW JERSEY	7 329	7 606 000	825 691	10.9	478 904	58.0	101 029	12.2
STATE	(x)	5 752 000	223 554	3.9	73 311	32.8	28 264	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	4 057 000	625 963	15.4	413 613	66.1	74 443	11.9
COUNTIES	(x)	1 685 000	204 657	12.1	24 270	11.9	57 396	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 372 000	421 306	17.7	391 229	92.4	17 047	4.0
NEW MEXICO	1 190	1 114 000	103 937	9.3	52 961	51.0	11 875	11.4
STATE	(x)	903 000	55 316	6.1	15 562	28.2	9 572	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	325 000	50 548	15.6	37 610	74.4	2 303	4.6
COUNTIES	(x)	110 000	16 983	15.4	8 818	51.9	649	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	215 000	34 565	16.1	28 822	83.3	1 655	4.8
NEW YORK	17 924	27 121 000	2 925 492	10.8	1 726 009	59.0	374 237	12.8
STATE	(x)	15 248 000	922 759	6.1	116 887	12.7	339 651	36.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	20 215 000	2 216 955	11.0	1 610 550	72.6	151 916	6.9
COUNTIES	(x)	4 641 000	463 092	10.0	229 488	49.6	65 470	14.1
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	15 674 000	1 753 863	11.3	1 382 753	78.6	86 210	5.1
NORTH CAROLINA	5 525	5 831 000	394 323	6.8	181 074	45.9	46 626	11.8
STATE	(x)	4 376 000	229 921	5.3	43 120	18.8	34 711	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 429 000	380 652	11.1	139 211	36.6	12 585	3.7
COUNTIES	(x)	2 688 000	76 843	2.9	38 334	49.9	11 876	15.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	741 000	105 856	14.3	102 349	96.7	679	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	653	665 000	35 222	5.3	18 045	51.2	5 184	14.7
STATE	(x)	526 000	13 749	2.6	3 189	23.2	1 735	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	203 000	22 291	11.0	14 865	66.7	3 451	15.5
COUNTIES	(x)	84 000	10 489	12.5	3 896	37.1	3 061	29.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	119 000	12 175	10.2	11 278	92.6	395	3.2
OHIO	10 701	8 746 000	852 568	10.1	408 584	46.3	162 373	18.4
STATE	(x)	5 651 000	279 678	4.9	54 359	19.4	18 816	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	4 209 000	645 078	15.3	355 055	55.0	144 754	22.4
COUNTIES	(x)	1 859 000	276 461	14.9	55 435	20.1	112 602	40.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 422 000	391 231	16.2	300 497	76.8	47 087	12.0
OKLAHOMA	2 811	2 309 000	202 202	8.8	100 186	49.5	23 035	11.4
STATE	(x)	1 627 000	111 813	6.9	32 065	28.7	9 413	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	830 000	98 223	11.8	68 252	69.5	14 564	14.8
COUNTIES	(x)	218 000	29 986	13.8	7 406	24.7	12 546	41.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	615 000	68 843	11.2	60 932	88.5	2 418	3.5
OREGON	2 376	2 558 000	248 684	9.7	120 853	48.6	30 513	12.3
STATE	(x)	1 830 000	107 903	5.9	36 097	33.5	10 141	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	940 000	150 612	16.0	86 936	57.7	22 756	15.1
COUNTIES	(x)	455 000	89 432	19.7	30 706	34.3	21 264	23.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	485 000	62 295	12.8	57 061	91.6	1 583	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	11 785	10 236 000	993 992	9.7	530 519	53.4	153 382	15.4
STATE	(x)	7 317 000	378 084	5.2	123 268	32.6	64 499	17.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 673 000	695 845	18.9	408 116	58.7	112 888	16.2
COUNTIES	(x)	1 072 000	183 876	17.2	17 955	9.8	64 762	35.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 603 000	515 913	19.8	393 409	76.3	48 274	9.4
RHODE ISLAND	935	1 288 000	88 016	6.8	47 040	53.4	11 334	12.9
STATE	(x)	894 000	52 399	5.9	11 575	22.1	11 054	21.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	556 000	38 220	6.9	36 974	96.7	280	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	555 000	38 220	6.9	36 974	96.7	280	0.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 876	2 153 000	182 463	8.5	97 558	53.5	22 819	12.5
STATE	(x)	1 747 000	89 290	5.1	34 768	34.7	6 054	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	614 000	98 749	16.1	67 741	68.6	16 802	17.1
COUNTIES	(x)	422 000	56 017	13.3	27 221	48.6	15 820	28.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	191 000	43 639	22.8	40 562	92.9	1 022	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	6 508	6.1	1 279	1.2	30 840	28.9	1 089	1.0
STATE	925	1.9	-	-	24 455	49.1	4 825	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 583	9.2	1 279	2.1	6 500	10.7	130	0.2
COUNTIES	3 824	14.6	1 279	4.9	6 016	23.0	20	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 759	5.0	-	-	595	1.7	110	0.3
NEVADA	8 215	7.5	1 944	1.8	36 117	33.0	1 032	0.9
STATE	1 814	4.5	2 666	0.7	26 015	65.2	2 726	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 401	8.8	1 725	2.4	11 178	15.4	547	0.8
COUNTIES	4 971	9.3	1 680	3.1	10 123	18.9	547	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1 430	4.5	1 566	0.5	1 073	3.4	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2 290	4.0	320	0.6	11 834	20.7	830	1.5
STATE	1 019	4.7	319	1.5	8 615	39.4	1 206	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 271	3.5	1	(2)	3 219	9.0	92	0.2
COUNTIES	495	6.3	1	(2)	2 797	35.4	92	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	776	2.7	-	-	435	1.5	-	-
NEW JERSEY	58 044	7.1	14 289	1.7	167 145	20.2	5 379	0.7
STATE	11 512	5.1	14 076	6.3	81 009	36.2	15 392	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 432	7.6	473	0.1	86 144	13.8	3 887	0.6
COUNTIES	34 951	17.1	190	0.1	85 836	41.9	2 014	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	12 482	2.9	269	0.1	337	0.1	1 873	0.4
NEW MEXICO	8 327	8.0	3 111	3.3	24 307	23.4	3 355	3.2
STATE	6 517	11.8	3 056	5.5	16 367	29.6	4 222	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 814	3.6	55	0.1	7 940	15.7	825	4.2
COUNTIES	484	2.9	-	-	6 324	37.2	708	4.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 330	3.8	55	0.2	2 600	7.5	117	0.3
NEW YORK	163 435	5.6	38 998	1.3	592 621	20.3	30 396	1.0
STATE	39 575	4.3	9 605	1.0	352 133	38.2	65 450	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 211	5.6	29 731	1.3	279 517	12.6	21 030	0.9
COUNTIES	35 071	7.6	12 538	2.7	117 325	25.3	3 201	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	89 143	5.1	17 193	1.0	162 273	9.2	17 829	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA	21 553	5.5	5 101	1.3	129 699	32.9	10 270	2.6
STATE	17 397	7.6	5 010	2.2	112 161	48.8	18 172	7.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 156	2.3	91	0.1	19 207	10.7	5 333	3.0
COUNTIES	1 723	2.2	100	0.1	19 574	25.5	5 235	6.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 433	2.3	60	0.1	232	0.2	102	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2 982	8.5	217	0.6	7 028	20.0	1 766	5.0
STATE	1 118	8.1	-	-	5 166	37.6	2 541	18.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 864	8.4	217	1.0	1 862	8.4	32	0.1
COUNTIES	1 501	14.3	212	2.0	1 787	17.0	32	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	365	3.0	5	(2)	133	1.1	-	-
OHIO	43 791	5.0	12 525	1.4	229 155	26.		

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total general expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount ⁴	Percent of total general expendi- ture	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	689	657 000	41 430	6.3	22 199	53.6	6 288	15.2
STATE	(X)	496 000	21 104	4.3	6 409	30.4	5 129	24.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	188 000	23 060	12.3	15 816	68.6	2 319	10.1
COUNTIES	(X)	76 000	11 515	15.2	4 958	43.1	2 276	19.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	111 000	12 023	10.8	11 269	93.7	43	0.4
TENNESSEE	4 299	4 641 000	323 469	7.0	158 935	49.1	39 265	12.1
STATE	(X)	2 836 000	121 233	4.3	27 189	22.4	10 304	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	2 600 000	215 942	8.3	131 788	61.0	28 967	13.4
COUNTIES	(X)	1 267 000	89 292	7.0	24 344	27.3	22 086	24.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 413 000	128 305	9.1	108 029	84.2	6 909	5.4
TEXAS	12 830	8 825 000	885 420	10.0	486 194	54.9	118 198	13.3
STATE	(X)	5 424 000	279 569	5.2	89 858	32.1	19 473	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 494 000	646 675	18.5	398 927	61.7	101 422	15.7
COUNTIES	(X)	1 445 000	274 292	24.0	61 663	22.5	87 917	32.1
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	2 354 000	375 486	16.0	338 568	90.2	13 811	3.7
UTAH	1 268	1 160 000	109 208	9.4	59 521	54.5	10 624	9.7
STATE	(X)	885 000	52 542	5.9	19 032	36.2	3 879	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	349 000	61 827	17.7	41 209	66.7	6 905	11.2
COUNTIES	(X)	173 000	27 706	16.0	11 928	43.1	4 294	15.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	178 000	34 646	19.5	29 665	85.6	2 611	7.5
VERMONT	483	506 000	33 305	6.6	16 469	49.4	4 647	14.0
STATE	(X)	440 000	25 180	5.7	8 648	34.3	4 180	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	99 000	8 684	8.8	7 821	90.1	470	5.4
COUNTIES	(X)	1 000	700	70.0	186	26.5	440	62.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	98 000	8 114	8.3	7 749	95.5	45	0.6
VIRGINIA	5 148	5 981 000	423 807	7.1	190 652	45.0	44 751	10.6
STATE	(X)	3 931 000	234 656	6.0	44 367	18.9	43 826	18.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 059 000	241 489	7.8	146 290	60.6	28 391	11.8
COUNTIES	(X)	1 641 000	107 124	6.5	61 956	57.8	14 744	13.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 458 000	137 226	9.4	85 021	62.0	14 363	10.5
WASHINGTON	3 658	3 622 000	325 154	9.0	163 231	50.2	35 681	11.0
STATE	(X)	2 716 000	122 356	4.5	35 062	28.7	6 852	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 216 000	211 547	17.4	128 769	60.9	28 882	13.7
COUNTIES	(X)	540 000	109 560	20.3	40 043	36.5	23 613	21.6
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	679 000	109 260	16.1	92 328	84.5	5 748	5.3
WEST VIRGINIA	1 859	1 606 000	93 423	5.8	49 165	52.6	16 890	18.1
STATE	(X)	1 312 000	49 927	3.8	18 283	36.5	11 088	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	329 000	45 264	13.8	30 933	68.3	5 843	12.9
COUNTIES	(X)	118 000	22 296	18.9	9 101	40.8	5 435	24.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	211 000	23 166	11.0	21 858	94.4	408	1.8
WISCONSIN	4 651	5 105 000	365 940	7.2	201 371	55.0	37 233	10.2
STATE	(X)	3 655 000	117 449	3.2	21 086	18.0	10 584	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	2 836 000	260 019	9.2	181 082	69.6	31 140	12.0
COUNTIES	(X)	1 292 000	116 580	9.0	44 279	38.0	29 931	25.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 546 000	144 061	9.3	137 074	95.2	1 300	0.9
WYOMING	406	509 000	46 338	9.1	20 553	44.4	4 207	9.1
STATE	(X)	378 000	26 014	6.9	5 662	21.8	1 691	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	205 000	20 989	10.2	14 898	71.0	2 516	12.0
COUNTIES	(X)	115 000	10 746	9.3	5 535	51.5	2 117	19.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	90 000	10 356	11.5	9 430	91.1	398	3.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Estimated population of States as of July 1, 1977 is derived from Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 790, December 1978.

³The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, independent school districts, or special districts.

⁴For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again where the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ⁴	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 676	8.9	627	1.5	8 315	20.1	325	0.8
STATE	1 752	8.3	-	-	6 261	29.7	1 553	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 244	9.7	627	2.7	2 054	8.9	-	-
COUNTIES	1 838	16.0	617	5.4	1 825	15.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	406	3.4	10	0.1	295	2.5	-	-
TENNESSEE	13 391	4.1	3 183	1.0	82 154	25.4	26 541	8.2
STATE	8 789	7.2	1 761	1.5	65 869	54.3	7 321	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 602	2.1	1 422	0.7	23 423	10.8	25 740	11.9
COUNTIES	1 672	1.9	921	1.0	15 790	17.7	24 479	27.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 931	2.3	501	0.4	8 318	6.5	1 617	1.3
TEXAS	52 730	6.0	7 361	0.8	191 925	21.7	29 012	3.3
STATE	12 258	4.4	-	-	118 249	42.3	39 731	14.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 605	6.3	7 361	1.1	76 959	11.9	21 401	3.3
COUNTIES	30 551	11.1	7 332	2.7	70 774	25.8	16 055	5.9
MUNICIPALITIES	10 154	2.7	29	(Z)	7 545	2.0	5 379	1.4
UTAH	6 994	6.4	807	0.7	29 071	26.6	2 191	2.0
STATE	2 284	4.3	-	-	23 336	44.4	4 011	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 039	8.1	807	1.3	6 218	10.1	1 650	2.7
COUNTIES	3 264	11.8	780	2.8	6 227	22.5	1 212	4.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 774	5.1	27	0.1	108	0.3	462	1.3
VERMONT	2 057	6.2	1 180	3.5	8 325	25.0	627	1.9
STATE	1 710	6.8	1 180	4.7	8 278	32.9	1 184	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	347	4.0	-	-	47	0.5	-	-
COUNTIES	29	4.2	-	-	45	6.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	318	3.9	-	-	2	(Z)	-	-
VIRGINIA	16 757	4.0	5 669	1.3	153 767	36.3	12 209	2.9
STATE	7 286	3.1	5 292	2.3	122 374	52.2	11 511	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 356	5.1	377	0.2	46 182	19.1	7 892	3.3
COUNTIES	5 477	5.1	154	0.1	19 109	17.8	5 684	5.3
MUNICIPALITIES	6 911	5.0	224	0.2	28 470	20.7	2 238	1.6
WASHINGTON	21 242	6.5	6 609	2.0	94 872	29.2	3 519	1.1
STATE	7 018	5.7	398	0.3	67 334	55.0	5 692	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 747	7.0	6 211	2.9	30 770	14.5	2 167	1.0
COUNTIES	10 135	9.3	5 462	5.0	29 289	26.7	1 019	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 613	4.2	861	0.8	4 300	3.9	1 390	1.3
WEST VIRGINIA	5 940	6.4	1 057	1.1	18 527	19.8	1 843	2.0
STATE	2 726	5.5	1 011	2.0	13 604	27.2	3 255	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 218	7.1	46	0.1	5 036	11.1	187	0.4
COUNTIES	2 613	11.7	46	0.2	5 027	22.5	73	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	606	2.6	-	-	179	0.8	114	0.5
WISCONSIN	30 171	8.2	5 027	1.4	83 587	22.8	8 551	2.3
STATE	16 233	13.8	1 392	1.2	60 372	51.4	7 782	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 282	6.3	4 246	1.6	23 309	9.0	3 961	1.5
COUNTIES	11 174	9.6	4 245	3.6	23 015	19.7	3 936	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	5 147	3.6	1	(Z)	494	0.3	44	(Z)
WYOMING	2 361	5.1	379	0.8	18 315	39.5	522	1.1
STATE	707	2.7	-	-	16 779	64.5	1 175	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 654	7.9	379	1.8	1 541	7.3	-	-
COUNTIES	1 281	11.9	379	3.5	1 433	13.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	374	3.6	-	-	154	1.5	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total direct expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	216 332	219 998 000	21 009 705	9.5	11 168 407	53.2	2 745 348	13.1
STATES, (X)	112 514 000	6 688 192	5.9	1 892 127	28.3	1 012 899	15.1	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	107 484 000	14 321 513	13.3	9 276 280	54.7	1 732 949	12.1	
COUNTIES, (X)	42 043 000	5 611 815	13.3	1 822 610	32.5	1 387 147	24.7	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	65 437 000	8 709 697	13.3	7 453 670	85.5	345 802	4.0	
ALABAMA	3 690	2 972 000	232 053	7.8	121 944	52.5	43 719	18.8
STATE, (X)	112 514 000	2 061 000	95 770	4.6	29 468	30.8	24 983	26.1
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	911 000	136 293	15.0	92 476	67.9	18 730	13.7	
COUNTIES, (X)	288 000	53 977	18.7	17 146	31.8	16 698	30.9	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	623 000	82 316	13.2	75 330	91.5	2 032	2.5	
ALASKA	407	1 488 000	95 966	6.4	47 387	49.4	16 005	16.7
STATE, (X)	693 000	69 843	7.8	24 518	35.1	15 952	22.8	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	596 000	26 123	4.4	22 869	87.5	53	0.2	
BOROUGH, (X)	141 000	1 621	1.1	1 196	73.8	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	455 000	24 501	5.4	21 673	88.5	53	0.2	
ARIZONA	2 256	2 168 000	278 994	12.9	157 284	56.4	30 169	10.8
STATE, (X)	1 094 000	80 943	7.4	35 533	43.9	4 613	5.7	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	1 074 000	198 051	18.4	121 751	61.5	25 556	12.9	
COUNTIES, (X)	461 000	89 953	15.5	25 119	27.9	20 263	22.5	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	613 000	108 098	17.6	96 633	89.4	5 293	4.9	
ARKANSAS	2 144	1 504 000	101 532	6.8	55 956	55.1	11 040	10.9
STATE, (X)	1 064 000	43 895	4.1	17 006	38.7	3 572	8.1	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	441 000	57 637	13.1	38 950	67.6	7 468	13.0	
COUNTIES, (X)	204 000	23 994	11.8	10 380	43.3	5 342	22.3	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	236 000	33 643	14.3	28 570	84.9	2 126	6.3	
CALIFORNIA	21 896	25 459 000	2 919 787	11.5	1 440 077	49.3	333 819	11.4
STATE, (X)	11 094 000	80 943	5.9	239 534	35.1	38 078	5.6	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	13 803 000	2 236 672	16.2	1 200 543	53.7	295 741	13.2	
COUNTIES, (X)	8 284 000	1 287 010	15.2	342 343	26.6	280 577	21.8	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	5 519 000	949 661	17.2	858 200	90.4	15 163	1.6	
COLORADO	2 619	2 528 000	271 597	10.7	139 570	51.4	39 224	14.7
STATE, (X)	1 341 000	114 158	8.5	24 205	21.2	29 810	26.1	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	1 188 000	157 439	13.3	115 365	73.3	10 114	6.4	
COUNTIES, (X)	462 000	40 382	8.7	19 007	47.1	2 635	6.5	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	726 000	117 057	16.1	96 358	82.3	7 479	6.4	
CONNECTICUT	3 108	3 911 000	248 025	6.3	146 958	59.3	29 312	11.8
STATE, (X)	1 803 000	128 513	6.9	70 336	24.4	27 993	22.5	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	2 108 000	123 512	9.9	116 622	94.4	1 319	1.1	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	2 108 000	123 512	5.9	116 622	94.4	1 319	1.1	
DELAWARE	582	662 000	63 303	9.6	30 835	48.7	10 904	17.2
STATE, (X)	472 000	42 410	9.0	12 925	30.5	8 907	21.0	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	190 000	20 893	11.0	17 910	85.7	1 997	9.6	
COUNTIES, (X)	92 000	8 248	9.0	6 378	77.3	1 405	17.0	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	98 000	12 645	12.9	11 532	91.2	592	4.7	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	690	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5
STATE, (X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	1 623 000	206 665	12.7	98 895	47.9	23 689	11.5	
FLORIDA	8 452	6 408 000	868 287	13.6	444 419	51.2	123 546	14.2
STATE, (X)	3 102 000	315 720	10.2	65 225	20.7	36 631	11.6	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	3 306 000	552 567	16.7	379 194	68.6	86 915	15.7	
COUNTIES, (X)	1 701 000	292 618	17.2	146 681	50.1	79 712	27.2	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	1 605 000	259 949	16.2	232 513	89.4	7 203	2.8	
GEORGIA	5 048	3 758 000	391 251	10.4	194 903	49.8	50 833	13.0
STATE, (X)	2 443 000	155 640	6.4	48 142	30.9	9 695	6.2	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	1 315 000	235 611	17.9	146 761	62.3	41 138	17.5	
COUNTIES, (X)	633 000	128 986	20.4	53 402	41.4	36 669	28.4	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	682 000	106 625	15.6	93 360	87.6	4 469	4.2	
HAWAII	895	1 687 000	106 461	6.3	56 401	53.0	15 467	14.5
STATE, (X)	1 313 000	45 046	3.4	1 739	3.9	15 467	34.3	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	374 000	61 415	16.4	54 662	89.0	-	-	
COUNTIES, (X)	98 000	16 079	16.4	12 241	76.1	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	276 000	45 336	16.4	42 421	93.6	-	-	
IDAHO	857	737 000	64 883	8.8	33 808	52.1	8 349	12.9
STATE, (X)	522 000	26 911	5.2	9 076	33.7	4 130	15.3	
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	215 000	37 972	17.7	24 732	65.1	4 219	11.1	
COUNTIES, (X)	124 000	20 945	16.9	8 774	41.9	4 010	19.1	
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	91 000	17 027	18.7	15 958	93.7	210	1.2	

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 244 334	5.9	314 675	1.5	5 185 537	24.7	350 904	1.7
STATES, (X)	385 783	5.8	97 956	1.5	3 176 963	47.5	122 464	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	858 551	6.1	216 719	1.5	2 008 574	14.0	228 440	1.6
COUNTIES, (X)	515 967	9.2	179 469	3.2	1 535 625	27.4	170 997	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	342 584	3.9	37 249	0.4	472 949	5.4	57 443	0.7
ALABAMA	10 780	4.6	1 816	0.8	52 470	22.6	1 333	0.6
STATE, (X)	5 512	5.8	1 571	1.6	33 295	34.8	635	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	5 268	3.9	245	0.2	19 175	14.1	398	0.3
COUNTIES, (X)	3 408	6.3	114	0.2	16 235	30.1	376	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	1 860	2.3	132	0.2	2 940	3.6	22	(Z)
ALASKA	9 977	10.4	2 159	2.2	19 071	19.9	1 367	1.4
STATE, (X)	7 220	10.3	2 159	3.1	18 627	26.7	1 367	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	2 757	10.6	-	-	444	1.7	-	-
BOROUGH, (X)	425	26.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	2 332	9.5	-	-	444	1.8	-	-
ARIZONA	18 928	6.8	5 496	2.0	65 885	23.6	1 232	0.4
STATE, (X)	3 883	4.8	-	-	36 173	44.7	741	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	15 045	7.6	5 496	2.8	29 712	15.0	491	0.2
COUNTIES, (X)	10 153	11.3	5 403	6.0	28 950	32.2	66	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	4 892	4.5	94	0.1	762	0.7	425	0.4
ARKANSAS	4 387	4.3	552	0.5	26 577	26.2	3 019	3.0
STATE, (X)	1 055	2.4	15	(Z)	20 623	47.0	1 624	3.7
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	3 332	5.8	537	(Z)	5 954	10.3	1 395	2.4
COUNTIES, (X)	2 297	9.6	453	1.9	4 975	20.7	546	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	1 035	3.1	84	0.3	979	2.9	849	2.5
CALIFORNIA	257 541	8.8	75 938	2.6	785 767	26.9	26 685	0.9
STATE, (X)	55 058	8.1	4 716	0.7	338 764	49.6	6 945	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	202 483	9.1	71 222	3.2	447 003	20.0	19 690	0.9
COUNTIES, (X)	155 663	12.1	67 907	5.3	425 555	33.1	14 966	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	46 820	4.9	3 315	0.3	21 449	2.3	4 714	0.5
COLORADO	20 413	7.5	3 067	1.1	63 805	23.5	4 818	1.8
STATE, (X)	4 510	4.0	3 045	2.7	50 488	44.2	2 100	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	15 903	10.1	22	(Z)	13 317	8.5	2 718	1.7
COUNTIES, (X)	9 643	23.9	16	(Z)	8 016	19.8	1 066	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	6 261	5.3	6	(Z)	5 301	4.5	1 652	1.4
CONNECTICUT	11 406	4.6	3 196	1.3	53 751	21.7	3 401	1.4
STATE, (X)	7 198	5.8	3 183	2.6	53 648	43.1	2 155	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	4 208	3.4	13	(Z)	103	0.1	1 246	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	4 208	3.4	13	(Z)	103	0.1	1 246	1.0
DELAWARE	2 932	4.6	908	1.4	16 446	26.0	1 279	2.0
STATE, (X)	2 109	5.0	758	1.8	16 446	38.8	1 265	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	823	3.9	150	0.7	-	-	14	0.1
COUNTIES, (X)	315	3.8	150	1.8	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES, (X)	507	4.0	-	-	-	-	14	0.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	6 993	3.4	5 770	2.8	69 835	33.8	1 483	0.7
STATE, (X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL, (X)	6 993	3.4						

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total direct expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	11 245	9 582 000	1 159 866	12.1	685 259	59.1	124 408	10.7
STATE	(X)	5 889 000	273 014	4.6	76 450	28.0	47 158	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 693 000	886 852	24.0	608 809	68.6	77 250	8.7
COUNTIES	(X)	1 028 000	316 412	30.8	56 684	17.9	76 515	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	2 665 000	570 440	21.4	552 125	96.8	735	0.1
INDIANA	5 330	3 622 000	319 136	8.8	177 869	55.7	40 900	12.8
STATE	(X)	1 994 000	113 260	5.7	43 638	38.5	8 640	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 628 000	205 876	12.6	134 231	65.2	32 260	15.7
COUNTIES	(X)	755 000	69 856	9.3	22 001	31.5	23 331	33.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	873 000	136 020	15.6	112 230	82.5	8 929	6.6
IOWA	2 879	2 679 000	181 702	6.8	98 041	54.0	26 949	14.8
STATE	(X)	1 514 000	67 356	4.4	28 972	40.0	8 703	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 165 000	114 346	9.8	71 069	62.2	18 246	16.0
COUNTIES	(X)	575 000	57 093	9.9	16 771	29.4	18 216	31.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	590 000	57 253	9.7	54 298	94.8	30	0.1
KANSAS	2 326	2 096 000	166 756	8.0	76 167	45.7	27 459	16.5
STATE	(X)	1 156 000	68 888	6.0	13 225	19.2	12 593	18.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	940 000	97 908	10.4	62 942	64.3	14 866	15.2
COUNTIES	(X)	314 000	43 985	14.0	13 927	31.7	13 088	29.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	625 000	53 923	8.6	49 016	90.9	1 777	3.3
KENTUCKY	3 458	2 935 000	234 454	8.0	125 031	53.3	33 773	14.4
STATE	(X)	2 223 000	116 020	5.2	43 188	37.2	24 756	21.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	712 000	118 434	16.6	81 843	69.1	9 017	7.6
COUNTIES	(X)	294 000	52 928	18.0	24 068	45.5	6 602	12.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	418 000	65 506	15.7	57 775	88.2	2 415	3.7
LOUISIANA	3 921	3 772 000	338 198	9.0	180 040	53.2	46 915	13.9
STATE	(X)	2 430 000	124 031	5.1	45 600	36.8	12 305	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 343 000	214 167	15.9	134 440	62.8	34 610	16.2
PARISHES	(X)	641 000	101 169	15.8	55 917	55.3	20 663	20.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	702 000	112 999	16.1	78 522	69.5	13 946	12.3
MAINE	1 085	1 153 000	59 422	5.2	33 197	55.9	8 205	13.8
STATE	(X)	669 000	30 823	4.6	10 346	33.4	6 336	20.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	483 000	28 599	5.9	22 851	79.9	1 869	6.5
COUNTIES	(X)	18 000	7 843	43.6	2 747	35.0	1 842	23.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	466 000	20 756	4.5	20 104	96.9	27	0.1
MARYLAND	4 139	6 164 000	468 226	7.6	240 154	51.3	47 004	10.0
STATE	(X)	2 538 000	217 002	8.6	54 371	25.1	24 862	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 626 000	251 224	6.9	185 783	74.0	22 142	8.8
COUNTIES	(X)	2 501 000	139 669	5.6	98 343	70.4	15 636	11.2
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 125 000	111 555	9.9	87 440	78.4	6 506	5.8
MASSACHUSETTS	5 782	8 212 000	593 834	7.2	333 460	56.2	91 870	15.5
STATE	(X)	3 664 000	157 301	4.3	43 002	27.3	13 974	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	4 548 000	436 533	9.6	290 458	66.5	77 896	17.8
COUNTIES	(X)	147 000	100 740	68.5	1 506	1.5	57 230	56.8
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	4 401 000	335 793	7.6	288 952	86.1	20 666	6.2
MICHIGAN	9 129	9 495 000	994 062	10.5	524 872	52.8	151 251	15.2
STATE	(X)	5 291 000	277 636	5.2	85 189	30.7	36 692	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	4 204 000	716 426	17.0	439 683	61.4	114 559	16.0
COUNTIES	(X)	1 474 000	286 455	19.4	64 113	22.4	87 572	30.6
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	2 729 000	429 972	15.8	375 570	87.3	26 987	6.3
MINNESOTA	3 975	4 303 000	308 469	7.2	146 888	47.6	44 473	14.4
STATE	(X)	2 252 000	81 056	3.6	24 971	30.8	11 065	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	2 051 000	227 413	11.1	121 917	53.6	33 408	14.7
COUNTIES	(X)	1 065 000	127 475	12.0	29 545	23.2	33 300	26.1
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	985 000	99 938	10.1	92 372	92.4	108	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	2 389	1 907 000	116 360	6.1	66 305	57.0	15 900	13.7
STATE	(X)	1 188 000	49 029	4.1	23 376	47.7	3 679	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	719 000	67 331	9.4	42 929	63.8	12 221	18.2
COUNTIES	(X)	435 000	32 061	7.4	11 010	34.3	11 027	34.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	284 000	35 270	12.4	31 919	90.5	1 194	3.4
MISSOURI	4 801	3 177 000	361 372	11.4	219 588	60.8	46 378	12.8
STATE	(X)	1 932 000	97 543	5.0	34 544	35.4	15 294	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 245 000	263 829	21.2	185 044	70.1	31 084	11.8
COUNTIES	(X)	349 000	79 487	22.8	31 185	39.2	20 165	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	895 000	184 342	20.6	153 858	83.5	10 919	5.9
MONTANA	761	793 000	55 876	7.0	27 934	50.0	7 747	13.9
STATE	(X)	531 000	21 408	4.0	6 597	30.8	2 175	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	262 000	34 468	13.2	21 337	61.9	5 572	16.2
COUNTIES	(X)	148 000	20 105	13.6	8 951	44.5	4 738	23.6
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	114 000	14 363	12.6	12 386	86.2	834	5.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	52 895	4.5	14 149	1.2	220 072	19.0	63 382	5.5
STATE	11 567	4.2	1 712	0.6	127 708	46.8	8 419	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 028	4.6	12 437	1.4	92 364	10.4	54 963	6.2
COUNTIES	29 416	9.3	12 381	3.9	91 292	28.9	50 123	15.8
MUNICIPALITIES	11 612	2.0	57	(2)	1 072	0.2	4 840	0.8
INDIANA	16 663	5.2	3 113	1.0	76 643	24.0	3 948	1.2
STATE	5 311	4.7	1 123	1.0	53 279	47.0	1 269	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 352	5.5	1 990	1.0	23 364	11.3	2 679	1.3
COUNTIES	6 092	8.7	1 968	2.8	15 100	21.6	1 364	2.0
MUNICIPALITIES	5 261	3.9	22	(2)	8 264	6.1	1 315	1.0
IOWA	10 297	5.7	2 538	1.4	42 428	23.4	1 449	0.8
STATE	2 838	4.2	-	-	28 082	41.7	761	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 459	6.5	2 538	2.2	14 346	12.5	688	0.6
COUNTIES	5 184	9.1	2 529	4.4	13 720	24.0	673	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 275	4.0	9	(2)	626	1.1	14	(2)
KANSAS	12 649	7.6	2 311	1.4	43 912	26.3	4 259	2.6
STATE	5 273	7.7	2 103	3.1	34 534	50.2	1 120	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 376	7.5	208	0.2	9 378	9.6	3 139	3.2
COUNTIES	5 128	11.7	113	0.3	8 855	20.1	2 874	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 248	4.2	94	0.2	523	1.0	265	0.5
KENTUCKY	14 818	6.3	2 039	0.9	55 571	23.7	3 223	1.4
STATE	8 318	7.2	1 424	1.2	36 962	31.9	1 572	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 500	5.5	615	0.5	18 609	15.7	1 851	1.6
COUNTIES	3 826	7.2	579	1.1	16 003	30.2	1 851	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 674	4.1	36	0.1	2 606	4.0	-	-
LOUISIANA	18 677	5.5	1 631	0.5	86 863	25.7	4 073	1.2
STATE	6 892	5.6	230	0.2	57 181	46.1	1 823	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 785	5.8	1 401	0.7	29 682	13.9	2 250	1.1
PARISHES	6 232	6.2	631	0.6	16 567	16.4	1 159	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 553	4.9	770	0.7	13 115	11.6	1 091	1.0
MAINE	3 182	5.4	684	1.2	13 414	22.6	740	1.2
STATE	2 049	6.6	684	2.2	10 694	38.7	714	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 133	4.0	-	-	2 720	9.5	26	0.1
COUNTIES	539	6.9	-	-	2 689	34.3	26	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	594	2.9	-	-	31	0.2	-	-
MARYLAND	18 213	3.9	7 074	1.5	152 225	32.5	3 555	0.8
STATE	2 769	1.3	7 015	3.2	125 466	57.8	2 519	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 444	6.1	59	(2)	26 759	10.7	1 036	0.4
COUNTIES	9 540	6.8	59	(2)	15 707	11.2	384	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 904	5.3	-	-	11 052	9.9	653	0.6
MASSACHUSETTS	23 219	3.9	6 877	1.2	130 646			

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total direct expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 561	1 351 000	106 629	7.9	51 755	48.5	15 159	14.2
STATE	(x)	757 000	45 826	6.1	12 416	27.1	7 184	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	594 000	60 803	10.2	39 339	64.7	7 975	13.1
COUNTIES	(x)	290 000	26 125	9.0	9 045	34.6	6 007	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	305 000	34 677	11.4	30 294	87.4	1 968	5.7
NEVADA	633	819 000	109 286	13.3	48 981	44.8	12 996	11.9
STATE	(x)	411 000	36 564	8.9	18 7	18.7	2 218	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	408 000	72 722	17.8	42 139	57.9	10 778	14.8
COUNTIES	(x)	274 000	53 561	19.5	27 513	51.4	8 779	16.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	133 000	19 161	14.4	14 627	76.3	1 999	10.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	849	794 000	57 176	7.2	34 408	60.2	7 494	13.1
STATE	(x)	471 000	21 387	4.5	1 996	40.7	1 996	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	323 000	35 789	11.1	25 708	71.8	5 498	15.4
COUNTIES	(x)	45 000	7 885	17.5	1 723	21.9	2 876	36.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	277 000	27 904	10.1	23 984	86.0	2 622	9.4
NEW JERSEY	7 329	7 606 000	825 691	10.9	478 904	58.0	101 029	12.2
STATE	(x)	3 610 000	199 977	5.5	65 511	32.8	26 607	13.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 995 000	625 714	15.7	413 393	66.1	74 422	11.9
COUNTIES	(x)	1 610 000	204 655	12.7	24 270	11.9	57 396	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 385 000	421 059	17.7	389 123	92.4	17 026	4.0
NEW MEXICO	1 190	1 114 000	103 937	9.3	52 961	51.0	11 875	11.4
STATE	(x)	796 000	53 394	6.7	15 252	28.8	9 572	17.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	318 000	50 543	15.9	37 609	74.4	2 303	4.6
COUNTIES	(x)	103 000	16 112	15.6	8 811	54.7	649	4.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	215 000	34 431	16.0	28 798	83.6	1 653	4.8
NEW YORK	17 924	27 121 000	2 925 492	10.8	1 726 009	59.0	374 237	12.8
STATE	(x)	7 770 000	808 646	10.4	115 462	14.3	301 146	37.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	19 351 000	2 116 846	10.9	1 610 547	76.1	73 091	3.5
COUNTIES	(x)	4 524 000	438 324	9.7	229 476	52.4	46 136	10.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	14 826 000	1 678 522	11.3	1 381 071	82.3	26 955	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA	5 525	5 831 000	394 323	6.8	181 074	45.9	46 626	11.8
STATE	(x)	2 415 000	213 688	8.8	41 879	19.6	34 071	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 416 000	180 635	5.3	139 195	77.1	12 555	7.0
COUNTIES	(x)	2 677 000	75 720	2.8	37 748	49.9	11 876	15.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	739 000	104 914	14.2	101 447	96.7	679	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	653	665 000	35 222	5.3	18 045	51.2	5 184	14.7
STATE	(x)	466 000	12 942	2.8	3 189	24.6	1 735	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	199 000	22 280	11.2	14 856	66.7	3 449	15.5
COUNTIES	(x)	80 000	10 456	13.1	3 888	37.2	3 059	29.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	119 000	11 824	9.9	10 968	92.8	390	3.3
OHIO	10 701	8 746 000	882 568	10.1	408 584	46.3	162 373	18.4
STATE	(x)	4 569 000	237 886	5.2	53 618	22.5	17 918	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	4 177 000	644 682	15.4	354 966	55.1	144 455	22.4
COUNTIES	(x)	1 824 000	270 957	14.9	55 289	20.4	112 260	41.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 353 000	373 725	15.9	299 677	80.2	32 195	8.6
OKLAHOMA	2 811	2 309 000	202 202	8.8	100 186	49.5	23 035	11.4
STATE	(x)	1 485 000	107 816	7.3	31 974	29.7	9 410	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	824 000	94 386	11.5	68 212	72.3	13 625	14.4
COUNTIES	(x)	212 000	25 708	12.1	7 367	28.7	11 210	43.6
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	613 000	68 678	11.2	60 845	88.6	2 415	3.5
OREGON	2 376	2 558 000	248 684	9.7	120 853	48.6	30 513	12.3
STATE	(x)	1 621 000	98 334	6.1	33 954	34.5	7 869	8.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	937 000	150 350	16.0	86 899	57.8	22 644	15.1
COUNTIES	(x)	453 000	88 845	19.6	30 393	34.2	21 096	23.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	484 000	61 506	12.7	56 505	91.9	1 548	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	11 785	10 236 000	993 980	9.7	530 519	53.4	153 382	15.4
STATE	(x)	6 572 000	304 224	4.6	122 403	40.2	40 499	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	3 665 000	689 756	18.8	408 116	59.2	112 883	16.4
COUNTIES	(x)	1 064 000	175 429	16.9	17 953	10.0	64 609	36.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	2 601 000	510 327	19.6	390 163	76.5	48 274	9.5
RHODE ISLAND	935	1 288 000	88 016	6.8	47 040	53.4	11 334	12.9
STATE	(x)	732 000	49 796	6.8	10 064	20.2	11 056	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	556 000	38 220	6.9	36 974	96.7	280	0.7
COUNTIES	(x)	555 000	38 220	6.9	36 974	96.7	280	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)							
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 876	2 153 000	182 463	8.5	97 558	53.5	22 819	12.5
STATE	(x)	1 544 000	84 155	5.5	30 192	35.9	6 042	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(x)	608 000	98 308	16.2	67 366	68.5	16 777	17.1
COUNTIES	(x)	417 000	55 323	13.3	26 984	48.8	15 756	28.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(x)	191 000	42 985	22.5	40 382	93.9	1 021	2.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	6 508	6.1	1 279	1.2	30 840	28.9	1 080	1.0
STATE	925	2.0	-	-	24 342	53.1	959	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 583	9.2	1 279	2.1	6 498	10.7	130	0.2
COUNTIES	3 824	14.6	1 279	4.9	5 951	22.8	20	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 758	5.1	-	-	547	1.6	110	0.3
NEVADA	8 215	7.5	1 944	1.8	36 117	33.0	1 032	0.9
STATE	1 814	5.0	266	0.7	24 939	68.2	485	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 401	8.8	1 678	2.3	11 178	15.4	547	0.8
COUNTIES	4 971	9.3	1 634	3.1	10 117	18.9	547	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1 430	7.5	44	0.2	1 062	5.5	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2 290	4.0	320	0.6	11 834	20.7	830	1.5
STATE	1 019	4.8	319	1.5	8 615	40.3	738	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 271	3.6	1	(2)	3 219	9.0	92	0.3
COUNTIES	495	6.3	1	(2)	2 790	35.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	776	2.8	-	-	429	1.5	92	0.3
NEW JERSEY	58 944	7.1	14 289	1.7	167 145	20.2	5 379	0.7
STATE	11 512	5.8	13 846	6.9	81 009	40.5	1 492	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 432	7.6	443	0.1	86 136	13.8	3 887	0.6
COUNTIES	34 951	17.1	190	0.1	85 244	41.9	2 014	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	12 481	3.0	253	0.1	302	0.1	1 873	0.4
NEW MEXICO	8 327	8.0	3 111	3.0	24 307	23.4	3 355	3.2
STATE	6 517	12.2	3 056	5.7	16 367	30.7	2 530	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 810	3.6	55	0.1	7 940	15.7	825	1.6
COUNTIES	480	3.0	-	-	5 464	33.9	708	4.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 330	3.9	55	0.2	2 477	7.2	117	0.3
NEW YORK	163 435	5.6	38 794	1.3	592 621	20.3	30 396	1.0
STATE	39 275	4.9	9 063	1.1	322 192	39.8	21 518	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 160	5.9	29 731	1.4	270 439	12.8	8 878	0.4
COUNTIES	35 071	8.0	12 538	2.9	111 905	25.5	3 198	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	89 089	5.3	17 193	1.0	158 534	9.4	5 680	0.3
NORTH CAROLINA	21 553	5.5	5 101	1.3	129 699	32.9	10 270	2.6
STATE	17 397	8.1	5 010	2.3	110 394	51.7	4 937	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 156	2.3	91	0.1	19 305	10.7	5 333	3.0
COUNTIES	1 723	2.3	57	0.1	19 086	25.2	5 230	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 433	2.3	33	(2)	219	0.2	102	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2 982	8.5	217	0.6	7 028	20.0	1 766	5.0
STATE	1 118	8.6	-	-	5 66	39.9	1 734	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 864	8.4	217	1.0	1 862	8.4	32	0.1
COUNTIES	1 501	14.4	212	2.0	1 764	16.9	32	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	363	3.1	5	(2)	98	0.8	-	-
OHIO	43 791	5.0	12 525	1.4	229 155	26.0	26 140	3.0
STATE	13 087	5.5	669					

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Population ² (in thousands)	Total direct expenditure ³	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	689	657 000	41 430	6.3	22 199	53.6	6 288	15.2
STATE	(X)	473 000	19 876	4.2	6 409	32.2	5 129	25.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	184 000	21 554	11.7	15 790	73.3	1 159	5.4
COUNTIES	(X)	73 000	9 973	13.7	4 901	49.1	1 155	11.6
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	111 000	11 581	10.4	10 890	94.0	4	(Z)
TENNESSEE	4 299	4 641 000	323 469	7.0	158 935	49.1	39 265	12.1
STATE	(X)	2 048 000	107 580	5.3	27 189	25.3	10 304	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	2 593 000	215 889	8.3	131 746	61.0	28 961	13.4
COUNTIES	(X)	1 192 000	88 695	7.4	24 267	27.4	22 054	24.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 401 000	127 194	9.1	107 479	84.5	6 907	5.4
TEXAS	12 830	8 825 000	885 420	10.0	486 194	54.9	118 198	13.3
STATE	(X)	5 339 000	240 667	4.5	87 560	36.4	17 011	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 486 000	644 753	18.5	398 634	61.8	101 187	15.7
COUNTIES	(X)	1 141 000	272 514	23.9	61 398	22.5	87 535	32.1
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	2 345 000	372 239	15.9	337 236	90.6	13 652	3.7
UTAH	1 268	1 160 000	109 208	9.4	59 521	54.5	10 624	9.7
STATE	(X)	813 000	47 384	5.8	18 312	38.6	3 719	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	346 000	61 824	17.9	41 209	66.7	6 905	11.2
COUNTIES	(X)	169 000	27 626	16.3	11 928	43.2	4 284	15.5
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	178 000	34 198	19.2	29 281	85.6	2 611	7.6
VERMONT	483	506 000	33 305	6.6	16 469	49.4	4 647	14.0
STATE	(X)	408 000	24 623	6.0	8 648	35.1	4 180	17.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	98 000	8 682	8.9	7 821	90.1	467	5.4
COUNTIES	(X)	1 000	700	70.0	186	26.5	440	62.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	98 000	7 982	8.1	7 635	95.7	27	0.3
VIRGINIA	5 148	5 981 000	423 807	7.1	190 652	45.0	44 751	10.6
STATE	(X)	2 889 000	186 272	6.4	44 367	23.8	20 274	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 092 000	237 535	7.7	146 285	61.6	24 477	10.3
COUNTIES	(X)	1 636 000	104 165	6.4	61 830	59.4	12 859	12.3
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 456 000	133 370	9.2	84 455	63.3	11 618	8.7
WASHINGTON	3 658	3 622 000	325 154	9.0	163 231	50.2	35 681	11.6
STATE	(X)	2 437 000	113 922	4.7	34 685	30.4	6 829	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 185 000	211 232	17.8	128 546	60.9	28 852	13.7
COUNTIES	(X)	508 000	108 033	21.3	39 404	36.5	23 613	21.9
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	678 000	103 199	15.2	89 142	86.4	5 239	5.1
WEST VIRGINIA	1 899	1 606 000	93 423	5.8	49 165	52.6	16 890	18.1
STATE	(X)	1 277 000	48 328	3.8	18 243	37.7	11 088	22.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	329 000	45 095	13.7	30 922	68.6	5 802	12.9
COUNTIES	(X)	118 000	22 118	18.7	9 090	41.1	5 394	24.4
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	211 000	22 977	10.9	21 832	95.0	408	1.8
WISCONSIN	4 651	5 105 000	365 940	7.2	201 371	55.0	37 233	10.2
STATE	(X)	2 271 000	107 253	4.7	20 318	18.9	7 284	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	2 834 000	258 687	9.1	181 053	70.0	29 949	11.6
COUNTIES	(X)	1 287 000	115 061	8.9	44 178	38.4	28 740	25.0
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	1 546 000	143 625	9.3	136 875	95.3	1 209	0.8
WYOMING	406	539 000	46 338	8.6	20 553	44.4	4 207	9.1
STATE	(X)	305 000	25 361	8.3	5 652	22.3	1 691	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	203 000	20 977	10.3	14 891	71.0	2 516	12.0
COUNTIES	(X)	114 000	10 741	9.4	5 535	51.5	2 117	19.7
MUNICIPALITIES	(X)	90 000	10 236	11.4	9 355	91.4	398	3.9

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not available.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Estimated population of States as of July 1, 1977 is derived from Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 790, December 1978.

³The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, independent school districts, or special districts.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 676	8.9	627	1.5	8 315	20.1	325	0.8
STATE	1 752	8.8	-	-	6 261	31.5	325	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 924	8.9	627	2.9	2 054	9.5	-	-
COUNTIES	1 518	15.2	617	6.2	1 782	17.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	406	3.5	10	0.1	272	2.3	-	-
TENNESSEE	13 391	4.1	3 183	1.0	82 154	25.4	26 541	8.2
STATE	8 789	8.2	1 761	1.6	58 731	54.6	806	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 602	2.1	1 422	0.7	23 423	10.8	25 735	11.9
COUNTIES	1 672	1.9	921	1.0	15 663	17.7	24 118	27.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 931	2.3	501	0.4	7 760	6.1	1 617	1.3
TEXAS	52 730	6.0	7 361	0.8	191 925	21.7	29 012	3.3
STATE	12 258	5.1	-	-	114 992	47.8	8 846	3.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 472	6.3	7 361	1.1	76 933	11.9	20 166	3.1
COUNTIES	30 418	11.2	7 332	2.7	70 417	25.8	15 414	5.7
MUNICIPALITIES	10 054	2.7	29	(Z)	6 516	1.8	4 752	1.3
UTAH	6 994	6.4	807	0.7	29 071	26.6	2 191	2.0
STATE	1 955	4.1	-	-	22 857	48.2	541	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 039	8.1	807	1.3	6 214	10.1	1 650	2.7
COUNTIES	3 264	11.8	780	2.8	6 171	22.3	1 188	4.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 774	5.2	27	0.1	43	0.1	462	1.3
VERMONT	2 057	6.2	1 180	3.5	8 325	25.0	627	1.9
STATE	1 710	6.9	1 180	4.8	8 278	33.6	627	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	47	4.0	-	-	47	0.5	-	-
COUNTIES	29	4.2	-	-	45	6.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	318	4.0	-	-	2	(Z)	-	-
VIRGINIA	16 757	4.0	5 669	1.3	153 767	36.3	12 209	2.9
STATE	4 404	2.4	5 292	2.8	107 618	57.8	4 317	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 353	5.2	377	0.2	46 149	19.4	7 892	3.3
COUNTIES	5 477	5.3	154	0.1	18 162	17.4	5 683	5.5
MUNICIPALITIES	6 876	5.2	224	0.2	27 987	21.0	2 209	1.7
WASHINGTON	21 242	6.5	6 609	2.0	94 872	29.2	3 519	1.1
STATE	6 495	5.7	398	0.3	64 163	56.3	1 352	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 747	7.0	6 211	2.9	30 709	14.5	2 167	1.0
COUNTIES	10 134	9.4	5 462	5.1	28 406	26.3	1 014	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 613	4.5	750	0.7	2 302	2.2	1 152	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA	5 940	6.4	1 057	1.1	18 527	19.8	1 843	2.0
STATE	2 726	5.6	1 011	2.1	13 604	28.1	1 656	3.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 214	7.1	46	0.1	4 923	10.9	187	0.4
COUNTIES	2 608	11.8	46	0.2	4 907	22.2	73	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	606	2.6	-	-	16	0.1	114	0.5
WISCONSIN	30 171	8.2	5 027	1.4	83 587	22.8	8 551	2.3
STATE	13 889	12.9	781	0.7	60 340	56.3	4 641	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 282	6.3	4 246	1.6	23 247	9.0	3 910	1.5
COUNTIES	11 137	9.7	4 245	3.7	22 863	19.9	3 898	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	5 145	3.6	1	(Z)	384	0.3	12	(Z)
WYOMING	2 361	5.1	379	0.8	18 315	39.5	522	1.1
STATE	707	2.8	-	-	16 779	66.2	522	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 654	7.9	379	1.8	1 536	7.3	-	-
COUNTIES	1 281	11.9	379	3.5	1 429	13.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	374	3.7	-	-	108	1.1	-	-

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	21 009 705	21 009 705	19 711 284	1 298 421	1 151 413	134 490	1 016 923
STATES	7 544 251	6 688 192	6 205 501	482 691	256 059	(X)	856 059
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 456 003	14 321 513	13 505 783	815 730	295 354	134 490	160 864
COUNTIES	5 699 974	5 611 815	5 112 010	499 806	88 158	46 107	42 051
MUNICIPALITIES	8 916 894	8 709 698	8 393 773	315 925	207 196	88 383	118 813
ALABAMA	232 063	232 063	221 651	10 412	5 387	88	5 299
STATE	100 385	95 770	94 069	1 701	4 615	(X)	4 615
LOCAL, TOTAL	136 381	136 293	127 582	8 711	772	88	684
COUNTIES	54 088	53 977	50 799	3 178	111	54	56
MUNICIPALITIES	82 978	82 316	76 783	5 533	662	34	628
ALASKA	95 966	95 966	92 991	2 975	1 307	280	1 027
STATE	70 839	69 843	67 802	2 041	996	(X)	996
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 402	26 123	25 189	934	311	280	31
BOROUGHs	1 652	1 621	1 616	5	31	-	31
MUNICIPALITIES	24 781	24 501	23 573	929	280	280	-
ARIZONA	278 994	278 994	258 390	20 604	8 705	190	8 515
STATE	88 432	80 943	68 777	12 166	7 489	(X)	7 489
LOCAL, TOTAL	198 241	198 051	189 613	8 438	1 216	190	1 026
COUNTIES	90 118	89 953	86 612	3 341	165	162	3
MUNICIPALITIES	109 149	108 098	103 001	5 097	1 051	28	1 022
ARKANSAS	101 532	101 532	93 071	8 461	6 215	272	5 943
STATE	49 219	43 895	38 930	4 965	5 324	(X)	5 324
LOCAL, TOTAL	57 909	57 637	54 141	3 496	891	272	619
COUNTIES	24 201	23 994	22 786	1 208	207	179	28
MUNICIPALITIES	34 328	33 643	31 355	2 288	685	93	592
CALIFORNIA	2 919 787	2 919 787	2 807 342	112 445	137 871	1 986	135 885
STATE	778 622	683 115	653 543	29 572	95 507	(X)	95 507
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 238 658	2 236 672	2 153 799	82 873	42 364	1 986	40 378
COUNTIES	1 290 901	1 287 010	1 239 588	47 422	3 891	1 922	1 968
MUNICIPALITIES	988 134	949 661	914 210	35 451	38 473	64	38 409
COLORADO	271 597	271 597	256 540	15 057	6 655	15	6 640
STATE	120 132	114 158	109 574	4 584	5 974	(X)	5 974
LOCAL, TOTAL	157 454	157 439	146 966	10 473	681	15	666
COUNTIES	40 926	40 382	37 314	3 068	544	15	528
MUNICIPALITIES	117 194	117 057	109 652	7 405	137	-	137
CONNECTICUT	248 025	248 025	234 024	14 001	5 595	987	4 609
STATE	128 679	124 513	118 852	5 661	4 166	(X)	4 166
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 499	123 512	115 172	8 340	1 429	987	443
MUNICIPALITIES	124 942	123 512	115 172	8 340	1 429	987	443
DELAWARE	63 303	63 303	59 027	4 276	1 984	95	1 889
STATE	44 195	42 410	39 417	2 993	1 785	(X)	1 785
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 988	20 893	19 610	1 283	95	95	104
COUNTIES	8 343	8 248	7 290	959	94	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	12 749	12 645	12 320	324	105	-	104
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	206 665	206 665	203 208	3 457	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	206 665	206 665	203 208	3 457	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	206 665	206 665	203 208	3 457	-	-	-
FLORIDA	868 287	868 287	791 156	77 131	18 804	487	18 317
STATE	330 228	315 720	281 571	34 149	14 508	(X)	14 508
LOCAL, TOTAL	553 054	552 567	509 585	42 982	4 296	487	3 809
COUNTIES	295 648	292 618	260 186	32 432	3 030	361	2 669
MUNICIPALITIES	261 215	259 949	249 399	10 550	1 266	126	1 140
GEORGIA	391 251	391 251	356 321	34 930	15 114	123	14 991
STATE	169 977	155 640	133 920	21 720	14 337	(X)	14 337
LOCAL, TOTAL	235 733	235 611	222 401	13 210	777	123	654
COUNTIES	129 171	128 986	121 112	7 874	185	96	89
MUNICIPALITIES	107 217	106 625	101 288	5 336	592	27	565
HAWAII	106 461	106 461	95 584	10 877	1 772	-	1 772
STATE	46 818	45 046	34 876	10 170	1 772	(X)	1 772
LOCAL, TOTAL	61 415	61 415	60 708	707	-	-	-
COUNTIES	16 079	16 079	15 690	389	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	45 336	45 336	45 018	318	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	64 883	64 883	56 769	8 113	4 240	112	4 129
STATE	28 102	26 911	25 670	1 241	1 191	(X)	1 191
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 083	37 972	31 099	6 872	3 049	112	2 938
COUNTIES	23 582	20 945	16 740	4 205	2 637	112	2 525
MUNICIPALITIES	17 439	17 027	14 359	2 667	413	-	413
ILLINOIS	1 159 866	1 159 866	1 049 749	110 117	29 493	429	29 065
STATE	299 563	273 014	255 338	17 676	26 549	(X)	26 549
LOCAL, TOTAL	887 281	886 852	794 411	92 441	2 944	429	2 516
COUNTIES	317 712	316 412	236 865	79 547	1 300	295	1 005
MUNICIPALITIES	572 085	570 440	557 546	12 895	1 644	134	1 511
INDIANA	319 136	319 136	292 347	26 788	11 536	1 509	10 027
STATE	122 728	113 260	97 319	15 941	9 468	(X)	9 468
LOCAL, TOTAL	207 385	205 876	195 028	10 847	2 068	1 509	559
COUNTIES	71 216	69 856	67 304	2 552	1 360	1 215	145
MUNICIPALITIES	136 727	136 020	127 724	8 295	708	294	414
IOWA	181 702	181 702	173 298	8 404	15 865	484	15 381
STATE	79 868	67 356	64 264	3 092	12 512	(X)	12 512
LOCAL, TOTAL	114 830	114 346	109 034	5 312	3 353	484	2 869
COUNTIES	58 785	57 093	54 881	2 212	1 692	447	1 245
MUNICIPALITIES	58 914	57 253	54 152	3 100	1 661	37	1 624
KANSAS	166 756	166 756	151 579	15 177	9 698	97	9 600
STATE	76 253	68 848	59 494	9 354	7 405	(X)	7 405
LOCAL, TOTAL	98 005	97 908	92 085	5 823	2 293	97	2 195
COUNTIES	44 252	43 985	40 475	3 510	2 268	94	173
MUNICIPALITIES	55 948	53 923	51 610	2 313	2 025	3	2 022
KENTUCKY	234 454	234 454	217 787	16 666	28 643	19	28 624
STATE	143 733	116 020	106 286	9 734	27 713	(X)	27 713
LOCAL, TOTAL	118 453	118 434	111 501	6 932	930	19	911
COUNTIES	53 258	52 928	49 682	3 245	330	15	315
MUNICIPALITIES	66 106	65 506	61 819	3 687	600	4	596
LOUISIANA	338 198	338 198	320 929	17 270	11 457	570	10 887
STATE	134 083	124 031	120 705	3 326	10 052	(X)	10 052
LOCAL, TOTAL	214 737	214 167	200 224	13 944	1 405	570	835
PARISHES	101 404	101 169	93 389	7 580	235	207	28
MUNICIPALITIES	114 168	112 999	106 634	6 364	1 170	362	807
MAINE	59 422	59 422	55 821	3 601	3 200	472	2 728
STATE	32 705	30 823	29 019	1 804	1 882	(X)	1 882
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 071	28 599	26 802	1 797	1 318	472	846
COUNTIES	8 528	7 843	6 837	1 006	685	452	233
MUNICIPALITIES	21 389	20 756	19 965	791	633	20	613
MARYLAND	468 226	468 226	434 803	33 424	63 711	910	62 802
STATE	279 554	217 002	200 394	16 608	62 552	(X)	62 552
LOCAL, TOTAL	252 134	251 224	234 409	16 816	1 159	910	250
COUNTIES	140 742	139 669	124 940	14 729	1 073	910	163
MUNICIPALITIES	111 642	111 555	109 469	2 086	87	-	87
MASSACHUSETTS	593 834	593 834	563 607	30 227	22 579	819	21 760
STATE	175 941	157 301	154 368	2 933	18 640	(X)	18 640
LOCAL, TOTAL	437 352	436 533	409 239	27 294	3 939	819	3 120
COUNTIES	100 858	100 740	82 180	18 560	117	12	105
MUNICIPALITIES	339 614	335 793	327 060	8 733	3 822	807	3 015
MICHIGAN	994 062	994 062	922 835	71 228	79 068	2 784	76 283
STATE	333 988	277 636	247 551	30 085	56 352	(X)	56 352
LOCAL, TOTAL	719 211	716 426	675 284	41 143	22 716	2 784	19 931
COUNTIES	301 222	286 455	266 608	19 847	14 767	2 773	11 993
MUNICIPALITIES	437 921	4					

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI	361 372	361 372	338 149	23 223	13 096	112	12 983
STATE	109 531	97 543	90 264	7 279	11 988	(X)	11 988
LOCAL, TOTAL	263 941	263 829	247 885	15 944	1 108	112	995
COUNTIES	79 674	79 487	70 290	9 197	188	112	75
MUNICIPALITIES	185 262	184 342	177 595	6 748	920	-	920
MONTANA	55 876	55 876	52 952	2 924	2 370	25	2 345
STATE	23 041	21 408	20 630	778	1 633	(X)	1 633
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 492	34 468	32 322	2 146	737	25	712
COUNTIES	20 329	20 105	18 597	1 508	224	3	221
MUNICIPALITIES	14 876	14 363	13 725	638	513	21	492
NEBRASKA	106 629	106 629	97 810	8 819	4 553	3	4 549
STATE	49 805	45 826	39 071	6 755	3 979	(X)	3 979
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 806	60 803	58 739	2 064	574	3	570
COUNTIES	26 197	26 125	25 569	556	72	2	69
MUNICIPALITIES	35 179	34 677	33 170	1 507	502	1	501
NEVADA	109 286	109 286	95 668	13 618	15 974	66	15 908
STATE	39 881	36 564	26 164	10 400	3 317	(X)	3 317
LOCAL, TOTAL	72 788	72 722	69 504	3 218	12 657	66	12 591
COUNTIES	53 624	53 561	51 322	2 239	63	57	6
MUNICIPALITIES	31 756	19 161	18 182	979	12 594	9	12 585
NEW HAMPSHIRE	57 176	57 176	51 011	6 164	1 137	143	994
STATE	21 855	21 387	19 480	1 907	468	(X)	468
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 932	35 789	31 531	4 257	669	143	526
COUNTIES	7 892	7 885	7 702	183	8	-	8
MUNICIPALITIES	28 565	27 904	23 829	4 075	661	143	519
NEW JERSEY	825 691	825 691	794 793	30 897	25 757	249	25 508
STATE	223 554	199 977	191 840	8 137	23 577	(X)	23 577
LOCAL, TOTAL	625 963	625 714	602 953	22 760	2 180	249	1 931
COUNTIES	204 657	204 655	193 204	11 450	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	423 237	421 059	409 749	11 310	2 178	247	1 931
NEW MEXICO	103 937	103 937	91 807	12 130	2 942	5	2 937
STATE	55 316	53 394	47 818	5 576	1 922	(X)	1 922
LOCAL, TOTAL	50 548	50 543	43 989	6 554	1 020	5	1 015
COUNTIES	16 983	16 112	11 669	4 443	872	4	867
MUNICIPALITIES	34 580	34 431	32 321	2 111	149	1	148
NEW YORK	2 925 492	2 925 492	2 845 380	80 113	218 761	100 108	118 652
STATE	922 759	808 646	757 523	51 123	114 113	(X)	114 113
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 216 955	2 116 846	2 087 857	28 990	104 648	100 108	4 539
COUNTIES	463 092	438 324	425 491	12 833	24 768	21 934	2 835
MUNICIPALITIES	1 758 401	1 678 522	1 662 365	16 157	79 879	78 175	1 704
NORTH CAROLINA	394 323	394 323	364 065	30 257	18 307	17	18 289
STATE	229 931	213 688	205 381	8 307	16 243	(X)	16 243
LOCAL, TOTAL	180 652	180 635	158 684	21 950	2 064	17	2 046
COUNTIES	76 843	75 720	59 964	15 756	1 122	13	1 110
MUNICIPALITIES	105 856	104 914	98 720	6 194	941	5	937
NORTH DAKOTA	35 222	35 222	33 041	2 181	1 190	11	1 179
STATE	13 749	12 942	12 410	532	807	(X)	807
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 291	22 280	20 631	1 649	383	11	372
COUNTIES	10 489	10 456	9 868	589	32	9	24
MUNICIPALITIES	12 175	11 824	10 764	1 060	351	2	349
OHIO	882 568	882 568	796 574	85 993	64 802	397	64 405
STATE	279 678	237 886	233 625	4 261	41 792	(X)	41 792
LOCAL, TOTAL	645 078	644 682	562 949	81 732	23 010	397	22 613
COUNTIES	276 461	270 957	215 725	55 232	5 504	294	5 210
MUNICIPALITIES	391 231	373 725	347 224	26 500	17 506	103	17 403
OKLAHOMA	202 202	202 202	170 479	31 723	8 441	3 837	4 603
STATE	111 813	107 816	82 922	24 894	3 997	(X)	3 997
LOCAL, TOTAL	98 223	94 386	87 557	6 829	4 444	3 837	606
COUNTIES	29 986	25 708	22 420	3 287	4 279	3 833	445
MUNICIPALITIES	68 843	68 678	65 137	3 542	165	4	161
OREGON	248 684	248 684	229 553	19 132	10 946	262	10 684
STATE	107 903	98 334	91 889	6 445	9 569	(X)	9 569
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 612	150 350	137 664	12 687	1 377	262	1 115
COUNTIES	89 432	88 845	77 858	10 987	587	134	453
MUNICIPALITIES	62 295	61 506	59 806	1 700	790	127	662

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	993 980	993 980	961 379	32 601	83 694	6 089	77 805
STATE	378 084	304 224	293 572	10 652	73 860	(X)	73 860
LOCAL, TOTAL	695 845	689 756	667 807	21 949	10 034	6 089	3 945
COUNTIES	183 876	179 429	170 122	9 307	4 447	3 748	699
MUNICIPALITIES	515 913	510 327	497 685	12 642	5 586	2 341	3 245
RHODE ISLAND	88 016	88 016	78 546	9 470	2 603	-	2 603
STATE	52 399	49 796	41 091	8 705	2 603	(X)	2 603
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 220	38 220	37 455	765	-	-	-
COUNTIES	38 220	38 220	37 455	765	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	38 220	38 220	37 455	765	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	182 463	182 463	168 599	13 865	6 483	441	6 042
STATE	89 290	84 155	76 919	7 236	5 135	(X)	5 135
LOCAL, TOTAL	98 749	98 308	91 680	6 629	1 348	441	907
COUNTIES	56 017	55 323	50 616	4 708	694	287	407
MUNICIPALITIES	43 639	42 985	41 064	1 921	654	153	501
SOUTH DAKOTA	41 430	41 430	38 198	3 232	3 211	1 506	1 705
STATE	21 104	19 876	18 994	882	1 228	(X)	1 228
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 060	21 554	19 204	2 350	1 983	1 506	477
COUNTIES	11 515	9 973	8 196	1 778	1 542	1 456	86
MUNICIPALITIES	12 023	11 581	11 008	573	442	50	392
TENNESSEE	323 469	323 469	275 622	47 847	15 361	53	15 308
STATE	121 233	107 580	94 891	12 689	13 653	(X)	13 653
LOCAL, TOTAL	215 942	215 889	180 731	35 158	1 708	53	1 655
COUNTIES	89 292	88 695	61 479	27 216	597	10	587
MUNICIPALITIES	128 305	127 194	119 252	7 942	1 111	44	1 068
TEXAS	885 420	885 420	824 233	61 187	43 927	1 923	42 005
STATE	279 569	240 667	224 191	16 476	38 902	(X)	38 902
LOCAL, TOTAL	646 675	644 753	600 042	44 711	5 025	1 923	3 103
COUNTIES	274 292	272 514	249 290	23 223	1 778	960	819
MUNICIPALITIES	375 486	372 239	350 752	21 487	3 247	963	2 284
UTAH	109 208	109 208	100 533	8 675	5 686	3	5 683
STATE	52 542	47 384	43 931	3 453	5 158	(X)	5 158
LOCAL, TOTAL	61 827	61 824	56 602	5 222	528	3	525
COUNTIES	27 706	27 626	24 362	3 264	80	3	77
MUNICIPALITIES	34 646	34 198	32 241	1 957	449	-	449
VERMONT	33 305	33 305	31 991	1 314	689	2	687
STATE	25 180	24 623	23 549	1 074	557	(X)	557
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 684	8 682	8 442	240	132	2	130
COUNTIES	700	700	647	53	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 114	7 982	7 795	187	132	2	130
VIRGINIA	423 807	423 807	388 153	35 654	55 199	3 954	51 245
STATE	234 656	186 272	175 460	10 812	48 384	(X)	48 384
LOCAL, TOTAL	241 489	237 535	212 693	24 842	6 815	3 954	2 861
COUNTIES	107 124	104 165	93 985	10 179	2 959	1 777	1 182
MUNICIPALITIES	137 226	133 370	118 708	14 662	3 856	2 177	1 679
WASHINGTON	325 154	325 154	310 214	14 940	16 022	315	15 707
STATE	122 356	113 922	105 048	8 874	8 434	(X)	8 434
LOCAL, TOTAL	211 547	211 232	205 166	6 066	7 588	315	7 273
COUNTIES	109 560	108 033	104 582	3 451	1 527	39	1 488
MUNICIPALITIES	109 260	103 199	100 583	2 615	6 061	276	5 785
WEST VIRGINIA	93 423	93 423	86 191	7 232	1 965	169	1 796
STATE	49 927	48 328	44 399	3 929	1 599	(X)	1 599
LOCAL, TOTAL	45 264	45 095	41 792	3 303	366	169	197
COUNTIES	22 296	22 118	19 685	2 433	177	169	8
MUNICIPALITIES							

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, and Judicial. Rows list states from ALABAMA to IDAHO, including sub-rows for STATE, LOCAL, COUNTIES, and MUNICIPALITIES.

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1978

Table with columns for Legal services and prosecution, Public defense, Corrections, and Other criminal justice. Rows list states from ALABAMA to IDAHO, including sub-rows for STATE, LOCAL, COUNTIES, and MUNICIPALITIES.

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns: Line number, State and type of government, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees), Police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees), Judicial (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees).

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns: Legal services and prosecution (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Public defense (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Corrections (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Other criminal justice (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Line number.

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns: Line number, State and type of government, Total criminal justice system (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees), Police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees), Judicial (Number of employees, Percent of total full-time equivalent employees). Rows include NEBRASKA, NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH DAKOTA, OHIO, OKLAHOMA, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA.

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns: Legal services and prosecution (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Public defense (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Corrections (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees), Other criminal justice (Number of employees, Percent of total criminal justice system employees). Rows continue from previous page with NEBRASKA, NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH DAKOTA, OHIO, OKLAHOMA, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	SOUTH DAKOTA	19 994	2 791	2 244	2 367	11.8	1 566	1 287	1 340	56.6	396	291	303	12.8
2	STATE	12 565	1 207	1 040	1 076	8.6	318	291	295	27.4	393	288	300	27.9
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	7 429	1 584	1 204	1 291	17.4	1 248	996	1 045	80.9	3	3	3	0.2
4	COUNTIES	5 516	464	464	513	14.6	356	275	292	56.9	3	3	3	0.6
5	MUNICIPALITIES	3 913	935	740	778	19.9	892	721	753	96.8	-	-	-	-
6	TENNESSEE	199 752	19 501	18 159	18 475	9.2	10 498	9 917	10 061	54.5	2 922	2 478	2 570	13.9
7	STATE	63 487	5 791	5 735	5 753	9.1	1 443	1 395	1 412	27.4	412	412	412	7.2
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	136 305	13 710	12 424	12 722	9.3	9 055	8 522	8 649	68.0	2 510	2 066	2 158	17.0
9	COUNTIES	71 040	5 051	4 359	4 526	6.4	1 783	1 635	1 674	37.0	1 873	1 573	1 637	36.2
10	MUNICIPALITIES	65 265	8 659	8 065	8 196	12.6	7 272	6 887	6 975	85.1	637	493	521	6.4
11	TEXAS	323 751	54 990	51 497	52 471	16.2	30 991	29 810	30 026	57.2	9 357	8 019	8 523	16.2
12	STATE	165 587	11 529	11 134	11 230	6.8	5 071	4 862	4 899	43.6	572	571	571	5.1
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	158 164	43 461	40 363	41 241	26.1	25 920	24 948	25 127	60.9	8 785	7 448	7 952	19.3
14	COUNTIES	58 526	19 670	17 743	18 365	31.4	4 715	4 310	4 399	24.0	7 212	6 333	6 714	36.6
15	MUNICIPALITIES	99 638	23 791	22 620	22 876	23.0	21 205	20 630	20 728	90.6	1 573	1 115	1 238	5.4
16	UTAH	39 855	6 824	5 130	5 282	13.3	3 382	3 121	3 170	60.0	701	562	611	11.6
17	STATE	26 930	1 973	1 855	1 886	7.0	769	705	717	38.0	214	204	207	11.0
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	12 925	4 851	3 275	3 396	26.3	2 613	2 416	2 453	72.2	487	358	404	11.9
19	COUNTIES	6 813	2 720	1 405	1 464	21.5	804	776	783	53.5	265	207	227	15.5
20	MUNICIPALITIES	6 112	2 131	1 870	1 932	31.6	1 809	1 640	1 670	86.4	222	151	177	9.2
21	VERMONT	13 582	2 253	1 836	1 903	14.0	1 301	955	1 005	52.8	240	207	215	11.3
22	STATE	10 514	1 381	1 272	1 286	12.2	488	420	426	33.1	193	184	186	14.5
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 068	872	564	617	20.1	813	535	579	93.8	47	23	29	4.7
24	COUNTIES	59	121	39	49	83.1	67	14	16	32.7	47	23	29	59.2
25	MUNICIPALITIES	3 009	751	525	568	18.9	746	521	563	99.1	-	-	-	-
26	VIRGINIA	269 745	25 712	23 598	24 186	9.0	12 015	11 423	11 551	47.8	3 323	2 477	2 603	10.8
27	STATE	93 083	11 386	10 040	10 415	11.2	2 563	2 343	2 396	23.0	2 292	1 555	1 649	15.8
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	176 662	14 326	13 558	13 771	7.8	9 452	9 080	9 155	66.5	1 031	922	954	6.9
29	COUNTIES	102 740	6 032	5 600	5 711	5.6	3 733	3 536	3 573	62.6	573	497	517	9.1
30	MUNICIPALITIES	73 922	8 294	7 958	8 060	10.9	5 719	5 544	5 582	69.3	458	425	437	5.4
31	WASHINGTON	106 810	17 580	15 981	16 522	15.5	8 693	8 204	8 280	50.1	2 484	1 807	2 135	12.9
32	STATE	67 232	5 490	5 307	5 436	8.1	1 780	1 715	1 726	31.8	343	225	343	6.3
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	39 578	12 090	10 674	11 086	28.0	6 913	6 489	6 554	59.1	2 141	1 582	1 792	16.2
34	COUNTIES	18 711	6 385	5 709	5 963	31.9	2 071	2 071	2 071	35.0	1 631	1 318	1 487	24.9
35	MUNICIPALITIES	20 867	5 705	4 965	5 123	24.6	4 740	4 418	4 466	87.2	510	264	305	6.0
36	WEST VIRGINIA	54 555	6 395	5 877	6 010	11.0	3 581	3 284	3 349	55.7	1 182	1 054	1 089	18.1
37	STATE	37 900	2 748	2 727	2 728	7.2	1 064	1 043	1 044	38.3	632	632	632	23.2
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	16 655	3 647	3 150	3 282	19.7	2 517	2 241	2 305	70.2	550	422	457	13.9
39	COUNTIES	7 210	1 708	1 565	1 619	22.5	728	690	703	43.4	434	403	416	25.7
40	MUNICIPALITIES	9 445	1 939	1 585	1 663	17.6	1 789	1 551	1 602	96.3	116	19	41	2.5
41	WISCONSIN	148 960	20 989	18 435	18 757	12.7	12 458	10 859	11 105	58.5	2 373	1 951	2 088	11.0
42	STATE	55 540	5 468	5 144	5 229	9.4	1 058	930	955	18.3	501	468	488	9.3
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	93 420	15 521	13 291	13 738	14.7	11 400	9 929	10 150	73.9	1 872	1 483	1 600	11.6
44	COUNTIES	39 714	6 203	5 544	5 726	14.4	2 626	2 411	2 452	42.8	1 645	1 431	1 511	26.4
45	MUNICIPALITIES	53 706	9 318	7 747	8 012	14.9	8 774	7 518	7 698	96.1	227	52	89	1.1
46	WYOMING	15 200	2 345	2 084	2 172	14.3	1 381	1 272	1 296	59.7	336	248	284	13.1
47	STATE	8 624	680	665	671	7.8	235	234	234	34.9	65	65	65	9.7
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 576	1 665	1 419	1 501	22.8	1 146	1 038	1 062	70.8	271	183	219	14.6
49	COUNTIES	4 061	823	690	737	18.1	411	359	373	50.6	203	153	175	23.7
50	MUNICIPALITIES	2 515	842	729	764	30.4	735	679	689	90.2	68	30	44	5.8

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	285	211	235	9.9	9	7	8	0.3	522	435	468	19.8	13	13	13	0.5
2	97	93	94	8.7	-	-	-	-	366	355	374	34.8	13	13	13	1.2
3	188	118	141	10.9	9	7	8	0.6	136	80	94	7.3	-	-	-	-
4	148	102	119	23.2	9	7	8	1.6	133	77	91	17.7	-	-	-	-
5	40	16	22	2.8	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.4	-	-	-	-
6	752	554	599	3.2	138	123	126	0.7	5 128	5 024	5 056	27.4	63	63	63	0.3
7	424	424	424	7.4	53	45	46	0.8	3 423	3 423	3 423	59.5	36	36	36	0.6
8	328	130	175	1.4	85	78	80	0.6	1 705	1 601	1 633	12.8	27	27	27	0.2
9	167	28	60	1.3	50	46	47	1.0	1 164	1 063	1 094	24.2	14	14	14	0.3
10	161	102	115	1.4	35	32	33	0.4	541	538	539	6.6	13	13	13	0.2
11	3 449	3 005	3 080	5.9	17	12	13	(Z)	10 675	10 164	10 329	19.7	501	487	500	1.0
12	571	517	527	4.7	-	-	-	-	5 188	5 057	5 106	45.5	127	127	127	1.1
13	2 878	2 488	2 553	6.2	17	12	13	(Z)	5 487	5 107	5 223	12.7	374	360	373	0.9
14	2 298	2 028	2 068	11.3	17	12	13	0.1	5 107	4 738	4 851	26.4	321	314	320	1.7
15	580	460	485	2.1	-	-	-	-	380	369	372	1.6	53	46	53	0.2
16	1 549	333	361	6.8	8	2	3	0.1	1 166	1 094	1 119	21.2	18	18	18	0.3
17	100	78	83	4.4	-	-	-	-	874	852	863	45.8	16	16	16	0.8
18	1 449	255	278	8.2	8	2	3	0.1	292	242	256	7.5	2	2	2	0.1
19	1 353	179	196	13.4	7	2	3	0.2	289	239	253	17.3	2	2	2	0.1
20	96	76	82	4.2	1	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.2	-	-	-	-
21	118	101	106	5.6	56	56	56	2.9	512	492						

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	7 200 275	1 342 880	18.7	768 828	57.3	169 516	12.6
STATES	3 080 050	406 897	13.2	122 337	30.1	68 350	16.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 120 225	935 983	22.7	646 491	69.1	101 466	10.8
COUNTIES	1 322 254	343 125	26.0	124 757	36.4	79 803	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 797 971	592 859	21.2	521 735	88.0	21 663	3.7
ALABAMA	111 821	15 484	13.8	8 976	58.0	2 302	14.9
STATE	70 663	5 968	8.4	1 877	31.4	1 494	25.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 158	9 516	23.1	7 099	74.6	808	8.5
COUNTIES	12 392	3 246	26.2	1 331	41.0	618	19.0
MUNICIPALITIES	28 767	6 269	21.8	5 769	92.0	191	3.0
ALASKA	50 559	5 807	11.5	3 089	53.2	1 006	17.3
STATE	26 059	4 037	15.5	1 488	36.9	1 004	24.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 500	1 769	7.2	1 601	90.5	3	0.2
BOROUGHs	4 823	26	0.5	6	22.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19 676	1 743	8.9	1 596	91.5	3	0.2
ARIZONA	74 661	17 872	23.9	10 685	59.8	1 869	10.5
STATE	34 345	4 665	13.6	2 180	46.7	301	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 316	13 207	32.8	8 505	64.4	1 567	11.9
COUNTIES	14 930	5 810	38.9	1 733	29.8	1 285	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES	25 386	7 397	29.1	6 772	91.5	282	3.8
ARKANSAS	46 904	5 785	12.3	3 507	60.6	684	11.8
STATE	31 241	1 998	6.4	856	42.9	223	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 662	3 787	24.2	2 651	70.0	461	12.2
COUNTIES	6 133	1 467	23.9	621	42.3	324	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES	9 530	2 320	24.3	2 030	87.5	137	5.9
CALIFORNIA	758 795	185 565	24.5	96 321	51.9	20 370	11.0
STATE	282 809	41 472	14.7	14 660	35.3	2 999	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	475 986	144 093	30.3	81 661	56.7	17 371	12.1
COUNTIES	233 476	80 445	34.5	23 127	28.7	16 523	20.5
MUNICIPALITIES	242 510	63 648	26.2	58 534	92.0	848	1.3
COLORADO	96 558	17 018	17.6	9 354	55.0	2 185	12.8
STATE	53 638	6 227	11.6	1 337	21.5	1 539	24.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	42 919	10 791	25.1	8 017	74.3	646	6.0
COUNTIES	10 322	2 750	26.6	1 265	46.0	150	5.5
MUNICIPALITIES	32 597	8 040	24.7	6 752	84.0	496	6.2
CONNECTICUT	147 502	16 549	11.2	10 491	63.4	1 771	10.7
STATE	48 818	7 819	16.0	2 028	25.9	1 715	21.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	98 683	8 730	8.8	8 463	96.9	56	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	99 369	8 730	8.8	8 463	96.9	56	0.6
DELAWARE	19 784	4 185	21.2	2 078	49.7	807	19.3
STATE	15 255	2 849	18.7	946	33.2	658	23.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 529	1 336	29.5	1 133	84.8	150	11.2
COUNTIES	1 736	491	28.3	372	75.8	103	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 792	845	30.3	761	90.0	47	5.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	68 812	15 493	22.5	8 053	52.0	1 332	8.6
STATE	68 812	15 493	22.5	8 053	52.0	1 332	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	68 812	15 493	22.5	8 053	52.0	1 332	8.6
MUNICIPALITIES	68 812	15 493	22.5	8 053	52.0	1 332	8.6
FLORIDA	235 669	58 685	24.9	32 100	54.7	7 325	12.5
STATE	96 397	21 479	22.3	4 011	18.7	2 921	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	139 272	37 206	26.7	28 089	75.5	4 404	11.8
COUNTIES	62 570	19 348	30.9	11 658	60.3	4 015	20.8
MUNICIPALITIES	76 703	17 858	23.3	16 431	92.0	389	2.2
GEORGIA	120 417	24 124	20.0	12 757	52.9	3 229	13.4
STATE	70 695	8 982	12.7	2 740	30.5	767	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 723	15 141	30.5	10 017	66.2	2 463	16.3
COUNTIES	19 910	8 034	40.4	3 671	45.7	2 191	27.3
MUNICIPALITIES	29 812	7 107	23.8	6 345	89.3	272	3.8
HAWAII	56 683	6 120	10.8	3 690	60.3	912	14.9
STATE	42 677	2 190	5.1	132	6.0	912	41.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 006	3 930	28.1	3 558	90.5	-	-
COUNTIES	2 980	1 015	34.0	848	83.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 026	2 915	26.4	2 710	93.0	-	-
IDAHO	25 295	4 110	16.2	2 335	56.8	620	15.1
STATE	16 528	1 633	9.9	571	35.0	340	20.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 768	2 477	28.2	1 764	71.2	280	11.3
COUNTIES	4 325	1 319	30.5	663	50.3	264	20.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 443	1 158	26.1	1 101	95.1	16	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	86 348	6.4	12 062	0.9	297 367	22.1	8 759	0.7
STATES	27 334	6.7	4 724	1.2	179 654	44.2	4 797	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	59 014	6.3	7 337	0.8	117 713	12.6	3 963	0.4
COUNTIES	38 713	11.3	6 824	2.0	90 290	26.3	2 739	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	20 302	3.4	513	0.1	27 423	4.6	1 223	0.2
ALABAMA	772	5.0	8	0.1	3 362	21.7	63	0.4
STATE	438	7.3	4	0.1	2 097	35.1	59	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	334	3.5	4	(Z)	1 266	13.3	4	(Z)
COUNTIES	242	7.5	2	0.1	1 050	32.4	4	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	92	1.5	2	(Z)	216	3.4	-	-
ALASKA	629	10.8	107	1.8	933	16.1	42	0.7
STATE	495	12.3	107	2.6	902	22.3	42	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	134	7.6	-	-	31	1.8	-	-
BOROUGHs	20	77.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	114	6.5	-	-	31	1.8	-	-
ARIZONA	1 410	7.9	291	1.6	3 573	20.0	45	0.2
STATE	290	6.2	-	-	1 859	39.8	36	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 121	8.5	291	2.2	1 714	13.0	9	0.1
COUNTIES	822	14.1	289	5.0	1 676	28.8	6	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	299	4.0	2	(Z)	38	0.5	3	(Z)
ARKANSAS	326	5.6	35	0.6	1 172	20.3	61	1.1
STATE	58	2.9	-	-	803	40.2	56	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	268	7.1	35	0.9	369	9.7	5	0.1
COUNTIES	194	13.2	28	1.9	297	20.2	3	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	74	3.2	7	0.3	72	3.1	1	0.1
CALIFORNIA	17 179	9.3	3 759	2.0	47 419	25.6	516	0.3
STATE	3 234	7.8	286	0.7	20 090	48.4	202	0.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 945	9.7	3 473	2.4	27 329	19.0	314	0.2
COUNTIES	11 107	13.8	3 331	4.1	26 143	32.5	213	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 837	4.5	142	0.2	1 186	1.9	101	0.2
COLORADO	1 412	8.3	242	1.4	3 721	21.9	104	0.6
STATE	274	4.4	242	3.9	2 781	44.7	53	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 138	10.5	-	-	940	8.7	50	0.5
COUNTIES	740	26.9	-	-	551	20.0	45	1.6
MUNICIPALITIES	398	4.9	-	-	389	4.8	5	0.1
CONNECTICUT	786	4.7	210	1.3	3 191	19.3	101	0.6
STATE	618	7.9	210	2.7	3 185	40.7	64	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	168	1.9	-	-	6	0.1	38	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	168	1.9	-	-	6	0.1	38	0.4
DELAWARE	189	4.5	56	1.3	1 004	24.0	50	1.2
STATE	137	4.8	56	2.0	1 004	35.3	48	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	52	3.9	-	-	-	-	1	0.1
COUNTIES	16	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	36	4.3	-	-	-	-	1	0.2
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	451	2.9	185	1.2	5 437	35.1	35	0.2
STATE	451	2.9	185	1.2	5 437	35.1	35	0.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	451	2.9	185	1.2	5 437	35.1	35	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	451	2.9	185	1.2	5 437	35.1	35	0.2
FLORIDA	3 339	5.7	1 206	2.1	14 209	24.2	505	0.9
STATE	2 591	12.1	1 142	5.3	10 742	50.0	72	0.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	748	2.0	64	0.2	3 467	9.3	433	1.2
COUNTIES	353	1.8	60	0.3	2 875	14.9	384	2.0
MUNICIPALITIES	395	2.2	4	(Z)	591	3.3	48	0.3
GEORGIA	1 075	4.5	77	0.3	6 917	28.7	68	0.3
STATE	444	4.9	17	0.2	4 976	55.4	39	0.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	631	4.2	60	0.4	1 941	12.8	30	0.2
COUNTIES	508	6.3	47	0.6	1 598	19.9	19	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	124	1.7	12	0.2	343	4.8	11	0.2
HAWAII	479	7.8	74	1.2	845	13.8	119	1.9
STATE	170	7.8	74	3.4	784	35.8	118	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	309	7.9	-	-	61	1.6	1	(Z)
COUNTIES	104	10.3	-	-	61	6.0	1	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	205	7.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	341	8.3	36	0.9	726	17.7	50	1.2

