3.0 Recycled Uranium

3.1 Uranium Recycle Description

This chapter is designed to quantitatively define the recycled uranium flows to and from Hanford. The transactions into and out of Hanford will focus on the 300 Area Fuel Fabrication complex of facilities and the UO_3 Plant (224-U Building).

3.1.1 Hanford Key Interfaces for Recycled Uranium

For the Uranium Recycle Project, the Hanford Site is designated as a "Source Site". A source site is viewed as one at which uranium fuel is irradiated, chemically separated, and shipped to offsite locations. These offsite locations are referred to as "Tier 1" sites. Tier 1 sites are those which received recycled uranium directly from the Hanford Site. From the Hanford perspective, uranium transactions offsite are divided into "Major Tier 1" sites and "Minor Tier 1" sites. The distinction is made primarily as it relates to the quantities of recycled uranium shipped and/or received. The Major Tier 1 and Minor Tier 1 sites (from Hanford's perspective) are identified below:

Major Tier 1 Sites:

- Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP), Kentucky
- Fernald, Ohio (FMPC), previously National Lead of Ohio (NLO)
- K-25 Gaseous Diffusion Pant & Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Minor Tier 1 Sites:

• All others (see Appendix B tables for these sites)

Major Tier 1 site locations are shown in Figure 3-1. Figure 3-2 shows the locations of many of both the Major and some of the Minor Tier 1 site locations. Figures 3-3A through Figure 3-3D show the flow of material through the complex for various time periods [DOE/EM-0319 1997]. There have been no reviewed records which indicate transfers of recycled uranium directly to the Portsmouth GDP.

Figure 3-1 Major "Tier 1" Sites for Hanford Recycled Uranium Transactions



 \sim



Figure 3-2 Major DOE Field Facilities



Figure 3-3A Material Flow – Manhattan Engineer District: 1942 – 1946 [DOE/EM-0319 1997]



Figure 3-3B Material Flow – Atomic Energy Commission: 1946 – mid 1950s [DOE/EM-0319 1997]



Figure 3-3C Material Flow – Atomic Energy Commission: mid 1950s – mid 1960s [DOE/EM-0319 1997]



Figure 3-3D Material Flow – Atomic Energy Commission/Energy Research and Development Agency/Department of Energy: mid 1960s – late 1980s [DOE/EM-0319 1997]

3.1.2 Beginning of Hanford In-Scope Recycled Uranium Transactions

3.1.2.1 Key Hanford Historical Dates for Recycled Uranium

Beginning of Recycled Uranium Shipments OUT of Hanford:

Depleted Uranium:	Mar 1952	UO ₃ product to Oak Ridge K-25
Normal Uranium:	July 1952	Metal scrap returns to offsite fuel
		reprocessors
Enriched Uranium:	July 1952	Research & development quantities
Enriched Uranium:	Mar 1959	UO ₃ LEU product to Oak Ridge K-25
		(Production Channel)

Beginning of Recycled Uranium Receipts INTO Hanford:

Depleted Uranium:	July 1952	Hanford UO₃ heels in returned drums from Oak Ridge K-25
Normal Uranium:	July 1952	Metal billets from offsite fuel fabricators
Enriched Uranium:	July 1952	Research and development quantities
Enriched Uranium:	July 1960	Metal LEU billets from Fernald
	•	(Production Channel), at parts per trillion
		Pu (from cascades)
Enriched Uranium:	Oct 1963	Metal LEU billets from Fernald
		(Production Channel)
		(at parts per billion Pu)

3.1.2.2 Production Channel Material Transactions

3.1.2.2.1 Shipments

For UO₃ finished product from the Hanford production channel, the first lot of UO₃ was rail shipped to K-25 on January 25, 1952 and consisted of 8 drums of Lot 001 [Richards 1952b]. The second shipment (Lot 002, 7 drums) was shipped to K-25 on February 11, 1952 [Richards 1952]. Both of these lots were produced from natural uranium and contained no fission products. They were "cold" test runs to validate the UO₃ conversion process. This material was shipped to K-25 to make sure the physical (particle size) and metallic impurities were within Oak Ridge acceptance criteria. As the "cold" UO₃ was examined and found acceptable, Hanford began spiking the feed stream with UNH from irradiated fuel.

Production records indicate shipment of recycled uranium trioxide product to the Oak Ridge K-25 GDP first occurred on March 10, 1952. Examples of the historical transfer documents, with attendant analytical data, are shown in Figures 3-4, 3-5, and 3-6. This March 1952 UO_3 shipment is consistent with Hanford production history indicating UO_3 test runs in January 1952 and full operation in February 1952.



Deay Dr. Hard:

UO1 TELAL PRODUCTION LOTS 007, 008, and 009

We are shipping by track (United Motor Freight, GHL A726971) Lots (N7, COS, and CO9 of UO3 prepared from material processed through the Mediat plant. This shipment, consisting of 2k drams, left on March 10 and should arrive about March 17, directed to K-25 Plant, Oak Ridge, Remissive, attim F. H. Anderson - J. W. Aramit./ The average irradia-tion history of this uranima is considerably below the nominal 600 M/D/t for full level material due to blending with cold uranima dis-solute heals, etc. This is considerably below the nominal 600 solver heals, etc. This is confirmed by the instopic analyses reported in the table below. Results of other analyses on a composite sample of such lot are also given,

iassification cancelled (Change to Very truly yours, IINCLAS R.B. Richarde y Authority of RL R. B. Richards, Manager Separations Technology Uni MELCATION BUI 3y (1 Ter-Frank Eard, K-25 'erified B 3 AF Euber, K-25 L UB Eunes, K-25 CO 194 8 AE Graningar - OH Gronger 9 JE Maider - TM Hanff 10 RS Boll - VR Crapten 11 VD Dominae 12 JE 13 FW 14 RF RIALS 15 FW Albaugh - AH Enshey 16 300 File 17 700 File DECLASSIFIED 18 Pink 19 In13.00 Figure 3-4A First Hanford Shipment of UO₃

Containing Transuranics & Analytical Data

[Richard 1952a]

43666

÷

Section 3 Recycled Uranium

nu-aring HAN-43666

Dr. Frank Hurd

- 2 -

March 11, 1952

Corponent or Froperty	Raported	Lot 007	Lot COS	Lo> 009
UO3	5ja	97.9	97.7	97-4
120	. 0	0.21	0.23	0,25
NO3	SENO3	0.05	0.61	0.55
თ ვივ	er vo	0.12	0,1	0.31
Ma	pja	3000	3000	2500
FOL	ກ	1500	1500	1500
Fe	n	700	. 950	550
Ni	t)	20	20	20
Мо	τι -	50	50	~ 5 0
Cr	n	2	2	5
W	23	< 100	< 100	< 100 .
Sil	53	10	10	50
В	रा	∠ 0.2	< 0.2	∠ 0,2
S	n	27	б	< 1
AL.	81	5000	5000	5000
Particle Size	🖇 uhru 80 Mesh	98.2	99.0	97.7
Bulk Decsity	F./cc.	1.,99	2.07	1.75
Surface Area	sq. m./g.	1.3	1.035	1.2
Pa	देव्य	< 5	< 5	45
f.p. activity,	P a	< 5%	< 5%	<.10%
î.p. activity,	, ð - »	< 70%	< 100%	< 56%
U235	5 of J	0.68	0,68	0,67

% F. relative to (bete or gamma) activity of an equal weight of natural uranium

Figure 3-4A (Continued) First Hanford Shipment Containing Transuranics and Analytical Data [Richards 1952a]

Section Recycled L	on 3 Jranium	DOE/RL-2000-43
	DECLASSIFIED	1302 63 1849 152
Br. Frank Hurd Carbide and Carbon Chemicals K-25 Flant Oak Ridge, Tennessee Dear Dr. Hurd:	This Countries	Hantora 43786
Us are shipping by rail (car lots OlO, Oll, Ol2, and Ol3 of 600 MMD/t material processed shipment, consisting of 32 di 19 and should arrive on March Oak Ridge, att'n F. H. Anders analyses of a composite sampl	TS 010, 017, 012, and 013 Milweukes 1014, CHI. AT-269 of U03 presented from nomine through the Redox Plant. runs and 4 bones, left on M h 24, directed to K-25 Plan sou - J. W. Arendt. Result lo of each lot are given be	779) 1 This larch 12, 23 of 11cst.
Classification cancelled (Change to UNCLASSIFIED) By Acthority of <u>RLO-CG-5</u> <u>Suction</u> 1.4.3 By <u>CABAUMAN</u> PNL	Yours very truly, R. B. Richards, Manager Separations Technology Un Technical Section, Engine By Much	it sring Dept.
Verified By Budden White White States with the second states with the second states and the second s	-25 -25 DECUTION - Abomic Energy (NOR) 1: DC Suplages (NOR)	CATION RESIENCOR ANION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
10 RS Bell - VE Chepm 11 VD Boulbes 12 JB Work - RS Richa 13 FW Woodfield 11 PF Contained 11 PF Contained 12 J 16 Pink 19 Yellow	APPROVI	ED FOR IELEASE
DECLASSIF		

Figure 3-4B Second Hanford Shipment Containing Transuranics and Analytical Data [Work 1952]

				8-	23848
Dr. Frank Hurd		- 2 -		Marc	n 19, 195
Cosponent or Property	Reported	Lot 010	Lot 011	iot 012	Lot 013
.00 ₃	z	97.3	97.6	98.0	98.1
H2O	FI	0.27	0.24	0,24	0,23
NO3	2EINO3	0.65	0.55	0.62	0.54
V308	R	0.24	0.46	0.35	0.15
Na	Dibur	2500	2500	5000	2000
POli	n '	< 15	<15	< 15	/ 150
Fe	17	174	277	133	:116
Ni.	g	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Мо	87	<i><</i> 50	< 50 .	< 50	< 2
Cr	17	1	ĨO	l	< 1
W	Ð	< 10	< 10	<10	< 10
Si.	Ð	10	20	50	25
В	f	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0,2
S	ft	7	< 1	<1	< 1
A1.	n	2500	2000	2000	1500
Particle Size	🖇 thru 80 Mesh	95.5	96.4	99.1	80.7
Bulk Density	g.,/co.	2.2.	1.69	1.75	2,10
Surfece Area	sg. m./g.	1.7	1.15	1.0	1.0
Pu	oʻqq	< 5	<1	< 5	<1
f.p. activity,/3	*	< 5%	29%	18%	18%
f.p. ectivity, d	*	83%	105%	82%	77%
U235	SofU	0.67	0,66	0.66	0.60