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	270 071	79 667	29.5	53 223	66.8	8 731	11.0
STATE	112 383	18 111	16.1	5 836	32.2	3 406	18.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	157 688	61 556	39.0	47 387	77.0	5 325	8.7
COUNTIES	31 022	17 365	56.0	3 894	22.4	5 302	30.5
MUNICIPALITIES	126 666	44 191	34.9	43 493	98.4	23	0.1
INDIANA	131 798	19 829	15.0	11 486	57.9	2 596	13.1
STATE	71 854	6 689	9.3	2 578	38.5	676	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	59 944	13 140	21.9	8 908	67.8	1 920	14.6
COUNTIES	23 430	4 182	17.8	1 309	31.3	1 379	33.0
MUNICIPALITIES	36 514	8 958	24.5	7 599	84.8	541	6.0
IOWA	84 187	11 725	13.9	6 441	54.9	1 755	15.0
STATE	50 106	4 312	8.6	1 639	38.0	655	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 081	7 413	21.8	4 802	64.8	1 100	14.8
COUNTIES	14 707	3 473	23.6	1 012	29.1	1 100	31.7
MUNICIPALITIES	19 374	3 940	20.3	3 790	96.2	-	-
KANSAS	64 902	9 762	15.0	5 242	53.7	1 568	16.1
STATE	39 247	3 326	8.5	932	28.0	545	16.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 654	6 436	25.1	4 310	67.0	1 023	15.9
COUNTIES	8 686	2 675	30.8	874	32.7	891	33.3
MUNICIPALITIES	16 969	3 761	22.2	3 436	91.4	132	3.5
KENTUCKY	81 147	13 820	17.0	7 879	57.0	2 152	15.6
STATE	55 852	6 470	11.6	2 312	35.7	1 893	29.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 294	7 350	29.1	5 568	75.8	260	3.5
COUNTIES	7 371	3 151	42.7	1 675	53.2	200	6.3
MUNICIPALITIES	17 923	4 199	23.4	3 893	92.7	60	1.4
LOUISIANA	102 732	22 037	21.5	11 820	53.6	3 612	16.4
STATE	62 026	8 721	14.1	3 350	38.4	1 140	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 706	13 316	32.7	8 470	63.6	2 472	18.6
PARISHES	14 283	5 567	39.0	3 085	55.4	1 462	26.3
MUNICIPALITIES	26 423	7 749	29.3	5 386	69.5	1 009	13.0
MAINE	35 026	3 956	11.3	2 384	60.3	479	12.1
STATE	16 507	1 927	11.7	617	32.0	418	21.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 519	2 029	11.0	1 766	87.0	61	3.0
COUNTIES	599	441	73.6	202	45.9	60	13.6
MUNICIPALITIES	17 920	1 588	8.9	1 564	98.5	1	0.1
MARYLAND	259 789	29 281	11.3	16 655	56.9	2 730	9.3
STATE	83 348	12 536	15.0	3 801	30.3	1 486	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	176 441	16 746	9.5	12 853	76.8	1 244	7.4
COUNTIES	131 874	9 236	7.0	6 843	74.1	821	8.9
MUNICIPALITIES	44 567	7 510	16.9	6 010	80.0	423	5.6
MASSACHUSETTS	274 248	37 633	13.7	23 394	62.2	5 057	13.4
STATE	62 381	9 298	14.9	2 891	31.1	1 182	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	211 867	28 335	13.4	20 503	72.4	3 875	13.7
COUNTIES	4 735	5 259	111.1	50	1.0	2 540	48.3
MUNICIPALITIES	207 132	23 076	11.1	20 452	88.6	1 335	5.8
MICHIGAN	297 184	64 588	21.7	38 167	59.1	8 832	13.7
STATE	152 243	17 471	11.5	6 525	37.4	1 571	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	144 941	47 117	32.5	31 642	67.2	7 251	15.4
COUNTIES	39 478	17 087	43.3	4 450	26.0	5 623	32.9
MUNICIPALITIES	105 463	30 031	28.5	27 192	90.5	1 638	5.5
MINNESOTA	130 901	19 928	15.2	10 471	52.5	2 845	14.3
STATE	65 718	5 356	8.1	1 512	28.2	1 958	17.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	65 184	14 572	22.4	8 959	61.5	1 887	12.9
COUNTIES	27 800	7 259	26.1	1 983	27.3	1 887	26.0
MUNICIPALITIES	37 384	7 314	19.6	6 976	95.4	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	55 319	6 903	12.5	4 320	62.6	888	12.9
STATE	30 736	2 814	9.2	1 255	44.6	334	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 583	4 089	16.6	3 065	75.0	554	13.5
COUNTIES	11 880	1 524	12.8	710	46.6	455	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES	12 703	2 566	20.2	2 355	91.8	98	3.8
MISSOURI	112 569	24 349	21.6	15 294	62.8	3 543	14.6
STATE	60 519	6 116	10.1	2 062	33.7	1 393	22.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 049	18 232	35.0	13 232	72.6	2 150	11.8
COUNTIES	10 703	4 964	46.4	1 889	38.1	1 436	28.9
MUNICIPALITIES	41 347	13 269	32.1	11 343	85.5	714	5.4
MONTANA	26 326	3 686	14.0	1 863	50.5	445	12.1
STATE	17 029	1 471	8.6	382	26.0	136	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 297	2 215	23.8	1 481	66.9	309	13.9
COUNTIES	4 432	1 240	28.0	618	49.9	257	20.7
MUNICIPALITIES	4 865	975	20.0	863	88.4	52	5.3

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	3 780	4.7	1 108	1.4	12 303	15.4	521	0.7
STATE	846	4.8	134	0.7	7 485	41.3	405	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 934	7.5	975	1.6	4 818	7.8	117	0.2
COUNTIES	2 345	13.5	975	5.6	4 807	27.7	41	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	589	1.3	-	-	11	(2)	76	0.2
INDIANA	1 212	6.1	164	0.8	4 230	21.3	140	0.7
STATE	457	6.8	51	0.8	2 855	42.7	72	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	755	5.7	113	0.9	1 375	10.5	68	0.5
COUNTIES	455	10.9	112	2.7	900	21.5	27	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	301	3.4	-	(2)	475	5.3	42	0.5
IOWA	729	6.2	60	0.5	2 677	22.8	63	0.5
STATE	195	4.5	-	-	1 795	41.6	28	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	534	7.2	60	0.8	881	11.9	35	0.5
COUNTIES	427	12.3	60	1.7	840	24.2	35	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	107	2.7	-	-	42	1.1	-	-
KANSAS	689	7.1	23	0.2	2 135	21.9	105	1.1
STATE	200	6.0	21	0.6	1 602	48.2	27	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	490	7.6	3	(2)	533	8.3	78	1.2
COUNTIES	344	12.9	-	(2)	496	18.5	70	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	145	3.9	2	0.1	36	1.0	9	0.2
KENTUCKY	639	4.6	83	0.6	2 709	19.6	357	2.6
STATE	325	5.0	81	1.3	1 629	25.2	230	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	314	4.3	1	(2)	1 080	14.7	127	1.7
COUNTIES	221	7.0	1	(2)	941	29.9	112	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	92	2.2	-	-	139	3.3	16	0.4
LOUISIANA	1 204	5.5	88	0.4	5 182	23.5	131	0.6
STATE	518	5.9	14	0.2	3 636	41.7	63	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	687	5.2	73	0.6	1 546	11.6	67	0.5
PARISHES	324	5.8	22	0.4	663	11.9	11	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	363	4.7	52	0.7	883	11.4	57	0.7
MAINE	220	5.6	-	-	849	21.5	23	0.6
STATE	169	8.8	-	-	699	36.3	23	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	52	2.6	-	-	150	7.4	-	-
COUNTIES	29	6.5	-	-	150	34.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	23	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	1 425	4.9	426	1.5	7 800	26.6	245	0.8
STATE	220	1.8	426	3.4	6 392	51.0	210	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 205	7.2	-	-	1 408	8.4	35	0.2
COUNTIES	760	8.2	-	-	797	8.6	15	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	445	5.9	-	-	611	8.1	21	0.3
MASSACHUSETTS	1 364	3.6	212	0.6	7 366	19.6	240	0.6
STATE	712	7.7	182	2.0	4 220	45.4	110	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	652	2.3	30	0.1	3 146	11.1	130	0.5
COUNTIES	197	3.8	30	0.6	2 428	46.2	13	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	454	2.0	-	-	718	3.1	117	0.5
MICHIGAN	3 249	5.0	139	0.2	14 054	21.8	147	0.2
STATE	782	4.5	69	0.4	8 435	48.3	89	0.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 467	5.2	70	0.1	5 619	11.9	58	0.1
COUNTIES	1 926	11.3	69	0.4	4 979	29.1	39	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	540	1.8	1	(2)	640	2.1	19	0.1
MINNESOTA	1 708	8.6	299	1.5	4 492	22.5	113	0.6
STATE	375	7.0	32	0.6	2 397	44.7	83	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 333	9.1	267	1.8	2 096	14.4	31	0.2
COUNTIES	1 019	14.0	267	3.7	2 079	28.6	23	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	314	4.3	-	-	16	0.2		

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	38 259	6 575	17.2	3 741	56.9	986	15.0
STATE	18 238	2 377	13.0	785	33.0	583	24.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 021	4 199	21.0	2 957	70.4	404	9.6
COUNTIES	7 032	1 576	22.4	631	40.0	288	18.3
MUNICIPALITIES	12 990	2 622	20.2	2 326	88.7	115	4.4
NEVADA	31 982	6 665	20.8	3 335	50.0	780	11.7
STATE	15 015	1 684	11.2	413	24.5	140	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 966	4 981	29.4	2 922	58.7	640	12.8
COUNTIES	11 136	3 525	31.7	1 822	51.7	486	13.8
MUNICIPALITIES	5 831	1 457	25.0	1 100	75.5	154	10.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	27 387	3 568	13.0	2 207	61.9	373	10.4
STATE	14 561	1 339	9.2	478	35.7	147	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 826	2 229	17.4	1 729	77.6	226	10.1
COUNTIES	1 285	424	33.0	105	24.7	121	28.5
MUNICIPALITIES	11 541	1 806	15.6	1 625	90.0	105	5.8
NEW JERSEY	269 314	59 373	22.0	35 072	59.1	7 323	12.3
STATE	94 854	13 376	14.1	4 710	35.2	1 574	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	174 460	45 997	26.4	30 362	66.0	5 749	12.5
COUNTIES	57 189	15 582	27.2	1 838	11.8	4 457	28.6
MUNICIPALITIES	117 272	30 414	25.9	28 524	93.8	1 292	4.2
NEW MEXICO	41 494	6 217	15.0	3 471	55.8	887	14.3
STATE	29 113	3 109	10.7	968	31.1	707	22.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 382	3 108	25.1	2 503	80.5	180	5.8
COUNTIES	2 674	709	26.5	521	73.6	34	4.8
MUNICIPALITIES	9 707	2 400	24.7	1 982	82.6	146	6.1
NEW YORK	767 172	176 328	23.0	108 447	61.5	19 781	11.2
STATE	167 785	51 066	30.4	8 956	17.5	17 714	34.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	599 387	125 262	20.9	99 491	79.4	2 067	1.6
COUNTIES	33 551	27 090	80.7	16 432	60.7	743	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	565 837	98 171	17.3	83 059	84.6	1 323	1.3
NORTH CAROLINA	256 259	24 702	9.6	12 046	48.8	2 911	11.8
STATE	88 187	13 772	15.6	2 577	18.7	2 497	18.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	168 072	10 930	6.5	9 469	86.6	415	3.8
COUNTIES	137 641	3 745	2.7	2 405	64.2	415	11.1
MUNICIPALITIES	30 432	7 186	23.6	7 064	98.3	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	19 100	2 262	11.8	1 279	56.5	381	16.8
STATE	13 219	751	5.7	237	31.6	130	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 882	1 510	25.7	1 041	69.0	251	16.6
COUNTIES	2 380	665	27.9	254	38.3	218	32.8
MUNICIPALITIES	3 501	845	24.1	787	93.1	33	3.9
OHIO	264 250	55 172	20.9	28 822	52.2	8 583	15.6
STATE	113 915	15 035	13.2	3 751	24.9	1 338	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 334	40 136	26.7	25 072	62.5	7 245	18.1
COUNTIES	50 662	14 389	28.4	3 453	24.0	5 083	35.3
MUNICIPALITIES	99 652	25 748	25.8	21 619	84.0	2 162	8.4
OKLAHOMA	77 014	11 589	15.0	6 766	58.4	1 528	13.2
STATE	47 139	5 090	10.8	1 813	35.6	738	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 875	6 499	21.8	4 954	76.2	790	12.2
COUNTIES	6 044	1 459	24.1	426	29.2	571	39.2
MUNICIPALITIES	23 831	5 040	21.1	4 528	89.8	218	4.3
OREGON	80 566	15 419	19.1	8 110	52.6	1 924	12.5
STATE	48 348	5 595	11.6	1 880	33.6	611	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	32 218	9 824	30.5	6 231	63.4	1 313	13.4
COUNTIES	15 366	5 436	35.4	2 108	38.8	1 199	22.0
MUNICIPALITIES	16 853	4 388	26.0	4 123	94.0	114	2.6
PENNSYLVANIA	270 573	65 684	24.3	39 569	60.2	9 686	14.7
STATE	137 454	17 822	13.0	8 264	46.4	2 389	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	133 119	47 862	36.0	31 305	65.4	7 298	15.2
COUNTIES	35 305	10 928	31.0	1 258	11.5	4 182	38.3
MUNICIPALITIES	97 814	36 934	37.8	30 047	81.4	3 116	8.4
RHODE ISLAND	49 984	5 635	11.3	3 356	59.6	767	13.6
STATE	20 885	2 803	13.4	601	21.4	745	26.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 099	2 832	9.7	2 755	97.3	23	0.8
COUNTIES	6 044	1 459	24.1	426	29.2	571	39.2
MUNICIPALITIES	23 831	5 040	21.1	4 528	89.8	218	4.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	80 636	11 774	14.6	6 450	54.8	1 474	12.5
STATE	55 058	5 478	9.9	1 892	34.5	493	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 578	6 297	24.6	4 558	72.4	980	15.6
COUNTIES	15 189	3 272	21.5	1 699	51.9	892	27.3
MUNICIPALITIES	10 390	3 024	29.1	2 859	94.5	89	2.9

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defence		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	495	7.5	76	1.2	1 245	18.9	32	0.5
STATE	76	3.2	-	-	906	38.1	26	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	419	10.0	76	1.8	338	8.1	6	0.1
COUNTIES	294	18.7	76	4.8	287	18.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	124	4.7	-	-	52	2.0	6	0.2
NEVADA	627	9.4	129	1.9	1 743	26.2	51	0.8
STATE	130	7.7	16	1.0	967	57.5	18	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	498	10.0	113	2.3	776	15.6	33	0.7
COUNTIES	380	10.8	113	3.2	690	19.6	33	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	118	8.1	-	-	85	5.9	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	147	4.1	-	-	795	22.3	46	1.3
STATE	82	6.1	-	-	592	44.3	40	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	65	2.9	-	-	203	9.1	6	0.3
COUNTIES	35	8.2	-	-	164	38.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	30	1.7	-	-	39	2.2	6	0.3
NEW JERSEY	4 491	7.6	869	1.5	11 357	19.1	260	0.4
STATE	1 112	8.3	854	6.4	5 046	37.7	80	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 380	7.3	15	(2)	6 311	13.7	180	0.4
COUNTIES	2 873	18.4	2	(2)	6 297	40.4	116	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	507	1.7	13	(2)	14	(2)	65	0.2
NEW MEXICO	582	9.4	92	1.5	1 114	17.9	70	1.1
STATE	493	15.9	88	2.8	827	26.6	25	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	80	2.9	4	0.1	287	9.2	45	1.5
COUNTIES	6	0.9	-	-	109	15.3	39	5.4
MUNICIPALITIES	83	3.5	4	0.2	178	7.4	6	0.3
NEW YORK	11 502	6.5	264	0.1	35 101	19.9	1 232	0.7
STATE	3 234	6.3	13	(2)	20 196	39.5	952	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 268	6.6	250	0.2	14 906	11.9	280	0.2
COUNTIES	2 728	10.1	250	0.9	6 779	25.0	158	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	5 540	5.6	-	-	8 127	8.3	122	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA	1 519	6.2	91	0.4	7 938	32.1	196	0.8
STATE	1 327	9.6	87	0.6	7 173	52.1	111	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	192	1.8	4	(2)	765	7.0	85	0.8
COUNTIES	78	2.1	4	0.1	762	20.4	81	2.2
MUNICIPALITIES	114	1.6	-	-	3	(2)	5	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	190	8.4	-	-	394	17.4	19	0.8
STATE	65	8.6	-	-	301	40.1	19	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	125	8.3	-	-	93	6.1	-	-
COUNTIES	108	16.3	-	-	84	12.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	2.0	-	-	8	1.0	-	-
OHIO	3 291	6.0	299	0.5	13 440	24.4	736	1.3
STATE	941	6.3	61	0.4	8 763	58.3	182	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 349	5.9	238	0.6	4 677	11.7	554	1.4
COUNTIES	1 390	9.7	203	1.4	3 892	27.0	368	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	959	3.7	35	0.1	785	3.1	186	0.7
OKLAHOMA	853	7.4	44	0.4	2 353	20.3	46	0.4
STATE	643	12.6	-	-	1 853	36.4	43	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	210	3.2	44	0.7	500	7.7	3	(2)
COUNTIES	35	2.4	41	2.8	386	26.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	175	3.5	3	0.1	114	2.3	3	0.1
OREGON	1 522	9.9	65	0.4	3 728	24.2	71	0.5
STATE	678	12.1	23	0.4	2 356	42.1	48	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	844	8.6	42	0.4	1 372	14.0	23	0.2
COUNTIES	711	13.1	42	0.8	1 362	25.1	15	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	133	3.0	-	-	10	0.2	8	0.2
PENNSYLVANIA	2 837	4.3	498	0.8				

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	18 762	2 474	13.2	1 331	53.8	398	16.1
STATE	12 822	1 278	10.0	349	27.3	396	31.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 940	1 195	20.1	982	82.1	2	0.2
COUNTIES	1 955	428	21.9	241	56.4	2	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	3 985	768	19.3	740	96.4	-	-
TENNESSEE	173 146	18 619	10.8	10 212	54.8	2 852	15.3
STATE	48 323	6 083	12.6	1 569	25.8	771	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 822	12 537	10.0	8 643	68.9	2 082	16.6
COUNTIES	46 876	4 177	8.9	1 536	36.8	1 545	37.0
MUNICIPALITIES	77 946	8 360	10.7	7 107	85.0	537	6.4
TEXAS	310 023	58 867	19.0	35 472	60.3	8 692	14.8
STATE	161 659	12 713	7.9	5 208	41.0	1 383	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	148 364	46 154	31.1	30 264	65.6	7 309	15.8
COUNTIES	30 284	17 541	57.9	3 981	22.7	6 217	35.4
MUNICIPALITIES	118 080	28 613	24.2	26 283	91.9	1 092	3.8
UTAH	41 857	6 551	15.7	3 999	61.0	728	11.1
STATE	28 389	2 512	8.8	957	38.1	358	14.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 468	4 040	30.0	3 042	75.3	370	9.2
COUNTIES	6 182	1 618	26.2	861	53.2	225	13.9
MUNICIPALITIES	7 286	2 422	33.2	2 182	90.1	145	6.0
VERMONT	14 090	2 097	14.9	1 118	53.3	264	12.6
STATE	10 976	1 467	13.5	537	36.1	242	16.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 114	610	19.6	581	95.2	22	3.6
COUNTIES	3 37	35	94.6	11	30.0	22	62.2
MUNICIPALITIES	3 077	575	18.7	570	99.2	-	-
VIRGINIA	270 047	26 496	9.8	13 060	49.3	2 970	11.2
STATE	97 375	11 496	11.8	2 721	23.7	2 026	17.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	172 671	15 001	8.7	10 339	68.9	944	6.3
COUNTIES	100 138	6 731	6.7	4 429	65.8	536	8.0
MUNICIPALITIES	72 533	8 270	11.4	5 910	71.5	408	4.9
WASHINGTON	124 093	22 173	17.9	11 888	53.6	2 528	11.4
STATE	74 729	7 236	9.7	2 491	34.4	529	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 363	14 937	30.3	9 397	62.9	1 999	13.4
COUNTIES	15 262	7 551	49.5	2 884	38.2	1 665	22.0
MUNICIPALITIES	34 102	7 387	21.7	6 513	88.2	335	4.5
WEST VIRGINIA	48 738	5 837	12.0	3 293	56.4	1 028	17.6
STATE	36 084	2 800	7.8	1 120	40.0	702	25.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 654	3 037	24.0	2 172	71.5	326	10.7
COUNTIES	4 378	1 411	32.2	607	43.1	293	20.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 276	1 626	19.6	1 565	96.2	33	2.0
WISCONSIN	170 902	24 851	14.5	14 636	58.9	2 747	11.1
STATE	67 007	7 197	10.7	1 218	16.9	1 049	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	103 895	17 654	17.0	13 418	76.0	1 698	9.6
COUNTIES	35 823	6 804	19.0	3 018	44.3	1 604	23.6
MUNICIPALITIES	68 072	10 849	15.9	10 400	95.9	94	0.9
WYOMING	15 772	2 336	14.8	1 414	60.5	277	11.8
STATE	9 840	864	8.8	324	37.5	125	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 932	1 473	24.8	1 091	74.1	151	10.3
COUNTIES	3 086	658	21.3	346	52.6	118	17.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 846	815	28.6	745	91.4	34	4.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
³ Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
⁴ The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total October payroll is based on data for general governments only and does not include data for state-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	254	10.3	9	0.4	467	18.9	15	0.6
STATE	124	9.7	-	-	395	30.9	15	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	130	10.9	9	0.7	73	6.1	-	-
COUNTIES	106	24.7	9	2.1	70	16.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	24	3.1	-	-	3	0.4	-	-
TENNESSEE	959	5.2	147	0.8	4 386	23.6	64	0.3
STATE	735	12.1	48	0.8	2 925	48.1	36	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	224	1.8	99	0.8	1 461	11.7	28	0.2
COUNTIES	85	2.0	61	1.5	935	22.4	16	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	140	1.7	38	0.5	526	6.3	12	0.1
TEXAS	4 031	6.8	18	(Z)	10 140	17.2	514	0.9
STATE	851	6.7	-	-	5 088	40.0	183	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 180	6.9	18	(Z)	5 052	10.9	331	0.7
COUNTIES	2 429	13.8	18	0.1	4 591	26.2	304	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	751	2.6	-	-	461	1.6	26	0.1
UTAH	527	8.0	4	0.1	1 270	19.4	23	0.3
STATE	155	6.2	-	-	1 021	40.7	20	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	372	9.2	4	0.1	249	6.2	2	0.1
COUNTIES	280	17.3	4	0.2	246	15.2	2	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	92	3.8	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
VERMONT	139	6.6	62	3.0	485	23.1	29	1.4
STATE	133	9.0	62	4.2	483	32.5	29	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	6	1.0	-	-	2	0.3	-	-
COUNTIES	1	3.2	-	-	2	4.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	1 036	3.9	28	0.1	8 853	33.4	550	2.1
STATE	149	1.3	27	0.2	6 473	56.3	101	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	887	5.9	2	(Z)	2 380	15.9	449	3.0
COUNTIES	408	6.1	-	-	975	14.5	383	5.7
MUNICIPALITIES	480	5.8	2	(Z)	1 405	17.0	66	0.8
WASHINGTON	1 705	7.7	93	0.4	5 828	26.3	131	0.6
STATE	515	7.1	-	-	3 660	50.6	41	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 190	8.0	93	0.6	2 168	14.5	90	0.6
COUNTIES	819	10.8	92	1.2	2 058	27.3	33	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	372	5.0	1	(Z)	110	1.5	57	0.8
WEST VIRGINIA	521	8.9	-	-	965	16.5	30	0.5
STATE	206	7.3	-	-	742	26.5	30	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	315	10.4	-	-	223	7.4	-	-
COUNTIES	288	20.4	-	-	223	15.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28	1.7	-	-	1	(Z)	-	-
WISCONSIN	1 789	7.2	132	0.5	5 409	21.8	139	0.6
STATE	645	9.0	109	1.5	4 102	57.0	74	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 144	6.5	23	0.1	1 306	7.4	65	0.4
COUNTIES	814	12.0	17	0.3	1 286	18.9	65	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	330	3.0	6	0.1	20	0.2	-	-
WYOMING	182	7.8	6	0.3	443	19.0	14	0.6
STATE	39	4.5	-	-	362	42.0	14	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	144	9.8	6	0.4	81	5.5	-	-
COUNTIES	117	17.9	6	0.9	71	10.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	26	3.2	-	-	10	1.2	-	-

Table 11. Total criminal justice system expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	7 544 251	6 688 192	6 205 501	482 692	193 172	277 966	11 553	856 059
ALABAMA	100 385	95 770	94 069	1 701	1 066	635	-	4 615
ALASKA	70 839	69 843	67 802	2 041	1 275	766	-	996
ARIZONA	88 432	80 943	68 777	12 166	4 035	8 131	-	7 489
ARKANSAS	49 219	43 895	38 930	4 965	2 515	2 443	7	5 324
CALIFORNIA	778 622	683 115	653 543	29 572	19 087	10 005	480	95 507
COLORADO	120 132	114 158	109 574	4 584	3 990	-	594	5 974
CONNECTICUT	128 679	124 513	118 852	5 661	2 628	3 033	-	4 166
DELAWARE	44 195	42 410	39 417	2 993	950	2 043	-	1 785
FLORIDA	330 228	315 720	281 571	34 149	6 376	27 773	-	14 508
GEORGIA	169 977	155 640	133 920	21 720	7 179	14 332	209	14 337
HAWAII	46 818	45 046	34 876	10 170	-	10 170	-	1 772
IDAHO	28 102	26 911	25 670	1 241	1 121	120	-	1 191
ILLINOIS	299 563	273 014	255 338	17 676	6 430	10 747	499	26 549
INDIANA	122 728	113 260	97 319	15 941	3 065	12 156	720	9 468
IOWA	79 868	67 356	64 264	3 092	1 875	1 212	5	12 512
KANSAS	76 253	68 848	59 494	9 354	3 441	5 913	-	7 405
KENTUCKY	143 733	116 020	106 286	9 734	4 540	4 658	536	27 713
LOUISIANA	134 083	124 031	120 705	3 326	3 326	-	-	10 052
MAINE	32 705	30 823	29 019	1 804	1 509	295	-	1 882
MARYLAND	279 554	217 002	200 394	16 608	3 717	12 800	91	62 552
MASSACHUSETTS	175 941	157 301	154 368	2 933	487	2 417	29	18 640
MICHIGAN	333 988	277 636	24 551	30 085	15 574	9 435	5 076	56 352
MINNESOTA	99 426	81 056	72 250	2 806	2 770	36	-	18 370
MISSISSIPPI	52 162	49 029	45 784	3 245	2 917	328	-	3 133
MISSOURI	109 531	97 543	90 264	7 279	4 548	1 920	811	11 988
MONTANA	23 041	21 408	20 631	778	778	-	-	1 633
NEBRASKA	49 805	45 826	39 071	6 755	6 750	5	-	3 979
NEVADA	39 881	36 564	26 166	10 400	1 174	9 226	-	3 317
NEW HAMPSHIRE	21 855	21 387	19 480	1 907	913	994	-	468
NEW JERSEY	223 554	199 977	191 840	8 137	2 815	5 322	-	23 577
NEW MEXICO	55 316	53 394	47 818	5 576	5 523	28	25	1 922
NEW YORK	922 759	808 646	757 523	51 123	7 110	43 994	19	114 113
NORTH CAROLINA	229 931	213 688	205 381	8 307	5 920	2 367	-	16 243
NORTH DAKOTA	13 749	12 942	12 410	532	216	52	264	807
OHIO	279 678	237 886	233 625	4 261	3 605	656	-	41 792
OKLAHOMA	111 813	107 816	82 922	24 894	4 452	20 442	-	3 997
OREGON	107 903	98 334	91 889	6 445	5 454	991	-	9 569
PENNSYLVANIA	378 084	304 224	293 572	10 652	2 746	7 906	-	73 860
RHODE ISLAND	52 399	49 796	41 091	8 705	1 793	6 912	-	2 603
SOUTH CAROLINA	89 290	84 155	76 919	7 236	5 052	1 881	303	5 135
SOUTH DAKOTA	21 104	19 876	18 994	882	882	-	-	1 228
TENNESSEE	121 233	107 580	94 891	12 689	6 728	5 857	104	13 653
TEXAS	279 569	240 667	224 191	16 476	7 017	7 869	1 590	38 902
UTAH	52 542	47 384	43 971	3 453	1 109	2 344	-	5 158
VERMONT	25 180	24 623	23 549	1 074	854	220	-	557
VIRGINIA	234 656	186 272	175 460	10 812	9 237	1 575	-	48 384
WASHINGTON	122 356	113 922	105 048	8 874	3 489	5 385	-	8 434
WEST VIRGINIA	49 927	48 328	44 399	3 929	3 149	687	93	1 599
WISCONSIN	117 449	107 253	105 084	2 169	1 501	668	-	10 196
WYOMING	26 014	25 361	13 582	11 779	482	11 199	98	653

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Population ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of SMSA total ³	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	62 767 645	6 632 559	(X)	4 397 547	(X)	673 254	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	2 144 913	150 865	100.0	110 776	100.0	13 324	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	356 374	14 875	9.9	11 644	10.5	1 235	9.3
BALTIMORE CITY.	804 304	91 978	61.0	68 434	61.8	6 506	48.8
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	641 976	29 983	19.9	24 447	22.1	1 954	14.7
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	88 409	4 428	2.9	-	-	2 612	19.6
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	143 664	4 689	3.1	2 369	2.1	763	5.7
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	110 186	4 912	3.3	3 882	3.5	254	1.9
BOSTON SEA.	3 336 669	289 355	100.0	186 828	100.0	58 380	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	624 862	38 045	13.1	25 030	13.4	6 340	10.9
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	1 386 584	104 820	36.2	64 346	34.4	27 017	46.3
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	619 504	43 856	15.2	32 151	17.2	5 565	9.5
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	705 719	102 634	35.5	65 301	35.0	19 458	33.3
CHICAGO SMSA.	7 017 911	725 339	100.0	500 721	100.0	61 875	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	5 309 763	634 616	87.5	435 515	87.0	53 937	87.2
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	580 52	31 617	4.4	21 161	4.2	3 575	5.8
KANE COUNTY AREA.	271 399	16 573	2.3	12 849	2.6	1 168	1.9
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	416 208	22 921	3.2	16 263	3.2	1 433	2.3
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	133 788	6 285	0.9	4 765	1.0	377	0.6
WILL COUNTY AREA.	306 491	13 327	1.8	10 168	2.0	1 385	2.2
CLEVELAND SMSA.	1 946 831	172 391	100.0	104 036	100.0	29 693	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	1 559 471	154 802	89.8	93 309	89.7	26 412	89.0
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	70 084	1 181	0.7	426	0.4	485	1.6
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	210 670	11 276	6.5	6 843	6.6	1 805	6.1
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	106 606	5 126	3.0	3 458	3.3	991	3.3
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	2 659 550	168 288	100.0	116 871	100.0	21 167	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	103 351	4 186	2.5	2 434	2.1	422	2.0
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	1 458 358	109 794	65.2	78 425	67.1	13 446	63.5
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	97 831	3 314	2.0	1 909	1.6	564	2.7
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	53 039	1 471	0.9	1 077	0.9	197	0.9
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	11 483	196	0.1	97	0.1	65	0.3
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	58 804	1 449	0.9	963	0.8	277	1.3
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	35 496	868	0.5	550	0.5	199	0.9
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	2 016	35 652	1.2	691	0.6	541	2.6
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	9 963	696	0.4	370	0.3	245	1.2
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	773 414	43 235	26.0	30 061	25.7	5 149	24.3
WISE COUNTY AREA.	22 159	462	0.3	294	0.3	62	0.3
DETROIT SMSA.	4 412 387	440 114	100.0	286 600	100.0	63 750	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	64 931	2 546	0.6	1 736	0.6	496	0.8
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	86 851	4 436	1.0	1 950	0.7	1 659	2.6
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	687 316	43 056	9.8	27 749	9.7	6 949	10.9
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	989 807	82 490	18.7	49 862	17.4	12 498	19.6
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	132 209	6 221	1.4	3 671	1.3	949	1.5
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	2 451 273	301 365	68.5	201 632	70.4	41 199	64.6
HOUSTON SMSA.	2 502 772	153 740	100.0	91 711	100.0	24 834	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	136 120	5 865	3.8	3 165	3.5	1 464	5.9
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	88 872	3 194	2.1	2 558	2.8	327	1.3
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	2 123 161	140 399	91.3	83 758	91.3	21 901	88.2
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	40 689	1 523	1.0	828	0.9	377	1.5
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	98 149	2 110	1.4	1 200	1.3	450	1.8
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	15 781	649	0.4	202	0.2	315	1.3
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	7 028 518	832 052	100.0	506 388	100.0	96 356	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	7 028 518	832 052	100.0	506 388	100.0	96 356	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	2 048 889	152 864	100.0	78 615	100.0	19 324	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	194 573	9 578	6.3	5 217	7.0	1 236	6.4
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	1 467	1 874	1.2	1 026	1.3	339	1.8
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	24 091	845	0.6	636	0.8	109	0.6
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	184 102	7 754	5.1	5 613	7.1	968	5.0
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	909 619	75 009	49.1	38 235	48.6	9 515	49.2
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	454 078	46 966	30.7	21 282	27.1	5 302	27.4
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	40 005	2 584	1.7	1 521	1.9	509	2.6
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	41 754	2 790	1.8	1 346	1.7	474	2.5
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	111 833	3 809	2.5	2 373	3.0	625	3.2
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	54 367	1 615	1.1	1 066	1.4	247	1.3
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	2 694 993	291 935	100.0	210 900	100.0	20 071	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	1 399 725	177 847	60.9	128 085	60.7	11 801	58.8
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	1 295 268	114 088	39.1	82 815	39.3	8 270	41.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	400 295	(X)	107 133	(X)	951 653	(X)	102 677	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	10 087	100.0	23	100.0	16 011	100.0	644	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	1 065	10.6	-	-	911	5.7	20	3.1
BALTIMORE CITY.	5 362	53.2	-	-	11 052	69.0	624	96.9
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	1 747	17.3	-	-	1 835	11.5	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	844	8.4	-	-	972	6.1	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	601	6.0	23	100.0	933	5.8	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	468	4.6	-	-	306	1.9	-	-
BOSTON SEA.	8 766	100.0	2 038	100.0	29 324	100.0	4 019	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	737	8.4	211	10.4	5 376	18.3	351	8.7
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	2 499	28.5	799	39.2	9 229	31.5	930	23.1
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	1 988	22.7	150	7.4	3 880	13.2	122	3.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	3 542	40.4	878	43.1	10 839	37.0	2 616	65.1
CHICAGO SMSA.	29 314	100.0	9 567	100.0	73 233	100.0	50 629	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	22 825	77.9	8 274	86.5	63 617	86.9	50 448	99.6
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	2 931	10.0	649	6.8	3 258	4.4	43	0.1
KANE COUNTY AREA.	742	2.5	143	1.5	1 647	2.2	24	(Z)
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	1 497	5.1	171	1.8	3 488	4.8	69	0.1
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	479	1.6	84	0.9	580	0.8	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	840	2.9	246	2.6	643	0.9	45	0.1
CLEVELAND SMSA.	6 637	100.0	2 799	100.0	18 654	100.0	10 572	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	5 394	81.3	2 644	94.5	16 585	88.9	10 458	98.9
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	196	3.0	-	-	80	0.4	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	755	11.4	155	5.5	1 640	8.8	78	0.7
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	292	4.4	-	-	349	1.9	36	0.3
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	10 449	100.0	1 784	100.0	16 352	100.0	1 665	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	219	2.1	18	1.0	1 093	6.7	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	5 713	54.7	1 331	74.6	10 550	64.5	329	19.8
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	342	3.3	92	5.2	407	2.5	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	57	0.5	8	0.4	132	0.8	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	20	0.2	5	0.3	9	0.1	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	102	1.0	-	-	107	0.7	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	45	0.4	9	0.5	65	0.4	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	371	3.6	23	1.3	390	2.4	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	30	0.3	3	0.2	48	0.3	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	3 478	33.3	293	16.4	3 519	21.5	1 336	80.2
WISE COUNTY AREA.	72	0.7	2	0.1	32	0.2	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	18 307	100.0	7 755	100.0	62 573	100.0	1 129	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	231	1.3	48	0.6	35	0.1	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	298	1.6	187	2.4	342	0.5	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	2 696	14.7	70	0.9	5 592	8.9	-	-
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	4 461	24.4	1 305	16.8	13 856	22.1	508	45.0
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	560	3.1	128	1.7	913	1.5	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	10 061	55.0	6 017	77.6	41 835	66.9	621	55.0
HOUSTON SMSA.	8 493	100.0	2 156	100.0	19 496	100.0	7 050	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	408	4.8	71	3.3	757	3.9	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	99	1.2	-	-	181	0.9	29	0.4
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	7 537	88.7	2 062	96.6	18 120	92.9	7 021	99.6
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	158	1.9	-	-	160	0.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	180	2.1	20	0.9	260	1.3	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	111	1.3	3	0.1	18	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	72 948	100.0	25 049	100.0	128 783	100.0	2 528	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	72 948	100.0	25 049	100.0	128 783	100.0	2 528	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	13 619	100.0	3 102	100.0	36 434	100.0	1 770	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	980	7.2	224	7.2	1 621	4.4	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	127	0.9	162	5.2	220	0.6	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	60	0.4	-	-	20	0.1	20	1.1
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	537	3.9	115	3.7				

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Population ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of SMSA total ³	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	1 964 781	196 385	100.0	117 498	100.0	27 884	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	852 345	106 729	54.3	61 373	52.2	16 382	58.8
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	396 513	26 160	13.3	18 443	15.7	2 560	9.2
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	203 650	15 380	7.8	8 271	7.0	2 093	7.5
UNION COUNTY AREA	512 273	48 116	24.5	29 411	25.0	6 849	24.6
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	9 375 318	1 551 350	100.0	1 236 620	100.0	27 097	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	874 329	65 615	4.2	45 875	3.7	7 845	29.0
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	7 297 787	1 380 432	89.0	1 122 016	90.7	12 485	46.1
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	71 591	2 428	0.2	694	0.1	529	2.0
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	257 543	18 625	1.2	11 164	0.9	1 483	5.5
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	874 068	84 250	5.4	56 871	4.6	4 755	17.5
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	4 792 012	501 173	100.0	286 638	100.0	81 429	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	469 738	25 609	5.1	15 243	5.3	4 232	5.2
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	361 243	21 106	4.2	10 184	3.6	3 730	4.6
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	471 553	55 094	11.0	26 561	9.3	8 005	9.8
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	303 512	18 952	3.8	6 005	2.1	3 988	4.9
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	582 060	34 424	6.9	17 122	6.0	6 405	7.9
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	196 370	11 858	2.4	6 357	2.2	1 518	1.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	629 191	40 986	8.2	22 602	7.9	6 712	8.2
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	1 778 345	293 144	58.5	182 564	63.7	46 839	57.5
PITTSBURGH SMSA	2 298 722	108 969	100.0	71 814	100.0	15 289	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	1 493 272	85 880	78.8	59 530	82.9	10 021	65.5
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	208 705	9 015	8.3	4 661	6.5	2 252	14.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	214 031	4 967	4.6	3 017	4.2	920	6.0
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	382 714	9 107	8.4	4 606	6.4	2 096	13.7
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	2 386 522	159 445	100.0	115 219	100.0	18 402	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	30 666	255	0.2	84	0.1	66	0.4
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	65 657	2 393	1.5	1 785	1.5	350	1.9
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	125 932	2 277	1.4	1 157	1.0	643	3.5
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	246 366	9 990	6.3	6 357	5.5	1 507	8.2
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	18 848	234	0.1	160	0.1	27	0.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	122 897	12 042	7.6	10 336	9.0	803	4.4
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	280 531	11 838	7.4	7 440	6.5	1 223	6.6
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	517 671	63 780	40.0	45 152	39.2	6 605	35.9
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	122 897	56 636	35.5	42 748	37.1	7 178	39.0
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	3 178 396	378 325	100.0	178 914	100.0	54 232	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	1 099 186	137 350	36.3	62 682	35.0	21 652	39.9
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	612 866	65 586	17.3	28 720	16.1	6 714	12.4
MARIN COUNTY AREA	223 548	21 214	5.6	10 655	6.0	3 117	5.7
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	655 072	93 942	24.8	50 555	28.3	14 653	27.0
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	587 724	60 233	15.9	26 302	14.7	8 096	14.9
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	2 978 461	359 969	100.0	197 398	100.0	40 147	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	104 050	8 472	2.4	5 480	2.8	1 354	3.4
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	152 269	11 001	3.1	7 678	3.9	799	2.0
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	64 922	2 180	0.6	1 777	0.9	106	0.3
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	20 966	1 258	0.4	1 087	0.6	38	0.1
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	533 954	31 702	9.1	18 769	9.5	3 102	7.7
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	9 377	961	0.3	849	0.4	23	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	54 268	1 798	0.5	1 257	0.6	148	0.4
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	571 868	40 720	11.6	27 796	14.1	5 831	14.5
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	671 984	38 840	11.1	29 203	14.8	4 012	10.0
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	109 906	7 182	2.1	4 607	2.3	1 045	2.6
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	684 891	206 665	60.0	98 895	50.1	23 689	59.0

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Estimated population of States as of July 1, 1977 is derived from Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 790, December 1978.

³Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	16 236	100.0	131	100.0	32 678	100.0	1 958	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	7 678	47.3	112	85.5	20 343	62.3	841	43.0
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	2 241	13.8	2	1.5	2 830	8.7	84	4.3
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	1 694	10.4	17	13.0	3 203	9.8	102	5.2
UNION COUNTY AREA	4 623	28.5	-	-	6 302	19.3	931	47.5
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	87 253	(X)	18 945	(X)	176 745	(X)	4 690	(X)
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	4 791	5.5	69	0.4	6 825	3.9	210	4.5
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	72 728	83.4	16 563	87.4	152 779	86.4	3 861	82.3
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	278	0.3	111	0.6	316	0.5	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	2 181	2.5	419	2.2	3 378	1.9	-	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	7 275	8.3	1 783	9.4	12 947	7.3	619	13.2
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	31 159	100.0	6 756	100.0	93 664	100.0	1 527	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 920	6.2	337	5.0	3 850	4.1	27	1.8
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	2 309	7.4	3	(Z)	4 740	5.1	140	9.2
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	5 685	18.2	6	0.1	14 437	15.4	400	26.2
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 444	7.8	713	10.6	5 802	6.2	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	3 129	10.0	670	9.9	7 015	7.5	3	5.4
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 320	4.2	14	0.2	2 558	2.7	91	6.0
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 752	8.8	686	10.2	8 194	8.7	40	2.6
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	11 600	37.2	4 327	64.0	47 068	50.3	746	48.9
PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 511	100.0	1 477	100.0	13 239	100.0	639	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	4 977	76.4	1 106	74.9	9 678	73.1	568	88.9
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	552	8.5	155	10.5	1 327	10.0	68	10.6
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	273	4.2	56	3.8	701	5.3	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	709	10.9	160	10.8	1 533	11.6	3	0.5
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	7 766	100.0	435	100.0	17 241	100.0	382	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	53	0.2	12	-	40	-	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	176	1.0	-	-	82	-	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	190	2.5	-	-	165	1.0	122	31.9
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	611	8.0	203	48.0	1 312	7.7	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	47	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	608	8.0	-	-	295	1.7	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	808	10.6	219	51.8	2 148	12.5	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 179	41.7	-	-	8 642	50.5	202	52.9
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	2 094	27.4	1	0.2	4 557	26.6	58	15.2
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	31 764	100.0	14 978	100.0	93 887	100.0	4 550	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	11 814	37.2	6 843	45.7	33 344	35.5	1 015	22.3
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	5 989	18.9	2 617	17.5	20 817	22.2	729	16.0
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 649	5.2	951	6.3	4 369	4.7	473	10.4
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	8 131	25.6	2 884	19.3	17 496	18.6	223	4.9
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	4 181	13.2	1 683	11.2	17 861	19.0	2 110	46.4
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	14 317	100.0	6 004	100.0	85 652	100.0	7 261	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	510	3.6	59	1.0	1 021	1.2	48	0.7
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	445	3.1	-	-	1 596	1.9	483	6.9
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	178	1.2	-	-	119	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	102	0.7	6	0.1	25	(Z)	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 054	7.4	131	2.2	4 097	4.8	4 549	65.1
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	54	0.4	-	-	35	(Z)	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	116	0.8	-	-	-	-	277	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 901	13.3	-	-	5 027	5.9	165	2.4
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	2 504	17.5	38	0.6	2 859	3.3	224	3.2
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	460	3.2	-	-	1 038	1.2	32	0.5
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	6 993	48.8	5 770	96.1	69 835	81.5	1 483	21.2

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
1	TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	305 347	291 647	295 822	(X)	202 614	195 271	196 748	(X)	36 892	33 279	34 952	(X)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	8 362	8 282	8 301	100.0	6 164	6 143	6 147	100.0	786	754	760	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	827	807	813	9.8	633	618	621	10.1	96	94	94	12.4
4	BALTIMORE CITY	4 951	4 951	4 951	59.6	3 709	3 709	3 709	60.3	407	407	407	53.6
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 799	1 780	1 786	21.5	1 462	1 462	1 462	23.8	117	111	113	14.9
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	255	232	232	2.8	-	-	-	-	116	99	99	13.0
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	267	255	260	3.1	151	146	147	2.4	41	34	38	5.0
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	263	257	259	3.1	209	208	208	3.4	9	9	9	1.2
9	BOSTON SEA	13 754	13 119	13 286	100.0	9 125	8 711	8 786	100.0	2 498	2 400	2 437	100.0
10	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	2 077	1 819	1 884	14.2	1 472	1 317	1 347	15.3	250	213	224	9.2
11	MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	4 764	4 484	4 557	34.3	3 238	3 049	3 077	35.0	872	819	842	34.6
12	NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	2 143	2 052	2 080	15.7	1 507	1 440	1 456	16.6	284	277	280	11.5
13	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	4 770	4 764	4 765	35.9	2 908	2 905	2 906	33.1	1 092	1 091	1 091	44.8
14	CHICAGO SMSA	35 892	33 481	34 111	100.0	25 707	24 231	24 536	100.0	4 914	4 157	4 404	100.0
15	COOK COUNTY AREA	30 432	28 765	29 219	85.7	21 856	20 987	21 171	86.3	4 265	3 570	3 792	86.1
16	DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	1 787	1 557	1 628	4.8	1 134	993	1 028	4.2	290	246	264	6.0
17	KANE COUNTY AREA	952	853	877	2.6	697	618	634	2.6	71	65	67	1.5
18	LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 233	1 167	1 180	3.5	877	830	837	3.4	126	122	123	2.8
19	MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	522	342	366	1.1	436	260	283	1.2	38	34	35	0.8
20	WILL COUNTY AREA	966	797	841	2.5	707	543	583	2.4	124	120	123	2.8
21	CLEVELAND SMSA	9 403	8 193	8 555	100.0	5 734	5 014	5 102	100.0	1 829	1 558	1 718	100.0
22	CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	7 525	6 949	7 211	84.3	4 417	4 192	4 228	82.9	1 491	1 297	1 423	82.8
23	GAUGA COUNTY AREA	270	186	206	2.4	132	84	92	1.8	110	74	86	5.0
24	LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 089	721	792	9.3	802	524	565	11.1	143	107	128	7.5
25	MEDINA COUNTY AREA	519	337	346	4.0	383	214	217	4.3	85	80	81	4.7
26	DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	9 835	9 579	9 633	100.0	6 469	6 366	6 379	100.0	1 668	1 590	1 605	100.0
27	COLLIN COUNTY AREA	326	307	308	3.2	265	250	250	3.9	38	34	35	2.2
28	DALLAS COUNTY AREA	6 000	5 897	5 924	61.5	3 904	3 863	3 869	60.7	1 060	1 032	1 037	64.6
29	DENTON COUNTY AREA	263	242	249	2.6	139	138	138	2.2	56	48	51	3.2
30	ELLIS COUNTY AREA	147	133	138	1.4	99	95	96	1.5	28	23	25	1.6
31	HOOD COUNTY AREA	38	34	35	0.4	19	19	19	0.3	12	11	11	0.7
32	JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	117	110	112	1.2	76	76	76	1.2	20	21	21	1.3
33	KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	108	77	80	0.8	67	54	54	0.8	26	12	13	0.8
34	PARKER COUNTY AREA	184	184	184	1.9	63	63	63	1.0	54	54	54	3.4
35	ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	64	56	56	0.6	28	28	28	0.4	20	20	20	1.2
36	TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 551	2 502	2 510	26.1	1 788	1 761	1 765	27.7	341	329	331	20.6
37	WISE COUNTY AREA	37	37	37	0.4	21	21	21	0.3	7	7	7	0.4
38	DETROIT SMSA	18 420	17 705	18 051	100.0	12 244	12 075	12 124	100.0	3 104	2 733	2 967	100.0
39	LAPPEER COUNTY AREA	173	168	169	0.9	118	115	115	0.9	41	39	40	1.3
40	LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	290	194	218	1.2	140	137	137	1.1	104	11	35	1.2
41	MACOMB COUNTY AREA	1 978	1 916	1 953	10.8	1 129	1 124	1 124	9.3	400	370	396	13.3
42	OKLAND COUNTY AREA	3 372	3 180	3 257	18.0	2 009	1 976	1 983	16.4	611	527	580	19.5
43	ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	355	307	319	1.8	207	190	192	1.6	67	54	59	2.0
44	WAYNE COUNTY AREA	12 252	11 940	12 135	67.2	8 641	8 533	8 573	70.7	1 881	1 732	1 857	62.6
45	HOUSTON SMSA	8 470	7 937	8 115	100.0	5 087	4 906	4 934	100.0	1 621	1 465	1 585	100.0
46	BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	408	367	386	4.8	224	219	219	4.5	94	85	92	5.8
47	FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	117	105	107	1.3	106	103	104	2.1	10	2	2	0.1
48	HARRIS COUNTY AREA	7 710	7 254	7 401	91.2	4 612	4 448	4 471	90.6	1 456	1 322	1 431	90.3
49	LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	101	96	97	1.2	56	51	52	1.1	31	31	31	2.0
50	MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	82	76	80	1.0	72	72	72	1.5	6	6	6	0.4
51	WALLER COUNTY AREA	52	39	44	0.5	17	13	15	0.3	24	21	23	1.5
52	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	35 775	34 661	35 177	100.0	20 909	20 601	20 678	100.0	4 683	4 159	4 506	100.0
53	LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	35 775	34 661	35 177	100.0	20 909	20 601	20 678	100.0	4 683	4 159	4 506	100.0
54	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	7 449	6 480	6 625	100.0	4 447	3 670	3 845	100.0	933	902	913	100.0
55	ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA	505	474	478	7.2	299	285	286	7.4	66	63	64	7.0
56	CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA	138	121	124	1.9	76	60	63	1.6	26	25	25	2.7
57	CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA	51	39	42	0.6	42	33	33	0.9	5	3	5	0.5
58	DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA	448	389	396	5.9	349	307	308	8.0	45	37	40	4.4
59	HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA	3 325	3 200	3 235	48.4	1 831	1 751	1 779	46.3	442	438	439	48.1
60	RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA	1 795	1 750	1 764	26.4	939	922	927	24.1	260	251	254	27.8
61	WI. AREA	464	104	218	3.3	415	55	169	4.4	22	22	22	2.4
62	SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA	385	128	138	2.1	260	82	91	2.4	21	18	19	2.1
63	WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA	252	200	212	3.2	176	125	136	3.5	33	33	33	3.6
64	WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA	86	75	78	1.2	60	50	53	1.4	12	12	12	1.3
65	NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	11 572	11 305	11 364	100.0	8 644	8 525	8 544	100.0	282	185	202	100.0
66	NASSAU COUNTY AREA	6 715	6 600	6 631	58.4	4 981	4 933	4 939	57.8	182	151	163	80.7
67	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	4 857	4 705	4 733	41.6	3 663	3 592	3 605	42.2	100	34	39	19.3
68	NEWARK SMSA	12 374	11 782	11 912	100.0	6 984	6 568	6 629	100.0	1 944	1 856	1 886	100.0
69	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	6 795	6 665	6 699	56.2	3 702	3 597	3 621	54.6	1 069	1 054	1 060	56.2
70	MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 709	1 501	1 542	12.9	1 113	956	974	14.7	225	223	233	12.4
71	SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	1 043	937	959	8.1	524	480	483	7.3	177	140	152	8.1
72	UNION COUNTY AREA	2 827	2 679	2 712	22.8	1 645	1 535	1 551	23.4	448	437	441	23.4

See footnotes at end of table.

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1978
in thousands)

Line number	Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
1	TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	19 296	18 115	18 600	(X)	2 129	2 044	2 073	(X)	43 115	41 658	42 162	(X)	1 301	1 280	1 287	(X)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	589	572	578	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	806	796	799	100.0	17	17	17	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	58	55	58	10.0	-	-	-	-	39	39	39	4.9	1	1	1	5.9
4	BALTIMORE CITY	297	297	297	51.4	-	-	-	-	522	522	522	65.3	16	16	16	94.1
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	112	107	109	18.9	-	-	-	-	108	100	102	12.8	-	-	-	-
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	64	58	58	10.0	-	-	-	-	75	75	75	9.4	-	-	-	-
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	33	33	33	5.7	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	5.3	-	-	-	-
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	25	22	23	4.0	-	-	-	-	20							

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
1	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . . .	59 482	57 982	58 432	100.0	47 239	46 292	46 503	100.0	1 124	767	929	100.0
2	BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . .	4 197	3 650	3 804	6.5	2 747	2 373	2 431	5.2	605	508	571	61.5
3	NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	50 255	49 779	49 914	85.4	41 124	40 651	40 785	87.7	141	141	141	15.2
4	PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . .	153	128	134	0.2	84	72	73	0.2	5	2	5	0.5
5	ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . .	1 163	861	938	1.6	608	570	575	1.2	232	35	104	11.2
6	WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	3 714	3 564	3 642	6.2	2 676	2 626	2 639	5.7	141	81	108	11.6
7	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA . . .	26 515	25 687	25 961	100.0	15 417	15 008	15 067	100.0	5 015	4 771	4 898	100.0
8	BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 467	1 378	1 399	5.4	875	808	816	5.4	298	283	292	6.0
9	BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 457	1 342	1 375	5.3	699	638	644	4.3	238	214	226	4.6
10	CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	3 049	2 890	2 944	11.3	1 470	1 374	1 387	9.2	517	493	504	10.3
11	CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 228	1 070	1 134	4.4	398	343	358	2.4	319	260	294	6.0
12	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 089	2 042	2 051	7.9	1 001	956	965	6.4	513	513	513	10.5
13	GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	930	885	900	3.5	433	422	422	2.8	171	153	158	3.2
14	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 199	1 984	2 062	7.9	1 241	1 167	1 175	7.8	511	407	463	9.5
15	PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	14 096	14 096	14 096	54.3	9 300	9 300	9 300	61.7	2 448	2 448	2 448	50.0
16	PITTSBURGH SMSA	7 032	6 517	6 688	100.0	4 442	4 067	4 163	100.0	1 290	1 265	1 274	100.0
17	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	5 207	5 100	5 141	76.9	3 389	3 333	3 340	80.2	883	883	883	69.3
18	BEAVER COUNTY AREA	723	507	591	8.8	405	233	301	7.2	166	155	158	12.4
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	403	338	371	5.5	257	214	232	5.6	78	71	74	5.8
20	WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	699	572	585	8.7	391	287	290	7.0	163	156	159	12.5
21	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	10 827	9 816	10 111	100.0	7 346	6 909	7 031	100.0	1 655	1 313	1 400	100.0
22	CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	167	124	129	1.3	149	109	114	1.6	14	11	11	0.8
23	FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	204	176	187	1.8	106	83	92	1.3	58	54	56	4.0
24	JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	660	593	615	6.1	386	369	370	5.3	133	107	113	8.1
25	MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	52	11	21	0.2	39	8	18	0.3	2	2	2	0.1
26	MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	1 098	1 002	1 052	10.4	925	923	923	13.1	126	49	87	6.2
27	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	759	726	744	7.4	457	453	453	6.4	106	95	99	7.1
28	ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	3 934	3 911	3 916	38.7	2 664	2 643	2 643	37.6	501	499	500	35.7
29	ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 953	3 273	3 447	34.1	2 620	2 321	2 414	34.3	715	496	532	38.0
30	ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	14 734	13 954	14 199	100.0	7 633	7 339	7 388	100.0	2 069	1 949	2 005	100.0
31	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	5 098	4 816	4 901	34.5	2 642	2 542	2 560	34.7	657	644	650	32.4
32	ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	2 564	2 358	2 425	17.1	1 260	1 162	1 178	15.9	305	278	292	14.6
33	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	872	759	788	5.5	423	389	391	5.3	144	119	130	6.5
34	MARIN COUNTY AREA	4 031	4 006	4 020	28.3	2 266	2 266	2 266	30.7	602	577	591	29.5
35	SAN FRANCISCO CITY	2 169	2 015	2 065	14.5	1 042	980	993	13.4	361	331	342	17.1
36	SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	15 451	15 167	15 241	100.0	9 023	8 846	8 892	100.0	1 477	1 455	1 463	100.0
37	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	419	417	418	2.7	279	277	278	3.1	55	55	55	3.8
38	ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	579	572	573	3.8	370	363	364	4.1	48	48	48	3.3
39	ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	113	112	112	0.7	90	90	90	1.0	4	4	4	0.2
40	CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	57	57	57	0.4	57	57	57	0.6	3	3	3	0.2
41	FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	1 521	1 469	1 478	9.7	905	905	905	10.2	129	123	124	8.5
42	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	45	41	44	0.3	37	36	36	0.4	3	3	3	0.2
43	FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	73	68	68	0.4	73	68	68	0.8	3	3	3	0.2
44	LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 413	1 384	1 398	9.2	1 016	1 011	1 013	11.4	135	129	131	9.0
45	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 715	1 689	1 696	11.1	1 195	1 169	1 176	13.2	225	225	225	15.4
46	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	490	372	394	2.6	364	246	268	3.0	64	64	64	4.4
47	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	9 026	8 986	9 003	59.1	4 637	4 624	4 637	52.1	814	808	810	55.4
48	WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY												

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 X Not applicable.
¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
²Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1978—Continued
 in thousands)

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
4	214	4 059	4 127	(X)	37	22	23	(X)	6 791	6 768	6 775	(X)	77	74	75	(X)
5	310	261	288	7.0	15	1	1	4.3	510	498	503	7.4	10	10	10	13.3
6	3 389	3 389	3 389	82.1	-	-	-	-	5 548	5 548	5 548	81.9	53	50	51	68.0
7	18	18	18	0.4	-	-	-	-	46	36	38	0.6	-	-	-	-
8	171	104	107	2.6	22	22	22	95.7	130	130	130	1.9	-	-	-	-
9	326	287	325	7.9	-	-	-	-	557	556	556	8.2	14	14	14	18.7
10	1 603	1 520	1 578	100.0	178	171	174	100.0	4 251	4 166	4 193	100.0	51	51	51	100.0
11	79	77	79	5.0	26	22	24	13.8	189	188	188	4.5	-	-	-	-
12	150	138	147	9.3	-	-	-	-	368	350	356	8.5	2	2	2	3.9
13	325	289	319	20.2	-	-	-	-	716	713	713	17.0	21	21	21	41.2
14	115	110	112	7.1	45	45	45	25.9	351	312	325	7.8	-	-	-	-
15	158	156	156	9.9	62	62	62	35.6	335	335	335	8.0	20	20	20	39.2
16	94	88	94	6.0	1	-	1	0.6	225	216	219	5.2	6	6	6	11.8
17	135	115	124	7.9	44	42	42	24.1	266	251	256	6.1	2	2	2	3.9
18	547	547	547	34.7	-	-	-	-	1 801	1 801	1 801	43.0	-	-	-	-
19	425	344	392	100.0	113	109	112	100.0	758	728	743	100.0	4	4	4	100.0
20	310	259	293	74.7	80	80	80	71.4	542	542	542	72.9	3	3	3	75.0
21	46	34	37	9.4	15	15	15	13.4	90	69	79	10.6	1	1	1	25.0
22	17	8	16	4.1	6	2	5	4.5	45	43	44	5.9	-	-	-	-
23	52	43	46	11.7	12	12	12	10.7	81	74	78	10.5	-	-	-	-
24	536	378	428	100.0	36	23	33	100.0	1 230	1 169	1 195	100.0	24	24	24	100.0
25	4	4	4	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	18	18	18	4.2	-	-	-	-	11	10	10	0.8	11	11	11	45.8
27	39	30	34	7.9	17	7	16	48.5	85	80	82	6.9	-	-	-	-
28	11	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	18	17	18	4.2	-	-	-	-	28	12	23	1.9	1	1	1	4.2
30	51	40	51	11.9	19	16	17	51.5	126	122	124	10.4	-	-	-	-
31	162	162	162	37.9	-	-	-	-	602	602	602	50.4	5	5	5	20.8
32	233	106	140	32.7	-	-	-	-	378	343	354	29.6	7	7	7	

CONTINUED

1 OF 5

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousand^s)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll ²	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	410 680	(X)	280 605	(X)	40 689	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	10 455	100.0	7 898	100.0	819	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	1 024	9.8	810	10.3	91	11.1
BALTIMORE CITY.	6 239	59.7	4 760	60.3	423	51.6
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	2 317	22.2	1 898	24.0	142	17.3
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	237	2.3	-	-	100	12.2
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	300	2.9	166	2.1	44	5.4
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	338	3.2	264	3.3	19	2.3
BOSTON SEA.	18 262	100.0	13 046	100.0	2 755	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	2 644	14.6	2 041	15.6	264	9.6
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	6 389	35.0	4 704	36.1	916	33.2
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	3 008	16.5	2 281	17.5	312	11.3
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	6 201	34.0	4 020	30.8	1 263	45.8
CHICAGO SMSA.	25 593	100.0	14 627	100.0	4 391	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	19 167	74.9	9 804	67.0	3 853	87.7
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	2 224	8.7	1 533	10.5	260	5.9
KANE COUNTY AREA.	1 151	4.5	915	6.3	51	1.2
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	1 514	5.9	1 173	8.0	103	2.3
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	430	1.7	361	2.5	22	0.5
WILL COUNTY AREA.	1 107	4.3	841	5.7	102	2.3
CLEVELAND SMSA.	10 571	100.0	6 860	100.0	1 805	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	9 254	87.5	5 954	86.8	1 581	87.6
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	138	1.3	63	0.9	48	2.7
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	818	7.7	594	8.7	110	6.1
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	361	3.4	249	3.6	66	3.7
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	12 402	100.0	8 673	100.0	1 770	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	256	2.1	193	2.2	33	1.9
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	8 536	68.8	6 007	69.3	1 244	70.3
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	241	1.9	142	1.6	42	2.4
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	109	0.9	79	0.9	19	1.1
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	20	0.2	12	0.1	6	0.3
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	95	0.8	69	0.8	14	0.8
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	64	0.5	45	0.5	10	0.6
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	134	1.1	49	0.6	33	1.9
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	37	0.3	18	0.2	16	0.9
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	2 884	23.3	2 044	23.6	348	19.7
WISE COUNTY AREA.	26	0.2	15	0.2	5	0.3
DETROIT SMSA.	29 313	100.0	20 689	100.0	3 994	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	199	0.7	153	0.7	33	0.8
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	272	0.9	174	0.8	38	1.0
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	2 951	10.1	1 905	9.2	450	11.3
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	5 021	17.1	3 262	15.8	694	17.4
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	478	1.6	321	1.6	67	1.7
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	20 392	69.6	14 874	71.9	2 712	67.9
HOUSTON SMSA.	11 122	100.0	7 382	100.0	1 710	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	390	3.5	238	3.2	85	5.0
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	120	1.1	118	1.6	2	0.1
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	10 437	93.8	6 900	93.5	1 589	92.9
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	73	0.7	48	0.7	16	0.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	70	0.6	66	0.9	3	0.2
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	32	0.3	12	0.2	15	0.9
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	57 977	100.0	36 031	100.0	6 036	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	57 977	100.0	36 031	100.0	6 036	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	9 834	100.0	5 852	100.0	1 166	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	691	7.0	435	7.4	79	6.8
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	153	1.6	94	1.6	22	1.9
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	43	0.4	36	0.6	3	0.3
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	590	6.0	475	8.1	53	4.5
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	5 033	51.2	2 880	49.2	588	50.4
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	2 607	26.5	1 450	24.3	346	29.7
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	195	2.0	143	2.4	14	1.2
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	157	1.6	84	1.4	19	1.6
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	272	2.8	186	3.2	32	2.7
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	93	0.9	69	1.2	10	0.9
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	18 873	100.0	14 979	100.0	232	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	11 312	59.9	8 939	59.7	192	82.8
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	7 561	40.1	6 040	40.3	40	17.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	27 679	(X)	3 628	(X)	56 584	(X)	1 495	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	805	100.0	-	100.0	914	100.0	19	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	80	9.9	-	-	42	4.6	1	5.3
BALTIMORE CITY.	428	53.2	-	-	610	66.7	18	94.7
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	148	18.4	-	-	129	14.1	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	74	9.2	-	-	63	6.9	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	40	5.0	-	-	50	5.5	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	35	4.3	-	-	20	2.2	-	-
BOSTON SEA.	468	100.0	30	100.0	1 858	100.0	105	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	41	8.8	15	50.0	289	15.6	14	13.3
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	101	21.6	-	-	601	32.3	67	63.8
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	119	25.4	15	50.0	276	14.9	5	4.8
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	207	44.2	-	-	692	37.2	19	18.1
CHICAGO SMSA.	2 054	100.0	788	100.0	3 635	100.0	98	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	1 681	81.8	660	83.8	3 079	84.7	90	91.8
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	157	7.6	55	7.0	219	6.0	-	-
KANE COUNTY AREA.	52	2.5	10	1.3	122	3.4	1	1.0
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	69	3.3	14	1.8	132	3.6	3	3.1
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	11	0.5	6	0.8	30	0.8	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	64	3.1	43	5.5	53	1.5	4	4.1
CLEVELAND SMSA.	471	100.0	63	100.0	1 074	100.0	298	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	380	80.7	61	96.8	933	91.5	295	99.0
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	21	4.5	-	-	6	0.6	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	51	10.8	2	3.2	61	5.7	-	-
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	19	4.0	-	-	24	2.2	3	1.0
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	771	100.0	9	100.0	1 131	100.0	48	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	13	1.7	-	-	17	1.5	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	437	56.7	-	-	832	73.6	16	33.3
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	26	3.4	-	-	31	2.7	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	3	0.4	-	-	8	0.7	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	2	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	7	0.9	-	-	5	0.4	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	3	0.4	-	-	6	0.5	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	24	3.1	-	-	28	2.5	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	1	0.1	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	250	32.4	9	100.0	201	17.8	32	66.7
WISE COUNTY AREA.	5	0.6	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	1 341	100.0	23	100.0	3 243	100.0	23	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	11	0.8	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	31	2.3	-	-	29	0.9	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	185	13.8	22	95.7	387	11.9	2	8.7
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	297	22.1	-	-	763	23.5	5	21.7
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	25	1.9	-	-	65	2.0	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	792	59.1	1	4.3	1 997	61.6	16	69.6
HOUSTON SMSA.	725	100.0	3	100.0	1 206	100.0	96	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	22	3.0	-	-	45	3.7	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	698	96.3	3	100.0	1 151	95.4	96	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	-	-	-	-	9	0.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	4	0.6	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	5 002	100.0	1 289	100.0	9 584	100.0	35	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	5 002	100.0	1 289	100.0	9 584	100.0	35	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	955	100.0	217	100.0	1 619	100.0	25	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	65	6.8	13	6.0	99	6.1	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	11	1.2	12	5.5	14	0.9	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	3	0.3	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	31	3.2	-	-	31	1.9	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	530	55.5	153	70.5	869	53.7	13	52.0
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	237	24.8	38	17.5	526	32.5	10	40.0
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	14	1.5	-	-	24	1.5	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	40	4.2	-	-	12	0.7	2	8.0
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	18	1.9	1	0.5	35	2.2	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	6	0.6	-	-	8	0.5	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	1 286	100.0	-	100.0	2 331	100.0	45	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	716	55.7	-	-	1 451	62.2	14	31.1
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	570	44.3	-	-	880	37.8	31	68.9

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll ²	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEWARK SMSA	15 160	100.0	8 947	100.0	2 212	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	8 398	55.4	4 665	52.1	1 334	60.3
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 974	13.0	1 385	15.5	218	9.9
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	1 184	7.8	642	7.2	156	7.1
UNION COUNTY AREA	3 604	23.8	2 255	25.2	504	22.8
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	89 206	100.0	73 146	100.0	992	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	5 088	5.7	3 545	4.8	640	64.5
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	77 030	86.4	64 418	88.1	185	18.6
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	191	0.2	108	0.1	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 307	1.5	897	1.2	65	6.6
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	5 590	6.3	4 178	5.7	102	10.3
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	35 034	100.0	22 211	100.0	5 305	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 737	5.0	1 164	5.2	257	4.8
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 468	4.2	753	3.4	219	4.1
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	3 595	10.3	1 779	8.0	551	10.4
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 287	3.7	462	2.1	272	5.1
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 236	6.4	1 257	5.7	409	7.7
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	991	2.8	517	2.3	142	2.7
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 486	7.1	1 587	7.1	444	8.4
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	21 234	60.6	14 692	66.1	3 011	56.8
PITTSBURGH SMSA	8 045	100.0	5 456	100.0	1 273	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	6 524	81.1	4 560	83.6	957	75.2
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	557	6.9	317	5.8	119	9.3
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	343	4.3	230	4.2	58	4.6
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	621	7.7	349	6.4	139	10.9
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	10 948	100.0	7 968	100.0	1 235	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	-	-	-	-	5	0.4
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	99	0.9	89	1.1	40	3.2
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	149	1.4	79	1.0	21	1.7
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	705	6.4	472	5.9	92	7.4
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	16	0.1	11	0.1	1	0.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	762	7.0	661	8.3	58	4.7
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	792	7.2	518	6.5	76	6.2
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	4 599	42.0	3 364	42.2	437	35.4
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	3 826	34.9	2 774	34.8	526	42.6
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	22 073	100.0	11 734	100.0	2 873	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	8 017	36.3	4 288	36.5	961	33.4
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	3 722	16.9	1 908	16.3	406	14.1
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 117	5.1	552	4.7	166	5.8
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	5 907	26.8	3 387	28.9	832	29.0
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	3 310	15.0	1 599	13.6	508	17.7
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	25 812	100.0	15 106	100.0	2 121	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	589	2.3	410	2.7	82	2.9
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	857	3.3	620	4.1	51	2.4
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	146	0.6	123	0.8	1	(Z)
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	100	0.4	100	0.7	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	2 300	8.9	1 504	10.0	149	7.0
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	61	0.2	53	0.4	1	(Z)
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	66	0.3	66	0.4	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	2 331	9.0	1 743	11.5	191	9.0
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	2 761	10.7	2 080	13.8	269	12.7
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	498	1.9	355	2.4	65	3.1
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	16 103	62.4	8 052	53.3	1 332	62.8

-- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEWARK SMSA	1 212	100.0	2	100.0	2 703	100.0	84	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	606	50.0	-	-	1 761	65.1	30	35.7
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	137	11.3	-	-	232	8.6	2	2.4
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	126	10.4	-	-	253	9.4	7	8.3
UNION COUNTY AREA	343	28.3	-	-	457	16.9	45	53.6
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	5 594	100.0	30	100.0	9 347	100.0	97	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	366	6.5	1	3.3	523	5.6	13	13.4
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	4 529	81.0	-	-	7 833	83.8	65	67.0
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	22	0.4	-	-	61	0.7	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	157	2.8	29	96.7	159	1.7	-	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	520	9.3	-	-	771	8.2	19	19.6
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	2 150	100.0	176	100.0	5 140	100.0	52	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	103	4.8	28	15.9	185	3.6	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	164	7.6	-	-	329	6.4	3	5.8
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	428	19.9	-	-	314	15.8	23	44.2
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	146	6.8	52	29.5	355	6.9	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	189	8.8	56	31.8	307	6.0	18	34.6
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	115	5.3	-	-	211	4.1	6	11.5
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	139	6.5	40	22.7	274	5.3	2	3.8
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	866	40.3	-	-	2 665	51.8	-	-
PITTSBURGH SMSA	467	100.0	115	100.0	731	100.0	3	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	371	79.4	85	73.9	548	75.0	3	100.0
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	37	7.9	14	12.2	70	9.6	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	13	2.8	4	3.5	38	5.2	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	46	9.9	12	10.4	75	10.3	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	521	100.0	35	100.0	1 168	100.0	21	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	5	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	16	3.1	-	-	8	0.7	6	28.6
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	46	8.8	16	45.7	79	6.8	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	22	4.2	-	-	20	1.7	1	4.8
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	57	12.9	19	54.3	112	9.6	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	197	37.8	-	-	595	50.9	6	28.6
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	164	31.5	-	-	354	30.3	8	38.1
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	2 229	100.0	663	100.0	4 525	100.0	49	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	762	34.2	352	53.1	1 654	36.6	-	-
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	410	18.4	125	18.9	865	19.1	8	16.3
MARIN COUNTY AREA	111	5.0	49	7.4	232	5.1	7	14.3
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	633	28.4	137	20.7	898	19.8	20	40.8
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	313	14.0	-	-	876	19.4	14	28.6
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	1 628	100.0	185	100.0	6 375	100.0	397	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	41	2.5	-	-	68	1.1	8	2.0
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	36	2.2	-	-	108	1.7	42	10.6
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	13	0.8	-	-	9	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	72	4.4	-	-	277	4.3	298	75.1
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	5	0.3	-	-	2	(Z)	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	160	9.8	-	-	226	3.5	11	2.8
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	202	12.4	-	-	207	3.2	3	0.8
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	36	2.2	-	-	42	0.7	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	1 063	65.3	185	100.0	5 436	85.3	35	8.8