* %, relative to (beta or gamma) activity of an equal weight of natural uranium



Figure 3-4B (continued) Second Hanford Shipment of UO₃ – Analytical Results [Work 1952]



Figure 3-5 Example of Historical Transfer Forms-Cover Page

Figure 3-5 (Continued) Example of Historical Transfer Form for Shipment from Hanford to Paducah (circa 1971)

Ja 1) Se Z. M. Tracily Law 22.											und to standfad	• Ka, 3
Ja (1) >= 2 Transfer Code "22					AIGHT FARMY COL	ER REPUR	pm /	9 0101741	Tana Cast	- "	e 9 Ceole:	s, Sarles,
(II) >= Z tracsler Code 22												1
	ALS From C	7) - 818.10	-20 ***	(13-14)	1 (19)	Engrany	5. Rature Complet	e il Applicable (22)	MQ.	Distribution (N Capies
	EVA		٨	142		* 56 <u>8</u> 8 7 1		francastica Tran	Π.	$\left \frac{1}{2} \right $		7.2
ef Shipper	R			of Receiver	a Com "Wincles	n Diwisid	n		· •	3 7	YA 174	A
Ciantic Richile	nant DCU	ora com	pany	Paducah Gase	ous Diffusion	Plant	••	fracsaction Type		4 0	11	~~~~
Lichland, Washing	ton	9935	2	P.O. Box 1410	Paducah, Xent	ucky			~	5 F	<u>76 78</u>	4
Attention: G. B. Kukl	inski			Attention: B. T. J	Traemer	42002	1	ransaction Type	L	!	<u> </u>	
-			A140	A things in Arrange of	1915	(26-25)	10. Date e	Transfer Ne	Day Yr.			12
Simpler for Account of			(1.5-1.1)		L		of Fina Respon	sibility (23-3	m (31-32) (33)	1 2	<u> ৬০ - ৬</u> ৫১ হা	151 <u></u> 0 TE
							I.	_	. j]	20	· · · ·	
· 📕						ĺ	12. Transfe	r Authority-SM	A Draft Rumber, R	elecence,	or Order Number	50)
				.[Lett	ers: Shi	p 003 Dat	veci, ov	/12/11 &	
Mai and Description	GBL-E-	8607-73	2 HC	E SEALS-6818 & (5819 CAR NO.U.	P-508984	0.0.	TRet.0	n.r. oori	G M		
epitered UU3 Lot	1-2-1-2-1		ا م 2					· · ·				
late Shipped		1. 14	I.e. (75)	C. Signature of Auli-	rised Official and Bate Sign	of the	211.				·	ł.
(70-71) 6 (Day (72-73) 9	11.04 7	1			•	12	Spile	links	G. B. K	uklin	ski 67.	50/1
AEC Project Humber	لعلد لجاجياوا	tertal sitten	Owner	LBS.	LBS.	KG	S. €	Weight %	Isolana Wei	izht	Tennel	1.00
CODET C231 NO	(32-33) C	crip. Codes ule (34-362	(an)	Gross Weight	Net Weight	(40-5	0)	(51-54) M	,, K		(65-70)	, m
Total-6 Honners		1402										
Lot-1-5-7		1.8.74										<u> </u>
(81.92 % U)	10	401	G	84 208	72 901	27 (880	10.658	1/8		+ 00	ļ
See page # 2				- <u> </u>			790		202.91		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
for detail		<u>- 12 69</u>	┼──┼	Cost Hemo 108.					271176			
* June RSR	l		11		SECENTER'S DATA		. 11	.#5				·
Dale Received		B. fere	Ra. (75)	C. Signature of Autho	vized Official and Date Sign	ed .	U	•				
(70-71) Oay (72-73)	Yr. (74)	1843	le f	13.4				,				- fried
	Material Mai	orial Stition	Outer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	was Miniaka	Times t		Wolent %	Juolope Wel	ight .	Element	744
AEC Project Number	12,231 (C	de (*(34.30)	άŋ,	t t	X	140-5	9	51-54) M			(66-79)	p
AEC Project Number and Identification		A.S.			-							[
AEC Project Humber and Identification (22-31) E											ļ	<u> </u>
AEC Project Number and Identification (22-31) CE		1000	<u></u>					1			J	ł
AEC Project Number and Washingston (233) E												1
AED Project Newbor and Literitaritarian (E2-31) AE A												

Section 3 Recycled Uranium

:

ł

:

!

i

.

	(CLASS		208
1	UO3 - PRODUC	TACCEPTANCE	
LOT NUMBER	1-5-2 & 1-5-3		
TRANSFER SERIE	• HVA-FYA-133	GROSS WEIGHT Lot-1-5-2 Lot-1-5-3	28 210 56 234 Lts
SHIPPED TO UNI.	on Carbide Corporation	TARE WEIGHT	3 820 7 570 Ltds
GBL NUMBER	E-8607-725	NET WEIGHT	24 390 48 664 Lts
MATERIAL	Depleted UO3	DATE SHIPPED 6-1-71	
NO. OF CONTAIN	Lot-1-5-2 2 cont. ERSLot-1-5-3 4 cont.	NET SAMPLE WEIGHT 3 Kgs. S	hipped 5-28-71
CAR NUMBER	UP-508825	AVERAGE PERCENT U	81.90
SEAL NUMBERS	HGE-6803 & 6804	AVERAGE PERCENT U-235 0.65	8 Est.
SUBMITTED BY:	ATLANTIC AICHFIEL	G.B. Kuklinski	6-2-71
ACCEPTED BY	U.S. ATOMIC ENERG	A COMMISSION	6/7/7/
Shipment Pend	ding on Lot-1-5-3		
A	SIFICATION	THIS DOCUMEN	IT CONSISTS OF
	HANFOR	D CATEGORY C-65	

Figure 3-6 Example of Historical Product Acceptance Form Hanford Depleted UO₃ to Paducah (circa 1971)

In March 1959, General Electric was authorized by the AEC to begin routine shipments of low-enriched (0.94%²³⁵U before irradiation) UO₃ to the K-25 facilities in Oak Ridge [Gifford 1959]. Hanford LEU UO₃ shipments began soon thereafter. From this March approval-to-ship to the end of June 1959, Hanford produced and shipped approximately 288 MTU of the low-enriched (0.85%) UO₃ to Oak Ridge. Although the K-25 facility was the first recipient of Hanford recycled uranium, the vast majority of the UO₃ product was shipped to the Paducah site beginning in FY 1954 through FY 1972.

The third major recipient of Hanford recycled UO_3 was the Fernald site, which began receiving research quantities of depleted UO_3 in FY 1953. Although Fernald received small quantities of Hanford depleted UO_3 , they were the major recipient of Hanford low-enriched recycled UO_3 beginning in the early 1960s through March 1989. These shipments originated from the Hanford chemical processing contractors (GE, Isochem, ARHO, RHO, WHC). Some small quantities of Hanford UO_3 which did not meet K-25 acceptance criteria for non-radioactive chemical purity were sent to Harshaw for purification. The majority of Hanford UO_3 shipped from Hanford to the K-25 plant was later shipped from K-25 to Paducah.

3.1.2.2.2 Receipts

Beginning in the late 1940s, Hanford received uranium product to support fuel fabrication activities. Metal feedstock was received from Mallinckrodt (St Louis and Weldon Spring, Missouri), and Simonds. Fuel samples were exchanged with many sites as this new technology was rapidly growing. With the Fernald Plant coming on line in March 1953, an increasing quantity of uranium was received and shipped between Hanford and Fernald. Hanford receipt of recycled uranium is assumed to begin in July 1952 (FY 1953) as material shipped from Hanford offsite between March through June 1952 could not have reasonably been received, reprocessed, and returned as feedstock from offsite until that time. In discussions with Fernald staff, normal (recycled) uranium metal feedstock initially received at Hanford could be expected to have contained only parts-per-trillion quantities of plutonium. Further discussions concerning the Hanford receipts are detailed in Section 3.2. Figure 3-7 (based on a 1949 document) shows the flow of uranium received into Hanford's 300 Area Fuel Fabrication facilities.

3.1.3 Out-of-Scope Uranium Transactions

3.1.3.1 Hanford Production Channel

Prior to March 1952, uranium shipments were confined to natural uranium scrap from Hanford's 300 Area Fuel Fabrication activities or metallurgical and process research involving UNH solutions. Natural uranium metal rods were received, principally from the New York Operations Office contractors, and processed at the 300 Area. The unirradiated scrap generated, in various forms, was sent offsite for reclamation. The finished fuel, termed "slugs" were "canned" and sent to the Hanford reactors for subsequent irradiation. Significant effort was made at Hanford in the early 1950s to reclaim and reuse as much of the generated uranium scrap due to the shortage of

feedstock within the production complex. The fuel fabrication process had no input points at which transuranics could be introduced into the unirradiated fuel manufacturing process. There has been no evidence of any transuranic contaminants being introduced into the fuel within the Hanford manufacturing process.





3.1.3.2 Out-of-Scope Research and Development Programs

As the development for increased uranium fuel productivity and chemical integrity continued during the late 1940s and early 1950s, small amounts of uranium were diverted from the production channels for research and development. The three areas of R&D were 1) Exponential Pile Program; 2) Fuel Development Metallurgy; and 3) Separations Technology. One such research program, referred to as the Pile Enrichment program, involved transfers of unirradiated slugs between the Y-12 Plant

and Hanford. Hanford received the bare slugs from the Y-12 Plant, canned them, and returned slugs, scrap metal, and reject slugs to Y-12. There is no indication that these slugs contained recycled uranium, and are therefore considered out-of-scope transactions.