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	125 793 274	27 950 624	4 412 226	15.8	1 280 324	29.0	1 114 587	25.3
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	112 409	5 124	950	18.5	161	16.9	512	53.9
JEFFERSON	652 222	98 850	13 991	14.2	3 923	28.0	4 885	34.9
MADISON	186 532	10 820	2 823	26.1	549	19.4	1 029	36.5
MOBILE	352 970	29 748	8 322	28.0	2 138	25.7	2 341	28.1
MONTGOMERY	184 473	13 646	3 355	24.6	628	18.7	1 338	39.9
TUSCALOOSA	123 895	17 827	3 029	17.0	599	19.8	1 018	33.6
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	1 288 754	185 724	38 579	20.8	8 886	23.0	9 516	24.7
PIMA	454 627	106 846	27 521	25.8	7 388	26.8	5 146	18.7
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	325 159	13 543	4 795	35.4	1 290	26.9	1 447	30.2
SEBASTIAN	88 707	4 934	1 873	38.0	331	17.7	549	29.3
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	1 099 186	377 523	82 312	21.8	10 072	12.2	21 762	26.4
BUTTE	127 841	50 940	6 260	12.3	2 008	32.1	1 509	24.1
CONTRA COSTA	612 866	242 076	44 591	18.4	8 655	19.4	6 651	14.9
FRESNO	472 492	209 384	32 143	15.4	9 143	28.4	5 734	17.8
HUMBOLDT	106 793	50 364	7 841	15.6	3 073	39.2	1 672	21.3
KERN	363 305	184 419	29 869	16.2	8 373	28.0	4 504	15.3
LOS ANGELES	7 028 518	2 759 317	428 880	15.5	131 705	30.7	95 657	22.3
MARIN	223 548	78 379	12 458	15.9	2 447	19.6	2 951	23.7
MERCED	124 330	64 742	5 908	9.1	1 733	29.3	1 348	22.8
MONTEREY	275 304	90 387	17 654	19.5	5 572	31.6	3 401	19.3
ORANGE	1 799 032	407 768	79 495	19.5	12 992	16.3	22 287	28.0
RIVERSIDE	575 095	225 646	33 405	14.8	10 760	32.2	7 446	22.3
SACRAMENTO	721 311	441 890	54 931	12.4	16 845	30.7	9 343	17.0
SAN BERNARDINO	736 540	302 165	47 956	15.9	15 726	32.8	11 913	24.8
SAN DIEGO	1 675 714	472 542	72 997	15.4	13 713	18.8	19 422	26.6
SAN JOAQUIN	311 612	149 557	22 280	14.9	5 994	26.9	4 945	22.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	134 498	56 275	8 438	15.0	2 822	33.4	1 718	20.4
SAN MATEO	587 724	186 667	37 129	19.9	6 522	17.6	7 443	20.0
SANTA BARBARA	287 433	115 284	21 168	18.4	6 025	28.5	4 613	21.8
SANTA CLARA	1 216 365	413 425	61 500	14.9	10 781	17.5	14 582	23.7
SANTA CRUZ	169 188	67 358	12 340	18.3	2 937	23.8	2 652	21.5
SOLANO	201 430	69 719	13 027	18.7	2 144	16.5	2 825	21.7
SONOMA	263 243	102 829	14 469	14.1	5 085	35.1	2 577	17.8
STANISLAUS	235 997	109 660	15 509	14.1	3 325	21.4	3 026	19.5
TULARE	216 927	118 673	15 535	13.1	4 261	27.4	2 810	18.1
VENTURA	467 480	194 226	30 011	15.5	9 219	30.7	5 626	18.8
YOLO	106 425	41 827	7 084	16.9	2 339	33.0	1 325	18.7
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	224 133	41 777	3 692	8.8	2 101	56.9	37	1.0
ARAPAHOE	238 179	24 403	4 586	18.8	1 738	39.0	595	13.0
BOULDER	171 436	25 708	3 431	13.3	1 550	45.2	53	1.5
EL PASO	285 987	32 356	4 881	15.1	743	15.2	1 435	29.4
JEFFERSON	335 842	43 539	5 461	12.5	2 825	51.7	124	2.3
LARIMER	124 401	22 413	2 158	9.6	1 235	57.2	-	-
PUEBLO	123 408	29 917	1 948	6.5	1 612	31.4	188	9.7
WELD	109 980	25 212	3 491	13.8	1 649	47.2	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	398 488	59 527	7 896	13.3	6 322	80.1	1 247	15.8
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	126 363	18 637	7 899	42.4	2 550	32.3	3 827	48.4
BREVARD	233 955	42 813	6 050	14.1	3 013	49.8	2 013	33.3
BROWARD	867 861	95 240	22 562	23.7	10 043	44.5	6 273	27.8
DADE	1 439 854	521 218	78 682	15.1	43 130	54.8	18 535	23.0
ESCAMBIA	225 793	33 928	13 119	38.7	2 602	19.8	8 075	61.6
HILLSBOROUGH	583 383	131 584	21 326	16.2	8 951	42.0	5 494	25.8
LEE	165 965	37 565	5 381	14.3	2 998	55.7	1 307	24.3
LEON	129 406	17 317	3 946	22.8	1 972	50.0	1 307	30.7
MANATEE	125 300	30 427	3 235	10.6	1 720	53.2	852	26.3
OKALOOSA	104 247	13 399	1 748	13.0	755	43.2	794	45.4
ORANGE	414 378	86 497	16 292	18.8	8 155	50.1	3 931	24.1
PALM BEACH	477 250	82 511	17 825	21.6	9 448	53.1	4 114	23.1
PASCO	142 033	20 189	4 165	20.6	2 468	59.3	1 110	26.7
PINELLAS	654 294	90 583	17 614	19.4	6 381	36.2	7 219	41.0
POLK	277 175	40 748	8 265	20.3	3 994	48.3	2 642	32.0
SARASOTA	167 570	22 106	4 880	22.1	3 042	62.3	899	18.4
SEMINOLE	142 943	17 827	3 784	21.2	2 321	61.3	1 836	22.1
VOLUSIA	213 741	34 103	10 669	31.3	3 059	28.7	1 666	15.6
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	143 730	19 570	4 063	20.8	1 339	33.0	1 112	27.4
CHATHAM	191 613	39 316	5 767	14.7	2 241	38.9	2 001	34.7
CLAYTON	136 108	25 342	5 602	22.1	3 040	54.3	1 293	23.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	409 583	9.3	152 822	3.5	1 301 505	29.5	153 405	3.5
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	47	4.9	-	-	230	24.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	996	7.1	51	0.4	3 854	27.5	282	2.0
MADISON	374	13.2	-	-	859	30.4	12	0.4
MOBILE	370	4.4	16	0.2	3 457	41.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	366	10.9	-	-	971	28.9	52	1.5
TUSCALOOSA	144	4.8	10	0.3	1 258	41.5	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	4 852	12.6	2 889	7.5	12 376	32.1	60	0.2
PIMA	3 015	11.0	1 424	5.2	10 548	38.3	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	812	16.9	149	3.1	922	19.2	175	3.6
SEBASTIAN	190	10.1	57	3.0	434	23.2	312	16.7
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	9 922	12.1	6 715	8.2	32 872	39.9	969	1.2
BUTTE	980	15.7	218	3.5	1 541	24.6	4	0.1
CONTRA COSTA	5 300	11.9	2 576	5.8	20 678	46.4	731	1.6
FRESNO	4 237	13.2	1 575	4.9	9 892	30.8	1 562	4.9
HUMBOLDT	1 005	12.8	334	4.3	1 667	21.3	90	1.1
KERN	3 351	11.2	883	3.0	11 310	39.5	948	3.2
LOS ANGELES	50 035	11.7	24 940	5.8	126 543	29.5	-	-
MARIN	1 470	11.8	901	7.2	4 241	34.0	448	3.6
MERCED	1 689	11.7	185	3.1	1 773	30.0	180	3.0
MONTEREY	2 328	13.2	849	4.8	5 229	29.6	275	1.6
ORANGE	10 657	13.4	3 856	4.9	28 051	35.3	1 652	2.1
RIVERSIDE	4 262	12.8	2 661	2.6	10 059	30.1	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8 567	15.6	3 149	5.7	15 476	28.2	1 551	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	4 245	8.9	1 767	3.7	14 305	29.8	-	-
SAN DIEGO	9 289	12.7	4 391	6.0	25 894	35.5	288	0.4
SAN JOAQUIN	2 720	12.2	1 495	6.7	7 105	31.9	23	0.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 292	15.3	262	3.1	2 296	27.2	48	0.6
SAN MATEO	3 257	8.8	1 548	4.2	16 419	44.2	1 940	5.2
SANTA BARBARA	2 438	11.5	998	4.7	7 094	33.5	-	-
SANTA CLARA	7 607	12.4	3 205	5.2	24 744	40.2	581	0.9
SANTA CRUZ	1 598	12.9	619	5.0	4 275	34.6	259	2.1
SOLANO	1 480	11.4	734	5.6	5 844	44.9	-	-
SONOMA	1 673	11.6	561	3.9	4 492	31.0	81	0.6
STANISLAUS	2 089	13.5	448	2.9	6 215	40.1	406	2.6
TULARE	2 057	13.2	902	5.8	4 936	31.3	569	3.7
VENTURA	3 960	13.2	1 144	3.8	9 546	31.8	506	1.7
YOLO	969	13.7	434	6.1	2 004	28.3	13	0.2
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	1 068	28.9	-	-	486	13.2	-	-
ARAPAHOE	1 303	28.4	-	-	859	18.7	41	0.9
BOULDER	620	18						

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	251 635	48 200	9 764	20.3	4 334	44.4	3 776	38.7
DE KALB	457 698	122 963	18 431	15.0	10 239	55.6	3 647	19.8
FULTON	566 963	130 792	19 138	14.6	2 782	14.5	7 852	41.0
WINNETT	128 206	29 165	4 623	15.9	2 147	46.4	1 524	33.0
RICHMOND	154 829	24 839	5 921	23.8	2 051	34.6	1 184	20.0
IDAHO:								
ADA	144 665	16 194	6 298	38.9	1 490	23.7	826	13.1
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	168 156	11 730	2 523	21.5	799	31.7	466	18.5
COOK	5 309 763	352 629	196 787	55.8	16 550	8.4	51 950	26.4
DU PAGE	580 262	57 940	10 382	17.9	2 777	26.7	2 817	27.1
KANE	271 399	15 305	5 134	33.5	1 365	26.6	1 336	26.0
LAKE	416 208	42 952	8 357	19.5	2 904	34.7	1 255	15.0
LA SALLE	108 849	10 868	1 879	17.3	483	25.7	306	16.3
MCHENRY	133 788	7 476	2 918	39.0	1 408	48.3	436	14.9
MCLEAN	118 389	8 524	3 428	40.2	769	22.4	501	14.6
MACON	127 227	8 258	2 195	26.6	926	42.2	489	22.3
MADISON	246 366	15 420	4 333	28.1	1 378	31.8	1 273	29.4
PEORIA	201 223	15 623	4 369	28.0	1 379	31.6	821	18.8
ROCK ISLAND	163 778	11 578	2 796	24.1	1 198	42.8	464	16.6
ST. CLAIR	280 531	14 953	4 736	31.7	1 219	25.7	1 025	21.6
SANGAMON	173 727	11 837	3 463	29.3	1 243	35.9	602	17.4
TAZEWELL	127 907	6 086	1 762	29.0	626	35.5	428	24.3
WILL	306 491	25 452	4 928	19.4	2 388	48.5	1 182	24.0
WINNEBAGO	241 715	23 862	5 831	24.4	1 902	32.6	1 238	21.2
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	288 527	35 142	6 027	17.2	1 868	31.0	1 789	29.7
DELAWARE	128 900	11 369	1 676	14.7	475	28.3	575	34.3
ELKHART	134 031	17 370	2 876	16.3	930	32.8	707	24.9
LAKE	543 056	87 536	12 651	14.5	3 443	27.2	3 938	31.1
LA PORTE	106 820	10 664	2 283	21.4	665	29.1	857	37.5
MADISON	138 205	15 473	2 152	13.9	389	18.1	797	37.0
ST. JOSEPH	239 228	27 400	4 652	16.8	1 161	25.2	1 599	34.7
TIPPECANOE	115 417	9 961	1 763	17.7	636	36.1	385	21.8
VANDERBURGH	162 099	17 990	3 415	19.0	1 146	33.6	1 202	35.2
VIGO	111 521	11 213	1 902	17.0	347	18.2	810	42.6
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	136 993	13 939	3 212	23.0	589	18.3	1 306	40.7
LINN	167 491	19 990	4 198	21.0	872	20.8	1 352	32.2
POLK	301 030	41 071	10 614	25.8	1 010	9.5	3 418	32.2
SCOTT	154 336	12 024	2 479	20.6	750	30.3	769	31.0
WOODBURY	103 535	15 239	2 531	16.6	354	14.0	1 050	41.5
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	251 401	36 666	4 812	13.1	1 647	34.2	1 465	30.4
SEDGWICK	352 595	39 497	9 553	24.2	1 520	15.9	2 666	27.9
SHAWNEE	156 334	17 738	4 614	26.0	841	18.2	1 158	25.1
WYANDOTTE	176 668	14 678	3 692	25.2	591	16.0	1 519	41.1
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	683 495	117 719	27 323	23.2	11 918	43.6	4 042	14.8
KENTON	130 431	21 237	1 565	7.4	715	45.7	275	17.6
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	242 518	18 758	5 080	27.1	2 195	43.2	876	17.2
CALCASIEU	156 540	30 996	5 875	19.0	3 668	62.4	822	14.0
JEFFERSON	422 801	111 361	24 013	21.6	11 947	49.8	4 278	17.8
LAFAYETTE	132 318	18 483	3 764	20.4	2 273	60.4	632	16.8
OUACHITA	120 226	14 095	3 192	22.6	1 495	46.8	407	12.8
RAPIDES	124 856	20 509	2 976	14.5	1 612	54.2	398	13.4
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	204 783	2 729	1 609	59.0	572	35.6	208	12.9
KENNEBEC	103 256	1 461	523	35.8	144	27.5	116	22.2
PENOBSCOT	136 467	1 536	862	56.1	233	23.3	256	29.7
YORK	125 867	1 361	1 035	76.0	208	20.1	286	27.6
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	356 374	248 880	13 116	5.3	9 927	75.7	1 235	9.4
BALTIMORE	641 976	485 627	29 983	6.2	24 447	81.5	1 954	6.5
HARFORD	143 664	92 251	3 230	3.5	1 304	40.4	640	19.8
MONTGOMERY	571 868	585 823	38 917	6.6	26 266	67.5	5 795	14.9
PRINCE GEORGES	671 984	516 393	36 526	7.1	26 976	73.9	4 026	11.0
WASHINGTON	108 716	61 900	1 195	1.9	508	42.5	204	17.1
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	136 919	5 380	3 473	64.6	496	14.3	1 286	37.0
BERKSHIRE	143 840	3 263	2 252	69.0	43	1.9	967	42.9
BRISTOL	468 893	8 443	6 012	71.2	66	1.1	3 152	52.4
ESSEX	624 862	14 537	11 683	80.4	75	0.6	6 052	51.8
HAMPDEN	456 868	10 381	11 742	113.1	89	0.8	5 711	48.6
HAMPSHIRE	131 858	3 778	2 562	67.8	23	0.9	917	35.8

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	551	5.6	38	0.4	936	9.6	129	1.3
DE KALB	915	5.0	206	1.1	3 284	17.8	140	0.8
FULTON	1 914	10.0	368	1.9	5 994	31.3	228	1.2
WINNETT	202	4.4	8	0.2	742	16.1	-	-
RICHMOND	400	6.8	78	1.3	2 208	37.3	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	404	6.4	171	2.7	591	9.4	2 816	44.7
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	344	13.6	176	7.0	738	29.3	-	-
COOK	15 190	7.7	7 988	4.1	60 698	30.8	44 411	22.6
DU PAGE	1 660	16.0	512	4.9	2 580	24.9	36	0.3
KANE	468	9.1	154	3.0	1 785	34.8	26	0.5
LAKE	933	11.2	150	1.8	3 054	36.5	61	0.7
LA SALLE	235	12.5	55	2.9	364	19.4	436	23.2
MCHENRY	296	10.1	98	3.4	680	23.3	-	-
MCLEAN	214	6.2	81	2.4	444	13.0	1 419	41.4
MACON	249	11.3	94	4.3	437	19.9	-	-
MADISON	397	9.2	172	4.0	1 108	25.6	5	0.1
PEORIA	440	11.9	119	2.7	1 610	36.9	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	352	12.6	43	1.5	561	20.1	178	6.4
ST. CLAIR	504	10.6	184	3.9	1 799	38.0	5	0.1
SANGAMON	414	12.0	117	3.4	1 066	30.8	21	0.6
TAZEWELL	256	14.5	63	3.6	354	20.1	35	2.0
WILL	524	10.6	210	4.3	604	12.3	20	0.4
WINNEBAGO	572	9.8	249	4.3	1 628	27.9	242	4.2
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	395	6.6	170	2.8	1 805	29.9	-	-
DELAWARE	153	9.1	44	2.6	365	21.8	64	3.8
ELKHART	149	5.3	71	2.5	799	28.2	180	6.3
LAKE	1 226	9.7	300	2.4	3 631	28.7	113	0.9
LA PORTE	182	8.0	1	(2)	382	16.7	196	8.6
MADISON	158	4.1	89	7.3	580	27.0	139	6.5
ST. JOSEPH	400	8.7	145	3.2	1 004	21.8	293	6.4
TIPPECANOE	281	15.9	43	2.4	418	23.7	-	-
VANDERBURGH	312	9.1	204	6.0	417	12.2	134	3.9
VIGO	220	11.6	68	3.6	393	20.7	64	3.4
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	217	6.8	220	6.8	873	27.2	7	0.2
LINN	335	8.0	282	6.7	1 342	32.0	15	0.4
POLK	747	7.0	541	5.1	4 358	41.1	540	5.1
SCOTT	244	9.8	113	4.6	598	24.1	5	0.2
WOODBURY	256	10.1	212	8.4	652	25.8	7	0.3
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	643	13.4	39	0.8	1 018	21.2	-	-
SEDGWICK	1 168	12.2	-	-	2 275	23.8	1 924	20.1
SHAWNEE	469	10.2	-	-	1 547	33.5	599	13.0
WYANDOTTE	429	11.6	37	1.0	1 014	27.5	102	2.8
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	1 719	6.3	326	1.2	7 885	28.9	1 433	5.2
KENTON	78	5.0						

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	1 386 584	29 774	34 580	116.1	178	0.5	24 625	71.2
NORFOLK	619 504	13 256	8 418	63.5	67	0.8	4 191	49.8
PLYMOUTH	337 947	8 231	8 253	100.3	335	4.1	4 289	52.0
WORCESTER	641 242	14 154	10 409	73.5	58	0.6	5 420	52.1
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	120 957	29 406	6 743	22.9	1 444	21.4	1 090	16.2
BERRIEN	170 302	21 885	6 509	29.7	1 298	19.9	1 863	28.6
CALHOUN	140 265	21 459	6 155	28.7	1 129	18.3	2 372	38.5
GENESEE	447 396	73 223	15 840	21.6	2 570	16.2	5 378	34.0
INGHAM	271 467	32 211	8 658	26.9	2 084	24.1	2 566	29.6
JACKSON	148 805	21 808	4 756	21.8	1 089	22.9	1 548	32.5
KALAMAZOO	206 426	21 957	9 478	43.2	1 739	18.3	3 944	41.6
KENT	430 705	61 456	10 872	17.7	2 582	23.7	2 060	18.9
MACOMB	687 316	79 894	14 034	17.6	3 099	22.1	4 357	31.0
MONROE	129 419	28 125	4 814	17.1	1 916	19.8	1 110	23.1
MUSKEGON	158 677	32 603	5 007	15.4	1 433	28.6	1 489	29.7
OAKLAND	989 807	177 590	24 953	14.1	5 943	23.8	6 281	25.2
OTTAWA	145 770	14 603	3 038	20.8	1 131	37.2	814	26.8
SAGINAW	227 397	38 815	8 301	21.4	2 495	30.1	1 992	24.0
ST. CLAIR	132 209	40 769	4 121	10.1	985	23.9	1 256	30.5
WASHTENAW	250 788	38 342	9 993	26.1	2 726	27.3	2 790	27.9
WAYNE	2 451 273	430 671	88 497	20.5	8 239	9.3	26 079	29.5
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	194 573	31 332	5 387	17.2	1 758	32.6	1 116	20.7
DAKOTA	184 102	25 153	3 093	12.3	846	27.4	1 083	35.0
HENNEPIN	909 619	245 069	37 155	15.2	3 824	10.3	9 384	25.3
RAMSEY	454 078	145 469	25 725	17.7	2 067	8.0	5 213	20.3
ST. LOUIS	224 381	68 885	9 604	13.9	1 658	17.3	2 374	24.7
STEARNS	106 816	11 947	1 522	12.7	500	32.9	652	42.8
WASHINGTON	111 833	17 414	2 899	16.6	1 215	41.9	788	27.2
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	146 672	15 919	3 838	24.1	843	22.0	2 271	59.2
HINDS	235 448	14 791	3 834	25.9	731	19.1	937	24.4
JACKSON	113 096	21 691	2 060	9.5	718	34.9	814	39.5
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	135 054	6 457	2 194	34.0	543	24.7	572	26.1
GREENE	172 487	5 978	1 806	30.2	538	29.8	738	40.9
JACKSON	625 749	69 868	17 578	25.2	1 398	8.0	5 118	29.1
JEFFERSON	125 932	8 998	1 640	18.2	673	41.0	547	33.4
ST. CHARLES	122 897	5 652	2 017	35.7	1 058	52.5	497	24.6
ST. LOUIS	977 954	121 254	29 934	24.7	17 329	57.9	6 529	21.8
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	407 976	59 341	7 729	13.0	1 975	25.6	1 879	24.3
LANCASTER	183 267	29 482	3 211	10.9	544	16.9	1 394	43.4
NEVADA:								
CLARK	361 095	143 568	38 481	26.8	20 686	53.8	6 071	15.8
WASHOE	156 785	43 052	8 065	18.7	2 833	35.1	1 618	20.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	256 355	10 238	2 132	20.8	208	9.8	963	45.2
ROCKINGHAM	175 316	6 511	1 604	24.6	291	18.1	766	47.8
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	190 579	57 085	5 458	9.6	66	1.2	1 929	35.3
BERGEN	874 329	125 941	17 334	13.8	3 838	22.1	4 972	28.7
BURLINGTON	361 243	62 301	7 228	11.6	419	5.8	2 141	29.6
CAMDEN	471 553	124 762	17 042	13.7	775	4.5	4 263	25.0
CUMBERLAND	131 175	30 834	3 663	11.9	179	4.9	776	21.2
ESSEX	852 345	288 497	41 930	14.5	4 884	11.6	12 854	30.7
GLOUCESTER	196 370	26 809	3 538	13.2	4 402	11.4	722	20.4
HUDSON	564 189	139 371	15 570	11.2	4 044	26.0	4 152	26.7
MERCER	316 501	73 801	14 086	19.1	502	3.6	2 662	18.9
MIDDLESEX	593 823	129 030	14 865	11.5	1 748	11.8	4 788	32.2
MONMOUTH	491 683	85 770	8 103	9.4	796	9.8	2 295	28.3
MORRIS	396 513	65 743	7 215	11.0	1 834	25.4	1 583	21.9
OCEAN	315 566	54 072	6 567	12.1	885	13.5	1 914	29.1
PASSAIC	460 288	89 825	12 758	15.3	780	5.7	4 586	33.3
SOMERSET	203 650	33 084	4 998	15.1	780	15.6	1 114	22.3
UNION	512 273	80 931	15 507	19.2	1 486	9.6	4 792	30.9
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	377 345	28 340	9 065	32.0	2 991	33.0	186	2.1
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	286 883	143 920	8 458	5.9	1 966	11.4	1 759	20.8
BROOME	219 281	64 408	4 902	7.6	1 329	27.1	965	19.7
CHAUTAUQUA	146 878	65 285	3 904	6.0	1 042	26.7	549	14.1
CHEMUNG	99 103	36 888	3 138	8.5	21.0	8.5	425	13.5
DUTCHESS	232 236	74 757	5 355	7.2	1 499	28.0	1 034	19.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	660	1.9	730	2.1	8 386	24.3	1	(Z)
NORFOLK	1 097	13.0	113	1.3	2 876	34.2	74	0.9
PLYMOUTH	461	5.6	290	3.5	2 864	34.7	14	0.2
WORCESTER	248	2.4	204	2.0	4 395	42.2	84	0.8
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	350	5.2	226	3.4	3 633	53.9	-	-
BERRIEN	609	9.4	243	3.7	2 496	38.3	-	-
CALHOUN	518	8.4	213	3.5	1 923	31.2	-	-
GENESEE	2 298	14.5	431	2.7	5 088	32.1	75	0.5
INGHAM	1 164	13.4	637	7.4	2 207	25.5	-	-
JACKSON	354	7.4	227	4.8	1 538	32.3	-	-
KALAMAZOO	858	9.1	400	4.2	2 537	26.8	-	-
KENT	845	7.8	508	4.7	4 683	43.1	194	1.8
MACOMB	1 671	11.9	-	-	4 907	35.0	-	-
MONROE	270	5.6	171	3.6	1 347	28.0	-	-
MUSKEGON	347	6.9	95	1.9	1 621	32.4	22	0.4
OAKLAND	2 190	8.8	1 031	4.1	9 416	37.7	92	0.4
OTTAWA	162	5.3	109	3.6	822	27.1	-	-
SAGINAW	578	7.0	466	5.6	2 658	32.0	112	1.3
ST. CLAIR	357	8.7	170	4.1	1 353	32.8	-	-
WASHTENAW	683	6.8	485	4.9	3 309	33.1	-	-
WAYNE	5 576	6.3	5 706	6.4	42 412	47.9	485	0.5
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	667	12.4	203	3.8	1 643	30.5	-	-
DAKOTA	407	13.2	187	6.0	570	18.4	-	-
HENNEPIN	5 007	13.5	1 962	5.3	16 665	44.9	313	0.8
RAMSEY	2 250	8.7	508	2.0	15 549	60.4	138	0.5
ST. LOUIS	842	8.8	489	5.1	4 241	44.2	-	-
STEARNS	153	10.1	41	2.7	176	11.6	-	-
WASHINGTON	238	8.2	86	3.0	572	19.7	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	175	4.6	15	0.4	534	13.9	-	-
HINDS	87	2.3	159	4.1	1 804	47.1	116	3.0
JACKSON	98	4.8	37	1.8	361	17.5	32	1.6
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	293	13.4	-	-	786	35.8	-	-
GREENE	160	8.9	-	-	370	20.5	-	-
JACKSON	1 660	9.4	-	-	9 387	53.4	15	0.1
JEFFERSON	160	9.8	-	-	152	9.3	108	6.6
ST. CHARLES	209	10.4	-	-	253	12.5	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 494	5.0	-	-	4 523	15.1	59	0.2
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	848	11.0	368	4.8	2 644	34.2	15	0.2
LANCASTER	534	16.6	219	6.8	520	16.2	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	2 979	7.7	1 049	2.7	7 278	18.9	418	1.1
WASHOE	1 188	14.7	485	6.0	1 827	22.7	114	1.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	142	6.7	-	-	819	38.4	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	85	5.3	-	-	462	28.8	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	1 248	22.9	-	-	2 050	37.6	165	3.0
BERGEN	3 021	17.4	22	0.1	5 317	30.7	164	0.9
BURLINGTON	1 328	18.4	-	-	3 244	44.9	96	1.3
CAMDEN	3 007	17.6	-	-	8 841	51.9	156	0.9
CUMBERLAND	346	9.4	-	-	2 325	63.5	37	1.0
ESSEX	5 373	12.8	102	0.2	18 576	44.3	141	0.3
GLOUCESTER	780	22.0	-	-	1 598	45.2	36	1.0
HUDSON	2 941	18.9	-	-	4 380	28.1	53	0.3

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	1 077 086	524 009	30 544	5.8	6 463	21.2	6 129	20.1
MONROE	707 160	350 526	23 637	6.7	5 999	25.4	6 323	26.8
NASSAU	1 399 725	765 713	151 868	19.8	107 056	70.5	10 505	6.9
NIAGARA	237 772	87 395	5 416	6.2	1 546	28.5	1 494	27.6
ONEIDA	262 521	75 911	4 566	6.0	4 789	17.3	1 496	32.8
ONONDAGA	474 133	285 485	18 038	6.3	4 213	23.4	3 011	16.7
ORANGE	246 939	92 407	5 059	5.5	81	1.6	1 528	30.2
OSWEGO	111 239	42 687	2 717	6.4	768	28.3	784	28.9
RENSSELAER	151 471	54 926	2 996	5.5	501	16.7	570	19.0
ROCKLAND	257 543	112 512	6 626	5.9	1 132	17.1	1 283	19.4
ST. LAWRENCE	117 101	37 890	1 993	5.3	428	21.5	552	27.7
SARATOGA	148 212	37 297	2 000	5.4	537	26.8	616	30.8
SCHENECTADY	155 930	48 294	3 244	6.7	10.8	34.9	794	24.5
STEBUEN	100 738	30 645	1 930	6.3	314	16.3	507	26.3
SUFFOLK	1 295 268	622 499	108 730	17.5	76 141	70.0	11 229	10.3
ULSTER	154 597	50 598	3 309	6.5	512	15.5	982	29.7
WESTCHESTER	874 068	444 576	29 129	6.6	5 682	19.5	4 657	16.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	151 788	73 024	2 866	3.9	1 547	54.0	525	18.3
CUMBERLAND	230 917	112 931	6 042	5.4	2 707	44.8	2 138	35.4
DURHAM	143 144	74 455	3 554	4.8	492	13.8	407	11.5
FORSYTH	228 433	121 248	3 722	3.1	1 177	31.6	1 327	35.7
GASTON	155 186	72 063	2 137	3.0	1 271	59.5	273	12.8
GUILFORD	304 288	152 800	4 650	3.0	1 724	37.1	716	15.4
MECKLENBURG	377 150	219 006	8 293	3.8	3 128	37.7	2 238	27.0
ONSLow	115 168	36 316	1 908	5.3	470	24.6	1 075	56.3
WAKE	273 011	130 499	2 931	2.2	890	30.4	891	30.4
OHIO:								
ALLEN	107 072	20 760	2 551	12.3	581	22.8	678	26.6
ASHTABULA	99 722	13 392	3 544	26.5	601	17.0	601	17.0
BUTLER	250 479	30 298	3 318	11.0	792	23.9	1 143	34.4
CLARK	150 069	22 410	2 886	12.9	602	20.9	795	27.5
CLERMONT	114 195	19 021	1 691	8.7	340	20.1	655	38.7
COLUMBIANA	112 620	10 003	1 643	16.4	228	13.9	649	39.5
CUYAHOGA	1 559 471	229 458	52 944	23.1	7 828	14.8	20 069	37.9
FRANKLIN	869 996	128 315	49 966	38.9	4 275	8.6	36 415	72.9
GREENE	128 774	22 051	2 711	12.3	661	24.4	765	28.2
HAMILTON	883 339	125 866	24 198	19.2	6 578	27.2	7 975	33.0
LAKE	210 670	33 548	4 486	13.4	787	17.5	1 470	32.8
LICKING	114 197	14 354	2 128	14.8	434	20.4	695	32.7
LORAIN	263 929	32 988	4 020	12.2	848	21.1	1 238	30.8
LUCAS	469 030	60 415	13 130	21.7	827	6.3	4 042	30.8
MAHONING	299 845	42 986	4 670	10.9	794	17.0	2 061	44.1
MONTGOMERY	580 609	153 493	17 559	11.4	3 429	19.5	4 660	26.5
PORTAGE	132 212	29 824	2 975	10.0	846	28.4	1 032	34.7
RICHLAND	130 067	12 694	2 633	20.7	685	26.0	680	25.8
STARK	376 258	45 379	7 645	16.8	2 007	26.3	1 720	22.5
SUMMIT	529 253	80 059	10 404	13.0	2 404	23.1	2 940	28.3
TRUMBULL	240 190	30 416	3 934	12.9	1 295	32.9	1 512	38.4
WOOD	102 697	17 275	1 815	10.5	538	29.6	714	39.3
OKLAHOMA:								
CLEVELAND	105 489	3 498	634	18.1	232	36.6	114	18.0
COMANCHE	117 471	4 728	1 563	33.1	354	22.6	575	36.8
OKLAHOMA	547 141	32 378	4 652	14.4	226	4.9	1 891	40.6
TULSA	431 918	30 793	8 299	27.0	983	11.8	4 763	57.4
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	213 154	34 507	6 450	18.7	2 635	40.9	1 031	16.0
JACKSON	117 159	23 853	7 672	32.2	1 867	24.3	3 484	45.4
LANE	249 268	68 507	14 354	21.0	3 567	24.9	3 401	23.7
MARION	177 363	5 054	1 520	27.3	1 566	31.0	1 231	24.4
MULTNOMAH	545 198	90 479	24 613	27.2	8 646	35.1	5 894	23.9
WASHINGTON	203 196	29 942	5 951	19.9	2 424	40.7	1 046	17.6
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	1 493 272	213 374	29 173	13.7	6 782	23.2	8 916	30.6
BEAVER	208 705	23 456	3 876	16.5	447	11.5	1 787	46.1
BERKS	304 064	32 531	7 217	22.2	161	2.2	2 054	28.5
BLAIR	133 729	10 713	1 776	16.6	35	2.0	873	49.2
BUCKS	469 738	45 163	9 578	21.2	731	7.6	3 826	39.9
BUTLER	141 527	18 331	1 859	10.1	211	11.4	721	38.8
CAMBRIA	188 432	21 126	2 753	13.0	160	5.8	1 255	45.6
CENTRE	109 323	8 752	1 090	12.5	16	1.5	499	45.8
CHESTER	303 512	28 721	7 816	27.2	769	9.8	2 170	27.8
CUMBERLAND	171 575	13 127	2 339	17.8	277	11.8	822	35.1
DAUPHIN	223 669	29 900	7 026	23.5	193	2.7	2 254	32.1
DELAWARE	582 060	88 396	16 023	18.1	1 025	6.4	5 705	35.6
ERIE	273 833	22 219	6 277	28.3	210	3.3	1 910	30.4
FAYETTE	155 901	6 209	2 106	33.9	318	15.1	934	44.3
FRANKLIN	107 256	7 138	1 482	20.8	39	2.6	449	30.3
LACKAWANNA	231 387	17 107	2 839	16.6	54	1.9	1 248	44.0
LANCASTER	347 914	29 265	5 952	20.3	313	5.3	2 318	38.9
LAWRENCE	105 983	7 252	1 271	17.5	23	1.8	660	47.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	3 285	10.8	1 261	4.1	13 276	43.5	130	0.4
MONROE	2 137	9.0	837	3.5	8 054	34.1	287	1.2
NASSAU	7 978	5.3	2 312	1.5	23 507	15.5	510	0.3
NIAGARA	438	8.1	172	3.2	1 744	32.0	22	0.4
ONEIDA	525	11.5	159	3.5	1 597	32.0	-	-
ONONDAGA	1 438	8.0	743	4.1	8 092	49.9	541	3.0
ORANGE	654	12.9	296	5.9	2 500	49.4	-	-
OSWEGO	239	8.8	39	1.4	887	32.6	-	-
RENSSELAER	350	11.7	136	4.5	1 439	48.0	-	-
ROCKLAND	1 063	16.0	351	5.3	2 797	42.2	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	155	7.8	87	4.4	771	38.7	-	-
SARATOGA	227	11.3	56	2.8	564	28.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY	420	12.9	71	2.2	1 603	49.4	-	-
STEBUEN	213	11.0	94	4.9	802	41.6	-	-
SUFFOLK	5 084	4.7	1 715	1.6	13 423	12.3	1 138	1.0
ULSTER	325	9.8	107	3.2	1 319	39.9	64	1.9
WESTCHESTER	4 685	16.1	1 730	5.9	12 102	41.5	273	0.9
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	72	2.5	-	-	680	23.7	42	1.5
CUMBERLAND	100	1.7	27	0.4	1 066	17.6	4	0.1
DURHAM	67	1.9	-	-	339	9.5	2 249	63.3
FORSYTH	122	3.3	-	-	995	26.7	101	2.7
GASTON	58	2.7	23	1.1	475	22.2	37	1.7
GUILFORD	256	5.5	-	-	1 467	31.5	487	10.5
MECKLENBURG	148	1.8	37	0.4	1 665	20.1	1 077	13.0
ONSLow	35	1.8	-	-	298	15.6	30	1.6
WAKE	132	4.5	-	-	688	23.5	330	11.3
OHIO:								
ALLEN	246	9.6	41	1.6	1 005	39.4	-	-
ASHTABULA	125	3.5	28	0.8	420	11.9	1 769	49.9
BUTLER	218	6.6	57	1.7	981	29.6	127	3.8
CLARK	236	8.2	131	4.5	996	34.5	126	4.4
CLERMONT	119	7.0	65	3.8	371	21.9	141	8.3
COLUMBIANA	199	12.1	88	5.4	428	26.0	51	3.1
CUYAHOGA	2 016	3.8	2 493	4.7	13 383	25.3	7 155	13.5
FRANKLIN	1 708	3.4	1 390	2.8	4 774	9.6	1 404	2.8
GREENE	208	7.7	131	4.2	874	32.2	72	2.7
HAMILTON	927	3.8	1 091	4.5	7 514	31.1	113	0.5
LAKE	476	10.6	157	3.5	1 513	33.7	83	1.9
LICKING	280	13.2	146	6.9	565	26.6	8	0.4
LORAIN	409	10.2	72	1.8	1 453	36.1	-	-
LUCAS	651	5.0	649	6.5	6 761	51.5	-	-
MAHONING	369	7.9	270	5.8	984	21.1	192	4.1
MONTGOMERY	1 003	5.7	1 213	6.9	4 485	25.5	2 769	15.8
PORTAGE	243	8.2	120	4.0	733	24.6	1	(2)
RICHLAND	396	15.0	87	3.3	715	27.2	70	2.7
STARK	400	5.2	256	3.3	3 242	42.4	20	0.3
SUMMIT	950	9.1	627	6.0	3 084	29.6	399	3.8
TRUMBULL	289	7.3	63	1.6	772	19.6	3	0.1
WOOD	138	7.6	85	4.7	340	18.7	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
CLEVELAND	201	31.7	-	-	87	13.7	-	-
COMANCHE	194	12.4	41	2.5	399	25.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA	731	15.7	440	9.5	1 364	29.3	-	-
TULSA	717	8.6	191	2.3	1 645	19.8	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	685	10.6	276	4.3	1 607	24.9	216	3.3
JACKSON	360	4.7	-	-	1 961	25.6	-	-
LANE	1 584	11.0	692	4.8	5 110	35.6	-	-
MARION	761	15.1	175	3.5	1 321	26.1	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 980	12.1	1 668	6.8	5 208	21.2	2	

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	106 329	8 860	1 509	17.0	167	11.1	549	36.4
LEHIGH	265 035	32 213	5 280	16.4	155	2.9	1 717	32.5
LUZERNE	341 074	21 325	4 708	22.1	235	5.0	2 089	44.4
LYCOMING	115 123	16 750	2 717	16.2	24	0.9	891	32.8
MERCER	127 077	8 673	1 877	21.6	23	1.2	804	42.8
MONTGOMERY	629 121	57 291	16 109	28.1	1 961	12.2	5 332	33.1
NORTHAMPTON	225 573	23 047	4 470	19.4	52	1.2	1 446	32.3
NORTHUMBERLAND	99 001	11 102	1 633	14.7	84	5.1	600	36.7
SCHUYLKILL	158 356	15 107	2 697	17.9	48	1.8	941	34.9
WASHINGTON	214 031	16 005	2 058	12.5	383	12.5	1 364	44.6
WESTMORELAND	302 714	42 061	4 750	11.3	170	3.6	2 220	46.7
YORK	289 703	21 185	5 133	24.2	771	15.0	1 825	35.6
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	117 213	6 418	1 966	30.6	833	42.4	548	27.9
CHARLESTON	260 537	26 032	5 948	22.8	3 325	55.9	1 449	24.4
GREENVILLE	269 136	47 646	6 661	14.0	3 162	47.3	1 592	23.9
LEXINGTON	126 573	13 420	2 872	21.4	1 502	52.3	733	27.3
RICHLAND	248 176	21 364	8 247	38.6	2 877	34.9	3 631	44.0
SPARTANBURG	195 083	20 762	4 120	19.8	2 443	59.3	1 001	24.3
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	271 750	83 902	5 959	7.1	1 788	30.0	1 942	32.6
KNOX	299 708	80 344	5 582	6.9	2 276	40.8	1 922	34.4
SHELBY	748 733	192 737	40 190	20.9	5 000	12.4	6 660	16.6
SULLIVAN	137 320	50 861	1 994	3.9	849	42.6	705	35.4
TEXAS:								
BELL	159 888	5 220	2 162	41.4	339	15.7	538	24.9
BEXAR	947 207	36 612	16 009	43.7	2 140	13.4	4 353	27.2
BRAZORIA	136 120	12 242	3 178	26.0	1 135	35.7	1 082	34.0
CAMERON	177 114	9 351	5 103	54.6	219	4.3	1 039	20.4
DALLAS	1 458 358	74 669	33 889	45.4	8 876	26.2	10 847	32.0
EL PASO	436 640	15 593	7 100	45.5	1 284	18.1	2 270	32.0
GALVESTON	194 035	18 658	4 365	23.4	1 119	25.6	1 488	34.1
HARRIS	2 123 161	184 348	55 704	30.2	7 188	12.9	19 380	34.8
HIDALGO	235 033	8 972	4 433	49.4	424	9.6	1 235	27.9
JEFFERSON	245 403	14 020	5 493	39.2	1 160	21.1	1 593	29.0
LUBBOCK	200 332	17 643	3 029	17.2	575	19.0	1 050	34.7
MCLENNAN	160 762	10 243	2 950	28.8	486	16.5	702	23.8
MUECES	249 375	18 448	7 667	41.6	627	8.2	2 450	32.0
SMITH	111 557	4 386	2 019	46.0	512	25.4	901	44.6
TARRANT	773 414	31 618	14 317	45.3	3 111	21.7	4 207	29.4
TAYLOR	104 296	2 820	1 495	53.0	218	14.6	693	46.4
TRAVIS	388 187	19 181	9 494	49.5	1 556	16.4	2 484	26.2
WICHITA	122 519	3 968	1 520	38.3	358	23.6	533	35.1
UTAH:								
DAVIS	122 692	5 873	1 362	23.2	626	46.0	176	12.9
SALT LAKE	540 533	93 697	15 099	16.1	7 297	48.3	2 473	16.4
UTAH	177 106	9 013	3 064	34.0	375	12.2	478	15.6
WEBER	135 974	11 722	2 148	18.3	595	27.7	295	13.7
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	111 734	179	143	79.9	7	4.9	134	93.7
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	152 269	119 789	11 402	9.5	7 678	67.3	1 200	10.5
CHESTERFIELD	121 741	72 084	4 211	5.8	2 707	64.3	579	13.7
FAIRFAX	533 954	436 701	31 666	7.3	17 910	56.6	3 854	12.2
HENRICO	171 535	113 335	12 577	11.1	6 150	48.9	2 969	23.6
PRINCE WILLIAM	131 363	86 855	5 357	6.2	3 363	62.8	846	15.8
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	164 481	25 949	5 361	20.7	1 960	36.6	1 153	21.5
KING	1 160 211	217 072	35 086	16.2	11 602	33.1	7 912	22.6
KITSAP	122 063	18 136	3 666	20.2	1 357	37.0	778	21.2
PIERCE	426 067	53 939	11 491	21.3	4 539	39.5	2 175	18.9
SNOHOMISH	275 357	38 336	7 776	20.3	3 344	43.0	1 797	23.1
SPOKANE	312 467	33 570	8 424	25.1	2 866	34.0	1 743	20.7
YAKIMA	158 527	19 469	4 207	21.6	1 113	26.5	1 134	27.0
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	105 534	7 250	1 105	15.2	317	28.7	174	15.7
KANAWHA	227 341	13 135	2 325	17.7	582	25.0	521	22.4
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	174 930	30 758	3 480	11.3	2 001	57.5	688	19.8
DANE	311 740	57 281	9 295	16.2	3 236	34.8	2 657	28.6
KENOSHA	124 453	21 684	3 482	16.1	1 724	49.5	876	25.2
MARATHON	106 468	19 032	2 222	11.7	1 130	50.9	402	18.1
MILWAUKEE	1 003 569	313 586	29 646	9.5	5 253	17.7	10 233	34.5
OUTAGAMIE	127 175	21 580	2 553	11.8	991	38.8	832	32.6
RACINE	176 793	39 511	6 574	16.6	2 096	31.9	1 282	19.5
ROCK	134 730	32 090	3 650	11.4	1 176	32.2	916	25.1
WAUKESHA	270 691	39 034	5 343	13.7	2 637	49.4	1 452	27.2
WINNEBAGO	132 629	24 048	2 670	11.1	1 016	38.1	662	24.8

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
³ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.
⁴ Population as of July 1, 1977 from Bureau of the Census estimates.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	86	5.7	32	2.1	675	44.7	-	-
LEHIGH	499	9.5	146	2.8	2 763	52.3	-	-
LUZERNE	414	8.8	199	4.2	1 771	37.6	-	-
LYCOMING	196	7.2	64	2.4	1 526	56.2	16	0.6
MERCER	233	12.4	130	6.9	665	35.4	22	1.2
MONTGOMERY	1 533	9.5	545	3.4	6 738	41.8	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	288	6.4	170	3.8	2 514	56.2	-	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	152	9.3	91	5.6	706	43.2	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	148	5.5	108	4.0	1 452	53.8	-	-
WASHINGTON	237	7.8	75	2.5	999	32.7	-	-
WESTMORELAND	567	11.9	170	3.6	1 623	34.2	-	-
YORK	235	4.6	90	1.8	2 212	43.1	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	87	4.4	36	1.8	462	23.5	-	-
CHARLESTON	235	4.0	139	2.3	770	12.9	30	0.5
GREENVILLE	364	5.5	155	2.3	1 012	15.2	376	5.6
LEXINGTON	102	3.6	34	1.2	367	12.8	84	2.9
RICHLAND	718	8.7	285	3.0	763	9.3	13	0.2
SPARTANBURG	194	4.7	73	1.8	253	6.1	156	3.8
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	178	3.0	-	-	1 843	30.9	208	3.5
KNOX	147	2.6	-	-	1 165	20.9	72	1.3
SHELBY	666	1.7	774	1.9	6 727	16.7	20 363	50.7
SULLIVAN	61	3.1	4	0.2	375	18.8	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	493	22.8	138	6.4	597	27.6	57	2.6
BEXAR	2 028	12.7	456	2.8	5 264	32.9	1 768	11.0
BRAZORIA	271	8.5	57	1.8	604	19.0	29	0.9
CAMERON	273	5.3	84	1.6	1 026	20.1	2 462	48.2
DALLAS	3 722	11.0	1 325	3.9	8 853	26.1	266	0.8
EL PASO	1 093	15.4	244	3.4	2 179	30.7	30	0.4
GALVESTON	561	12.9	124	2.8	779	17.8	294	6.7
HARRIS	6 255	11.2	2 111	3.8	15 399	27.6	5 371	9.6
HIDALGO	337	7.6	98	2.2	2 339	52.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	764	13.9	201	3.7	1 118	20.4	657	12.0
LUBBOCK	388	12.8	64	2.1	898	29.6	54	1.8
MCLENNAN	303	10.3	43	1.5	1 247	42.3	169	5.7
MUECES	591	7.7	142	1.9	2 166	28.3	1 691	22.1
SMITH	169	8.4	43	2.1	341	16.9	53	2.6
TARRANT	2 705	18.9	281	2.0	2 825	19.7	1 188	8.3
TAYLOR	139	9.3	96	6.4	319	21.3	30	2.0
TRAVIS	865	9.1	184	1.9	4 231	44.6	174	1.8
WICHITA	174	11.4	37	2.4	395	26.0	23	1.5
UTAH:								
DAVIS	196	14.4	68	5.0	146	10.7	150	11.0
SALT LAKE	1 849	12.2	460	3.0	2 247	14.9	773	5.1
UTAH	222	7.2	73	2.4	1 770	57.8	146	4.8
WEBER	331	15.4	75	3.5	747	34.8	105	4.9
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	125 793 274	25 857 877	4 348 153	16.8	1 275 614	29.3	1 091 804	25.1
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	112 409	4 508	930	20.6	161	17.3	512	55.1
JEFFERSON	652 222	92 017	13 962	15.2	3 907	28.0	4 885	35.0
MADISON	10 195	2 823	2 823	27.7	549	19.1	1 029	36.5
MOBILE	186 532	23 490	8 322	35.4	2 138	25.7	2 341	28.1
MONTGOMERY	352 970	8 436	3 326	39.4	628	18.9	1 338	40.2
TUSCALOOSA	184 473	10 244	3 028	29.6	599	19.8	1 018	33.6
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	1 288 754	183 218	38 579	21.1	8 886	23.0	9 516	24.7
PIMA	454 627	106 413	27 370	25.7	7 388	27.0	5 143	18.8
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	325 159	13 140	4 713	35.9	1 290	27.4	1 433	30.4
SEBASTIAN	88 707	4 934	1 818	36.8	300	16.5	549	30.2
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	1 099 186	343 141	81 512	23.8	10 072	12.4	21 232	26.0
BUTTE	127 841	48 131	6 313	12.9	2 008	32.3	1 509	24.3
CONTRA COSTA	612 866	216 654	44 496	20.5	8 652	19.4	6 551	14.9
FRESNO	472 492	181 920	32 074	17.6	9 143	28.5	5 719	17.8
HUMBOLDT	106 793	47 732	7 827	16.4	3 773	39.3	1 672	21.4
KERN	363 305	171 177	29 842	17.4	8 371	28.1	4 504	15.1
LOS ANGELES	7 028 518	2 489 549	427 852	17.2	131 705	30.8	95 657	22.4
MARIN	223 518	75 279	12 354	16.4	2 447	19.8	2 951	23.9
MERCED	60 272	5 836	5 836	9.7	1 699	29.1	1 343	23.0
MONTEREY	124 330	81 206	17 648	21.7	5 572	31.6	3 401	19.3
ORANGE	275 304	345 533	75 307	23.0	12 992	16.4	22 287	28.1
RIVERSIDE	1 799 032	194 595	33 405	17.2	10 760	32.2	7 446	22.3
SACRAMENTO	575 095	721 311	406 117	54 928	16 845	30.7	9 343	17.0
SAN BERNARDINO	736 540	277 084	47 956	17.3	15 726	32.8	11 913	24.8
SAN DIEGO	1 675 714	435 141	72 997	16.8	13 713	18.9	19 422	26.6
SAN JOAQUIN	311 612	138 045	22 254	16.1	5 994	26.9	4 943	22.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	134 498	50 871	8 309	16.3	2 818	33.9	1 718	20.7
SAN MATEO	587 724	165 861	37 129	22.4	6 522	17.6	7 443	20.0
SANTA BARBARA	287 433	109 088	21 068	19.3	5 964	28.3	4 613	21.9
SANTA CLARA	1 216 365	381 507	61 449	16.1	10 781	17.5	14 582	23.7
SANTA CRUZ	169 188	61 757	12 333	20.0	2 937	23.8	2 652	21.5
SOLANO	201 430	67 825	12 963	19.1	2 144	16.5	2 825	21.8
SONOMA	263 243	96 892	14 439	14.9	5 085	35.2	2 577	17.8
STANISLAUS	235 997	101 607	15 267	15.0	3 325	21.8	3 026	19.8
TULARE	216 927	109 211	15 452	14.1	4 261	27.6	2 780	18.0
VENTURA	467 480	188 787	29 921	15.8	9 219	30.8	5 630	18.8
YOLO	106 425	39 232	7 030	17.9	2 339	33.3	1 325	18.8
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	224 133	41 198	3 692	9.0	2 101	56.9	37	1.0
ARAPAHOE	238 179	23 985	4 398	18.3	1 786	40.7	595	13.5
BOULDER	171 436	24 673	3 431	13.9	1 550	45.2	53	1.5
EL PASO	285 987	30 914	4 881	15.8	743	15.2	1 435	29.4
JEFFERSON	335 842	42 004	5 461	13.0	2 825	51.7	124	2.3
LARIMER	124 401	21 484	2 158	10.0	1 235	57.2	-	-
PUEBLO	123 408	29 450	1 948	6.6	612	31.4	188	9.7
WELD	109 980	24 706	3 491	14.1	1 649	47.2	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	398 488	59 094	7 896	13.4	6 322	80.1	1 247	15.8
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	126 363	18 637	7 874	42.2	2 550	32.4	3 802	48.3
BREVARD	233 955	41 984	5 985	14.3	2 977	49.7	1 984	33.1
BROWARD	867 861	87 934	20 700	23.5	8 202	39.6	6 273	30.3
DADE	1 439 854	510 588	78 682	15.4	43 130	54.8	18 535	23.6
ESCAMBIA	225 793	33 034	13 119	39.7	2 602	19.8	8 075	61.6
HILLSBOROUGH	583 383	125 597	21 183	16.9	8 951	42.3	5 383	25.4
LEE	165 965	34 381	5 381	15.7	2 998	55.7	1 307	24.3
LEON	129 406	17 250	3 946	22.9	1 972	50.0	1 213	30.7
MANATEE	125 300	29 347	3 227	11.0	1 712	53.1	852	26.4
OKALOOSA	104 247	12 459	1 748	14.0	755	43.2	794	45.4
ORANGE	414 378	63 235	16 203	20.2	8 155	50.3	3 931	24.3
PALM BEACH	477 250	77 707	17 764	22.9	9 458	53.2	4 053	22.8
PASCO	142 033	19 787	4 148	21.0	2 468	59.5	1 094	26.4
PINELLAS	654 254	77 332	17 614	22.8	6 381	36.2	7 219	41.0
POLK	277 175	37 750	8 230	21.8	3 994	48.5	2 607	31.7
SARASOTA	167 570	20 809	4 880	23.5	3 042	62.3	899	18.4
SEMINOLE	142 943	17 512	3 738	21.2	2 303	61.6	836	22.4
VOLUSIA	213 741	33 983	10 592	31.2	3 041	28.7	1 607	15.2
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	143 730	13 943	4 063	29.1	1 339	33.0	1 112	27.4
CHATHAM	191 613	28 448	5 691	20.0	2 241	39.4	1 925	33.8
CLAYTON	136 108	25 342	5 602	22.1	3 040	54.3	1 293	23.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	407 549	9.4	152 328	3.5	1 668 694	29.2	152 164	3.5
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	47	5.1	-	-	210	22.6	-	-
JEFFERSON	996	7.1	38	0.3	3 854	27.6	282	2.0
MADISON	374	12.2	-	-	859	30.4	12	0.4
MOBILE	370	4.4	16	0.2	3 457	41.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	366	11.0	-	-	942	28.3	52	1.6
TUSCALOOSA	144	4.8	9	0.3	1 258	41.5	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	4 852	12.6	2 889	7.5	12 376	32.1	60	0.2
PIMA	3 015	11.0	1 424	5.2	10 400	38.0	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	745	15.8	149	3.2	922	19.6	174	3.7
SEBASTIAN	169	9.3	57	3.1	431	23.7	312	17.2
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	9 922	12.2	6 715	8.2	32 602	40.0	969	1.2
BUTTE	980	15.8	218	3.5	1 494	24.0	4	0.1
CONTRA COSTA	5 300	11.9	2 576	5.8	20 619	46.3	698	1.6
FRESNO	4 237	13.2	1 575	4.9	9 838	30.7	1 562	4.9
HUMBOLDT	1 005	12.8	334	4.3	1 653	21.1	90	1.1
KERN	3 327	11.1	883	3.0	11 809	39.6	948	3.2
LOS ANGELES	50 035	11.7	24 940	5.8	125 515	29.3	-	-
MARIN	1 470	11.9	901	7.3	4 137	33.5	448	3.6
MERCED	689	11.8	185	3.2	1 740	29.8	180	3.1
MONTEREY	2 328	13.2	849	4.8	5 223	29.6	275	1.6
ORANGE	10 657	13.4	3 856	4.9	27 872	35.1	1 643	2.1
RIVERSIDE	4 262	12.8	878	2.6	10 059	30.1	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8 567	15.6	3 149	5.7	15 476	28.2	1 548	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	4 245	8.9	1 767	3.7	14 305	29.8	-	-
SAN DIEGO	9 289	12.7	4 391	6.0	25 894	35.5	288	0.4
SAN JOAQUIN	2 720	12.2	1 495	6.0	7 079	31.8	23	0.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 292	15.5	262	3.2	2 171	26.1	48	0.6
SAN MATEO	3 257	8.8	1 548	4.2	16 419	44.2	1 940	5.2
SANTA BARBARA	2 438	11.6	998	4.7	7 055	33.5	-	-
SANTA CLARA	7 607	12.4	3 205	5.2	24 693	40.2	581	0.9
SANTA CRUZ	1 598	13.0	619	5.0	4 268	34.6	259	2.1
SOLANO	1 480	11.4	734	5.7	5 780	44.6	-	-
SONOMA	1 673	11.6	561	3.9	4 462	30.9	81	0.6
STANISLAUS	2 089	13.7	448	3.9	5 973	39.1	406	2.7
TULARE	2 057	13.3						

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	251 635	47 135	9 751	20.7	4 334	44.4	3 776	38.7
DE KALB	457 698	93 562	18 431	19.7	10 239	55.6	3 647	19.8
FULTON	566 963	59 341	19 138	32.3	2 782	14.5	7 852	41.0
WINNETT	128 206	29 015	4 623	15.9	2 147	46.4	1 524	33.0
RICHMOND	154 829	18 638	5 920	31.8	2 050	34.6	1 184	20.0
IDAHO:								
ADA	144 665	13 176	6 206	47.1	1 398	22.5	826	13.3
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	168 156	11 648	2 520	21.6	799	31.7	466	18.5
COOK	5 309 763	333 307	196 418	58.9	16 550	8.4	51 581	26.3
DU PAGE	580 262	57 936	10 357	17.9	2 767	26.7	2 817	27.2
KANE	271 399	15 305	5 050	33.0	1 365	27.0	1 252	24.8
LAKE	416 208	42 952	8 339	19.4	2 865	34.6	1 255	15.0
LA SALLE	108 849	10 868	1 875	17.3	479	25.5	306	16.3
MCHENRY	133 788	7 476	2 907	38.9	1 408	48.4	436	15.0
MCLEAN	118 389	8 524	3 427	40.2	768	22.4	501	14.6
MACON	127 227	6 793	2 195	32.3	926	42.2	489	22.3
MADISON	246 366	15 420	4 322	28.0	1 372	31.7	1 273	29.5
PEORIA	201 223	15 003	4 369	29.1	1 379	31.6	821	18.8
ROCK ISLAND	163 778	11 578	2 686	23.2	1 088	40.5	464	17.3
ST. CLAIR	280 531	14 953	4 720	31.6	1 208	25.6	1 025	21.7
SANGAMON	173 727	11 384	3 455	30.3	1 243	36.0	602	17.4
TAZEWELL	127 907	6 086	1 751	28.8	622	35.5	421	24.0
WILL	306 491	19 056	4 873	25.6	2 388	49.0	1 182	24.3
WINNEBAGO	241 715	23 862	5 582	23.4	1 897	34.0	1 237	22.2
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	288 527	34 870	5 992	17.2	1 868	31.2	1 759	29.4
DELAWARE	128 900	11 369	1 638	14.4	455	27.8	561	34.2
ELKHART	134 031	13 685	2 729	19.9	930	34.1	696	25.5
LAKE	543 056	81 765	12 093	14.8	3 441	28.5	3 885	32.1
LA PORTE	106 820	10 664	2 279	21.4	665	29.2	853	37.4
MADISON	138 205	15 454	2 028	13.1	374	18.4	761	37.5
ST. JOSEPH	239 228	26 865	4 527	16.9	1 105	24.4	1 582	34.9
TIPPECANOE	115 417	9 793	1 736	17.7	630	36.3	367	21.1
VANDERBURGH	162 099	16 614	3 369	20.3	1 131	33.6	1 178	35.0
VIGO	111 521	11 213	1 380	16.8	342	18.2	793	42.2
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	136 993	12 442	3 129	25.1	589	18.8	1 271	40.6
LINN	167 491	17 929	4 122	23.0	872	21.2	1 291	31.3
POLK	301 030	36 666	10 269	28.0	1 010	9.8	3 354	32.7
SCOTT	154 336	10 622	2 479	23.3	750	30.3	769	31.0
WOODBURY	103 535	13 462	2 474	18.4	354	14.3	1 050	42.4
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	251 401	30 514	4 812	15.8	1 647	34.2	1 465	30.4
SEDGWICK	352 595	35 620	9 553	26.8	1 520	15.9	2 666	27.9
SHAWNEE	156 334	16 205	4 614	28.5	841	18.2	1 158	25.1
WYANDOTTE	176 668	10 886	3 692	33.9	591	16.0	1 519	41.1
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	683 495	85 052	27 140	31.9	11 747	43.3	4 042	14.9
KENTON	130 431	21 034	1 497	7.1	703	47.0	275	18.4
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	242 518	17 955	5 080	28.3	2 195	43.2	876	17.2
CALCASIEU	156 540	29 436	5 746	19.5	3 539	61.6	822	14.3
JEFFERSON	422 801	95 905	24 013	25.0	11 947	49.8	4 278	17.8
LAFAYETTE	132 318	18 483	3 752	20.3	2 273	60.6	620	16.5
QUACHITA	130 226	13 967	3 192	22.9	1 495	46.8	407	12.8
RAPIDES	124 836	16 068	2 950	18.4	1 588	53.8	398	13.5
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	204 783	2 493	1 608	64.5	571	35.5	208	12.9
KENNEBEC	103 256	1 259	523	41.5	144	27.5	116	22.2
PENOBSCOT	136 467	1 476	846	57.3	201	23.8	256	30.3
YORK	125 867	1 252	701	56.0	208	29.7	146	20.8
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	356 374	245 442	13 116	5.3	9 927	75.7	1 235	9.4
BALTIMORE	641 976	473 578	29 983	6.3	24 447	81.5	1 954	6.5
HARFORD	143 664	91 711	3 230	3.5	1 304	40.4	640	19.8
MONTGOMERY	571 868	550 062	38 848	7.1	26 197	67.4	5 795	14.9
PRINCE GEORGES	671 984	486 895	36 526	7.5	26 976	73.9	4 026	11.0
WASHINGTON	108 716	60 368	1 148	1.9	461	40.2	204	17.8
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	136 919	5 350	3 473	64.9	496	14.3	1 286	37.0
BERKSHIRE	143 840	3 263	2 252	69.0	43	1.9	967	42.9
BRISTOL	468 893	8 180	5 985	73.2	1.1	1.1	3 150	52.6
ESSEX	624 862	14 263	11 627	81.5	74	0.6	6 016	51.7
HAMPDEN	456 868	10 219	11 741	114.9	88	0.7	5 711	48.6
HAMPSHIRE	131 858	3 643	2 554	70.1	23	0.9	914	35.8

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	551	5.7	38	0.4	923	9.5	129	1.3
DE KALB	915	5.0	206	1.1	3 284	17.8	140	0.8
FULTON	1 914	10.0	368	1.7	5 994	31.3	228	1.2
WINNETT	202	4.4	8	0.2	742	16.1	-	-
RICHMOND	400	6.8	78	1.3	2 208	37.3	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	404	6.5	171	2.8	591	9.5	2 816	45.4
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	344	13.7	176	7.0	735	29.2	-	-
COOK	15 190	7.7	7 988	4.1	60 698	30.9	44 411	22.6
DU PAGE	1 660	16.0	512	4.9	2 567	24.8	34	0.3
KANE	468	9.3	154	3.0	1 785	35.3	26	0.5
LAKE	933	11.2	150	1.8	7 054	36.6	61	0.7
LA SALLE	235	12.5	55	2.9	364	19.4	436	23.3
MCHENRY	295	10.1	98	3.4	670	23.0	-	-
MCLEAN	214	6.2	81	2.4	444	13.0	1 419	41.4
MACON	249	11.3	94	4.3	437	19.9	-	-
MADISON	397	9.2	172	4.0	1 108	25.6	-	-
PEORIA	440	10.1	119	2.7	1 610	36.9	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	352	13.1	43	1.6	561	20.9	178	6.6
ST. CLAIR	504	10.7	184	3.9	1 799	38.1	-	-
SANGAMON	406	11.8	117	3.4	1 066	30.9	21	0.6
TAZEWELL	256	14.6	63	3.6	354	20.2	35	2.0
WILL	524	10.8	210	4.3	549	11.3	20	0.4
WINNEBAGO	572	10.2	249	4.5	1 627	29.1	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	395	6.6	170	2.8	1 800	30.0	-	-
DELAWARE	153	9.3	44	2.7	361	22.0	64	3.9
ELKHART	149	5.5	71	2.6	703	25.8	180	6.6
LAKE	1 226	10.1	300	2.5	3 132	25.9	109	0.9
LA PORTE	182	8.0	1	(2)	382	16.8	196	8.6
MADISON	158	7.8	89	4.4	1 507	25.0	139	6.9
ST. JOSEPH	400	8.8	145	3.2	1 002	22.1	293	6.5
TIPPECANOE	281	16.2	43	2.5	415	23.9	-	-
VANDERBURGH	312	9.3	204	6.1	410	12.2	134	4.0
VIGO	220	11.7	68	3.6	393	20.9	64	3.4
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	217	6.9	220	7.0	825	26.4	7	0.2
LINN	335	8.1	282	6.8	1 342	32.6	-	-
POLK	747	7.3	541	5.3	4 077	39.7	540	5.3
SCOTT	244	9.8	113	4.6	598	24.1	5	0.2
WOODBURY	256	10.3	212	8.6	602	24.3	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	643	13.4	39	0.8	1 018	21.2	-	-
SEDGWICK	1 168	12.2	-	-	2 275	23.8	1 924	20.1
SHAWNEE	469	10.2	-	-	1 547	33.5	599	13.0
WYANDOTTE	429	11.6	37	1.0	1 014	27.5	102	2.8
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	1 710	6.3	326	1.2	7 882	29.0	1 433	5.3
KENTON	78	5.2	1	0.1	280	18.7	160	10.7
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	262	5.2	176	3.5	1 571	30.9	-	-
CALCASIEU	475	8.3	25	0.4	885	15.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 944	8.1	22	0.1	5 368	22.4	454	1.9
LAFAYETTE	93	2.5	10	0.3	586	15.6	170	4.5
QUACHITA	417	13.1	96	3.0	469	14.7	308	9.6
RAPIDES	273	9.3	68	2.3	623	21.1	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	116	7.2						

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	1 386 584	29 428	34 565	117.5	178	0.5	24 618	71.2
NORFOLK	619 534	13 202	8 418	63.8	67	0.8	4 191	49.8
PLYMOUTH	387 947	8 695	8 252	101.9	335	4.1	4 289	52.0
WORCESTER	641 242	13 815	10 409	75.3	58	0.6	5 420	52.1
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	120 957	29 201	6 544	22.4	1 444	22.1	1 090	16.7
BERRIEN	170 302	21 885	6 280	28.7	1 298	20.7	1 845	29.4
CALHOUN	140 265	21 332	5 805	27.2	1 121	19.3	2 170	37.4
GENESEE	447 396	73 223	15 277	20.9	2 570	16.8	5 378	35.2
INGHAM	271 467	32 134	4 592	26.7	2 078	24.2	2 566	29.9
JACKSON	148 805	20 568	4 547	22.1	1 089	23.9	1 548	34.0
KALAMAZOO	206 425	20 755	9 318	44.9	1 739	18.7	3 944	42.3
KENT	430 705	53 267	10 813	20.3	2 582	23.9	2 042	18.9
MACOMB	687 316	78 579	14 014	17.8	3 079	22.0	4 357	31.1
MONROE	129 419	26 226	4 720	18.0	1 916	40.6	1 110	23.5
MUSKEGON	158 677	32 050	4 826	15.1	1 358	28.1	1 489	39.9
OAKLAND	989 807	171 725	24 489	14.3	5 943	24.7	6 275	25.6
OTTAWA	145 770	14 357	2 920	20.3	1 131	38.7	814	27.9
SAGINAW	227 397	37 801	7 884	20.9	2 466	31.3	1 934	24.5
ST. CLAIR	132 209	40 595	3 976	9.8	985	24.8	1 256	31.6
WASHTENAW	250 788	35 371	9 777	27.6	2 726	27.9	2 790	28.5
WAYNE	2 451 273	399 572	78 856	19.7	8 239	10.4	25 570	32.4
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	194 573	31 332	5 208	16.6	1 758	33.8	1 116	21.4
DAKOTA	184 102	24 252	3 005	12.4	3 846	28.2	1 061	35.3
HENNEPIN	909 619	228 300	37 023	16.2	9 824	10.3	9 384	25.3
RAMSEY	454 078	143 372	25 689	17.9	2 067	8.0	5 213	20.3
ST. LOUIS	224 381	68 885	9 467	13.7	1 658	17.5	2 374	25.1
STEARN	106 816	11 700	1 478	12.6	1 500	33.8	652	44.1
WASHINGTON	111 833	17 363	2 853	16.4	1 215	42.6	742	26.0
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	146 672	14 912	3 738	25.1	843	22.6	2 271	60.8
HINDS	235 448	14 574	3 823	26.2	731	19.1	937	24.5
JACKSON	113 096	20 249	2 059	10.2	717	34.8	814	39.5
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	135 054	6 457	2 189	33.9	543	24.8	572	26.1
GREENE	172 487	5 978	1 806	30.2	538	29.8	738	40.9
JACKSON	625 749	69 353	17 563	25.3	1 398	8.0	5 118	29.1
JEFFERSON	125 932	8 929	1 634	18.3	673	41.2	547	33.5
ST. CHARLES	122 897	5 552	2 017	36.3	1 058	52.5	497	24.6
ST. LOUIS	977 954	88 980	29 934	33.6	17 329	57.9	6 529	21.8
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	407 976	53 255	7 729	14.5	1 975	25.6	1 879	24.3
LANCASTER	183 267	27 799	3 211	11.6	544	16.9	1 394	43.4
NEVADA:								
CLARK	361 095	127 817	38 481	30.1	20 686	53.8	6 071	15.8
WASHOE	156 785	35 877	8 065	22.5	2 833	35.1	1 618	20.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	256 355	9 538	2 132	22.4	208	9.8	963	45.2
ROCKINGHAM	175 316	6 291	1 604	25.5	291	18.1	766	47.8
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	190 579	55 020	5 458	9.9	66	1.2	1 929	35.3
BERGEN	874 329	120 142	17 334	14.4	3 838	22.1	4 972	28.7
BURLINGTON	361 243	60 998	7 228	11.8	419	5.8	2 141	29.6
CAMDEN	471 552	122 319	17 042	13.9	775	4.5	4 263	25.0
CUMBERLAND	131 175	29 923	3 663	12.2	179	4.9	776	21.2
ESSEX	852 345	277 445	41 930	15.1	4 884	11.6	12 854	30.7
GLOUCESTER	196 370	25 711	3 538	13.8	402	11.4	722	20.4
HUDSON	564 189	113 427	15 570	13.7	4 044	26.0	4 152	26.7
MERCER	316 501	72 417	14 086	19.5	502	3.6	2 662	18.9
MIDDLESEX	593 823	121 129	14 865	12.3	1 748	11.8	4 788	32.2
MONMOUTH	491 683	83 005	8 101	9.8	796	9.9	2 295	28.3
MORRIS	396 513	63 112	7 215	11.4	1 834	25.9	1 583	21.9
OCEAN	319 566	52 780	6 567	12.4	885	13.5	1 914	29.1
PASSAIC	460 288	86 656	13 758	15.9	780	5.7	4 586	33.3
SOMERSET	203 650	32 065	4 998	15.6	1 780	15.6	1 114	22.3
UNION	512 273	71 744	15 507	21.6	1 486	9.6	4 792	30.9
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	377 345	21 704	8 357	38.5	2 991	35.8	186	2.2
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	286 883	129 826	7 733	6.0	966	12.5	1 247	16.1
BROOME	219 281	57 956	4 126	7.1	1 329	32.2	601	14.6
CHAUTAUQUA	146 878	60 657	3 573	5.9	1 042	29.2	324	9.1
CHEMUNG	99 103	34 255	2 178	6.4	659	30.3	215	9.9
DUTCHESS	232 236	74 221	4 788	6.5	1 499	31.3	467	9.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	660	1.9	730	2.1	8 378	24.2	1	(Z)
NORFOLK	1 097	13.0	113	1.3	2 876	34.2	74	0.9
PLYMOUTH	461	5.6	290	3.5	2 863	34.7	14	0.2
WORCESTER	248	2.4	204	2.0	4 395	42.2	84	0.8
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	350	5.3	226	3.5	3 434	52.5	-	-
BERRIEN	609	9.7	243	3.9	2 285	36.4	-	-
CALHOUN	503	8.7	213	3.7	1 798	31.0	-	-
GENESEE	2 298	15.0	431	2.8	4 525	29.6	75	0.5
INGHAM	1 164	13.5	637	7.4	2 147	25.0	-	-
JACKSON	354	7.8	227	5.0	1 329	29.2	-	-
KALAMAZOO	858	9.2	400	4.3	2 377	25.5	-	-
KENT	845	7.8	508	4.7	4 683	43.3	153	1.4
MACOMB	1 671	11.9	-	-	4 907	35.0	-	-
MONROE	270	5.7	171	3.6	1 253	26.5	-	-
MUSKEGON	347	7.2	95	2.0	1 515	31.4	22	0.5
OAKLAND	2 190	8.9	1 031	4.2	8 958	36.6	92	0.4
OTTAWA	162	5.5	109	3.7	704	24.1	-	-
SAGINAW	578	7.3	466	5.9	2 328	29.5	112	1.4
ST. CLAIR	357	9.0	170	4.3	1 208	30.4	-	-
WASHTENAW	683	7.0	485	5.0	3 093	31.6	-	-
WAYNE	5 576	7.1	5 706	7.2	33 280	42.2	485	0.6
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	667	12.8	203	3.9	1 464	28.1	-	-
DAKOTA	404	13.4	129	4.3	565	18.8	-	-
HENNEPIN	5 007	13.5	1 962	5.3	16 533	44.7	313	0.8
RAMSEY	2 250	8.8	508	2.0	15 513	60.4	138	0.5
ST. LOUIS	482	8.9	489	5.2	4 104	43.4	-	-
STEARN	153	10.4	4	0.3	169	11.4	-	-
WASHINGTON	238	8.3	86	3.0	572	20.0	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	175	4.7	15	0.4	434	11.6	-	-
HINDS	86	2.2	159	4.2	1 794	46.9	116	3.0
JACKSON	98	4.8	37	1.8	361	17.5	32	1.6
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	208	13.2	-	-	786	35.9	-	-
GREENE	160	8.9	-	-	370	20.5	-	-
JACKSON	1 660	9.5	-	-	9 387	53.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	160	9.8	-	-	146	8.9	108	6.6
ST. CHARLES	209	10.4	-	-	253	12.5	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 494	5.0	-	-	4 523	15.1	59	0.2
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	848	11.0	368	4.3	2 644	34.2	15	0.2
LANCASTER	534	16.6	219	6.8	520	16.2	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	2 979	7.7	1 049	2.7	7 278	18.9	418	1.1
WASHOE	1 188	14.7	485	6.0	1 827	22.7	114	1.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	142	6.7	-	-	819	38.4	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	85	5.3	-	-	462	28.8	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	1 248	22.9	-	-	2 050	37.6	165	3.0
BERGEN	3 021	17.4	22	0.1	5 317	30.7	164	0.9
BURLINGTON	1 328	18.4	-	-	3 244	44.9	96	1.3
CAMDEN	3 007	17.6	-	-	8 841	51.9	156	0.9
CUMBERLAND	346	9.4	-	-	2 325	63.5	37	1.0
ESSEX	5 373	12.8	102	0.2	18 576	44.3	141	0.3
GLOUCESTER	780	22.0	-	-	1 598	45.2	36	1.0
HUDSON	2 941	18.9	-	-	4 380	28.1	53	0.3
MERCER	1 497	10.6	-	-	9 347	66.		

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	1 077 086	435 716	27 239	6.3	6 463	23.7	3 627	13.3
MONROE	707 160	305 982	21 486	7.0	5 999	27.9	4 652	21.7
NASSAU	1 399 725	737 134	151 868	20.6	107 056	70.5	10 505	6.9
NIAGARA	237 772	78 551	4 764	6.1	1 546	32.5	954	20.0
ONEIDA	262 521	74 639	3 712	5.0	789	21.3	993	26.8
ONONDAGA	474 133	260 718	16 699	6.4	4 213	25.2	2 105	12.6
ORANGE	246 939	89 742	4 506	5.0	81	1.8	1 525	33.8
OSWEGO	111 239	42 325	2 388	5.6	768	32.2	542	22.7
RENSSELAER	151 471	53 074	2 531	4.8	501	19.8	301	11.9
ROCKLAND	257 543	108 397	6 009	5.5	1 132	18.8	666	11.1
ST. LAWRENCE	117 101	36 195	1 734	4.8	428	24.7	348	20.1
SARATOGA	148 212	36 197	1 725	4.8	537	31.1	402	23.3
SCHENECTADY	155 930	46 969	2 755	5.9	349	12.7	431	15.6
SUFFOLK	100 738	28 692	1 625	5.7	314	19.3	222	13.7
ULSTER	1 295 268	600 502	105 036	17.5	76 141	72.5	7 910	7.5
WESTCHESTER	154 597	48 989	2 924	6.0	512	17.5	713	24.4
WESTCHESTER	874 068	431 205	26 424	6.1	5 682	21.5	2 012	7.6
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	151 788	70 485	2 866	4.1	1 547	54.0	525	18.3
CUMBERLAND	230 917	110 700	5 922	5.3	2 587	43.7	2 138	36.1
DURHAM	143 144	71 602	3 554	5.0	2 492	13.8	407	11.5
FORSYTH	228 433	116 963	3 476	3.0	1 165	33.5	1 327	38.2
GASTON	155 186	69 889	2 098	3.0	1 271	60.6	273	13.0
GUILFORD	304 288	146 606	4 556	3.1	1 724	37.8	716	15.7
MECKLENBURG	377 150	208 756	7 900	3.8	2 772	35.1	2 238	28.3
ONCLOW	115 168	35 778	1 903	5.3	2 470	24.6	1 075	56.3
WAKE	273 011	123 918	2 930	2.4	890	30.4	891	30.4
OHIO:								
ALLEN	107 072	20 632	2 551	12.4	581	22.8	678	26.6
ASHTABULA	99 722	12 877	3 544	27.5	601	17.0	601	17.0
BUTLER	250 479	30 298	3 318	11.0	792	23.9	1 143	34.4
CLARK	150 059	22 410	2 870	12.8	602	21.0	795	27.7
CLERMONT	114 195	19 015	1 654	8.7	340	20.6	655	39.6
COLUMBIANA	112 620	9 977	1 493	15.0	228	15.3	649	43.5
CUYAHOGA	1 559 471	223 994	51 474	23.0	7 828	15.2	20 068	39.0
FRANKLIN	869 996	124 365	49 557	39.8	4 275	8.6	36 415	73.5
GREENE	128 774	21 550	2 711	12.6	4 661	24.4	765	28.2
HAMILTON	883 339	104 274	22 449	21.5	6 578	29.3	7 975	35.5
LAKE	210 670	33 541	4 486	13.4	787	17.5	1 470	32.8
LICKING	114 947	14 354	2 128	14.8	434	20.4	695	32.7
LORAIN	263 929	4 020	32 979	12.2	848	21.1	1 238	30.8
LUCAS	469 030	60 267	12 981	21.5	827	6.4	4 042	31.1
MAHONING	299 845	42 932	4 404	10.3	794	18.0	1 795	40.8
MONTGOMERY	580 609	150 788	17 088	11.3	4 429	20.1	4 660	27.3
PORTAGE	132 212	29 274	2 975	10.2	846	28.4	1 032	34.7
RICHLAND	130 067	12 694	2 633	20.7	685	26.0	396	25.8
STARK	376 258	44 644	7 644	17.1	2 007	26.3	1 720	22.5
SUMMIT	529 253	77 521	10 330	13.3	2 404	23.3	2 940	28.5
TRUMBULL	240 190	30 376	3 934	13.0	1 295	32.9	1 512	38.4
WOOD	102 697	17 275	1 746	10.1	538	30.8	714	40.9
OKLAHOMA:								
CLEVELAND	105 489	3 041	456	15.0	232	50.9	114	25.0
COMANCHE	117 471	4 151	1 273	30.7	354	27.8	449	35.3
OKLAHOMA	547 141	23 655	3 930	16.6	226	5.8	1 891	48.1
TULSA	431 918	25 535	7 373	28.9	983	13.3	4 505	61.1
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	213 154	32 464	6 450	19.9	2 635	40.9	1 031	16.0
JACKSON	117 919	22 253	7 672	34.5	1 867	24.3	3 484	45.4
LANE	249 268	57 656	14 328	24.9	3 567	24.9	3 401	23.7
MARION	177 363	16 884	5 053	29.9	1 566	31.0	1 231	24.4
MULTNOMAH	545 198	87 700	24 247	27.6	8 351	34.4	5 823	24.0
WASHINGTON	203 196	29 560	5 951	20.1	2 424	40.7	1 046	17.6
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	1 493 272	185 093	29 173	15.8	6 782	23.2	8 916	30.6
BEAVER	208 705	23 296	3 828	16.4	447	11.7	1 787	46.7
BERKS	304 064	31 620	7 158	22.6	161	2.2	2 054	28.7
BLAIR	10 392	1 758	35	16.9	35	2.0	873	49.7
BUCKS	469 738	39 265	9 573	24.4	731	7.6	3 826	40.0
BUTLER	141 527	17 519	1 858	10.6	211	11.4	721	38.8
CAMBRIA	188 432	20 503	2 721	13.3	160	5.9	1 255	46.1
CENTRE	109 323	8 503	1 090	12.8	16	1.5	499	45.8
CHESTER	303 512	27 489	7 575	27.6	769	10.2	2 170	28.6
CUMBERLAND	171 575	12 802	2 324	18.2	277	11.9	822	35.4
DAUPHIN	223 669	29 138	6 807	23.4	193	2.8	2 254	35.8
DELAWARE	582 060	83 124	15 937	19.2	1 025	6.4	5 705	30.9
ERIE	273 833	21 069	6 191	29.4	210	3.4	1 910	42.9
FAYETTE	155 901	5 813	1 986	34.2	318	16.0	934	47.0
FRANKLIN	107 256	7 135	1 447	20.3	39	2.7	449	31.0
LACKAWANNA	231 387	16 435	2 710	16.5	54	2.0	1 248	46.1
LANCASTER	347 914	27 650	5 147	18.6	313	6.1	2 318	45.0
LAWRENCE	105 983	6 926	1 231	17.8	23	1.9	596	48.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	3 285	12.1	1 261	4.6	12 473	45.8	130	0.5
MONROE	2 137	9.9	837	3.9	7 574	35.3	287	1.3
NASSAU	7 978	5.3	2 312	1.5	23 507	15.5	510	0.3
NIAGARA	438	9.2	172	3.6	1 635	34.3	19	0.4
ONEIDA	525	14.1	159	4.3	1 246	33.6	-	-
ONONDAGA	1 438	8.6	743	4.4	7 659	45.9	541	3.2
ORANGE	654	14.5	296	6.6	1 950	43.3	-	-
OSWEGO	239	10.0	39	1.6	800	33.5	-	-
RENSSELAER	350	13.8	136	5.4	1 243	49.1	-	-
ROCKLAND	1 063	17.7	351	5.8	2 797	46.5	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	155	8.9	87	5.0	716	41.3	-	-
SARATOGA	227	13.2	56	3.2	503	29.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY	420	15.2	71	2.6	1 477	53.6	7	0.3
STEUBEN	213	13.1	94	5.8	782	48.1	-	-
SUFFOLK	5 084	4.8	1 715	1.6	13 048	12.4	1 138	1.1
ULSTER	325	11.1	107	3.7	1 203	41.1	64	2.2
WESTCHESTER	4 685	17.7	1 730	6.5	12 042	45.6	273	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	72	2.5	-	-	680	23.7	42	1.5
CUMBERLAND	100	1.7	27	0.5	1 066	18.0	4	0.1
DURHAM	67	1.9	-	-	339	9.5	2 249	63.3
FORSYTH	122	3.5	-	-	765	22.0	97	2.8
GASTON	58	2.8	23	1.1	436	20.8	37	1.6
GUILFORD	256	5.6	-	-	1 373	30.1	487	10.7
MECKLENBURG	148	1.9	-	-	1 665	21.1	1 077	13.6
ONCLOW	35	1.8	-	-	298	15.6	30	1.6
WAKE	132	4.5	-	-	687	23.4	330	11.3
OHIO:								
ALLEN	246	9.6	41	1.6	1 005	39.4	-	-
ASHTABULA	125	3.5	28	0.8	420	11.9	1 769	49.9
BUTLER	218	6.6	57	1.7	981	29.6	127	3.8
CLARK	236	8.2	131	4.6	980	34.1	126	4.4
CLERMONT	119	7.2	65	3.9	334	20.2	141	8.5
COLUMBIANA	199	13.3	88	5.9	278	18.6	51	3.4
CUYAHOGA	2 016	3.9	2 493	4.8	11 914	23.1	7 155	13.9
FRANKLIN	1 708	3.4	1 390	2.8	4 365	8.8	1 404	2.8
GREENE	208	7.7	131	4.8	874	32.2	72	2.7
HAMILTON	927	4.1	964	4.3	5 926	26.4	79	0.4
LAKE	476	10.6	157	3.5	1 513	33.7	83	1.9
LICKING	280	13.2	146	6.9	565	26.6	8	0.4
LORAIN	409	10.2	72	1.8	1 453	36.1	-	-
LUCAS	651	5.0	849	6.5	6 612	50.9	-	-
MAHONING	369	8.4	270	6.1	984	22.3	192	4.4
MONTGOMERY	1 003	5.9	1 014	7.1	4 014	23		

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Population ²	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	106 329	8 542	1 454	17.0	167	11.5	549	37.8
LEHIGH	265 035	31 115	5 002	16.1	155	3.1	1 717	34.3
LUZERNE	341 074	20 343	4 427	21.8	235	5.3	2 089	47.2
LYCOMING	115 123	15 107	2 700	17.9	24	0.9	891	33.0
MERCER	127 077	8 610	1 875	21.8	23	1.2	804	42.9
MONTGOMERY	629 191	53 445	15 880	29.7	1 961	12.3	5 332	33.6
NORTHAMPTON	225 573	21 635	3 970	18.3	52	1.3	1 446	36.4
NORTHUMBERLAND	99 001	10 940	1 590	14.5	84	5.3	600	37.7
SCHUYLKILL	158 336	14 585	2 675	18.3	48	1.8	941	35.2
WASHINGTON	214 031	15 778	2 849	18.1	383	13.4	1 218	42.8
WESTMORELAND	382 714	40 861	4 750	11.6	170	3.6	2 220	46.7
YORK	289 703	19 499	4 917	25.2	771	15.7	1 825	37.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	117 213	6 178	1 928	31.2	795	41.2	548	28.4
CHARLESTON	260 537	26 032	5 756	22.1	3 302	57.4	1 449	25.2
GREENVILLE	269 136	45 142	6 661	14.8	3 162	47.5	1 592	23.9
LEXINGTON	126 573	12 373	2 839	22.9	1 469	51.7	783	27.6
RICHLAND	248 176	20 386	8 151	40.0	2 820	34.6	3 631	44.5
SPARTANBURG	195 083	19 998	4 061	20.3	2 384	58.7	1 001	24.6
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	271 750	59 644	5 958	10.0	1 787	30.0	1 942	32.6
KNOX	299 708	59 536	5 560	9.3	2 255	40.6	1 922	34.6
SHELBY	748 733	118 473	39 802	33.6	5 000	12.6	6 660	16.7
SULLIVAN	137 320	41 985	1 994	4.7	849	42.6	705	35.4
TEXAS:								
BELL	159 888	5 220	2 161	41.4	338	15.6	538	24.9
BEXAR	947 207	33 673	15 960	47.4	2 140	13.4	4 353	27.3
BRAZORIA	136 120	12 242	3 145	25.7	1 131	36.0	1 039	21.0
CAMERON	177 114	9 276	4 943	53.3	219	4.4	1 039	21.0
DALLAS	1 458 358	72 426	33 889	46.8	8 876	26.2	10 847	32.0
EL PASO	436 640	14 493	7 100	49.0	1 284	18.1	2 270	32.0
GALVESTON	194 035	18 658	4 316	23.1	1 116	25.9	1 488	34.5
HARRIS	2 123 161	184 164	55 704	30.2	7 188	12.9	19 380	34.8
HIDALGO	235 033	8 951	4 433	49.5	424	9.6	1 235	27.9
JEFFERSON	245 483	14 020	5 456	38.9	1 159	21.2	1 593	29.2
LUBBOCK	200 332	17 381	2 978	17.1	575	19.3	1 050	35.3
MCLENNAN	160 762	10 028	2 934	29.3	486	16.6	701	23.9
NUECES	249 375	18 125	7 657	42.2	627	8.2	2 450	32.0
SMITH	111 557	4 386	1 966	44.8	512	26.0	901	45.8
TARRANT	773 414	31 351	13 912	44.4	3 111	22.4	3 395	28.5
TAYLOR	104 296	2 761	1 465	53.1	218	14.9	693	47.3
TRAVIS	388 187	19 181	9 372	48.9	1 552	16.6	2 484	26.5
WICHITA	122 519	3 950	1 501	38.0	357	23.8	533	35.5
UTAH:								
DAVIS	122 692	5 873	1 330	22.6	626	47.1	176	13.2
SALT LAKE	540 533	89 814	15 099	16.8	7 297	48.3	2 478	16.4
UTAH	177 106	9 013	3 062	34.0	375	12.2	478	15.6
WEBER	135 974	11 722	2 148	18.3	595	27.7	295	13.7
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	111 734	179	143	79.9	7	4.9	134	93.7
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	152 269	115 410	11 001	9.5	7 678	69.8	799	7.3
CHESTERFIELD	121 741	71 903	4 151	5.8	2 707	65.2	519	12.5
FAIRFAX	533 954	421 335	30 632	7.3	17 885	58.4	3 065	10.0
HENRICO	171 535	113 290	12 305	10.9	6 120	49.7	2 810	22.8
PRINCE WILLIAM	131 363	86 247	5 244	6.1	3 363	64.1	763	14.5
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	164 481	21 277	5 347	25.1	1 946	36.4	1 153	21.6
KING	1 160 211	147 035	34 708	23.6	11 602	33.4	7 912	22.8
KITSAP	122 063	15 581	3 666	23.5	1 357	37.0	718	21.2
PIERCE	426 067	49 972	11 445	22.9	4 493	39.3	2 175	19.0
SNOHOMISH	275 357	30 007	7 326	24.4	2 894	39.5	1 797	24.5
SPOKANE	312 467	29 298	8 424	28.8	2 866	34.0	1 743	20.7
YAKIMA	158 527	16 972	4 207	24.8	1 113	26.5	1 134	27.0
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	105 534	7 061	1 104	15.6	317	28.7	174	15.8
KANAWHA	227 341	13 135	2 298	17.5	582	25.3	494	21.5
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	174 930	30 758	3 412	11.1	2 000	58.6	636	18.6
DANE	311 740	56 921	9 109	16.0	3 201	35.1	2 508	27.5
KENOSHA	124 453	21 684	3 393	15.6	1 715	50.5	808	23.7
MARATHON	106 468	18 960	2 169	11.4	1 130	52.1	349	16.1
MILWAUKEE	1 003 569	295 719	29 641	10.0	5 253	17.7	10 230	34.5
OUTAGAMIE	127 175	21 467	2 478	11.5	991	40.0	757	30.5
RACINE	176 793	39 499	6 414	16.2	2 087	32.5	1 149	17.9
ROCK	134 730	32 027	3 589	11.2	1 176	32.8	855	23.8
WAUKESHA	270 691	38 853	5 185	13.3	2 626	50.6	1 328	25.6
WINNEBAGO	132 629	24 025	2 636	11.0	1 012	38.4	633	24.0

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

² Population as of July 1, 1977 from Bureau of the Census estimates.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	86	5.9	32	2.2	620	42.6	-	-
LEHIGH	499	10.0	146	2.9	2 485	49.7	-	-
LUZERNE	414	9.4	199	4.5	1 490	33.7	-	-
LYCOMING	196	7.3	64	2.4	1 509	55.9	16	0.6
MERCER	233	12.4	130	6.9	663	35.4	22	1.2
MONTGOMERY	1 533	9.7	545	3.4	6 509	41.0	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	288	7.3	170	4.3	2 014	50.7	-	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	152	9.6	91	5.7	663	41.7	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	148	5.5	108	4.0	1 430	53.5	-	-
WASHINGTON	237	8.3	75	2.6	936	32.9	-	-
WESTMORELAND	567	11.9	170	3.6	1 623	34.2	-	-
YORK	235	4.8	90	1.8	1 996	40.6	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	87	4.5	36	1.9	462	24.0	-	-
CHARLESTON	235	4.1	-	-	770	13.4	-	-
GREENVILLE	364	5.5	155	2.3	1 012	15.2	376	5.6
LEXINGTON	102	3.6	34	1.2	367	12.9	84	3.0
RICHLAND	710	8.7	245	3.0	732	9.0	13	0.2
SPARTANBURG	194	4.8	73	1.8	253	6.2	156	3.8
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	178	3.0	-	-	1 843	30.9	208	3.5
KNOX	147	2.6	-	-	1 165	21.0	71	1.3
SHELBY	666	1.7	774	1.9	6 639	16.7	20 063	50.4
SULLIVAN	61	3.1	4	0.2	375	18.8	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	493	22.8	138	6.4	597	27.6	57	2.6
BEXAR	2 028	12.7	456	2.9	5 264	33.0	1 719	10.8
BRAZORIA	271	8.6	57	1.8	604	19.2	-	-
CAMERON	273	5.5	84	1.7	866	17.5	2 462	49.8
DALLAS	3 722	11.0	1 325	3.9	8 853	26.1	266	0.8
EL PASO	1 093	15.4	244	3.4	2 179	30.7	30	0.4
GALVESTON	561	13.0	124	2.9	779	18.0	248	5.7
HARRIS	6 255	11.2	2 111	3.8	15 399	27.6	5 371	9.6
HIDALGO	337	7.6	98	2.2	2 339	52.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	764	14.0	201	3.7	1 118	20.5	621	11.4
LUBBOCK	388	13.0	64	2.1	898	30.2	3	0.1
MCLENNAN	303	10.3	43	1.5	1 232	42.0	169	5.8
NUECES	591	7.7	142	1.9	2 166	28.3	1 681	22.0
SMITH	169	8.6	43	2.2	341	17.3	-	-
TARRANT	2 591	18.6	281	2.0	2 825	20.3	1 169	8.4
TAYLOR	139	9.5	96	6.6	319	21.8	-	-
TRAVIS	858	9.2	184	2.0	4 229	45.1	65	0.7
WICHITA	174	11.6	37	2.5	395	26.3	5	0.3
UTAH:								
DAVIS	196	14.7	68	5.1	114	8.6	150	11.3
SALT LAKE	1 849	12.2	460	3.0	2 247	14.9	773	5.1
UTAH	222	7.3	73	2.4	1 768	57.7	146	4.8
WEBER	331	15.4	75	3.5	747	34.8	105	4.9
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	445	4.						