A subsequent part of the R&D program sent irradiated slugs to the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant (ICPP). These J-1 slugs were also irradiated at H reactor and the J-2 slugs at C reactor. The "C" slugs were irradiated at C and H reactor. As the ICPP came on line, shipments of these "J" irradiated slugs began in late calendar year 1951 and were reported in a 1952 Material Balance Report, FTS-953 [Donihee 1952]. As spent fuel, the irradiated slugs sent to Idaho are considered out-of-scope for this project.

Another mid-1960 AEC research program, termed the Plutonium Credit Activity, involved shipment of Hanford irradiated fuel to Nuclear Fuel Services (NFS) in West Valley, New York [DOE 1999]. Uranium contained in this spent fuel is also considered out-of-scope for this study.

3.1.3.3 Recycled Uranium Timeframe Summary

Summaries of recycled uranium transfers at Hanford have been separated into two distinct timeframes. The period from January 1952 through June 1970 (FY 1970) represents the initiation of Hanford processing of recycled uranium from one or more separation plants. (In 1967, REDOX (S-Plant) shut down.) The second period from July 1970 through the present (March 1999) represents a period in which the PUREX plant (when operating) was the sole separation plant for Hanford's Defense missions. This later period is also one in which Hanford supported multiple non-defense missions, such as the Fast Flux Test Facility, under multiple Hanford contractors.

Quantities of uranium shipped and received are presented in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 and further detailed in Appendix B.

3.1.4 Data Presentation – Isolation of Specific Timeframes

This narrative section is prepared to explain the Hanford Recycled Uranium Project team's approach to quantitatively define recycled uranium materials that were shipped into and out of the Hanford Site since its inception in 1943 until March 30, 1999. To simplify reporting, Hanford shipments and receipts include the aggregate of the fuel fabrication/reactor operations contractors (Douglas United, UNI) and the chemical processing contractors (Isochem, ARHO, Rockwell, Westinghouse, Fluor) after contractor turnover from General Electric (GE, 1965-66 turnover). The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) and its predecessors offsite shipments and receipts are addressed separately.

In an effort to simplify the data investigation, the team chose to separate the Hanford Site uranium transactions to correspond to the following four timeframes:

Late 1940s - December 31, 1951: Hanford Site external shipments and receipts from December 1947-December 31, 1951 encompasses the General Electric Company (GE), which solely operated the fuel fabrication, reactors, and chemical separations plants. This first timeframe was isolated to define a demarcation between In-Scope and Out-of-Scope uranium transactions. All transactions within this timeframe have been evaluated as Out-of-Scope to this project. These transactions, detailed in Section 3.2 and 3.3, were almost exclusively natural uranium product and scrap transfers between the New York Operations Office

(NYOO) and its contractors and Hanford's Fuel Fabrication facilities.

- January 1, 1952 June 30, 1965: This timeframe represents the beginnings of Hanford transactions involving recycled uranium under a single GE Company contractor. This period also represents a high production timeframe. As the research for safer and more efficient plutonium production continued, more offsite facilities become recipients and suppliers for recycled uranium into and out of Hanford. In the early 1950s, the major NYOO contractors were replaced primarily by the Fernald and Weldon Spring (Mallinckrodt) facilities as the major suppliers of Hanford metal feedstock and recyclers of Hanford scrap.
- July 1, 1965 June 30, 1970: This timeframe represents a transitional period of • Hanford contractor turnover from the GE Company to multiple contractors and the beginnings of implementation of a DOE-wide Nuclear Materials Management and Safeguards System (NMMSS). PNL, assumed the management of Hanford Laboratories in 1965 as an independent research entity from Hanford Operations.
- July 1, 1970 March 30, 1999: This timeframe includes the period when the PUREX Plant became the sole producer of UNH for Hanford. The NMMSS MC&A system became operational (complex-wide). Recycled uranium transactions between Hanford and Paducah and Oak Ridge were minimal, and the vast majority of transactions for Hanford were with Fernald (NLO, FMPC, FEMP) and Reactive Metals Incorporated (RMI, Ashtabula Extrusion Plant).

3.1.5 Hanford Historical Timeline References

In tracing the historical transfers, the key activities and timeframes listed below were identified as potentially significant for the purposes of this study. (A more complete Hanford historical timeline of events is provided in Appendix H.)

Events Related to Hanford:

1950:	Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant sited
1951:	Savannah River Plant sited
1951:	Fernald Feed Materials Production Plant (Ohio) sited
1952:	Fernald production begins
1953:	Paducah GDP becomes operational

Hanford Contractor timeline:

December 21, 1942: Du Pont signed to construct/operate atomic plants September 1, 1946: General Electric Company (GE) assumes control as overall Site Contractor 1965 to 1966: GE replaced by multiple contractors September 1965 -**Fuel Fabrication & Reactor Operation:** 1973 Douglas United Nuclear (DUN-ioint venture subsidiary of Douglas Aircraft Co. and United Nuclear Corp.) United Nuclear Industries 1973-1979 1979-1987 United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) 1987-1996 Westinghouse Hanford Operations (WHC) October 1996 -Fluor Hanford Incorporated (FHI) Current **Chemical Separations, Processing & Production** January 1966 -Isochem (joint venture subsidiary of U.S. Rubber Co. September 1967 and Martin Marietta Corp.) September 1967 -Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, chemical October 1967 processing operations October 1977-Rockwell Hanford Company, chemical processing July 1987 operations July 1977 -Westinghouse Hanford Operations, reactor operations October 1996 and chemical processing October 1996 -Fluor Hanford Incorporated (FHI) Current **Research & Environmental Monitoring** January 1965 -Battelle Memorial Institute (BNWL) (became PNL) 1977 1977 – Current Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL)(became PNNL)

• Government Agencies Having Control of Hanford Site:

3.1.6

1943 - 1946	U. S. Army, Manhattan Engineer District
1947 – 1974	Atomic Energy Commission (AEC)
1/1/75 – 9/30/77	Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA)
10/1/77 – Current	U. S. Department of Energy (DOE)
Key Dates/Assump	tions for Uranium Transactions
May 5, 1950:	First shipment of unirradiated EU "J" slugs to Y-12
January 1952:	First recorded shipments of irradiated EU slugs to Idaho ICPP
January 1952:	Depleted UO_3 product was shipped (no fission products)
March 10, 1952:	First recorded shipment of UO_3 product to K-25 GDP with fission products
July 1952:	First assumed return of recycled uranium into Hanford
July 1958	Scheduled start of enriched UNH input into UO ₃ Plant [Gustafson 1957]

March-June, 1959: First production and shipment of enriched UO₃ to K-25

3.1.6.1 Beginning Shipment of Recycled Depleted Uranium Trioxide (UO₃)

As previously noted, the first shipment of recycled UO₃ produced at Hanford was shipped to Oak Ridge, Tennessee on March 10, 1952. Trial Production Lots 007, 008, and 009 were prepared from nominal 600 MWD/t material processed through the REDOX Plant. This initial truck shipment consisted of 24 drums and was sent to the K-25 Plant. The analytical results of composite samples for each lot were also provided [Richards 1952] and are shown in Figure 3-4. Further discussion of Hanford analytical data and product quality is detailed in Section 4.0.

3.1.6.2 Initial Shipments of Recycled Low-Enriched Uranium Trioxide (UO₃)

The first shipments of low-enriched (0.8 -0.9% 235 U) UO₃ to Oak Ridge were approved by the AEC on March 3, 1959. Shipments were initially to be made to the K-25 Facility [Gifford 1959].

3.2 Recycle Uranium Receipts

3.2.1 Uranium Forms Received from Offsite

The principal uranium form received at Hanford since its inception until the end of fiscal year 1988 was metal as either rods or billets to support fuel fabrication for Hanford Defense reactors. Figure 3-8 shows a typical box of inbound metal billets.



Figure 3-8 Typical Metal Billet Receipt Inbound from RMI/Fernald in the 1980s

To add some perspective, billets were typically 6-18 inches in diameter and ranged from 110 to as much as 190 Kg each. On a much smaller scale, as the UO₃ shipping containers were cycled back to Hanford from the Major Tier 1 sites, relatively small amounts of UO₃ were received as heels remaining in the returned shipping containers.

3.2.2 Initial Recycled Uranium Receipts into Hanford

- Depleted Uranium: July 1952 Hanford JO: heels in returned drums from Oak Ridge K-25
- Normal Uranium: July 1952 metal billets from offsite fuel fabricators

Enriched Uranium: July 1952 research and development quantities
 Enriched Uranium: July 1960 metal LEU billets from Fernald from production channel (Pu in parts per trillion U) (from cascades)
 Enriched Uranium: October 1963 metal LEU billets from Fernald (Pu in parts per

3.2.3 Receipts Prior to July 1952 (Out-of-Scope)

billion U)

In the late 1940s, Hanford receipts were natural uranium billets and rods from various metal fabricators under the management of the New York Operations Office (NYOO). Many of these same contractors were the recipients of Hanford shipments of scrap generated during the fuel fabrication activities and are detailed in Section 3.3. In the late 1940s and early 1950s, a majority of the Hanford billets were supplied by Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (MCW) and originated from three types of MCW cast ingots which included 1) ingots cast from natural uranium derbies; and 2) ingots recast from ingot croppings; and 3) ingots recast from reject slugs, rod ends, and rolling mill scrap [Greninger 1953]. Any uranium received at Hanford before July 1952 would not have contained reactor-produced fission products or radionuclides. There would have been no ²³⁶U in these uranium receipts but would have contained the same distribution of uranium isotopes as present in natural or enriched uranium from a GDP cascade.

3.2.4 Beginning Receipts of Recycled Uranium at Hanford

The beginning receipts of metal feed stock with trace transuranics into the 300 Area is assumed to begin in July 1952 (FY 1953). This assumption is based on the logic that transuranics in the March 1952 UO₃ shipped offsite, could not have reasonably been processed and re-introduced into the returning metal billets until July 1952. Throughout the 1950s, Hanford continued to receive substantial metal feedstock from the NYOO contractors (Mallinckrodt Chemical Works, Simonds Saw & Steel, etc.). The largest shipper of metal feedstock during the mid-1950s and until the 1980s was the National Lead of Ohio Company (NLO) plant in Fernald, Ohio. NLO was renamed the Feed Materials Production Center (FMPC) in the 1950s. FMPC is now managed by the Westinghouse Materials Company of Ohio. FMPC is a Major Tier 1 site, being both the recipient of Hanford fuel fabrication scrap and UO₃ product and the supplier of metal billet feedstock. FMPC produced, via plants 6 and 9, normal and low-enriched ingots that were finished into billets at Reactive Metals Inc. (RMI) and shipped to Hanford's 300 Area. Informal discussions with Fernald staff, indicate that there were no input points at RMI that could introduce transuranic contaminants into the billets shipped to Hanford. RMI was essentially a heat treating and extruding facility.

3.2.5 Quantities of Recycled Uranium Received from July 1952-March 30, 1999

The summary of in-scope recycled uranium received at the Hanford contractor(s) starting in July 1952 until March 31, 1999 totaled approximately 109,200 metric tons. Of

this total, approximately 85% was received from the three Major Tier 1 sites (~92,800 MTU). Yearly summaries for these three Major Tier 1 sites are detailed in Tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3. Summary fiscal year tables for all receipts by Hanford contractors are provided in Appendix B, Tables 3.2.1 through 3.2.8. These Appendix tables are divided into distinct timeframes to simplify transactions associated with the Hanford contractor turnover which occurred continually from 1965 onward. Summarized in Table 3-1below is the total recycled uranium received from offsite sources at Hanford.

Table 3-1	Total Recycled	Uranium	Received from	Offsite Sources
-----------	-----------------------	---------	----------------------	-----------------

Timeframe:	MTUs Received:	MTUs Rec'd	MTUs Rec'd
	All Offsite Sources:	Major Tier 1:	Minor Tier 1:
FY 1953-FY 19	965 77,603.7	72,869.5	4,734.2
FY 1966-FY 19	970 19,119.5	19,109.6	9.9
FY 1971-3/31/	99 12,420.4	788.0	11,632.4*
	109,143.6	92,767.1	16,376.5

*The majority of post FY 1971 receipts were from RMI Extrusion Plant (FTA) which supplied the Hanford fuel fabricator (United Nuclear, HXA).

3.2.6 Hanford Receipts of Recycled Uranium from Paducah

Hanford received residual UO₃ in returned containers from FY 1954 through FY 1964. The receipts from Paducah are detailed in Table 3-2.

3.2.7 Hanford Receipts of Recycled Uranium from Fernald and RMI (Ashtabula)

Hanford received metal billets from Fernald and Reactive Metals Incorporated (RMI), Ashtabula. Hanford also received residual UO₃ in returned shipping containers from Fernald. In 1983, incoming materials into the 300 Area were primarily 0.95% and 1.25% ²³⁵U billets from RMI in Ashtabula, Ohio. The receiving rate was nominally 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ loads per month at 18 metric tons uranium per load [Heaberlin 1983]. The receipts from Fernald are summarized in Table 3-3.

3.2.8 Hanford Receipts of Recycled Uranium from Oak Ridge

Hanford received relatively small quantities of UO_3 as heels in returned shipping containers and uranium metal for research programs. These receipts are summarized in Table 3-4.

3.3 Recycle Uranium Shipments

3.3.1 Recycled Uranium Streams Shipped Offsite:

Two major recycle uranium streams were shipped offsite from Hanford's beginnings until March 1999. The first of the two major streams was byproduct from the fuel

Table 3-2 Hanford Receipts From Paducah

(IN MTU) Union Carbide of Kentucky										
				Managemen	t by Oal	Ridge Operation	ons			
				managemen	CKY	FYA				
20 A 4	N S N D	ate	Into	Hanford		other the state of the last	19 43.0		1.199	MTU
FY	Erom	Te	RIS-	Contractor	Box #	Doc #	DU	NU:	響EU 看	Total
1953	01-Jul-52	30-Jun-53	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1085	0	0	0	0
1954	01-Jul-53	30-Jun-54	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1311	0	0	0	0
1955	01-Jul-54	30-Jun-55	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1481	0	0	0	0
1956	01-Jul-55	30-Jun-56	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1644	0	0.002	0	0
1957	01-Jul-56	<u>30-Jun-57</u>	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1980	2.2	0	0.7	2.9
1958	01-Jul-57	<u>30-Jun-58</u>	HGE	General Electric	38213	FIS CLVI 463-1A	2.2	0.049	1	2.2
1959	01-Jul-58	30-Jun-59	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 75006	2.9	0	01	2
1960	01-Jul-59	<u>30-Jun-60</u>	HGE	General Electric	20213	HAN 70125	20	0	0.1	20
1961	01-Jul-60	30-Jun-61	HGE	General Electric	20213	HAN 82406	2.5	0	0.6	<u> </u>
1962	01-Jul-61	30-Jun-62		General Electric	30213	HAN 85615	<u> </u>	0	0.0	<u> </u>
1064	01-Jul-02	30-Jun-64	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 88957	24	0	0	2.4
1065	01-Jul-64	30-Jun-65	HZA	General Electric	38213	HAN 92119	0	0	0	0
1903	01-301-04	00-0011-00		EV 195	2 - FY 19	965 Subtotal	22	0.1	2.4	24.5
1066	1.101-65	30- Jun-66		General Electric	38213	HAN 95170				
1900	1-101-05	30- Jun-66	HWA	Isochem Inc	38213	HAN 95136				
1300	1-541-05		Ha	nford Chem Processi	na Contra	actor subtotals				
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	HAN 95171				
1000				Hanford FY 66	Agarea	ate subtotal	0	0	0	0
1967	1- Jul-66	31-Dec-66	H7A	General Electric	39213	HAN 96413				
1967	01-lan-67	30- Jun-67	HZA	General Electric	39213	HAN 98198				
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 96400				
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 98196		1		
			Ha	nford Chem Processi	ng Contra	actor subtotals				
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 1916				
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	HAN 98194				
				Hanford FY 67	Aggreg	ate subtotal	0	0	0	0
1968	01-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	HAN 99439				
1968	01-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 699				
			Ha	nford Chem Processi	ng Contra	actor subtotals				
1968	01-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 3624				
1968	01-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 4436				
				Hanford FY 68	Aggreg	ate subtotal	0	0	0	0
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1036				
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1099-6				
			Ha	inford Chem Processi	ing Contra	actor subtotals	<u> </u>			
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 5250	 			
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 5942	L			
				Hanford FY 69	Aggreg	ate subtotal		0	0	0
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1099-12				
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1540-6			ļ	
			Ha	inford Chem Process	ing Contr	actor subtotals		0	0	
1970	1-Jul-69	<u>31-Dec-69</u>	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 6557	I	ļ	ļ	ļ
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 7049	<u> </u>	-		
				Hanford FY 70	Aggreg	ate subtotal	0	0	0	0
				<u>FY 196</u>	<u>6 - FY 1</u> :	970 Subtotal	0	0	0	0
				1952-June 30	. 1970 M	TU Subtotal	22	0.1	2.4	24.5
		,	uki 4	1070 - 3/21/00 4-	nford M	ITII Subtotal	0	0	0	0
 		J		<u>1310 - 3/31/33 Md</u> Uanford In Soc		Grand Tatal	1 22	01	24	24 5
L					<u>ve MIU</u>	Grand Total	66	<u> </u>	1 4.4	<u> </u>