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
MIDDLESEX	34 580	34 565	22 451	12 114	671	11 443	-	15	11	4
NORFOLK	8 418	8 418	8 037	111	111	270	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	8 253	8 252	7 252	1 000	227	773	-	1	1	-
WORCESTER	10 409	10 409	9 816	593	77	516	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN										
BAY	6 743	6 544	3 595	2 949	431	2 518	-	199	187	12
BERRIEN	6 509	6 280	6 129	151	151	-	-	229	206	23
CALHOUN	6 155	5 805	5 641	164	164	-	-	350	110	240
GENESEE	15 840	15 277	14 963	314	81	-	233	563	-	563
INGHAM	8 658	8 592	8 465	127	127	-	-	66	48	18
JACKSON	4 756	4 547	4 434	113	113	-	-	209	208	1
KALAMAZOO	9 478	9 318	7 487	1 831	106	1 725	-	160	160	-
KENT	10 872	10 813	10 172	641	94	547	-	59	-	59
HACOMB	14 034	14 014	13 343	671	206	465	-	20	-	20
HONROE	4 814	4 720	4 323	397	397	-	-	94	94	-
HUSKEGON	5 007	4 826	4 792	34	181	-	-	106	106	-
OAKLAND	24 953	24 489	24 031	458	309	18	149	464	458	6
OTTAWA	3 038	2 920	2 867	53	118	-	-	118	116	2
SAGINAW	8 301	7 884	7 126	758	53	-	-	417	116	87
ST. CLAIR	4 121	3 976	3 912	64	145	-	-	145	145	-
WASHTENAW	9 993	9 777	9 449	328	328	-	-	216	117	99
WAYNE	88 497	78 856	70 638	8 218	278	7 940	-	9 641	-	9 641
MINNESOTA										
ANOKA	5 387	5 208	5 018	190	149	41	-	179	179	-
DAKOTA	3 093	3 005	2 889	116	116	-	-	88	5	83
HENNEPIN	37 155	37 023	33 194	3 829	733	3 096	-	132	132	-
RAMSEY	25 725	25 689	19 353	6 336	322	6 014	-	36	36	-
ST. LOUIS	9 604	9 487	9 241	226	226	-	-	137	137	-
STEARN	1 522	1 478	1 400	78	78	-	-	44	-	44
WASHINGTON	2 899	2 853	2 746	107	107	-	-	46	-	46
MISSISSIPPI										
HARRISON	3 838	3 738	2 333	1 405	237	1 168	-	100	-	100
HINDS	3 834	3 823	2 929	894	260	634	-	11	-	11
JACKSON	2 060	2 059	1 919	140	113	27	-	1	-	1
MISSOURI										
CLAY	2 194	2 189	2 083	106	106	-	-	5	5	-
GREENE	1 806	1 806	1 742	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	17 978	17 563	12 921	4 642	361	4 281	-	15	15	-
JEFFERSON	1 640	1 634	1 434	200	97	103	-	6	-	6
ST. CHARLES	2 017	2 017	1 875	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	29 934	29 934	28 297	1 637	1 637	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA										
DOUGLAS	7 729	7 729	7 704	25	12	-	13	-	-	-
LANCASTER	3 211	3 211	3 191	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA										
CLARK	38 481	38 481	36 844	1 637	937	700	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	8 065	8 065	7 845	220	209	11	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE										
HILLSBOROUGH	2 132	2 132	2 110	22	17	5	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	1 604	1 604	1 483	121	47	74	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY										
ATLANTIC	5 458	5 458	5 295	163	38	125	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	17 334	17 334	17 118	216	72	144	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	7 228	7 228	6 320	908	183	725	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	17 042	17 042	14 326	2 716	-	2 716	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	3 663	3 663	3 421	242	24	218	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	41 930	41 930	41 643	287	281	6	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	3 538	3 538	3 525	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	15 570	15 570	15 546	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	14 086	14 086	8 992	5 094	10	5 084	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	14 865	14 865	14 399	466	273	193	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	8 103	8 101	8 096	5	-	5	-	2	2	-
MORRIS	7 215	7 215	7 146	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	6 567	6 567	6 336	231	62	169	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	13 758	13 758	13 689	69	61	8	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	4 998	4 998	4 809	189	96	93	-	-	-	-
UNION	15 507	15 507	14 980	527	177	350	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO										
BERNALILLO	9 065	8 357	4 582	3 775	70	3 705	-	708	-	708
NEW YORK										
ALBANY	8 458	7 733	7 631	102	83	19	-	725	725	-
BROOME	4 902	4 126	3 964	162	123	39	-	776	776	-
CHAUTAUQUA	3 904	3 573	3 471	102	102	-	-	331	106	225
CHEMUNG	3 138	2 178	2 106	72	72	-	-	960	960	-
DUTCHESS	5 355	4 788	4 718	70	70	-	-	567	567	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
ERIE	30 544	27 239	26 640	599	299	300	-	3 305	803	2 502
HONROE	23 637	21 486	21 187	299	299	-	-	2 151	2 151	-
NASSAU	151 868	151 868	148 555	3 313	1 843	1 470	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	5 416	4 764	4 611	153	138	15	-	652	652	-
ONEIDA	4 566	3 712	3 435	277	62	215	-	854	854	-
ONONDAGA	18 038	16 699	16 197	502	151	351	-	1 339	1 339	-
ORANGE	5 059	4 506	4 149	357	8	349	-	553	553	-
OSWEGO	2 717	2 388	2 024	364	323	41	-	329	329	-
RENSSELAER	2 996	2 531	2 484	47	47	-	-	465	465	-
ROCKLAND	6 626	6 009	5 852	157	83	74	-	617	617	-
ST. LAWRENCE	1 993	1 734	1 676	58	28	30	-	259	259	-
SARATOGA	2 000	1 725	1 625	100	95	5	-	275	275	-
SCHENECTADY	3 244	2 755	2 499	256	222	34	-	489	489	-
STEUREN	1 930	1 625	1 566	59	59	-	-	305	305	-
SUFFOLK	108 730	105 036	102 741	2 295	1 622	627	46	3 694	3 694	-
ULSTER	3 309	2 924	2 481	443	30	413	-	385	366	19
WESTCHESTER	29 129	26 424	25 383	1 041	485	556	-	2 705	2 705	-
NORTH CAROLINA										
BUNCOMBE	2 866	2 866	2 433	433	157	276	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	6 042	5 922	3 489	2 433	201	2 232	-	120	-	120
DURHAM	3 554	3 554	3 280	274	55	219	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	3 722	3 476	3 084	392	180	212	-	246	-	246
GASTON	2 137	2 098	1 816	282	14	268	-	39	-	39
GUILFORD	4 650	4 556	4 261	295	292	3	-	94	-	94
MECKLENBURG	8 293	7 900	6 669	1 231	858	373	-	393	-	393
ONslow	1 908	1 908	1 072	836	69	767	-	-	-	-
WAKE	2 931	2 930	2 663	267	122	145	-	1	-	1
OHIO										
ALLEN	2 551	2 551	2 490	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	3 544	3 544	1 742	1 802	59	1 743	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	3 318	3 318	3 128	190	187	3	-	-	-	-
CLARK	2 886	2 886	2 870	268	49	219	-	16	-	16
CLERMONT	1 691	1 654	1 469	185	23	162	-	37	-	37
COLUMBIANA	1 643	1 493	1 475	18	18	-	-	150	-	150
CUYAHOGA	52 944	51 474	42 453	9 021	356	8 665	-	1 470	-	1 470
FRANKLIN	49 966	49 557	15 872	33 685	128	33 557	-	409	-	409
GREENE	2 711	2 711	2 643	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	24 198	22 449	21 744	705	248	457	-	1 749	-	1 749
LAKE	4 486	4 486	3 478	1 008	69	939	-	-	-	-
LICKING	2 128	2 128	2 081	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	4 020	4 020	3 921	99	58	41	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	13 130	12 981	11 156	1 825	244	1 581	-	149	-	149
MAHONING	4 670	4 404	4 232	172	162	10	-	266	-	266
MONTGOMERY	17 559	17 088	17 005	83	83	-	-	471	-	471
PORTAGE	2 975	2 920	2 510	410	55	355	-			

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LEBANON	1 509	1 454	1 408	46	22	24	-	55	55	-
LEHIGH	5 280	5 002	4 888	114	96	18	-	278	278	-
LUZERNE	4 708	4 427	4 403	24	24	-	-	281	281	-
LYCOMING	2 717	2 700	2 351	349	30	179	140	17	6	11
MERCER	1 877	1 875	1 803	72	55	17	-	2	-	2
MONTGOMERY	16 109	15 880	11 539	4 341	149	4 192	-	229	229	-
NORTHAMPTON	4 470	3 970	3 809	161	60	101	-	500	500	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	1 633	1 590	1 581	9	9	-	-	43	32	11
SCHUYLKILL	2 697	2 675	2 675	-	-	-	-	22	22	-
WASHINGTON	3 058	2 849	2 826	23	23	-	-	209	63	146
WESTMORELAND	4 750	4 700	4 700	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	5 133	4 917	4 385	532	348	184	-	216	191	25
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	1 966	1 928	1 816	112	112	-	-	38	38	-
CHARLESTON	5 948	5 756	5 310	446	380	66	-	192	23	169
GREENVILLE	6 661	6 661	6 345	316	314	2	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	2 872	2 839	2 367	472	461	11	-	33	33	-
RICHLAND	8 247	8 151	6 570	1 581	241	1 268	72	96	57	39
SPARTANBURG	4 120	4 061	3 853	208	208	-	-	59	49	10
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	5 959	5 958	5 495	463	463	-	-	1	-	1
KNOX	5 582	5 560	5 155	405	405	-	-	22	-	22
SHELBY	40 190	39 802	18 670	21 132	553	20 579	-	388	-	388
SULLIVAN	1 994	1 994	1 918	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	2 162	2 161	2 093	68	68	-	-	1	1	-
BEXAR	16 009	15 960	15 280	680	680	-	-	49	28	21
BRAZORIA	3 178	3 145	2 710	435	134	-	301	33	33	-
CAMERON	5 103	4 943	2 439	2 504	175	2 329	-	160	-	160
DALLAS	33 889	33 889	32 872	1 017	997	-	20	-	-	-
EL PASO	7 100	7 100	7 020	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	4 365	4 316	4 201	115	115	-	-	49	49	-
HARRIS	55 704	55 704	50 738	4 966	1 292	2 250	1 424	-	-	-
HIDALGO	4 433	4 433	3 155	1 278	136	1 141	1	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	5 493	5 456	5 305	151	149	-	2	37	37	-
LUBBOCK	3 029	2 978	2 584	394	199	-	195	51	51	-
MCLENNAN	2 950	2 934	2 818	116	54	62	-	16	1	15
NUECES	7 667	7 657	5 522	2 135	659	1 476	-	10	10	-
SMITH	2 019	1 966	1 639	327	62	-	265	53	53	-
TARRANT	14 317	13 912	12 424	1 488	451	-	1 037	405	129	276
TAYLOR	1 495	1 465	1 353	112	108	3	1	30	30	-
TRAVIS	9 494	9 372	8 731	641	234	407	-	122	122	-
WICHITA	1 520	1 501	1 454	47	34	13	-	19	19	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	1 362	1 330	1 173	157	157	-	-	32	-	32
SALT LAKE	15 099	15 099	14 745	354	340	9	5	-	-	-
UTAH	3 064	3 062	1 479	1 583	83	1 500	-	2	-	2
WEBER	2 148	2 148	2 120	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	11 402	11 001	10 954	47	47	-	-	401	401	-
CHESTERFIELD	4 211	4 151	3 791	360	255	105	-	60	60	-
FAIRFAX	31 666	30 632	29 140	1 492	400	1 092	-	1 034	789	245
HENRICO	12 577	12 305	7 543	4 762	557	4 205	-	272	58	214
PRINCE WILLIAM	5 357	5 244	4 747	497	252	245	-	113	83	30
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	5 361	5 347	5 218	129	129	-	-	14	-	14
KING	35 086	34 708	33 960	748	602	146	-	378	33	345
KITSAP	3 666	3 666	3 489	177	154	23	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	11 491	11 445	11 385	60	50	10	-	46	-	46
SNOHOMISH	7 776	7 326	6 914	412	345	67	-	450	-	450
SPOKANE	8 424	8 424	8 237	187	178	9	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	4 207	4 207	4 106	101	101	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	1 105	1 104	1 090	14	14	-	-	1	-	1
KANAWHA	2 325	2 298	2 169	129	81	48	-	27	27	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	3 480	3 412	3 274	138	138	-	-	68	15	53
DANE	9 295	9 109	8 834	275	275	-	-	186	149	37
KENOSHA	3 482	3 393	3 227	166	161	5	-	50	71	18
MARATHON	2 222	2 169	2 031	138	127	11	-	53	53	-
MILWAUKEE	29 646	29 641	28 917	724	286	438	-	5	-	5
OUTAGAMIE	2 553	2 478	2 250	228	101	127	-	75	75	-
RACINE	6 574	6 414	4 829	1 585	138	1 447	-	160	133	27
ROCK	3 650	3 589	3 495	94	94	-	-	61	61	-
WAUKESHA	5 343	5 185	4 894	291	162	129	-	158	141	17
WINNEBAGO	2 670	2 636	2 011	625	94	150	381	34	29	5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	County ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial				
		Total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees			
			Total	Full-time only		Full-time equivalent	Total		Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
1	TOTAL	962 178	220 974	206 121	212 190	22.1	63 923	61 732	62 318	29.4	60 925	54 837	57 876	27.3
2	ALABAMA:													
3	CALHOUN	222	59	50	54	24.3	20	18	18	33.3	18	12	16	29.6
4	JEFFERSON	4 299	680	614	660	15.4	246	246	246	37.3	170	124	158	23.9
5	HADISON	624	166	138	161	25.8	39	38	31	23.6	45	32	44	27.3
6	MOBILE	1 154	615	520	576	49.9	163	129	138	24.0	142	109	129	22.4
7	MONTGOMERY	601	230	186	216	35.9	90	49	50	23.1	64	41	56	25.9
8	TUSCALOOSA	519	185	168	179	34.5	53	51	51	28.5	23	13	20	11.2
9	ARIZONA:													
10	MARICOPA	7 288	2 093	2 093	2 093	28.7	408	408	408	19.5	623	623	623	29.8
11	PIMA	3 953	1 313	1 288	1 297	32.8	359	355	357	27.5	306	299	301	23.2
12	ARKANSAS:													
13	PULASKI	716	384	371	378	52.8	117	114	117	31.0	85	82	83	22.0
14	SEBASTIAN	259	121	100	110	42.5	23	19	21	19.1	44	32	37	33.6
15	CALIFORNIA:													
16	ALAMEDA	10 747	2 718	2 534	2 599	24.2	373	365	367	14.1	645	632	638	24.5
17	BUTTE	1 059	322	292	298	28.1	110	96	98	32.9	77	72	73	24.5
18	CONTRA COSTA	6 908	1 617	1 511	1 564	22.6	356	344	348	22.3	303	276	290	18.5
19	FRESNO	5 555	1 417	1 290	1 356	24.4	342	337	339	25.0	286	254	267	19.7
20	HUMBOLDT	1 351	356	325	340	25.2	125	118	121	35.6	85	74	83	24.4
21	KERN	5 263	1 272	1 146	1 220	23.2	366	348	355	29.1	199	174	199	16.3
22	LOS ANGELES	78 478	18 935	18 096	18 546	23.6	4 999	4 935	4 964	26.8	4 662	4 140	4 486	24.2
23	MARIN	1 711	533	455	482	28.2	106	103	104	21.6	137	113	124	25.7
24	MERCED	1 744	349	319	331	19.0	95	85	89	26.9	92	79	85	25.7
25	MONTREY	2 461	714	666	690	28.0	189	189	189	27.4	174	157	165	23.9
26	ORANGE	9 568	3 252	3 064	3 153	33.0	613	588	595	18.9	1 030	977	1 013	32.1
27	RIVERSIDE	5 955	1 742	1 628	1 782	29.9	510	504	505	28.3	387	358	381	21.4
28	SACRAMENTO	7 102	2 375	2 279	2 314	32.4	765	746	751	32.5	392	367	380	16.4
29	SAN BERNARDINO	9 154	2 476	2 335	2 465	26.9	731	697	731	29.7	540	522	530	21.5
30	SAN DIEGO	11 296	3 589	3 472	3 519	31.2	710	702	704	20.0	1 019	984	996	28.3
31	SAN JOAQUIN	4 377	1 114	1 032	1 072	24.5	292	290	291	27.1	223	206	212	19.8
32	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 717	369	351	358	20.9	121	116	118	33.0	93	82	86	24.0
33	SAN MATEO	3 884	1 217	1 128	1 168	29.9	201	192	196	16.9	332	305	315	27.1
34	SANTA BARBARA	2 977	950	882	901	30.3	252	235	241	26.7	250	211	222	24.6
35	SANTA CLARA	10 607	2 920	2 422	2 532	23.9	506	403	414	16.4	728	593	637	25.2
36	SANTA CRUZ	1 609	422	387	402	25.0	103	97	99	24.6	97	91	94	23.4
37	SOLANO	1 521	554	527	536	35.2	85	83	83	15.5	130	119	123	22.9
38	SONOMA	3 146	724	644	670	21.3	210	200	202	30.1	150	133	141	21.0
39	STANISLAUS	2 617	760	649	676	25.8	177	152	155	22.9	151	125	135	20.0
40	TULARE	2 097	691	659	672	32.0	225	204	212	31.5	141	136	139	20.7
41	VENTURA	4 485	1 160	1 092	1 112	24.8	336	331	332	29.9	236	222	229	20.6
42	YOLO	1 166	325	319	321	27.5	108	108	108	33.6	73	67	69	21.5
43	COLORADO:													
44	ADAMS	1 310	235	235	235	17.9	123	123	123	52.3	5	5	5	2.1
45	ARAPAHO	585	248	236	239	40.9	97	88	90	37.7	27	27	27	11.3
46	BOULDER	1 947	176	184	176	19.4	82	79	80	43.5	4	4	4	2.2
47	EL PASO	1 248	318	308	313	25.1	46	46	46	14.7	79	79	79	25.2
48	JEFFERSON	1 649	337	329	330	20.0	180	179	179	54.2	-	-	-	-
49	LARIMER	1 023	128	110	114	11.4	72	58	65	55.6	-	-	-	-
50	PUEBLO	771	151	148	149	19.3	34	34	34	22.8	17	17	17	11.4
51	WELD	1 804	174	167	169	9.4	57	54	54	32.0	-	-	-	-
52	DELAWARE:													
53	NEW CASTLE	1 733	357	357	357	20.6	253	253	253	70.9	92	92	92	25.8
54	FLORIDA:													
55	ALACHUA	1 880	316	307	310	16.5	143	143	143	46.1	81	75	77	24.8
56	BREVARD	2 166	327	305	310	14.3	198	181	184	59.4	98	93	95	30.6
57	BROWARD	4 086	1 462	1 352	1 381	33.8	527	454	469	34.0	497	464	476	34.5
58	DADE	20 924	4 121	4 093	4 103	19.6	2 302	2 300	2 300	56.1	1 087	1 093	1 093	25.6
59	ESCAMBIA	897	565	543	557	62.1	231	230	230	41.3	159	147	156	28.0
60	HILLSBOROUGH	6 583	1 056	1 035	1 035	15.7	464	443	443	42.8	257	257	257	24.8
61	LEE	1 494	336	333	334	22.3	200	200	200	59.9	81	78	79	23.7
62	LEON	582	259	242	249	42.8	126	126	126	50.6	92	84	84	33.7
63	MANATEE	1 017	237	225	228	22.4	135	127	129	56.6	65	61	62	27.2
64	OKALOOSA	1 106	135	130	132	11.9	58	58	58	43.9	37	34	36	27.3
65	ORANGE	3 373	1 053	1 053	1 053	31.2	448	447	448	42.5	333	333	333	31.6
66	PALM BEACH	3 590	982	971	976	27.2	469	469	469	48.1	253	242	247	25.3
67	PASCO	1 416	286	268	273	19.3	179	172	174	63.7	76	66	69	25.3
68	PINEHILLS	2 939	961	927	958	32.6	379	379	379	39.6	403	402	402	24.0
69	POLK	2 202	583	582	582	26.4	304	304	304	52.2	180	179	179	30.8
70	SARASOTA	1 094	332	328	330	30.0	201	201	201	61.3	75	69	71	21.6
71	SEMINOLE	1 183	267	256	259	21.9	142	131	134	51.7	85	85	85	32.8
72	VOLUSIA	1 612	517	504	509	31.6	259	258	258	50.7	127	118	122	24.0

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees of 343 large county governments, October 1978

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
	Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only		
1	22 564	21 002	21 678	10.2	4 082	3 769	3 851	1.8	67 112	62 532	64 178	30.2	2 368	2 249	2 289	1.1
2	5	4	4	7.4	-	-	-	-	16	16	16	29.6	-	-	-	-
3	58	38	50	7.6	-	-	-	-	204	204	204	30.9	-	-	-	-
4	21	11	21	13.0	-	-	-	-	61	57	58	36.0	-	-	-	-
5	28	2	28	4.9	-	-	-	-	282	280	281	48.8	-	-	-	-
6	29	15	26	12.0	-	-	-	-	87	81	84	38.9	-	-	-	-
7	13	10	12	6.7	-	-	-	-	96	94	96	53.6	-	-	-	-
8	270	270	270													

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT
Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees			
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only				
1	GEORGIA:													
2	BIBB	597	307	225	245	41.0	89	89	89	36.3	135	68	82	33.5
3	CHATHAM	1 103	402	401	401	36.4	124	123	123	30.7	129	129	129	32.2
4	CLAYTON	1 014	358	335	340	33.5	184	180	181	53.2	77	73	75	22.1
5	COBB	1 834	661	595	623	34.0	276	276	276	44.3	270	210	233	37.4
6	DE KALB	4 382	1 048	1 029	1 038	23.7	564	564	564	54.3	205	191	197	19.0
7	FULTON	2 933	1 164	1 085	1 126	38.4	167	165	166	14.7	472	442	461	40.9
8	WINNETT	786	264	256	261	33.2	146	145	146	55.9	59	56	58	22.2
9	RICHMOND	1 598	413	384	398	24.9	168	164	167	42.0	111	93	101	25.4
10	IDAHO:													
11	ADA	532	300	290	292	54.9	124	118	119	40.8	80	80	80	27.4
12	ILLINOIS:													
13	CHAMPAIGN	489	192	148	170	34.8	64	51	54	31.8	39	26	30	17.6
14	COOK	19 857	8 727	7 987	8 222	41.4	797	790	793	9.6	4 107	3 439	3 653	33.9
15	DU PAGE	1 819	653	586	613	33.7	148	148	148	24.1	194	194	208	33.9
16	KANE	764	358	340	344	28.1	96	88	88	25.6	75	70	72	20.9
17	LAKE	1 557	453	432	437	28.1	141	140	141	32.3	111	107	108	20.7
18	LA SALLE	323	121	107	113	35.0	33	31	32	28.3	32	27	29	25.7
19	MCHEENY	396	181	176	178	44.9	81	79	80	44.9	44	40	41	23.0
20	MCLEAN	403	170	130	153	38.0	41	38	39	25.5	56	30	39	25.5
21	MADISON	288	207	191	196	68.1	82	81	81	41.3	64	64	64	29.6
22	MADISON	654	307	269	284	43.4	81	80	80	28.2	113	91	96	33.8
23	PEORIA	748	269	255	269	40.0	84	82	84	28.1	77	64	68	22.7
24	ROCK ISLAND	691	195	173	181	26.2	69	68	68	37.6	48	29	37	20.4
25	ST. CLAIR	1 130	355	338	344	30.4	109	107	107	31.1	89	80	83	18.2
26	SANGAMON	421	273	255	264	62.7	111	110	110	41.7	55	46	48	24.2
27	TAZEWELL	293	126	101	111	37.9	34	35	35	31.5	47	29	38	34.2
28	WILL	903	332	326	329	36.4	117	117	117	35.6	106	103	105	31.9
29	WINNEBAGO	935	337	316	323	34.5	86	82	83	25.7	82	80	81	25.1
30	INDIANA:													
31	ALLEN	1 634	405	324	377	23.1	99	90	92	24.4	104	92	101	26.8
32	DELANWARE	819	128	97	123	15.0	28	27	27	22.0	52	45	51	41.5
33	ELKHART	615	208	172	194	31.5	63	63	63	32.5	59	48	54	27.8
34	LAKE	3 211	737	678	708	22.0	157	145	149	21.0	261	246	255	36.0
35	LA PORTE	523	164	151	151	28.9	36	33	33	21.9	73	66	70	46.4
36	MADISON	765	194	151	151	23.5	35	30	30	16.7	71	62	70	37.8
37	ST. JOSEPH	1 240	315	255	294	23.7	73	75	75	25.5	128	114	121	41.2
38	TIPPECANOE	401	121	98	115	28.7	33	32	33	28.7	36	26	32	27.8
39	VANDERBURGH	678	272	189	249	36.7	76	69	71	28.5	98	78	89	35.7
40	VIGO	814	170	140	169	20.8	28	27	28	16.6	65	58	65	38.5
41	IOWA:													
42	BLACK HAWK	569	190	169	175	30.8	34	31	32	18.3	76	62	66	37.7
43	LINN	718	246	208	219	30.5	38	35	36	16.4	108	83	89	40.6
44	POLK	1 679	620	588	595	35.4	47	47	47	7.9	205	195	197	33.1
45	SCOTT	410	161	155	157	38.3	47	46	46	29.3	54	53	54	34.4
46	WOODBURY	412	146	131	133	32.3	18	17	17	12.8	69	62	63	47.4
47	KANSAS:													
48	JOHNSON	1 164	340	317	325	27.9	80	76	77	23.7	134	128	131	40.3
49	SEDGWICK	1 533	527	488	498	32.5	134	113	116	23.3	240	240	240	48.2
50	SHAWNEE	644	390	338	350	54.3	71	66	66	18.9	121	99	104	29.7
51	WYANDOTTE	655	308	298	301	46.0	44	42	42	14.0	136	136	136	45.2
52	KENTUCKY:													
53	JEFFERSON	4 011	1 509	1 480	1 488	37.1	583	579	579	38.9	170	158	163	11.0
54	KENTON	438	95	82	89	20.3	43	40	41	46.1	22	18	20	22.5
55	LOUISIANA:													
56	CADDO	764	320	285	299	39.1	97	97	97	32.4	74	54	57	19.1
57	CALCASIEU	1 306	433	315	348	26.6	101	178	197	56.6	86	49	62	17.8
58	JEFFERSON	5 780	1 357	1 254	1 299	22.5	629	617	621	47.8	430	377	398	30.6
59	LAFAYETTE	558	277	217	240	43.0	120	114	116	48.3	82	53	64	26.7
60	QUACHITA	837	235	183	203	24.3	99	98	98	48.3	60	25	34	16.7
61	RAPIDES	654	265	172	202	30.9	143	101	109	54.0	51	16	24	11.9
62	MAINE:													
63	CUMBERLAND	160	152	89	113	70.6	43	29	35	31.0	21	10	13	11.5
64	KENNEBEC	85	59	38	51	60.0	16	15	16	31.4	14	7	14	27.5
65	PENOBSCOT	93	79	60	67	72.0	21	18	19	28.4	24	15	18	26.9
66	YORK	54	58	31	44	81.5	27	6	17	38.6	16	13	14	31.8
67	MARYLAND:													
68	ANNE ARUNDEL	10 067	699	697	697	6.9	508	508	508	72.9	96	94	94	13.5
69	BALTIMORE	18 951	1 799	1 786	1 462	9.4	1 462	1 462	1 462	81.9	117	111	113	6.3
70	HARFORD	4 001	1 170	1 164	1 167	4.2	71	71	71	42.5	35	29	32	19.2
71	MONTGOMERY	18 818	1 317	1 298	1 305	6.9	927	927	927	71.0	135	129	131	10.0
72	PRINCE GEORGES	22 224	1 539	1 539	1 539	6.9	1 018	1 018	1 018	66.1	226	226	226	14.7
73	WASHINGTON	2 972	67	55	60	2.0	29	24	26	43.3	12	9	10	16.7

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
			Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees				
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only					
1	ALABAMA:																	
2	BALDWIN	38	25	29	11.8	2	2	2	0.8	43	43	43	17.6	1	1	1	0.3	2
3	BLAINE	22	22	22	5.5	11	11	11	2.7	116	116	116	28.9	1	1	1	0.3	3
4	CHAMBERLAIN	29	27	27	7.9	1	1	1	0.3	67	54	56	16.5	1	1	1	0.3	4
5	CHEROKEE	43	39	43	6.9	1	1	1	0.3	67	65	66	10.6	5	5	5	0.8	5
6	CLAY	54	51	54	5.2	11	11	11	1.1	207	206	206	19.8	6	6	6	0.6	6
7	COCAHULL	119	101	109	9.7	6	6	6	0.5	397	371	381	33.8	3	3	3	0.3	7
8	DADE	16	12	14	5.4	1	1	1	0.3	43	43	43	16.5	1	1	1	0.1	8
9	DEKALB	30	25	27	6.8	1	1	1	0.3	104	102	103	25.9	1	1	1	0.1	9
10	FLORIDA:																	
11	ALACHUA	29	29	29	9.9	10	10	10	3.4	57	53	54	18.5	1	1	1	0.1	10
12																		

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial									
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees						
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent							
1	NEW YORK:																			
2	ALBANY	3 106	471	390	410	13.2	93	83	85	20.7	71	36	42	10.2						
3	BROOME	2 035	236	209	219	10.8	80	76	80	36.5	29	17	19	8.7						
4	CHAUTAUQUA	1 440	240	148	183	12.7	57	55	55	30.1	26	2	3	4.4						
5	CHEMUNG	1 034	145	120	134	13.0	46	42	43	32.1	2	2	2	1.5						
6	DUTCHESS	1 887	302	290	292	15.5	105	93	95	32.5	10	10	10	3.4						
7	ERIE	11 111	1 293	1 202	1 230	11.1	350	333	341	27.7	114	110	111	9.0						
8	HONROE	5 155	942	872	893	17.3	335	302	308	34.5	81	73	75	8.4						
9	NASSAU	20 584	5 621	5 616	5 621	27.3	4 157	4 157	4 157	74.0	95	95	95	1.7						
10	NIAGARA	1 874	265	207	244	13.0	89	84	86	35.2	31	28	29	11.9						
11	ONEIDA	2 004	235	213	225	11.2	56	54	56	24.9	29	29	29	12.9						
12	ONONDAGA	5 267	1 031	791	873	16.6	323	226	258	29.6	111	55	74	8.5						
13	ORANGE	2 258	199	175	185	8.2	4	4	4	0.5	46	39	41	22.2						
14	OSWEGO	1 247	168	125	143	11.5	42	40	40	28.0	35	16	22	15.4						
15	RENSSELAER	1 821	196	162	175	9.6	54	49	52	29.7	19	11	14	8.0						
16	ROCKLAND	3 253	293	293	293	9.0	105	105	105	35.8	8	8	8	0.2						
17	ST. LAWRENCE	1 142	123	99	106	9.3	31	30	30	28.3	19	6	8	7.5						
18	SARATOGA	1 028	119	93	106	10.3	41	39	40	37.7	27	16	20	18.9						
19	SCHENECTADY	1 253	146	90	115	9.2	10	5	7	6.1	28	1	10	8.7						
20	STUBEN	966	101	74	86	8.9	19	15	17	19.8	10	4	5	5.8						
21	SUFFOLK	9 846	4 301	4 239	4 257	43.2	3 280	3 227	3 239	76.1	8	8	8	0.2						
22	ULSTER	1 742	192	168	181	10.4	47	44	45	24.9	7	7	7	3.9						
23	WESTCHESTER	7 373	1 030	1 022	1 026	13.9	286	278	282	27.5	19	6	8	7.5						
24	NORTH CAROLINA:																			
25	BUNCOMBE	3 763	166	161	163	4.3	90	89	89	54.6	22	22	22	13.5						
26	CUMBERLAND	7 700	264	256	259	3.4	164	162	162	62.5	38	34	36	13.9						
27	DURHAM	3 610	95	78	87	2.4	38	28	32	36.8	26	23	25	28.7						
28	FORSYTH	7 092	176	170	172	2.4	60	60	60	34.9	57	57	57	33.1						
29	GASTON	3 505	125	120	122	3.5	72	71	71	58.2	15	15	15	12.3						
30	GUILFORD	8 149	268	251	257	3.2	117	117	117	45.5	36	30	32	12.5						
31	HECKLENBURG	10 514	340	317	325	3.1	138	134	136	41.8	70	62	65	20.0						
32	ONSLOW	2 012	82	78	79	3.8	29	29	29	36.7	20	19	19	24.1						
33	WAKE	7 389	184	168	174	2.4	58	58	58	33.3	71	64	68	39.1						
34	OHIO:																			
35	ALLEN	869	203	151	173	19.9	56	50	52	30.1	62	44	55	31.8						
36	ASHTABULA	666	139	113	132	19.8	40	39	39	29.5	59	39	57	43.2						
37	BUTLER	1 561	251	201	228	14.6	54	51	52	22.8	100	74	94	41.2						
38	CLARK	882	213	172	193	21.9	42	37	38	19.7	69	56	69	35.8						
39	CLERMONT	610	146	109	140	23.0	32	28	28	20.0	66	52	66	47.1						
40	COLUMBIANA	461	129	100	121	26.2	16	13	14	11.6	61	43	59	48.8						
41	CUYAHOGA	12 007	2 528	2 302	2 428	20.2	247	244	245	10.1	1 020	885	963	39.7						
42	FRANKLIN	3 391	528	488	488	24.1	157	150	154	18.8	304	254	304	37.3						
43	GREENE	8 816	181	144	160	19.6	50	48	49	30.6	42	35	42	34.4						
44	HAMILTON	4 405	1 330	1 271	1 302	29.6	255	245	247	19.0	566	526	553	42.5						
45	LAKE	1 759	264	198	228	13.0	53	38	40	17.5	118	85	106	46.5						
46	LICKING	471	147	112	132	28.0	31	28	30	22.7	55	41	52	39.4						
47	LORAIN	1 082	330	277	308	28.5	61	51	54	17.5	118	89	112	36.4						
48	LUCAS	2 461	819	719	776	31.5	78	70	71	9.1	306	267	303	39.0						
49	MAHONING	1 787	422	328	377	21.1	79	58	61	16.2	173	132	165	43.8						
50	MONTGOMERY	3 570	910	823	863	24.2	174	170	172	19.9	319	258	288	33.4						
51	PORTAGE	1 887	333	203	226	12.0	67	65	66	29.2	77	70	76	33.6						
52	RICHLAND	597	199	159	177	29.6	49	49	49	27.7	53	43	50	28.2						
53	STARBUCK	2 052	567	484	531	25.7	122	113	116	21.8	163	128	159	29.9						
54	SUMMIT	3 748	751	688	700	18.7	209	204	204	29.1	242	185	227	32.4						
55	TRUMBULL	1 316	281	241	268	20.4	78	74	75	28.0	131	98	123	45.9						
56	WOOD	654	125	99	112	17.1	28	27	27	24.1	48	33	42	37.5						
57	OKLAHOMA:																			
58	CLEVELAND	200	49	40	44	22.0	21	20	20	45.5	17	12	15	34.1						
59	COMANCHE	703	110	79	87	12.4	24	16	18	20.7	41	28	31	35.6						
60	OKLAHOMA	1 120	332	324	325	29.0	14	14	14	4.3	195	187	188	57.8						
61	TULSA	1 382	345	322	334	24.2	55	51	52	15.6	160	141	152	45.5						
62	OREGON:																			
63	CLACKAMAS	975	356	320	326	33.4	141	137	137	42.0	83	72	74	22.7						
64	JACKSON	943	426	396	266	28.2	104	101	102	38.3	76	64	67	25.2						
65	LANE	1 766	663	570	593	33.6	228	186	188	31.7	246	217	230	38.8						
66	MARION	816	351	314	324	39.7	100	90	91	28.1	99	93	96	29.6						
67	MULTNOMAH	2 548	1 075	1 058	1 065	41.8	330	329	329	30.9	303	298	300	28.2						
68	WASHINGTON	1 162	380	357	362	31.2	139	136	136	37.6	82	78	80	22.1						
69	PENNSYLVANIA:																			
70	ALLEGHENY	8 592	2 050	2 024	2 034	23.7	451	451	451	22.2	787	787	787	38.7						
71	BEAVER	580	292	244	261	45.0	39	27	30	11.5	132	123	126	48.3						
72	BERKS	1 722	432	425	428	24.9	9	9	9	2.1	135	135	135	31.5						
73	BLAIR	1 174	129	114	129	23.2	3	3	3	2.3	71	58	66	51.2						
74	BUCKS	1 749	598	576	589	33.7	60	58	59	10.0	269	255	264	44.8						
75	BUTLER	705	150	131	138	19.6	16	14	15	10.9	68	63	65	47.1						
76	CAMBRIA	946	240	208	220	23.3	24	24	24	10.9	104	91	94	42.7						

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	54	44	49	12.0	30	22	24	5.9	214	204	206	50.2	9	1	4	1.0
2	30	30	30	13.7	12	12	12	5.5	83	73	77	35.2	2	1	1	0.5
3	30	8	18	9.8	15	5	13	7.1	112	78	89	48.6	-	-	-	-
4	20	10	17	12.7	6	3	6	4.5	71	65	66	49.3	-	-	-	-
5	31	31	31	1												

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial							
			Number of employees			Percent of full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of full-time criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of full-time criminal justice system employees				
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
1	WASHINGTON:																	
2	CLARK	894	308	283	294	32.9	105	103	103	35.0	67	53	62	21.1				
3	KING	4 234	1 772	1 614	1 704	40.2	561	559	559	32.8	455	389	455	26.7				
4	KITSAP	687	235	184	200	29.1	81	63	67	35.5	59	44	52	26.0				
5	PIERCE	1 931	698	643	669	34.6	269	269	269	40.2	155	124	144	21.5				
6	SNOHOMISH	1 555	474	419	447	28.7	139	129	131	29.3	143	121	136	30.4				
7	SPOKANE	1 159	507	489	492	42.5	167	166	166	33.7	134	127	129	26.2				
8	YAKIMA	772	276	276	276	35.8	69	69	69	25.0	80	80	80	29.0				
9	WEST VIRGINIA:																	
10	CABELL	1 442	84	80	81	5.6	25	24	24	29.6	17	17	17	21.0				
11	KANAWHA	599	213	174	185	30.9	66	52	55	29.7	56	49	51	27.6				
12	WISCONSIN:																	
13	BROWN	962	218	191	201	20.9	110	109	110	54.7	54	42	47	23.4				
14	DANE	1 489	455	408	421	28.3	142	139	139	35.0	156	139	145	34.4				
15	KENOSHA	827	174	171	173	20.9	100	98	99	57.2	35	35	35	20.2				
16	MARATHON	1 207	129	116	118	9.8	68	59	60	50.8	26	24	25	21.2				
17	MILWAUKEE	11 081	1 256	1 199	1 223	11.0	236	234	235	19.2	448	402	424	34.7				
18	OUTAGAMIE	724	127	112	116	16.0	55	55	55	47.4	41	28	32	27.6				
19	RACINE	1 136	271	263	267	23.5	116	114	115	43.1	61	61	61	22.8				
20	ROCK	927	198	191	193	20.8	67	67	67	34.7	40	39	40	20.7				
21	WAUKESHA	1 333	300	287	291	21.8	143	141	142	48.8	89	81	84	28.9				
22	WINNEBAGO	876	135	127	131	15.0	62	61	62	47.3	46	42	44	33.6				

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

equivalent employees of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	36	34	35	11.9	2	2	2	0.7	97	90	91	31.0	1	1	1	0.3
2	166	144	148	8.7	13	13	13	0.8	573	505	525	30.8	4	4	4	0.2
3	22	21	21	10.5	10	10	10	5.0	65	46	50	25.0	-	-	-	-
4	77	65	69	10.3	12	10	10	1.5	181	172	174	26.0	4	3	3	0.4
5	58	52	56	12.5	2	2	2	0.4	128	111	118	26.4	4	4	4	0.9
6	39	39	39	7.9	26	26	26	5.3	136	126	127	25.8	5	5	5	1.0
7	24	24	24	8.7	-	-	-	-	100	100	100	36.2	3	3	3	1.1
8	8	7	7	8.6	-	-	-	-	34	32	33	40.7	-	-	-	-
9	21	21	21	11.4	-	-	-	-	70	52	59	31.4	-	-	-	-
10	14	13	13	6.5	-	-	-	-	40	27	31	15.4	-	-	-	-
11	65	55	58	13.8	-	-	-	-	92	75	79	18.8	-	-	-	-
12	19	19	19	11.0	-	-	-	-	20	19	20	11.6	-	-	-	-
13	8	7	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	27	26	26	22.0	-	-	-	-
14	145	140	141	11.5	-	-	-	-	397	393	393	32.1	30	30	30	2.5
15	12	11	11	9.5	-	-	-	-	18	18	18	15.5	-	-	-	-
16	27	27	27	10.1	10	10	10	3.7	52	46	49	18.4	5	5	5	1.9
17	24	24	24	12.4	-	-	-	-	62	56	57	29.5	5	5	5	2.6
18	23	21	21	7.2	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	12.0	10	9	9	3.1
19	11	11	11	8.4	-	-	-	-	13	10	11	8.4	3	3	3	2.3

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	1 045 809	267 101	25.5	89 293	33.4	62 746	23.5
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	166	47	28.1	18	37.8	14	29.6
JEFFERSON	3 641	822	22.6	334	40.7	173	21.1
MADISON	488	136	27.9	34	24.7	35	25.4
MOBILE	998	577	57.9	180	31.2	115	19.9
MONTGOMERY	504	221	43.7	54	24.7	59	27.0
TUSCALOOSA	462	185	40.1	53	28.9	20	11.0
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	8 736	2 778	31.8	649	23.3	664	23.9
PIMA	4 124	1 581	38.3	490	31.0	307	19.4
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	528	329	62.2	95	28.8	77	23.5
SEBASTIAN	206	93	45.3	17	18.4	31	33.1
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	13 339	4 127	30.9	580	14.1	944	22.9
BUTTE	1 105	336	30.4	122	36.4	69	20.6
CONTRA COSTA	8 652	2 328	26.9	567	24.4	403	17.3
FRESNO	6 541	1 831	28.0	490	26.8	351	19.2
HUMBOLDT	1 475	443	30.1	172	38.9	92	20.8
KERN	6 289	1 628	26.4	326	32.3	251	15.1
LOS ANGELES	101 064	30 065	29.7	9 744	32.4	6 007	20.0
MARIN	2 080	628	30.1	151	21.9	158	23.0
MERCED	370	179	48.4	113	30.5	85	23.0
MONTFERY	3 167	927	29.3	259	27.9	186	20.1
ORANGE	12 617	5 038	39.9	984	19.5	1 372	27.2
RIVERSIDE	7 067	2 339	33.1	697	29.8	463	19.8
SACRAMENTO	9 173	3 441	37.5	1 148	33.4	513	14.9
SAN BERNARDINO	10 153	3 177	31.3	1 078	33.9	638	20.1
SAN DIEGO	14 336	4 875	34.0	1 076	22.1	1 288	26.4
SAN JOAQUIN	4 696	1 312	27.9	396	30.2	240	18.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 865	459	24.6	146	31.9	100	21.9
SAN MATEO	5 641	1 881	33.3	346	18.4	467	24.8
SANTA BARBARA	3 583	1 231	34.4	354	28.7	280	22.7
SANTA CLARA	13 868	3 934	28.4	704	17.9	896	22.8
SANTA CRUZ	1 833	522	28.5	142	27.1	107	20.6
SOLANO	1 889	702	37.2	117	16.6	153	21.8
SONOMA	3 912	854	21.8	293	34.4	163	19.1
STANISLAUS	2 789	855	30.6	216	25.3	164	19.2
TULARE	2 163	790	36.5	271	34.3	141	17.8
VENTURA	6 065	1 638	27.0	519	31.7	288	17.6
YOLO	1 393	426	30.6	157	36.8	80	18.8
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	1 324	268	20.3	144	53.5	4	1.6
ARAPAHOE	617	330	53.5	112	33.8	34	10.2
BOULDER	995	238	23.9	103	43.1	5	2.0
EL PASO	1 095	322	29.4	46	14.2	81	25.2
JEFFERSON	1 719	442	25.7	250	56.6	-	-
LARIMER	857	129	15.0	71	55.4	-	-
PUEBLO	694	125	18.1	25	19.6	14	11.5
WELD	1 685	170	10.1	59	34.7	-	-
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	1 677	479	28.5	369	77.2	93	19.5
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	1 405	272	19.4	128	47.0	58	21.1
BREVARD	1 646	267	16.2	174	65.3	63	23.4
BROWARD	3 859	1 550	40.2	692	44.6	385	24.8
DADE	24 168	5 488	22.7	3 369	61.4	1 166	21.2
ESCAMBIA	838	562	67.1	278	49.4	146	26.0
HILLSBOROUGH	6 606	1 300	19.7	654	50.3	245	18.8
LEE	1 336	337	25.2	216	64.7	67	19.9
LEON	435	250	57.5	133	53.2	64	25.6
HANATEE	866	206	24.1	125	60.6	52	25.1
OKALOOSA	687	94	13.7	48	50.9	26	27.7
ORANGE	2 992	1 097	36.7	525	47.8	291	26.5
PALM BEACH	3 609	1 109	30.7	618	55.8	212	19.1
PASCO	1 167	257	22.0	177	68.9	52	20.4
PINELLAS	2 943	1 004	34.1	460	45.9	352	35.1
POLK	2 037	531	26.1	290	54.7	153	28.8
SARASOTA	1 007	310	30.8	206	66.5	57	18.4
SEMINOLE	986	263	26.7	167	63.4	64	24.2
VOLUSIA	1 364	505	37.0	283	56.0	101	20.0
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	543	373	68.6	206	55.2	84	22.4
CHATHAM	952	374	39.3	127	34.0	124	33.1
CLAYTON	863	369	42.7	206	55.8	70	19.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	30 746	11.5	6 143	2.3	75 725	28.4	2 448	0.9
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	3	5.6	-	-	13	27.0	-	-
JEFFERSON	71	8.6	-	-	240	29.2	3	0.4
MADISON	23	16.9	-	-	45	32.9	-	-
MOBILE	24	4.1	-	-	259	44.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY	28	12.7	-	-	75	35.6	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	15	8.0	-	-	97	52.1	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	434	15.6	179	6.4	847	30.5	5	0.2
PIMA	210	13.3	83	5.2	492	31.1	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	73	22.2	9	2.8	74	22.6	-	-
SEBASTIAN	14	14.6	5	4.8	24	26.0	3	3.1
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	642	15.6	346	8.4	1 615	39.1	-	-
BUTTE	66	19.7	-	-	78	23.3	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	372	16.0	122	5.2	857	36.8	7	0.3
FRESNO	321	17.5	89	4.8	580	31.7	-	-
HUMBOLDT	60	13.5	18	4.0	99	22.2	3	0.7
KERN	228	13.8	65	3.9	579	34.9	-	-
LOS ANGELES	3 700	12.3	1 283	4.3	9 332	31.0	-	-
MARIN	105	15.2	47	6.8	220	32.0	7	1.1
MERCED	55	14.9	11	3.1	101	27.3	4	1.2
MONTFERY	143	15.4	44	4.7	295	31.9	-	-
ORANGE	752	14.9	241	4.8	1 670	33.2	18	0.4
RIVERSIDE	318	13.6	95	4.0	765	32.7	1	0.1
SACRAMENTO	564	16.4	179	5.2	972	28.2	64	1.9
SAN BERNARDINO	357	11.2	140	4.4	965	30.4	-	-
SAN DIEGO	650	13.3	8	0.2	1 847	37.9	6	0.1
SAN JOAQUIN	200	15.2	89	6.6	388	29.5	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	93	20.2	-	-	117	25.4	3	0.6
SAN MATEO	249	13.2	-	-	806	42.8	13	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	157	12.7	55	4.5	385	31.3	-	-
SANTA CLARA	583	14.8	206	5.2	1 522	38.7	23	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	102	19.6	-	-	169	32.4	2	0.3
SOLANO	104	14.8	41	5.8	288	41.9	-	-
SONOMA	122	14.3	36	4.2	237	27.8	1	0.2
STANISLAUS	148	17.3	28	3.3	282	33.0	16	1.9
TULARE	119	15.0	36	4.6	223	28.2	1	0.2
VENTURA	275	16.8	69	4.2	469	28.6	18	1.1
YOLO	63	14.9	24	5.6	102	23.9	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	89	33.3	-	-	31	11.6	-	-
ARAPAHOE	140	42.5	-	-	45	13.5	-	-
BOULDER	58	24.3	-	-	73	30.5	-	-
EL PASO	104	32.3	-	-	91	28.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	117	26.5	-	-	39	8.8	36	8.0
LARIMER	28	22.0	-	-	29	22.6	-	-
PUEBLO	46	36.8	-	-	37	29.3	4	2.9
WELD	38	22.3	-	-	69	41.0	3	2.0
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	16	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	7	2.6	-	-	61	22.3	19	7.0
BREVARD	54	-	-	-	28	10.6	2	0.7
BROWARD	136	3.5	34	0.6	711	13.0	158	10.2
DADE	8	1.5	2	0.3	128	22.8	-	-
ESCAMBIA	15	1.2	8	0.6	358	27.5	21	1.6
HILLSBOROUGH	14	5.6	5	2.0	34	13.6	-	-
LEE	4	0.3	-	-	28	2.2	2	0.1
LEON	-	-	-	-	29	14.3	-	-
HANATEE	-	-	-	-	20	21.3	-	-
OKALOOSA	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2	0.2	-	-	215	19.6	65	5.9
PALM BEACH	21	1.9	6	0.5	228	20.6	24	2.1
PASCO	7	2.7	-	-	21	8.0	-	-
PINELLAS	22	2.2	2	0.2	156	15.5	11	1.1
POLK	10	1.9	1	0.2	75	14.1	2	0.4
SARASOTA	1	0.3	-	-	46	14.8	-	-
SEMINOLE	3	1.0						

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED							
COBB	1 773	633	35.7	297	47.0	226	35.7
DE KALB	4 564	1 179	25.8	669	56.7	208	17.6
FULTON	3 154	1 336	42.4	209	15.7	529	39.6
WINNETT	792	264	33.3	153	58.1	56	21.1
RICHMOND	1 068	320	30.0	140	43.8	79	24.5
IDAHO:							
ADA	493	287	58.3	122	42.6	63	21.8
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	370	164	44.3	58	35.1	24	14.4
COOK	21 611	9 772	45.2	1 181	12.1	3 714	38.0
DU PAGE	2 043	748	36.6	217	28.9	205	27.4
KANE	728	350	48.0	104	29.8	54	15.5
LAKE	1 550	472	30.5	181	38.2	90	19.1
LA SALLE	262	100	38.2	32	32.5	19	18.9
MCHENRY	340	196	57.6	114	58.2	26	13.2
MCLEAN	332	135	40.5	42	31.0	27	19.9
MACON	221	164	74.2	75	45.7	36	22.2
MADISON	665	285	43.1	95	33.2	78	27.3
PEORIA	653	302	46.3	104	34.3	46	15.1
ROCK ISLAND	508	168	33.0	68	40.4	25	15.1
ST. CLAIR	957	325	34.0	108	32.0	64	19.8
SANGAMON	381	240	63.1	98	40.9	37	15.4
TAZEWELL	240	98	40.9	39	39.7	22	22.3
WILL	887	363	40.9	147	40.6	87	24.1
WINNEBAGO	821	354	43.1	111	31.4	70	19.8
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	1 298	378	29.1	134	35.6	83	22.1
DELAWARE	524	104	19.9	27	25.5	41	39.1
ELKHART	508	164	32.3	58	35.2	42	25.7
LAKE	2 833	731	25.8	166	22.6	247	33.7
LA PORTE	409	125	30.6	35	27.8	50	40.1
MADISON	491	133	27.1	25	18.4	54	40.5
ST. JOSEPH	1 026	280	27.3	67	24.1	115	41.2
TIPPECANOE	323	93	28.8	31	37.7	24	26.0
VANDERBURGH	586	221	37.8	82	37.3	66	30.0
VIGO	557	117	20.9	22	18.5	46	39.4
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	431	198	46.0	40	20.1	71	35.9
LINN	693	250	36.2	47	18.8	101	40.4
POLK	1 951	699	35.8	59	8.4	218	31.3
SCOTT	423	172	40.8	54	31.4	56	32.4
WOODBURY	371	151	40.7	20	13.3	69	45.9
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	846	316	37.4	97	30.7	100	31.6
SEDGWICK	1 447	460	31.8	117	25.5	204	44.3
SHAWNEE	507	324	63.9	73	22.6	84	25.9
WYANDOTTE	525	225	43.0	36	15.9	88	39.0
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON	3 848	1 630	42.4	831	51.0	124	7.6
KENTON	361	83	23.1	44	52.4	15	17.9
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	680	287	42.1	106	36.9	54	18.9
CALCASIEU	1 042	312	30.0	181	58.0	54	17.4
JEFFERSON	4 807	1 142	23.8	580	50.8	340	29.8
LAFAYETTE	376	185	49.2	96	52.1	44	23.9
QUACHITA	614	188	30.6	107	56.9	30	15.9
RAPIDES	510	190	37.2	113	59.5	17	8.8
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	139	101	73.1	33	32.0	10	9.6
KENNEBEC	56	37	64.9	12	33.0	10	26.7
PENOBSCOT	65	48	73.5	15	30.7	11	23.1
YORK	38	32	85.9	14	41.7	9	28.3
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	13 431	881	6.6	669	75.9	91	10.3
BALTIMORE	26 699	2 318	8.7	1 898	81.9	142	6.1
HARFORD	4 449	203	4.6	89	43.9	38	18.5
MONTGOMERY	30 959	2 220	7.2	1 641	73.9	191	8.6
PRINCE GEORGES	30 501	2 583	8.5	1 902	73.6	270	10.5
WASHINGTON	3 180	57	1.8	25	44.4	9	15.4
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE	462	219	47.5	36	16.2	70	32.0
BERKSHIRE	136	128	94.5	-	0.2	47	36.5
BRISTOL	493	364	73.8	-	-	185	51.0
ESSEX	754	556	73.7	-	-	251	45.2
HAMPDEN	693	650	93.8	-	-	286	43.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	38	6.0	-	-	67	10.6	4	0.7
DE KALB	54	4.6	17	1.4	225	19.1	7	0.6
FULTON	163	12.2	9	0.6	421	31.5	5	0.3
WINNETT	14	5.2	-	-	41	15.5	-	-
RICHMOND	25	7.9	-	-	76	23.7	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	35	12.2	13	4.6	54	18.8	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	24	14.9	10	5.8	49	29.7	-	-
COOK	1 244	12.7	639	6.5	2 976	30.5	19	0.2
DU PAGE	110	14.7	44	5.9	173	23.1	-	-
KANE	45	12.8	11	3.3	133	38.1	2	0.5
LAKE	70	14.8	13	2.8	116	24.5	3	0.6
LA SALLE	20	19.7	3	2.8	26	26.0	-	-
MCHENRY	13	6.9	7	3.6	36	18.2	-	-
MCLEAN	18	13.3	7	5.0	32	23.5	10	7.3
MACON	24	14.9	6	3.6	22	13.5	-	-
MADISON	32	11.3	14	4.8	67	23.4	-	-
PEORIA	36	12.0	8	2.5	109	36.0	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	27	16.3	7	4.1	40	24.1	-	-
ST. CLAIR	46	14.2	16	4.9	95	29.1	-	-
SANGAMON	37	15.6	7	3.0	60	25.1	-	-
TAZEWELL	14	14.1	6	5.8	16	15.8	2	2.2
WILL	45	12.5	37	10.3	45	12.5	-	-
WINNEBAGO	43	12.2	12	3.2	118	33.3	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	25	6.6	15	4.0	120	31.8	-	-
DELAWARE	11	10.9	3	2.7	23	21.9	-	-
ELKHART	10	6.2	3	2.1	47	28.6	4	2.2
LAKE	107	14.6	24	3.2	182	24.9	6	0.8
LA PORTE	11	9.0	-	-	29	23.2	-	-
MADISON	11	8.3	7	5.1	32	24.2	5	3.5
ST. JOSEPH	34	12.0	10	3.5	54	19.2	-	-
TIPPECANOE	12	12.6	3	3.6	22	24.1	-	-
VANDERBURGH	29	13.1	13	5.6	24	10.9	7	3.1
VIGO	13	11.3	6	4.9	30	25.9	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	16	8.1	8	3.9	62	31.1	2	0.9
LINN	31	12.3	-	-	71	28.5	-	-
POLK	73	10.4	22	3.1	298	42.7	29	4.1
SCOTT	25	14.3	-	-	38	21.9	-	-
WOODBURY	21	13.9	6	4.2	34	22.7	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	44	14.1	-	-	75	23.6	-	-
SEDGWICK	45	10.4	-	-	91	19.8	-	-
SHAWNEE	32	9.8	-	-	83	25.7	52	16.0
WYANDOTTE	31	13.8	-	-	61	27.3	9	4.0
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	74	4.5	-	-	510	31.3	92	5.6
KENTON	2	2.1	-	-	18	21.5	5	6.1
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	19	6.5	6	2.3	101	35.4	-	-
CALCASIEU	30	9.7	1	0.5	45	14.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	78	6.8	4	0.4	138	12.1	2	0.2
LAFAYETTE	7	3.7	1	0.5	37	19.8	-	-
QUACHITA	24	12.7	1	0.6	26	14.0	-	-
RAPIDES	21	11.2	-	-	39	20.5	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	6	5.8	-	-	53	52.6	-	-
KENNEBEC	3	8.4	-	-	12	32.0	-	-
PENOBSCOT	8	16.2	-	-	14	30.0	-	-
YORK	2	7.3	-	-	7	22.6	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	77	8.8	-	-	42	4.8	2	0.2
BALTIMORE	148	6.4	-	-	129	5.6	-	-
HARFORD	34	16.9	-	-	42	20.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY	152	6.8	-	-	225	10.1	12	0.5
PRINCE GEORGES	202	7.8	-	-	208	8.1	1	(2)
WASHINGTON	8	14.5	-	-	15	25.6	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	8	3.4	-	-	106	48.4	-	-
BERKSHIRE	4	2.8	-	-	77	60.5	-	-
BRISTOL	9	2.5	-	-	169	46.5	-	-
ESSEX	15	2.7	15	2.6	275	49.4	-	-
HAMPDEN	19	2.9	-	-	343	52.8	2	0.3
HAMPSHIRE	5	3.4	2	1.8	86	63.7	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED							
MIDDLESEX	284	135	47.6	--	--	42	31.1
NORFOLK	1 969	1 402	71.2	--	--	836	59.6
PLYMOUTH	920	530	57.6	--	--	235	44.4
WORCESTER	730	480	65.7	8	1.7	216	45.1
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	965	701	72.6	--	--	329	46.9
BERRIEN	870	307	35.3	93	30.2	77	25.2
CALHOUN	1 189	401	33.7	97	24.2	130	32.4
GENESEE	673	357	52.9	54	15.1	179	50.2
INGHAM	2 377	769	32.3	175	22.8	297	38.6
JACKSON	2 206	514	23.3	123	24.0	187	36.4
KALAMAZOO	846	252	33.4	81	28.8	90	32.0
KENT	882	465	52.7	162	34.7	117	25.2
MACOMB	2 216	684	30.8	213	31.2	150	22.0
MONROE	2 803	983	35.1	210	21.3	281	28.6
MUSKEGON	872	322	37.0	148	45.9	87	26.9
OAKLAND	1 007	289	28.7	77	26.6	103	35.5
OTTAWA	5 019	1 608	32.0	392	24.4	419	26.1
SAGINAW	666	197	29.6	88	44.5	57	29.0
ST. CLAIR	1 457	428	29.4	125	29.2	130	30.3
WASHTENAW	773	294	38.0	87	29.8	90	30.5
WAYNE	1 760	620	35.3	179	28.9	188	30.3
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	12 324	4 281	34.7	506	11.8	1 712	40.0
DAKOTA	943	345	36.6	122	35.2	72	20.7
HENNEPIN	630	195	30.9	66	33.7	59	30.3
RAMSEY	8 854	2 254	25.5	256	11.3	584	25.9
ST. LOUIS	5 633	1 708	21.5	142	11.8	341	28.2
STEARNS	2 695	466	17.3	122	26.2	155	33.4
WASHINGTON	331	83	25.1	34	41.2	26	31.7
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	559	198	35.4	93	46.8	39	19.5
HINDS	437	132	30.3	64	49.1	44	33.4
JACKSON	1 029	164	15.9	46	28.1	51	31.3
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	1 323	119	9.0	59	49.7	37	30.9
GREENE	303	164	53.9	46	28.0	46	27.8
JACKSON	288	127	44.2	36	28.0	51	39.7
JEFFERSON	1 598	908	56.8	87	9.6	348	38.4
ST. CHARLES	220	108	48.8	45	42.0	34	31.9
ST. LOUIS	290	145	50.2	73	50.2	35	24.3
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	5 140	1 929	37.5	950	49.3	486	25.2
LANCASTER	2 769	490	17.7	192	39.1	81	16.4
NEVADA:							
CLARK	987	200	20.2	35	17.3	82	40.8
WASHOE	5 921	2 492	42.1	1 374	55.1	292	11.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	3 502	573	16.4	187	32.7	121	21.2
ROCKINGHAM	355	120	33.7	12	9.8	37	31.1
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	332	81	24.5	18	21.5	38	47.1
BERGEN	1 767	430	24.3	6	1.5	119	27.6
BURLINGTON	6 007	1 411	23.5	314	22.2	415	29.4
CAMDEN	2 227	471	21.1	22	4.6	118	25.1
CUMBERLAND	4 793	1 101	23.0	72	6.5	292	26.5
ESSEX	1 088	291	22.2	12	5.1	56	23.0
GLOUCESTER	9 650	3 488	36.1	368	10.6	1 046	30.0
HUDSON	1 208	311	25.7	33	10.8	72	23.3
MERCER	3 005	1 185	31.1	299	25.2	320	27.1
MIDDLESEX	2 768	690	24.9	27	3.9	223	32.3
MONMOUTH	5 701	1 205	21.1	144	12.0	346	28.7
MORRIS	2 870	627	21.8	49	7.9	177	28.2
OCEAN	2 813	585	20.8	142	24.3	138	23.6
PASSAIC	2 515	516	20.5	73	14.1	153	29.7
SOMERSET	2 873	1 159	40.3	63	5.4	390	33.6
UNION	1 593	385	24.2	57	14.7	85	22.2
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	3 301	1 139	34.5	99	8.6	368	32.3
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	857	257	30.0	176	68.5	10	4.1
BROOME	2 466	540	21.9	108	20.0	54	10.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1 875	230	12.3	82	35.5	15	6.7
CHEMUNG	1 235	217	17.6	71	32.6	13	6.0
DUTCHESS	844	141	16.7	44	31.5	1	0.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	18	1.3	--	--	548	39.1	4	--
NORFOLK	71	13.4	12	2.2	207	39.1	1	0.8
PLYMOUTH	32	6.6	--	--	223	46.6	1	1.0
WORCESTER	14	2.0	--	--	351	50.1	1	--
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	32	10.3	13	4.2	92	30.1	1	--
BERRIEN	45	11.3	--	--	129	32.1	1	--
CALHOUN	32	8.9	--	--	92	25.8	1	0.7
GENESEE	133	17.3	--	--	158	20.6	1	--
INGHAM	85	16.5	--	--	118	23.1	1	--
JACKSON	28	10.0	--	--	82	29.2	1	--
KALAMAZOO	46	10.0	--	--	140	30.1	1	0.2
KENT	69	10.0	--	--	251	36.7	1	--
MACOMB	130	13.3	20	2.0	339	34.5	1	0.3
MONROE	22	6.8	--	--	66	20.5	1	0.8
MUSKEGON	26	9.0	--	--	81	28.2	1	0.3
OAKLAND	189	11.7	--	--	604	37.5	1	--
OTTAWA	11	5.8	--	--	41	20.7	1	--
SAGINAW	44	10.3	--	--	129	30.2	1	--
ST. CLAIR	30	10.1	--	--	87	29.6	1	--
WASHTENAW	58	9.4	24	3.9	171	27.6	1	0.1
WAYNE	509	11.9	--	--	1 549	36.2	1	--
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	51	14.7	12	3.5	89	25.9	1	--
DAKOTA	35	17.8	--	--	35	18.2	1	0.6
HENNEPIN	385	17.1	153	6.8	863	38.3	14	0.2
RAMSEY	167	13.8	38	3.1	517	42.8	1	--
ST. LOUIS	60	13.0	32	6.8	96	20.7	1	--
STEARNS	11	12.9	--	--	12	14.2	1	--
WASHINGTON	22	11.4	2	1.2	42	21.2	1	--
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	4	2.8	--	--	21	15.8	1	6.2
HINDS	6	3.8	--	--	50	30.6	1	--
JACKSON	3	2.2	3	2.4	18	14.8	1	--
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	27	16.4	--	--	45	27.8	1	--
GREENE	15	12.1	--	--	26	20.2	1	--
JACKSON	111	12.2	--	--	362	39.9	1	5.7
JEFFERSON	15	13.8	--	--	7	6.7	1	0.8
ST. CHARLES	18	12.6	--	--	18	12.1	1	0.4
ST. LOUIS	131	6.8	--	--	352	18.3	1	--
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	70	14.2	28	5.6	120	24.6	1	--
LANCASTER	41	20.5	19	9.3	24	12.1	1	--
NEVADA:								
CLARK	227	9.1	77	3.1	491	19.7	31	1.2
WASHOE	96	16.7	33	5.8	134	23.4	1	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	12	10.2	--	--	59	48.9	1	--
ROCKINGHAM	7	8.9	--	--	18	22.5	1	--
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	103	24.0	--	--	190	44.1	12	2.7
BERGEN	264	18.7	--	--	408	28.9	11	0.8
BURLINGTON	104	22.0	--	--	225	47.8	2	0.5
CAMDEN	226	20.5	--	--	498	45.2	14	1.3
CUMBERLAND	22	9.1	--	--	149	61.8	4	1.0
ESSEX	460	13.2	2	0.1	1 609	46.1	3	0.1
GLOUCESTER	70	22.5	--	--	132	42.6	3	0.9
HUDSON	230	19.4	--	--	332	28.1	3	0.2
MERCER	120	17.4	--	--	318	46.1	2	0.3
MIDDLESEX	253	21.0	--	--	456	37.9	6	0.5
MONMOUTH	107	17.0	--	--	290	46.3	4	0.6
MORRIS	177	18.7	--	--	193	33.0	2	0.4
OCEAN	109	21.1	--	--	177	34.4	4	0.7
PASSAIC	252	21.7	--	--	450	38.9	4	0.3
SOMERSET	77	20.0	--	--	161	41.8	3	1.3
UNION	250	21.9	--	--	386	33.8	37	3.2
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	1	0.3	--	--	33	12.8	37	14.3
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	59	11.0	20	3.8	296	54.8	2	0.4
BROOME	43	18.7	14	6.1	74	32.3	2	0.7
CHAUTAUQUA	31	14.5	13	5.8	89	41.1	1	--
CHEMUNG	23	16.5	7	5.1	65	46.0	1	--
DUTCHESS	47	13.9	25	7.6	139	41.6	1	--

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
ERIE	2 090	336	16.1	116	34.5	8	2.4
MONROE	1 515	14.8		408	26.9	131	8.7
NASSAU	5 771	21.1		425	34.8	85	6.9
NIAGARA	23 085	9 690	42.0	7 623	32.6	131	1.4
ONEIDA	2 224	290	13.1	104	35.9	36	12.2
ONONDAGA	1 836	215	11.7	52	24.3	25	11.4
ORANGE	5 112	984	19.3	197	20.3	82	8.3
OSWEGO	2 137	197	9.2	1	0.5	43	21.7
RENSSELAER	999	144	14.4	42	29.5	24	16.4
ROCKLAND	1 717	150	8.7	38	25.4	9	6.2
ST. LAWRENCE	3 065	398	13.0	107	30.6	9	6.2
SARATOGA	832	109	13.1	34	31.0	9	8.5
SCHENECTADY	842	112	13.3	41	36.2	20	18.1
STEUBEN	1 185	111	9.4	7	6.7	10	9.2
SUFFOLK	1 727	87	5.0	18	20.1	5	6.1
ULSTER	12 536	6 882	54.9	5 404	80.0	6	0.1
WESTCHESTER	1 302	168	12.9	43	25.4	4	2.7
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	9 676	1 551	16.0	449	29.0	-	-
CUMBERLAND	3 590	144	4.0	79	55.2	19	13.6
DURHAM	6 915	254	3.7	167	65.5	28	11.1
FORSYTH	3 532	79	2.2	32	40.4	67	28.8
GASTON	7 224	198	2.7	70	35.9	14	34.1
GUILFORD	3 614	123	3.4	73	59.4	33	11.4
MECKLENBURG	8 391	244	2.9	117	47.9	65	13.5
ONSLOW	10 997	372	3.4	186	49.9	18	26.9
WAKE	1 822	67	3.7	27	40.3	18	26.9
OHIO:							
ALLEN	7 357	179	2.4	65	36.4	70	39.2
ASHTABULA	701	158	22.6	53	33.4	47	29.4
BUTLER	484	120	24.8	40	33.5	44	36.7
CLARK	1 072	216	20.1	58	26.7	81	37.7
CLERMONT	607	178	29.3	40	22.3	57	32.2
COLUMBIANA	497	119	24.0	32	26.9	47	39.7
CUYAHOGA	382	102	26.6	16	16.0	43	42.3
FRANKLIN	10 498	2 553	24.3	182	9.8	1 016	33.3
GREENE	2 725	898	33.0	250	27.9	52	32.1
HAMILTON	2 732	163	22.3	54	33.1	52	41.2
LAKE	4 783	1 491	31.2	325	21.8	614	39.8
LICKING	1 539	224	14.6	59	26.4	89	36.2
LORAIN	387	125	32.4	30	24.0	45	34.7
LUCAS	1 005	290	28.9	87	19.1	101	34.7
MAHONING	2 426	608	33.3	55	10.8	279	34.6
MONTGOMERY	1 504	334	22.2	61	18.4	142	42.6
PORTAGE	3 326	995	29.9	249	25.0	297	29.8
RICHLAND	1 624	213	13.1	74	34.9	60	29.8
STARK	489	180	36.7	58	32.3	45	24.9
SUMMIT	1 609	503	31.3	129	25.6	140	27.9
TRUMBULL	3 104	731	23.6	225	30.8	217	29.7
WOOD	1 286	291	22.6	91	31.1	118	40.7
OKLAHOMA:							
CLEVELAND	597	110	18.4	28	25.1	39	35.7
COMANCHE	147	34	23.1	17	50.0	11	35.1
OKLAHOMA	513	62	12.0	14	23.0	20	33.2
TULSA	958	285	29.7	14	5.1	148	51.9
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	1 030	353	34.2	61	17.2	138	39.2
JACKSON	1 368	450	32.9	199	44.2	85	18.9
LANE	1 011	308	30.4	130	42.3	60	19.4
MARION	2 331	697	29.9	379	31.5	258	37.0
MULTNOMAH	918	379	41.3	108	28.5	95	25.0
WASHINGTON	3 227	1 486	46.0	565	38.0	315	21.2
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	1 154	446	38.7	181	40.6	83	18.6
BEAVER	8 404	270	3.2	540	23.8	856	37.7
BERKS	513	218	42.6	26	12.1	95	43.3
BLAIR	1 123	357	31.8	9	2.6	96	26.8
BUCKS	451	97	21.5	1.9	4.5	45	46.6
BUTLER	1 448	578	39.9	60	10.4	231	39.9
CAMBRIA	446	113	25.4	14	12.4	48	42.6
CENTRE	646	182	28.2	15	8.0	79	43.3
CHESTER	329	60	18.2	2	3.0	31	51.2
CUMBERLAND	1 260	504	40.0	55	10.9	148	29.4
DAUPHIN	629	148	23.5	20	13.6	59	39.8
DELAWARE	1 003	340	33.9	15	4.4	116	34.2
ERIE	2 920	949	32.5	88	9.3	365	38.4
FAYETTE	682	342	50.1	14	4.2	131	38.4
FRANKLIN	283	127	44.7	31	24.4	51	40.2
LACKAWANNA	233	83	35.6	2	2.4	30	36.4
LANCASTER	652	172	26.4	2	1.3	88	51.1
LAWRENCE	1 437	292	20.3	16	5.4	103	35.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	247	16.3	-	-	707	46.7	22	1.5
MONROE	183	15.0	59	4.8	448	36.7	21	1.7
NASSAU	558	5.8	-	-	1 379	14.2	14	0.1
NIAGARA	38	13.2	14	4.9	98	33.8	-	-
ONEIDA	41	19.1	11	5.0	87	40.2	-	-
ONONDAGA	127	13.0	5	0.6	446	45.3	35	3.6
ORANGE	51	26.1	-	-	102	51.8	-	-
OSWEGO	21	14.3	-	-	57	39.8	-	-
RENSSELAER	28	18.7	7	4.8	67	44.8	-	-
ROCKLAND	86	24.7	25	7.2	131	37.5	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	13	12.0	2	1.5	51	47.0	-	-
SARATOGA	17	15.3	3	3.1	31	27.3	-	-
SCHENECTADY	21	18.8	1	0.5	71	64.3	-	-
STEUBEN	16	18.7	2	2.0	46	53.0	-	-
SUFFOLK	452	6.6	-	-	888	12.9	32	0.5
ULSTER	23	13.6	1	0.5	91	54.1	6	3.8
WESTCHESTER	361	23.3	-	-	726	46.8	15	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	4	2.9	-	-	39	26.9	2	1.5
CUMBERLAND	8	3.7	2	0.3	49	19.2	2	-
DURHAM	5	5.7	-	-	20	25.1	-	-
FORSYTH	9	4.6	-	-	41	21.1	-	-
GASTON	4	3.0	1	1.1	29	23.4	2	4.3
GUILFORD	18	7.4	-	-	76	31.1	-	-
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	81	21.9	41	10.9
ONSLOW	2	3.2	-	-	18	26.9	2	2.8
WAKE	9	4.8	-	-	27	15.3	8	4.3
OHIO:								
ALLEN	23	14.5	-	-	36	22.7	-	-
ASHTABULA	11	9.4	-	-	22	18.6	2	1.8
BUTLER	16	7.4	-	-	61	28.3	-	-
CLARK	19	10.6	8	4.7	50	27.9	-	-
CLERMONT	13	11.0	3	2.9	21	17.5	-	-
COLUMBIANA	13	12.5	7	6.6	17	17.2	-	-
CUYAHOGA	179	7.0	62	2.4	839	32.9	20	8.1
FRANKLIN	123	13.7	2	0.2	255	28.4	37	4.1
GREENE	16	9.8	2	1.1	33	20.5	6	3.5
HAMILTON	88	5.9	13	0.9	452	30.3	-	-
LAKE	30	13.2	2	0.8	44	19.8	-	-
LICKING	20	15.9	-	-	30	23.9	-	-
LORAIN	38	13.0	1	0.2	95	32.9	-	-
LUCAS	57	7.1	-	-	38	47.5	-	-
MAHONING	33	9.7	4	1.1	80	24.0	-	-
MONTGOMERY	89	8.9	36	3.6	266	26.7	59	5.9
PORTAGE	20	9.4	5	2.1	54	25.3	-	-
RICHLAND	24	13.5	-	-	53	29.3	-	-
STARK	33	6.5	15	3.1	184	36.5	2	0.4
SUMMIT	81	11.0	-	-	207	28.4	1	0.1
TRUMBULL	27	9.4	-	-	55	18.8	-	-
WOOD	9	8.4	3	2.6	31	28.2	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
CLEVELAND	-	-	-	-	6	16.9	-	-
COMANCHE	-	-	1	0.9	26	42.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	(2)	23	8.0	100	35.1	-	-
TULSA	-	-	13	3.6	141	40.0	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	61	13.5	-	-	105	23.4	-	-
JACKSON	24	7.8	-	-	88	28.6	6	2.0
LANE	111	15.9	-	-	108	15.5	-	-
MARION	78	20.6	-	-	98	25.9	-	-
MULTNOMAH	197	13.2	-	-	400	26.9	8	0.6
WASHINGTON	49							

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LEBANON	207	79	38.1	2	2.0	39	49.7
LEHIGH	543	105	19.3	12	11.7	38	36.3
LUZERNE	1 306	318	24.4	10	3.2	108	34.0
LYCOMING	1 140	308	27.0	16	5.3	136	44.2
MERCER	438	146	33.4	7	4.8	46	31.2
MONTGOMERY	373	109	29.1	1	1.1	49	45.5
NORTHAMPTON	2 220	765	34.4	53	6.9	353	46.1
NORTHUMBERLAND	981	234	23.9	3	1.3	89	38.1
SCHUYLKILL	601	107	17.8	10	8.9	32	29.8
WASHINGTON	688	151	22.0	2	1.5	69	45.7
WESTMORELAND	553	174	31.6	22	12.7	79	45.1
YORK	1 292	311	24.1	27	8.7	147	47.3
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	786	227	28.8	38	16.7	80	35.4
CHARLESTON	248	105	42.4	20	47.8	28	26.7
GREENVILLE	1 287	350	27.2	201	57.3	80	22.9
LEXINGTON	1 112	405	36.4	208	51.3	109	27.1
RICHLAND	1 001	139	13.9	72	52.0	40	28.4
SPARTANBURG	2 893	443	15.3	193	43.5	133	30.1
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	1 957	240	12.3	145	60.5	57	23.9
KNOX	5 842	345	5.9	93	26.9	125	36.3
SHELBY	3 101	343	11.1	135	39.5	121	35.2
SULLIVAN	7 724	1 298	16.8	311	24.0	464	35.7
TEXAS:							
BELL	1 996	135	6.7	71	52.6	41	30.5
BEXAR	267	191	71.4	29	15.3	46	23.9
BRAZORIA	3 203	1 015	31.7	207	20.3	251	24.7
CAMERON	465	179	38.5	63	34.9	62	34.6
DALLAS	328	237	72.3	26	10.8	80	33.9
EL PASO	5 769	2 401	41.6	348	14.5	1 047	43.6
GALVESTON	1 138	453	39.8	81	18.0	116	25.6
HARRIS	1 447	129	20.0	72	24.9	56	44.6
HIDALGO	10 213	3 483	34.1	565	16.2	1 339	38.5
JEFFERSON	485	217	44.7	36	16.6	74	34.4
LUBBOCK	757	391	51.7	86	22.1	105	26.9
MCLENNAN	334	162	48.5	31	19.2	47	29.0
NUECES	459	198	43.0	37	18.7	52	26.5
SMITH	2 125	400	18.8	59	14.8	161	40.3
TARRANT	2 218	137	62.9	46	33.9	45	29.6
TAYLOR	2 524	846	33.5	207	24.5	251	41.3
TRAVIS	1 139	80	57.7	17	21.5	33	41.3
WICHITA	1 085	665	61.2	116	17.5	179	27.0
UTAH:							
DAVIS	209	89	42.3	21	23.7	28	31.4
SALT LAKE	360	93	25.9	55	58.8	12	12.6
UTAH	4 078	970	24.0	548	56.0	140	14.3
WEBER	549	107	19.6	39	35.9	19	17.9
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	770	102	13.2	36	35.4	12	11.7
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	5	6	126.7	1	10.9	5	89.1
CHESTERFIELD	6 147	859	14.0	621	72.3	51	5.9
FAIRFAX	3 363	255	7.6	178	69.6	19	7.6
HENRICO	25 518	2 219	8.7	1 432	64.6	148	6.6
PRINCE WILLIAM	5 594	590	10.6	449	76.1	54	9.1
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	4 672	365	7.8	260	71.0	48	13.1
KING	1 094	379	34.7	153	40.5	71	18.7
KITSAP	5 965	2 375	39.8	894	37.6	547	23.0
PIERCE	821	249	30.3	91	36.5	59	23.6
SNOHOMISH	2 182	872	40.0	359	41.2	164	18.8
SPOKANE	1 630	494	30.3	171	34.7	137	27.7
YAKIMA	1 351	633	46.8	239	37.8	148	23.3
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	769	304	39.5	82	26.9	85	28.0
KANAWHA	856	80	9.3	21	26.4	15	18.6
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	497	171	34.3	49	28.5	39	23.0
DANE	991	246	24.8	150	45	45	18.4
KENOSHA	1 849	569	30.8	209	36.7	181	31.8
MARATHON	1 925	229	24.8	145	63.6	37	16.1
MILWAUKEE	1 158	129	11.2	73	56.8	23	17.7
OUTAGAMIE	12 036	1 738	14.4	131	20.7	588	33.8
RACINE	685	131	19.1	66	50.7	29	22.2
ROCK	1 224	345	28.2	170	49.4	61	17.7
WAUKESHA	805	217	26.9	90	41.5	35	16.2
WINNEBAGO	1 360	343	25.2	193	56.2	73	21.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	7	6.8	3	2.5	45	42.8	-	-
LEHIGH	39	12.3	12	3.8	149	46.7	-	-
LUZERNE	35	11.4	17	5.5	103	33.6	-	-
LYCOMING	13	8.6	5	3.3	76	52.2	-	-
MERCER	15	13.4	8	7.5	35	32.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	108	14.1	33	4.3	218	28.6	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	26	11.0	12	5.2	104	44.4	-	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	9	8.8	4	3.9	52	48.6	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	25	16.3	8	5.4	47	31.1	-	-
WASHINGTON	16	9.2	3	3.8	51	29.3	-	-
WESTMORELAND	44	14.2	13	4.2	80	25.6	-	-
YORK	22	9.8	6	2.9	89	35.3	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	7	6.6	3	2.4	17	16.5	-	-
CHARLESTON	20	5.8	-	-	49	14.0	-	-
GREENVILLE	36	8.9	-	-	45	11.2	6	1.5
LEXINGTON	7	4.9	2	1.7	17	12.3	1	0.7
RICHLAND	65	14.6	-	-	52	11.8	-	-
SPARTANBURG	14	5.7	7	3.0	17	7.0	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	10	2.9	-	-	117	33.9	-	-
KNOX	9	2.5	-	-	72	20.9	7	1.9
SHELBY	40	3.1	51	3.9	429	33.0	3	0.3
SULLIVAN	3	2.2	-	-	20	14.6	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	51	26.5	-	-	61	32.0	4	2.2
BEXAR	171	16.8	-	-	340	33.5	47	4.6
BRAZORIA	18	10.1	-	-	36	20.4	-	-
CAMERON	25	10.6	-	-	96	40.4	10	4.4
DALLAS	282	11.8	-	-	706	29.4	17	0.7
EL PASO	56	12.3	-	-	198	43.7	2	0.5
GALVESTON	38	13.1	-	-	50	17.2	1	0.2
HARRIS	552	15.8	4	0.1	924	26.5	99	2.8
HIDALGO	24	11.0	1	0.2	82	37.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	63	16.2	-	-	99	25.4	37	9.4
LUBBOCK	25	15.7	-	-	59	36.2	-	-
MCLENNAN	26	12.9	-	-	72	36.3	11	5.6
NUECES	49	12.3	-	-	131	32.7	-	-
SMITH	16	11.6	-	-	29	21.3	-	-
TARRANT	186	22.0	9	1.0	162	19.2	31	3.7
TAYLOR	10	12.4	-	-	20	24.9	-	-
TRAVIS	96	14.5	2	0.3	261	39.2	10	1.4
WICHITA	11	12.2	-	-	29	32.7	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS	18	19.7	-	-	6	6.5	2	2.5
SALT LAKE	154	15.8	-	-	137	14.0	-	-
UTAH	20	18.3	3	2.7	27	25.4	-	-
WEBER	19	19.0	-	-	35	34.0	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	36	4.2	-	-	108	12.6	43	5.0
CHESTERFIELD	14	5.3	-	-	44	17.2	1	0.3
FAIRFAX	70	3.2	-	-	274	12.3	295	13.3
HENRICO	28	4.8	-	-	58	9.9	1	0.1
PRINCE WILLIAM	27	7.4	-	-	31	8.5	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	47	12.3	2	0.4	105	27.8	1	0.3
KING	216	9.1	16	0.7	696	29.3	6	0.3
KITSAP	31	12.3	13	5.8	54	21.8	-	-
PIERCE	101	11.5	15	1.7	230	26.4	3	0.4
SNOHOMISH	64	12.9	3	0.5	115	23.3	4	0.9
SPOKANE	55	8.7	34	5.3	152	24.0	6	0.9
YAKIMA	32	10.5	-	-	102	33.5	3	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	19	23.6	-	-	25	31.4	-	-
KANAWHA	31	18.1	-	-	52	30.5	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	17	6.8	-	-	34	13.9	-	-
DANE	82	14.4	-	-	98	17.1	-	-
KENOSHA	25	11.0	-	-	21	9.2	-	-
MARATHON	9	6.9	-	-	24	18.5	-	-
MILWAUKEE	230	13.3	-	-	521	30.0	39	2.2
OUTAGAMIE	14	10.9	-	-	21	16.1	-	-
RACINE	41	11.8	13	3.8	55	16.0	5	1.3
ROCK	27	12.6	-	-	59	27.4	5	2.2
WAUKESHA	29	8.3	-	-	41	12.0	7	2.1
WINNEBAGO	14	10.1	-	-	9	6.6	3	2.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Population, Total general expenditure, Total criminal justice system (Amount, Percent), Police protection (Amount, Percent), Judicial (Amount, Percent). Rows include California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Legal services and prosecution (Amount, Percent), Public defense (Amount, Percent), Corrections (Amount, Percent), Other criminal justice (Amount, Percent). Rows include California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 9 columns: City, Population, Total general expenditure, Total criminal justice system (Amount, Percent), Police protection (Amount, Percent), Judicial (Amount, Percent). Rows list cities like Farmington Hills, Flint, Grand Rapids, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Legal services and prosecution (Amount, Percent), Public defense (Amount, Percent), Corrections (Amount, Percent), Other criminal justice (Amount, Percent). Rows list cities like Farmington Hills, Flint, Grand Rapids, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Population, Total general expenditure, Total criminal justice system (Amount, Percent of total), Police protection (Amount, Percent of total), Judicial (Amount, Percent of total). Rows include cities from New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 10 columns: City, Legal services and prosecution (Amount, Percent of total), Public defense (Amount, Percent of total), Corrections (Amount, Percent of total), Other criminal justice (Amount, Percent of total). Rows include cities from New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 9 columns: City, Population, Total direct expenditure, Total criminal justice system (Amount, Percent), Police protection (Amount, Percent), Judicial (Amount, Percent). Rows include state totals and individual cities like Birmingham, Phoenix, Los Angeles, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 10 columns: City, Legal services and prosecution (Amount, Percent), Public defense (Amount, Percent), Corrections (Amount, Percent), Other criminal justice (Amount, Percent). Rows include state totals and individual cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, New York, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT
Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns: Line number, City, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system (Number of employees, Percent of total), Police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total), Judicial (Number of employees, Percent of total). Rows include states like ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees of 406 large city governments, October 1978

Table with columns: Legal services and prosecution, Public defense, Corrections, Other criminal justice. Sub-columns include Number of employees, Percent of total. Rows list various cities and their corresponding employment data.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns for City, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, and Judicial. Rows list various cities like California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, and Illinois.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

equivalent employees of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns for Legal services and prosecution, Public defense, Corrections, and Other criminal justice. Rows list various cities with their respective employee counts and percentages.