Table 3-3 Hanford Receipts From Fernald

TOT			ECF	IVED	NLO, FMPC, FEMP						
IN MTHE)					Fernald Ohio						
(IN MIUS)						Managed	ov Oak Ridge Operations				
ļ							VA. EVB. EVC				
	D	ate	Into	Hanford	D- "	D	12	Hanford			
FY -	From	То	RIS	Contractor	BOX #	Doc #	DÜ	NŬ	EU	MTU Total	
1052	01_lan_52	30- lun-52	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 953	0	0	0	0	
1953	01-Jul-52	30-Jun-53	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1085	0	0	0.8	0.8	
1954	01-Jul-53	30-Jun-54	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1311	0.1	2,735	Ō	2,735.1	
1955	01-Jul-54	30-Jun-55	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1481	0	4,550	0	4,550.4	
1956	01-Jul-55	30-Jun-56	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1644	55.6	4,564	12.3	4,631.7	
1957	01-Jul-56	30-Jun-57	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1980	46.5	5,785	62.3	5,893.4	
1958	01-Jul-57	30-Jun-58	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS CLVI 463-1A	9.9	6,841	405	5 214 4	
1959	01-Jul-58	30-Jun-59	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 72720	0.4	4,099	794.2	7 146 6	
1960	01-Jul-59	30-Jun-60	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 70125	13	5 306	1 308 4	6 615.2	
1961	01-Jul-60	30-Jun-62	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 82406	0.4	4,956	1,405.6	6.361.7	
1963	01-Jul-62	30-Jun-63	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 85615	0	5,743	1,760.6	7,504	
1964	01-Jul-63	30-Jun-64	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 88957	29.4	4,775	1,923.2	6,727.7	
1965	01-Jul-64	30-Jun-65	HZA	General Electric	38213	HAN 92119	0	5,580	2,523	8,103.5	
F		• <u> </u>		FY 1	<u>953 - F</u> Y	1965 Subtotal	143.7	61,886.8	10,810.2	72,840.7	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HZA	General Electric	38213	HAN 95170	0	1,126.5	1,202.2	2,328.7	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 95136	0	0	0.3	0.3	
			Ha	nford Chem Proces	sing Con	tractor subtotals	0	1,126.5	1,202.6	2,329.1	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HXA	Douglas United Nu	<u>c 38214</u>	HAN 95171	0	1,992.8	1,282.8	3,275.6	
				Hanford FY	66 Aggi	regate subtotal	0	3,119.3	2,485.4	5,604.7	
1967	1-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HZA	General Electric	39213	HAN 96413	0	2.1	303.9	305.9	
1967	1-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HZA	General Electric	39213	HAN 98198	0	0.5	186.1	186.5	
1967	1-Jul-66	31-Dec-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 96400	0	0	0.2	0.2	
1967	1-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 98196	0	0	0.3	0.3	
1067	1 101 66	21 Dec 66	Ha T⊔v∧	Douglas United Nuc	SING CON		89.6	1 502 7	321 7	1 914	
1967	1-Jui-00	30- Jun-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	HAN 98194	4.7	1.694.9	950.7	2,650.3	
1307	1-5411-07	50-5011-07		Hanford FY	67 Aga	regate subtotal	94.3	3.200.1	1.762.9	5.057.3	
1968	1-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Ha	n 46425	5 HAN 99439	0	0.2	0.2	0.4	
1968	1-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Ha	n 46425	5 ARH 699	0	0	0.4	0.4	
			Ha	Inford Chem Proces	sing Con	tractor subtotals	0	0.2	0.6	0.8	
1968	1-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 3624	0	956.7	934.7	1,891.4	
1968	1-Jan-68	<u>30-Jun-68</u>	HXA	Douglas United Nuc		DUN 4436		290	2 168 8	1,529.0	
				Hantora F1	bo Aggi	regate subtotal	0	1,255	2,100.0	3,421.0	
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Ha	n 46423	5 ARH 1036		0	0.1	0.1	
1909	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-09		anford Chem Proces	sina Con	tractor subtotals		0	0.2	0.4	
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HXA	Douglas United Nur	38214	DUN 5250	ŏ	75.3	1,320.4	1,395.8	
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 5942	Ō	63.3	1,122.5	1,185.8	
	h			Hanford FY	69 Agg	regate subtotal	0	138.6	2,443.3	2,581.9	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Ha	n 46425	ARH 1099-12	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Ha	n 46425	ARH 1540-6	0	0	0.1	0.1	
			Ha	nford Chem Proces	sing Con	tractor subtotals	0	0	0	0	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	c 38214	4 DUN 6557	0	1,074.3	345.4	1,419.8	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	c 38214	4 DUN 7049	0	707.8	316.3	1,024.1	
				Hanford FY	70 Agg	regate subtotal	0	1,782.1	661.8	2,443.9	
	FY 1966 - FY 1970 Subtotal						94	9,493	9,522	19,110	
	FY 1971-3/1999 Receipts into Atlantic Richfield (HVA)							0	0.04	2.4	
		·····		10/77-7/87 Rece	ipts into	Rockwell (HRA)	0	0.03	6.65	6.7	
		Fነ	<u>/ 19</u> 71	-3/1999 Receipts in	to United	d Nuclear (HXA)	0.1	398.6	360.3	759	
	5	3/87-3/1999	Recei	pts into Westinghou	use (HUD) & Fluor (HTA)	0	0.44	0.61	1.1	
				1/1/65-3/1999 Re	eceipts ir	nto PNNL (HYA)	4.21	0.04	0.37	4.6	
				FY 71 thru Mar	rch 31,	1999 Subtotal	6.6	399.1	368	773.7	
			Gra	nd MTU Total F	Y 52 th	u March 1999	244.6	71,778	20,700.3	92,723.9	
 			<u> </u>	nd MTILIA Casa		EV 52 2/24/00	244.6	74 770	20 700 2	02 722 0	
L			Gra	<u>па мі и іп-ъсор</u>	e i otal	<u>FI 32-3/37/99</u>	244.0	1/1,//0.	20,700.3	52,123.9	

Table 3-4 Hanford Receipts From Oak Ridge (K-25 & Y-12)

TOTAL URANIUM					K-25 GDP & Y-12						
						Oak Rid	qe, Te	enn			
					Managed by Oak Ridge Operations						
					(CCC, CYT.FZ	E,FZA	FZB.	FZF		
me	Đ	ate	Into	Hanford	Pov #	Dec #	DB	NEE	FIL	Hanford	
FY F	From	Το	RIS	Contractor	BUX #	LOC #	00	INC		MTU Total	
1951	1-Jan-48	31-Dec-49	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 845	0.2	0	0	0.2	
1952	31-Dec-49	30-Jun-52	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1085	0.02	0.004	0.40	0.42	
1953	01-Jul-52	30-Jun-53	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1311	ŏ	ŏ	2.1	2.1	
1955	01-Jul-54	30-Jun-55	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1481	0	0	0.4	0.4	
1956	01-Jul-55	30-Jun-56	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1644	0	0	0	0	
1957	01-Jul-56	30-Jun-57	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1980	0	0	0	0	
1958	01-Jul-57	30-Jun-58	HGE	General Electric	38213	IFTS CLVI 463-1A	0	0	01	01	
1959	01-Jul-58	30-Jun-59	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 75006		0	0.1	0.4	
1960	01-Jul-59	30-Jun-60		General Electric	38213	HAN 79125	ŏ	ŏ	0.4	0.5	
1962	01-Jul-61	30-Jun-62	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 82406	0	0	0	0.1	
1963	01-Jul-62	30-Jun-63	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 85615	0.1	0	Q	0.1	
1964	01-Jul-63	30-Jun-64	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 88957	0	0	<u> </u>	0	
1965	01-Jul-64	30-Jun-65	HZA	General Electric	38213	HAN 92119		0	0		
					FY 1953 - 1	- ¥ 1965 SUDIOIAI	0.4	0.1	4.4	4.9	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66			38213	HAN 95170				0	
1900	CO-INC-1	30-Jun-00		anford Chem Proces	ssing Contra	actor subtotals				0	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HXA	Douglas United Nu	uc 38214	HAN 95171				0	
				Hanford	I FY 66 Agai	regate subtotal	0	0	0	0	
1967	1-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HZA	General Electric	39213	HAN 96413	 			0	
1967	1-Jan-67	30-Jun-67		General Electric	39213	HAN 98198	<u> </u>			0	
1967	1-Jul-00	30- lun-67		Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 98196	<u> </u>			ŏ	
1007	<u>1</u>		1,100,	Hanford Chem Pro	ocessing Co	ontractor subtotals				0	
1967	1-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HXA	Douglas United Nu	JC 38214	DUN 1916				0	
1967	1-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HXA	Douglas United Nu	<u>uc 38214</u>	HAN 98194		0.034		0	
			Lenza	Hanford	FY 67 Agg	regate subtotal		0.034	<u> </u>		
1968	1-Jul-67	31-Dec-67		Atlantic Richfield H	an 46425	MAN 99439	+				
1900	1 1-Jan-00	30-Jun-00		Hanford Chem Proc	essing Con	tractor subtotals	<u>†</u>			ŏ	
1968	1-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HXA	Douglas United Nu	JC 38214	DUN 3624		Î	0	0	
1968	1-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HXA	Douglas United Nu	uc 38214	DUN 4436				0	
				Hanforg	1 FY 68 Aga	regate subtotal	0	0	0	0	
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield H	lan 46425	5 ARH 1036	<u> </u>			0	
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	<u>I HVA</u>	Atlantic Richfield H	lan 4642	5 IARH 1099-6			 		
1060	1_101-68	31-Dec-68	Тнуд	Douglas United N	cessing Con		+	0.05	<u> </u>	01	
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HXA	Douglas United N	uc 3821	4 DUN 5942		0.00		0	
							0	0.05	0	0.1	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield H	lan 4642	5 ARH 1099-12				0	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HVA	Atlantic Richfield H	lan 4642	5 ARH 1540-6			ļ	0	
1070		A A A	1	Hanford Chem Proc	cessing Con	tractor subtotals				0	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69		Douglas United N	UC 3821	4 DUN 6557			<u> </u>	0	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70		Hanford F	Y 70 Aggre	gate subtotal	0	0	0	0	
FY 1966 - FY 1970 Subtotal								0.084	Ď	0.084	
EV 1971 -3/1999 Receipts into Atlantic Richfield (HVA)							1 0	0	Γ <u>Λ</u>	0	
10/77-7/87 Receipts into Rockwell (HRA)							1 ñ	1 ŏ	Ŏ	1 õ	
EY 1971 -3/1999 Receipts into United Nuclear (HXA)							0.9	Ĭŏ	Ŏ	0.9	
8/87-3/1999 Receipts into Westinghouse (HUD) & Fluor (HTA)								ŤŎ	Ŏ	0	
				1/1/65-3/1999 F	Receipts into	PNNL (HYA)	12.6	0.2	0.8	13.4	
	- / 4 · .		F	Y 71 thru Marc	h 31. 19	99 Subtotal	13.6	0	0.8	14.3	
			Gran	MTU Total 10	A7 thru	March 1999	14	02	5.2	19.4	
<u> </u>		MTIII	n_Soc	no Total EV 10	52 thru l	March 1000	120	02	1 2	187	
L		NIUI	11-360	<u>ye i uldi Fi 19</u>	<u>ייז מווע ו</u>	<u>nai (1333 </u>	113.0	U.Z	_ ₩.0	1 10.1	

2.8

2.496.0

5,646.2

Section 3 Recycled Uranium

fabrication activities in the 300 Areas. The other major stream was the UO_3 product produced at the UO_3 Plant in the 200 West Area.

Hanford UO₃ shipped after March 10, 1952 contained recycled uranium. The Major Tier 1 sites of Paducah, Fernald, and Oak Ridge received the vast majority of Hanford recycled uranium. Paducah received the majority for these three sites with approximately 74,500 MTU shipped out of Hanford from FY 1952 through FY 1973. After FY 1973, the majority of recycled uranium was sent to Fernald. Table 3-5 provides a brief summary of recycled uranium shipments from Hanford. Tables 3-6, 3-7, and 3-8 show these shipments to the Major Tier 1 sites in detail. Appendix B Tables 3.3.1 through 3.3.8 show the details of Hanford shipments to all off-site locations.

Timeframe:	MTUs Shipped	MTUs Shipped	MTUs Shipped
	All Offsite Sites	Major Tier 1	Minor Tier 1
March 1952-FY65	67,740.4	64,593.0	3,147.4

28.289.6

11,263.6

104.146.2

Table 3-5 Summary of Recycled Uranium Shipments from Hanford

3.3.2 Uranium Shipments from 300 Area Fuel Fabrication Activities

13,759.6

109.792.4

FY 1966-FY 1970 28,292.4

FY 1971-3/31/99

Recycle Total

Specific uranium forms being removed from the fuel fabrication shops included reject metal rods, uranium oxide, "eggs", "slugs", metallic chips and fines, and floor sweepings. As much uranium was recovered as was possible in the early years due to shortages in uranium feedstock supply. "Eggs" were a term for metal samples cut off from the ends of newly arrived billets and tested for impurities before the billets were fabricated into fuel elements. "Slugs" were an early term for uranium fuel elements in the form of short cylinders clad or encased in corrosion-resistant metals. The 1949 schematic in Figure 3-4 shows the various flows of the generated scrap from the fuel fabrication activities. The four major NYOO sites receiving Hanford scrap were Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (Simonds Saw & Steel (Lockport, New York), Vitro Manufacturing (Cannonburg, Pennsylvania), and Harshaw Chemical (Cleveland, Ohio)). Simonds performed metal rolling of the uranium billets, Mallinckrodt reprocessed sweepings, metal solids, "eggs", and rejected slugs. Vitro reprocessed Hersey Bag Filters (from UO₃ plant) and miscellaneous scrap oxides. Uranium billets and metal turnings were also shipped to National Lead of Ohio (NLO).

Beginning in 1952, Aluminum-Silicon (Al-Si) alloy scrap (from the fuel Fabrication process) was also shipped to the U.S. Bureau of Mines (Albany, Oregon) because that facility had developed a method for recovering the tin. The tin crystals contained uranium.

Table 3-6 Hanford Uranium Shipments To Paducah

						Union Carbide of Kentucky					
DI Vev		TEARS	,		ł						
						Managed by Oak Bidge Operations					
	Manageo										
							<u> </u>	- YA			
FY	Date	Date	From		Box	Doc #	MTU	MTU	MTU	Hantord MTH Total	
1052	Erom	T0 30, lup-52	HGE	General Electric	#	FTS 953	0		<u>_</u> 0	0	
1952	01-Jul-52	30-Jun-53	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1085	0	0	0	0	
1954	01-Jul-53	30-Jun-54	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1311	2,233	0	0	2,233	
1955	01-Jul-54	30-Jun-55	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1481	2,586.2	0	0.5	2,586.7	
1956	01-Jul-55	30-Jun-56	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 1644	4,105	0	0	4,105	
<u>1957</u>	01-Jul-56	30-Jun-57	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS CLVL 462 1A	5,385.9		0	5,385.9	
1958	01-Jul-57	30-Jun-58	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 72720	5 202 4	ŏ	0	5,202,4	
1959	01-Jul-59	30-Jun-60	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 75996	5,148.1	Ő	0	5,148.1	
1961	01-Jul-60	30-Jun-61	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 79125	6,093.8	0	0	6,093.8	
1962	01-Jul-61	30-Jun-62	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 82406	4,576.4	0	915.5	5,491.9	
1963	01-Jul-62	30-Jun-63	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 85615	5,771.9	0	0	5,771.9	
1964	01-Jul-63	30-Jun-64	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 88957	4,087.4	0	0	4,087.4	
1965	01-Jul-64	30-Jun-65	HZA		38213	EV 1065 Subtotal	51 246 5	0	916	52 162 5	
1066	1 1.4 65	20 Jun 66		Conoral Electric	29212	HAN 95170	01,240.0		010	01,701.0	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 95136	0				
				Hanford Chem Proce	ssina	Contractor subtotals	0				
1966	_1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	HAN 95171	0	0	0	0	
1067	4 Jul 66	21 Dog 66	□ 7∧	General Electric	20212	HAN 06/13	0			× ×	
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HZA	General Electric	39213	HAN 98198	0				
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 96400	0	ļ			
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	38213	HAN 98196	14.432.9			•	
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	НХА	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 1916	<u>14.432.9</u> 0				
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HXA.	Douglas United Nuc	38214	HAN 98194	0				
				Hanfor	d FY 6	7 Aggregate subtotal	14,432.9	0	0	14,433	
1968	01-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	HAN 99439	0			[
1968	101-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	IHVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 699	0				
1968	01-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 3624	0				
1968	01-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 4436	0				
				Hanford F	Y 68 A	Aggregate subtotal	0	0	0	0	
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1036	0		ļ		
1969	1-Jan-69	130-Jun-69	IHVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1099-6	3.537.1				
1969	1-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 5250	0				
1969	1-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 5942	0				
				Hanford F	-Y 69 /	Aggregate subtotal	3,537.1	0	0	3,537	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	46425	ARH 1099-12	0				
1970	1-Jan-70	130-Jun-70	I HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	146425	ARH 1540-6	0	0	0		
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 6557	0				
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	38214	DUN 7049	0				
				Hanfoi	rd FY 7	0 Aggregate subtotal	0	0	0	0	
	FY 1966 - FY 1970 Subtotal							0	0	17.970	
				<u> 1952-June</u>	30. 7	<u>0 MTU Subtotal</u>	<u>69.216.5</u>	0	<u>916</u>	70.132.5	
1971	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-71	HVA	Atlantic R	ichfield	Hanford	624.9	0	96.7	721.6	
1972	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-72	HVA	Atlantic R	ichfield	Hanford	1,292	0	1,786.4	3,078.4	
1973	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-72	HVA	Atlantic R	ichfield	Hanford	208.1	0	350	558.1	
				July 1. 1970 - P	resen	t MTU Subtotal	2.125	0	2.233.1	4.358.1	
		Hanf	ord I	MTU Grand Total	I-AII U	J Types	71.341.5	5 0	3.149.1	74.490.6	
		Hanfor	rd M1	TU In-Scope Gra	nd To	otal-All U Types	71.341.5	0	3.149.1	74.490.6	

Table 3-7 Hanford Summary Shipments To Fernald In Mtu

BY FISCAL YEARS (BY MTUs) N	ational L	ional Lead of Ohio (NLO)			
F	ernald (F	/A, FVB,	FVC) FEMI		
Ex Data From Hanford Box		add MTU		MTU AI U	
From RIS Contractor #	DU	NU	EU	Total	
1952 01-Jul-51 30-Jun-52 HGE General Electric 38213 FTS 953	0	0	0	0	
1953 01-Jul-52 30-Jun-53 HGE General Electric 38213 FTS 1085	0.1	0	0	0.1	
1955 01-Jul-54 30-Jun-55 HGE General Electric 38213 FTS 1481	Ō	266.2	Ŏ	266.2	
1956 01-Jul-55 30-Jun-56 HGE General Electric 38213 FTS 1644	0	411.5	0	411.5	
1957 01-Jul-50 30-Jun-57 HGE General Electric 38213 FTS 1980		348.4	0.5	348.9	
1959 01-Jul-58 30-Jun-59 HGE General Electric 38213 HAN 7272	0 1.4	489.9	17.7	509	
1960 01-Jul-59 30-Jun-60 HGE General Electric 38213 HAN 7599	6 0.018	362.1	20.5	382.6	
1961 01-Jul-60 30-Jun-61 HGE General Electric 38213 HAN 7912	50	283.9	49.9	333.8	
1962 01-Jul-61 30-Jul-62 HGE General Electric 38213 HAN 8240	<u> </u>	227.8	265	429.4	
1964 01-Jul-63 30-Jun-64 HGE General Electric 38213 HAN 8895	7 0	241.9	1,269.1	1,511	
1965 01-Jul-64 30-Jun-65 HZA General Electric 38213 HAN 9211	9 0	89.3	1,946.8	2,036.1	
FY 52 thru FY 65 Subtotal	1.5	3,225.1	4,811	8,037.6	
1966 01-Jul-65 30-Jun-66 HWA Isochem Inc. 38213 HAN 95170		122.2	095.0	1,018	
Hanford Chem Processing Contractor subtotals		122.2	2,023.7	2,146	
1966 01-Jul-65 30-Jun-66 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 HAN 9517	1 0	82.4	14	96	
Hanford FY Aggregate subtota	0	204.6	2,037.7	2,242	
1967 01-Jul-66 31-Dec-66 HZA General Electric 39213 HAN 96413	3 0	2.5	56.7	59	
1967 01-Jan-67 J0-Jun-67 HZA General Electric 39213 HAN 98198		1.4	<u> </u>	119	
1967 01-Jan-67 30-Jun-67 HWA Isochem Inc. 38213 HAN 98196	0	0	735.2	735	
Hanford Chem Processing Contractor subtotals	0	3.9	1,459.5	1,463	
1967 01-Jul-66 31-Dec-66 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 1916	0	32.2	10.8	43	
1967 01-Jan-67 30-Jun-67 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 HAN 98194	0	40.4	14.4	55	
Hanford FY Aggregate subtotal		76.5	1,484.7	1,561	
1968 01-Jul-07 31-Dec-67 HVA Atlantic Richfield Han 46425 HAN 99439		0	552.2	552	
Hanford Chem Processing Contractor subtotals		0	1,001.7	1,002	
1968 01-Jul-67 31-Dec-67 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 3624	0	58.2	88.4	1,354	
1968 01-Jan-68 30-Jun-68 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 4436	0	26.4	173.6	200	
Hanford FY Aggregate subtotal	1 0	84.6	1,815.9	1,901	
1969 01-Jul-68 31-Dec-68 HVA Atlantic Richfield Han 46425 ARH 1036	0	0	835	835	
1969 01-Jan-69 30-Jun-69 HVA Atlantic Richfield Han 46425 ARH 1099-	6 0	0	1,035	1,035	
Hanford Chem Processing Contractor subtotals	0	0	1,870	1,870	
1969 01-Jul-68 31-Dec-68 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 5250	0	46.4	112.2	159	
1909 UT-Jan-09 30-Jun-09 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 5942		27.2	83	110	
1970 1- Jul-69 31 Dec 60 HVA Atlantic District Una 46405 ADU 4000 44		73.0	2,000.2	2,139	
1970 1-Jan-70 30-Jun-70 HVA Atlantic Richfield Han 46425 ARH 1099-1	2 U 467.0	0	1,149.1	1,149	
Hanford Chem Processing Contractor subtotals	467.9	0	1 760	2 237	
1970 1-Jul-69 31-Dec-69 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 6557	8.7	36.7	130.5	176	
1970 1-Jan-70 30-Jun-70 HXA Douglas United Nuc 38214 DUN 7049	0	14.5	49.4	64	
Hanford FY Aggregate subtotal	476.6	51.2	1,948.9	2,477	
FY 1966 - FY 1970 Subtotal	476.6	490.5	9.352.4	10.319.5	
FY 1971-3/1999 Shipments from Atlantic Richfield (HVA)	0	0.2	0.1	0.3	
4/84-4/87 Shipments from Rockwell (HRA)	0	0	3,088.29	3,088.3	
FY 1971-3/1999 Shipments from United Nuclear (HXA)	5.4	1,431.3	2,186.34	3,623	
9/88-4/89 Shipments Westinghouse Han (HUD)	0	0	123.64	123.6	
FY 1971-3/1999 Shipments from PNNL (HYA)	14.2	20.2	24.1	58.5	
FY 71 thru March 31, 1999 Subtotal	19.6	1,451.7	5,422.5	6,893.8	
Grand MTU Total FY 52 thru March 1999	497.7	5,167.3	19,585.9	25,250.9	
	407 7	E 467 9	40 505 0		