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Table with columns: Line number, City, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, Judicial. Rows include states like Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, and various cities.

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns: Legal services and prosecution, Public defense, Corrections, Other criminal justice. Rows include line numbers 1 through 66, corresponding to various city government categories.

CONTINUED

2 OF 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: City, Total October payroll, Total criminal justice system (October payroll, Percent of total October payroll), Police protection (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Judicial (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system). Rows include California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, and Illinois.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: City, Legal services and prosecution (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Public defense (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Corrections (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Other criminal justice (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system). Rows include California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, and Illinois.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	1 191	321	27.0	313	97.3	--	--
JOLIET	964	334	34.6	320	95.9	--	--
OAK LAWN	555	193	34.8	193	100.0	--	--
OAK PARK	796	260	32.6	253	97.3	--	--
PEORIA	1 421	499	35.1	481	96.5	--	--
ROCKFORD	1 439	448	31.1	433	96.5	--	--
ROCK ISLAND	528	144	27.2	140	97.1	2	1.7
SKOKIE	792	235	29.7	225	95.5	--	--
SPRINGFIELD	1 116	300	26.9	284	94.7	--	--
WAUKEGAN	606	193	31.8	189	98.1	--	--
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	514	160	31.2	149	92.8	4	2.8
EVANSVILLE	1 375	354	25.8	345	97.4	--	--
FORT WAYNE	1 505	442	29.4	425	96.0	--	--
GARY	2 324	502	21.6	425	84.7	37	7.3
HAMMOND	1 146	273	23.8	246	90.2	18	6.7
INDIANAPOLIS	11 010	3 104	28.2	2 855	69.4	351	11.3
MUNCIE	1 497	152	10.1	142	93.3	6	3.9
KOKOMO	535	135	25.2	127	94.1	4	3.3
SOUTH BEND	1 387	326	23.5	319	97.7	--	--
TERRE HAUTE	445	112	25.1	103	91.8	5	4.6
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	1 118	245	21.9	235	96.0	--	--
COUNCIL BLUFFS	532	146	27.5	139	95.2	--	--
DAVENPORT	926	218	23.5	208	95.3	--	--
DES MOINES	2 589	576	22.5	513	89.0	--	--
DUBUQUE	642	119	18.5	109	91.6	--	--
SIoux CITY	961	211	21.9	195	92.4	--	--
WATERLOO	831	212	25.5	206	97.4	--	--
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	1 319	499	37.8	435	87.1	9	1.9
LAWRENCE	769	110	14.2	104	95.2	2	2.1
OVERLAND PARK	370	174	47.1	153	87.7	11	6.5
TOPEKA	1 454	392	27.0	366	93.3	5	1.3
WICHITA	3 012	660	21.9	590	89.5	31	4.8
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	496	142	28.6	134	94.4	--	--
LEXINGTON	2 087	753	36.1	579	76.9	36	4.8
LOUISVILLE	5 749	1 356	23.6	1 313	96.8	--	--
OWENSBORO	1 280	126	9.9	124	98.0	--	--
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	3 321	1 473	44.4	905	61.4	316	21.4
LAFAYETTE	736	145	19.7	127	87.7	16	10.8
LAKE CHARLES	698	125	18.0	106	84.2	14	11.4
MONROE	694	115	16.5	93	80.6	8	6.8
NEW ORLEANS	9 377	3 393	36.2	1 854	54.7	542	16.0
SHREVEPORT	2 511	608	24.2	545	89.7	33	5.4
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	2 468	239	9.7	227	95.1	--	--
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	38 662	6 242	16.1	4 761	76.3	423	6.8
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	33 313	5 886	17.7	3 706	63.0	1 263	21.5
BROCKTON	3 294	311	9.5	306	98.4	--	--
CAMBRIDGE	5 162	561	11.3	550	94.7	--	--
CHICOPEE	1 584	171	10.8	165	96.7	--	--
FALL RIVER	3 028	412	13.6	397	96.4	--	--
HOLYOKE	1 419	168	11.8	161	96.3	--	--
LAWRENCE	2 308	215	9.3	210	97.9	--	--
LOWELL	3 002	302	10.1	290	96.2	--	--
LYNN	3 408	404	11.9	383	94.7	--	--
MALDEN	867	184	21.2	180	98.1	--	--
MEDFORD	1 792	268	15.0	264	98.3	--	--
NEW BEDFORD	3 134	398	12.7	388	97.3	--	--
NEWTON	3 938	353	9.0	340	96.3	--	--
PITTSFIELD	2 153	162	7.5	159	98.2	--	--
QUINCY	5 489	477	8.7	463	97.1	--	--
SOMERVILLE	2 872	265	9.2	223	84.1	--	--
SPRINGFIELD	7 876	697	8.8	667	95.8	--	--
WALTHAM	2 249	275	12.2	267	97.1	--	--
WORCESTER	7 203	669	9.3	638	95.4	--	--
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	1 385	420	30.3	366	87.2	33	7.8
DEARBORN	1 827	491	26.9	437	89.0	24	4.9
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	566	237	41.8	208	87.8	17	7.3
DETROIT	34 837	12 462	35.8	11 100	89.1	750	6.0
EAST LANSING	451	119	26.3	103	87.1	13	10.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	9	2.7	--	--	--	--	4	1.1
JOLIET	10	3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
OAK LAWN	7	2.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
OAK PARK	17	3.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
PEORIA	16	3.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
ROCKFORD	2	1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
ROCK ISLAND	10	4.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
SKOKIE	13	4.4	--	--	3	0.9	--	--
SPRINGFIELD	4	1.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
WAUKEGAN	4	1.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	5	3.3	--	0.2	1	0.9	--	--
EVANSVILLE	9	2.6	--	--	--	--	2	0.6
FORT WAYNE	15	3.4	--	--	5	1.0	18	3.7
GARY	17	3.4	--	--	2	0.6	--	--
HAMMOND	7	2.6	--	--	423	13.6	9	0.3
INDIANAPOLIS	166	5.3	--	--	1	0.5	--	--
MUNCIE	4	2.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
KOKOMO	3	2.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
SOUTH BEND	7	2.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
TERRE HAUTE	4	3.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	10	4.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
COUNCIL BLUFFS	7	4.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
DAVENPORT	10	4.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
DES MOINES	31	5.3	--	--	33	5.7	--	--
DUBUQUE	5	4.4	--	--	5	4.0	--	--
SIoux CITY	13	6.0	--	--	3	1.6	--	--
WATERLOO	5	2.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	28	5.6	--	--	23	4.7	4	0.7
LAWRENCE	3	2.7	--	--	--	--	1	0.6
OVERLAND PARK	9	5.2	--	--	--	--	3	0.8
TOPEKA	16	4.1	2	0.6	6	0.9	--	--
WICHITA	35	4.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	8	5.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
LEXINGTON	16	2.1	--	--	122	16.2	14	1.0
LOUISVILLE	29	2.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
OWENSBORO	3	2.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	113	8.0	20	1.3	101	6.8	15	1.0
LAFAYETTE	6	1.6	--	--	--	--	--	--
LAKE CHARLES	4	4.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
MONROE	9	8.1	--	--	5	4.5	--	--
NEW ORLEANS	190	5.6	32	0.9	733	21.6	41	1.2
SHREVEPORT	11	1.9	--	--	19	3.1	--	--
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	12	4.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	429	6.9	--	--	611	9.8	19	0.3
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	205	3.5	--	--	693	11.8	19	0.3
BROCKTON	5	1.6	--	--	--	(2)	--	--
CAMBRIDGE	7	1.3	--	--	--	--	24	4.1
CHICOPEE	6	3.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
FALL RIVER	4	0.9	--	--	5	1.3	6	1.4
HOLYOKE	6	3.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
LAWRENCE	4	2.1	--	--	--	--	--	--
LOWELL	11	3.8	--	--	--	--	14	3.5
LYNN	7	1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
MALDEN	4	1.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
MEDFORD	4	1.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
NEW BEDFORD	11	2.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
NEWTON	13	3.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
PITTSFIELD	3	1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
QUINCY	13	2.6	--	--	1	0.3	34	12.9
SOMERVILLE	8	3.0	--	--	--	--	7	1.0
SPRINGFIELD	23	3.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
WALTHAM	8	2.9	--	--	--	--	8	1.1
WORCESTER	23	3.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	12	2.8	--	--	9	2.2	--	--
DEARBORN	18	3.7	--	--	12	2.4	--	--
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	--	--	--	--	12	4.9	--	--
DETROIT	219	1.8	--	--	381	3.1	11	0.1
EAST LANSING	--	--	--	--	3	2.2	--	--

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	340	143	42.0	143	100.0	-	-
FLINT	4 954	983	19.8	869	88.5	55	5.6
GRAND RAPIDS	2 430	394	28.5	573	82.5	66	9.5
KALAMAZOO	1 148	357	31.0	312	85.1	33	9.0
LANSING	2 100	629	30.0	501	79.7	54	8.6
LINCOLN PARK	366	139	38.1	121	86.6	16	11.8
LIVONIA	1 086	324	29.8	287	88.6	18	5.6
PONTIAC	3 230	530	16.4	456	86.0	38	7.2
ROSEVILLE	446	177	39.7	158	89.2	19	10.8
ROYAL OAK	741	226	30.5	196	86.4	18	8.1
SAGINAW	1 342	367	27.3	351	95.8	18	8.1
SOUTHFIELD	988	365	36.9	308	84.4	33	9.1
ST. CLAIR SHORES	524	224	42.8	213	94.8	10	4.3
STERLING HEIGHTS	790	315	39.9	290	92.1	21	6.7
TAYLOR	549	191	33.6	188	93.0	13	6.5
TROY	569	191	33.6	176	91.9	8	4.1
WARREN	1 734	600	34.6	528	88.0	47	7.8
WESTLAND	557	226	40.6	192	85.0	25	11.2
WYOMING	466	168	36.1	152	90.4	15	8.8
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	653	188	28.8	177	94.4	-	-
DULUTH	1 332	279	20.9	256	91.7	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	7 249	1 690	23.3	1 564	92.6	-	-
ROCHESTER	600	162	27.0	153	94.3	-	-
ST. PAUL	4 461	1 162	26.0	1 088	93.6	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	310	76	24.6	74	96.9	2	2.5
JACKSON	2 224	571	25.7	489	85.7	27	4.8
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	674	167	24.8	149	89.5	8	4.5
FLORISSANT	222	92	41.4	89	96.3	2	2.6
INDEPENDENCE	1 079	288	26.7	267	92.6	9	3.1
KANSAS CITY	7 568	2 833	36.2	2 581	89.2	97	3.3
ST. JOSEPH	657	166	25.2	155	93.3	4	2.3
ST. LOUIS	14 193	6 011	32.4	5 365	73.1	438	9.5
SPRINGFIELD	1 386	256	18.4	235	92.0	9	3.5
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	821	165	20.0	158	95.9	7	4.1
GREAT FALLS	518	102	19.7	98	95.7	2	1.8
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	2 552	521	20.4	408	78.3	44	8.5
OMAHA	3 548	1 093	27.7	945	86.5	69	6.3
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	1 885	114	6.0	-	-	64	56.7
RENO	1 531	591	38.6	501	84.7	33	5.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	2 759	271	9.8	231	85.0	17	6.3
NASHUA	1 805	216	12.0	186	85.9	17	7.7
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	2 320	293	12.6	272	92.8	11	3.9
BLOOMFIELD	511	174	34.1	159	91.6	7	3.8
CAMDEN	1 848	653	35.3	605	92.6	24	3.6
CLIFTON	2 013	266	13.2	250	94.3	7	2.8
EAST ORANGE	4 421	431	9.7	377	87.6	38	8.9
ELIZABETH	3 972	594	15.0	571	96.1	10	1.6
IRVINGTON	1 097	258	23.5	236	91.4	14	5.3
JERSEY CITY	9 855	1 418	14.4	1 323	93.3	59	4.1
NEWARK	19 268	2 335	12.1	2 188	93.7	79	3.4
PASSAIC	626	225	36.0	208	92.2	8	3.5
PATERSON	5 544	723	13.0	691	95.7	18	2.5
TRENTON	2 176	664	30.5	616	92.7	27	4.0
UNION CITY	1 026	259	25.3	235	90.6	16	6.3
VINELAND	1 830	157	8.6	146	93.1	9	5.5
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	4 185	1 129	27.0	836	74.1	89	7.9
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 696	501	29.5	486	97.0	3	0.7
BINGHAMTON	1 906	207	10.9	200	96.6	1	0.3
BUFFALO	15 895	2 065	13.0	1 935	93.7	20	1.0
MOUNT VERNON	1 043	296	28.3	281	95.2	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	1 198	332	27.7	320	96.3	1	0.4
NEW YORK CITY	389 915	77 032	19.8	64 418	83.6	185	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	16	1.6	-	-	42	4.3	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	27	4.0	-	-	21	3.0	7	1.0
KALAMAZOO	16	4.3	-	-	6	1.6	-	-
LANSING	17	2.7	-	-	57	9.1	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1	0.9	-	-	1	0.6	-	-
LIVONIA	12	3.7	-	-	7	2.1	-	-
PONTIAC	29	5.5	-	-	7	1.3	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	6	2.8	-	-	6	2.7	-	-
SAGINAW	15	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	14	3.8	-	-	10	2.7	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2	0.9	-	-	2	0.6	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	2	0.6	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
TAYLOR	7	3.5	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
TROY	25	4.2	-	-	9	3.8	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	1	0.8	-	-
WESTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	11	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	23	8.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	126	7.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	9	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	66	5.7	-	-	-	-	7	0.6
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	19	3.3	-	-	35	6.1	1	0.2
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	10	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	12	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	88	3.1	-	-	107	3.6	24	0.8
ST. JOSEPH	7	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	197	4.3	-	-	595	12.9	6	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	9	3.6	-	-	1	0.3	2	0.6
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	3	2.5	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	29	5.6	-	-	40	7.7	-	-
OMAHA	68	6.2	-	-	6	0.5	6	0.5
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	49	43.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	24	4.0	-	-	33	5.7	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	10	3.6	-	-	8	3.0	6	2.1
NASHUA	3	1.6	-	-	11	4.9	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	10	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	3	1.7
CAMDEN	24	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	8	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	15	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	12	1.9	-	-	-	-	2	0.3
IRVINGTON	9	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	28	2.0	-	-	-	-	8	0.5
NEWARK	47	2.0	-	-	-	-	21	0.9
PASSAIC	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	6	2.5
PATERSON	14	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	11	1.7	1	0.2	-	-	9	1.4
UNION CITY	5	1.9	-	-	-	-	3	1.2
VINELAND	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	50	4.4	3	0.2	147	13.0	4	0.4
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	11	2.2	-	-	-	-	1	0.1
BINGHAMTON	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	85	4.1	-	-	-	-	25	1.2
MOUNT VERNON	12	4.2	-	-	2	0.7	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	11	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	4 530	5.9	-	-	7 834	10.2	65	0.1

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Total October payroll, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, Judicial, and Percent of total. Lists cities like New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 8 columns: City, Legal services and prosecution, Public defense, Corrections, Other criminal justice, and Percent of total. Lists cities like New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

FIGURE 21.
Percent distribution of police protection direct expenditure
by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include STATES-LOCAL, ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, COLORADO, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include IDAHO, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, KANSAS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MAINE, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 8 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Oregon.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.

1 Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

2 For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total), Sworn (Number of employees, Percent of total), and Nonsworn (Number of employees, Percent of total). Rows include states like Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

POLICE PROTECTION

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total), Sworn (Number of employees, Percent of total), and Nonsworn (Number of employees, Percent of total). Rows include states like Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total), Sworn (Number of employees, Percent of total), and Nonsworn (Number of employees, Percent of total). Rows include OHIO, OKLAHOMA, OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA, SOUTH DAKOTA, TENNESSEE, TEXAS, UTAH, VERMONT, and VIRGINIA, each with sub-rows for STATE, LOCAL, COUNTIES, and MUNICIPALITIES.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total police protection (Number of employees, Percent of total), Sworn (Number of employees, Percent of total), and Nonsworn (Number of employees, Percent of total). Rows include WASHINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA, WISCONSIN, and WYOMING, each with sub-rows for STATE, LOCAL, COUNTIES, and MUNICIPALITIES.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals.

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total police protection (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Sworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection), Nonsworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection). Rows include states like ALABAMA, ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total police protection (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Sworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection), Nonsworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection). Rows include states like HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 6 columns: State and type of government, Total police protection (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Sworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection), and Nonsworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection). Rows include states from Minnesota to North Dakota.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 6 columns: State and type of government, Total police protection (October payroll, Percent of total criminal justice system), Sworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection), and Nonsworn personnel (October payroll, Percent of total police protection). Rows include states from Ohio to Virginia.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments).

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments).

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

POLICE PROTECTION

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Malden, Michigan, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 11 columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, and New York.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 11 columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	2 493	2 493	2 412	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	8 115	8 115	7 545	570	491	79	-	-	-	-
CLARKSVILLE	1 216	1 216	1 156	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	6 685	6 685	6 598	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	32 252	32 252	30 276	1 976	1 018	699	259	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	21 613	21 613	20 433	1 180	1 174	6	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	2 045	2 044	1 953	91	59	32	-	1	-	1
AMARILLO	4 354	4 354	4 294	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	3 377	3 377	3 233	144	144	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	11 853	11 853	11 494	359	129	230	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	3 913	3 913	3 405	508	508	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	1 730	1 730	1 440	290	89	-	201	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	8 801	8 780	8 543	237	237	-	-	21	21	-
DALLAS	52 009	52 009	51 558	451	451	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	12 262	12 262	11 502	760	760	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	17 764	17 764	17 629	135	135	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	2 525	2 525	2 307	218	142	76	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	3 137	3 137	2 996	141	141	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 359	1 359	1 294	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	68 173	68 173	59 918	8 255	6 202	1 170	883	-	-	-
IRVING	2 767	2 767	2 112	403	285	-	118	-	-	-
LAREDO	1 151	1 151	1 042	109	109	-	-	-	-	-
LONGVIEW	1 379	1 378	1 139	239	91	148	-	1	1	-
LUBBOCK	5 441	5 441	4 153	1 288	1 210	-	78	-	-	-
MESQUITE	1 681	1 681	1 681	200	200	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 980	1 980	1 970	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	2 010	2 010	1 949	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	3 238	3 238	3 134	104	104	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	1 819	1 819	1 657	162	155	7	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	1 566	1 566	1 471	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	1 796	1 796	1 628	168	165	-	3	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	28 806	28 806	27 425	1 381	1 377	4	-	-	-	-
TYLER	1 511	1 511	1 497	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	3 128	3 128	3 073	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	2 150	2 150	1 890	260	111	-	149	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	3 395	3 395	3 052	343	343	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	1 203	1 203	1 074	129	129	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	12 286	12 286	11 918	368	-	368	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	5 480	5 480	5 213	267	267	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	3 555	3 555	3 300	255	236	19	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	6 612	6 612	6 638	2 974	115	2 859	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	2 747	2 747	2 720	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	4 045	4 045	3 849	196	196	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	10 969	10 969	10 595	374	336	38	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	4 021	4 021	3 914	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	10 628	10 628	10 621	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	2 901	2 901	2 889	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	7 412	7 412	7 267	145	110	35	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	2 398	2 398	2 289	109	109	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	2 377	2 245	2 161	84	67	17	132	-	-	132
SEATTLE	29 806	29 791	29 562	229	199	30	15	-	-	15
SPOKANE	6 234	5 972	5 917	55	54	1	262	-	-	262
TACOMA	6 805	6 361	6 333	28	28	-	444	-	-	444
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	2 827	2 827	2 808	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	2 494	2 494	2 473	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	1 663	1 663	1 619	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 849	2 849	2 836	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	2 857	2 857	2 736	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	1 405	1 405	1 353	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	7 795	7 795	7 553	242	242	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	45 492	45 492	44 778	714	714	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	1 506	1 506	1 468	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	4 514	4 514	4 403	111	111	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	2 056	2 056	2 044	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	3 081	3 081	2 979	102	46	56	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section IV. JUDICIAL

FIGURE 22.
Percent distribution of judicial direct expenditure, by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	2 745 848	2 745 848	2 585 092	160 756	255 746	90 274	165 472
STATES	1 150 265	1 012 899	983 068	29 831	137 366	(X)	137 366
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 823 223	1 732 949	1 602 024	130 925	118 380	90 274	28 106
COUNTIES	1 418 524	1 387 147	1 269 478	117 669	31 377	24 152	7 225
MUNICIPALITIES	432 806	345 802	332 546	13 256	87 004	66 122	20 881
ALABAMA	43 719	43 719	43 168	552	233	8	226
STATE	25 021	24 989	24 721	268	32	(X)	32
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 738	18 730	18 447	284	201	8	194
COUNTIES	16 698	16 698	16 426	272	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 234	2 032	2 021	12	201	8	194
ALASKA	16 005	16 005	15 273	732	24	-	24
STATE	15 976	15 952	15 220	732	24	(X)	24
LOCAL, TOTAL	53	53	53	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	53	53	53	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	30 169	30 169	29 454	715	31	28	3
STATE	4 613	4 613	4 470	143	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 584	25 556	24 984	572	31	28	3
COUNTIES	20 266	20 263	19 981	282	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 321	5 293	5 003	290	28	28	-
ARKANSAS	11 040	11 040	10 495	545	244	61	183
STATE	3 572	3 572	3 473	99	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 529	7 468	7 022	446	244	61	183
COUNTIES	5 369	5 342	5 191	152	27	27	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 343	2 126	1 831	295	217	34	183
CALIFORNIA	333 819	333 819	326 306	7 513	7 709	309	7 400
STATE	45 185	38 078	37 962	116	7 107	(X)	7 107
LOCAL, TOTAL	296 050	295 741	288 344	7 397	602	309	293
COUNTIES	281 172	280 577	273 304	7 273	595	309	286
MUNICIPALITIES	15 170	15 163	15 040	123	7	-	7
COLORADO	39 924	39 924	39 300	624	15	15	-
STATE	29 810	29 810	29 268	542	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 130	10 114	10 032	82	15	15	-
COUNTIES	2 650	2 635	2 577	58	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 479	7 479	7 455	24	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	29 312	29 312	26 898	2 414	70	24	46
STATE	27 993	27 993	25 981	2 012	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 343	1 319	917	402	70	24	46
MUNICIPALITIES	1 389	1 319	917	402	70	24	46
DELAWARE	10 904	10 904	10 660	244	1 017	-	1 017
STATE	9 924	8 907	8 733	174	1 017	(X)	1 017
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 997	1 997	1 927	70	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 405	1 405	1 348	57	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	592	592	578	14	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	23 689	23 689	22 839	850	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 689	23 689	22 839	850	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	23 689	23 689	22 839	850	-	-	-
FLORIDA	123 546	123 546	111 703	11 844	1 003	449	554
STATE	36 631	36 631	36 089	542	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	87 364	86 915	75 614	11 302	1 003	449	554
COUNTIES	80 588	79 712	68 631	11 081	876	323	554
MUNICIPALITIES	7 329	7 203	6 983	221	126	126	-
GEORGIA	50 833	50 833	49 700	1 132	91	85	6
STATE	9 695	9 695	9 613	82	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 223	41 138	40 087	1 050	91	85	6
COUNTIES	36 758	36 669	35 990	679	89	83	6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 471	4 469	4 097	372	2	2	-
HAWAII	15 467	15 467	14 561	906	-	-	-
STATE	15 467	15 467	14 561	906	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Current, Capital outlay), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State, To local). Rows include states like IDAHO, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, KANSAS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MAINE, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Current, Capital outlay), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State, To local). Rows include states like MISSOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH DAKOTA, OHIO, OKLAHOMA, OREGON.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 8 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming.

Table 32. Total judicial expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978 (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current), Capital outlay (Total, Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total). Rows list various states from Alabama to Wyoming.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

1 Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

2 For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and objects, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	1 153	1 153	1 150	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KING	7 912	7 912	7 850	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	778	778	763	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	2 175	2 175	2 174	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 797	1 797	1 721	76	19	1	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 743	1 743	1 702	41	39	57	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	1 134	1 134	1 111	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	174	174	172	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	521	494	476	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	688	636	617	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	2 657	2 508	2 490	18	18	-	52	-	-	52
KENOSHA	876	805	787	18	18	-	149	149	-	-
MARATHON	402	349	345	4	4	-	71	71	-	-
MILWAUKEE	10 233	10 230	9 978	252	22	230	53	53	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	832	757	757	133	4	-	3	-	-	3
RACINE	1 282	1 149	1 141	8	8	127	75	75	-	-
ROCK	916	855	853	2	2	-	133	133	-	-
WAUKESHA	1 452	1 328	1 321	7	7	-	61	61	-	-
WINNEBAGO	662	633	622	11	11	-	124	124	-	-
							29	29		

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

JUDICIAL

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
TOTAL	987 728	458 051	299 704	229 063
ALABAMA:				
CALHOUN	488	156	213	119
JEFFERSON	4 748	2 044	1 656	1 048
MADISON	1 026	445	332	249
MOBILE	2 333	1 048	895	390
MONTGOMERY	1 307	527	468	312
TUSCALOOSA	1 003	444	280	279
ARIZONA:				
MARICOPA	9 396	7 226	1 679	491
PIMA	5 094	3 564	582	948
ARKANSAS:				
PULASKI	1 303	802	143	438
SEBASTIAN	514	264	92	158
CALIFORNIA:				
ALAMEDA	18 463	3 670	8 064	6 729
BUTTE	1 432	409	487	536
CONTRA COSTA	6 623	940	4 136	1 547
FRESNO	5 682	1 764	2 472	1 446
HUMBOLDT	1 616	370	773	473
KERN	4 342	1 886	1 726	730
LOS ANGELES	94 981	50 415	41 934	2 632
MARIN	2 902	615	1 222	1 065
MERCED	1 320	175	805	340
MONTEREY	3 381	1 174	1 642	565
ORANGE	21 589	4 875	11 639	5 075
RIVERSIDE	7 433	1 911	2 791	2 731
SACRAMENTO	9 301	2 655	3 604	3 042
SAN BERNARDINO	11 720	3 838	4 909	2 973
SAN DIEGO	18 920	4 322	8 090	6 508
SAN JOAQUIN	4 398	793	2 194	1 411
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 670	664	723	283
SAN MATEO	7 419	2 013	2 900	2 506
SANTA BARBARA	4 534	2 654	1 772	108
SANTA CLARA	14 412	3 254	5 960	5 198
SANTA CRUZ	2 202	710	1 107	380
SOLANO	2 767	165	1 301	1 301
SONOMA	2 531	489	1 222	820
STANISLAUS	3 000	781	1 245	974
TULARE	2 735	579	1 541	615
VENTURA	5 624	2 379	2 858	387
YOLO	1 318	290	514	514
COLORADO:				
ADAMS	37	37	-	-
ARAPAHOE	577	97	144	336
BOULDER	53	-	-	53
EL PASO	1 401	-	-	1 401
JEFFERSON	124	-	-	124
LARIMER	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	185	-	-	185
WELD	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:				
NEW CASTLE	1 227	762	-	465
FLORIDA:				
ALACHUA	840	659	20	161
BREVARD	1 422	1 088	66	268
BROWARD	6 213	2 781	760	2 672
DADE	18 202	5 309	4 204	8 689
ESCAMBIA	3 111	1 029	124	1 958
HILLSBOROUGH	5 175	2 686	1 338	1 151
LEE	1 287	536	227	524
LEON	1 173	620	267	286
MANATEE	843	433	166	244
OKALOOSA	794	730	15	49
ORANGE	3 863	1 084	849	1 930
PALM BEACH	3 533	1 748	986	799
PASCO	1 031	534	198	249
PINELLAS	6 471	5 327	120	1 024

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
FLORIDA--CONTINUED				
POLK	2 554	559	739	1 256
SARASOTA	879	637	-	242
SEMINOLE	827	356	177	294
VOLUSIA	1 581	934	382	265
GEORGIA:				
BIBB	1 098	366	648	84
CHATHAM	1 866	997	819	50
CLAYTON	1 279	535	475	269
COBB	3 553	697	1 137	1 719
DE KALB	3 607	1 544	1 928	135
FULTON	7 747	2 864	3 351	1 532
GWINNETT	1 491	174	196	1 121
RICHMOND	1 161	469	520	172
IDAHO:				
ADA	786	687	-	99
ILLINOIS:				
CHAMPAIGN	459	459	-	-
COOK	51 166	50 304	-	862
DU PAGE	2 652	2 652	-	-
KANE	1 245	1 245	-	-
LAKE	1 235	1 208	-	27
LA SALLE	302	302	-	-
MCHENRY	428	428	-	-
MCLEAN	499	498	-	1
MACON	489	489	-	-
MADISON	1 267	1 207	-	60
PEORIA	768	768	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	459	445	-	14
ST. CLAIR	1 023	956	-	67
SANGEMON	602	602	-	-
TAZEWELL	417	417	-	-
WILL	1 182	1 169	-	13
WINNEBAGO	1 224	1 224	-	-
INDIANA:				
ALLEN	1 586	818	-	768
DELAWARE	557	262	66	229
ELKHART	664	215	90	359
LAKE	3 841	3 024	815	2
LA PORTE	812	144	26	642
MADISON	753	306	139	308
ST. JOSEPH	1 576	478	252	846
TIPPECANOE	345	119	28	198
VANDEBURGH	1 171	722	-	449
VIGO	791	247	174	370
IOWA:				
BLACK HAWK	1 135	963	-	172
LINN	1 263	1 123	-	140
POLK	3 327	589	370	2 368
SCOTT	764	739	-	25
WOODBURY	1 042	811	-	231
KANSAS:				
JOHNSON	1 407	1 127	-	280
SEDGWICK	2 623	1 519	1 095	9
SHAWNEE	1 138	723	239	176
WYANDOTTE	1 244	-	1 244	-
KENTUCKY:				
JEFFERSON	4 005	1 519	737	1 749
KENTON	271	145	70	56
LOUISIANA:				
CADDO	824	389	168	267
CALCASIEU	797	444	107	246
JEFFERSON	4 278	197	653	3 428
LAFAYETTE	611	384	77	150
OUACHITA	402	282	42	78
RAPIDES	384	241	81	62
MAINE:				
CUMBERLAND	201	201	-	-
KENNEBEC	115	54	60	1
PENOBSCOT	255	96	155	4
YORK	145	80	55	10

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
MARYLAND:				
ANNE ARUNDEL	1 196	926	12	258
BALTIMORE	1 951	1 170	37	744
HARFORD	594	300	-	294
MONTGOMERY	2 645	2 645	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	3 976	1 384	281	2 311
WASHINGTON	171	65	8	98
MASSACHUSETTS:				
BARNSTABLE	1 276	421	780	75
BERKSHIRE	955	379	547	29
BRISTOL	3 117	1 725	1 425	132
ESSEX	4 500	1 926	2 564	10
HAMPDEN	4 620	1 992	2 544	84
HAMPSHIRE	865	368	421	76
MIDDLESEX	13 669	4 166	9 238	265
NORFOLK	3 985	1 633	2 298	54
PLYMOUTH	3 486	1 153	1 686	647
WORCESTER	4 885	1 611	3 016	258
MICHIGAN:				
BAY	1 076	485	467	124
BERRIEN	1 806	638	1 115	53
CALHOUN	2 138	767	1 253	118
GENESEE	5 103	2 842	2 123	138
INGHAM	2 545	1 207	1 257	81
JACKSON	1 516	734	474	308
KALAMAZOO	2 209	914	837	458
KENT	2 006	1 052	806	148
MACOMB	4 203	2 662	1 507	34
MONROE	1 109	444	645	20
MUSKEGON	1 477	639	731	107
OAKLAND	6 167	2 670	3 289	208
OTTAWA	810	327	439	44
SAGINAW	1 921	927	977	17
ST. CLAIR	1 256	450	726	80
WASHTENAW	2 684	1 316	1 206	162
WAYNE	25 193	16 917	8 276	-
MINNESOTA:				
ANOKA	1 086	958	-	128
DAKOTA	1 039	287	551	201
HENNEPIN	9 135	3 105	4 334	1 696
RAMSEY	5 149	1 795	1 883	1 471
ST. LOUIS	2 343	770	1 167	406
STEARNS	628	99	421	108
WASHINGTON	736	587	12	137
MISSISSIPPI:				
HARRISON	912	327	392	193
HINDS	932	544	353	35
JACKSON	770	333	338	99
MISSOURI:				
CLAY	567	323	148	96
GREENE	738	471	223	44
JACKSON	4 970	3 790	845	335
JEFFERSON	454	172	143	139
ST. CHARLES	480	155	206	118
ST. LOUIS	6 497	1 417	3 990	1 090
NEBRASKA:				
DOUGLAS	1 872	1 105	386	381
LANCASTER	1 388	483	250	655
NEVADA:				
CLARK	5 919	2 986	1 175	1 748
WASHOE	1 606	976	348	282
NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
HILLSBOROUGH	954	625	90	239
ROCKINGHAM	753	518	52	183
NEW JERSEY:				
ATLANTIC	1 780	587	246	947
BERGEN	4 941	1 630	1 757	1 554
BURLINGTON	1 454	488	247	711
CAMDEN	3 833	1 495	674	1 664
CUMBERLAND	759	317	129	313
ESSEX	12 650	1 914	2 381	8 355

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 5 columns: County, Total direct current, Courts of general jurisdiction, Courts of limited jurisdiction, Miscellaneous. Rows include New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, and Oklahoma counties.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 5 columns: County, Total direct current, Courts of general jurisdiction, Courts of limited jurisdiction, Miscellaneous. Rows include Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas counties.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 36 Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued.

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
UTAH:				
DAVIS	176	15	68	93
SALT LAKE	2 442	628	543	1 271
UTAH	471	-	331	140
WEBER	288	-	213	75
VERMONT:				
CHITTENDEN	134	86	2	46
VIRGINIA:				
ARLINGTON	789	110	174	505
CHESTERFIELD	402	202	13	187
FAIRFAX	2 455	646	1 170	639
HENRICO	840	177	31	632
PRINCE WILLIAM	662	359	22	281
WASHINGTON:				
CLARK	1 150	307	600	243
KING	7 860	3 297	2 732	1 831
KITSAP	763	472	196	95
PIERCE	2 174	1 218	684	272
SNOHOMISH	1 721	526	773	422
SPOKANE	1 702	995	526	181
YAKIMA	1 111	472	375	264
WEST VIRGINIA:				
CABELL	172	124	-	48
KANAWHA	476	178	161	137
WISCONSIN:				
BROWN	617	186	-	431
DANE	2 490	2 277	-	213
KENOSHA	787	570	-	217
MARATHON	345	345	-	-
MILWAUKEE	9 978	9 281	-	697
OUTAGAMIE	624	471	-	153
RACINE	1 141	973	-	168
ROCK	853	799	-	54
WAUKESHA	1 321	1 234	-	87
WINNEBAGO	622	556	-	66

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns for County, Total judicial activities, Courts of general jurisdiction, and October payroll. Rows list counties from Georgia to Massachusetts.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns for County, Courts of limited jurisdiction, Miscellaneous, Total, Number of employees, and October payroll. Rows list counties from Georgia to Massachusetts.

Table 37: Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: County, Total judicial activities, Courts of general jurisdiction, and October payroll. Rows include Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, and New York counties.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37: Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: County, Courts of limited jurisdiction, Miscellaneous, and October payroll. Rows include Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, and New York counties.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: County, Total judicial activities (Total, Full-time only, Full-time equivalent, October payroll), Courts of general jurisdiction (Total, Full-time only, Full-time equivalent, October payroll). Rows include Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin counties.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
1 Data are based on field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.
2 Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns: County, Courts of limited jurisdiction (Total, Full-time only, Full-time equivalent, October payroll), Miscellaneous (Total, Full-time only, Full-time equivalent, October payroll). Rows include Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin counties.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978 (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 11 columns: City, Total, Direct current, Capital outlay (Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, DELAWARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 11 columns: City, Total, Direct current, Capital outlay (Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include CALIFORNIA, COLORADO, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct Current, Capital Outlay (Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental Expenditure (To State governments, To local governments). Rows include states like Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct Current, Capital Outlay (Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental Expenditure (To State governments, To local governments). Rows include states like Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
NIAGARA FALLS	216	106	106	-	-	-	-	110	110	-
ROCHESTER	786	207	207	-	-	-	-	579	579	-
ROME	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	115	32	32	-	-	-	-	83	83	-
SYRACUSE	588	430	427	3	-	-	-	158	158	-
TROY	111	36	36	-	3	-	-	75	75	-
UTICA	192	75	75	-	-	-	-	117	117	-
WHITE PLAINS	273	273	273	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	627	627	627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	1 311	1 289	1 191	98	8	90	-	22	-	22
CANTON	679	679	677	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	4 075	3 761	3 761	-	-	-	-	314	-	314
CLEVELAND	18 114	4 113	3 913	200	184	16	-	14 001	-	14 001
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	169	169	163	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	4 321	4 321	4 254	67	46	21	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	1 373	1 373	1 340	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	368	317	317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	172	172	169	3	3	-	-	51	-	51
HAMILTON	153	153	150	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	265	263	259	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
LIMA	313	277	272	5	5	-	-	36	-	36
LORAIN	300	288	286	2	2	-	-	12	-	12
MANSFIELD	441	439	438	1	1	-	-	2	-	2
PARMA	421	417	406	11	11	-	-	4	-	4
SPRINGFIELD	474	474	446	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	2 009	2 009	1 664	345	10	335	28	-	-	-
WARREN	543	514	514	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	673	673	672	1	1	-	-	29	-	29
OKLAHOMA:										
LAHTON	184	184	181	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	41	41	41	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	104	104	70	34	70	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	540	540	517	23	34	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	424	424	421	3	23	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	265	265	260	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	100	96	95	1	1	-	-	4	-	4
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	46 839	46 839	46 608	231	178	53	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	404	404	404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	190	190	190	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	47	47	45	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	314	314	311	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CHARLESTON	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	226	226	225	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CLARKSVILLE	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	1 371	1 371	1 322	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	4 237	4 237	4 053	184	79	105	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	127	127	124	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ANARILLO	202	202	202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	145	145	143	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	599	599	599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	406	406	401	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 948	1 928	1 928	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	517	517	517	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH:										
GALVESTON	561	561	561	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	2 536	2 536	2 311	225	225	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONGVIEW	117	101	101	-	-	-	-	16	16	-
LUBBOCK	104	104	30	74	-	74	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	216	216	215	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND:										
ODESSA	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	122	122	120	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	147	147	145	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	161	161	160	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	72	72	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	89	89	85	4	1	-	3	-	-	-
TYLER	731	731	731	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	84	84	83	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	85	85	84	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	141	141	137	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	432	432	414	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	868	868	868	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	1 643	1 354	648	706	39	667	-	289	289	-
HAMPTON	700	535	340	195	17	178	-	165	165	-
LYNCHBURG	610	502	413	89	13	76	-	108	108	-
NEWPORT NEWS	224	117	113	4	4	-	-	107	107	-
NORFOLK	1 221	1 094	1 078	16	9	7	-	127	127	-
PORTSMOUTH	1 919	1 285	1 279	6	6	-	-	634	626	8
RICHMOND	1 920	645	645	-	-	-	-	275	275	-
ROANOKE	2 769	2 749	2 711	58	34	24	-	332	-	332
VIRGINIA BEACH	1 042	710	679	31	15	16	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	549	549	514	35	15	20	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	2 746	2 667	2 594	73	26	47	-	79	79	-
SPOKANE	358	277	259	18	18	-	-	81	81	-
TACOMA	582	582	582	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	72	72	71	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	452	452	452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

FIGURE 23.
Percent distribution of legal services direct expenditure
by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 244 334	1 244 334	1 228 943	15 392	19 121	3 543	15 579
STATES	400 367	385 783	379 029	6 754	14 584	(X)	14 584
LOCAL, TOTAL	862 094	858 551	849 914	8 638	4 537	3 543	995
COUNTIES	519 871	515 967	510 052	5 915	3 904	3 470	434
MUNICIPALITIES	343 217	342 584	339 861	2 723	633	72	561
ALABAMA	10 780	10 780	10 634	147	2	2	-
STATE	5 512	5 512	5 486	26	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 270	5 268	5 148	121	2	2	-
COUNTIES	3 410	3 408	3 292	116	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 860	1 860	1 856	4	-	-	-
ALASKA	9 977	9 977	9 905	72	49	12	37
STATE	7 226	7 220	7 191	29	6	(X)	6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 770	2 757	2 714	43	43	12	31
BOROUGHs	456	425	420	5	31	-	31
MUNICIPALITIES	2 345	2 332	2 295	38	12	-	-
ARIZONA	18 928	18 928	18 688	240	-	-	-
STATE	3 883	3 883	3 818	65	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 045	15 045	14 870	175	-	-	-
COUNTIES	10 153	10 153	10 050	103	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 892	4 892	4 820	72	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	4 387	4 387	4 327	60	124	100	24
STATE	1 055	1 055	1 032	23	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 432	3 332	3 295	37	124	100	24
COUNTIES	2 397	2 297	2 263	34	100	100	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 059	1 035	1 032	3	24	-	24
CALIFORNIA	257 541	257 541	253 741	3 800	128	28	100
STATE	55 058	55 058	52 621	2 437	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	202 510	202 483	201 120	1 363	128	28	100
COUNTIES	155 689	155 663	154 652	1 011	27	27	-
MUNICIPALITIES	46 921	46 820	46 468	352	101	1	100
COLORADO	20 413	20 413	20 148	266	259	-	259
STATE	4 510	4 510	4 470	40	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 903	15 903	15 678	226	259	-	259
COUNTIES	9 901	9 643	9 453	190	259	-	259
MUNICIPALITIES	6 261	6 261	6 225	36	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	11 406	11 406	11 335	71	86	-	86
STATE	7 198	7 198	7 176	22	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 208	4 208	4 159	49	86	-	86
COUNTIES	4 292	4 208	4 159	49	86	-	86
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	2 932	2 932	2 841	90	1	-	1
STATE	2 109	2 109	2 022	87	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	823	823	819	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	315	315	313	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	508	507	506	1	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	6 993	6 993	6 962	31	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 993	6 993	6 962	31	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 993	6 993	6 962	31	-	-	-
FLORIDA	46 486	46 486	45 827	660	1	1	-
STATE	32 416	32 416	31 923	493	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 071	14 070	13 904	167	1	1	-
COUNTIES	6 200	6 199	6 076	123	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 872	7 872	7 828	44	-	-	-
GEORGIA	15 087	15 087	14 850	237	2	-	2
STATE	5 502	5 502	5 413	89	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 585	9 585	9 437	148	2	-	2
COUNTIES	7 484	7 482	7 402	80	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 103	2 103	2 036	67	-	-	-
HAWAII	6 430	6 430	6 409	21	-	-	-
STATE	2 190	2 190	2 189	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 240	4 240	4 220	20	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 392	1 392	1 378	14	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 848	2 848	2 842	6	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	4 847	4 847	4 770	77	-	-	-
STATE	1 833	1 833	1 820	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 014	3 014	2 950	64	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 198	2 198	2 134	64	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	816	816	816	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	52 595	52 595	52 320	275	2 577	16	2 561
STATE	14 120	11 567	11 507	60	2 553	(X)	2 553
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 044	40 813	40 813	215	24	16	8
COUNTIES	29 433	29 416	29 214	202	17	16	1
MUNICIPALITIES	11 619	11 612	11 599	13	7	-	7
INDIANA	16 663	16 663	16 481	183	-	-	-
STATE	5 311	5 311	5 253	58	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 353	11 352	11 228	125	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6 092	6 092	6 033	59	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 261	5 261	5 195	65	-	-	-
IOWA	10 297	10 297	10 209	88	2	-	2
STATE	2 838	2 838	2 807	31	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 459	7 459	7 402	57	2	-	2
COUNTIES	5 184	5 184	5 159	25	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 277	2 275	2 242	32	2	-	2
KANSAS	12 649	12 649	12 335	314	7	-	7
STATE	5 273	5 273	5 098	175	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 376	7 376	7 237	139	7	-	7
COUNTIES	5 132	5 128	5 055	73	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 250	2 248	2 182	66	2	-	2
KENTUCKY	14 818	14 818	14 640	178	2 606	10	2 596
STATE	10 914	8 318	8 165	153	2 596	(X)	2 596
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 510	6 500	6 475	25	10	10	-
COUNTIES	3 836	3 826	3 816	10	10	10	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 674	2 674	2 658	15	-	-	-
LOUISIANA	18 677	18 677	18 471	206	13	-	13
STATE	6 892	6 892	6 872	20	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 785	11 785	11 599	186	13	-	13
PARISHES	6 245	6 232	6 129	102	13	-	13
MUNICIPALITIES	5 553	5 553	5 469	84	-	-	-
MAINE	3 182	3 182	3 139	43	7	3	4
STATE	2 049	2 049	2 026	23	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 136	1 133	1 113	20	7	3	4
COUNTIES	540	539	520	19	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	600	594	593	1	6	2	4
MARYLAND	18 213	18 213	18 051	162	-	-	-
STATE	2 769	2 769	2 692	77	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 444	15 444	15 359	85	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9 541	9 540	9 460	81	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 904	5 904	5 900	4	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	23 219	23 219	22 336	883	156	-	156
STATE	10 469	10 469	10 415	54	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 750	12 750	11 921	829	156	-	156
COUNTIES	3 679	3 674	2 891	784	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	9 225	9 076	9 031	45	151	-	151
MICHIGAN	47 938	47 938	47 688	251	841	-	841
STATE	11 353	10 545	10 490	55	808	(X)	808
LOCAL, TOTAL	37 393	37 393	37 198	196	33	-	33
COUNTIES	24 749	24 732	24 609	123	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES	12 678	12 661	12 589	73	17	-	17
MINNESOTA	24 054	24 054	23 810	243	305	-	305
STATE	5 359	5 059	4 952	107	300	(X)	300
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 995	18 995	18 858	136	5	-	5
COUNTIES	13 272	13 267	13 166	101	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	5 728	5 728	5 692	36	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	5 260	5 260	5 222	38	3	-	3
STATE	2 741	2 741	2 737	4	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 519	2 519	2 485	34	3	-	3
COUNTIES	1 489	1 486	1 469	17	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 033	1 033	1 016	17	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI	16 466	16 466	16 160	306	407	6	401
STATE	2 716	2 315	2 208	107	401	(X)	401
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 157	14 151	13 952	199	6	6	--
COUNTIES	7 045	7 039	6 882	157	6	6	--
MUNICIPALITIES	7 112	7 112	7 070	42	--	--	--
MONTANA	4 149	4 149	4 101	49	--	--	--
STATE	1 002	1 002	995	7	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 147	3 147	3 106	42	--	--	--
COUNTIES	2 439	2 439	2 398	41	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	708	708	707	1	--	--	--
NEBRASKA	6 508	6 508	6 455	52	1	--	1
STATE	925	925	920	5	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 583	5 583	5 535	47	1	--	1
COUNTIES	3 824	3 824	3 800	24	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	1 759	1 758	1 735	23	1	--	1
NEVADA	8 215	8 215	8 093	122	--	--	--
STATE	1 814	1 814	1 802	12	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 401	6 401	6 291	110	--	--	--
COUNTIES	4 971	4 971	4 907	64	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	1 430	1 430	1 384	46	--	--	--
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2 290	2 290	2 256	34	--	--	--
STATE	1 019	1 019	1 011	8	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 271	1 271	1 245	26	--	--	--
COUNTIES	495	495	488	7	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	776	776	757	19	--	--	--
NEW JERSEY	58 944	58 944	58 467	477	1	--	1
STATE	11 512	11 512	11 326	186	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 432	47 432	47 141	291	1	--	1
COUNTIES	34 951	34 951	34 718	233	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	12 482	12 481	12 423	58	1	--	1
NEW MEXICO	8 327	8 327	8 076	251	4	4	--
STATE	6 517	6 517	6 353	164	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 814	1 810	1 723	87	4	4	--
COUNTIES	484	480	448	32	4	4	--
MUNICIPALITIES	1 330	1 330	1 275	55	--	--	--
NEW YORK	163 435	163 435	162 203	1 232	354	51	303
STATE	39 575	39 275	38 957	318	300	(X)	300
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 211	124 160	123 246	914	54	51	3
COUNTIES	35 071	35 071	34 848	223	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	89 143	89 089	88 398	691	54	51	3
NORTH CAROLINA	21 553	21 553	21 263	290	--	--	--
STATE	17 397	17 397	17 147	250	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 156	4 156	4 116	40	--	--	--
COUNTIES	1 723	1 723	1 697	26	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	2 433	2 433	2 419	14	--	--	--
NORTH DAKOTA	2 982	2 982	2 910	73	2	--	2
STATE	1 118	1 118	1 074	44	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 864	1 864	1 836	29	2	--	2
COUNTIES	1 501	1 501	1 487	14	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	365	363	348	15	2	--	2
OHIO	43 791	43 791	43 260	531	30	2	28
STATE	13 087	13 087	12 892	195	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 706	30 704	30 368	336	30	2	28
COUNTIES	16 887	16 886	16 616	270	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	13 847	13 817	13 752	65	30	2	28
OKLAHOMA	10 467	10 467	10 336	130	2 875	2 829	46
STATE	7 003	7 003	6 944	59	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 293	3 464	3 392	71	2 875	2 829	46
COUNTIES	3 762	888	847	41	2 874	2 829	45
MUNICIPALITIES	2 577	2 576	2 546	31	1	--	1
OREGON	23 880	23 880	23 428	453	22	19	206
STATE	12 106	11 900	11 625	275	20	(X)	206
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 999	11 980	11 803	178	15	19	--
COUNTIES	9 621	9 603	9 444	158	19	19	--
MUNICIPALITIES	2 378	2 378	2 358	20	--	--	--

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	43 364	43 364	42 965	399	751	--	751
STATE	8 284	7 533	7 504	29	751	(X)	751
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 031	35 831	35 461	370	--	--	--
COUNTIES	17 646	17 646	17 368	278	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	18 185	18 185	18 093	92	--	--	--
RHODE ISLAND	3 445	3 445	3 416	29	--	(X)	--
STATE	2 482	2 482	2 453	29	--	--	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	963	963	963	--	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	963	963	963	--	--	--	--
SOUTH CAROLINA	7 423	7 423	7 262	162	593	--	593
STATE	4 467	3 882	3 740	142	585	(X)	585
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 541	3 541	3 522	20	8	--	8
COUNTIES	2 630	2 622	2 603	18	8	--	8
MUNICIPALITIES	920	920	918	1	--	--	--
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 676	3 676	3 599	76	320	--	320
STATE	1 752	1 752	1 700	52	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 244	1 924	1 899	24	320	320	--
COUNTIES	1 838	1 518	1 494	24	320	320	--
MUNICIPALITIES	406	406	406	--	--	--	--
TENNESSEE	13 391	13 391	13 203	189	--	--	--
STATE	8 789	8 789	8 658	131	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 602	4 602	4 545	58	--	--	--
COUNTIES	1 672	1 672	1 639	33	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	2 931	2 931	2 906	25	--	--	--
TEXAS	52 730	52 730	51 915	815	233	133	100
STATE	12 258	12 258	12 126	132	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 605	40 472	39 789	683	233	133	100
COUNTIES	30 551	30 418	29 866	552	133	132	1
MUNICIPALITIES	10 154	10 054	9 923	131	100	1	99
UTAH	6 994	6 994	6 888	105	329	--	329
STATE	2 284	1 955	1 939	16	329	(X)	329
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 039	5 039	4 949	89	--	--	--
COUNTIES	3 264	3 264	3 183	81	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	1 774	1 774	1 767	8	--	--	--
VERMONT	2 057	2 057	2 023	34	--	--	--
STATE	1 710	1 710	1 679	31	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	347	347	344	3	--	--	--
COUNTIES	29	29	29	3	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	318	318	315	3	--	--	--
VIRGINIA	16 757	16 757	16 634	123	2 916	3	2 914
STATE	7 286	4 404	4 396	8	2 882	(X)	2 882
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 356	12 353	12 238	115	34	3	32
COUNTIES	5 477	5 477	5 438	39	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	6 911	6 876	6 800	76	34	3	32
WASHINGTON	21 242	21 242	20 865	377	523	--	523
STATE	7 018	6 495	6 306	189	523	(X)	523
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 747	14 747	14 559	188	--	--	--
COUNTIES	10 135	10 134	10 017	117	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	4 613	4 613	4 542	71	--	--	--
WEST VIRGINIA	5 940	5 940	5 397	44	5	--	1
STATE	2 726	2 726	2 690	36	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 218	3 214	3 207	8	5	--	4
COUNTIES	2 613	2 608	2 600	8	5	--	1
MUNICIPALITIES	606	606	607	--	--	--	--
WISCONSIN	30 171	30 171	29 788	383	2 383	--	2 383
STATE	16 233	13 889	13 715	174	2 344	(X)	2 344
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 282	16 282	16 073	209	39	--	39
COUNTIES	11 174	11 137	11 009	128	37	--	37
MUNICIPALITIES	5 147	5 145	5 064	81	2	--	2
WYOMING	2 361	2 361	2 340	22	--	--	--
STATE	707	707	694	13	--	(X)	--
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 654	1 654	1 646	9	--	--	--
COUNTIES	1 281	1 281	1 273	8	--	--	--
MUNICIPALITIES	374	374	373	1	--	--	--

-- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Not applicable.²Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.³For each State, and United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 40. Total legal services and prosecution expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	400 367	385 783	379 029	6 754	6 299	455	14 584	
ALABAMA	5 512	5 512	5 486	26	26	-	-	
ALASKA	7 226	7 220	7 191	29	29	-	6	
ARIZONA	3 883	3 883	3 818	65	65	-	-	
ARKANSAS	1 055	1 055	1 032	23	23	-	-	
CALIFORNIA	55 058	55 058	52 621	2 437	2 242	195	-	
COLORADO	4 510	4 510	4 470	40	40	-	-	
CONNECTICUT	7 198	7 198	7 176	22	22	-	-	
DELAWARE	2 109	2 109	2 022	87	87	-	-	
FLORIDA	32 416	32 416	31 923	493	493	-	-	
GEORGIA	5 502	5 502	5 413	89	19	70	-	
HAWAII	2 190	2 190	2 189	1	-	1	-	
IDAHO	1 833	1 833	1 820	13	13	-	-	
ILLINOIS	14 120	11 567	11 507	60	60	-	2 553	
INDIANA	5 311	5 311	5 253	58	58	-	-	
IOWA	2 838	2 838	2 807	31	31	-	-	
KANSAS	5 273	5 273	5 098	175	175	-	-	
KENTUCKY	10 914	8 318	8 165	153	153	-	2 596	
LOUISIANA	6 892	6 892	6 872	20	20	-	-	
MAINE	2 049	2 049	2 026	23	23	-	-	
MARYLAND	2 769	2 769	2 692	77	77	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS	10 469	10 469	10 415	54	54	-	-	
MICHIGAN	11 353	10 545	10 490	55	55	-	808	
MINNESOTA	5 359	5 059	4 952	107	71	36	300	
MISSISSIPPI	2 741	2 741	2 737	4	4	-	-	
MISSOURI	2 716	2 315	2 208	107	107	-	401	
MONTANA	1 002	1 002	995	7	7	-	-	
NEBRASKA	925	925	920	5	5	-	-	
NEVADA	1 814	1 814	1 802	12	12	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 019	1 019	1 011	8	8	-	-	
NEW JERSEY	11 512	11 512	11 326	186	62	124	-	
NEW MEXICO	6 517	6 517	6 353	164	164	-	-	
NEW YORK	39 575	38 275	38 957	318	318	-	300	
NORTH CAROLINA	17 397	17 397	17 147	250	250	-	-	
NORTH DAKOTA	1 118	1 118	1 074	44	44	-	-	
OHIO	13 087	13 087	12 892	195	195	-	-	
OKLAHOMA	7 003	7 003	6 944	59	59	-	-	
OREGON	12 106	11 900	11 625	275	275	-	206	
PENNSYLVANIA	8 284	7 533	7 504	29	29	-	751	
RHODE ISLAND	2 482	2 482	2 453	29	29	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA	4 467	3 882	3 740	142	142	-	585	
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 752	1 752	1 700	52	52	-	-	
TENNESSEE	8 789	8 789	8 658	131	124	7	-	
TEXAS	12 258	12 258	12 126	132	110	22	-	
UTAH	2 284	1 955	1 939	16	16	-	329	
VERMONT	1 710	1 710	1 679	31	31	-	-	
VIRGINIA	7 286	4 404	4 396	8	8	-	2 882	
WASHINGTON	7 018	6 495	6 306	189	189	-	523	
WEST VIRGINIA	2 726	2 726	2 690	36	36	-	-	
WISCONSIN	16 233	13 889	13 715	174	174	-	2 344	
WYOMING	707	707	694	13	13	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	409 583	407 549	402 953	4 596	4 053	505	38	2 034	1 989	45
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	996	996	935	61	5	56	-	-	-	-
MADISON	374	374	363	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	370	370	369	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	366	366	335	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	4 852	4 852	4 817	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	3 015	3 015	2 986	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	812	745	723	22	22	-	-	67	67	-
SEBASTIAN	190	169	162	7	7	-	-	21	21	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	9 922	9 922	9 871	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	980	980	963	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	5 300	5 300	5 281	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	4 237	4 237	4 222	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	1 005	1 005	962	43	1	42	-	-	-	-
KERN	3 351	3 327	3 279	48	48	-	-	24	24	-
LOS ANGELES	50 035	50 035	49 947	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	1 470	1 470	1 465	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	689	689	671	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	2 328	2 328	2 295	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	10 657	10 657	10 600	57	43	14	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	4 262	4 262	4 242	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8 567	8 567	8 530	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	4 245	4 245	4 184	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	9 289	9 289	9 240	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	2 720	2 720	2 706	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 292	1 292	1 221	71	5	66	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3 257	3 257	3 243	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	2 438	2 438	2 413	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	7 607	7 607	7 567	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 598	1 598	1 587	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	1 480	1 480	1 453	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1 673	1 673	1 640	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 089	2 089	2 034	55	9	46	-	-	-	-
TULARE	2 057	2 057	2 015	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	3 960	3 960	3 958	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VOLCO	969	969	966	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	1 068	1 068	1 035	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	1 303	1 303	1 303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	620	620	610	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 328	1 328	1 317	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 542	1 542	1 463	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	412	412	396	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	648	648	631	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	570	570	570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	256	256	254	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	182	182	180	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	374	374	374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	569	568	533	35	35	-	-	1	1	-
DADE	1 363	1 363	1 360	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	292	292	284	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	388	388	373	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	190	190	177	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	116	116	113	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANATEE	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKALOOSA										
ORANGE	306	306	306	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	294	294	294	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	152	152	148	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	516	516	507	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	235	235	225	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	136	136	129	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	377	377	372	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	371	371	371	-	-	-	-			

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
GEORGIA--CONTINUED										
COBB	551	551	541	10	10	-	-	-	-	
DE KALB	915	915	897	18	18	-	-	-	-	
FULTON	1 914	1 914	1 904	10	10	-	-	-	-	
WINNETT	202	202	195	7	7	-	-	-	-	
RICHMOND	400	400	393	7	7	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO:										
ADA	404	404	392	12	12	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	344	344	336	8	8	-	-	-	-	
COOK	15 190	15 072	15 072	118	112	6	-	-	-	
DU PAGE	1 660	1 660	1 645	15	15	-	-	-	-	
KANE	468	468	468	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	933	933	925	8	8	-	-	-	-	
LA SALLE	235	235	230	5	5	-	-	-	-	
MCHENRY	296	295	295	-	-	-	1	-	1	
MCLEAN	214	214	209	5	5	-	-	-	-	
MACON	249	249	249	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MADISON	397	397	396	1	1	-	-	-	-	
PEORIA	440	440	437	3	3	-	-	-	-	
ROCK ISLAND	352	352	352	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	504	504	498	6	6	-	-	-	-	
SANGAMON	414	406	406	-	-	-	8	8	-	
TAZEWELL	256	256	253	3	3	-	-	-	-	
WILL	524	524	516	8	8	-	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO	572	572	572	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	395	395	392	3	3	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	153	153	150	3	3	-	-	-	-	
ELKHART	149	149	143	6	6	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	1 226	1 226	1 226	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LA PORTE	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MADISON	158	158	156	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	400	400	398	2	2	-	-	-	-	
TIPPECANOE	281	281	258	23	23	-	-	-	-	
VANDERBURGH	312	312	312	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIGO	220	220	219	1	1	-	-	-	-	
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	217	217	217	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LINN	335	335	325	10	10	-	-	-	-	
POLK	747	747	744	3	3	-	-	-	-	
SCOTT	244	244	242	2	2	-	-	-	-	
WOODBURY	256	256	254	2	2	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	643	643	641	2	2	-	-	-	-	
SEDGWICK	1 168	1 168	1 165	3	3	-	-	-	-	
SHAWNEE	469	469	465	4	4	-	-	-	-	
WYANDOTTE	429	429	405	24	2	22	-	-	-	
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	1 719	1 710	1 705	5	5	-	9	9	-	
KENTON	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	262	262	261	1	1	-	-	-	-	
CALCASIEU	475	475	467	12	12	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	1 944	1 944	1 942	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-	
OUACHITA	417	417	411	6	6	-	-	-	-	
RAPIDES	273	273	254	19	19	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	116	116	109	7	2	5	-	-	-	
KENNEBEC	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PENOBSCOT	129	128	123	5	5	-	-	-	-	
YORK	76	76	73	3	3	-	1	1	-	
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	1 023	1 023	1 018	5	5	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE	1 747	1 747	1 734	13	13	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	484	484	477	7	7	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	1 696	1 696	1 693	3	3	-	-	-	-	
PRINCE GEORGES	2 419	2 419	2 398	21	21	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	215	215	212	3	3	-	-	-	-	
BERKSHIRE	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BRISTOL	172	172	168	4	4	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	235	235	231	4	4	-	-	-	-	
HAMPDEN	294	294	260	34	1	33	-	-	-	
HAMPSHIRE	135	130	129	1	1	-	5	5	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
MIDDLESEX	660	660	-	660	660	-	-	-	-	
NORFOLK	1 097	1 097	1 028	69	1	68	-	-	-	
PLYMOUTH	461	461	461	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	248	248	243	5	5	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	350	350	349	1	1	-	-	-	-	
BERRIEN	609	609	599	10	10	-	-	-	-	
CALHOUN	518	503	492	11	11	-	15	-	15	
GENESEE	2 298	2 298	2 289	9	9	-	-	-	-	
INGHAM	1 164	1 164	1 158	6	6	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	354	354	354	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	858	858	858	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENT	845	845	836	9	9	-	-	-	-	
MACOMB	1 671	1 671	1 659	12	12	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	270	270	270	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MUSKOGON	347	347	345	2	2	-	-	-	-	
OAKLAND	2 190	2 190	2 185	5	5	-	-	-	-	
OTTAWA	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	578	578	573	5	2	2	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	357	357	357	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHTENAW	683	683	678	5	5	-	-	-	-	
WAYNE	5 576	5 576	5 567	9	9	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	667	667	661	6	6	-	-	-	-	
DAKOTA	407	404	402	2	2	-	3	-	3	
HENNEPIN	5 007	5 007	4 999	8	8	-	-	-	-	
RAMSEY	2 250	2 250	2 225	25	25	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	842	842	832	10	10	-	-	-	-	
STEARNS	153	153	150	3	3	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	238	238	216	22	22	-	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	175	175	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HINDS	87	86	83	3	3	-	1	-	1	
JACKSON	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	293	288	228	60	60	-	5	5	-	
GREENE	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	1 660	1 660	1 594	66	22	44	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	160	160	159	1	1	-	-	-	-	
ST. CHARLES	209	209	203	6	6	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	1 494	1 494	1 492	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	848	848	847	1	1	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	534	534	531	3	3	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA:										
CLARK	2 979	2 979	2 960	19	19	-	-	-	-	
WASHOE	1 188	1 188	1 162	26	26	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	142	142	140	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ROCKINGHAM	85	85	83	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	1 248	1 248	1 235	13	13	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	3 021	3 021	3 014	7	7	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON	1 328	1 328	1 328	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	3 007	3 007	3 007	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	346	346	346	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	5 373	5 373	5 362	11	11	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	780	780	780	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	2 941	2 941	2 941	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	1 497	1 497	1 497	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	2 760	2 760	2 736	24	24	-	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	1 426	1 426	1 426	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS	1 394	1 394	1 358	36	36	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	1 320	1 320	1 320	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	2 815	2 815	2 804	11	11	-	-	-	-	
SOMERSET	999	999	943	56	56	-	-	-	-	
UNION	3 247	3 247	3 173	74	74	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	214	214	212	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	815	815	815	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BROOME	508	508	496	12	12	-	-	-	-	
CHAUTAUQUA	407	407	406	1	1	-	-	-	-	
CHEMUNG	274	274	274	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS	591	591	590	1	1	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
ERIE	3 285	3 285	3 257	28	28	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	2 137	2 137	2 110	27	27	-	-	-	-	
NASSAU	7 978	7 978	7 978	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NIAGARA	438	438	437	1	1	-	-	-	-	
ONEIDA	525	525	520	5	5	-	-	-	-	
ONONDAGA	1 438	1 438	1 436	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ORANGE	654	654	652	2	2	-	-	-	-	
OSWEGO	239	239	233	6	6	-	-	-	-	
RENSSELAER	350	350	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCKLAND	1 063	1 063	1 052	11	11	-	-	-	-	
ST. LAWRENCE	155	155	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SARATOGA	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	420	420	419	1	1	-	-	-	-	
STEBBEN	213	213	212	1	1	-	-	-	-	
SUFFOLK	5 084	5 084	5 036	48	48	-	-	-	-	
ULSTER	325	325	324	1	1	-	-	-	-	
WESTCHESTER	4 685	4 685	4 638	47	47	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	67	67	65	2	2	-	-	-	-	
FORSYTH	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GASTON	58	58	54	4	4	-	-	-	-	
GUILFORD	256	256	247	9	9	-	-	-	-	
MECKLENBURG	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ONSLOW	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAKE	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:										
ALLEN	246	246	244	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ASHTABULA	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	218	218	208	10	10	-	-	-	-	
CLARK	236	236	236	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLERMONT	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIANA	199	199	199	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUYAHOGA	2 016	2 016	2 004	12	12	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	1 708	1 708	1 708	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENE	208	208	196	12	12	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	927	927	927	5	5	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	476	476	471	5	5	-	-	-	-	
LICKING	280	280	278	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	409	409	407	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LUCAS	651	651	646	5	5	-	-	-	-	
MAHONING	369	369	369	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	1 003	1 003	996	7	7	-	-	-	-	
PORTAGE	243	243	234	9	9	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	396	396	396	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STARK	400	400	399	1	1	-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	950	950	917	33	33	-	-	-	-	
TRUMBULL	289	289	289	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WOOD	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:										
CLEVELAND	201	23	21	2	2	-	178	178	-	
COMANCHE	194	30	29	1	1	-	164	164	-	
OKLAHOMA	731	9	9	-	-	-	722	722	-	
TULSA	717	49	35	14	14	-	668	668	-	
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	685	685	664	21	21	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	360	360	356	4	4	-	-	-	-	
LANE	1 584	1 584	1 552	32	32	-	-	-	-	
MARION	761	761	733	28	28	-	-	-	-	
MULTNOMAH	2 980	2 980	2 971	9	9	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	642	642	628	14	14	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	3 396	3 396	3 336	60	60	-	-	-	-	
BEAVER	364	364	364	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERKS	345	345	345	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLAIR	125	125	123	2	2	-	-	-	-	
BUCKS	1 094	1 094	1 092	2	2	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIA	308	308	306	2	2	-	-	-	-	
CENTRE	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	1 091	1 091	1 075	16	16	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DAUPHIN	532	532	512	20	20	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	2 288	2 288	2 269	19	19	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	429	429	427	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LACKAWANNA	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LARGASTER	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	277	277	277	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	347	347	261	86	1	85	-	-	-	
LEBANON	152	152	148	4	4	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LEBANON	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LEHIGH	499	499	492	7	7	-	-	-	-	
LUZERNE	414	414	414	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYCOMING	196	196	192	4	4	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	233	233	229	4	4	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	1 533	1 533	1 518	15	15	-	-	-	-	
NORTHAMPTON	288	288	288	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTHUMBERLAND	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHUYLKILL	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTMORELAND	567	567	566	11	11	-	-	-	-	
YORK	235	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLESTON	235	235	232	3	3	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	364	364	362	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LEXINGTON	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	718	710	701	9	9	-	8	-	8	
SPARTANBURG	194	194	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	178	178	177	1	1	-	-	-	-	
KNOX	147	147	136	11	11	-	-	-	-	
SHELBY	666	666	657	9	9	-	-	-	-	
SULLIVAN	61	61	59	2	2	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:										
BELL	493	493	480	13	13	-	-	-	-	
BEXAR	2 028	2 028	2 025	3	3	-	-	-	-	
BRAZORIA	271	271	235	36	36	-	-	-	-	
CAMERON	273	273	273	-	-	-	36	-	-	
DALLAS	3 722	3 722	3 628	94	94	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	1 093	1 093	1 093	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	561	561	557	4	4	-	-	-	-	
HARRIS	6 255	6 255	6 083	172	172	-	-	-	-	
HIDALGO	337	337	329	8	8	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	764	764	739	25	25	-	-	-	-	
LUBBOCK	388	388	377	11	11	-	-	-	-	
MCLENNAN	303	303	301	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NUECES	591	591	561	30	30	-	-	-	-	
SMITH	169	169	164	5	5	-	-	-	-	
TARRANT	2 705	2 591	2 576	15	13	2	114	114	-	
TAYLOR	139	139	135	4	4	-	-	-	-	
TRAVIS	865	858	844	14	14	-	7	7	-	
WICHITA	174	174	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTAH:										
DAVIS	196	196	192	4	4	-	-	-	-	
SALT LAKE	1 849	1 849	1 797	52	52	-	-	-	-	
UTAH	222	222	216	6	6	-	-	-	-	
WEBER	331	331	325	6	6	-	-	-	-	
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	445	445	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTERFIELD	181	181	179	2	2	-	-	-	-	
FAIRFAX	1 011	1 011	1 010	1	1	-	-	-	-	
HENRICO	482	482	462	20	10	10	-	-	-	
PRINCE WILLIAM	336	336	331	5	5	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	537	537	533	4	4	-	-	-	-	
KING	3 046	3 046	3 013	33	33	-	-	-	-	
KITSAP	357	357	351	6	6	-	-	-	-	
PIERCE	992	992	990	2	2	-	-	-	-	
SNOHOMISH	684	684	681	3	3	-	-	-	-	
SPOKANE	635	635	616	19	17	2	-	-	-	
YAKIMA	379	379	374	5	5	-	-	-	-	
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	148	147	147	-	-	-	1	-	1	
KANAWHA	333	333	333	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	189	189	188	1	1	-	-	-	-	
DANE	1 634	1 632	1 613	19	19	-	2	-	2	
KENOSHA	328	328	322	6	6	-	-	-	-	
MARATHON	166	166	165	1	1	-	-	-	-	
MILWAUKEE	2 931	2 930	2 918	12	12	-	-	-	-	
OUTAGAMIE	198	198	196	2	2	-	-	-	-	
RACINE	434	433	431	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ROCK	360	360	356	4	4	-	-	-	-	
WAUKESHA	412	406	378	28	28	-	6	-	6	
WINNEBAGO	187	186	184	2	2	-	1	-	1	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 42. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
TOTAL	246 654	246 656	244 182	2 274	1 900	293	81	198	15	183
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	506	506	506	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	34	34	33	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	286	286	286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	58	58	56	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	1 281	1 270	1 236	34	34	-	-	11	11	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	2 568	2 568	2 514	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	266	266	258	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	173	173	172	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	713	713	711	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	67	50	50	-	-	-	-	17	-	17
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	103	103	100	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	531	531	528	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	43	42	42	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
BERKELEY	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	251	251	249	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	372	372	361	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
GARSON	380	380	380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	100	100	99	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	218	218	211	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	147	147	146	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	76	76	74	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	36	35	35	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
EL CAJON	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFIELD	61	61	60	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	442	442	437	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	214	214	209	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	126	126	125	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	293	293	292	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	46	43	43	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
LONG BEACH	2 198	2 198	2 159	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	15 229	15 161	15 121	40	40	-	-	68	-	68
MODESTO	147	147	144	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	39	38	38	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
OAKLAND	561	561	558	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OCEANSIDE	110	110	109	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	166	166	160	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	161	161	158	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	303	303	303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	421	421	419	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	80	79	79	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
POMONA	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	176	176	175	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	379	379	379	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	248	248	246	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	95	95	92	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	144	144	142	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	2 244	2 244	2 230	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	8 131	8 131	8 006	125	125	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	993	993	991	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	177	177	174	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	488	488	480	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	190	189	187	2	2	-	-	1	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 42. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SANTA CLARA	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	460	460	454	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	85	84	84	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
STOCKTON	363	363	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
THOUSAND OAKS	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	382	382	380	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	119	119	113	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	299	299	286	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	250	250	247	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	3 527	3 527	3 517	10	5	5	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	69	69	68	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	380	380	380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	179	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	625	625	622	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	282	279	279	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	176	176	135	41	5	-	36	-	-	-
STAMFORD	260	260	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	185	185	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	109	109	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	393	393	392	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	6 993	6 993	6 962	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	144	144	142	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	231	231	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	220	220	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	1 042	1 042	1 040	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	670	670	670	-	-	-	-			

CONTINUED

3 OF 5

Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE

FIGURE 24.
Percent distribution of public defense direct expenditure by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	314 675	314 675	313 594	1 080	13 490	105	13 384
STATES	109 294	97 956	97 353	593	11 338	(X)	11 338
LOCAL, TOTAL	216 824	216 719	216 231	487	2 152	105	2 046
COUNTIES	180 215	179 469	179 035	434	746	100	646
MUNICIPALITIES	38 655	37 249	37 196	53	1 406	5	1 400
ALABAMA	1 816	1 816	1 816	-	20	-	-
STATE	1 571	1 571	1 571	-	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	266	245	245	-	20	20	-
COUNTIES	129	114	114	-	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES	137	132	132	-	5	5	-
ALASKA	2 159	2 159	2 158	1	-	-	-
STATE	2 159	2 159	2 158	1	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	5 496	5 496	5 479	18	332	-	332
STATE	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 496	5 496	5 479	18	332	-	332
COUNTIES	5 403	5 403	5 385	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	426	94	94	-	332	-	332
ARKANSAS	552	552	551	1	-	-	-
STATE	15	15	15	-	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	537	537	536	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	453	453	452	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	84	84	84	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	75 938	75 938	75 778	-160	-1 753	-	1 753
STATE	6 469	4 716	4 681	35	1 753	(X)	1 753
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 222	71 222	71 097	125	-	-	-
COUNTIES	67 907	67 907	67 796	111	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 315	3 315	3 301	14	-	-	-
COLORADO	3 067	3 067	3 015	52	17	-	17
STATE	3 045	3 045	2 993	52	17	(X)	17
LOCAL, TOTAL	22	22	22	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	16	16	16	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	6	6	-	17	-	17
CONNECTICUT	3 196	3 196	3 184	12	-	-	-
STATE	3 183	3 183	3 171	12	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	908	908	901	7	-	-	-
STATE	758	758	751	7	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	150	150	150	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	150	150	150	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	5 770	5 770	5 763	7	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 770	5 770	5 763	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 770	5 770	5 763	7	-	-	-
FLORIDA	16 458	16 458	16 292	167	2	-	2
STATE	13 827	13 827	13 663	164	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 631	2 631	2 629	3	2	-	2
COUNTIES	2 535	2 533	2 531	3	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	98	98	98	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA	2 274	2 274	2 272	2	150	-	150
STATE	349	200	200	-	149	(X)	149
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 074	2 074	2 072	2	1	-	1
COUNTIES	1 927	1 926	1 924	2	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	148	148	148	-	-	-	-
HAWAII	2 011	2 011	2 011	-	-	(X)	-
STATE	2 011	2 011	2 011	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	855	855	848	7	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	855	855	848	7	-	-	-
COUNTIES	855	855	848	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	14 149	14 149	14 096	54	33	-	33
STATE	1 712	1 712	1 684	28	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 437	12 437	12 412	26	33	-	33
COUNTIES	12 414	12 381	12 355	26	33	-	33
MUNICIPALITIES	57	57	57	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	3 113	3 113	3 105	8	-	(X)	-
STATE	1 123	1 123	1 118	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 990	1 990	1 987	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 968	1 968	1 965	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	22	22	-	-	-	-
IOWA	2 538	2 538	2 536	2	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 538	2 538	2 536	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 529	2 529	2 527	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	2 311	2 311	2 304	7	145	1	144
STATE	2 243	2 103	2 096	7	140	(X)	140
LOCAL, TOTAL	208	208	208	-	5	1	4
COUNTIES	118	113	113	-	5	1	4
MUNICIPALITIES	94	94	94	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	2 039	2 039	2 003	36	1 477	(X)	1 477
STATE	2 706	1 424	1 388	36	1 282	(X)	1 282
LOCAL, TOTAL	615	615	615	-	195	-	195
COUNTIES	644	579	579	-	65	-	65
MUNICIPALITIES	166	36	36	-	130	-	130
LOUISIANA	1 631	1 631	1 623	8	217	(X)	217
STATE	230	230	230	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 401	1 401	1 393	8	217	-	217
PARISHES	631	631	623	8	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	988	770	770	-	217	-	217
MAINE	684	684	684	-	-	(X)	-
STATE	684	684	684	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	7 074	7 074	7 058	16	-	(X)	-
STATE	7 015	7 015	6 999	16	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	59	59	59	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	59	59	59	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	6 877	6 877	6 877	-	-	(X)	-
STATE	3 564	3 564	3 564	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 313	3 313	3 313	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 393	2 393	2 393	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	920	920	920	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	15 496	15 496	15 481	15	-	(X)	-
STATE	1 169	1 169	1 169	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 327	14 327	14 312	15	-	-	-
COUNTIES	13 767	13 767	13 766	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	559	559	546	14	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	5 075	5 075	5 019	55	235	9	227
STATE	573	573	561	12	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 510	4 502	4 458	43	235	9	227
COUNTIES	4 698	4 481	4 438	43	216	9	207
MUNICIPALITIES	39	20	20	-	19	-	19
MISSISSIPPI	876	876	876	-	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	876	876	876	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	839	839	839	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	37	37	37	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table 43 data: Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon. Columns include State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments).

See footnotes at end of table.

PUBLIC DEFENSE

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table 43 data: Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming. Columns include State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments).

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

1 Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

2 For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 44. Total public defense expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	109 294	97 956	97 363	593	5 29	64		11 338
ALABAMA	1 571	1 571	1 571	-	-	-		
ALASKA	2 159	2 159	2 158	1	1			
ARIZONA	15							
ARKANSAS	6 469	4 716	4 681	35	35			1 753
CALIFORNIA	3 045	3 045	2 993	52	52			
COLORADO	3 183	3 183	3 171	12	12			
CONNECTICUT	758	758	751	7	7			
DELAWARE	13 827	13 827	13 663	164	164			
FLORIDA	349	200	200					149
GEORGIA	2 011	2 011	2 011					
HAWAII	-	-	-					
IDAHO	1 712	1 712	1 684	28	28			
ILLINOIS	1 123	1 123	1 118	5	5			
INDIANA	-	-	-					
IOWA	2 243	2 103	2 096	7	7			140
KANSAS	2 706	1 424	1 388	36	36			1 282
KENTUCKY	230	230	230					
LOUISIANA	684	684	684					
MAINE	7 015	7 015	6 999	16	16			
MARYLAND	3 564	3 564	3 564					
MASSACHUSETTS	1 169	1 169	1 169					
MICHIGAN	573	573	561	12	12			
MINNESOTA	2 544	2 544	2 524	20	20			
MISSISSIPPI	-	-	-					
MISSOURI	-	-	-					
MONTANA	266	266	264	2	2			
NEBRASKA	319	319	319					
NEVADA	14 076	13 846	13 776	70	6	64		230
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3 056	3 056	3 033	23	23			
NEW JERSEY	9 063	9 063	9 050	13	13			
NEW MEXICO	5 010	5 010	5 010					
NEW YORK	7 804	669	659	10	10			7 135
NORTH CAROLINA	-	-	-					
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	-					
OHIO	385	385	340	45	45			
OKLAHOMA	-	-	-					
OREGON	666	666	662	4	4			
OKLAHOMA	665	627	627					38
PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-					
RHODE ISLAND	-	-	-					
SOUTH CAROLINA	-	-	-					
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 761	1 761	1 761					
TENNESSEE	-	-	-					
TEXAS	-	-	-					
UTAH	1 180	1 180	1 174	6	6			
VERMONT	5 292	5 292	5 292					
VIRGINIA	398	398	398					
WASHINGTON	1 011	1 011	1 011					
WEST VIRGINIA	1 392	781	756	25	25			611
WISCONSIN	-	-	-					
WYOMING	-	-	-					

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	152 822	152 328	151 967	361	266	95		494	40	454
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	51	38	38					13	13	
JEFFERSON										
MADISON	16	16	16							
MOBILE										
MONTGOMERY	10	9	9					1	1	
TUSCALOOSA										
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	2 889	2 889	2 882	7	7					
PIMA	1 424	1 424	1 415	9	9					
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	149	149	149							
SEBASTIAN	57	57	57							
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	6 715	6 715	6 688	27	27					
BUTTE	218	218	218							
CONTRA COSTA	2 576	2 576	2 570	6	6					
FRESNO	1 575	1 575	1 575							
HUMBOLDT	334	334	332	2	2					
KERN	883	883	882	1	1					
LOS ANGELES	24 940	24 940	24 940							
MARIN	901	901	900	1	1					
MERCED	185	185	184	1	1					
MONTEREY	849	849	849							
ORANGE	3 856	3 856	3 851	5	5					
RIVERSIDE	878	878	876	2	2					
SACRAMENTO	3 149	3 149	3 141	8	8					
SAN BERNARDINO	1 767	1 767	1 762	5	5					
SAN DIEGO	4 391	4 391	4 386	5	5					
SAN JOAQUIN	1 495	1 495	1 483	12	12					
SAN LUIS OBISPO	262	262	262							
SAN MATEO	1 548	1 548	1 548							
SANTA BARBARA	998	998	983	15		15				
SANTA CLARA	3 205	3 205	3 201	4	4					
SANTA CRUZ	619	619	619							
SOLANO	734	734	727	7	7					
SONOMA	561	561	558	3	3					
STANISLAUS	448	448	443	5	5					
TULARE	902	902	902							
VENTURA	1 144	1 144	1 144							
YOLO	434	434	434							
COLORADO:										
ADAMS										
ARAPAHOE										
BOULDER										
EL PASO										
JEFFERSON										
LARIMER	15	15	15							
PUEBLO										
WELD										
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	71	71	71							
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	44	44	44							
BREVARD	31	31	31							
BROWARD	132	132	132							
DADE	539	539	539							
ESCAMBIA	66	66	66							
HILLSBOROUGH	412	412	412							
LEE	67	67	67							
LEON	25	25	25							
MANATEE	25	25	25							
OKALOOSA	15	15	15							
ORANGE	60	60	60							
PALM BEACH	424	424	423	1	1					
PASCO	12	12	12							
PINELLAS	130	130	130							
POLK	93	93	93							
SARASOTA	101	101	101							
SEMINOLE	17	17	17							
VOLUSIA	4	4	4							
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	64	64	64							
CHATHAM	209	209	209							
CLAYTON	72	72	72							

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA--CONTINUED										
COBB	38	38	37	1	1	-	-	-	-	
DE KALB	206	206	205	1	1	-	-	-	-	
FULTON	368	368	368	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GWINNETT	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHMOND	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO:										
ADA	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	176	176	172	4	4	-	-	-	-	
COOK	7 988	7 988	7 976	12	12	-	-	-	-	
DU PAGE	512	512	507	5	5	-	-	-	-	
KANE	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	150	150	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LA SALLE	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCHENRY	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCLEAN	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MACON	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MADISON	172	172	169	3	3	-	-	-	-	
PEORIA	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCK ISLAND	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	184	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANGAMON	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TAZEWELL	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILL	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO	249	249	249	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELKHART	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	300	300	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LA PORTE	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MADISON	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TIPPECANOE	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VANDERBURGH	204	204	204	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIGO	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	220	220	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LINN	282	282	282	-	-	-	-	-	-	
POLK	541	541	540	1	1	-	-	-	-	
SCOTT	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WOODBURY	212	212	211	1	1	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SEDGWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WYANDOTTE	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	326	326	326	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENTON	57	1	1	-	-	-	56	-	56	
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	176	176	172	4	4	-	-	-	-	
CALCASIE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OUACHITA	96	96	93	3	3	-	-	-	-	
RAPIDES	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENNEBEC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PENOBSCOT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PRINCE GEORGES	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERKSHIRE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BRISTOL	356	356	356	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	201	201	201	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMPDEN	318	318	318	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMPSHIRE	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
MIDDLESEX	730	730	730	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORFOLK	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PLYMOUTH	290	290	290	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	204	204	204	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	226	226	225	1	1	-	-	-	-	
BERRIEN	243	243	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CALHOUN	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GENESEE	431	431	431	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INGHAM	637	637	637	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	400	400	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENT	508	508	508	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MACOMB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MUSKEGON	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OAKLAND	1 031	1 031	1 031	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OTTAWA	109	109	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	466	466	466	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHTENAW	485	485	485	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAYNE	5 706	5 706	5 706	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	203	203	203	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DAKOTA	187	129	129	-	-	-	58	-	58	
HENNEPIN	1 962	1 962	1 934	28	28	-	-	-	-	
RAMSEY	508	508	508	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	489	489	489	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STEARNS	41	4	4	-	-	-	37	-	37	
WASHINGTON	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HINDS	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	368	368	368	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	219	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA:										
CLARK	1 049	1 049	1 039	10	10	-	-	-	-	
WASHOE	485	485	482	3	3	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCKINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUYBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERSET	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	304	304	304	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BROOME	205	205	202	3	3	-	-	-	-	
CHAUTAUQUA	166	166	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHEMUNG	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS	294	294	293	1	1	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include counties from New York to Pennsylvania.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include counties from Pennsylvania to Wisconsin.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include cities from Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include cities from Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 496 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
NIAGARA FALLS										
ROCHESTER										
ROME										
SCHENECTADY										
SYRACUSE										
TROY										
UTICA										
WHITE PLAINS										
YONKERS										
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE										
CHARLOTTE										
DURHAM										
FAYETTEVILLE										
GREENSBORO										
HIGH POINT										
RALEIGH	32	6	5	1	1		26		26	
WILMINGTON										
WINSTON-SALEM	26	26	26							
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO										
OHIO:										
AKRON										
CANTON										
CINCINNATI	286	286	286							
CLEVELAND	153	153	153							
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS										
COLUMBUS	352	15	15				337		337	
DAYTON	180	180	180							
ELYRIA	24	24	24							
EUCLID										
HAMILTON	10	10	10							
KETTERING										
LAKEWOOD	20	20	20							
LINA	21	21	21							
LORAIN	5	5	5							
MANSFIELD										
PARMA										
SPRINGFIELD										
TOLEDO	42	42	42							
WARREN										
YOUNGSTOWN										
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	27	27	27							
MIDWEST										
NORMAN										
OKLAHOMA CITY										
TULSA	162	162	162							
OREGON:										
EUGENE	23	23	23							
PORTLAND										
SALEM	8	8	8							
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN										
ALTOONA										
BETHLEHEM										
CHESTER										
ERIE										
HARRISBURG										
LANCASTER										
PHILADELPHIA	4 327	4 327	4 327							
PITTSBURGH										
READING										
SCRANTON										
WILKES-BARRE										
YORK										
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON										
PANTUCKET										
PROVIDENCE										
WARWICK										
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON										
COLUMBIA										
GREENVILLE										
NORTH CHARLESTON										

See footnotes at end of table.


Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIoux FALLS										
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA										
CLARKSVILLE										
KNOXVILLE										
MEMPHIS	69	69	69							
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	395	395	379	16	16					
TEXAS:										
ABILENE										
AMARILLO										
ARLINGTON										
AUSTIN	29	29	29							
BEAUMONT										
BROWNSVILLE										
CORPUS CHRISTI										
DALLAS										
EL PASO										
FORT WORTH										
GALVESTON										
GARLAND										
GRAND PRAIRIE										
HOUSTON										
IRVING										
LAREDO										
LONGVIEW										
LUBBOCK										
MESQUITE										
MIDLAND										
ODESSA										
PASADENA										
PORT ARTHUR										
RICHARDSON										
SAN ANGELO										
SAN ANTONIO										
TYLER										
WACO										
WICHITA FALLS										
UTAH:										
OGDEN	12	12	12							
PROVO	2	2	2							
SALT LAKE CITY										
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	59	59	59							
CHESAPEAKE										
HAMPTON	17	17	17							
LYNCHBURG	29	29	29							
NEWPORT NEWS	20	20	20							
NORFOLK										
PORTSMOUTH	20	20	20							
RICHMOND	31	31	31							
ROANOKE										
VIRGINIA BEACH										
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	18	18	18							
EVERETT										
SEATTLE	582	527	527					55	55	
SPOKANE	25							25	25	
TACOMA	42	17	17							
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON										
HUNTINGTON										
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON										
GREEN BAY										
KENOSHA										
LA CROSSE										
MADISON										
MILWAUKEE										
OSHKOSH										
RACINE										
WAUWATOSA										
WEST ALLIS										

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

FIGURE 25.
Percent distribution of corrections direct expenditure by
state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978



Section VII. CORRECTIONS

Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 8 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 8 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

1 Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

2 For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for the State included the amount that the recipient government (or governments) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 48. Detail of corrections total expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 9 columns: State and type of government, Total corrections expenditure, Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure, Institutions (Amount and Percent), Probation, pardon and parole (Amount and Percent), Miscellaneous (Amount and Percent). Rows include Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, and Virginia.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections total expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Table with 9 columns: State and type of government, Total corrections expenditure, Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure, Institutions (Amount and Percent), Probation, pardon and parole (Amount and Percent), Miscellaneous (Amount and Percent). Rows include Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, and Virginia.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections total expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections expenditure	Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure	Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
			Amount ²	Percent of total corrections expenditure	Amount ²	Percent of total corrections expenditure	Amount ²	Percent of total corrections expenditure
WASHINGTON	94 872	28.3	72 344	76.3	17 945	18.9	4 583	4.8
STATE	67 334	55.0	56 178	83.4	7 992	11.9	3 164	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 770	14.2	19 277	62.6	9 953	32.3	1 540	5.0
COUNTIES	29 289	26.7	17 861	61.0	9 548	32.6	1 880	6.4
MUNICIPALITIES	4 300	3.9	3 304	76.8	951	22.1	45	1.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18 527	19.5	16 080	86.8	1 469	7.9	978	5.3
STATE	13 604	27.2	11 161	82.0	1 465	10.8	978	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 036	11.1	5 032	99.9	4	.1	-	-
COUNTIES	5 027	22.5	5 023	99.9	4	.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	179	.8	179	99.9	-	.1	-	-
WISCONSIN	83 587	22.1	59 493	71.2	12 854	15.4	11 239	13.4
STATE	60 372	51.4	39 116	64.8	11 346	18.8	9 910	16.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 309	8.9	20 472	87.8	1 508	6.5	1 329	5.7
COUNTIES	23 015	19.7	20 285	88.1	1 401	6.1	1 329	5.8
MUNICIPALITIES	494	.3	387	78.3	107	21.7	-	-
WYOMING	18 315	38.9	17 376	94.9	700	3.8	239	1.3
STATE	16 779	64.5	15 997	95.3	543	3.2	239	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 541	7.3	1 383	89.8	157	10.2	-	-
COUNTIES	1 433	13.3	1 360	94.9	73	5.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	154	1.5	70	45.5	84	54.5	-	-

¹ - Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
³ For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 49. Detail of corrections direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections direct expenditure ²	Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure	Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
			Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	5 185 537	24.7	3 905 938	75.3	846 042	16.3	433 557	8.4
STATE	3 176 963	47.5	2 460 611	77.5	353 030	11.1	363 322	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 008 574	14.0	1 445 327	72.0	493 012	24.5	70 235	3.5
COUNTIES	1 535 625	27.4	1 080 393	70.4	411 512	26.8	43 719	2.8
MUNICIPALITIES	472 949	5.4	364 934	77.2	81 500	17.2	26 515	5.6
ALABAMA	52 470	22.6	38 243	72.9	8 601	16.4	5 626	10.7
STATE	33 295	34.8	24 561	73.8	5 788	17.4	2 946	8.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 175	14.1	13 682	71.4	2 813	14.7	2 680	14.0
COUNTIES	16 235	30.1	10 889	67.1	2 669	16.4	2 676	16.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 940	3.6	2 793	95.0	144	4.9	3	.1
ALASKA	19 071	19.9	15 607	81.8	2 417	12.7	1 047	5.5
STATE	16 627	26.7	15 163	81.4	2 417	13.0	1 047	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	444	1.7	444	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	444	1.8	444	100.0	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	65 885	23.6	47 745	72.5	15 445	23.4	2 695	4.1
STATE	36 173	44.7	30 946	85.5	3 200	8.8	2 027	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 712	15.0	16 799	56.5	12 245	41.2	668	2.2
COUNTIES	28 950	32.2	16 436	56.8	12 125	41.9	389	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	762	.7	362	47.6	121	15.9	279	36.6
ARKANSAS	26 577	26.2	23 559	88.6	2 022	7.6	997	3.8
STATE	20 623	47.0	18 907	91.7	769	3.7	947	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 954	10.3	4 652	78.1	1 253	21.0	50	.8
COUNTIES	4 975	20.7	3 816	76.7	1 110	22.3	49	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	979	2.9	836	85.4	143	14.6	1	.1
CALIFORNIA	785 767	26.9	546 609	69.6	207 497	26.4	31 661	4.0
STATE	338 764	49.6	273 313	80.7	43 941	13.0	21 510	6.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	447 003	20.0	273 296	61.1	163 556	36.6	10 151	2.3
COUNTIES	425 555	33.1	258 651	60.8	157 052	36.9	9 852	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES	21 449	2.3	14 645	68.3	6 504	30.3	300	1.4
COLORADO	63 805	23.5	50 850	79.7	9 854	15.4	3 101	4.9
STATE	50 488	44.2	38 490	76.2	9 746	19.3	2 252	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 317	8.5	12 360	92.8	108	.8	849	6.4
COUNTIES	8 016	19.8	7 559	94.3	58	.7	398	5.0
MUNICIPALITIES	5 301	4.5	4 801	90.6	49	.9	451	8.5
CONNECTICUT	53 751	21.7	37 276	69.3	9 571	17.8	6 904	12.8
STATE	53 648	43.1	37 276	69.5	9 526	17.8	6 846	12.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	103	.1	-	-	45	43.6	58	56.4
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	103	.1	-	-	45	43.6	58	56.4
DELAWARE	16 446	26.0	10 401	63.2	1 433	8.7	4 612	28.0
STATE	16 446	38.8	10 401	63.2	1 433	8.7	4 612	28.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	69 835	32.4	45 419	65.0	14 099	20.2	10 317	14.8
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	69 835	32.4	45 419	65.0	14 099	20.2	10 317	14.8
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	69 835	32.4	45 419	65.0	14 099	20.2	10 317	14.8
FLORIDA	227 841	26.2	173 795	76.3	16 788	7.4	37 258	16.4
STATE	166 369	52.7	117 251	70.5	14 937	9.0	34 181	20.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	61 472	11.1	56 544	92.0	1 851	3.0	3 077	5.0
COUNTIES	50 587	17.3	46 656	92.2	1 151	2.3	2 780	5.5
MUNICIPALITIES	10 885	4.2	9 888	90.8	700	6.4	297	2.7
GEORGIA	126 165	32.2	87 110	69.0	20 720	16.4	18 335	14.5
STATE	91 298	58.7	58 610	64.2	14 409	15.8	18 279	20.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 867	14.8	28 500	81.7	6 311	18.1	56	.2
COUNTIES	28 949	22.4	23 490	81.1	5 430	18.8	29	.1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 918	5.6	5 010	84.7	881	14.9	27	.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Detail of corrections direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 9 columns: State and type of government, Total corrections direct expenditure, Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure, Institutions (Amount, Percent), Probation, pardon and parole (Amount, Percent), and Miscellaneous (Amount, Percent). Rows include states like HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Detail of corrections direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with 9 columns: State and type of government, Total corrections direct expenditure, Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure, Institutions (Amount, Percent), Probation, pardon and parole (Amount, Percent), and Miscellaneous (Amount, Percent). Rows include states like MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Detail of corrections direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections direct expenditure ²	Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure	Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
			Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure
OHIO	229 155	26.0	167 225	73.0	32 553	14.2	29 377	12.8
STATE	149 889	63.0	111 602	74.5	13 465	9.0	24 822	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	79 266	12.3	55 623	70.2	19 088	24.1	4 555	5.7
COUNTIES	59 712	22.0	40 538	67.9	16 554	27.7	2 621	4.4
MUNICIPALITIES	19 554	5.2	15 085	77.1	2 535	13.0	1 934	9.9
OKLAHOMA	65 410	32.3	29 546	45.2	5 168	7.9	30 696	46.9
STATE	58 154	53.9	24 231	41.7	3 306	5.7	30 617	52.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 256	7.7	5 315	73.2	1 862	25.7	79	1.1
COUNTIES	5 215	20.3	3 725	71.4	1 444	27.7	46	.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 041	3.0	1 589	77.9	418	20.5	33	1.6
OREGON	66 013	26.5	49 544	75.1	11 830	17.9	4 639	7.0
STATE	42 787	43.5	32 215	75.3	6 836	16.0	3 736	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 226	15.4	17 329	74.6	4 994	21.5	903	3.9
COUNTIES	22 885	25.8	16 988	74.2	4 994	21.8	903	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	341	.6	341	100.0	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	249 325	25.1	192 326	77.1	40 795	16.4	16 203	6.5
STATE	129 329	42.5	112 718	87.2	11 978	9.3	4 633	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	119 996	17.4	79 608	66.3	28 817	24.0	11 570	9.6
COUNTIES	72 158	40.2	51 939	72.0	17 431	24.2	2 788	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	47 838	9.4	27 670	57.8	11 386	23.8	8 782	18.4
RHODE ISLAND	24 541	27.9	20 323	82.8	2 154	8.8	2 064	8.4
STATE	24 540	49.3	20 323	82.8	2 153	8.8	2 064	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1	-	-	-	1	100.0	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	-	-	-	1	100.0	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	50 767	27.8	41 307	81.4	4 742	9.3	4 717	9.3
STATE	41 728	49.6	32 807	78.6	4 488	10.8	4 433	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 039	9.2	8 500	94.0	254	2.8	284	3.1
COUNTIES	8 446	15.3	7 907	93.6	254	3.0	284	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	593	1.4	593	100.0	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	8 315	20.1	6 934	83.4	1 242	14.9	139	1.7
STATE	6 261	31.5	5 019	80.2	1 242	19.8	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 054	9.5	1 915	93.2	-	-	139	6.8
COUNTIES	1 782	17.9	1 665	93.5	-	-	117	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES	272	2.3	250	91.8	-	-	22	8.2
TENNESSEE	82 154	25.4	73 506	89.5	7 193	8.8	1 455	1.8
STATE	58 731	54.6	52 465	89.3	4 839	8.2	1 427	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 423	10.8	21 041	89.8	2 354	10.0	28	.1
COUNTIES	15 663	17.7	14 547	92.9	1 108	7.1	8	.1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 760	6.1	6 494	83.7	1 246	16.1	20	.3
TEXAS	191 925	21.7	145 173	75.6	34 510	18.0	12 241	6.4
STATE	114 992	47.8	96 677	84.1	9 836	8.6	8 479	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	76 933	11.9	48 496	63.0	24 674	32.1	3 762	4.9
COUNTIES	70 417	25.8	42 347	60.1	24 569	34.9	3 501	5.0
MUNICIPALITIES	6 516	1.8	6 149	94.4	105	1.6	261	4.0
UTAH	29 071	26.6	22 603	77.7	5 408	18.6	1 060	3.6
STATE	22 857	48.2	16 413	71.8	5 408	23.7	1 036	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 214	10.1	6 190	99.6	-	-	24	.4
COUNTIES	6 171	22.3	6 147	99.6	-	-	24	.4
MUNICIPALITIES	43	.1	43	100.0	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	8 325	25.0	5 447	65.4	1 589	19.1	1 289	15.5
STATE	8 278	33.6	5 402	65.3	1 587	19.2	1 289	15.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	47	.5	45	95.8	2	4.2	-	-
COUNTIES	45	6.4	45	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	-	-	-	2	100.0	-	-
VIRGINIA	153 767	36.3	114 467	74.4	24 188	15.7	15 113	9.8
STATE	107 618	57.8	75 829	70.5	17 098	15.9	14 691	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	46 149	19.4	38 638	83.7	7 090	15.4	422	.9
COUNTIES	18 162	17.4	14 547	80.1	3 313	18.2	302	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	27 987	21.0	24 091	86.1	3 777	13.5	120	.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Detail of corrections direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections direct expenditure ²	Percent of total criminal justice system direct expenditure	Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
			Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total corrections direct expenditure
WASHINGTON	94 872	29.2	72 344	76.3	17 945	18.9	4 583	4.8
STATE	64 163	56.3	53 103	82.8	7 992	12.5	3 068	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 709	14.5	19 241	62.7	9 953	32.4	1 515	4.9
COUNTIES	28 406	26.3	17 761	62.5	9 136	32.2	1 509	5.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 302	2.2	1 480	64.3	817	35.5	5	.2
WEST VIRGINIA	18 527	19.8	16 080	86.8	1 469	7.9	978	5.3
STATE	13 604	28.1	11 161	82.0	1 465	10.8	978	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 923	10.9	4 919	99.9	4	.1	-	-
COUNTIES	4 907	22.2	4 903	99.9	4	.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	16	.1	16	100.0	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	83 587	22.8	59 493	71.2	12 854	15.4	11 239	13.4
STATE	60 340	56.3	39 084	64.8	11 346	18.8	9 910	16.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 247	9.0	20 409	87.8	1 508	6.5	1 329	5.7
COUNTIES	22 863	19.9	20 133	88.1	1 401	6.1	1 329	5.8
MUNICIPALITIES	384	.3	276	72.0	107	28.0	-	-
WYOMING	18 315	39.5	17 376	94.9	700	3.8	239	1.3
STATE	16 779	66.2	15 997	95.3	543	3.2	239	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 536	7.3	1 379	89.8	157	10.2	-	-
COUNTIES	1 429	13.3	1 355	94.9	73	5.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	108	1.1	24	21.9	84	78.1	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

Table 50. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978 (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure. Rows include STATES-LOCAL, ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, COLORADO, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FLORIDA, GEORGIA.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure. Rows include HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, KANSAS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MAINE, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
MINNESOTA	60 265	60 265	49 992	10 273	1 109
STATE	29 557	29 557	29 557	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 215	30 708	20 435	10 273	1 109
COUNTIES	30 945	30 217	20 028	10 189	728
MUNICIPALITIES	872	491	407	84	381
MISSISSIPPI	24 496	24 496	20 624	3 872	1 168
STATE	16 976	16 104	14 897	1 207	872
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 392	8 392	5 727	2 665	296
COUNTIES	7 575	7 412	4 753	2 660	163
MUNICIPALITIES	1 112	980	974	5	132
MISSOURI	54 123	54 123	45 134	8 989	180
STATE	29 286	29 286	26 792	2 494	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 926	24 837	18 342	6 495	180
COUNTIES	17 770	17 634	11 403	6 231	136
MUNICIPALITIES	7 246	7 203	6 939	264	44
MONTANA	9 978	9 978	9 889	89	84
STATE	8 302	8 274	8 243	31	28
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 704	1 704	1 646	58	56
COUNTIES	1 525	1 521	1 470	51	4
MUNICIPALITIES	236	184	176	7	52
NEBRASKA	17 799	17 799	17 664	136	84
STATE	12 308	12 308	12 228	80	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 491	5 491	5 436	56	84
COUNTIES	5 168	5 132	5 080	52	36
MUNICIPALITIES	407	359	355	4	48
NEVADA	30 177	30 177	20 309	9 868	322
STATE	21 698	21 394	11 732	9 662	304
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 783	8 783	8 577	206	18
COUNTIES	7 906	7 900	7 712	188	6
MUNICIPALITIES	895	883	865	18	12
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9 909	9 909	9 080	829	8
STATE	7 126	7 126	6 398	728	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 783	2 783	2 682	101	8
COUNTIES	2 791	2 783	2 682	101	8
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	129 716	129 716	116 490	13 226	-
STATE	71 610	71 610	66 869	4 741	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	58 106	58 106	49 621	8 485	-
COUNTIES	57 856	57 856	49 403	8 453	-
MUNICIPALITIES	251	251	218	32	-
NEW MEXICO	20 462	20 462	11 898	8 564	983
STATE	12 894	12 894	8 251	4 643	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 568	7 568	3 647	3 921	983
COUNTIES	6 227	5 367	1 446	3 920	860
MUNICIPALITIES	2 324	2 201	2 200	1	123
NEW YORK	459 042	459 042	438 414	20 628	21 207
STATE	262 715	250 579	235 817	14 762	12 136
LOCAL, TOTAL	217 453	208 463	202 597	5 866	9 071
COUNTIES	78 534	73 202	69 394	3 808	5 332
MUNICIPALITIES	139 000	135 261	133 203	2 058	3 739
NORTH CAROLINA	108 527	108 527	100 079	8 448	2 268
STATE	91 485	89 718	86 614	3 104	1 767
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 810	18 809	13 465	5 344	501
COUNTIES	19 179	18 690	13 346	5 344	488
MUNICIPALITIES	132	119	119	-	13
NORTH DAKOTA	6 127	6 127	5 168	959	57
STATE	4 577	4 577	4 121	456	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 550	1 550	1 047	503	57
COUNTIES	1 474	1 452	948	503	22
MUNICIPALITIES	133	98	98	-	34

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
OHIO	167 225	167 225	160 489	6 736	8 629
STATE	115 825	111 602	109 172	2 430	4 223
LOCAL, TOTAL	55 626	55 623	51 317	4 306	4 406
COUNTIES	43 663	40 538	36 795	3 743	3 125
MUNICIPALITIES	16 366	15 085	14 522	563	1 281
OKLAHOMA	29 546	29 546	28 748	798	201
STATE	24 330	24 231	23 485	746	99
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 344	5 315	5 263	52	102
COUNTIES	3 755	3 725	3 714	12	29
MUNICIPALITIES	1 662	1 589	1 549	40	73
OREGON	49 544	49 544	42 444	7 100	264
STATE	32 253	32 215	30 236	1 979	38
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 394	17 329	12 208	5 121	226
COUNTIES	17 036	16 988	11 868	5 120	47
MUNICIPALITIES	520	341	340	1	179
PENNSYLVANIA	192 326	192 326	179 984	12 342	25 669
STATE	132 016	112 718	108 301	4 417	19 298
LOCAL, TOTAL	85 501	79 608	71 683	7 925	6 371
COUNTIES	55 969	51 939	46 838	5 101	4 030
MUNICIPALITIES	30 011	27 670	24 845	2 825	2 341
RHODE ISLAND	20 323	20 323	13 399	6 924	-
STATE	20 323	20 323	13 399	6 924	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	41 307	41 307	38 982	2 325	496
STATE	32 881	32 807	30 892	1 915	74
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 500	8 500	8 090	410	422
COUNTIES	8 115	7 907	7 499	408	207
MUNICIPALITIES	808	593	591	2	215
SOUTH DAKOTA	6 934	6 934	6 077	857	61
STATE	5 019	5 019	4 880	139	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 915	1 915	1 197	718	61
COUNTIES	1 703	1 665	1 161	505	38
MUNICIPALITIES	273	250	37	213	23
TENNESSEE	73 506	73 506	65 802	7 704	680
STATE	52 465	52 465	45 136	7 329	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 041	21 041	20 666	375	680
COUNTIES	14 674	14 547	14 370	177	126
MUNICIPALITIES	7 047	6 494	6 296	198	554
TEXAS	145 173	145 173	125 713	19 460	715
STATE	96 677	96 677	86 636	10 041	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	48 496	48 496	39 077	9 419	715
COUNTIES	42 559	42 347	32 945	9 402	212
MUNICIPALITIES	6 653	6 149	6 132	17	503
UTAH	22 603	22 603	18 095	4 508	596
STATE	16 892	16 413	14 157	2 256	479
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 190	6 190	3 938	2 252	117
COUNTIES	6 200	6 147	3 895	2 252	53
MUNICIPALITIES	108	43	43	-	65
VERMONT	5 447	5 447	5 295	152	-
STATE	5 402	5 402	5 258	144	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	45	45	37	8	-
COUNTIES	45	45	37	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	114 467	114 467	101 121	13 346	16 028
STATE	90 574	75 829	71 632	4 197	14 745
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 670	38 638	29 489	9 149	1 283
COUNTIES	15 347	14 547	11 613	2 934	800
MUNICIPALITIES	24 573	24 091	17 876	6 215	482

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
WASHINGTON	72 344	72 344	65 571	6 773	4 998
STATE	56 178	53 103	46 641	6 462	3 075
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 277	19 241	18 930	311	1 923
COUNTIES	17 861	17 761	17 454	307	100
MUNICIPALITIES	3 304	1 480	1 476	4	1 823
WEST VIRGINIA	16 080	16 080	14 554	1 525	284
STATE	11 161	11 161	10 432	729	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 032	4 919	4 122	796	284
COUNTIES	5 023	4 903	4 106	796	120
MUNICIPALITIES	179	16	16	-	163
WISCONSIN	59 493	59 493	54 768	4 725	295
STATE	39 116	39 084	37 815	1 269	32
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 471	20 409	16 953	3 456	263
COUNTIES	20 285	20 133	16 683	3 450	152
MUNICIPALITIES	387	276	270	6	111
WYOMING	17 376	17 376	5 998	11 378	51
STATE	15 997	15 997	4 715	11 282	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 383	1 379	1 283	96	51
COUNTIES	1 360	1 355	1 260	96	4
MUNICIPALITIES	70	24	24	-	47

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

³Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 51. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	846 042	846 042	835 712	10 330	34 821
STATE	385 584	353 030	348 290	4 740	32 554
LOCAL, TOTAL	493 665	493 012	487 422	5 590	2 267
COUNTIES	413 317	411 512	406 623	4 889	1 804
MUNICIPALITIES	81 962	81 500	80 799	701	462
ALABAMA	8 601	8 601	8 564	37	29
STATE	5 788	5 788	5 756	32	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 813	2 813	2 808	5	29
COUNTIES	2 673	2 669	2 665	5	4
MUNICIPALITIES	169	144	144	-	25
ALASKA	2 417	2 417	2 396	21	-
STATE	2 417	2 417	2 396	21	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	15 445	15 445	15 380	66	24
STATE	3 200	3 200	3 200	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 245	12 245	12 180	65	24
COUNTIES	12 125	12 125	12 059	66	-
MUNICIPALITIES	145	121	121	-	24
ARKANSAS	2 022	2 022	2 008	13	-
STATE	769	769	769	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 253	1 253	1 239	13	-
COUNTIES	1 110	1 110	1 097	13	-
MUNICIPALITIES	143	143	143	-	-
CALIFORNIA	207 497	207 497	205 967	1 530	179
STATE	43 941	43 941	43 306	635	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	163 604	163 556	162 661	895	179
COUNTIES	157 230	157 052	156 169	883	179
MUNICIPALITIES	6 504	6 504	6 492	12	-
COLORADO	9 854	9 854	9 833	21	24
STATE	9 770	9 746	9 728	18	24
LOCAL, TOTAL	108	108	105	3	-
COUNTIES	58	58	57	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	49	49	48	1	-
CONNECTICUT	9 571	9 571	9 548	23	-
STATE	9 526	9 526	9 503	23	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	45	45	45	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	45	45	45	-	-
DELAWARE	1 433	1 433	1 408	25	-
STATE	1 433	1 433	1 408	25	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	14 099	14 099	14 036	63	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 099	14 099	14 036	63	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14 099	14 099	14 036	63	-
FLORIDA	16 788	16 788	16 755	32	-
STATE	14 937	14 937	14 930	7	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 851	1 851	1 825	25	-
COUNTIES	1 151	1 151	1 132	19	-
MUNICIPALITIES	700	700	693	7	-
GEORGIA	20 720	20 720	20 613	107	102
STATE	14 409	14 409	14 346	63	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 311	6 311	6 267	44	102
COUNTIES	5 430	5 430	5 386	44	-
MUNICIPALITIES	983	881	881	-	102

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 51. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
HAWAII					
STATE	3 126	3 126	3 126	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 126	3 126	3 126	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO					
STATE	2 839	2 839	2 801	38	29
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 501	2 476	2 476	25	-
COUNTIES	362	338	325	13	29
MUNICIPALITIES	366	338	325	13	29
ILLINOIS					
STATE	33 248	33 248	33 076	172	316
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 264	13 981	13 848	133	283
COUNTIES	19 281	19 267	19 228	39	33
MUNICIPALITIES	19 291	19 258	19 219	39	33
INDIANA					
STATE	8 744	8 744	8 691	52	23
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 223	2 223	2 191	32	-
COUNTIES	6 521	6 521	6 500	20	23
MUNICIPALITIES	4 063	4 053	4 032	20	10
IOWA					
STATE	7 096	7 096	7 057	39	6 219
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 706	863	833	30	5 843
COUNTIES	6 272	6 233	6 224	9	376
MUNICIPALITIES	6 333	5 958	5 950	8	376
KANSAS					
STATE	2 750	2 750	2 738	13	14
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 365	1 365	1 354	11	-
COUNTIES	1 385	1 385	1 384	2	14
MUNICIPALITIES	1 252	1 252	1 251	2	-
KENTUCKY					
STATE	4 805	4 805	4 724	80	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 814	3 814	3 744	70	-
COUNTIES	991	991	980	10	-
MUNICIPALITIES	907	907	897	10	-
LOUISIANA					
STATE	13 147	13 147	13 120	28	8
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 728	10 728	10 724	4	-
COUNTIES	2 419	2 419	2 396	24	8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 121	1 121	1 113	8	-
MAINE					
STATE	1 022	1 022	1 021	1	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 022	1 022	1 021	1	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND					
STATE	23 128	23 511	23 390	120	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 128	23 128	23 008	120	-
COUNTIES	383	383	382	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	383	383	382	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS					
STATE	28 149	28 149	26 443	1 706	14
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 127	6 127	-	-	-
COUNTIES	22 022	22 022	20 316	1 706	14
MUNICIPALITIES	17 933	17 919	16 218	1 701	14
MICHIGAN					
STATE	31 883	31 883	31 358	525	59
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 323	11 323	11 323	-	-
COUNTIES	20 560	20 560	20 035	525	59
MUNICIPALITIES	17 832	17 815	17 789	26	17
	2 786	2 744	2 246	498	42

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
MINNESOTA					
STATE	16 572	16 572	16 475	97	283
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 150	4 150	4 150	-	-
COUNTIES	12 459	12 422	12 325	97	283
MUNICIPALITIES	12 691	12 409	12 312	97	283
MISSISSIPPI					
STATE	1 326	1 326	1 326	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 285	1 285	1 285	-	-
COUNTIES	41	41	41	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	41	41	41	-	-
MISSOURI					
STATE	12 181	12 181	12 141	40	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 639	6 639	6 604	35	-
COUNTIES	5 542	5 542	5 537	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 045	2 045	2 043	2	-
MONTANA					
STATE	3 535	3 535	3 457	78	44
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 220	2 220	2 152	68	-
COUNTIES	1 315	1 315	1 305	10	44
MUNICIPALITIES	1 282	1 237	1 227	10	44
NEBRASKA					
STATE	4 668	4 668	4 650	17	5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 682	3 682	3 672	10	-
COUNTIES	988	986	978	7	5
MUNICIPALITIES	803	798	791	7	5
NEVADA					
STATE	4 930	4 930	4 863	67	625
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 161	2 536	2 501	35	625
COUNTIES	2 394	2 394	2 362	32	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 215	2 215	2 195	20	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
STATE	1 780	1 780	1 778	2	6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 384	1 384	1 382	2	-
COUNTIES	396	396	396	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	401	396	396	-	6
NEW JERSEY					
STATE	33 284	33 284	32 911	373	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 614	5 614	5 518	96	-
COUNTIES	27 672	27 670	27 393	277	2
MUNICIPALITIES	27 628	27 626	27 349	277	2
NEW MEXICO					
STATE	3 138	3 138	3 097	41	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 870	2 870	2 829	41	-
COUNTIES	268	268	268	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	84	84	84	-	-
NEW YORK					
STATE	75 928	75 928	75 586	342	17 903
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 391	17 576	17 545	31	17 815
COUNTIES	58 440	58 352	58 041	311	88
MUNICIPALITIES	35 813	35 725	35 449	276	88
NORTH CAROLINA					
STATE	14 770	14 770	14 695	75	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 536	14 536	14 461	75	-
COUNTIES	234	234	234	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	217	217	217	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA					
STATE	825	825	809	16	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	589	589	573	16	-
COUNTIES	236	236	236	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	236	236	236	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
OHIO	32 553	32 553	32 506	48	2 339
STATE	15 735	13 465	13 465	-	2 270
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 091	19 088	19 041	48	69
COUNTIES	16 554	16 554	16 506	48	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 603	2 535	2 535	-	69
OKLAHOMA	5 168	5 168	5 159	9	2
STATE	3 306	3 306	3 305	1	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 862	1 862	1 854	8	2
COUNTIES	1 444	1 444	1 436	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	420	418	418	-	2
OREGON	11 830	11 830	11 323	507	478
STATE	7 300	6 836	6 657	179	464
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 994	4 994	4 666	328	14
COUNTIES	4 994	4 994	4 666	328	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14	-	-	-	14
PENNSYLVANIA	40 795	40 795	40 443	352	3 716
STATE	15 598	11 978	11 864	114	3 620
LOCAL, TOTAL	28 904	28 817	28 579	238	96
COUNTIES	17 527	17 431	17 193	238	96
MUNICIPALITIES	11 386	11 386	11 386	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	2 154	2 154	2 147	7	-
STATE	2 153	2 153	2 146	7	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1	1	1	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	4 742	4 742	4 653	89	-
STATE	4 488	4 488	4 401	87	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	254	254	252	2	-
COUNTIES	255	254	252	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 242	1 242	1 238	4	-
STATE	1 242	1 242	1 238	4	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	7 193	7 193	7 147	45	-
STATE	4 839	4 800	4 800	39	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 354	2 354	2 347	6	-
COUNTIES	1 108	1 108	1 104	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 246	1 246	1 243	3	-
TEXAS	34 510	34 510	32 884	1 626	1 747
STATE	11 446	9 836	8 537	1 299	1 610
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 682	24 674	24 347	327	137
COUNTIES	24 696	24 569	24 242	327	128
MUNICIPALITIES	115	105	105	-	10
UTAH	5 408	5 408	5 408	-	-
STATE	5 408	5 408	5 408	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	1 589	1 589	1 574	15	-
STATE	1 587	1 587	1 572	15	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-
VIRGINIA	24 188	24 188	22 683	1 505	85
STATE	17 098	17 098	15 959	1 139	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 090	7 090	6 724	366	85
COUNTIES	3 398	3 313	2 986	326	85
MUNICIPALITIES	3 777	3 777	3 737	39	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total ³	Direct current	Capital outlay	
WASHINGTON	17 945	17 945	17 826	119	547
STATE	7 992	7 992	7 933	59	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 953	9 953	9 893	60	547
COUNTIES	9 548	9 136	9 077	59	412
MUNICIPALITIES	951	817	816	1	135
WEST VIRGINIA	1 469	1 469	1 469	-	-
STATE	1 465	1 465	1 465	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	4	4	-	-
COUNTIES	4	4	4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	12 854	12 854	12 710	143	-
STATE	11 346	11 346	11 208	138	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 508	1 508	1 502	5	-
COUNTIES	1 401	1 401	1 398	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	107	107	104	3	-
WYOMING	700	700	699	1	-
STATE	543	543	543	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	157	157	156	1	-
COUNTIES	73	73	73	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	84	84	83	1	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

³Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	261 385	249 547	253 466	24.0	195 297	186 443	189 457	74.8
STATE	153 770	150 148	151 408	48.8	121 426	118 964	119 844	79.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	107 615	99 399	102 058	13.7	73 871	67 479	69 613	68.2
COUNTIES	86 327	78 485	81 015	27.4	57 844	51 608	53 671	66.2
MUNICIPALITIES	21 288	20 914	21 043	4.7	16 027	15 871	15 942	75.8
ALABAMA	3 314	3 253	3 276	22.5	2 393	2 341	2 359	72.0
STATE	1 883	1 883	1 883	37.3	1 434	1 434	1 434	76.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 431	1 370	1 393	14.7	959	907	925	66.4
COUNTIES	1 202	1 147	1 167	34.3	741	695	710	60.8
MUNICIPALITIES	229	223	226	3.7	218	212	215	95.1
ALASKA	529	516	522	18.6	407	394	400	76.6
STATE	503	490	496	25.0	381	368	374	75.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	26	26	26	3.2	26	26	26	100.0
COUNTIES	26	26	26	3.2	26	26	26	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES	26	26	26	3.2	26	26	26	100.0
ARIZONA	3 193	3 172	3 178	23.8	2 372	2 357	2 362	74.3
STATE	1 594	1 694	1 694	45.7	1 469	1 469	1 469	86.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 499	1 478	1 484	15.4	903	888	893	60.2
COUNTIES	1 465	1 446	1 452	30.5	881	867	872	60.1
MUNICIPALITIES	34	32	32	.7	22	21	21	65.6
ARKANSAS	1 444	1 367	1 396	20.9	1 224	1 175	1 193	85.5
STATE	879	879	879	45.7	793	793	793	90.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	565	488	517	10.9	431	382	400	77.4
COUNTIES	474	400	428	21.4	354	307	324	75.7
MUNICIPALITIES	91	88	89	3.2	77	75	76	85.4
CALIFORNIA	33 564	31 263	32 024	26.7	22 551	20 736	21 316	66.6
STATE	12 927	12 365	12 490	49.3	10 535	10 138	10 193	81.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 637	18 898	19 534	20.7	12 016	10 598	11 123	56.9
COUNTIES	19 727	17 999	18 629	34.2	11 440	10 022	10 547	56.6
MUNICIPALITIES	910	899	905	2.3	576	576	576	63.6
COLORADO	2 994	2 868	2 911	22.3	2 301	2 198	2 231	76.6
STATE	2 040	1 978	2 001	45.0	1 393	1 347	1 363	68.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	954	890	910	10.6	908	851	868	95.4
COUNTIES	633	573	592	23.4	596	540	557	94.1
MUNICIPALITIES	321	317	318	5.2	312	311	311	97.8
CONNECTICUT	2 688	2 655	2 669	20.8	1 939	1 918	1 928	72.2
STATE	2 682	2 650	2 664	42.7	1 939	1 918	1 928	72.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	958	942	944	26.8	657	641	643	68.1
STATE	958	942	944	38.5	657	641	643	68.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	2 941	2 920	2 922	32.5	1 902	1 902	1 902	65.1
STATE	2 941	2 920	2 922	32.5	1 902	1 902	1 902	65.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	13 806	13 687	13 716	28.0	10 383	10 267	10 294	75.1
STATE	10 360	10 299	10 310	58.1	7 161	7 100	7 110	69.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 446	3 388	3 406	10.9	3 222	3 167	3 184	93.5
COUNTIES	2 861	2 804	2 822	16.9	2 698	2 644	2 661	94.3
MUNICIPALITIES	585	584	584	4.0	524	523	523	89.6
GEORGIA	6 818	6 639	6 697	28.9	5 131	4 997	5 045	75.3
STATE	4 505	4 505	4 505	60.2	3 285	3 285	3 285	72.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 313	2 134	2 192	14.0	1 846	1 712	1 760	80.3
COUNTIES	1 920	1 747	1 802	21.8	1 470	1 342	1 387	77.0
MUNICIPALITIES	393	387	390	5.3	376	370	373	95.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	52 704	50 336	51 041	20.1	13 384	12 768	12 968	25.4
STATE	21 320	20 536	20 793	13.7	11 024	10 648	10 771	51.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 384	29 800	30 248	29.6	2 360	2 120	2 197	7.3
COUNTIES	26 782	25 385	25 786	31.8	1 701	1 492	1 558	6.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 602	4 415	4 462	21.2	659	628	639	14.3
ALABAMA	546	542	545	16.6	375	370	372	68.3
STATE	292	292	292	15.5	157	157	157	53.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	254	250	253	18.2	218	213	215	85.0
COUNTIES	243	239	242	20.7	218	213	215	88.8
MUNICIPALITIES	11	11	11	4.9	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	92	92	92	17.6	30	30	30	32.6
STATE	92	92	92	18.5	30	30	30	32.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	715	710	711	22.4	106	105	105	14.8
STATE	138	138	138	8.1	87	87	87	63.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	577	572	573	38.6	19	18	18	3.1
COUNTIES	574	569	570	39.3	10	10	10	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	3	3	3	9.4	9	8	8	266.7
ARKANSAS	192	166	176	12.6	28	26	26	14.8
STATE	61	61	61	6.9	25	25	25	41.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	131	105	115	22.2	3	1	1	.9
COUNTIES	118	92	102	23.8	2	1	1	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	14.6	1	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	9 985	9 578	9 731	30.4	1 028	949	970	10.0
STATE	1 602	1 482	1 540	12.3	790	745	755	49.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 383	8 096	8 191	41.9	238	204	215	2.6
COUNTIES	8 060	7 784	7 873	42.3	227	193	204	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	323	312	318	35.1	11	11	11	3.5
COLORADO	597	587	590	20.3	96	83	89	15.1
STATE	580	574	576	28.8	67	57	61	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	17	13	14	1.5	29	26	28	200.0
COUNTIES	10	9	9	1.5	27	24	26	288.9
MUNICIPALITIES	7	4	5	1.6	2	2	2	40.0
CONNECTICUT	458	450	453	17.0	291	287	288	63.6
STATE	454	447	450	16.9	289	285	286	63.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	66.7
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	66.7
DELAWARE	122	122	122	12.9	179	179	179	146.7
STATE	122	122	122	12.9	179	179	179	146.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	604	583	585	20.0	435	435	435	74.4
STATE	604	583	585	20.0	435	435	435	74.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	1 254	1 252	1 252	9.1	2 169	2 168	2 168	173.2
STATE	1 131	1 131	1 131	11.0	2 068	2 068	2 068	182.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	123	121	121	3.6	101	100	100	82.6
COUNTIES	87	85	85	3.0	76	75	75	88.2
MUNICIPALITIES	36	36	36	6.2	25	25	25	69.4
GEORGIA	1 297	1 252	1 262	18.8	390	390	390	30.9
STATE	833	833	833	18.5	387	387	387	46.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	464	419	429	19.6	3	3	3	.7
COUNTIES	447	402	412	22.9	3	3	3	.7
MUNICIPALITIES	17	17	17	4.4	-	-	-	-

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII	629	627	628	14.4	472	471	471	75.0
STATE	584	582	583	36.3	433	432	432	74.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	45	45	45	1.6	39	39	39	86.7
COUNTIES	45	45	45	6.3	39	39	39	86.7
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	1 144	625	725	18.6	537	508	519	71.6
STATE	928	440	529	40.6	351	351	351	66.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	216	185	196	7.6	186	157	168	85.7
COUNTIES	214	183	194	12.6	184	155	166	85.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	.2	2	2	2	100.0
ILLINOIS	10 987	10 749	10 825	18.9	8 176	7 991	8 050	74.4
STATE	6 248	6 247	6 247	49.6	4 929	4 928	4 928	78.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 739	4 502	4 578	10.2	3 247	3 063	3 122	68.2
COUNTIES	4 730	4 493	4 569	28.9	3 243	3 059	3 118	68.2
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	4	4	4	44.4
INDIANA	4 248	4 049	4 132	21.4	3 425	3 297	3 355	81.2
STATE	2 443	2 421	2 436	45.5	2 216	2 197	2 211	90.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 805	1 628	1 696	12.2	1 209	1 100	1 144	67.5
COUNTIES	1 266	1 098	1 162	22.6	844	736	779	67.0
MUNICIPALITIES	539	530	534	6.1	365	364	365	68.4
IOWA	2 587	2 371	2 438	24.2	2 007	1 860	1 909	78.3
STATE	1 600	1 551	1 572	49.8	1 477	1 428	1 449	92.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	987	820	866	12.5	530	432	460	53.1
COUNTIES	952	788	833	24.6	515	420	447	53.7
MUNICIPALITIES	35	32	33	.9	15	12	13	39.4
KANSAS	2 462	2 348	2 386	23.6	2 175	2 089	2 119	88.8
STATE	1 731	1 730	1 730	56.2	1 599	1 599	1 599	92.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	731	618	656	9.3	576	490	520	79.3
COUNTIES	681	570	607	18.0	536	450	480	79.1
MUNICIPALITIES	50	48	49	1.3	40	40	40	81.6
KENTUCKY	3 328	2 960	3 121	23.5	2 794	2 433	2 596	83.2
STATE	1 948	1 732	1 850	30.5	1 607	1 396	1 517	82.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 380	1 228	1 271	17.7	1 187	1 037	1 079	84.9
COUNTIES	1 215	1 082	1 118	34.2	1 031	899	935	83.6
MUNICIPALITIES	165	146	153	3.9	156	138	144	94.1
LOUISIANA	5 402	5 256	5 321	23.2	4 482	4 355	4 414	83.0
STATE	3 609	3 559	3 580	47.4	2 888	2 851	2 868	80.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 793	1 697	1 741	11.4	1 594	1 504	1 546	88.8
COUNTIES	870	798	825	13.0	790	722	748	90.7
MUNICIPALITIES	923	899	916	10.2	804	782	798	87.1
MAINE	936	837	870	23.0	855	764	794	91.3
STATE	684	673	678	39.7	610	600	605	89.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	252	164	192	9.2	245	164	189	98.4
COUNTIES	252	164	192	34.0	245	164	189	98.4
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	6 409	6 249	6 312	28.3	4 747	4 644	4 689	74.3
STATE	5 205	5 072	5 123	53.0	3 573	3 497	3 530	68.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 204	1 177	1 189	9.4	1 174	1 147	1 159	97.5
COUNTIES	682	655	667	10.4	652	625	637	95.5
MUNICIPALITIES	522	522	522	8.4	522	522	522	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS	6 469	6 262	6 349	21.9	4 264	4 126	4 189	66.0
STATE	3 688	3 687	3 687	49.2	2 918	2 917	2 917	79.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 781	2 575	2 662	12.4	1 346	1 209	1 272	47.8
COUNTIES	2 180	1 975	2 062	44.8	1 007	870	933	45.2
MUNICIPALITIES	601	600	600	3.5	339	339	339	56.5
MICHIGAN	10 726	10 055	10 242	23.8	8 404	7 930	8 060	78.7
STATE	5 992	5 806	5 848	53.2	5 137	4 966	5 004	85.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 734	4 249	4 394	13.7	3 267	2 964	3 056	69.5
COUNTIES	4 218	3 834	3 957	29.7	2 967	2 664	2 756	69.6
MUNICIPALITIES	516	415	437	2.3	300	300	300	68.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII	126	126	126	20.1	31	30	30	23.8
STATE	126	126	126	21.6	25	24	24	19.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	575	90	170	23.4	32	27	27	15.9
STATE	545	62	141	26.7	32	27	27	19.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	30	28	29	14.8	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	30	28	29	14.9	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	2 165	2 113	2 130	19.7	646	645	645	30.3
STATE	701	701	701	11.2	618	618	618	88.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 464	1 412	1 429	31.2	28	27	27	1.9
COUNTIES	1 464	1 412	1 429	31.3	23	22	22	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-
INDIANA	713	656	677	16.4	110	96	101	14.9
STATE	134	131	133	5.5	93	93	93	69.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	579	525	544	32.1	17	3	8	1.5
COUNTIES	411	359	378	32.5	11	3	4	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	168	166	166	31.1	6	-	4	2.4
IOWA	504	439	456	18.7	76	72	74	16.2
STATE	58	58	58	3.7	65	65	65	112.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	446	381	398	46.0	11	7	9	2.3
COUNTIES	431	366	383	46.0	6	2	4	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	45.5	5	5	5	33.3
KANSAS	247	220	227	9.5	40	39	39	17.2
STATE	95	94	94	5.4	37	37	37	39.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	152	126	133	20.3	3	2	2	1.5
COUNTIES	142	118	125	20.6	3	2	2	1.6
MUNICIPALITIES	10	8	8	16.3	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	356	352	354	11.3	178	175	176	49.7
STATE	265	262	263	14.2	76	74	74	28.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	91	90	91	7.2	102	101	102	112.1
COUNTIES	85	84	85	7.6	99	99	99	116.5
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	3.9	3	2	3	50.0
LOUISIANA	658	651	652	12.3	262	250	255	39.1
STATE	469	466	466	13.0	252	242	246	52.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	189	185	186	10.7	10	8	9	4.8
COUNTIES	77	73	74	9.0	3	3	3	4.1
MUNICIPALITIES	112	112	112	12.2	7	5	6	5.4
MAINE	66	65	65	7.5	15	8	12	18.5
STATE	66	65	65	9.6	8	8	8	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	7	-	4	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	7	-	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	1 393	1 393	1 393	22.1	269	212	229	16.4
STATE	1 363	1 363	1 363	26.6	269	212	229	16.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	30	30	30	2.5	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	30	30	30	4.5	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	1 825	1 758	1 779	28.0	380	378	379	21.3
STATE	396	396	396	10.7	374	374	374	94.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 429	1 362	1 383	52.0	6	4	5	.4
COUNTIES	1 172	1 105	1 126	54.6	1	-	1	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	257	257	257	42.8	5	4	4	1.6
MICHIGAN	1 932	1 748	1 805	17.6	390	377	381	21.1
STATE	593	578	582	10.0	262	262	262	45.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 339	1 170	1 223	27.8	128	115	119	9.7
COUNTIES	1 149	1 071	1 101	27.8	102	99	99	9.0
MUNICIPALITIES	190	99	122	27.9	26	16	20	16.4

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO	11 885	11 230	11 433	23.7	9 262	8 742	8 898	77.8
STATE	6 804	6 804	6 804	62.9	5 612	5 612	5 612	82.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 081	4 426	4 629	12.4	3 650	3 130	3 286	71.0
COUNTIES	4 427	3 790	3 987	27.4	3 156	2 642	2 795	70.1
MUNICIPALITIES	654	636	642	2.8	494	488	491	76.5
OKLAHOMA	2 796	2 645	2 718	23.6	2 274	2 136	2 210	81.3
STATE	2 203	2 126	2 179	45.3	1 819	1 747	1 801	82.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	593	519	539	8.0	455	389	409	75.9
COUNTIES	484	410	430	23.7	357	291	311	72.3
MUNICIPALITIES	109	109	109	2.2	98	98	98	89.9
OREGON	3 192	3 005	3 065	25.8	2 283	2 117	2 169	70.8
STATE	1 944	1 919	1 943	48.4	1 404	1 385	1 407	72.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 248	1 086	1 122	14.3	879	732	765	68.2
COUNTIES	1 239	1 077	1 113	24.1	870	723	756	67.9
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	.3	9	9	9	100.0
PENNSYLVANIA	11 140	10 793	10 915	21.5	8 150	7 905	8 002	73.3
STATE	5 243	5 175	5 190	41.6	4 397	4 397	4 397	84.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 897	5 618	5 725	15.0	3 753	3 508	3 605	63.0
COUNTIES	4 065	3 786	3 893	32.7	2 673	2 428	2 525	64.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 832	1 832	1 832	6.9	1 080	1 080	1 080	59.0
RHODE ISLAND	843	842	842	19.5	584	584	584	69.4
STATE	842	842	842	42.1	584	584	584	69.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	3 745	3 626	3 667	29.3	3 002	2 912	2 947	80.4
STATE	3 045	3 008	3 019	55.8	2 340	2 320	2 326	77.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	700	618	648	9.1	662	592	621	95.8
COUNTIES	650	573	602	16.0	612	547	575	95.5
MUNICIPALITIES	50	45	46	1.4	50	45	46	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	522	435	468	19.8	417	331	364	77.8
STATE	386	355	374	34.8	286	255	275	73.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	136	80	94	7.3	131	76	89	94.7
COUNTIES	133	77	91	17.7	129	74	87	95.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3	3	3	.4	2	2	2	66.7
TENNESSEE	5 128	5 024	5 056	27.4	4 467	4 371	4 401	87.0
STATE	3 423	3 423	3 423	77.5	2 970	2 970	2 970	86.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 705	1 601	1 633	12.8	1 497	1 401	1 431	87.6
COUNTIES	1 164	1 063	1 094	24.2	1 059	966	995	91.0
MUNICIPALITIES	541	538	539	6.6	438	435	436	80.9
TEXAS	10 675	10 164	10 329	19.7	7 388	7 042	7 179	69.5
STATE	5 188	5 057	5 106	45.5	4 257	4 138	4 185	82.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 487	5 107	5 223	12.7	3 131	2 904	2 994	57.3
COUNTIES	5 107	4 738	4 851	26.4	2 754	2 537	2 625	54.1
MUNICIPALITIES	380	369	372	1.6	377	367	369	99.2
UTAH	1 166	1 094	1 119	21.2	866	802	823	73.5
STATE	874	852	863	45.8	575	561	568	65.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	292	242	256	7.5	291	241	255	99.6
COUNTIES	289	239	253	17.3	288	238	252	99.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3	3	3	.2	3	3	3	100.0
VERMONT	512	492	496	26.1	363	349	352	71.0
STATE	508	489	493	38.3	359	346	349	70.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	3	3	.5	4	3	3	100.0
COUNTIES	3	2	2	4.1	3	2	2	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	.2	1	1	1	100.0
VIRGINIA	9 071	8 527	8 810	36.4	6 527	6 221	6 379	72.4
STATE	6 318	5 960	6 174	59.3	4 310	4 117	4 222	68.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 753	2 567	2 636	19.1	2 217	2 104	2 157	81.8
COUNTIES	1 077	975	1 006	17.6	834	778	799	79.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 676	1 592	1 630	20.2	1 383	1 326	1 358	83.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO	2 043	1 928	1 964	17.2	580	560	572	29.1
STATE	721	721	721	10.6	471	471	471	65.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 322	1 207	1 243	26.9	109	89	101	8.1
COUNTIES	1 204	1 100	1 134	28.4	67	48	60	5.3
MUNICIPALITIES	118	107	109	17.0	42	41	41	37.6
OKLAHOMA	515	502	504	18.5	7	7	7	1.4
STATE	383	378	379	17.4	1	1	1	.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	132	124	125	23.2	6	6	6	4.8
COUNTIES	122	114	115	26.7	5	5	5	4.3
MUNICIPALITIES	10	10	10	9.2	1	1	1	10.0
OREGON	727	717	716	23.4	182	175	177	24.7
STATE	412	412	412	21.2	128	122	124	30.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	315	301	304	27.1	54	53	53	17.4
COUNTIES	315	301	304	27.3	54	53	53	17.4
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	2 565	2 531	2 542	23.3	425	357	371	14.6
STATE	580	580	580	11.2	266	198	212	36.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 985	1 951	1 962	34.3	159	159	159	8.1
COUNTIES	1 236	1 202	1 213	31.2	156	156	156	12.9
MUNICIPALITIES	749	749	749	40.9	3	3	3	.4
RHODE ISLAND	142	141	141	16.7	117	117	117	83.0
STATE	141	141	141	16.7	117	117	117	83.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	537	529	532	14.5	206	185	187	35.2
STATE	523	518	520	17.2	182	170	172	33.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	14	11	12	1.9	24	15	15	125.0
COUNTIES	14	11	12	2.0	24	15	15	125.0
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	100	100	100	21.4	5	4	4	4.0
STATE	100	100	100	26.7	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
TENNESSEE	574	571	572	11.3	87	82	84	14.7
STATE	371	371	371	10.8	82	82	82	22.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	203	200	201	12.3	5	-	2	1.0
COUNTIES	100	97	98	9.0	5	-	2	2.0
MUNICIPALITIES	103	103	103	19.1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	2 780	2 636	2 664	25.8	507	486	490	18.4
STATE	578	572	573	11.2	353	347	349	60.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 202	2 064	2 091	40.0	154	139	141	6.7
COUNTIES	2 199	2 062	2 089	43.1	154	139	141	6.7
MUNICIPALITIES	3	2	2	.5	-	-	-	-
UTAH	283	275	279	24.9	17	17	17	6.1
STATE	283	275	279	32.3	16	16	16	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	92	91	91	18.3	57	52	53	58.2
STATE	92	91	91	18.5	57	52	53	58.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	1 616	1 487	1 560	17.7	928	819	870	55.8
STATE	1 143	1 071	1 132	18.3	865	772	820	72.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	473	416	428	16.2	63	47	50	11.7
COUNTIES	212	182	188	18.7	31	15	18	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES	261	234	240	14.7	32	32	32	13.3

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON	4 936	4 743	4 793	29.0	3 475	3 346	3 380	70.5
STATE	3 011	3 011	3 011	55.4	2 294	2 294	2 294	76.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 925	1 732	1 782	16.1	1 181	1 052	1 086	60.9
COUNTIES	1 836	1 647	1 696	28.4	1 129	1 004	1 037	61.1
MUNICIPALITIES	89	85	86	1.7	52	48	49	57.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1 194	1 130	1 155	19.2	1 060	996	1 021	88.4
STATE	858	858	858	31.5	724	724	724	84.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	336	272	297	9.0	336	272	297	100.0
COUNTIES	335	271	296	18.3	335	271	296	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.0
WISCONSIN	4 527	4 284	4 342	22.9	3 360	3 123	3 179	73.2
STATE	3 294	3 208	3 228	61.7	2 285	2 199	2 219	68.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 233	1 076	1 114	8.1	1 075	924	960	86.2
COUNTIES	1 210	1 056	1 092	19.1	1 058	908	944	86.4
MUNICIPALITIES	23	20	22	.3	17	16	16	72.7
WYOMING	439	417	425	19.6	378	357	364	85.6
STATE	340	326	332	49.5	293	280	285	85.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	99	91	93	6.2	85	77	79	84.9
COUNTIES	90	82	84	11.4	84	76	78	92.9
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	1.2	1	1	1	11.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 52. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total ²	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON	1 369	1 318	1 329	27.7	92	79	84	6.3
STATE	673	673	673	22.4	44	44	44	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	696	645	656	36.8	48	35	40	6.1
COUNTIES	659	608	619	36.5	48	35	40	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES	37	37	37	43.0	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	113	113	113	9.8	21	21	21	18.6
STATE	113	113	113	13.2	21	21	21	18.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	832	828	830	19.1	335	333	334	40.2
STATE	743	743	743	23.0	266	266	266	35.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	89	85	87	7.8	69	67	68	78.2
COUNTIES	83	81	81	7.4	69	67	68	84.0
MUNICIPALITIES	6	4	6	27.3	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	52	51	51	12.0	9	9	9	17.6
STATE	38	37	37	11.1	9	9	9	24.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	14	14	14	15.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6	6	6	7.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8	8	8	88.9	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 53. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll ²	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	297 367	22.0	220 047	74.0	61 485	20.7	15 835	5.3
STATE	179 654	41.0	141 379	78.7	25 148	14.0	13 127	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	117 713	12.8	78 668	66.8	36 336	30.9	2 708	2.3
COUNTIES	90 290	26.3	58 128	64.4	30 513	33.8	1 648	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	27 423	4.8	20 540	74.9	5 823	21.2	1 060	3.9
ALABAMA	3 362	21.7	2 371	70.5	599	17.8	393	11.7
STATE	2 097	35.1	1 567	74.7	340	16.2	190	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 266	13.3	804	63.5	260	20.5	202	16.0
COUNTIES	1 050	32.4	601	57.3	246	23.5	202	19.3
MUNICIPALITIES	216	3.4	203	93.9	13	6.1	-	-
ALASKA	933	16.1	702	75.2	174	18.7	57	6.1
STATE	902	22.3	671	74.4	174	19.3	57	6.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	31	1.8	31	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	31	1.8	31	100.0	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	3 573	20.0	2 527	70.7	912	25.5	133	3.7
STATE	1 859	39.8	1 573	84.7	176	9.5	109	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 714	13.0	954	55.7	736	43.0	24	1.4
COUNTIES	1 676	28.8	933	55.7	732	43.7	11	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	38	.5	21	54.7	4	11.5	13	33.8
ARKANSAS	1 172	20.3	998	85.2	149	12.7	25	2.1
STATE	803	40.2	724	90.1	56	6.9	24	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	369	9.7	275	74.4	93	25.3	1	.3
COUNTIES	297	20.2	214	72.1	82	27.5	1	.4
MUNICIPALITIES	72	3.1	61	83.8	12	16.1	-	.1
CALIFORNIA	47 419	25.6	31 869	67.2	13 829	29.2	1 722	3.6
STATE	20 090	48.4	16 106	80.2	2 552	12.7	1 433	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 329	19.0	15 763	57.7	11 278	41.3	289	1.1
COUNTIES	26 143	32.5	15 005	57.4	10 864	41.6	274	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1 186	1.9	758	63.9	413	34.8	15	1.3
COLORADO	3 721	21.9	2 821	75.8	779	20.9	121	3.3
STATE	2 781	44.7	1 926	69.3	762	27.4	93	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	940	8.7	895	95.2	17	1.8	28	3.0
COUNTIES	551	20.0	517	93.8	9	1.6	25	4.5
MUNICIPALITIES	389	4.8	378	97.1	8	2.1	3	.8
CONNECTICUT	3 191	19.3	2 303	72.2	556	17.4	331	10.4
STATE	3 185	40.7	2 303	72.3	553	17.4	329	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	6	.1	-	-	3	61.9	2	38.1
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	.1	-	-	3	61.9	2	38.1
DELAWARE	1 004	24.0	669	66.6	123	12.2	213	21.2
STATE	1 004	35.3	669	66.6	123	12.2	213	21.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	5 437	33.8	3 328	61.2	1 263	23.2	846	15.6
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 437	33.8	3 328	61.2	1 263	23.2	846	15.6
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 437	33.8	3 328	61.2	1 263	23.2	846	15.6
FLORIDA	14 209	15.8	10 886	76.6	1 339	9.4	1 984	14.0
STATE	10 742	20.3	7 622	71.0	1 231	11.5	1 890	17.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 467	9.3	3 264	94.1	109	3.1	94	2.7
COUNTIES	2 875	14.9	2 733	95.0	74	2.6	69	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES	591	3.3	531	89.8	35	5.9	26	4.3
GEORGIA	6 917	28.7	4 903	70.9	1 494	21.6	520	7.5
STATE	4 976	55.4	3 448	69.3	1 012	20.3	517	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 941	12.8	1 456	75.0	483	24.9	3	.1
COUNTIES	1 598	19.9	1 129	70.6	467	29.2	3	.2
MUNICIPALITIES	343	4.8	327	95.3	16	4.7	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 53. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll ²	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
HAWAII	845	13.8	638	75.5	173	20.5	34	4.0
STATE	784	35.8	584	74.5	173	22.1	27	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	61	1.6	54	88.4	-	-	7	11.6
COUNTIES	61	6.0	54	88.4	-	-	7	11.6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	726	17.7	508	70.0	194	26.7	24	3.3
STATE	551	33.7	362	65.8	164	29.8	24	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	176	7.1	146	83.2	30	16.8	-	-
COUNTIES	174	13.2	144	83.0	30	17.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	.1	2	100.0	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	12 303	21.6	9 031	73.4	2 431	19.8	841	6.8
STATE	7 485	41.3	5 820	77.8	852	11.4	812	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 818	12.4	3 211	66.6	1 579	32.8	29	.6
COUNTIES	4 807	27.7	3 207	66.7	1 579	32.8	22	.5
MUNICIPALITIES	11	.1	4	37.0	-	-	7	63.0
INDIANA	4 230	21.3	3 485	82.4	612	14.5	134	3.2
STATE	2 855	42.7	2 568	89.9	160	5.6	127	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 375	10.5	917	66.7	452	32.8	7	.5
COUNTIES	900	21.5	584	64.9	312	34.6	5	.5
MUNICIPALITIES	475	5.3	333	70.0	140	29.4	2	.5
IOWA	2 677	22.8	2 064	77.1	518	19.4	94	3.5
STATE	1 795	41.6	1 641	91.4	69	3.2	85	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	881	11.9	423	48.0	449	50.9	9	1.0
COUNTIES	840	24.2	408	48.6	428	51.0	3	.4
MUNICIPALITIES	42	1.1	15	35.3	21	50.9	6	13.8
KANSAS	2 135	21.9	1 884	88.3	204	9.6	47	2.2
STATE	1 602	48.2	1 465	91.4	93	5.8	44	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	533	8.3	419	78.7	111	20.8	3	.5
COUNTIES	496	18.5	390	78.7	103	20.8	3	.5
MUNICIPALITIES	36	1.0	28	78.1	8	21.9	-	-
KENTUCKY	2 709	19.6	2 200	81.2	325	12.0	184	6.8
STATE	1 629	25.2	1 290	79.2	245	15.0	94	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 080	14.7	910	84.3	80	7.4	90	8.3
COUNTIES	941	29.9	781	82.9	72	7.7	88	9.4
MUNICIPALITIES	139	3.3	130	93.6	7	5.2	2	1.2
LOUISIANA	5 182	23.5	4 220	81.4	695	13.4	266	5.1
STATE	3 636	41.7	2 856	78.6	521	14.3	258	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 546	11.6	1 364	88.2	174	11.3	8	.5
COUNTIES	663	11.9	587	88.5	72	10.9	4	.6
MUNICIPALITIES	883	11.4	777	88.0	102	11.6	3	.4
MAINE	849	21.5	764	89.9	74	8.7	11	1.3
STATE	699	36.3	617	88.2	74	10.6	8	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	150	7.4	147	98.0	-	-	3	2.0
COUNTIES	150	34.1	147	98.0	-	-	3	2.0
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	7 800	26.6	5 875	75.3	1 625	20.8	300	3.8
STATE	6 392	51.0	4 499	70.4	1 594	24.9	300	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 408	8.4	1 376	97.7	32	2.3	-	-
COUNTIES	797	8.6	765	96.0	32	4.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	611	8.1	611	100.0	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	7 366	19.6	4 851	65.9	2 063	28.0	452	6.1
STATE	4 220	45.4	3 307	78.4	465	11.0	449	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 146	11.1	1 544	49.1	1 598	50.8	3	.1
COUNTIES	2 428	46.2	1 152	47.4	1 276	52.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	718	3.1	393	54.8	322	44.9	3	.4
MICHIGAN	14 054	21.8	11 043	78.6	2 504	17.8	506	3.6
STATE	8 435	48.3	7 179	85.1	896	10.6	359	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 619	11.9	3 864	68.8	1 608	28.6	147	2.6
COUNTIES	4 979	29.1	3 398	68.2	1 456	29.3	125	2.5
MUNICIPALITIES	640	2.1	467	72.9	152	23.7	22	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 53. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll ²	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
MINNESOTA	4 492	22.5	3 153	70.2	1 019	22.7	320	7.1
STATE	2 397	44.7	1 954	81.6	228	9.5	214	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 096	14.4	1 199	57.2	791	37.7	106	5.1
COUNTIES	2 079	28.6	1 184	56.9	790	38.0	106	5.1
MUNICIPALITIES	16	.2	15	92.6	1	7.4	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	1 301	18.8	1 085	83.4	192	14.7	24	1.8
STATE	982	34.9	774	78.7	191	19.5	17	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	318	7.8	312	97.9	-	.1	6	2.0
COUNTIES	252	16.5	250	99.1	-	.1	2	.8
MUNICIPALITIES	66	2.6	62	93.3	-	-	4	6.7
MISSOURI	4 126	16.9	2 971	72.0	858	20.8	297	7.2
STATE	2 339	38.2	1 639	70.1	463	19.8	236	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 787	9.8	1 332	74.5	395	22.1	61	3.4
COUNTIES	1 036	20.9	828	79.9	152	14.7	56	5.4
MUNICIPALITIES	751	5.7	504	67.0	243	32.3	5	.7
MONTANA	1 017	27.6	813	79.9	196	19.3	9	.8
STATE	813	55.3	704	86.6	106	13.0	3	.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	204	9.2	109	53.3	90	44.2	5	2.5
COUNTIES	188	15.2	99	52.6	84	44.7	5	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	16	1.6	10	62.5	6	37.5	-	-
NEBRASKA	1 245	18.9	966	77.6	207	16.6	71	5.7
STATE	906	38.1	691	76.2	146	16.1	70	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	338	8.1	276	81.5	61	18.1	1	.4
COUNTIES	287	18.2	243	84.8	42	14.8	1	.5
MUNICIPALITIES	52	2.0	33	63.2	19	36.8	-	-
NEVADA	1 743	26.2	1 332	76.4	351	20.2	59	3.4
STATE	967	57.5	736	76.1	172	17.7	59	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	776	15.6	596	76.8	180	23.2	-	-
COUNTIES	690	19.6	528	76.4	163	23.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	85	5.9	68	79.9	17	20.1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	795	22.3	653	82.1	136	17.1	7	.8
STATE	592	44.3	490	82.7	101	17.0	2	.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	203	9.1	163	80.4	35	17.4	5	2.3
COUNTIES	164	38.6	163	99.7	-	-	1	.3
MUNICIPALITIES	39	2.2	-	-	35	89.7	4	10.3
NEW JERSEY	11 357	19.1	8 062	71.0	3 071	27.0	225	2.0
STATE	5 046	37.7	4 378	85.8	448	8.9	220	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 311	13.7	3 684	58.4	2 623	41.6	4	.1
COUNTIES	6 297	40.4	3 674	58.3	2 620	41.6	4	.1
MUNICIPALITIES	14	-	10	70.1	4	25.0	1	.9
NEW MEXICO	1 114	17.9	863	77.5	234	21.0	18	1.6
STATE	827	26.6	594	71.7	220	26.6	14	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	287	9.2	269	93.9	14	4.8	4	1.3
COUNTIES	109	15.3	102	94.1	6	5.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	178	7.4	167	93.8	7	4.1	4	2.1
NEW YORK	35 101	19.9	28 020	79.8	5 713	16.3	1 368	3.9
STATE	20 196	39.5	17 319	85.8	1 583	7.8	1 293	6.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 906	11.9	10 701	71.8	4 130	27.7	75	.5
COUNTIES	6 779	25.0	4 085	60.3	2 641	39.0	53	.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 127	8.3	6 616	81.4	1 489	18.3	22	.3
NORTH CAROLINA	7 938	32.1	6 433	81.0	1 249	15.7	256	3.2
STATE	7 173	52.1	5 684	79.2	1 234	17.2	256	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	765	7.0	749	98.0	15	2.0	-	.1
COUNTIES	762	20.4	746	98.0	15	2.0	-	.1
MUNICIPALITIES	3	-	3	100.0	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	394	17.4	328	83.3	60	15.2	6	1.5
STATE	301	40.1	258	85.8	43	14.2	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	93	6.1	70	75.2	17	18.5	6	6.3
COUNTIES	84	12.7	61	72.7	17	20.3	6	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES	8	1.0	8	100.0	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 53. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll ²	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
OHIO	13 440	24.4	10 475	77.9	2 249	16.7	716	5.3
STATE	8 763	58.3	7 249	82.3	938	10.7	616	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 677	11.7	3 266	69.8	1 311	28.0	100	2.1
COUNTIES	3 892	27.0	2 668	68.6	1 167	30.0	56	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	785	3.1	597	76.0	144	18.4	44	5.6
OKLAHOMA	2 353	20.3	1 833	77.9	514	21.8	6	.2
STATE	1 853	36.4	1 465	79.1	387	20.9	2	.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	500	7.7	369	73.7	127	25.4	4	.8
COUNTIES	386	26.5	265	68.8	117	30.4	3	.8
MUNICIPALITIES	114	2.3	103	90.5	10	8.7	1	.8
OREGON	3 728	24.2	2 622	70.3	890	23.9	217	5.8
STATE	2 356	42.1	1 691	71.8	508	21.6	157	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 372	14.0	930	67.8	382	27.8	60	4.4
COUNTIES	1 362	25.1	920	67.6	382	28.0	60	4.4
MUNICIPALITIES	10	.2	10	100.0	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	12 757	19.4	9 415	73.8	2 923	22.9	418	3.3
STATE	6 434	36.1	5 347	83.1	810	12.6	276	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 323	13.2	4 068	64.3	2 113	33.4	142	2.2
COUNTIES	3 611	33.0	2 291	63.4	1 182	32.7	138	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 712	7.3	1 777	65.5	931	34.3	4	.1
RHODE ISLAND	1 194	21.2	888	74.4	173	14.5	133	11.1
STATE	1 194	42.6	888	74.4	173	14.5	133	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	3 209	27.3	2 498	77.8	490	15.3	221	6.9
STATE	2 740	50.0	2 050	74.8	482	17.6	208	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	470	7.5	448	95.3	9	1.9	13	2.8
COUNTIES	429	13.1	407	94.8	9	2.1	13	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES	41	1.4	41	100.0	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	467	18.9	343	73.4	120	25.8	4	.8
STATE	395	30.9	274	69.5	120	30.5	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	73	6.1	69	94.9	-	-	4	5.1
COUNTIES	70	16.3	67	96.5	-	-	2	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	3	.4	2	60.1	-	-	1	39.9
TENNESSEE	4 386	23.6	3 747	85.4	539	12.3	99	2.3
STATE	2 925	48.1	2 472	84.5	356	12.2	98	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 461	11.7	1 276	87.3	184	12.6	1	.1
COUNTIES	935	22.4	846	90.5	87	9.3	1	.1
MUNICIPALITIES	526	6.3	430	81.7	96	18.3	-	-
TEXAS	10 140	17.2	6 832	67.4	2 768	27.3	540	5.3
STATE	5 088	40.0	4 066	79.9	622	12.2	399	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 052	10.9	2 766	54.7	2 146	42.5	140	2.8
COUNTIES	4 591	26.2	2 308	50.3	2 143	46.7	140	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES	461	1.6	458	99.3	3	.7	-	-
UTAH	1 270	19.4	913	71.8	334	26.3	23	1.8
STATE	1 021	40.7	665	65.1	334	32.7	23	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	249	6.2	248	99.7	-	-	1	.3
COUNTIES	246	15.2	245	99.6	-	-	1	.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3	.1	3	100.0	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	485	23.1	331	68.3	100	20.5	54	11.1
STATE	483	32.5	330	68.2	100	20.6	54	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	.3	2	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2	4.7	2	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	8 853	33.4	6 317	71.4	1 607	18.2	929	10.5
STATE	6 473	56.3	4 434	68.5	1 155	17.8	884	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 380	15.9	1 883	79.1	452	19.0	45	1.9
COUNTIES	975	14.5	734	75.3	217	22.2	24	2.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 405	17.0	1 149	81.8	235	16.7	20	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 53. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll ²	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
WASHINGTON	5 828	26.3	4 068	69.8	1 644	28.2	115	2.0
STATE	3 660	50.6	2 722	74.4	862	23.5	76	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 168	14.5	1 347	62.1	783	36.1	39	1.8
COUNTIES	2 058	27.3	1 285	62.4	734	35.7	39	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	110	1.5	61	55.8	49	44.2	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	965	16.5	825	85.4	118	12.2	23	2.4
STATE	742	26.5	602	81.1	118	15.9	23	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	223	7.4	223	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	223	15.8	223	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	-	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	5 409	21.8	3 947	73.0	1 033	19.1	428	7.9
STATE	4 102	57.0	2 841	69.3	924	22.5	337	8.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 306	7.4	1 106	84.7	110	8.4	91	7.0
COUNTIES	1 286	18.9	1 091	84.9	104	8.1	91	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES	20	.2	14	71.8	6	28.2	-	-
WYOMING	443	19.0	372	83.8	58	13.1	13	3.0
STATE	362	42.0	307	84.6	42	11.7	13	3.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	81	5.5	65	80.4	16	19.6	-	-
COUNTIES	71	10.8	64	89.9	7	10.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10	1.2	1	13.0	9	87.0	-	-

¹ - Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² - Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
³ - Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

CORRECTIONS

Table 54. Total corrections expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	3 341 200	3 176 963	2 854 046	322 917	65 511	248 697	8 709	164 237
ALABAMA	33 295	33 295	33 137	158	102	56	-	-
ALASKA	19 170	18 627	18 257	370	80	290	-	543
ARIZONA	36 173	36 173	27 254	8 919	829	8 090	-	-
ARKANSAS	21 493	20 623	17 982	2 641	458	2 176	7	870
CALIFORNIA	359 823	338 764	324 759	14 005	4 899	9 103	3	21 059
COLORADO	50 512	50 488	48 933	1 555	961	-	594	24
CONNECTICUT	53 660	53 648	51 906	1 742	691	1 051	-	12
DELAWARE	16 446	16 446	14 492	1 954	338	1 616	-	-
FLORIDA	166 369	166 369	136 972	29 397	2 048	27 349	-	-
GEORGIA	94 637	91 298	75 563	15 735	2 101	13 427	207	3 339
HAWAII	22 147	22 147	12 884	9 263	-	9 263	-	-
IDAHO	9 645	9 645	9 119	526	406	120	-	-
ILLINOIS	128 068	127 708	117 189	10 519	1 740	8 280	499	360
INDIANA	53 279	53 279	42 492	10 787	1 114	9 493	180	-
IOWA	34 255	28 082	26 609	1 473	356	1 117	-	6 173
KANSAS	34 534	34 534	31 283	3 251	806	2 445	-	-
KENTUCKY	48 988	36 962	31 689	5 273	646	4 091	536	12 026
LOUISIANA	58 243	57 181	52 580	4 601	877	3 724	-	1 062
MAINE	10 694	10 694	10 395	299	60	239	-	-
MARYLAND	127 049	125 466	112 463	13 003	973	12 012	18	1 583
MASSACHUSETTS	83 426	83 426	81 063	2 363	82	2 281	-	-
MICHIGAN	161 939	141 747	126 382	15 365	1 117	9 172	5 076	20 192
MINNESOTA	37 378	37 378	37 378	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	18 514	17 642	16 435	1 207	881	326	-	872
MISSOURI	41 730	41 730	39 034	2 696	1 377	584	735	-
MONTANA	10 575	10 547	10 432	115	115	-	-	28
NEBRASKA	24 455	24 342	18 344	5 998	5 998	-	-	113
NEVADA	26 015	24 939	15 242	9 697	471	9 226	-	1 076
NEW HAMPSHIRE	8 615	8 615	7 885	730	154	576	-	-
NEW JERSEY	81 009	81 009	76 592	4 417	1 028	3 389	-	-
NEW MEXICO	16 367	16 367	11 681	4 686	4 679	7	-	-
NEW YORK	352 133	322 182	276 069	46 113	5 103	40 991	19	29 951
NORTH CAROLINA	112 161	110 394	105 464	4 930	2 603	2 327	-	1 767
NORTH DAKOTA	5 166	5 166	4 694	472	156	52	264	-
OHIO	160 607	149 889	147 459	2 430	1 774	656	-	10 718
OKLAHOMA	58 296	58 154	36 301	21 853	2 055	19 798	-	142
OREGON	43 289	42 787	40 609	2 178	1 187	991	-	502
PENNSYLVANIA	152 247	129 329	124 457	4 872	952	3 920	-	22 918
RHODE ISLAND	24 540	24 540	17 591	6 949	201	6 748	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	41 802	41 728	39 376	2 352	1 127	922	303	74
SOUTH DAKOTA	6 261	6 261	6 118	143	143	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	65 869	58 731	51 336	7 395	1 522	5 769	104	7 138
TEXAS	118 249	114 992	103 652	11 340	5 398	5 792	150	3 257
UTAH	23 336	22 857	20 444	2 413	432	1 981	-	479
VERMONT	8 278	8 278	7 981	297	114	183	-	-
VIRGINIA	122 374	107 618	101 294	6 324	4 887	1 437	-	14 756
WASHINGTON	67 334	64 163	57 606	6 557	1 174	5 383	-	3 171
WEST VIRGINIA	13 604	13 604	12 739	865	488	377	-	-
WISCONSIN	60 372	60 340	58 933	1 407	739	668	-	32
WYOMING	16 779	16 779	5 497	11 282	69	11 199	14	-

¹ - Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² - Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 57. Corrections expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978 (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments).