Table 3-8 Hanford Summary Shipments To Oak Ridge (K-25 & Y-12)

BY FISCAL YEARS								Oak Ridge K-25 & Y-12				
(IN MTUs)								CCC, C	YT. FZE	, FZA, F	ZB, FZF	
EV	i D	ate 🖉 📖	Etom	Hanford	Doy #	Dee			Sen on		MTUAI	
	From	Se Ta	RIS	Contractor	204.0		7			mic-e	UTotal	
1952	01-Jul-51	30-Jun-52	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 9	53	154.4			170.6	
1953	01-Jul-52	30-Jun-53	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 10	085	557.2	46.4	0.02	603.6	
1954	01-JUI-53	30-Jun-54	HGE	General Electric	38213	FIS 1.	311	1,147	28.5	0	1,1/6.1	
1955	01-Jul-54	30-Jun-56	HGE	General Electric	38213	FIS 14	+0 I 544	498.9		0.5	499.4	
1957	01-Jul-56	30-Jun-57	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS 10	280	08.1	0	0.1	209.2	
1958	01-Jul-57	30-Jun-58	HGE	General Electric	38213	FTS CLVL	463-1A	86	0	0.7	90.0	
1959	01-Jul-58	30-Jun-59	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 72	2720	0.0	0	288.2	288.3	
1960	01-Jul-59	30-Jun-60	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 75	996	0	0	610.6	610.6	
1961	01-Jul-60	30-Jun-61	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 79	125	0	0	614.9	614.9	
1962	01-Jul-61	30-Jun-62	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 82	406	0	0	46.8	46.8	
1963	01-Jul-62	30-Jun-63	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 85	615	0	0	1.6	1.6	
1964	01-Jul-63	30-Jun-64	HGE	General Electric	38213	HAN 88	957	0	0	0.01	0.01	
1965	01-Jul-64	30-Jun-65	HZA	General Electric	38213	HAN 92	2119	0	0	0	0	
	3			FY 52 tl	nru FY	65 Subto	tal	2,753.7	91.1	1,564.2	4,409	
1966	1-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HZA	General Electric	382	213 HAN 9	5170	0	0	0.	0	
1966	01-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HWA	Isochem Inc.	382	213 HAN 9	5136	0	0	0	0	
		Ha	nford	Chem Processing (Contra	ctor subte	otals	0	0	0	0	
1966	01-Jul-65	30-Jun-66	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	214 HAN 9	5171	0	0.1	0	0	
				Hanford FY A	\ggreg	ate subto	otal	0	0.1	0	0.1	
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HZA	General Electric	392	213 HAN 9	96413	0	0	0	0	
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HZA	General Electric	392	213 HAN	98198	0	0	0	0	
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	382	213 HAN 9	96400	0	0	0	0	
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HWA	Isochem Inc.	382	213 HAN 9	98196	0	0	0	0	
1007		Ha	nford	Chem Processing (Contra	ctor subte	otals	0	0	0	0	
1967	01-Jul-66	31-Dec-66	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	214 DUN	1916	0	0	0	0	
1967	01-Jan-67	30-Jun-67	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	214 HAN 9	98194	0	0	0	0	
				Hantord F	-Y Agg	regate su	ibtotal	0	0	0	0	
1968	01-Jul-67	31-Dec-67	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 HAN	99439	0	0	0	0	
1968	01-Jan-68	30-Jun-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 ARH	699	0	0	0	0	
1069	01 101 67	71 Dec 67	Infora	Chem Processing C	contra	ctor subt	otals	0	0	0	0	
1968	01-Jui-07	30-Jun-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	14 DUN	3024	0	0	0	0	
1000	or our oo	00-001-00	11/21	Hanford F			4430 Intotal	0	<u> </u>	0	0	
1969	01-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 ARH	1036	0				
1969	01-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 ARH 1	099-6	n i	0	0 0	<u> </u>	
		Ha	nford	Chem Processing (Contra	ctor subt	otals	o l	<u>_</u>	0		
1969	01-Jul-68	31-Dec-68	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	14 DUN	5250	0	0	0	0	
1969	01-Jan-69	30-Jun-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	14 DUN	5942	0	0	0	0	
				Hanford F	YAgo	regate su	btotal	0	0	0	Ō	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 ARH 1	099-12	0.	0.	0	0	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HVA	Atlantic Richfield Han	464	25 ARH 1	540-6	0	0	0	0	
		<u> </u>	lanfor	d Chem Processing	Contr	actor sub	totals	0	0	0	0	
1970	1-Jul-69	31-Dec-69	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	14 DUN	6557	0	0	0	Ō	
1970	1-Jan-70	30-Jun-70	HXA	Douglas United Nuc	382	14 DUN	7049	0	0	0	0	
<u> </u>	· . · · · .			Hantord F	Y Agg	regate su	Dtotal	0	0	0	0	
		EV 4074	2/4000	FY 19	66 - F	<u>r 1970 Su</u>	ptotal	0	0.1	0	0.1	
<u> </u>		<u></u>	5/1999	Snipments from At	iantic	<u>Richfield</u>	(HVA)	0	0	0	0	
	• • ••• ••••	EV 407	1 2/40	V04-4/87 Shipments	from I	<u>Rockwell</u>	(<u>HRA)</u>	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	
<u> </u>		0/99 3/00	<u>-3/79</u>	STIPMENTS TOM	United	NUClear	(HXA)	0	0	0	0	
		3/00 -3/99		INCLUS WESTINGNOUSE		<u>y & riuor</u>	(HIA)	2.94	0	0.01	2.95	
			FI	EV 74 4L **-	TIS TO	1000 0	(TTA)	0.58	<u> </u>	2.09	8.67	
<u> </u>			<u> </u>		ED 41	<u>, 1999 Su</u>	DIOTAL	9.5	U	2.1	11.6	
		Grand		and MIU IOTALFY		<u>u march</u>	7999	2./03.2	91.2	1.566.3	4.420.8	
L		Grand	<u> </u>	n-scope Total FY	<u>52 thi</u>	<u>u March</u>	1999	2,763.2	75	1,566.3	4,404.6	

In the late 1940s, as part of Uranium Sample Exchange Programs, Hanford shipped metal billets to Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (St. Louis) for metallic impurity comparisons [Rebol 1949].

Until the end of June 1952, all Hanford outbound shipments were of unirradiated natural uranium scrap or research materials generated at the 300 Area Fuel Fabrication or Hanford research laboratories. The primary recipients for the reprocessing of this scrap were Mallinckrodt Chemical Works, Simonds Saw & Steel Company, Vulcan Crucible Steel, Joslyn Manufacturing, and Vitro Manufacturing. The majority of the receipt sites were under the management of AEC's New York Operations Office (NYOO). As the metallurgical and chemical refinements to the Hanford fuel cycle continued, small quantities of unirradiated natural uranium were also sent to various laboratories for research. Shipments to the New York contractors was phased out in the early 1950s as the Oak Ridge-managed plants at Oak Ridge, Tennessee and Fernald, Ohio became the primary recipients of the fuel fabrication scrap. All production channel shipments of natural uranium from the late 1940s through June 1952 are therefore assumed to be out-of-scope for this report.

For the purposes of this project, it was assumed that offsite scrap shipments of recycled uranium from fuel fabrication activities began in July 1952 (FY 1953). This is based on the assumption that transuranics from UO_3 , or within irradiated slugs shipped offsite, could not have been processed and re-introduced into the returning metal billets until July 1952.

In the 1980's, all the Fuel Fabrication scrap was sent to National Lead of Ohio (NLO). Scrap forms included sludges, fines, and burned oxide (began in 1984). Approximately 181 MTU of 0.95% and 26 MTU of 1.25% as scrap was forecasted to be generated per year. A scrap generation rate of 21% of input was forecasted [Heaberlin 1983].

3.3.3 Hanford Shipments of Recycled Uranium in Trioxide Product

3.3.3.1 UO₃ Finished Product

For UO₃ finished product, the first shipment of UO₃ was rail shipped to K-25 on January 25, 1952 and consisted of 8 drums of Lot 001 [Richards 1952b]. The second shipment (Lot 002, 7 drums) to K-25 was shipped on February 11, 1952 [Richards 1952]. Both of these lots were produced from natural uranium and contained no fission products. They were "cold" test runs to validate the UO₃ conversion process. This material was shipped to K-25 to make sure the physical (particle size) and metallic impurities were within Oak Ridge acceptance criteria. As the "cold" UO₃ was examined and found acceptable, Hanford began spiking the feed stream with UNH derived from irradiated fuel.