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

Table 57. Corrections expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns for County, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), and Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Includes entries like ALABAMA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 57. Corrections expenditure of 343 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LEBANON	675	620	598	22	-	22	-	55	55	-
LEHIGH	2 763	2 485	2 407	78	60	18	-	278	278	-
LUZERNE	1 771	1 490	1 490	-	-	-	-	281	281	-
LYCOMING	1 526	1 509	1 181	328	9	179	140	17	6	11
MERCER	663	627	36	36	19	17	-	2	-	2
MONTGOMERY	6 738	6 509	3 409	3 100	44	3 056	-	229	229	-
NORTHAMPTON	2 514	2 014	1 886	128	27	101	-	500	500	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	706	663	658	5	5	-	-	43	32	11
SCHUYLKILL	1 452	1 430	1 430	-	-	-	-	22	22	-
WASHINGTON	999	936	936	-	-	-	-	63	63	-
WESTMORELAND	1 623	1 620	1 620	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	2 212	1 996	1 813	183	2	181	-	216	191	25
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	462	462	460	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	770	770	744	26	4	22	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	1 012	1 012	1 004	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	367	367	367	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	763	732	693	39	8	31	-	31	-	31
SPARTANBURG	253	253	250	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 843	1 843	1 795	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	1 165	1 165	1 165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	6 727	6 639	6 598	41	41	-	-	88	-	88
SULLIVAN	375	375	372	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	597	597	591	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	5 264	5 264	4 920	344	344	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	604	604	517	87	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	1 026	866	774	92	92	-	87	-	-	-
DALLAS	8 853	8 853	8 418	435	415	-	20	160	-	160
EL PASO	2 179	2 179	2 171	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	779	779	751	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	15 399	15 399	12 869	2 530	172	1 566	792	-	-	-
HIDALGO	2 339	2 339	1 110	1 229	86	1 143	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 118	1 103	1 103	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	898	898	629	269	74	-	195	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	1 247	1 232	1 178	54	9	45	-	15	-	15
MUEGES	2 166	2 166	1 815	351	199	152	-	-	-	-
SMITH	341	341	341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	2 825	2 825	2 299	526	18	-	508	-	-	-
TAYLOR	319	319	281	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	4 231	4 229	3 761	468	61	407	-	2	2	-
WICHITA	395	395	381	14	1	13	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	146	114	108	6	6	-	-	32	-	32
SALT LAKE	2 247	2 247	2 246	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	1 770	1 768	268	1 500	-	1 500	-	2	-	2
WEBER	747	747	736	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	1 596	1 596	1 585	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTERFIELD	718	718	690	28	10	18	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	4 268	4 048	3 509	539	97	442	-	220	-	220
HENRICO	2 976	2 893	787	2 106	53	2 053	-	83	-	83
PRINCE WILLIAM	788	798	510	248	3	245	-	30	-	30
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	1 489	1 489	1 471	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
KING	10 147	9 769	9 612	157	29	128	-	378	33	345
KITSAP	892	892	885	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	3 184	3 184	3 173	11	2	9	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 640	1 640	1 608	32	22	10	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	2 170	2 170	2 152	18	13	5	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	1 344	1 344	1 336	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	466	466	466	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	889	889	841	48	-	48	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	501	486	484	2	2	-	-	15	15	-
DANE	1 458	1 458	1 433	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	416	407	407	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
MARATHON	470	470	448	22	11	11	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	8 422	8 421	8 144	277	159	118	-	1	-	1
OUTAGAMIE	304	304	303	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	772	772	754	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	940	940	939	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	637	637	511	126	-	126	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	176	176	175	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.
²Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
TOTAL						
TOTAL	1 131 061	742 526	230 080	512 371	355 681	32 854
ALABAMA:						
CALHOUN	207	132	-	132	75	-
JEFFERSON	3 661	2 886	607	2 279	775	-
MADISON	829	641	173	468	188	-
MOBILE	3 408	1 421	524	897	283	1 704
MONTGOMERY	937	826	386	440	111	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 254	427	-	427	200	627
ARIZONA:						
MARICOPA	12 344	5 995	1 778	4 217	6 349	-
PIMA	10 379	6 171	2 897	3 274	3 889	319
ARKANSAS:						
PULASKI	891	753	152	601	115	23
SEBASTIAN	431	357	164	193	65	9
CALIFORNIA:						
ALAMEDA	32 438	16 472	6 571	9 901	12 966	3 000
BUTTE	1 481	1 008	414	594	440	33
CONTRA COSTA	14 652	8 117	4 424	3 693	6 535	-
FRESNO	9 692	6 438	2 459	3 979	3 254	-
HUMBOLDT	1 620	958	334	624	662	-
KERN	8 792	5 907	2 297	3 610	2 885	-
LOS ANGELES	125 283	79 026	31 934	47 092	46 257	-
MARIN	3 995	2 087	742	1 345	1 857	51
MERCED	1 685	1 001	189	812	684	-
MONTEREY	5 041	3 211	1 001	2 210	1 779	51
ORANGE	27 756	15 743	6 496	9 247	10 506	1 507
RIVERSIDE	9 913	4 795	2 332	2 463	5 110	8
SACRAMENTO	15 201	9 289	3 433	5 856	5 912	-
SAN BERNARDINO	14 258	8 169	3 487	4 682	6 089	-
SAN DIEGO	23 307	10 569	2 979	7 590	11 048	1 690
SAN JOAQUIN	6 654	4 517	1 481	3 036	2 032	105
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2 119	784	-	784	1 334	1
SAN MATEO	15 477	7 857	2 256	5 601	7 539	81
SANTA BARBARA	6 985	4 682	1 720	2 962	2 280	23
SANTA CLARA	23 033	13 846	5 657	8 189	9 187	-
SANTA CRUZ	4 104	2 549	548	2 001	1 322	233
SOLANO	4 490	2 630	1 081	1 549	1 773	87
SONOMA	4 442	2 833	1 298	1 535	1 609	-
STANISLAUS	4 181	2 467	778	1 689	1 708	6
TULARE	4 864	3 301	1 107	2 194	1 563	-
VENTURA	7 704	4 958	1 086	3 872	2 169	577
YOLO	1 864	969	238	731	825	70
COLORADO:						
ADAMS	460	460	-	460	-	-
ARAPAHOE	669	669	-	669	-	-
BOULDER	941	941	72	869	-	-
EL PASO	1 369	1 248	-	1 248	-	121
JEFFERSON	409	409	-	409	-	-
LARIMER	460	286	44	242	-	174
PUEBLO	417	404	-	404	6	7
WELD	358	311	122	189	-	47
DELAWARE:						
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:						
ALACHUA	920	882	-	882	13	25
BREVARD	487	496	-	496	-	-
BROWARD	3 177	2 732	-	2 732	379	66
DADE	10 022	9 382	92	9 290	16	624
ESCAMBIA	1 827	1 655	86	1 569	302	-
HILLSBOROUGH	5 127	5 067	-	5 067	-	79
LEE	561	542	-	542	19	-
LEON	481	481	481	-	-	-
MANATEE	433	316	-	316	117	-
OKALOOSA	134	134	-	134	-	-
ORANGE	2 730	2 392	-	2 392	-	-
PALM BEACH	2 807	2 621	557	2 064	120	338
PASCO	418	418	404	-	66	-
PINELLAS	2 353	2 279	327	1 952	74	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED						
POLK	1 109	1 109	-	1 109	-	-
SARASOTA	673	673	-	673	-	-
SEMINOLE	339	330	-	330	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 921	1 741	-	1 741	-	180
GEORGIA:						
BIBB	604	371	-	371	233	-
CHATHAM	884	748	292	456	136	-
CLAYTON	736	585	47	538	151	-
COBB	843	546	-	546	297	-
DE KALB	3 277	1 920	509	1 411	1 357	-
FULTON	5 899	3 646	591	3 055	2 253	-
GWINNETT	739	739	-	739	-	-
RICHMOND	1 501	1 326	-	1 326	175	-
	584	492	251	241	92	-
IDAHO:						
ADA	631	360	130	230	271	-
ILLINOIS:						
CHAMPAIGN	34 306	23 741	4 898	18 843	10 565	-
COOK	2 561	1 232	502	730	1 329	-
DU PAGE	1 761	1 126	327	799	549	86
KANE	2 262	1 612	776	836	650	-
LAKE	364	288	114	174	76	-
LA SALLE	670	198	-	198	472	-
MCHENRY	423	266	8	258	157	-
MCLEAN	437	198	21	177	239	-
MACON	1 096	765	202	563	331	-
MADISON	1 599	1 165	205	960	434	-
PEORIA	390	191	120	120	120	51
ROCK ISLAND	1 796	1 511	243	1 268	285	-
ST. CLAIR	1 013	809	381	428	195	9
SANGEMON	354	227	74	153	127	-
TAZEWELL	549	369	-	369	180	-
WILL	1 615	1 205	210	995	410	-
WINNEBAGO	1 794	1 207	531	676	587	-
INDIANA:						
ALLEN	346	222	119	103	124	-
DELAWARE	622	392	96	296	230	-
ELKHART	3 132	2 266	635	1 631	866	-
LAKE	352	167	-	167	185	-
LA PORTE	505	371	180	194	131	-
MADISON	1 002	885	92	793	117	-
ST. JOSEPH	395	341	157	184	41	13
TIPPECANOE	405	177	-	177	202	26
VANDERBURGH	391	316	176	140	75	-
VIGO	820	426	98	328	394	-
IOWA:						
BLACK HAWK	944	638	176	462	282	24
LINN	4 019	1 489	779	710	2 172	358
POLK	564	340	-	340	142	82
SCOTT	516	306	104	202	210	-
WOODBURY	1 015	630	199	431	385	-
KANSAS:						
JOHNSON	2 275	2 209	1 397	812	66	-
SEDGWICK	1 113	1 110	611	499	-	3
SHAWNEE	887	701	308	393	125	61
WYANDOTTE	7 669	5 944	2 301	3 643	577	1 148
KENTUCKY:						
JEFFERSON	275	201	12	189	74	-
KENTON	1 485	1 345	137	1 208	140	-
LOUISIANA:						
CADDO	872	445	253	192	227	200
CALCASIEU	2 380	2 076	438	1 638	261	43
JEFFERSON	539	504	240	264	35	-
LA FAYETTE	468	419	182	237	49	-
OUACHITA	613	502	268	234	111	-
RAPIDES	709	675	-	675	-	34
MAINE:						
CUMBERLAND	178	178	-	178	-	-
KENNEBEC	232	232	-	232	-	-
PENOBSCOT	189	189	-	189	-	-
YORK	899	899	-	899	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
MARYLAND:						
ANNE ARUNDEL	1 824	1 476	29	1 447	348	-
BALTIMORE	780	780	-	780	-	-
HARFORD	3 477	3 477	-	3 477	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2 790	2 790	-	2 790	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	361	361	8	353	-	-
WASHINGTON	1 377	914	-	914	463	-
MASSACHUSETTS:						
BARNSTABLE	1 060	613	-	613	447	-
BERKSHIRE	2 213	992	-	992	1 221	-
BRISTOL	4 283	2 312	-	2 312	1 971	-
ESSEX	4 711	2 395	13	2 382	2 316	-
HAMPDEN	1 317	848	-	848	469	-
HAMPSHIRE	7 873	4 003	-	4 003	3 870	-
MIDDLESEX	2 773	1 297	-	1 297	1 476	-
NORFOLK	1 263	1 263	-	1 263	1 568	-
PLYMOUTH	4 342	2 109	-	2 109	2 226	7
WORCESTER	916	659	336	323	257	-
MICHIGAN:						
BAY	2 282	1 571	778	793	389	322
BERRIEN	1 779	1 354	867	487	365	60
CALHOUN	4 519	3 680	2 156	1 524	839	-
GENESEE	2 147	1 770	699	1 071	377	-
INGHAM	1 298	908	615	293	390	-
JACKSON	2 363	1 623	706	917	386	354
KALAMAZOO	4 098	3 535	1 260	2 275	563	-
KENT	4 656	3 393	1 266	2 127	915	348
MACOMB	979	790	415	375	189	-
MONROE	1 496	1 263	641	622	226	7
MUSKEGON	8 840	6 784	3 603	3 181	1 436	620
OAKLAND	704	528	319	209	176	-
OTTAWA	2 312	1 769	1 014	755	339	204
SAGINAW	1 192	896	497	399	183	113
ST. CLAIR	3 071	2 444	1 130	1 314	583	44
WASHTENAW	25 724	18 835	6 194	12 641	6 889	-
WAYNE	1 407	668	472	396	449	90
MINNESOTA:						
ANOKA	548	283	42	241	265	-
DAKOTA	13 204	7 798	3 851	3 947	3 155	2 251
HENNEPIN	5 599	4 461	3 132	2 467	3 312	550
RAMSEY	4 001	1 975	492	1 483	1 734	292
ST. LOUIS	163	70	-	70	93	-
STEARNS	565	173	39	134	392	-
WASHINGTON	431	420	242	178	-	11
MISSISSIPPI:						
HARRISON	984	984	142	842	-	-
HINDS	337	329	226	103	-	8
JACKSON	770	340	63	277	423	7
MISSOURI:						
CLAY	367	367	67	300	-	-
GREENE	5 139	4 233	2 016	2 217	971	35
JACKSON	140	138	57	81	2	-
JEFFERSON	244	140	25	115	98	6
ST. CHARLES	4 483	3 336	1 466	1 870	191	956
ST. LOUIS	2 641	2 449	1 242	1 207	192	-
NEBRASKA:						
DOUGLAS	518	253	253	-	265	-
LANCASTER	7 138	5 926	3 416	2 510	1 212	-
NEVADA:						
CLARK	1 794	1 218	532	686	576	-
WASHOE	808	802	-	802	-	6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:						
HILLSBOROUGH	385	385	-	385	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	2 049	1 486	577	909	563	-
NEW JERSEY:						
ATLANTIC	5 146	3 183	1 087	2 096	1 963	-
BERGEN	3 206	2 044	552	1 492	1 162	-
BURLINGTON	6 556	4 329	916	3 413	2 227	-
CAMDEN	2 100	1 451	535	866	640	9
CUMBERLAND	18 534	14 081	2 197	11 884	4 391	62
ESSEX	1 585	935	247	688	650	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED						
GLOUCESTER	4 380	2 775	757	2 018	1 605	-
HUDSON	4 265	3 173	595	2 578	1 078	14
MERCER	5 314	2 897	664	2 233	2 411	6
MIDDLESEX	3 526	2 405	419	1 986	1 121	-
MONMOUTH	2 349	1 102	348	754	1 247	-
MORRIS	2 305	1 288	511	777	1 017	-
OCEAN	5 488	2 974	829	2 145	2 514	-
PASSAIC	1 960	762	187	575	1 198	-
SOMERSET	5 007	2 773	997	1 776	2 231	3
UNION	576	564	503	-	-	12
NEW MEXICO:						
BERNALILLO	4 288	3 836	1 580	2 256	452	-
NEW YORK:						
ALBANY	1 436	721	47	674	701	14
BROOME	1 628	381	90	291	433	814
CHAUTAQUA	945	465	9	456	480	-
CHEMUNG	1 915	1 322	5	1 317	593	-
DUTCHESS	12 141	9 833	3 134	6 699	2 307	1
ERIE	7 549	5 383	1 594	3 789	2 166	-
MONROE	21 970	10 616	1 018	9 598	9 615	1 739
NASSAU	1 611	958	165	793	509	144
NIAGARA	1 238	703	105	598	535	-
ONEIDA	7 588	5 900	1 804	4 096	1 688	-
ONONDAGA	1 598	1 117	339	778	481	-
ORANGE	733	359	16	343	374	-
OSWEGO	1 218	776	420	356	442	-
RENSSELAER	2 757	2 021	679	1 342	736	-
ROCKLAND	715	323	5	318	392	-
ST. LAWRENCE	489	290	27	263	199	-
SARATOGA	1 465	1 097	523	574	368	-
SCHENECTADY	766	432	14	418	334	-
STEBEN	12 956	7 873	2 281	5 592	5 083	-
SUFFOLK	1 197	912	20	892	285	-
ULSTER	11 996	8 154	431	7 723	3 285	-
WESTCHESTER	633	627	171	456	6	-
NORTH CAROLINA:						
BUNCOMBE	672	672	47	625	-	-
CUMBERLAND	337	337	45	292	-	-
DURHAM	644	644	117	527	-	-
FORSYTH	413	396	30	366	17	-
GASTON	1 317	1 317	240	1 077	-	-
GUILFORD	1 612	1 305	336	969	156	151
HECKLENBURG	297	297	23	274	-	-
JANSLAW	517	517	233	284	-	-
WAKE	996	667	413	254	116	213
OHIO:						
ALLEN	398	288	12	276	108	2
ASHTABULA	970	673	169	504	297	-
BUTLER	853	620	234	386	233	-
CLARK	326	227	-	227	99	-
CLERMONT	277	156	10	146	121	-
COLUMBIANA	11 908	8 706	3 305	5 401	2 447	755
CUYAHOGA	4 278	2 123	670	1 453	1 990	165
FRANKLIN	873	700	174	526	173	-
GREENE	5 465	3 419	2 283	1 136	1 663	383
HAMILTON	714	484	260	224	178	52
LAKE	565	283	54	229	282	-
LICKING	1 404	837	308	529	567	-
LORAIN	5 013	3 785	867	2 918	1 219	9
LUCAS	845	615	374	241	224	6
MAHONING	3 998	2 274	1 330	944	1 416	308
MONTGOMERY	732	448	266	182	274	10
PORTAGE	694	417	189	228	270	7
RICHLAND	3 223	2 875	1 872	1 003	348	-
STARK	2 977	1 574	586	988	1 198	205
SUMMIT	745	418	166	252	221	106
TRUMBULL	270	148	15	133	122	-
WOOD	87	87	-	87	-	-
OKLAHOMA:						
CLEVELAND	395	327	228	99	68	-
COMANCHE	1 356	732	292	440	624	-
OKLAHOMA	1 645	1 077	410	667	568	-
TULSA	1 297	570	47	523	727	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
OREGON:						
CLACKAMAS	1 246	758	191	567	488	-
JACKSON	1 583	1 583	397	1 186	-	-
LANE	1 303	763	225	538	540	-
MARION	5 077	3 433	438	2 995	1 296	348
MULTNOMAH	1 517	830	259	571	687	-
WASHINGTON	8 928	4 217	1 789	2 428	4 711	-
PENNSYLVANIA:						
ALLEGHENY	1 045	754	314	440	291	-
BEAVER	4 456	1 838	562	1 276	390	2 228
BERKS	640	518	190	328	122	-
BLAIR	3 518	2 643	1 169	1 474	704	171
BUCKS	727	421	144	277	306	-
BUTLER	883	595	313	282	288	-
CAMBRIA	424	217	45	172	114	93
CENTRE	3 081	2 549	886	1 663	532	-
CHESTER	952	704	234	470	248	-
CUMBERLAND	2 868	2 334	1 104	1 230	466	68
DAUPHIN	5 954	5 323	1 915	3 408	631	-
DELAWARE	3 294	2 327	1 460	867	877	90
ERIE	518	380	145	235	138	-
FAYETTE	811	652	211	441	159	-
FRANKLIN	998	856	304	552	142	-
LACKAWANNA	1 821	1 418	141	1 277	403	-
LANCASTER	415	338	139	199	77	-
LAWRENCE	598	429	-	429	169	-
LEBANON	2 407	2 120	592	1 528	287	-
LEHIGH	1 490	1 093	125	968	397	-
LUZERNE	1 181	833	315	518	348	-
LYCOMING	627	509	249	260	107	11
MERCER	3 409	2 381	507	1 874	1 028	-
MONTGOMERY	1 886	1 475	140	1 335	411	-
NORTHAMPTON	658	501	131	370	157	-
NORTHUMBERLAND	1 430	1 243	777	466	187	-
SCHUYKILL	936	696	248	448	240	-
WASHINGTON	1 620	930	529	401	690	-
WESTMORELAND	1 813	1 514	748	766	299	-
YORK	460	452	-	452	8	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:						
ANDERSON	744	742	150	592	2	-
CHARLESTON	1 004	1 004	-	1 004	-	-
GREENVILLE	346	346	-	346	21	-
LEXINGTON	693	693	-	693	-	-
RICHLAND	250	235	-	235	15	-
SPARTANBURG	1 795	1 591	264	1 327	204	-
TENNESSEE:						
HAMILTON	1 165	1 113	291	822	52	-
KNOX	6 598	6 061	321	5 740	537	-
SHELBY	372	361	200	161	11	-
SULLIVAN	591	174	-	174	417	-
TEXAS:						
BELL	4 920	3 291	250	3 041	1 554	75
BEXAR	517	194	-	194	235	88
BRAZORIA	529	320	-	320	433	21
CAMERON	8 418	5 296	1 465	3 831	3 063	59
DALLAS	2 171	1 208	79	1 129	948	15
EL PASO	751	499	106	393	252	-
GALVESTON	12 869	7 506	1 802	5 704	4 208	1 155
HARRIS	1 110	643	180	463	404	63
HIDALGO	1 103	646	92	554	457	-
JEFFERSON	629	323	-	323	291	15
LUBBOCK	1 178	471	51	420	707	-
MCLENNAN	1 815	825	137	688	552	438
NUECES	341	199	-	199	132	10
SMITH	2 299	404	400	4	1 532	363
TARRANT	281	194	-	194	87	-
TAYLOR	3 761	1 297	256	1 041	2 144	320
TRAVIS	381	193	25	168	188	-
WICHITA	108	108	-	108	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 343 large county governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
UTAH:						
DAVIS	2 246	2 246	733	1 513	-	-
SALT LAKE	268	268	154	114	-	-
UTAH	736	736	281	455	-	-
WEBER	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:						
CHITTENDEN	1 585	1 094	191	903	491	-
VIRGINIA:						
ARLINGTON	690	677	262	415	13	-
CHESTERFIELD	3 509	2 108	502	1 606	1 298	103
FAIRFAX	787	341	-	341	446	-
HENRICO	510	400	-	400	110	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	1 471	604	182	422	724	143
WASHINGTON:						
CLARK	9 612	7 124	2 558	4 566	2 488	-
KING	885	502	174	328	343	40
KITSAP	3 173	1 975	1 171	804	973	225
PIERCE	1 608	846	231	615	709	53
SNOHOMISH	2 152	1 249	489	760	903	-
SPOKANE	1 336	967	250	717	369	-
YAKIMA	466	466	129	337	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:						
CABELL	841	838	174	664	3	-
KANAWHA	484	475	163	312	-	9
WISCONSIN:						
BROWN	1 433	1 216	345	871	80	137
DANE	407	284	71	213	112	11
KENOSHA	448	328	113	215	120	-
MARATHON	8 144	6 762	1 428	5 334	455	927
MILWAUKEE	303	290	75	215	-	13
OUTAGAMIE	754	434	112	322	318	2
RACINE	939	782	198	584	157	-
ROCK	511	511	38	473	-	-
WAUKESHA	175	175	-	175	-	-
WINNEBAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 59. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	67 112	62 532	64 178	75 725	43 592	39 859	41 162	47 851	13 905	11 503	12 391	13 416
2	ALABAMA:												
3	CALHOUN	16	16	16	13	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-
4	JEFFERSON	204	204	204	240	140	140	140	160	37	37	37	36
5	MADISON	61	57	57	45	47	43	43	31	25	21	21	15
6	MOBILE	282	280	280	259	122	121	121	106	57	56	56	49
7	MONTGOMERY	87	81	83	79	74	68	70	63	33	28	30	24
8	TUSCALOOSA	96	94	95	97	27	26	26	34	-	-	-	-
9	ARIZONA:												
10	MARICOPA	698	698	698	847	360	360	360	389	86	86	86	117
11	PIMA	424	419	421	492	295	290	292	337	119	114	116	139
12	ARKANSAS:												
13	PULASKI	99	94	95	74	88	83	84	64	30	25	27	17
14	SEBASTIAN	33	28	30	24	25	21	23	18	10	10	10	7
15	CALIFORNIA:												
16	ALAMEDA	1 145	1 015	1 060	1 615	630	541	568	893	250	203	220	309
17	BUTTE	82	72	74	78	50	41	43	43	24	20	20	19
18	CONTRA COSTA	642	568	600	857	334	265	297	424	194	131	161	203
19	FRESNO	508	426	474	580	325	256	299	345	130	115	123	136
20	HUMBOLDT	90	78	80	99	56	45	47	56	22	13	14	17
21	KERN	499	437	467	579	321	269	296	362	143	120	133	151
22	LOS ANGELES	6 329	6 085	6 154	9 332	3 888	3 674	3 737	5 969	1 752	1 547	1 612	2 324
23	MARIN	188	143	157	220	110	71	83	113	48	19	28	41
24	MERCED	102	95	97	101	57	51	53	52	16	11	12	12
25	MONTEREY	231	204	218	295	101	91	97	142	-	-	-	-
26	ORANGE	1 045	949	990	1 670	512	445	475	872	291	261	278	384
27	RIVERSIDE	628	589	601	765	332	299	310	386	160	133	141	182
28	SACRAMENTO	698	646	662	972	406	354	370	545	180	137	153	201
29	SAN BERNARDINO	898	810	925	965	530	442	581	502	329	243	448	258
30	SAN DIEGO	1 412	1 342	1 372	1 847	622	610	615	803	199	189	193	248
31	SAN JOAQUIN	359	306	332	388	236	183	209	249	104	54	80	88
32	SAN LUIS OBISPO	96	96	96	117	30	30	30	37	-	-	-	-
33	SAN MATEO	529	478	498	806	266	220	238	387	96	62	74	114
34	SANTA BARBARA	314	302	303	385	203	198	198	245	74	72	72	83
35	SANTA CLARA	1 198	949	1 001	1 522	742	505	554	845	442	232	273	387
36	SANTA CRUZ	145	132	138	169	98	85	89	109	32	20	24	27
37	SOLANO	238	224	229	288	131	120	124	154	49	40	43	53
38	SONOMA	239	199	210	237	152	120	131	138	87	64	72	75
39	STANISLAUS	286	232	244	282	192	139	151	161	66	51	58	54
40	TULARE	198	194	194	223	120	116	116	134	48	44	44	47
41	VENTURA	369	338	344	469	232	204	209	286	60	40	44	54
42	YOLO	83	83	83	102	46	46	46	55	13	13	13	14
43	COLORADO:												
44	ADAMS	29	29	29	31	29	29	29	31	-	-	-	-
45	ARAPAHOE	41	40	40	45	41	40	40	45	-	-	-	-
46	BOULDER	66	56	59	73	66	56	59	73	9	4	6	7
47	EL PASO	96	94	95	91	85	85	85	81	-	-	-	-
48	JEFFERSON	34	34	34	39	34	34	34	39	-	-	-	-
49	LARIMER	34	29	29	23	18	18	19	19	-	-	-	-
50	PUEBLO	46	46	46	37	41	41	41	34	-	-	-	-
51	WELD	82	80	80	69	79	78	78	67	12	11	11	7
52	DELAWARE:												
53	NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	FLORIDA:												
55	ALACHUA	64	61	62	61	62	59	60	59	-	-	-	-
56	BREVARD	29	29	29	28	29	29	29	28	-	-	-	-
57	BROWARD	242	242	242	261	199	199	199	217	-	-	-	-
58	DADE	539	539	539	711	536	536	536	707	-	-	-	-
59	ESCAMBIA	165	156	160	128	145	136	140	114	-	-	-	-
60	HILLSBOROUGH	300	300	300	358	300	300	300	358	-	-	-	-
61	LEE	36	36	36	34	33	33	33	31	-	-	-	-
62	LEON	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	28	33	33	33	28
63	MANATEE	37	37	37	29	25	25	25	20	1	1	1	1
64	OKALOOSA	38	38	38	20	38	38	38	20	24	24	24	13
65	ORANGE	219	219	219	215	195	195	195	197	-	-	-	-
66	PALM BEACH	216	216	216	228	191	191	191	207	55	55	55	47
67	PASCO	26	25	25	26	25	25	25	21	26	25	25	21
68	PINELLAS	154	153	153	156	148	147	147	151	20	19	19	20
69	POLK	90	90	90	75	90	90	90	75	-	-	-	-
70	SARASOTA	55	55	55	46	55	55	55	46	-	-	-	-
71	SEMINOLE	37	37	37	30	36	36	36	29	-	-	-	-
72	VOLUSIA	123	120	121	106	110	108	108	93	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

of 343 large county governments, October 1978
in thousands)

Line number	County	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous							
		Other and combined institutions				Number of employees				Number of employees							
		Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent													
1	TOTAL	29 687	28 356	28 770	34 435	22 249	21 518	21 707	26 556	1 271	1 155	1 310	1 318				
2	ALABAMA:																
3	CALHOUN	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-				
4	JEFFERSON	103	103	103	124	64	64	64	80	-	-	-	-				
5	MADISON	22	22	22	14	14	14	14	13	-	-	-	-				
6	MOBILE	65	65	65	57	19	19	19	24	141	140	140	129				
7	MONTGOMERY	41	40	40	39	13	13	13	16	-	-	-	-				
8	TUSCALOOSA	27	26	26	34	21	21	21	14	48	47	47	48				
9	ARIZONA:																
10	MARICOPA	274	274	274	272	338	338	338	458	-	-	-	-				
11	PIMA	176	176	176	197	121	121	121	147	8	8	8	8				
12	ARKANSAS:																
13	PULASKI	58	58	58	47	11	11	11	10	-	-	-	-				
14	SEBASTIAN	15	11	13	11	7	6	6	6	1	1	1	1				
15	CALIFORNIA:																
16	ALAMEDA	380	338	348	584	463	428	442	656	52	46	50	66				
17	BUTTE	26	21	23	24	32	31	31	36	-	-	-	-				
18	CONTRA COSTA	140	134	138	221	308	303	303	433	-	-	-	-				
19	FRESNO	195	141	175	209	183	170	176	235	-	-	-	-				
20	HUMBOLDT	34	32	32	39	34	33	33	43	-	-	-	-				
21	KERN	178	149	162	211	178	168	171	217	-	-	-	-				
22	LOS ANGELES . .																

Table 59. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total		Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only
GEORGIA:													
1	BIBB	43	43	43	45	21	21	21	22	-	-	-	-
2	CHATHAM	116	116	116	89	104	104	104	78	27	27	27	17
3	CLAYTON	67	54	55	56	42	42	42	41	-	-	-	-
4	COBB	67	65	65	67	39	37	37	39	-	-	-	-
5	DE KALB	207	206	206	225	120	119	119	114	48	47	47	44
6	FULTON	397	371	381	421	229	210	217	224	52	47	48	45
7	WINNETT	43	43	43	41	43	43	43	41	-	-	-	-
8	RICHMOND	104	102	102	76	90	89	89	65	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:													
9	ADA	57	53	54	54	49	45	46	45	29	25	26	23
ILLINOIS:													
10	CHAMPAIGN	60	46	58	49	33	27	31	26	15	14	14	10
11	COOK	2 568	2 568	2 562	2 976	1 821	1 811	1 811	2 035	265	259	262	308
12	DU PAGE	154	137	144	173	84	67	74	92	37	21	28	35
13	KANE	139	136	137	133	78	76	76	74	24	23	23	20
14	LAKE	130	121	122	116	87	79	80	74	26	22	23	23
15	LA SALLE	36	32	32	26	37	23	23	20	14	11	11	9
16	MCHENRY	38	38	38	36	12	12	12	13	-	-	-	-
17	MCLEAN	41	34	37	32	28	21	24	20	-	-	-	-
18	MACON	32	29	30	22	21	18	19	12	5	4	4	2
19	MADISON	72	68	70	67	50	46	48	46	19	17	16	15
20	PEORIA	112	110	110	109	84	82	82	79	17	15	15	14
21	ROCK ISLAND	48	47	47	40	26	25	25	21	-	-	-	-
22	ST. CLAIR	106	103	104	95	78	75	76	67	26	24	25	20
23	SANGAMON	69	69	69	60	50	50	50	42	22	22	22	15
24	TAZEWELL	22	18	18	16	10	6	6	5	-	-	-	-
25	WILL	48	47	47	45	24	23	23	28	-	-	-	-
26	WINNEBAGO	128	114	119	118	91	78	83	83	24	16	19	18
INDIANA:													
27	ALLEN	151	130	136	120	100	85	90	79	52	38	43	36
28	DELAWARE	29	18	26	23	17	6	16	12	13	9	9	9
29	ELKHART	62	51	53	47	39	31	32	29	15	10	10	9
30	LAKE	181	179	179	182	116	114	114	118	45	44	44	40
31	LA PORTE	58	33	34	29	22	18	19	15	-	-	-	-
32	MADISON	35	47	50	32	34	27	27	19	18	18	11	11
33	ST. JOSEPH	66	54	57	54	52	49	49	45	11	7	8	6
34	TIPPECANOE	34	33	33	22	31	30	30	20	19	19	11	9
35	VANDERBURGH	38	25	29	24	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-
36	VIGO	55	52	53	30	46	44	45	24	36	35	35	17
IOWA:													
37	BLACK HAWK	59	56	56	62	30	29	29	29	13	13	13	9
38	LINN	75	66	68	71	50	46	46	48	15	14	15	15
39	POLK	282	263	267	298	137	119	123	133	72	74	74	70
40	SCOTT	40	37	37	38	30	27	27	27	-	-	-	-
41	WOODBURY	35	30	31	34	21	16	17	18	14	9	10	10
KANSAS:													
42	JOHNSON	84	75	77	75	46	45	45	43	20	19	19	14
43	SEDGWICK	103	85	91	91	103	85	91	91	68	50	55	67
44	SHAWNEE	106	92	95	83	94	88	88	78	61	60	60	50
45	WYANDOTTE	85	77	80	61	74	66	69	51	35	27	30	20
KENTUCKY:													
46	JEFFERSON	577	569	571	510	451	443	445	394	207	203	203	170
47	KENTON	22	17	18	18	15	11	12	12	1	1	1	1
LOUISIANA:													
48	CADDO	118	118	118	101	106	106	106	89	17	17	17	10
49	CALCASIEU	57	55	55	45	42	41	41	33	26	26	26	20
50	JEFFERSON	181	164	170	138	166	149	155	120	48	38	45	26
51	LAFAYETTE	64	47	53	37	60	44	50	34	44	28	33	23
52	QUACHITA	41	34	35	26	35	29	30	23	23	18	11	11
53	RAPIDES	49	43	47	39	44	38	42	34	29	23	27	21
MAINE:													
54	CUMBERLAND	77	43	56	53	70	43	53	50	-	-	-	-
55	KENNEBEC	24	12	17	12	24	12	17	12	-	-	-	-
56	PENOBSCOT	23	18	20	14	23	18	20	14	-	-	-	-
57	YORK	10	9	9	7	10	9	9	7	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:													
58	ANNE ARUNDEL	39	39	39	42	39	39	39	42	-	-	-	-
59	BALTIMORE	108	100	101	129	82	74	75	100	8	-	-	2
60	HARFORD	36	36	36	42	36	36	36	42	-	-	-	-
61	MONTGOMERY	165	158	161	225	165	158	161	225	-	-	-	-
62	PRINCE GEORGES	158	158	158	208	158	158	158	208	-	-	-	-
63	WASHINGTON	16	15	15	15	16	15	15	15	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
(in thousands)

Line number	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Other and combined institutions				Number of employees				Number of employees			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	21	21	21	22	22	22	22	24	-	-	-	-
2	77	77	77	61	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-
3	42	42	42	41	25	12	13	15	-	-	-	-
4	39	37	37	39	28	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
5	72	72	72	70	87	87	87	111	-	-	-	-
6	177	163	168	179	168	161	164	197	-	-	-	-
7	43	43	43	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	90	89	89	65	14	13	13	11	-	-	-	-
9	20	20	20	22	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
10	18	13	16	16	27	19	26	23	-	-	-	-
11	1 556	1 552	1 552	1 727	747	747	747	941	-	-	-	-
12	47	46	46	57	70	70	70	81	-	-	-	-
13	54	53	53	56	45	45	45	42	16	15	15	15
14	61	57	57	51	43	42	42	42	-	-	-	-
15	13	12	12	11	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-
16	12	12	12	13	26	26	26	23	-	-	-	-
17	28	21	24	20	13	13	13	12	-	-	-	-
18	16	14	15	10	11	11	11	10	-	-	-	-
19	31	29	30	32	22	22	22	21	-	-	-	-
20	67	67	67	65	28	28	28	30	-	-	-	-
21	26	25	25	21	16	16	16	15	6	6	6	6
22	52	51	51	46	28	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
23	28	28	28	28	19	19	19	18	-	-	-	-
24	10	6	6	5	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-
25	24	23	23	28	24	24	24	18	-	-	-	-
26	67	62	63	65	37	36	36	35	-	-	-	-
27	48	47	47	43	51	45	46	41	-	-	-	-
28	4	4	4	2	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-
29	24	21	21	20	23	20	20	18	-	-	-	-
30	71	70	70	78	65	65	65	65	-	-	-	-
31	22	18	19	15	16	15	15	14	-	-	-	-
32	12	11	11	10	21	20	20	11	-	-	-	-
33	41	41	41	39	14	6	8	9	-	-	-	-
34	12	11	11	9	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
35	7	7	7	4	22	17	19	18	9	1	2	2
36	10	9	9	7	9	8	8	6	-	-	-	-
37	17	16	16	20	29							

Table 59. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions														
		Number of employees			October payroll ³	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles										
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees										
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent								
1	MASSACHUSETTS:																			
2	BARNSTABLE	89	89	89	106	53	53	53	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	BERKSHIRE	79	63	71	77	41	27	33	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	BRISTOL	153	145	147	169	58	53	53	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	ESSEX	266	227	238	275	133	106	114	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	HAMPDEN	304	277	300	343	150	125	147	173	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	HAMPSHIRE	92	71	73	86	62	45	46	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	MIDDLESEX	452	426	443	548	219	198	210	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	NORFOLK	180	172	174	207	74	67	69	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	PLYMOUTH	217	210	212	223	65	65	65	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WORCESTER	307	261	275	351	129	111	119	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	MICHIGAN:																			
12	BAY	87	78	81	92	63	61	61	71	16	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	BERRIEN	130	119	122	129	86	77	80	83	46	38	40	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	CALHOUN	82	74	76	92	70	62	64	77	45	38	40	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	GENESEE	117	100	109	158	64	64	64	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	INGHAM	107	96	100	118	78	71	73	87	22	15	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	JACKSON	82	62	72	82	55	37	46	53	40	23	32	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	KALAMAZOO	124	124	124	140	101	101	101	112	44	44	44	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	KENT	215	188	200	251	175	153	162	203	49	32	40	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	MACOMB	281	260	266	339	201	185	190	234	89	77	82	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	MONROE	77	62	66	66	61	51	53	51	44	38	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	MUSKOGON	37	68	71	81	56	49	52	60	22	16	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	OAKLAND	445	404	414	604	309	273	282	416	181	145	154	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	OTTAWA	37	34	35	41	24	23	23	27	10	9	9	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	SAGINAW	125	103	105	129	102	84	84	104	29	25	25	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	ST. CLAIR	87	66	71	87	63	44	48	61	41	25	29	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	WASHTENAW	168	155	157	171	133	122	123	129	41	30	31	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WAYNE	1 036	1 017	1 026	1 549	686	667	676	989	236	226	229	317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	MINNESOTA:																			
29	ANOKA	75	70	70	89	37	35	35	45	24	22	22	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	DAKOTA	32	28	28	35	12	9	9	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	HENNEPIN	651	611	616	863	444	409	414	547	178	162	165	203	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	RAMSEY	399	387	390	517	231	220	223	289	75	65	67	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	ST. LOUIS	84	84	84	96	84	84	84	96	23	23	23	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	STEARNS	11	10	10	12	3	2	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WASHINGTON	33	33	33	42	9	9	9	11	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	MISSISSIPPI:																			
36	HARRISON	67	7	33	21	67	7	33	21	55	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	HINDS	58	58	58	50	58	58	58	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	JACKSON	32	30	31	18	32	30	31	18	29	27	28	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	MISSOURI:																			
39	CLAY	61	53	55	45	31	23	25	22	13	5	7	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	GREENE	39	27	30	26	39	27	30	26	17	6	9	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	JACKSON	384	380	381	362	328	324	325	300	162	160	160	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	JEFFERSON	10	9	9	7	10	9	9	7	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	ST. CHARLES	24	11	19	18	11	10	10	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ST. LOUIS	376	342	352	352	299	275	283	282	98	97	97	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	NEBRASKA:																			
45	DOUGLAS	137	121	127	120	119	106	111	104	102	92	96	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	LANCASTER	35	26	27	24	25	18	18	14	25	18	18	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	NEVADA:																			
47	CLARK	390	390	390	491	320	320	320	401	197	197	197	259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WASHOE	101	98	99	134	66	65	65	89	35	35	35	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	NEW HAMPSHIRE:																			
49	HILLSBOROUGH	58	52	54	59	57	52	53	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ROCKINGHAM	22	21	21	18	22	21	21	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	NEW JERSEY:																			
51	ATLANTIC	221	213	216	190	155	147	149	138	65	63	64	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	BERGEN	398	388	391	408	237	231	233	238	136	133	134	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	BURLINGTON	252	240	244	225	136	124	128	125	29	17	21	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	CAMDEN	437	436	436	498	236	235	236	296	63	62	62	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	CUMBERLAND	177	175	175	149	119	117	102	102	55	53	53	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	ESSEX	1 410	1 410	1 410	1 609	915	915	915	1 074	122	122	122	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	GLOUCESTER	141	135	136	132	76	70	71	73	25	19	21	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	HUDSON	355	355	355	332	195	195	195	181	69	69	69	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	MERCER	319	316	317	318	212	210	210	216	30	30	30	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	MIDDLESEX	438	418	424	456	209	189	194	246	74	54	60	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	MONMOUTH	274	272	273	290	145	143	144	184	37	36	36	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	MORRIS	189	172	180	193	86	69	77	86	40	23	32	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	OCEAN	188	174	177	177	98	84	87	94	49	38	41	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	PASSAIC	496	458	470	450	306	268	281	239	141	111	122	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	SOMERSET	153	139	142	161	62	48	51	56	17	12	12	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	UNION	342	341	341	386	169	169	169	185	81	81	81	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	NEW MEXICO:																			
	BERNALILLO	39	38	38	33	39	38	38	33	39	38	38	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
in thousands)

Line number	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
	Other and combined institutions			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees				
	Number of employees				Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent*		
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
1	53	53	53	64	36	36	.36	42	-	-	-	-	1
2	41	27	33	39	38	36	37	39	-	-	-	-	2
3	58	53	53	66	95	92	93	103	-	-	-	-	3
4	133	106	114	132	133	121	123	143	-	-	-	-	4
5	149	125	146	172	154	152	152	171					

Table 59. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions															
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles											
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll								
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total		Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
1	NEW YORK:																				
2	ALBANY	214	204	206	296	164	154	156	256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	BROOME	83	73	76	74	37	27	30	32	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	CHAUTAUQUA	112	78	89	89	24	16	17	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	CHEMUNG	71	65	66	65	40	34	35	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	DUTCHESS	135	135	135	139	101	101	101	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	ERIE	651	588	605	707	522	459	476	545	110	54	70	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	MONROE	362	336	346	448	242	217	227	293	60	51	54	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	NASSAU	1 035	1 014	1 019	1 379	460	441	445	622	62	53	54	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	NIAGARA	98	80	84	98	67	49	53	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	ONEIDA	110	92	99	87	70	52	61	45	5	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	ONONDAGA	467	399	423	446	350	293	313	319	59	26	35	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	ORANGE	115	102	108	102	68	55	61	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	OSWEGO	74	60	65	57	40	29	33	27	2	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	RENSSELAER	90	80	82	67	49	41	43	31	16	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	ROCKLAND	107	107	107	131	63	63	63	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	ST. LAWRENCE	60	55	57	51	35	31	32	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	SARATOGA	36	33	33	31	26	23	23	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	SCHENECTADY	85	75	78	71	53	45	47	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	STUBEN	55	46	49	46	37	28	31	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	SUFFOLK	663	660	660	888	328	327	327	485	34	34	34	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	ULSTER	109	95	103	91	84	70	78	69	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	WESTCHESTER	528	528	528	726	353	353	353	470	21	21	21	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	NORTH CAROLINA:																				
25	BUNCOMBE	47	43	44	39	46	42	43	38	12	9	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	CUMBERLAND	54	53	53	49	54	53	53	49	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	DURHAM	28	24	26	20	28	24	26	20	5	3	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	FORSYTH	45	39	40	41	45	39	40	41	14	8	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	GASTON	28	26	26	29	26	24	24	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	GUILFORD	97	86	90	76	97	86	90	76	23	18	19	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	MECKLENBURG	83	82	82	81	74	73	73	71	25	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	ONSLow	28	27	27	18	28	27	27	18	3	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	WAKE	38	30	31	27	38	30	31	27	22	14	16	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	OHIO:																				
35	ALLEN	57	37	44	36	39	27	32	25	26	14	19	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	ASHTABULA	26	22	23	22	20	19	19	18	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	BUTLER	82	62	67	61	46	37	39	37	21	13	15	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	CLARK	65	52	55	50	56	43	46	42	34	22	25	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	CLERMONT	25	20	25	21	14	13	13	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	COLUMBIANA	24	19	20	17	12	9	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	CUYAHOGA	891	803	850	839	720	648	685	670	278	206	240	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	FRANKLIN	237	208	217	255	130	123	125	135	51	48	48	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	GREENE	35	32	33	33	26	23	24	22	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	HAMILTON	434	430	430	452	282	278	279	266	198	194	195	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	LAKE	54	47	50	44	31	27	28	24	20	17	18	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	LICKING	41	28	33	30	24	14	18	16	10	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	LORAIN	106	99	101	95	71	66	67	63	31	26	27	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	LUCAS	382	336	353	384	302	259	274	304	82	44	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	MAHONING	113	97	95	80	91	70	77	65	64	43	50	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	MONTGOMERY	266	257	261	266	174	165	168	167	114	107	110	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	PORTAGE	165	146	151	154	157	138	146	146	29	29	29	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	RICHLAND	77	49	59	53	54	26	37	30	34	12	20	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	STARK	226	198	207	184	193	173	180	160	130	122	125	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	SUMMIT	228	190	198	207	151	123	128	136	69	41	44	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	TRUMBULL	49	49	49	55	31	31	31	35	15	15	15	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	WOOD	34	31	31	31	21	21	21	21	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	OKLAHOMA:																				
58	CLEVELAND	11	8	9	6	11	8	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	COMANCHE	44	35	37	26	29	27	28	20	18	18	18	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	OKLAHOMA	107	107	107	100	58	58	58	54	26	26	26	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	TULSA	121	121	121	141	78	78	78	91	32	32	32	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	OREGON:																				
63	CLACKAMAS	87	72	75	105	39	28	36	44	4	4	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	JACKSON	82	72	74	88	55	46	48	56	22	13	15	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	LANE	102	94	97	108	94	97	97	108	27	21	22	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66	MARION	96	82	84	98	58	44	46	52	26	14	16	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
67	MULTNOMAH	282	272	276	400	194	184	188	278	29	22	25	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	WASHINGTON	123	107	110	133	72	56	59	72	19	15	15	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	PENNSYLVANIA:																				
70	ALLEGHENY	505	505	505	510	284	284	284	255	168	168	168	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	BEAVER	72	55	63	56	50	33	41	36	18	9	12	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	BERKS	244	244	244	215	87	87	87	77	15	15	15	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
73	BLAIR	40	31	37	32	27	18	24	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
74	SUCKS	178	177	177	174	116	116	116	105	32	32	32	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
75	BUTLER	44	38	40	35	28	22	25	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
76	CAMBRIA	69	60	66	54	46	37	44	34	10	10	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

of 343 large county governments, October 1978—Continued
in thousands)

Line number	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
	Other and combined institutions			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
	Number of employees		October payroll		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
	Total	Full-time only		Full-time equivalent									
1	164	154	156	256	49	49	49	39	1	1	1	1	1
2	34	27	29	31	45	45	45	42	1	1	1	1	2
3	24	16	17	18	32	23	27	26	56	39	44	45	3
4	40	34	35	31	31	31	31	34	-	-	-	-	4

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978 (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To Local governments). Rows include ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, CONCORD, COSTA MESA, DALY CITY, DOWNNEY, EL CAJON, EL MONTE, FAIRFIELD, FOUNTAIN VALLEY, FREMONT, FRESNO, FULLERTON, GARDEN GROVE, GLENDALE, HAWTHORNE, HAYWARD, HUNTINGTON BEACH, INGLEWOOD, LAKEWOOD, LONG BEACH, LOS ANGELES, MODESTO, MOUNTAIN VIEW, NEWPORT BEACH, NORWALK, OAKLAND, OCEANSIDE, ONTARIO, ORANGE, OXNARD, PALO ALTO, PASADENA, PICO RIVERA, POMONA, REDONDO BEACH, REDWOOD CITY, RICHMOND, RIVERSIDE, SACRAMENTO, SALINAS, SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BUENAVENTURA, SAN DIEGO, SAN FRANCISCO, SAN JOSE, SAN LEANDRO, SAN MATEO, SANTA ANA, SANTA BARBARA.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with columns: City, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay: Equipment, Construction, Land), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To Local governments). Rows include CALIFORNIA (SANTA CLARA, SANTA MONICA, SANTA ROSA, SIMI VALLEY, SOUTH GATE, STOCKTON, SUNNYVALE, THOUSAND OAKS, TORRANCE, VALLEJO, WEST COVINA, WESTMINSTER, WHITTIER), COLORADO (ARVADA, AURORA, BOULDER, COLORADO SPRINGS, DENVER, FORT COLLINS, LAKEWOOD, PUEBLO), CONNECTICUT (BRIDGEPORT, BRISTOL, DANBURY, HARTFORD, MERIDEN, MILFORD, NEW BRITAIN, NEW HAVEN, NORWALK, STAMFORD, WATERBURY, WEST HAVEN), DELAWARE (WILMINGTON), DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (WASHINGTON), FLORIDA (CLEARWATER, FORT LAUDERDALE, GAINESVILLE, HIALEAH, HOLLYWOOD, JACKSONVILLE, MIAMI, MIAMI BEACH, ORLANDO, PENSACOLA, ST. PETERSBURG, TALLAHASSEE, TAMPA, WEST PALM BEACH), GEORGIA (ALBANY, ATLANTA, AUGUSTA, COLUMBUS, MACON, SAVANNAH), HAWAII (HONOLULU), IDAHO (BOISE CITY), ILLINOIS (ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, AURORA, BERWYN, CHAMPAIGN, CHICAGO, CICERO, DECATUR, DES PLAINES, EAST ST. LOUIS, ELGIN).

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED										
EVANSTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PEORIA	85	41	41	-	-	-	44	-	44	
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	48	48	46	2	2	-	-	-	-	
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EVANSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GARY	186	186	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMMOND	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDIANAPOLIS	7 194	7 194	7 194	45	45	-	-	-	-	
MUNCIE	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KOKOMO	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9	
COUNCIL BLUFFS	18	8	8	-	-	-	10	-	10	
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DES MOINES	461	441	440	1	1	-	20	-	20	
DUBUQUE	58	55	55	-	-	-	3	-	3	
SIOUX CITY	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	290	290	287	3	3	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	10	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	10	
OVERLAND PARK	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOPEKA	39	32	32	-	-	-	7	-	7	
WICHITA	261	102	102	-	-	-	159	-	159	
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LEXINGTON	2 079	2 069	2 064	5	5	-	10	-	10	
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OWENSBORO	29	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	29	
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	2 071	2 071	2 048	23	23	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	61	-	-	-	-	-	61	-	61	
LAKE CHARLES	67	-	-	-	-	-	67	-	67	
MONROE	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ORLEANS	10 111	10 111	10 005	106	106	-	-	-	-	
SHREVEPORT	364	364	364	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	11 052	11 052	10 937	115	101	14	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	10 839	10 839	10 635	204	77	127	-	-	-	
BROCKTON	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FALL RIVER	149	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LOWELL	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW BEDFORD	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
QUINCY	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN	239	133	133	-	-	-	106	-	106	
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	239	229	229	-	-	-	10	-	10	
DETROIT	7 263	7 026	7 026	237	27	188	-	-	-	
EAST LANSING	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED										
FARMINGTON HILLS	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLINT	501	501	499	2	2	-	-	-	-	
GRAND RAPIDS	278	278	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	129	85	85	-	-	-	54	-	54	
LANSING	771	771	766	5	5	-	44	-	44	
LINCOLN PARK	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LIVONIA	173	81	81	-	-	-	92	-	92	
PONTIAC	229	120	119	1	1	-	109	-	109	
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROYAL OAK	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTHFIELD	2 307	2 279	120	2 159	-	2 159	28	-	28	
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STERLING HEIGHTS	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TAYLOR	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	26	14	14	-	-	-	9	-	9	
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTLAND	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WYOMING	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	23	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	23	
DULUTH	11	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	11	
MINNEAPOLIS	515	215	215	-	-	-	300	-	300	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. PAUL	79	79	-	79	-	79	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	598	598	593	5	5	-	18	-	18	
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	30	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	30	
KANSAS CITY	1 526	1 526	1 524	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	
ST. LOUIS	8 642	8 642	8 407	235	68	167	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	23	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	23	
GREAT FALLS	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	426	426	422	4	4	-	-	-	-	
OMAHA	93	46	46	-	-	-	47	-	47	
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	381	381	381	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RENO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NASHUA	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	1 938	1 938	1 936	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOUNT VERNON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK CITY	156 387	152 779	150 641	2 138	516	1 622	3 608	3 608	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED									
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROHE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTICA	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YONKERS	491	491	481	10	-	10	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLOTTE	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENSBORO	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RALEIGH	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINSTON-SALEM	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:									
AKRON	1 280	1 044	836	208	9	199	-	236	
CANTON	174	39	39	-	-	-	-	135	
CINCINNATI	4 720	4 596	4 716	4	4	-	-	-	
CLEVELAND	4 596	4 407	4 407	189	-	189	-	-	
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBUS	2 350	2 350	2 330	20	20	-	-	-	
DAYTON	2 684	2 684	2 679	5	5	-	-	-	
ELYRIA	81	70	70	-	-	-	-	11	
EUCLID	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	
HAMILTON	152	152	54	98	98	-	-	-	
KETTERING	197	110	109	1	1	-	-	87	
LAKEWOOD	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	
LIMA	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	131	111	111	-	-	-	-	20	
MANSFIELD	113	100	100	-	-	-	-	13	
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	74	57	57	-	-	-	-	17	
TOLEDO	2 051	1 501	1 501	33	-	33	-	517	
WARREN	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	
YOUNGSTOWN	464	464	464	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:									
LAFTON	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDWEST	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	
NORMAN	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	6	
OKLAHOMA CITY	772	772	772	-	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	788	748	712	36	-	36	-	40	
OREGON:									
EUGENE	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SALEM	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PHILADELPHIA	49 372	47 068	44 288	2 780	400	2 380	-	2 304	
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIA	405	405	403	2	2	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Corrections expenditure of 406 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLARKSVILLE	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	
KNOXVILLE	428	428	428	-	-	-	-	-	
MEMPHIS	2 439	1 978	1 964	14	-	14	-	461	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	4 674	4 674	4 508	166	86	80	-	461	
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AUSTIN	98	79	79	-	-	-	-	19	
BEAUMONT	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	
BROWNSVILLE	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	
CORPUS CHRISTI	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	
DALLAS	1 646	1 646	1 646	-	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	209	
FORT WORTH:									
GALVESTON	547	547	547	-	-	-	-	-	
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOUSTON	3 145	3 145	3 134	11	11	-	-	-	
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAREDO	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
LONGVIEW	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MESQUITE									
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDLAND:									
MIDLAND	192	192	187	5	5	-	-	-	
ODESSA	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-	
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN ANGELO	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN ANTONIO	489	-	-	-	-	-	-	489	
TYLER	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	
WACO	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	
WICHITA FALLS	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	
UTAH:									
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PROVO	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	
SALT LAKE CITY	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA	1 056	1 021	965	56	8	48	-	35	
CHESAPEAKE	1 639	1 639	1 586	53	41	12	-	-	
HAMPTON	2 274	2 261	1 161	1 100	9	1 091	-	13	
LYNCHBURG	782	782	757	25	25	-	-	-	
NEWPORT NEWS	2 884	2 884	2 737	147	69	78	-	-	
NORFOLK	4 340	4 340	4 316	24	17	7	-	-	
PORTSMOUTH	978	942	936	6	6	-	-	36	
RICHMOND	3 322	3 322	3 298	24	24	-	-	-	
ROANOKE	4 646	4 646	1 414	3 232	13	3 219	-	-	
VIRGINIA BEACH	3 072	3 072	1 583	1 489	28	1 461	-	-	
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE	97	93	92	1	1	-	-	4	
EVERETT	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	
SEATTLE	1 890	786	786	-	-	-	-	1 104	
SPOKANE	240	5	5	-	-	-	-	235	
TACOMA	710	710	710	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	
HUNTINGTON	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREEN BAY	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	
KENOSHA	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	
LA CROSSE	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	
MADISON	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	
MILWAUKEE	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RACINE	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 61. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
TOTAL	430 384	328 572	76 952	24 860	CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED				
ALABAMA:					SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-
BIRMINGHAM	1 345	1 212	133	-	SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	72	72	-	-	SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	170	170	-	-	SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	270	270	-	-	STOCKTON	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	248	248	-	-	SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1	1	-	-	THOUSAND OAKS	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:					TORRANCE	322	322	-	-
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	VALLEJO	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:					WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	110	-	-	110	WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-
MESA	75	75	-	-	WHITTIER	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	283	25	112	146	COLORADO:				
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	ARVADA	7	-	7	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	AURORA	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	-	-	-	-	BOULDER	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:					COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	330
FORT SMITH	74	50	24	-	DENVER	4 922	4 592	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	275	219	56	-	FORT COLLINS	13	13	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	152	127	25	-	LAKEWOOD	131	-	-	99
PINE BLUFF	100	100	-	-	PUEBLO	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:					CONNECTICUT:				
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	BRIDGEPORT	33	-	-	33
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	BRISTOL	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	DANBURY	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	HARTFORD	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	MERIDAN	-	-	-	11
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	MILFORD	11	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	136	136	-	-	NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	NORWALK	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	STAMFORD	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	WATERBURY	14	-	-	14
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	DELAWARE:				
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:				
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON	69 026	44 892	14 036	10 098
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	FLORIDA:				
FAIRFIELD	-	-	-	-	CLEARWATER	71	71	-	-
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	-	-	-	-	FORT LAUDERDALE	194	194	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	HIALEAH	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	HOLLYWOOD	106	106	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	JACKSONVILLE	7 340	6 501	626	213
GLENDALE	127	127	-	-	MIAMI	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	13	-	13	-	ORLANDO	862	808	-	54
HUNTINGTON BEACH	373	373	-	-	PENSACOLA	137	137	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	ST. PETERSBURG	369	369	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 736	1 293	443	-	TAMPA	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	WEST PALM BEACH	217	217	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	GEORGIA:				
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	ALBANY	30	30	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	ATLANTA	3 005	2 335	644	26
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	AUGUSTA	129	129	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	COLUMBUS	1 078	893	185	-
OCEANSIDE	-	-	-	-	MAGON	230	230	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	SAVANNAH	125	125	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	HAWAII:				
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	HONOLULU	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	IDAHO:				
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	ILLINOIS:				
POMONA	200	200	-	-	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	203	-	203	-	AURORA	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	BERWYN	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	CHICAGO	808	-	-	808
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	CICERO	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	DECATUR	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	193	125	-	68	ELGIN	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	17 403	11 648	5 755	-	EVANSTON	9	9	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	JOLIET	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	OAK PARK	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 61. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED					MICHIGAN--CONTINUED				
PEORIA	41	41	-	-	LIVONIA	81	-	71	10
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	PONTIAC	119	-	119	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	ROYAL OAK	72	-	72	-
SPRINGFIELD	46	46	-	-	SAGINAW	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	ST. CLAIR SHORES	120	-	120	-
INDIANA:					SOUTHFIELD	-	-	-	-
ANDERSON	18	-	18	-	STERLING HEIGHTS	19	-	19	-
EVANSVILLE	-	-	-	-	TAYLOR	16	-	16	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	TROY	14	-	14	-
GARY	186	-	186	-	WARREN	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	35	-	35	-	WESTLAND	140	-	27	113
INDIANAPOLIS	7 149	5 180	1 969	-	WYOMING	35	-	33	2
MUNCIE	12	-	12	-	MINNESOTA:				
KOKOMO	11	-	11	-	BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	DULUTH	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	MINNEAPOLIS	215	215	-	-
IOWA:					ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	MISSISSIPPI:				
DAVENPORT	8	8	-	-	BILOXI	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	440	166	274	-	JACKSON	593	593	-	-
DUBUQUE	55	-	-	55	MISSOURI:				
SIoux CITY	105	105	-	-	COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:					INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	287	287	-	-	KANSAS CITY	1 524	986	538	-
LAWRENCE	3	-	-	-	ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	3	3	-	-	ST. LOUIS	8 407	5 460	2 739	208
TOPEKA	32	18	-	14	SPRINGFIELD	6	6	-	-
WICHITA	102	-	102	-	MONTANA:				
KENTUCKY:					BILLINGS	-	-	-	-
COVINGTON	32	32	-	-	GREAT FALLS	74	74	-	-
LEXINGTON	2 064	1 942	74	48	NEBRASKA:				
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	LINCOLN	422	284	138	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	OMAHA	46	-	46	-
LOUISIANA:					NEVADA:				
BATON ROUGE	2 048	1 566	300	182	LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	RENO	381	381	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
MONROE	113	113	-	-	MANCHESTER	96	-	96	-
NEW ORLEANS	10 005	9 037	968	-	NASHUA	96	-	96	-
SHREVEPORT	364	364	-	-	NEW JERSEY:				
MAINE:					BAYONNE	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:					CAMDEN	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	10 937	10 842	-	95	CLIFTON	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:					EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-
BOSTON	10 635	6 850	3 785	-	ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	43	33	8	2	IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	NEWARK	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	149	-	92	57	PASSAIC . .				

CONTINUED

4 OF 5

Table 61. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 406 large city governments, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
NORTH CAROLINA:					TENNESSEE:				
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	16	-	16	-	CLARKSVILLE	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	KNOXVILLE	428	428	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	MEMPHIS	1 964	1 570	394	-
GREENSBORO	57	57	-	-	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	4 508	3 794	714	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	TEXAS:				
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	ABILENE	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	AMARILLO	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	80	-	-	80	ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:					AUSTIN	79	-	-	79
FARGO	-	-	-	-	BEAUMONT	124	124	-	-
OHIO:					BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-
AKRON	836	661	175	-	CORPUS CHRISTI	122	-	61	61
CANTON	39	-	39	-	DALLAS	1 646	1 598	-	48
CINCINNATI	4 716	3 889	643	184	EL PASO	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	4 407	3 109	583	715	FORT WORTH	547	475	-	72
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	40	-	3	37	GALVESTON	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	2 330	2 050	280	-	GARLAND	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	2 679	2 229	48	402	GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	70	61	9	-	HOUSTON	3 134	3 134	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	IRVING	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	54	-	21	33	LAREDO	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	109	-	-	109	LONGVIEW	18	18	-	-
LAKEWOOD	22	-	22	-	LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-
LIMA	160	118	42	-	MESQUITE	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	111	94	17	-	MIDLAND	187	187	-	-
MANSFIELD	100	26	74	-	ODESSA	75	75	-	-
PARMA	-	-	-	-	PASADENA	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	57	-	57	-	PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	1 501	1 061	213	227	RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-
WARREN	94	79	-	15	SAN ANGELO	41	41	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	464	332	29	103	SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:					TYLER	-	-	-	-
LAWTON	86	76	10	-	WACO	151	151	-	-
MIDWEST	49	49	-	-	WICHITA FALLS	142	142	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	UTAH:				
OKLAHOMA CITY	772	466	306	-	OGDEN	-	-	-	-
TULSA	712	636	56	20	PROVO	-	-	-	-
OREGON:					SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	VIRGINIA:				
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	ALEXANDRIA	965	789	176	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	CHESAPEAKE	1 586	1 534	52	-
PENNSYLVANIA:					HAMPTON	1 161	669	492	-
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	LYNCHBURG	757	655	102	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	NEWPORT NEWS	2 737	2 024	713	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	NORFOLK	4 316	3 694	586	36
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	PORTSMOUTH	936	894	42	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	RICHMOND	3 298	3 153	145	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	ROANOKE	1 414	642	772	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	VIRGINIA BEACH	1 583	1 051	506	26
PHILADELPHIA	44 288	24 452	11 206	8 630	WASHINGTON:				
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	BELLEVUE	92	-	92	-
READING	-	-	-	-	EVERETT	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	SEATTLE	786	368	418	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	SPOKANE	5	-	-	5
YORK	-	-	-	-	TACOMA	710	489	221	-
RHODE ISLAND:					WEST VIRGINIA:				
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-
PAWUCKET	-	-	-	-	HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	WISCONSIN:				
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	APPLETON	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:					GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	KENOSHA	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	403	403	-	-	LACROSSE	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	MADISON	-	-	-	-
NORTH CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:					OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-
SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-	RACINE	-	-	-	-
					WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-
					WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns for City, Total corrections, Institutions, and October payroll. Rows include states like ALABAMA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, etc., and various cities within those states.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

Table with columns for City, Probation and parole, and Miscellaneous. Rows include states like ALABAMA, ARIZONA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, etc., and various cities within those states.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
THOUSAND OAKS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	15	15	15	18	15	15	15	18
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	300	299	299	364	300	299	299	364
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	6	6	6	10	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	2 941	2 920	2 922	5 437	1 902	1 902	1 902	3 328
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
FORT LAUDERDALE	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	7
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9
JACKSONVILLE	421	421	421	433	370	370	370	383
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	5	5	5	5	8	8	8	9
PENSACOLA	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
ST. PETERSBURG	26	26	26	23	26	26	26	23
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	19	18	18	18	19	18	18	18
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	165	165	165	147	165	165	165	147
AUGUSTA	12	12	12	7	12	12	12	7
COLUMBUS	104	103	104	97	88	88	88	82
MACON	29	29	29	26	29	29	29	26
SAVANNAH	7	6	6	6	7	6	6	6
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
THOUSAND OAKS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	4	4	4	7	2	2	2	3
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	604	583	585	1 263	435	435	435	846
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	33	33	33	31	18	18	18	18
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	16	16	16	15	-	-	-	-
MACON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	5	5	5	1	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	4	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	473	472	473	423	337	336	337	306
MUNCIE	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
KOKOMO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	24	24	24	33	9	9	9	11
DUBUQUE	4	4	4	5	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	6	3	4	3	6	3	4	3
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	34	34	34	23	34	34	34	23
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	147	130	136	122	138	122	128	114
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	134	131	132	101	93	92	92	70
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	9	7	7	5	9	7	7	5
NEW ORLEANS	726	726	726	733	651	651	651	660
SHREVEPORT	23	11	23	19	23	11	23	19
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	522	522	522	611	522	522	522	611
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	573	573	573	693	334	334	334	366
BROCKTON	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	3	3	3	1	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	6	7	9	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	7	7	7	12	5	5	5	4
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	22	5	12	12	3	3	3	3
DETROIT	241	241	241	381	232	232	232	373
EAST LANSING	2	2	2	3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued (Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	5	5	5	1	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	4	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	136	136	136	117	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
KOKOMO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	15	15	15	21	4	4	4	5
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	6	6	6	6	3	2	3	2
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	36	36	36	28	5	3	4	2
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	75	75	75	73	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	239	239	239	307	1	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	1
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	6	7	9	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	2	2	2	3	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	19	2	9	9	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	8
EAST LANSING	2	2	2	3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	30	30	30	42	25	25	25	35
GRAND RAPIDS	28	12	18	21	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	4	4	4	6	-	-	-	-
LANSING	77	45	52	57	18	18	18	21
LINCOLN PARK	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
LIVONIA	6	4	5	7	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	5	5	5	7	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	17	2	4	6	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	8	8	8	10	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TROY	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	6	6	6	9	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	38	38	38	35	38	38	38	35
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	98	98	98	104	63	63	63	69
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	602	602	602	595	426	426	426	398
SPRINGFIELD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	46	45	45	40	31	31	31	27
OMAHA	5	5	5	6	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	24	24	24	33	24	24	24	33
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	11	10	10	11	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	142	138	139	147	128	128	128	130
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	5 548	5 548	5 548	7 834	4 366	4 366	4 366	6 380

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	5	5	5	7	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	23	12	15	18	5	-	5	3
KALAMAZOO	4	4	4	6	-	-	-	-
LANSING	51	24	30	33	8	3	4	4
LINCOLN PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	6	4	5	7	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	5	5	5	7	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	17	2	4	6	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	8	8	8	10	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TROY	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	6	6	6	9	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	38	38	38	35	38	38	38	35
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	98	98	98	104	63	63	63	69
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	602	602	602	595	426	426	426	398
SPRINGFIELD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	46	45	45	40	31	31	31	27
OMAHA	5	5	5	6	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	24	24	24	33	24	24	24	33
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	11	10	10	11	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	11	7	9	7	3	3	3	4
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	1 170	1 170	1 170	1 436	12	12	12	18

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	10	10	10	21	10	10	10	21
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	35	35	35	50	30	30	30	41
CANTON	4	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	139	133	136	164	126	120	123	149
CLEVELAND	110	110	110	141	67	67	67	86
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	87	87	87	104	74	74	74	87
DAYTON	78	77	77	89	73	73	73	83
ELYRIA	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	4
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	7	7	7	7	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
LIMA	6	6	6	7	5	5	5	5
LORAIN	6	5	5	6	4	4	4	5
MANSFIELD	7	7	7	8	2	2	2	2
PARMA	3	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	59	59	59	80	41	41	41	55
WARREN	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
YOUNGSTOWN	28	28	28	27	26	26	26	25
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	9	9	9	7	8	8	8	6
MIDWEST	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	34	34	34	33	26	26	26	25
TULSA	35	35	35	45	35	35	35	45
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	1 801	1 801	1 801	2 665	1 063	1 063	1 063	1 749
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	35	31	31	29	35	31	31	29
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

Table 62. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 406 large city governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	5	5	5	8	-	-	-	-
CANTON	4	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	1	-	-	-	13	13	13	15
CLEVELAND	26	26	26	40	17	17	17	15
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	13	13	13	16	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	5	4	4	6	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	7
LAKEWOOD	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
LIMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
PARMA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	18	18	18	25	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	738	738	738	916	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

FIGURE 26. Percent distribution of other criminal justice direct expenditure by state and type of government, Fiscal Year 1978




Table 63. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL...	350 904	350 904	244 630	106 274	420 071	13 552	406 519
STATES	526 897	122 464	119 098	3 366	404 433	(X)	404 433
LOCAL, TOTAL	241 992	228 440	125 532	102 908	15 638	13 552	2 086
COUNTIES	172 563	170 997	72 950	98 047	1 566	701	865
MUNICIPALITIES	71 515	57 443	52 581	4 745	14 072	12 851	1 221
ALABAMA	1 333	1 333	1 016	317	3 678	-	3 678
STATE	4 613	935	925	10	3 678	(X)	3 678
LOCAL, TOTAL	398	398	91	307	-	-	-
COUNTIES	376	376	70	307	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	22	22	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	1 367	1 367	1 362	5	423	-	423
STATE	1 790	1 367	1 362	5	423	(X)	423
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	1 232	1 232	1 224	8	6 501	-	6 501
STATE	7 242	741	741	-	6 501	(X)	6 501
LOCAL, TOTAL	491	491	483	8	-	-	-
COUNTIES	66	66	66	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	425	425	417	8	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	3 019	3 019	2 171	847	4 624	15	4 609
STATE	6 078	1 624	1 069	555	4 454	(X)	4 454
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 410	1 395	1 102	292	170	15	155
COUNTIES	547	546	546	-	1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 018	849	556	292	169	14	155
CALIFORNIA	26 645	26 645	22 020	4 625	52 208	30	52 178
STATE	58 752	6 965	6 824	141	51 787	(X)	51 787
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 710	19 680	15 196	4 484	421	30	391
COUNTIES	15 014	14 966	10 521	4 445	48	-	48
MUNICIPALITIES	5 087	4 714	4 675	39	373	30	343
COLORADO	4 818	4 818	4 642	176	5 935	-	5 935
STATE	8 035	2 100	2 100	-	5 935	(X)	5 935
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 718	2 718	2 542	176	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 066	1 066	1 058	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 652	1 652	1 484	169	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	3 401	3 401	3 368	32	4 155	-	4 155
STATE	6 304	2 155	2 129	26	4 149	(X)	4 149
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 246	1 246	1 239	6	6	-	6
COUNTIES	1 251	1 245	1 239	6	-	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	1 279	1 279	1 279	-	633	-	633
STATE	1 898	1 265	1 265	-	633	(X)	633
LOCAL, TOTAL	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 483	1 483	1 470	13	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 483	1 483	1 470	13	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 483	1 483	1 470	13	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	9 536	9 536	9 195	341	13 872	1	13 871
STATE	15 078	1 252	1 236	16	13 826	(X)	13 826
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 285	8 284	7 959	325	46	1	45
COUNTIES	6 907	6 906	6 581	325	1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 424	1 378	1 378	-	45	1	45
GEORGIA	1 988	1 988	1 649	339	10 603	1	10 602
STATE	11 393	803	786	17	10 590	(X)	10 590
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 186	1 185	863	322	13	1	12
COUNTIES	559	559	559	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	640	626	304	322	13	1	12
HAWAII	2 832	2 832	2 832	-	1 772	-	1 772
STATE	3 264	1 492	1 492	-	1 772	(X)	1 772
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 340	1 340	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 273	1 273	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	67	67	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 63. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	5 233	5 233	2 285	2 948	1 084	-	1 084
STATE	3 311	2 227	2 169	58	1 084	(X)	1 084
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 006	3 006	116	2 890	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 006	3 006	116	2 890	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	63 382	63 382	17 578	45 804	19 457	13	19 445
STATE	27 601	8 419	8 395	24	19 182	(X)	19 182
LOCAL, TOTAL	54 976	54 963	9 183	45 780	275	13	263
COUNTIES	50 399	50 123	4 452	45 671	275	13	263
MUNICIPALITIES	4 840	4 840	4 731	109	-	-	-
INDIANA	3 948	3 948	3 898	51	8 991	5	8 986
STATE	10 255	1 269	1 246	23	8 986	(X)	8 986
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 684	2 679	2 652	28	5	-	5
COUNTIES	1 369	1 364	1 360	5	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 315	1 315	1 292	23	-	-	-
IOWA	1 449	1 449	1 407	41	4 955	23	4 932
STATE	5 674	761	747	14	4 913	(X)	4 913
LOCAL, TOTAL	710	688	660	27	42	23	19
COUNTIES	696	673	646	27	23	23	19
MUNICIPALITIES	37	14	14	-	19	-	19
KANSAS	4 259	4 259	3 084	1 175	5 560	-	5 560
STATE	6 660	1 120	821	299	5 540	(X)	5 540
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 139	3 139	2 263	876	20	-	20
COUNTIES	2 874	2 874	1 999	875	-	-	20
MUNICIPALITIES	285	265	264	1	20	-	-
KENTUCKY	3 223	3 223	3 141	82	901	-	901
STATE	2 191	1 372	1 347	25	819	(X)	819
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 851	1 851	1 794	57	82	-	82
COUNTIES	1 851	1 851	1 794	57	-	-	82
MUNICIPALITIES	82	-	-	-	82	-	-
LOUISIANA	4 073	4 073	3 825	248	8 763	2	8 761
STATE	10 584	1 823	1 816	7	8 761	(X)	8 761
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 252	2 250	2 009	241	2	-	2
PARISHES	1 161	1 159	917	241	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 091	1 091	1 091	-	-	-	-
MAINE	740	740	729	11	1 889	2	1 887
STATE	2 596	714	708	6	1 882	(X)	1 882
LOCAL, TOTAL	28	26	21	5	7	-	5
COUNTIES	31	26	21	5	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	2	-	-	-	2	-	-
MARYLAND	3 555	3 555	3 532	23	5 889	-	5 889
STATE	8 405	2 519	2 498	21	5 886	(X)	5 886
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 036	1 036	1 034	2	3	-	3
COUNTIES	384	384	382	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	656	653	653	-	3	-	3
MASSACHUSETTS	7 762	7 762	7 759	3	5 830	-	5 830
STATE	8 696	2 866	2 866	-	5 830	(X)	5 830
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 896	4 896	4 893	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	212	212	209	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 684	4 684	4 684	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	4 606	4 606	4 494	112	14 322	-	14 322
STATE	16 571	2 294	2 294	-	14 277	(X)	14 277
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 312	2 312	2 200	112	45	-	45
COUNTIES	1 439	1 394	1 378	16	45	-	45
MUNICIPALITIES	917	917	822	95	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	3 899	3 899	3 878	21	8 370	-	8 370
STATE	10 380	2 010	2 004	6	8 370	(X)	8 370
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 889	1 889	1 874	15	-	-	-
COUNTIES	743	743	729	14	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 146	1 146	1 145	1	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	1 821	1 821	1 785	36	1 751	-	1 751
STATE	3 342	1 591	1 576	15	1 751	(X)	1 751
LOCAL, TOTAL	230	230	209	21	-	-	-
COUNTIES	198	198	177	21	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	32	32	32	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 63. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 63. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1978—Continued (Thousands of dollars)

Table with 7 columns: State and type of government, Total, Direct expenditure (Total, Direct current, Capital outlay), Intergovernmental expenditure (Total, To State governments, To local governments). Rows include Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.

1 Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

2 For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A, B, C, D, AND E

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	129,644	128,435	126,943	1,492	1,209
ARIZONA					
Independent school districts:					
Phoenix Union High School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Tucson School District No. 1.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
CALIFORNIA					
Special districts:					
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland.....	620	620	568	52	-
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland.....	1,124	1,124	987	137	-
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	659	650	631	19	9
Oakland Housing Authority.....	275	275	275	-	-
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento.....	155	155	155	-	-
San Diego Unified Port District.....	1,639	1,639	1,618	21	-
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland.....	2,452	2,452	2,403	49	-
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	423	423	423	-	-
Stockton Port District.....	258	258	238	20	-
Independent school districts:					
City College of San Francisco.....	160	160	160	-	-
Compton Community College.....	109	109	109	-	-
Compton Unified School District.....	816	816	816	-	-
El Camino College, Torrance.....	230	230	228	2	-
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills.....	229	229	229	-	-
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento.....	426	426	408	18	-
Grossmont College, El Cajon.....	147	147	112	35	-
Kern High School District, Bakersfield.....	79	79	79	-	-
Long Beach Unified School District.....	89	89	88	1	-
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	1,979	1,979	1,889	90	-
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	8,010	8,010	8,010	-	-
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento.....	310	310	310	-	-
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Oakland Unified Public School District.....	242	242	242	-	-
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	318	318	318	-	-
Peralta Community College District, Oakland.....	640	640	640	-	-
Richmond Unified School District.....	395	395	389	6	-
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	235	235	235	-	-
San Bernardino Community College District.....	85	85	85	-	-
San Diego City Schools.....	583	583	574	9	-
San Mateo Junior College District.....	180	153	149	4	27
Santa Ana College.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	262	262	262	-	-
State Center Community College District, Fresno.....	170	170	168	2	-
Ventura County Community College District.....	213	213	197	16	-
COLORADO					
Independent school districts:					
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
CONNECTICUT					
Special districts:					
Hartford Metro District.....	155	155	155	-	-
Housing Authority--City of Bridgeport.....	43	43	43	-	-
Housing Authority--City of New Haven.....	333	333	333	-	-
DELAWARE					
Special districts:					
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle.....	375	375	375	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
Special districts:					
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	4,092	4,092	4,092	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
FLORIDA					
Independent school districts:					
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	200	200	200	-	-
Dade County School District, Miami.....	1,310	1,310	1,310	-	-
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	414	317	317	-	97
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	347	347	327	20	-
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	320	320	320	-	-
Miami-Dade Community College, Miami.....	49	-	-	-	49
Pensacola Junior College.....	220	220	220	-	-
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	281	281	276	5	-
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	108	108	108	-	-
GEORGIA					
Special districts:					
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	700	700	700	-	-
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	145	145	141	4	-
Northeast Georgia Medical Center, Gainesville ²	88	88	88	-	-
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	247	247	247	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Atlanta Independent School District.....	337	121	95	26	216
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	160	160	142	18	-
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	206	106	106	-	100
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	243	243	243	-	-
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	116	114	108	6	2
ILLINOIS					
Special districts:					
Chicago Housing Authority.....	4,000	3,800	3,800	-	200
Chicago Transit Authority.....	2,678	2,678	2,678	-	-
East St. Louis Park District.....	64	64	64	-	-
Metro Fair Exposition Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	1,178	1,178	1,173	5	-
Springfield Airport Authority.....	131	131	131	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Chicago Board of Education.....	2,897	2,897	2,897	-	-
Chicago City College.....	826	826	826	-	-
East St. Louis School District.....	146	146	146	-	-
Peoria Public School District.....	224	224	219	5	-
INDIANA					
Independent school districts:					
Gary Community School Corporation.....	580	580	580	-	-
Indianapolis Public School District.....	1,316	1,316	1,316	-	-
IOWA					
Independent school districts:					
Waterloo Community School District.....	105	105	105	-	-
KANSAS					
Independent school districts:					
Kansas City School District.....	200	200	200	-	-
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	153	153	153	-	-
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	470	470	470	-	-
KENTUCKY					
Independent school districts:					
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	368	368	368	-	-
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	903	903	800	103	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
LOUISIANA					
Special districts:					
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	243	243	243	-	-
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	1,000	1,000	950	50	-
Independent school districts:					
East Baton Rouge Parish School, Baton Rouge.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	674	674	674	-	-
MARYLAND					
Special districts:					
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring...	2,782	2,782	2,681	101	-
MASSACHUSETTS					
Special districts:					
Boston Housing Authority.....	995	995	995	-	-
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	1,253	1,253	1,253	-	-
MICHIGAN					
Special districts:					
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	853	804	766	38	49
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	154	-	-	-	154
Independent school districts:					
Flint City School District.....	106	-	-	-	106
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	402	402	402	-	-
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	335	335	335	-	-
Pontiac City School District.....	81	-	-	-	81
MINNESOTA					
Special districts:					
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	197	197	172	25	-
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	982	982	982	-	-
MISSOURI					
Special districts:					
Kansas City Housing Authority.....	544	544	544	-	-
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	900	900	900	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	663	663	663	-	-
Kansas City School District.....	380	380	380	-	-
St. Louis City School District.....	913	913	913	-	-
Springfield School District.....	93	93	92	1	-
NEVADA					
Independent school districts:					
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	340	340	340	-	-
NEW JERSEY					
Special districts:					
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	469	469	469	-	-
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	2,280	2,280	2,280	-	-
Housing Authority of Trenton City.....	152	152	152	-	-
NEW MEXICO					
Independent school districts:					
Albuquerque School District.....	497	497	497	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
NEW YORK					
Special districts:					
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	29,075	29,075	29,075	-	-
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	1,445	1,445	1,423	22	-
Independent school districts:					
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	124	124	124	-	-
OHIO					
Special districts:					
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	211	211	211	-	-
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	546	546	528	18	-
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	1,305	1,305	1,281	24	-
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	289	289	273	16	-
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	785	785	477	308	-
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	473	473	438	35	-
Montgomery County Park District, Dayton.....	99	99	99	-	-
Youngstown Township Park District.....	194	191	191	-	3
Independent school districts:					
Cincinnati City School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	519	519	510	9	-
Dayton City School District.....	206	206	200	6	-
OKLAHOMA					
Independent school districts:					
Oklahoma City School District.....	433	433	433	-	-
OREGON					
Special districts:					
The Port of Portland District.....	1,224	1,224	1,224	-	-
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	117	117	117	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Lane Community College.....	136	136	114	22	-
Portland School District.....	319	319	306	13	-
PENNSYLVANIA					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	5,231	5,231	5,231	-	-
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	2,481	2,481	2,481	-	-
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	683	683	683	-	-
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	359	243	243	-	116
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Philadelphia.....	670	670	670	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Pittsburgh City School District.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SOUTH CAROLINA					
Special districts:					
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	200	200	200	-	-
TENNESSEE					
Special districts:					
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, Memphis.....	813	813	804	9	-
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	691	691	690	1	-
TEXAS					
Special districts:					
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	355	355	355	-	-
Brownsville Navigation District.....	143	143	143	-	-
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	3,419	3,419	3,419	-	-
Housing Authority of the City of Houston.....	247	247	247	-	-
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	108	108	108	-	-
Port of Houston Authority.....	1,629	1,629	1,629	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
TEXAS--Continued					
Independent school districts:					
Dallas County Community College District.....	403	403	403	-	-
El Paso Independent School District.....	200	200	200	-	-
Houston Independent School District.....	1,028	1,028	994	34	-
Irving Independent School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
San Antonio Independent School District.....	425	425	425	-	-
San Antonio Community College District.....	301	301	257	44	-
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	188	188	188	-	-
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	397	397	391	6	-
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	570	570	570	-	-
UTAH					
Independent school districts:					
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	166	166	166	-	-
VIRGINIA					
Special districts:					
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	684	684	684	-	-
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	680	680	680	-	-
WASHINGTON					
Special districts:					
Port of Seattle District.....	1,994	1,994	1,957	37	-
Port of Tacoma District.....	86	86	86	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Seattle School District.....	465	465	465	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

¹When the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

²No longer has power of arrest.

³Formerly Hall County Hospital Authority.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1978

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	7,844	6,718	7,120	9,156
ARIZONA				
Independent school districts:				
Phoenix Union High School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Tucson School District No. 1.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
CALIFORNIA				
Special districts:				
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland.....	42	21	26	38
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland.....	43	39	40	34
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	42	42	42	60
Oakland Housing Authority.....	35	15	17	23
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento.....	10	10	10	14
San Diego Unified Port District.....	71	71	71	104
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland.....	126	126	126	277
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	30	30	30	33
Stockton Port District.....	17	17	17	22
Independent school districts:				
City College of San Francisco.....	49	9	12	12
Compton Community College.....	8	5	7	7
Compton Unified School District.....	74	58	68	53
El Camino College, Torrance.....	30	12	14	16
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills.....	37	4	12	17
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento.....	37	24	24	26
Grossmont College, El Cajon.....	19	1	5	10
Kern High School District, Bakersfield.....	4	4	4	7
Long Beach Unified School District.....	7	7	7	9
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	131	87	96	149
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	513	413	446	590
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento.....	21	19	20	21
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Oakland Unified Public School District.....	16	16	16	20
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	51	17	21	25
Peralta Community College District, Oakland.....	40	28	30	41
Richmond Unified School District.....	22	22	22	27
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	20	14	16	16
San Bernardino Community College District.....	7	7	7	7
San Diego City Schools.....	44	43	44	55
San Mateo Junior College District.....	27	3	6	12
Santa Ana College.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	21	16	17	19
State Center Community College District, Fresno.....	23	7	10	12
Ventura County Community College District.....	18	10	13	15
COLORADO				
Independent school districts:				
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
CONNECTICUT				
Special districts:				
Hartford Metro District.....	14	10	12	15
Housing Authority-City of Bridgeport.....	3	3	3	4
Housing Authority-City of New Haven.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
DELAWARE				
Special districts:				
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle.....	20	20	20	25
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
Special districts:				
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	255	255	255	301

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FLORIDA				
Independent school districts:				
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	10	10	10	16
Dade County School District, Miami.....	75	75	75	101
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	8	8	8	11
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	43	28	37	27
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	20	20	20	22
Miami-Dade Community College, Miami.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Pensacola Junior College.....	18	18	18	15
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	25	25	25	25
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	5	5	5	5
GEORGIA				
Special districts:				
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	71	71	71	53
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	15	14	14	14
Northeast Georgia Medical Center, Gainesville.....	9	7	7	6
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	26	24	25	18
Independent school districts:				
Atlanta Independent School District.....	9	9	9	8
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	16	13	14	11
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	13	13	13	11
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	28	26	26	19
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	10	10	10	10
ILLINOIS				
Special districts:				
Chicago Housing Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Chicago Transit Authority.....	124	124	124	215
East St. Louis Park District.....	10	8	9	5
Metro Fair Exposition Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	68	68	68	96
Springfield Airport Authority.....	16	16	16	18
Independent school districts:				
Chicago Board of Education.....	308	118	232	207
Chicago City College.....	104	4	25	33
East St. Louis School District.....	15	15	15	12
Peoria Public School District.....	18	18	18	23
INDIANA				
Independent school districts:				
Gary Community School Corporation.....	75	35	67	40
Indianapolis Public School District.....	94	75	77	82
IOWA				
Independent school districts:				
Waterloo Community School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
KANSAS				
Independent school districts:				
Kansas City School District.....	15	15	15	19
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	13	13	13	11
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	50	49	49	41
KENTUCKY				
Independent school districts:				
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	28	24	25	31
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	88	65	70	56

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA				
Special districts:				
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	17	17	17	14
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	73	69	70	77
Independent school districts:				
East Baton Rouge Parish School Board.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	15	15	15	15
MARYLAND				
Special districts:				
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring...	123	123	123	162
MASSACHUSETTS				
Special districts:				
Boston Housing Authority.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	60	60	60	90
MICHIGAN				
Special districts:				
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	50	24	33	50
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Independent school districts:				
Flint City School District.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	35	35	35	32
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	38	13	22	26
Pontiac City School District.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
MINNESOTA				
Special districts:				
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	17	15	15	21
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	70	70	70	123
MISSOURI				
Special districts:				
Kansas City Housing Authority.....	50	50	50	43
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	78	78	78	58
Independent school districts:				
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	55	46	51	51
Kansas City School District.....	43	43	43	36
St. Louis City School District.....	80	80	80	74
Springfield School District.....	7	7	7	7
NEVADA				
Independent school districts:				
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	27	23	24	27
NEW JERSEY				
Special districts:				
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	32	28	29	49
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	139	139	139	197
Housing Authority of Trenton City.....	11	11	11	11
NEW MEXICO				
Independent school districts:				
Albuquerque School District.....	33	33	33	31

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK				
Special districts:				
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	1,191	1,191	1,191	2,209
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	62	62	62	96
Independent school districts:				
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	17	4	10	9
OHIO				
Special districts:				
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	20	19	19	20
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	49	49	49	57
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	76	64	68	77
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	33	19	26	23
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	46	46	46	30
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	32	32	32	36
Montgomery County Park District, Dayton.....	11	6	8	9
Youngstown Township Park District.....	25	14	13	15
Independent school districts:				
Cincinnati City School District.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	42	28	36	39
Dayton City School District.....	17	17	17	19
OKLAHOMA				
Independent school districts:				
Oklahoma City School District.....	44	44	44	33
OREGON				
Special districts:				
The Port of Portland District.....	48	44	43	73
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	8	8	8	12
Independent school districts:				
Lane Community College.....	33	8	14	12
Portland School District.....	21	21	21	26
PENNSYLVANIA				
Special districts:				
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	145	145	145	213
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	221	221	221	233
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	38	38	38	34
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Philadelphia.....	27	27	27	39
Independent school districts:				
Pittsburgh City School District.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SOUTH CAROLINA				
Special districts:				
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	9	9	9	8
TENNESSEE				
Special districts:				
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, Memphis.....	45	45	45	45
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	52	52	52	63
TEXAS				
Special districts:				
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	31	31	31	29
Brownsville Navigation District.....	19	19	19	12
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	210	210	210	258
Housing Authority of the City of Houston.....	66	-	35	24
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Port of Houston Authority.....	108	108	108	139

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1978—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS--Continued				
Independent school districts:				
Dallas County Community College District.....	46	46	46	45
El Paso Independent School District.....	40	40	40	36
Houston Independent School District.....	72	69	71	68
Irving Independent School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
San Antonio Independent School District.....	54	54	54	36
San Antonio Community College District.....	58	42	43	26
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	16	12	14	11
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	36	36	36	32
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	76	75	75	49
UTAH				
Independent school districts:				
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	17	12	15	13
VIRGINIA				
Special districts:				
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	67	65	66	57
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	73	67	70	62
WASHINGTON				
Special districts:				
Port of Seattle District.....	94	94	94	179
Port of Tacoma District.....	8	4	5	7
Independent school districts:				
Seattle School District.....	34	34	34	32

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 NA Not available.
¹When the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.
²No longer has power of arrest.
³Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.
⁴Formerly Hall County Hospital Authority.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	142,164	141,360	138,616	2,744	804
Alabama:					
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	197	197	197	-	-
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	8	8	8	-	-
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	212	212	212	-	-
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	10	10	10	-	-
Auburn University, Auburn.....	400	400	400	-	-
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	31	31	31	-	-
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	34	34	34	-	-
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	42	42	42	-	-
George C. Wallace State Junior College, Dothan.....	50	50	50	-	-
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	82	82	82	-	-
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	159	159	159	-	-
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Livingston University, Livingston.....	85	85	85	-	-
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	25	25	25	-	-
Northwest Alabama Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	24	24	24	-	-
Troy State University, Troy.....	90	87	87	-	3
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	1,127	1,127	1,127	-	-
University of Alabama, University.....	431	431	431	-	-
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	88	88	88	-	-
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	87	87	87	-	-
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	132	132	126	6	-
Alaska:					
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Arizona:					
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	752	752	726	26	-
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	349	349	339	10	-
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	1,111	1,111	1,075	36	-
Arkansas:					
Arkansas Tech University, Russellville.....	84	84	84	-	-
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	8	8	8	-	-
Arkansas State University, State University.....	148	148	148	-	-
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	52	52	51	1	-
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	415	415	395	20	-
University of Arkansas Medical Center, Little Rock.....	180	180	179	1	-
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	63	63	57	6	-
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	105	105	105	-	-
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	144	144	138	6	-
California:					
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	299	299	294	5	-
California State College, Bakersfield.....	194	194	194	-	-
California State College, San Bernardino.....	267	266	266	-	1
California State College, Sonoma.....	234	234	234	-	-
California State College, Stanislaus.....	155	155	155	-	-
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	359	359	359	-	-
California State University, Chico.....	245	245	245	-	-
California State University, Dominguez Hills.....	525	525	525	-	-
California State University, Fresno.....	252	252	251	1	-
California State University, Fullerton.....	319	319	319	-	-
California State University, Hayward.....	325	325	317	8	-
California State University, Long Beach.....	1,586	1,586	1,468	118	-
California State University, Los Angeles.....	791	791	791	-	-
California State University, Northridge.....	686	686	676	10	-
California State University, Sacramento.....	527	527	497	30	-
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	261	261	261	-	-
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	658	658	647	11	-
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	380	380	380	-	-
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	589	589	584	5	-
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	6,811	6,811	6,811	-	-
Colorado:					
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	106	103	101	2	3
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	77	77	77	-	-
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	498	498	471	27	-
Lamar Community College, Lamar.....	24	24	24	-	-
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	8	-	-	-	8
Pikes Peak Community College, Colorado Springs ³	107	107	107	-	-
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	1,040	1,028	1,009	19	12
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley.....	227	227	227	-	-
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	177	177	177	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Connecticut:					
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	246	246	246	-	-
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	111	111	111	-	-
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	82	82	82	-	-
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	5	-	-	-	5
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	246	246	246	-	-
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	578	578	578	-	-
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	137	137	134	3	-
Delaware:					
University of Delaware, Newark.....	905	905	896	9	-
Florida:					
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	285	285	279	6	-
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	249	249	245	4	-
Florida International University, Miami.....	667	667	656	11	-
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	692	692	692	-	-
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	295	295	295	-	-
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	930	930	909	21	-
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	238	238	237	1	-
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	727	727	702	25	-
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	185	185	183	2	-
Georgia:					
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	65	65	63	2	-
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	34	34	34	-	-
Albany State College, Albany.....	140	140	131	9	-
Augusta College, Augusta.....	85	85	85	-	-
Columbus College, Columbus.....	80	80	80	-	-
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	158	158	158	-	-
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	73	73	73	-	-
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	722	722	700	22	-
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	150	150	143	7	-
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	98	98	98	-	-
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	905	905	861	44	-
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	425	425	425	-	-
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	63	63	63	-	-
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	48	48	48	-	-
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	89	89	89	-	-
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	49	49	49	-	-
University of Georgia, Athens.....	577	577	575	2	-
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	183	183	183	-	-
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	186	186	183	3	-
Idaho:					
Boise State University, Boise.....	30	-	-	-	30
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	60	60	60	-	-
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	168	61	48	13	107
Illinois:					
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	361	361	361	-	-
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	235	235	235	-	-
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	294	294	294	-	-
Illinois State University, Normal.....	407	407	407	-	-
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	263	263	260	3	-
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	609	603	603	-	6
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	128	128	128	-	-
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	921	921	918	3	-
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	560	560	557	3	-
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	810	810	810	-	-
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	1,022	1,016	1,002	14	6
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	845	845	845	-	-
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	342	342	342	-	-
Indiana:					
Ball State University, Muncie.....	687	687	647	40	-
Indiana Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	856	856	821	35	-
Indiana Purdue University, Fort Wayne.....	120	120	120	-	-
Indiana State School for Deaf, Indianapolis.....	77	77	77	-	-
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	351	351	346	5	-
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	1,138	1,138	1,116	22	-
Indiana University-Northwest, Gary.....	122	122	122	-	-
Indiana University-Southeast, New Albany.....	76	76	76	-	-
Indiana University, South Bend.....	87	87	87	-	-
Purdue University-Calumet Campus, Hammond.....	139	139	139	-	-
Purdue University, West Lafayette.....	795	795	756	39	-
Purdue University-North Central Campus, Westville.....	83	83	83	-	-
Vincennes University, Vincennes.....	120	120	120	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Iowa:					
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	488	488	488	-	-
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	718	718	718	-	-
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	405	405	405	-	-
Kansas:					
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	194	194	194	-	-
Fort Hays Kansas State University, Hays.....	120	120	118	2	-
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan.....	336	336	335	1	-
Pittsburg State University, Pittsburg.....	154	154	142	12	-
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	791	791	729	62	-
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	300	300	300	-	-
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	378	378	370	8	-
Kentucky:					
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	496	496	475	21	-
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	132	132	132	-	-
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	153	141	141	-	12
Murray State University, Murray.....	174	174	174	-	-
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	996	996	996	-	-
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	411	411	408	3	-
Louisiana:					
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	153	153	153	-	-
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	194	194	181	13	-
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	633	633	592	41	-
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	265	265	250	15	-
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	196	196	196	-	-
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	101	101	101	-	-
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	144	144	143	1	-
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	190	190	184	6	-
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	167	167	165	2	-
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	233	233	211	22	-
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	362	362	362	-	-
Southern University, New Orleans.....	120	120	120	-	-
Southern University, Shreveport.....	59	59	59	-	-
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	297	297	291	6	-
Maine:					
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	28	28	28	-	-
University of Maine, Farmington.....	40	40	40	-	-
University of Maine at Orono-Machias-Presque Isle Campuses, Bangor.....	433	433	433	-	-
University of Maine at Portland-Gorham, Gorham.....	120	120	120	-	-
Maryland:					
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	227	227	227	-	-
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	237	237	237	-	-
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	214	214	214	-	-
Morgan State College, Baltimore.....	449	449	449	-	-
Saint Mary's College of Maryland, St. Mary's City.....	136	136	136	-	-
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	167	167	167	-	-
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	414	414	414	-	-
University of Baltimore, Baltimore.....	178	178	178	-	-
University of Maryland, College Park.....	1,384	1,384	1,384	-	-
Massachusetts:					
Boston State College, Boston.....	348	348	344	4	-
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	98	98	98	-	-
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	67	67	67	-	-
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	142	142	142	-	-
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	117	117	117	-	-
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	65	65	65	-	-
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	149	149	149	-	-
Massachusetts College of Art, Boston.....	156	156	156	-	-
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	69	69	69	-	-
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	91	91	91	-	-
Middlesex Community College, Bedford.....	33	33	30	3	-
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	79	79	79	-	-
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	94	94	94	-	-
Northern Essex Community College, Haverhill.....	44	44	44	-	-
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	83	83	83	-	-
Salem State College, Salem.....	178	178	178	-	-
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	264	264	264	-	-
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	166	165	165	-	1
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	280	280	280	-	-
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	907	907	886	21	-
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	105	105	105	-	-
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	71	71	61	10	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Michigan:					
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	277	277	277	-	-
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	939	939	685	254	-
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	325	325	322	3	-
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	152	152	152	-	-
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	1,108	1,108	1,052	56	-
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	140	140	140	-	-
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	282	282	282	-	-
Oakland University, Rochester.....	330	330	327	3	-
Saginaw Valley State College, University Center.....	84	84	84	-	-
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	503	230	230	-	273
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	1,206	1,206	1,155	51	-
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	857	851	845	6	6
Minnesota:					
Bemidji State University, Bemidji.....	24	-	-	-	24
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	1,327	1,327	1,327	-	-
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	5	-	-	-	5
Mississippi:					
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	122	122	122	-	-
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	94	94	94	-	-
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	228	228	217	11	-
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	223	223	216	7	-
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	65	65	64	1	-
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	102	102	102	-	-
University of Mississippi, University.....	291	291	291	-	-
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	451	451	438	13	-
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	366	366	366	-	-
Missouri:					
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	179	179	176	3	-
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	43	43	43	-	-
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	51	51	51	-	-
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	149	149	149	-	-
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	138	138	138	-	-
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	121	121	118	3	-
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	652	652	652	-	-
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	490	490	490	-	-
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	242	242	242	-	-
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	414	414	414	-	-
Montana:					
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	98	98	98	-	-
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	148	148	148	-	-
University of Montana, Missoula.....	140	140	140	-	-
Nebraska:					
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	82	82	82	-	-
Peru State College, Peru.....	12	12	12	-	-
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	601	601	601	-	-
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	42	42	42	-	-
Nevada:					
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	148	146	147	1	-
University of Nevada, Reno.....	248	248	230	18	-
New Hampshire:					
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	10	10	10	-	-
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	578	578	557	21	-
New Jersey:					
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	1,319	1,319	1,319	-	-
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	417	417	417	-	-
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	391	391	391	-	-
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	464	464	464	-	-
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark.....	380	380	380	-	-
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	279	279	279	-	-
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	249	249	249	-	-
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	2,338	2,338	2,338	-	-
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	468	468	468	-	-
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	539	539	516	23	-
New Mexico:					
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	79	79	79	-	-
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	104	104	104	-	-
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	48	48	48	-	-
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	362	362	322	40	-
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	763	763	760	3	-
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	33	33	32	1	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
New York:					
State University of New York, Albany.....	775	775	750	25	-
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	414	414	414	-	-
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	1,283	1,283	1,259	24	-
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	930	930	845	85	-
State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	964	964	671	293	-
State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	145	145	145	-	-
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	356	356	348	8	-
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	486	486	483	3	-
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	226	226	226	-	-
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	198	198	198	-	-
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	254	254	254	-	-
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	256	256	256	-	-
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	432	432	432	-	-
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	340	340	340	-	-
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	323	323	323	-	-
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	436	436	436	-	-
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	205	205	205	-	-
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	233	233	233	-	-
State University of New York College of Tech., Utica-Rome.....	32	32	24	8	-
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	189	189	189	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	222	222	218	4	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	181	181	180	1	-
Cobleskill.....	128	128	128	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	167	167	161	6	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	318	318	318	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	128	128	128	-	-
North Carolina:					
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	184	184	184	-	-
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	344	344	268	76	-
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	115	115	113	2	-
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	102	102	102	-	-
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	218	218	218	-	-
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	197	197	190	7	-
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	367	367	367	-	-
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	81	81	81	-	-
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	86	86	86	-	-
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	483	483	468	15	-
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	318	318	318	-	-
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	286	286	286	-	-
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	126	126	121	5	-
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	145	145	145	-	-
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	90	90	90	-	-
North Dakota:					
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	38	38	38	-	-
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	169	160	160	9	-
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	166	164	156	8	2
Ohio:					
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	274	274	274	-	-
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	155	155	155	-	-
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	596	596	593	3	-
Kent State University, Kent.....	579	579	570	9	-
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Miami University, Oxford.....	575	575	575	-	-
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	1,261	1,261	1,234	27	-
Ohio University, Athens.....	369	369	369	-	-
University of Akron, Akron.....	406	406	400	6	-
University of Toledo.....	475	475	471	4	-
Wright State University, Dayton.....	327	327	326	1	-
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	318	318	318	-	-
Oklahoma:					
Cameron University, Lawton.....	54	54	54	-	-
Central State University, Edmond.....	110	110	101	9	-
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	16	16	16	-	-
Langston University, Langston.....	100	100	100	-	-
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	21	21	21	-	-
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	116	116	110	6	-
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	20	20	20	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Oklahoma--Continued					
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	9	9	9	-	-
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	491	491	491	-	-
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	55	55	55	-	-
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	91	91	82	9	-
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	448	448	448	-	-
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	357	357	357	-	-
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	23	23	23	-	-
Oregon:					
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	303	303	303	-	-
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	663	514	514	-	149
Pennsylvania:					
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	225	225	225	-	-
California State College, California.....	178	178	178	-	-
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	219	219	218	1	-
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	260	260	260	-	-
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	171	171	170	1	-
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	245	245	245	-	-
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	280	280	280	-	-
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	156	156	156	-	-
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	111	111	111	-	-
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	170	170	170	-	-
Millersville State College, Millersville State.....	265	265	264	1	-
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	1,491	1,457	1,457	34	-
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	234	234	231	3	-
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	286	286	286	-	-
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	326	326	321	5	-
Rhode Island:					
University of Rhode Island, Kingston.....	489	489	477	12	-
South Carolina:					
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	148	148	148	-	-
Clemson University, Clemson.....	362	362	309	53	-
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	67	67	66	1	-
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	377	377	377	-	-
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	179	179	179	-	-
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	872	872	839	33	-
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	231	231	231	-	-
South Dakota:					
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	104	104	95	9	-
Tennessee:					
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	117	117	115	2	-
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	170	170	170	-	-
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	629	629	610	19	-
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	275	275	275	-	-
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	28	28	28	-	-
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	259	259	251	8	-
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	137	137	137	-	-
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	1,693	1,693	1,693	-	-
Walters State Community College, Morristown.....	45	45	45	-	-
Texas:					
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	99	99	95	4	-
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	301	301	261	40	-
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	273	273	262	11	-
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	106	106	106	-	-
North Texas State University, Denton.....	359	359	340	19	-
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	107	107	107	-	-
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	147	147	147	-	-
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	186	186	186	-	-
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	170	170	132	38	-
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	249	249	249	-	-
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	73	73	73	-	-
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	62	62	62	-	-
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	247	244	244	-	3
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	736	736	736	-	-
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	338	338	322	16	-
Texas State Technical Institute--James Connolly Campus, Waco.....	234	234	200	34	-
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	600	600	582	18	-
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	191	191	187	4	-
University of Houston, Houston.....	725	725	676	49	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Texas--Continued					
University of Texas, Arlington.....	525	525	518	7	-
University of Texas, Austin.....	1,687	1,687	1,670	17	-
University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson.....	264	264	264	-	-
University of Texas, El Paso.....	321	321	321	-	-
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	680	680	677	3	-
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	565	565	565	-	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	346	346	340	6	-
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	91	91	86	5	-
Utah:					
Dixie College, Saint George.....	22	22	21	1	-
Snow College, Ephraim.....	34	34	34	-	-
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	20	20	20	-	-
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	969	969	969	-	-
Utah State University, Logan.....	35	-	-	-	35
Weber State College, Ogden.....	181	181	153	28	-
Vermont:					
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	46	46	45	1	-
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	56	56	56	-	-
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	449	449	449	-	-
Virginia:					
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	22	22	22	-	-
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	54	54	54	-	-
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	285	285	285	-	-
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	19	19	19	-	-
Danville Community College, Danville.....	52	52	52	-	-
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	249	249	249	-	-
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	81	81	81	-	-
James Madison University, Harrisonburg.....	187	187	187	-	-
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	143	143	143	-	-
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	272	272	272	-	-
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	449	449	449	-	-
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	343	343	343	-	-
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	29	29	29	-	-
Radford College, Radford.....	204	204	196	8	-
Southside Virginia Community College, Alberta.....	49	49	49	-	-
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	47	47	47	-	-
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	71	71	71	-	-
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	730	730	709	21	-
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	948	948	948	-	-
Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon.....	19	19	19	-	-
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	71	71	71	-	-
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	475	475	475	-	-
Virginia School at Hampton.....	14	14	14	-	-
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	127	127	127	-	-
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	96	96	96	-	-
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	21	21	21	-	-
Washington:					
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	185	185	182	3	-
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	217	193	193	-	24
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	60	60	53	7	-
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	106	106	106	-	-
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	133	133	133	-	-
University of Washington, Seattle.....	1,444	1,444	1,435	9	-
Washington State University, Pullman.....	328	328	319	9	-
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	306	306	297	9	-
West Virginia:					
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	12	12	12	-	-
Concord College, Athens.....	49	49	49	-	-
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	65	65	65	-	-
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	39	39	39	-	-
Marshall University, Huntington.....	241	241	228	13	-
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	28	28	28	-	-
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	57	57	57	-	-
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	52	52	52	-	-
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	53	53	53	-	-
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	366	366	366	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1978—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Wisconsin:					
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	180	180	175	5	-
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	142	142	142	-	-
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	115	115	113	2	-
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	1,466	1,466	1,436	30	-
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	506	506	500	6	-
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	183	183	180	3	-
University of Wisconsin--Parkside, Kenosha.....	186	186	180	6	-
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	85	85	84	1	-
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	103	103	97	6	-
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Wisconsin--Stout, Menomonie.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	147	67	66	1	80
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	176	176	166	10	-
Wyoming:					
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	257	257	256	1	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 NA Not available.
¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.
²No longer has power of arrest.
³Formerly El Paso Community College.
⁴Formerly Kansas State College.
⁵Merged with University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.
⁶Formerly Madison College.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	12,911	9,894	10,463	11,931
Alabama:				
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	19	19	19	14
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	1	1	1	1
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	19	14	15	18
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	1	1	1	1
Auburn University, Auburn.....	28	28	28	32
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	5	3	4	3
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	4	4	4	3
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	7	6	6	6
George C. Wallace State Community College, Dothan.....	6	6	6	4
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	15	9	11	8
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	21	17	18	18
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Livingston University, Livingston.....	4	4	4	4
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	3	2	2	2
Northwest Alabama State Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	4	1	2	2
Troy State University, Troy.....	7	7	7	6
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	63	63	63	65
University of Alabama, University.....	69	34	37	35
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	13	9	11	10
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	11	8	8	10
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	13	12	12	9
Alaska:				
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Arizona:				
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	66	48	51	61
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	36	28	29	29
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	98	84	85	91
Arkansas:				
Arkansas Tech College, Russellville.....	8	8	8	7
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	1	1	1	1
Arkansas State University, State University.....	17	15	16	11
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	6	5	5	4
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	53	32	40	29
University of Arkansas Medical Center, Little Rock.....	31	23	28	24
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	9	6	7	5
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	10	10	10	6
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	24	15	18	13
California:				
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	30	14	15	24
California State College, Bakersfield.....	13	8	10	15
California State College, San Bernardino.....	17	17	17	22
California State College, Sonoma.....	13	13	13	17
California State College, Stanislaus.....	9	9	9	13
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	33	23	25	34
California State University, Chico.....	22	11	12	18
California State University, Dominguez Hills.....	25	15	17	27
California State University, Fresno.....	15	13	14	20
California State University, Fullerton.....	12	11	12	19
California State University, Hayward.....	26	18	19	26
California State University, Long Beach.....	47	36	38	52
California State University, Los Angeles.....	64	48	52	59
California State University, Northridge.....	39	32	34	42
California State University, Sacramento.....	38	27	29	39
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	17	16	16	23
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	27	26	26	39
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	19	19	19	26
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	45	23	31	46
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	391	391	391	580
Colorado:				
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	12	5	6	10
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	5	5	5	6
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	39	25	27	37
Lamar Community College, Lamar.....	2	1	2	2
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Pikes Peak Community College, Colorado Springs.....	11	7	8	9
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	108	50	58	78
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley.....	37	11	18	19
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	10	10	10	14

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Connecticut:				
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	25	25	25	21
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	8	8	8	9
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	5	5	5	5
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	30	20	22	22
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	70	40	44	43
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	15	9	10	9
Delaware:				
University of Delaware, Newark.....	94	50	56	77
Florida:				
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	19	19	19	26
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	20	20	20	20
Florida International University, Miami.....	35	34	35	40
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	45	43	43	55
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	24	24	24	25
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	79	61	64	90
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	18	18	18	23
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	83	67	71	62
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	16	14	14	20
Georgia:				
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	7	7	7	5
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Albany State College, Albany.....	15	15	15	11
Augusta College, Augusta.....	11	11	11	10
Columbus College, Columbus.....	17	10	12	7
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	9	9	9	6
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	9	9	9	6
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	119	57	66	64
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	19	19	19	15
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	12	11	12	8
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	76	69	71	70
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	50	50	50	31
Middle College of Georgia, Cochran.....	9	8	8	7
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	7	7	7	5
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	10	10	10	7
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	6	6	6	4
University of Georgia, Athens.....	50	50	50	42
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	18	16	17	12
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	21	19	20	14
Idaho:				
Boise State University, Boise.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	6	6	6	5
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	5	5	5	4
Illinois:				
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	31	18	21	26
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	18	18	18	28
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	17	17	17	21
Illinois State University, Normal.....	32	32	32	33
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	25	14	18	24
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	43	34	35	49
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	8	8	8	8
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	73	54	57	95
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	39	29	32	38
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	56	43	45	56
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	65	65	65	66
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	48	48	48	60
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	23	23	23	30
Indiana:				
Ball State University, Muncie.....	73	43	53	62
Indiana Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	71	65	71	74
Indiana Purdue University, Fort Wayne.....	15	8	10	9
Indiana State School for Deaf, Indianapolis.....	9	9	9	6
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	41	30	32	33
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	120	76	79	84
Indiana University Northwest, Gary.....	13	11	11	11
Indiana University Southeast, New Albany.....	6	5	5	5
Indiana University, South Bend.....	9	8	8	6
Purdue University—Calumet Campus, Hammond.....	14	9	10	10
Purdue University, West Lafayette.....	49	47	47	45
Purdue University—North Central Campus, Westville.....	8	7	7	6
Vincennes University, Vincennes.....	9	9	9	8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Iowa:				
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	34	31	31	36
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	66	53	54	75
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	25	23	24	27
Kansas:				
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	59	12	18	16
Fort Hays Kansas State University, Hays.....	11	10	10	9
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan.....	34	31	32	36
Pittsburgh State University, Pittsburgh.....	20	14	16	14
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	106	63	71	80
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	36	32	34	26
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	51	24	31	28
Kentucky:				
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	47	37	43	31
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	20	20	20	17
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	13	12	13	11
Murray State University, Murray.....	50	10	26	21
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	77	69	70	62
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	66	32	36	38
Louisiana:				
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	17	17	17	12
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	14	14	14	13
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	63	40	47	44
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	34	34	34	40
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	16	16	16	13
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	11	11	11	9
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	12	10	12	12
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	15	15	15	14
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	18	11	13	13
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	21	16	18	17
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	36	36	36	29
Southern University, New Orleans.....	10	10	10	9
Southern University, Shreveport.....	5	5	5	4
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	42	20	25	25
Maine:				
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
University of Maine, Farmington.....	4	4	4	5
University of Maine at Orono—Mathias—Presque Isle Campuses, Bangor.....	51	51	51	42
University of Maine at Portland—Gorham, Gorham.....	12	9	10	8
Maryland:				
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	17	17	17	24
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	21	21	21	23
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	16	16	16	19
Morgan State College, Baltimore.....	31	31	31	32
Saint Mary's College of Maryland, St. Mary's City.....	11	11	11	12
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	28	15	16	16
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	43	35	37	35
University of Baltimore, Baltimore.....	17	12	14	13
University of Maryland, College Park.....	78	78	78	119
Massachusetts:				
Boston State College, Boston.....	2	2	2	3
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	10	10	10	10
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	9	5	7	7
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	12	12	12	11
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	11	11	11	10
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	2	2	2	2
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	13	13	13	11
Massachusetts College of Art, Boston.....	3	3	3	3
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	7	7	7	6
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	3	3	3	3
Middlesex Community College, Bedford.....	7	3	5	4
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	8	8	8	7
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	14	9	11	10
Northern Essex Community College, Haverhill.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Quisigamond Community College, Worcester.....	7	7	7	6
Salem State College, Salem.....	16	16	16	14
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	27	27	27	21
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	13	13	13	12
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	24	23	23	23
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	79	79	79	62
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	11	11	11	11
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	6	6	6	4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Michigan:				
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	28	28	28	33
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	85	24	32	40
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	30	16	18	20
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	13	7	7	11
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	220	46	55	88
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	9	9	9	9
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	32	14	16	22
Oakland University, Rochester.....	30	17	21	15
Saginaw Valley State College, University Center.....	8	7	7	7
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	22	20	21	32
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	64	56	57	92
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	63	47	50	70
Minnesota:				
Bemidji State University, Bemidji.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	101	61	68	106
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Mississippi:				
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	18	18	18	17
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	9	9	9	7
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	26	26	26	20
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	30	22	24	16
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	9	7	8	9
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	15	15	15	9
University of Mississippi, University.....	26	26	26	22
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	52	52	52	37
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	42	39	40	30
Missouri:				
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	25	19	22	15
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	6	6	6	4
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	9	7	8	5
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	22	16	12	12
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	18	14	14	12
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	10	8	8	7
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	74	40	50	54
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	57	51	52	48
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	22	22	22	17
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	27	25	26	24
Montana:				
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	10	8	8	8
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	13	11	12	11
University of Montana, Missoula.....	11	11	11	9
Nebraska:				
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	19	7	9	7
Peru State College, Peru.....	2	1	1	1
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	62	50	57	52
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	4	4	4	4
Nevada:				
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	10	9	9	12
University of Nevada, Reno.....	22	14	16	19
New Hampshire:				
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	1	1	1	1
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	51	49	49	41
New Jersey:				
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	128	120	124	135
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	49	35	38	36
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	48	32	35	36
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	28	27	27	28
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark.....	12	12	12	12
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	21	21	21	19
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	20	16	17	18
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	169	144	146	155
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	32	29	30	31
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	37	37	37	38
New Mexico:				
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	16	4	6	6
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	15	12	13	8
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	5	5	5	4
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	17	17	17	19
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	75	51	53	50
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	5	3	3	2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
New York:				
State University of New York, Albany.....	54	47	49	59
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	26	26	26	32
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	86	79	80	101
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	126	87	91	132
State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	67	67	67	67
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	12	12	12	11
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	24	24	24	31
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	58	33	40	43
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	17	17	17	20
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	15	15	15	18
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	19	19	19	22
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	15	15	15	19
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	25	21	22	33
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	21	21	21	26
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	22	22	22	25
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	21	21	21	24
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	16	14	14	16
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	43	21	30	29
State University of New York College of Tech., Utica-Rome.....	8	7	7	8
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	33	10	12	14
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	17	17	17	19
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	12	12	12	15
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	9	9	9	12
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	14	11	12	13
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	22	21	21	27
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	14	9	9	11
North Carolina:				
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	12	12	12	11
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	29	27	27	25
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	11	11	11	9
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	10	10	10	8
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	21	21	21	19
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	17	17	17	16
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	23	23	23	36
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	8	8	8	7
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	9	8	9	7
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	107	35	41	43
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	23	23	23	23
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	21	21	21	23
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	18	10	12	10
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	12	12	12	12
North Dakota:	8	8	8	6
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	6	2	3	3
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	17	10	12	12
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	29	10	13	13
Ohio:				
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	23	23	23	21
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	11	11	11	12
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	54	46	47	51
Kent State University, Kent.....	42	40	41	61
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	(¹)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Miami University, Oxford.....	60	43	46	51
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	67	57	59	72
Ohio University, Athens.....	28	28	28	30
University of Akron, Akron.....	25	25	25	31
University of Toledo, Toledo.....	62	32	34	37
Wright State University, Dayton.....	21	17	19	19
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	37	17	20	29
Oklahoma:				
Cameron University, Lawton.....	7	7	7	5
Central State University, Edmond.....	16	13	13	10
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	2	1	2	1
Langston University, Langston.....	8	5	6	4
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	3	2	3	2
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	19	11	13	10
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	3	2	2	2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Oklahoma--Continued				
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	2	-	1	1
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	47	35	38	35
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	13	5	6	5
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	30	2	14	15
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	48	35	39	46
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	35	33	34	33
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	5	4	4	2
Oregon:				
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	23	22	23	20
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	56	26	29	36
Pennsylvania:				
Bloomburg State College, Bloomburg.....	17	17	17	19
California State College, California.....	14	14	14	14
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	17	17	17	17
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	13	13	13	14
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	13	13	13	14
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	20	20	20	22
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	22	22	23	23
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	4	4	4	5
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	7	7	7	8
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	13	13	13	12
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	93	15	22	23
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	203	135	145	152
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	15	15	15	18
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	22	14	15	14
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	20	20	20	22
Rhode Island:				
University of Rhode Island, Kingston.....	40	26	28	35
South Carolina:				
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	17	11	13	15
Clemson University, Clemson.....	27	27	27	25
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	10	6	6	8
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	45	45	45	42
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	14	14	14	14
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	149	72	76	70
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	24	24	24	20
South Dakota:				
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	24	8	11	8
Tennessee:				
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	15	12	13	10
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	26	16	20	16
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	55	55	55	66
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	47	28	33	28
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	4	4	4	2
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	25	25	25	22
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	19	19	19	13
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	103	103	103	125
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	7	2	6	4
Texas:				
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	16	11	11	9
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	24	24	24	18
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	28	24	25	17
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	8	8	8	8
North Texas State University, Denton.....	49	27	32	31
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	17	11	12	8
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	26	17	18	12
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	20	14	16	15
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	46	17	18	16
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	44	23	28	23
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	6	6	6	4
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	8	7	7	5
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	26	24	25	17
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	64	48	50	59
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	26	25	26	21
Texas State Technical Institute--James Connolly Campus, Waco.....	22	21	21	10
Texas Technical University Lubbock.....	51	51	51	43
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	30	21	24	24
University of Houston, Houston.....	61	53	54	49

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Texas--Continued				
University of Texas, Arlington.....	37	35	35	30
University of Texas, Austin.....	160	137	141	113
University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson.....	21	21	21	20
University of Texas, El Paso.....	42	36	37	31
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	66	66	66	61
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	46	46	46	41
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	30	30	30	27
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	13	10	11	8
Utah:				
Dixie College, Saint George.....	6	2	2	2
Snow College, Ephraim.....	5	2	3	3
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	10	2	3	4
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	36	33	33	39
Utah State University, Logan.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Weber State College, Ogden.....	38	8	13	19
Vermont:				
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	31	2	5	5
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	25	1	3	3
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	33	33	33	34
Virginia:				
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	2	2	2	2
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	4	4	4	3
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	36	24	27	25
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	2	2	2	2
Danville Community College, Danville.....	2	1	2	-
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	21	18	19	20
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	10	5	8	7
James Madison University, Harrisonburg.....	53	19	23	24
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	16	11	12	16
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	30	28	28	25
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	38	27	32	24
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	33	31	32	29
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Radford College, Radford.....	19	19	19	17
Southside Virginia Community College, Albemarle.....	9	5	7	6
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	5	5	5	4
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	8	8	8	6
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	68	65	66	69
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	121	76	91	104
Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon.....	17	2	4	4
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	6	6	6	6
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	37	37	37	35
Virginia School at Hampton.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	14	12	13	9
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	8	7	8	7
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	2	2	2	2
Washington:				
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	14	11	12	14
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	31	9	11	16
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	9	5	6	7
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	6	6	6	6
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	10	9	9	10
University of Washington, Seattle.....	98	88	90	141
Washington State University, Pullman.....	25	20	21	24
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	35	15	21	22
West Virginia:				
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	1	1	1	-
Concord College, Athens.....	5	5	5	5
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	6	6	6	5
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	4	4	4	3
Marshall University, Huntington.....	27	27	27	23
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	4	4	4	3
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	26	5	6	5
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	6	6	6	7
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	11	9	10	8
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	33	33	33	30

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, of State governments, October 1978—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Wisconsin:				
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	11	10	11	12
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	12	12	12	11
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	8	8	8	9
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	93	86	88	112
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	33	31	31	52
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	17	14	15	15
University of Wisconsin--Parkside, Kenosha.....	18	12	13	13
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	5	5	5	7
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	15	7	8	9
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Wisconsin--Stout, Menomonie.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	6	6	6	7
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	15	15	15	15
Wyoming:				
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	21	20	20	19

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 NA Not available.
¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.
²No longer has power of arrest.
³Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.
⁴Formerly El Paso Community College.
⁵Formerly Kansas State College.
⁶Merged with University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.
⁷Formerly Madison College.

APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, 343 largest counties, and 496 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments in the mail portion of the survey.

Government expenditure

Expenditure is all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt (including interest), investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed because of the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions of criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above, and neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total

contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the "variable pass-through" requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

1. **Direct expenditure** is all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:

- a. **Direct current**, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.
- b. **Capital outlay**, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

Construction: Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations thereto undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, landscaping, and other site improvement, and provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

Equipment: Purchase and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as direct current expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

Purchase of land and existing structures: Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

Table E. Criminal justice system expenditure, employment, and payrolls of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, by criminal justice activity, 1978

Criminal justice activity	Direct expenditures fiscal year 1978						Total number of employees November 1978	Total November payrolls 1978
	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay					
			Total	Equipment	Construction	Land ¹		
Total criminal justice system.....	175,464,000	168,823,000	6,641,000	3,667,000	2,974,000	-	16,435	10,558,407
Police protection.....	92,365,000	90,246,000	2,119,000	2,119,000	-	-	9,812	6,356,177
Judicial.....	32,419,000	31,163,000	1,256,000	1,256,000	-	-	2,240	1,525,158
Legal services and prosecution.....	11,281,000	11,191,000	70,000	70,000	-	-	807	702,570
Public defense.....	1,176,000	1,176,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corrections, total.....	34,600,000	31,433,000	3,167,000	193,000	2,974,000	-	3,459	1,896,083
Institutions.....	27,466,000	24,333,000	3,133,000	159,000	2,974,000	-	2,822	1,461,774
Probation and parole.....	4,047,000	4,032,000	15,000	15,000	-	-	482	326,960
Miscellaneous.....	3,087,000	3,068,000	19,000	19,000	-	-	155	107,349
Other criminal justice.....	3,643,000	3,614,000	29,000	29,000	-	-	117	78,419

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

The other object categories—interest on general debt, assistance and subsidies, and insurance benefits—are not applied to specific functions because they are not ordinarily available on a functional basis from government financial reports. In the few instances where bonded or mortgaged general indebtedness is identified for specific purposes, the interest payments are aggregated with other interest expenditures which make it virtually impossible to arrive at reliable and consistent breakouts of such data over a long period of time.

2. **Intergovernmental expenditure** is payments from one general purpose government to another, including grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, and amounts for services performed by one government for another on a reimbursable or cost-sharing basis (for example, payments by one government to another for boarding prisoners).

Total expenditure is direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities.

Total general expenditure is all expenditure of a government or level of government for all government functions, including criminal justice activities, but excluding utility system expenditure, liquor store expenditure, and insurance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure shown for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments, and excludes expenditure of special districts and school districts.

Government employment

Employees includes all persons paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and contractors. Under this definition are two classes:

1. **Full-time employees**, who are all persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1978, on a full-time basis, including all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period, as well as persons having permanent status.

2. **Part-time employees**, who are persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1978, on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

Derived from these two classes is:

Full-time equivalent employees, which means the total number of employees, discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. This is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the results by the number of full-time employees, where both full-time and part-time employees and payroll are reported. Where only part-time

employees and payroll are reported, full-time equivalent employees are calculated by dividing the total part-time payroll by the average full-time salary for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government. **Average full-time salary** is calculated by dividing the full-time payroll by the number of full-time employees for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government.

Payroll is the gross payroll before deductions and includes salaries, wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1978.

Governmental functions

Police protection is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes, and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police, and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals, and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces, building guards, school crossing guards, and meter maids without general arrest power were excluded. Those special police forces that are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B. In addition, data for State and local government dependent colleges and universities are displayed in tables C and D.

In most States, sheriffs' departments are multifunctional agencies providing police protection, judicial, and/or correctional services. In order to allocate expenditure and employment data to the proper activity, the data for sheriffs' departments are prorated using factors developed from a special survey of sheriffs' departments conducted in 1973, or more current estimates of manpower distribution if available.

Short-term custody and detention are considered part of the police protection function. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

Judicial activities encompass all civil and criminal courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries, and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

1. **Appellate courts** include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.

2. **Courts of general jurisdiction** are trial courts having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of general jurisdiction courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

3. **Courts of limited jurisdiction** are courts whose legal jurisdiction covers only a particular class of cases or cases where the amount in controversy is below a prescribed sum or is subject to specific exceptions. Included under this category are probate courts, juvenile courts and other courts of limited jurisdiction.

4. **Miscellaneous judicial** includes data on judicial activities that could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

Legal services and prosecution includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys, State's attorneys, and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal departments with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, because in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, whereas in others a prosecutor's office handles only criminal matters, and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general, district attorneys, or their variously named equivalents are also included.

Public defense includes legal counsel and representation in either criminal or civil proceedings as provided by public defenders, and other government programs that pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or

program. Employment data are included only for public defenders' offices, because fee-paid counselors are not considered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

Corrections is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards and parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. **Correctional institutions** are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults or juveniles adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision, and for the detention of those adults and juveniles accused of a crime and awaiting trial or hearing. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale, and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. **Institutions for men** includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders.

b. **Institutions for women** includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government.

c. **Institutions for juveniles** are those institutions identified by the 1975 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juveniles and in some States, "youthful offenders." These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions. Also included are government payments to private agencies for the detention or treatment of delinquent juveniles.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile, particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States.

d. **Other and combined institutions** are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females, and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.

2. **Correctional administration** consists of data for the overall administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority). Data on the

administration of individual correctional institutions or probation/parole/pardon agencies are included in the appropriate subcategory if it was possible to deduct them.

3. **Probation, parole, and pardon** includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of pardon, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the general jurisdiction court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the judicial data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and pardon activities, or any of them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted where possible and shown separately here, and the balance was shown under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.

4. **Miscellaneous correction** includes expenditure and employment data that could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data that are not elsewhere classified, that cut across more than one category, or that are not allocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.

APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS

Form CJ-6 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments

This form was mailed to the chief financial officer of the counties and municipalities surveyed.

Form CJ-6D Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments (Fee-Supported Offices)

This form was mailed to the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and/or Clerk of Court in the States of Kentucky and Louisiana. Data for these fee-supported offices were incorporated in the appropriate criminal justice function data for the county where located.

Form CJ-23 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Selected Special Police Forces

This form was mailed to special police forces serving colleges and universities, special districts, and independent school districts. Data for college and university forces were incorporated in the police protection data for the parent government and are displayed separately in appendix 1, tables C and D. Data for special police forces serving independent school districts or special districts were not included in the regular police protection data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected large police forces serving such districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

Form CJ-25 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government

This form was mailed to the chief financial or administrative officer of individual Federal agencies, bureaus, and offices identified as part of the criminal justice system. A list of the units canvassed in the survey for this year is presented in tables 4 and 5.

IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ

Instructions for reporting Expenditure data in part II on page 2.

- If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure data for calendar year 1977 (even though calendar year 1978 data may be available).
- If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure data for the period July 1, 1977 - June 30, 1978.
- If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure data for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978.

RETURN TO Bureau of the Census
1201 East Tenth Street
Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132

(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)

Data supplied by

Name _____ Position _____

Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code) _____ Telephone _____

Area code _____ Number _____ Extension _____

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for six criminal justice functions: police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, corrections, and other criminal justice. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled *Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System*.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned, preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on (301)763-7825 or (301)763-2843.

This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3701). While you are not legally required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,
Manuel D. Plotkin
MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Enclosures

Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report *Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System*

FORM CJ-6
(10-26-78)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES <i>(Please review activities below prior to completing this questionnaire)</i>	Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL				Part II - EXPENDITURE				
	Enter employment and payroll data for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Count each employee only once - in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. Omit cents.				Your fiscal year was - <input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1977 - June 30, 1978 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1 - Dec. 31, 1977 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - <i>Specify</i> _____
	PAY PERIOD INTERVAL Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees.				Do not report for a fiscal year that ended after June 30, 1978. For example, if your fiscal year ends December 31, you should report your fiscal year that ended December 31, 1977.				
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - <i>Specify</i> _____			1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - <i>Specify</i> _____			Annual salaries and all current operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9).	Annual expenditures for construction, equipment, and land	
	Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
1. POLICE PROTECTION - All police activities, including special police force units. Include also coroners and medical examiners. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.									
a. Total personnel (lines b plus c)		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
b. Sworn police personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)		\$		\$					
c. Non-sworn police personnel only (i.e., support personnel who do not have general arrest power)		\$		\$					

<p>2. JUDICIAL – All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities. Include: Judges and staff (law clerks, court reporters, etc.) Clerk of Court and staff Other current operating expenditure (e.g., jury fees, law library, etc.) Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections."</p>		\$		\$				
<p>3. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION Prosecuting attorneys' offices, legal departments, and all attorneys providing legal services for your government. Include: Prosecutor and staff Legal Advisor and staff Attorneys on retainer or fees All other legal expenses Include annual retainers and/or fees paid to private attorneys/law firms in column (5) only. Do not include as employees.</p>		\$		\$				
<p>4. PUBLIC DEFENSE – Public defenders' offices. Include fees paid to court-appointed counsel and contributions to private legal aid societies in column (5) only. Do not include court-appointed private attorneys as employees.</p>		\$		\$				
<p>5. CORRECTIONS a. Total (lines b, c, and d)</p>		\$		\$				
<p>b. Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, halfway houses, and the like, holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.</p>		\$		\$				
<p>c. Probation and parole agencies and programs. Include probation and parole programs administered by the courts.</p>		\$		\$				
<p>d. Other corrections including nonresidential treatment programs for adults and juveniles. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority.</p>		\$		\$				
<p>6. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE – Other criminal justice activities (e.g., crime councils, criminal justice planning agencies) not reported above. Please list each activity separately in the spaces provided below.</p>		\$		\$				

Part III - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE <i>Enter all revenue received from other governments during the fiscal year ending between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978 that was expended for the above criminal justice activities.</i>		
<p>Item A - Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.</p> <p>1. General revenue used for criminal justice purposes:</p> <p>a. General revenue sharing (only that portion used for criminal justice purposes) \$</p> <p>b. CETA/Anti-recession aid \$</p> <p>c. Other - <i>Specify</i> ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>d. TOTAL (add lines a, b, and c) \$</p> <p>2. Amounts received specifically for criminal justice purposes (i.e., "dedicated" revenues):</p> <p>a. LEAA discretionary grants \$</p> <p>b. Reimbursements received from the Federal government for criminal justice services rendered by your government, e.g., boarding of Federal prisoners \$</p> <p>c. Other "dedicated" revenues (e.g., Alcohol Safety Act Program (ASAP) - <i>Specify</i> ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>d. TOTAL (add lines a, b, and c) \$</p>	<p>Item B - Revenue from the State Government expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies and amounts received from State-funded programs; also include amounts received from the State as shared fines, fees, and taxes; and reimbursement by the State for criminal justice services or activities provided by your government to the State by law or under contract.</p> <p>1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State government \$</p> <p>2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State government \$</p> <p>3. State-funded criminal justice program grants \$</p> <p>4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government \$</p> <p>5. Other - <i>Specify</i> ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>6. TOTAL → \$</p>	<p>Item C - Revenue from other local governments expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter amounts from the Federal or State governments received through other local governments; and amounts received from other local governments as shared fines, fees, or taxes; or as reimbursement for criminal justice services or activities provided by your government to other local governments by law or under contract.</p> <p>EXAMPLE: Payments received from another county, city, or town for police services rendered (such as patrol).</p> <p>1. Reimbursements received from other local governments for criminal justice services rendered by your government \$</p> <p>2. Other - <i>Specify</i> ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>3. TOTAL → \$</p>

APPENDIX 3 - Continued

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES <i>(List activities included on line 6 above)</i>	
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	

DEFINITIONS FOR COMPLETING PARTS I AND II, COLUMNS (1) THROUGH (9)

▶ Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

EMPLOYEES — All persons paid by your government for services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, other persons in paid leave status and persons employed by your government under emergency or supplemental programs, e.g., Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA), anti-recession aid, etc. **Exclude** unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government.

Full-time employees, column (1) — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978. **Include** all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

Part-time employees, column (3) — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978. **Include** here all persons working for your government who received part of their pay from another government (e.g., with supplemental check).

PAYROLL, columns (2) and (4) — Gross payroll before deductions, including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

▶ Part II — EXPENDITURE

CURRENT OPERATIONS, column (5) — Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your government's officers and employees, including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay; and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g., attorney retainers or fees to court-appointed counsel, and any other current operating expenditure.

Exclude capital outlay and report in column (6) — Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. **Exclude** employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (9).

CAPITAL OUTLAY, column (6) — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). **Exclude** money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

Payments to other general purpose local governments, column (7) — Payments of your government to other counties, cities, or towns. **Exclude** payments to special purpose governments such as special districts or independent school districts.

Payments to the State government, column (8) — Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, column (9) — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program. State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. **Exclude** payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

<p>IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ</p> <p>Instructions for reporting Expenditure data in part II on page 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure data for calendar year 1977 (even though calendar year 1978 data may be available). • If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure data for the period July 1, 1977—June 30, 1978. • If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure data for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. 	<p>FORM CJ-6D (12-1-78)</p> <p>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p>	<p>SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (FEE-SUPPORTED OFFICES)</p> <p>In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address</p>
<p>RETURN TO Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</p>		
<p>Data supplied by</p>	<p>Name _____</p> <p>Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code) _____</p>	<p>Position _____</p> <p>Telephone _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Area code Number Extension</p>
<p>FROM THE DIRECTOR BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for various criminal justice activities. Since the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and Clerk of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply to your office: police protection, judicial, and corrections.</p> <p>The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of page 2 of this questionnaire.</p> <p>If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3701). While you are not legally required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Manuel D. Plotkin</i></p> <p>MANUEL D. PLOTKIN</p> <p>Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System <input type="checkbox"/></p>		

<p>DEFINITIONS FOR COMPLETING PARTS I and II, COLUMNS (1) THROUGH (9)</p>	
<p>► Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● EMPLOYEES—All persons paid by your government for personal services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, other persons in paid leave status and persons employed by your government under emergency or supplemental programs, e.g., Comprehensive Expenditure and Training Act (CETA), anti-recession aid, etc. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full-time employees, column (1) — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period. Part-time employees, column (3) — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Include here all persons working for your government who received part of their pay from another government (e.g., with supplemental check). ● PAYROLL, columns (2) and (4) — Gross payroll before deductions, including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis. 	<p>► Part II — EXPENDITURE — Continued</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● EXCLUDE capital outlay and report in column (6). Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (9). ● CAPITAL OUTLAY, column (6) — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures. ● INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners, in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Payments to other general purpose local governments, column (7) — Payments of your government to other counties, cities, or towns. Exclude payments to special purpose governments such as special districts or independent school districts. Payments to the State government, column (8) — Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies. ● GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, column (9) — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds, and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.
<p>Important Before completing parts I through III below, please complete the following question. Regardless of your answer to this question please complete the rest of the questionnaire.</p>	
<p>Is your office a fee-supported office now? That is, is it financed and staffed in whole or in part by fees collected and retained?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes — Answer a</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No — Answer b</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Never was a fee office</p>	<p>a. Will your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office on or before June 30, 1979?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes → Enter date</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>b. When did your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office?</p> <p>Enter date</p>

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES (Please review activities below prior to completing this questionnaire)	Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL				Part II - EXPENDITURE				
	Enter employment and payroll data for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Count each employee only once - in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. Omit cents. Your fiscal year was - <input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1977-June 30, 1978 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan.1-Dec. 31, 1977 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____				
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees		Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees		Annual salaries and all current operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9).	Annual expenditures for construction, equipment, and land	Annual payments to other general purpose local governments	Annual payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions.	
Number of full-time employees (1)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents) (2)	Number of part-time employees (3)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents) (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
1. POLICE PROTECTION - All police activities, including special police force units. Include also coroners and medical examiners. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.									
a. Total personnel (lines b plus c)				\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
b. Sworn police personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)				\$	\$				
c. Non-sworn police personnel only (i.e., support personnel who do not have general arrest power)				\$	\$				
2. JUDICIAL - All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities. Include: Judges and staff (law clerks, court reporters, etc.) Clerk of Court and staff Other current operating expenditure (e.g., jury fees, law library, etc.) Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections."									
	\$		\$						

Part II - EXPENDITURE

Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. Omit cents.

Your fiscal year was -
 July 1, 1977-June 30, 1978
 Jan.1-Dec. 31, 1977
 Other - Specify _____

Do NOT report for a fiscal year that ended after June 30, 1978. For example, if your fiscal year ends December 31, you should report your fiscal year that ended December 31, 1977.

See instructions on cover of questionnaire.

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES

(Please review activities below prior to completing this questionnaire)

Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

Enter employment and payroll data for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1978.
 Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data.
 Count each employee only once - in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.

PAY PERIOD INTERVAL

Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees

- | FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES | | PART-TIME EMPLOYEES | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month | 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks | 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly |
| 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____ | | | |

CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
Annual salaries and all current operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9).	Annual expenditures for construction, equipment, and land	Annual payments to other general purpose local governments	Annual payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions.
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)


3. CORRECTIONS									
a. Total (lines b, c, and d)		\$		\$					
b. Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, halfway houses, and the like, holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.		\$		\$					
c. Probation and parole agencies and programs. Include probation and parole programs administered by the courts.		\$		\$					
d. Other corrections including non-residential treatment programs for adults and juveniles. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority.		\$		\$					

Part III - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE Enter all revenue received from other governments during the fiscal year ending between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978 that was expended for the above criminal justice activities.

<p>Item A - Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s). Also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.</p> <p>1. General revenue used for criminal justice purposes:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>a. General revenue sharing</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. CETA/Anti-recession aid</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. Other - Specify</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. Total (add lines 1, 2, and 3)</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> </table>	a. General revenue sharing	\$	b. CETA/Anti-recession aid	\$	c. Other - Specify	\$		\$	d. Total (add lines 1, 2, and 3)	\$	<p>Item B - Revenue from the State Government expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies and amounts received from State-funded programs; also include amounts received from the State as shared fines, fees, and taxes; and reimbursement by the State for criminal justice services or activities provided by your government to the State or to other local governments by law or under contract.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State Government</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State Government</td> <td>\$</td> </tr> </table>	1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State Government	\$	2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State Government	\$	<p>Item C - Revenue from other local governments expended for the above activities</p> <p>Enter amounts from the Federal or State Governments received through other local governments; and amounts received from other local governments as shared fines, fees, or taxes, or as reimbursement for criminal justice services or activities provided by your government to other local governments by law or under contract.</p> <p>EXAMPLE: Payments received from another county, city, or town for police services rendered (such as patrol).</p>
a. General revenue sharing	\$															
b. CETA/Anti-recession aid	\$															
c. Other - Specify	\$															
	\$															
d. Total (add lines 1, 2, and 3)	\$															
1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State Government	\$															
2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State Government	\$															

APPENDIX 3-Continued

<p>2. Amounts received specifically for criminal justice purposes; i.e. "dedicated" revenues):</p> <p>a. LEAA discretionary grants \$</p> <p>b. Reimbursements received from the Federal Government for criminal justice services rendered by your government, e.g., boarding of Federal prisoners \$</p> <p>c. Other "dedicated" revenues (Specify; e.g., Alcohol Safety Act Program (ASAP) - Specify) \$</p> <p>d. Total (add lines 1, 2, and 3) → \$</p>	<p>3. State-funded criminal justice program grants \$</p> <p>4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government \$</p> <p>5. Other - Specify ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>6. TOTAL → \$</p>	<p>1. Reimbursements received from other local governments for criminal justice services rendered by your government \$</p> <p>2. Other - Specify ↓</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>_____ \$</p> <p>3. TOTAL → \$</p>
<p>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES (List activities included on line 6 above)</p> <p>1. _____</p> <p>2. _____</p> <p>3. _____</p> <p>4. _____</p> <p>5. _____</p> <p>6. _____</p>		

Data supplied by		FORM CJ-23 (11-24-78)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Name		SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR SELECTED SPECIAL POLICE FORCES In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address	
Position			
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)			
Telephone		(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)	
Area code	Number	Extension	RETURN TO  Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects expenditure and employment data for selected special police forces serving colleges, universities, independent school districts, and special districts. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on Area Code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.


This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended (42USC3701). While you are not required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,



MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Enclosures

Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report
Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 

Part I - SPECIAL POLICE FORCE

Does your unit (as described in the address box on the front of the questionnaire) employ either directly or by contract a special police force? A special police force is defined as a group of law enforcement officers with general power to arrest, regardless of geographical jurisdiction, other than regular police agencies. Such forces usually service a special area or jurisdiction; e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, airport police. Although a special police force may cooperate with the regular local police force, it is administratively independent.

Yes - Please complete the questions below

If applicable, enter here the name of the firm or police agency with whom you contract for police services

No - Please disregard the remainder of this questionnaire and return in preaddressed envelope

Important If you contract exclusively for police services and have no officers employed directly by your unit, fill out only parts III and IV below. If you employ sworn police officers directly, fill out parts II, III, and IV below.

DEFINITIONS FOR COMPLETING PARTS II AND III, COLUMNS (1) THROUGH (9)

Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

• **EMPLOYEES** - All persons in your special police force unit (officers and other employees) paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees; and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

Full-time employees, column (1) - Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

Part-time employees, column (3) - Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978.

• **PAYROLL, columns (2) and (4)** - Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1978, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

Part III - EXPENDITURE

• **CURRENT OPERATIONS, column (5)** - Annual expenditures for salaries and wages of your unit's officers and employees including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay; and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector. Exclude all capital

Part III - EXPENDITURE - Continued

outlay and report in column (6). Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (9).

• **CAPITAL OUTLAY, column (6)** - Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

• **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** - All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

Payments to local governments, column (7) - Payments to a county, city, town, or any of their departments or agencies.

Payments to State government, column (8) - Payments to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

• **CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, column (9)** - Any employer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES <i>(Please review activities below prior to completing this questionnaire)</i>	Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL Enter employment and payroll data for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1978. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Count each employee only once - in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				Part III - EXPENDITURE Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1977 and June 30, 1978. Omit cents. Your fiscal year was - <input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1977-June 30, 1978 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 1977 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____			
	PAY PERIOD INTERVAL Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees.							
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES					
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____					
	Number of full-time employees (1)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents) (2)	Number of part-time employees (3)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1978) (Omit cents) (4)	Annual salaries and all current operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9). (5)	Annual expenditures for construction, equipment, and land (6)	Annual payments to other general purpose local governments (7)	Annual payments to the State government (8)
a. Total (Sum of b and c)		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
b. Sworn police personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)								
c. Non-sworn police personnel only (i.e., SUPPORT PERSONNEL who do NOT have general arrest power)								
Part IV - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDED BY YOUR SPECIAL POLICE FORCE UNIT Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlements; also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.				Total \$ _____ 1. General revenue sharing \$ _____ 2. LEAA discretionary grants (not passed through a State agency) \$ _____ 3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) \$ _____ 4. Public Works Employment Act of 1976 (title II) \$ _____ 5. Other - Specify _____ \$ _____				

This report has been cleared in accordance with FPMR 101-11.11 and assigned interagency report control number 1078-DOC-AN.		FORM CJ-25 (1-30-79)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
Data supplied by		SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT		
Name		(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)		
Position				
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)				
Telephone		RETURN TO Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233		
Area code	Number			Extension

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for civil and criminal justice activities from agencies of the Federal Government and from State and local governments. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire on the reverse side of this form will be appreciated. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office in the address block above.

The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Federal data are used particularly for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. If you have any problems in filling out the questionnaire on the reverse side of this form please call for assistance on 763-7825 or 763-2843.

Sincerely,

Manuel D. Plotkin
MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Enclosure

PLEASE COMPLETE QUESTIONNAIRE ON REVERSE SIDE

▶ Part I — EXPENDITURES ◀ (FOR FISCAL YEAR 1978: OCTOBER 1, 1977 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 1978) NOTE — Please enter information only for the bureau, agency, or office shown in the address box on the front of this form. If unable to show actual cash disbursement, please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.	
1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., direct expenditure for annual salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees; purchase of supplies and materials; and for contractual services from other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do not include government contributions for employees benefits — Report these amounts in item 5 below.)	\$
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase equipment?	\$
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital, and other insurance programs? (Do not include payments made directly to individuals or contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$
▶ Part II — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL ◀ (FOR THE ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978 — NOT ANNUAL DATA) NOTE — Please report all employees; executives, managers, operatives, and support personnel. Also include temporary employees.	
1. How many FULL-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	Full-time employees
2. What was the total gross payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees reported in item 1 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	\$
3. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 2? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u> </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	CENSUS USE ONLY
4. How many FULL-TIME SWORN* employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 1.)	Full-time sworn employees
5. What was the total gross payroll amount for all FULL-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 4 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	\$
6. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 5? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u> </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	CENSUS USE ONLY
7. How many PART-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	Part-time employees

Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL--Continued

8. What was the total gross payroll amount for all PART-TIME EMPLOYEES reported in item 7 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	\$
9. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 8? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - <i>Specify</i> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	CENSUS USE ONLY
10. How many PART-TIME SWORN* employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 7.)	Part-time sworn employees
11. What was the total gross payroll amount for all PART-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 10 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1978?	\$
12. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 11? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - <i>Specify</i> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	CENSUS USE ONLY
*Sworn employees defined: Those persons having statutory authority to arrest suspected violators of the law. Examples: Criminal investigators positions series GS-1811, police position series GS-083, customs enforcement officer position series GS-1891, and other positions having equivalent arrest authority whether inside or outside the competitive civil service.	
COMMENTS	

NCJRS REGISTRATION

The National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) abstracts documents published in the criminal justice field. Persons who are registered with the Reference Service receive announcements of documents in their stated fields of interest and order forms for free copies of Bureau of Justice Statistics publications. If you are not registered with the Reference Service, and wish to be, please provide your name and mailing address below and check the appropriate box.

Name	Telephone ()	<input type="checkbox"/> Please send me a NCJRS registration form. <input type="checkbox"/> Please send me the reports listed below.
Number and street		
City	State ZIP Code	

--- (Fold here) ---

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Bureau of Justice Statistics
Washington, D.C. 20531

Place
Stamp
Here

User Services Department 2
National Criminal Justice Reference Service
Bureau of Justice Statistics
U.S. Department of Justice
Box 6000
Rockville, Maryland 20850

--- (Fold here) ---

If you wish to receive copies of any of the Bureau of Justice Statistics Reports listed on the reverse side, please list them below.

Bureau of Justice Statistics Reports

Single copies are available at no charge from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

National Crime Survey:

Criminal Victimization in the United States (annual):

Summary Findings of 1978-79 Changes in Crime and of Trends Since 1973, NCJ-62993

A Description of Trends from 1973 to 1978, NCJ-66716

1978 (final report), NCJ-66480

1977, NCJ-58725

1976, NCJ-49543

1975, NCJ-44593

1974, NCJ-39467

*1973, NCJ-34732

The Cost of Negligence: Losses from Preventable Household Burglaries, NCJ-53527

The Hispanic Victim: Advance Report, NCJ-67706

Intimate Victims: A Study of Violence Among Friends and Relatives, NCJ-62319

Crime and Seasonality, NCJ-64818

Criminal Victimization of New York State Residents, 1974-77, NCJ-66481

Criminal Victimization of California Residents, 1974-77, NCJ-70944

Indicators of Crime and Criminal Justice: Quantitative Studies, NCJ-62349

Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13

American cities (summary report, 1 vol.), NCJ-18471

Boston, NCJ-34818

Buffalo, NCJ-34820

Cincinnati, NCJ-34819

Houston, NCJ-34821

Miami, NCJ-34822

Milwaukee, NCJ-34823

Minneapolis, NCJ-34824

New Orleans, NCJ-34825

Oakland, NCJ-34826

Pittsburgh, NCJ-34827

San Diego, NCJ-34828

San Francisco, NCJ-34829

***Washington, D.C.,** NCJ-34830

Public Attitudes About Crime (13 vols.):

Boston, NCJ-46235

Buffalo, NCJ-46236

Cincinnati, NCJ-46237

Houston, NCJ-46238

Miami, NCJ-46239

Milwaukee, NCJ-46240

***Minneapolis,** NCJ-46241

New Orleans, NCJ-46242

Oakland, NCJ-46243

Pittsburgh, NCJ-46244

San Diego, NCJ-46245

San Francisco, NCJ-46246

Washington, D.C., NCJ-46247

***Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia:** A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings, NCJ-36360

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight

American Cities: A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis, NCJ-36361

***Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972, NCJ-16909

***Crimes and Victims:** A Report on the Dayton/San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization, NCJ-013314

Applications of the National Crime Survey Victimization and Attitude Data:

Public Opinion About Crime: The Attitudes of Victims and Nonvictims in Selected Cities, NCJ-41336

Local Victim Surveys: A Review of the Issues, NCJ-39973

***The Police and Public Opinion:** An Analysis of Victimization and Attitude Data from 13 American Cities, NCJ-42018

An Introduction to the National Crime Survey, NCJ-43732

Compensating Victims of Violent Crime: Potential Costs and Coverage of a National Program, NCJ-43387

Rape Victimization in 26 American Cities, NCJ-55878

Crime Against Persons in Urban, Suburban, and Rural Areas: A Comparative Analysis of Victimization Rates, NCJ-53551

Criminal Victimization in Urban Schools, NCJ-56396

Restitution to Victims of Personal and Household Crimes, NCJ-72770

Myths and Realities About Crime: A

Nontechnical Presentation of Selected Information from the National Prisoner Statistics Program and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-46249

National Prisoner Statistics:

Capital Punishment (annual):

1979, NCJ-70945

Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31:

1979, NCJ-73719

***Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974**

advance report, NCJ-25642

Profile of State Prison Inmates:

Sociodemographic Findings from the 1974 Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, NCJ-58257

***Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973,** NCJ-34729

Census of Jails and Survey of Jail Inmates, 1978, preliminary report, NCJ-55172

Profile of Inmates of Local Jails: Sociodemographic Findings from the 1978 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, NCJ-65412

***The Nation's Jails:** A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, NCJ-19067

Uniform Parole Reports:

Parole in the United States (annual):

1979, NCJ-69562

1978, NCJ-58722

1976 and 1977, NCJ-49702

A National Survey of Parole-Related Legislation Enacted During the 1979

Legislative Session, NCJ-64218

Characteristics of the Parole Population, 1978,

NCJ-66479

Children in Custody: Juvenile Detention and

Correctional Facility Census

1977 advance report:

Census of Public Juvenile Facilities,

NCJ-60967

Census of Private Juvenile Facilities,

NCJ-60968

1975 (final report), NCJ-58139

1974, NCJ-57946

1973, NCJ-44777

*1971, NCJ-13403

State and Local Probation and Parole Systems,

NCJ-41335

State and Local Prosecution and Civil Attorney Systems, NCJ-41334

National Survey of Court Organization:

1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems, NCJ-40022

*1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems, NCJ-29433

1971 (full report), NCJ-11427

State Court Model Statistical Dictionary, NCJ-62320

State Court Caseload Statistics:

The State of the Art, NCJ-46934

Annual Report, 1975, NCJ-51885

Annual Report, 1976, NCJ-56599

A Cross-City Comparison of Felony Case Processing, NCJ-55171

Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-77

(annual), NCJ-57463

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System (annual)

1979 advance report, NCJ-73288

1978 Summary Report, NCJ-66483

1978 final report, NCJ-66482

1977 final report, NCJ-53206

Justice Agencies in the U.S.:

Summary Report of the National Justice Agency List, NCJ-65560

Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology:

Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange, NCJ-36747

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1980 (annual), NCJ-71096

***Offender-Based Transaction Statistics:** New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting, NCJ-29645

Sentencing of California Felony Offenders, NCJ-29646

Crime-Specific Analysis:

*The Characteristics of Burglary Incidents, NCJ-42093

An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offender Characteristics, NCJ-43131

*An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders and Offense Characteristics, NCJ-42476

Sources of National Criminal Justice

Statistics: An Annotated Bibliography, NCJ-45006

Federal Criminal Sentencing: Perspectives of Analysis and a Design for Research, NCJ-33683

Variations in Federal Criminal Sentences: A Statistical Assessment at the National Level, NCJ-33684

Federal Sentencing Patterns: A Study of Geographical Variations, NCJ-33685

Predicting Sentences in Federal Courts: The Feasibility of a National Sentencing Policy, NCJ-33686

END

*out of stock but available on interlibrary loan