3.3.3.2 Introduction of Fission Products

The introduction of fission products into the UO₃ product is indicated in production records that show a March 10, 1952 beginning for truck shipments, in drums, of

recycled uranium trioxide product to the Oak Ridge K-25 Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP). (Copies of these historical transfer documents, with attendant analytical data, were previously shown in this report as Figures 3-4 and 3-5.) This March 1952 UO₃ shipment is consistent with Hanford production history indicating UO₃ test runs in January 1952 and full operation in February 1952. The primary recipient of early 1950s Hanford UO₃ was to be the Harshaw Plant [Sturges 1952], but shipments were diverted to Oak Ridge facilities as their feedstocks became depleted. In March 1959, General Electric was authorized by the AEC to begin routine shipments of low-enriched (0.94% 235 U before irradiation) UO₃ to the K-25 facilities in Oak Ridge [Gifford 1959]. Hanford LEU UO₃ shipments began soon thereafter.

3.3.4 Out-of-Scope Research and Development Spent Fuel

The irradiated fuel research and development program, referred to as the Pile Enrichment program, involved the receipt of unirradiated slugs from Y-12, irradiation in Hanford reactors, and shipment to Idaho.

The J-1 slugs were irradiated at H reactor and the J-2 slugs at C reactor. The "C' slugs were irradiated at C and H reactor. Early in calendar year 1952, as the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant (ICPP) came on line, shipments of these "J" irradiated slugs began [Sturges 1953]. These transactions between Hanford and Idaho are considered out-of-scope for this study.

Prior to and continuing into 1952, Hanford also transferred small research quantities of aqueous uranyl nitrate hexahydrate, processed through REDOX and U-Plant, to Mallinckrodt Chemical Works and the Oak Ridge K-25 facility for subsequent conversion to UO₃ [Richards 1950]. Although uncommon, UNH solutions were shipped offsite by rail in tanker cars. In 1952, Hanford shipped UNH to Brush Beryllium Company in Luckey, Ohio [Freitag 1952]. This company stored the UNH until it could be transferred to Harshaw for conversion to UO₃.

3.3.5 Post Fiscal Year 1970 Shipments

After FY 1970, Hanford shipments continued to Fernald. In the early 1970s, Hanford missions also became more diversified with uranium materials being allotted by Defense Programs to support Research and Development projects such as the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). Additionally, some of the Hanford recycled legacy metal and scrap was shipped outside the United States to support Mutual Defense Agreements and Hanford environmental management missions. Approximately 1,000 MTU were shipped abroad between 1993 and 1996 to support these governmental agreements [De-Minimis 2000].

3.3.6 Shipment Packaging and Scheduling

In the early 1950s, UO₃ product was shipped in steel 55-gallon drums via both truck and rail. Beginning in 1956, T-Hoppers based on a Union Carbide Nuclear Company design

(blueprint #D-KP-K7805AE-2) were used in addition to the 55-gallon drums. The T-Hoppers could be filled with a nominal load of up to 12,000 pounds (~5.4 metric tons of UO₃). Figure 3-9 shows some T-Hoppers stored in the 200 West Area at Hanford.



Figure 3-9 UO₃ T-Hoppers at Hanford Rail Spur, 200 West Area

Early shipments of depleted UO_3 going to Paducah were shipped in drums with weights not to exceed 1,600 pounds of total UO_3 [Elgert 1968]. When rail was the transport method, the drummed UO_3 was sent in lots consisting of 4 drums per pallet and 15 pallets per rail car.

During the 1960s, shipment schedules of trioxide returns to Fernald were keyed to Quarterly Production Forecasts. Shipments for delivery to Fernald usually departed Hanford before the twentieth of each month to allow time for transport [Christy 1968]. Transportation time was ~2 weeks turnaround between Hanford and Fernald. Each T-Hopper was nominally loaded with ~4.5 MTU. Ten T-Hoppers could be loaded per standard railroad flat car. Because only 2 railcars had special tie-downs, shipments were restricted to either 45 or 90 MTU units [Heaberlin 1983].

In 1969, Depleted UO₃ was shipped to Fernald by rail in 55-gallon drums loaded into boxcars due to the shortage of available T-Hoppers [Christy 1969].

In the 1980s, UO₃ process pipeline storage capacity was 45.6 tons of UO₃. Yard storage of UO₃ in 55-gallon drums or T-Hoppers was virtually unlimited (>1,500 MTU). Loading could keep up with maximum production rates.

3.3.7 Transaction Material Control and Accountability (MC&A):

Beginning in the early 1950s, shipment and receipt requests were approved through AEC correspondence. This correspondence was as both letters and teletypes between the various field offices. Transactions were recorded on AEC 101 forms. In later years, this form evolved into the current DOE 741 form but the basic function has remained unchanged. An example of the transfer forms and product acceptance forms are shown in Figures 3-4, 3-5, and 3-6 respectively. Key portions of the form included transfer authority, material type and description, authorized shipper signature, and authorized receiver signature. Accountability values were based on the net weight of the UO₃ which were, in turn, determined by chemical analysis of composite samples with the ²³⁵U content determined by mass spectrometry analysis of the representative composite samples. As the planning for shipments evolved, any Hanford shipments which Hanford testing indicated were out-of-specification were reviewed, by formal correspondence, by the receiver site and approved prior to any physical transfers.

In the early 1980s, as the Rockwell Hanford contractor readied for the restart of the PUREX Plant and UNH shipments to the UO_3 Plant, the PUREX Material Control and Accountability Plan [Larson 1982] was prepared in which three analytical quality control programs were implemented for the laboratory measurement systems. The three systems were:

- Maintenance of control charts for each laboratory system
- Strict adherence to the Control of Analytical Measurement Systems (CAMS)
- Statistical tracking and evaluation per the Laboratory Accountability Measurement Program (LAMP) [RHO-MA-138 1978]

3.3.8 Sample Exchange Programs and Sample Shipments

In the late 1940s, the AEC understood the need for establishing a complex-wide set of uranium specifications and measurement methodology. Early specifications for depleted UO₃ were led by Oak Ridge and concurred with by Mallinckrodt, Harshaw, Hanford and NLO. As detailed in Section 4.1, early Hanford laboratory analyses were performed in accordance with HW-24403 (sections 472.2, 285.1, 660.22, 845.10, & 845.14) [McIntosh 1952]. Specifications for enriched UO₃ were based on K-25 operating experience and implemented at Hanford [Smith 1959].

Early in the 1950s, samples were exchanged for comparison and standardization. A triad of measurement programs provided standards and limits for the uranium

transactions. The three programs included 1) the AEC-wide Measurements Program; 2) Fissionable Standards Samples Committee; and 3) Sample Exchange Program.

In the 1950s, Hanford's UO₃ Plant Control Laboratory composited samples of each ten (10) drum lot for each carload of UO₃ product shipped. Samples were analyzed at Hanford and one-half of each sample was sent to the receiving site for check analysis. About 25-30 samples monthly were exchanged with K-25 and Harshaw [Hauff 1952].

For inbound billets in the 1950s, uranium metal quality control of non-radioactive constituents was maintained through an analytical checking arrangement with Mallinckrodt with up to 10 samples per month exchanged. Hanford sampling of metal occurred before it was placed into storage [Hauff 1952]. The Hanford specification [Groot 1952] for receipt of uranium metal was strictly enforced with "…no deviations from these specifications will be accepted without prior approval" [McCullough 1952].

3.4 Recycle Uranium Scrap, Waste, and Conversion

3.4.1 Introduction

In the sub-sections below are summaries of Hanford's past waste handling activities that are relevant to recycled uranium. Also included is a discussion of the uranium consumed in the production reactors. Waste and scrap streams from the 300 Area Fuel Fabrication facilities, the separations plants and the UO_3 Plant are discussed. Each of these processes has been previously described in Section 2.0

The uranium waste streams were examined for possibilities of disposition and uranium content. Overall, less than two percent of the uranium handled in all aspects of operation was discharged as waste or local environmental releases.

3.4.2 Reactor Fuel Element Fabrication

Uranium-containing wastes were generated during the fabrication of reactor fuel elements. For the majority of the fuel fabrication activities, uranium slugs received at Hanford were first cleaned and then canned in aluminum cans. For a short time period, Hanford received metal ingots that were extruded, rolled, and cut into slugs or "cored" fuel rods for canning. With the start-up of Fernald, Hanford received billets that were coextruded, sectioned to specified lengths, and finished. The various unit operations included a number of cleaning, degreasing, acid leaching, and autoclave operations using nitric acid, hydrofluoric acid, sulfuric acid, organic solvents, and water. The liquid streams from these operations were treated to recover uranium. The uranium-containing sludge recovered from the treatment activities was processed to recover uranium. After treatment, these liquid wastes were routed to ponds and trenches. During 1984, the reported amount of uranium discharged, via liquid waste, was 0.004 percent [Hillesland 1984].

Scraps in the form of chips and turnings from the lathes, rejected fuel slugs and the "butts" from the extrusion processes were salvaged and recycled. The chips and turnings were sorted, broken into smaller pieces, washed, dried, and pressed into briquettes. Initially, the filtered solids and dust were put into an oxide burner and converted to oxide. Later the chips and fines were drummed and sent to Fernald for recycle. Some of the fines and dust were cemented in drums and sent to solid waste disposal. (Additional information on scrap handling can be found in Sections 2.2.7.2, 2.2.8.5, and in Appendix F.)

Airborne effluents from uranium sawing and lathe operations were exhausted through an exhaust system equipped with a water spray scrubber to remove uranium particles, chemical vapors, gases, fumes and smoke particles. A typical annual emissions report from the 333 Building [Riches 1979] stated that the uranium concentration from the cutoff saw exhaust was 4.6 X 10 ⁻⁹ lb/ft³ in a total air volume of 2.9 X 10⁹ ft³.

Solid uranium wastes, which included materials in failed and replaced equipment and normal line-generated process waste, were sent to Hanford burial grounds in the 300 and 600 Areas.

The description which follows, is based on the DOE Environmental Assessment [DOE/EA-0030 1980] and provides summary level information of scrap and waste streams from the 300 Area Fuel Fabrication facilities.

Uranium processing and effluent streams follow four principal material flow paths as related to fuel manufacturing. These are finished fuel, in-process storage, scrap returned to National Lead of Ohio (Fernald) for recovery, and waste streams. These streams are shown in Figure 3-10.



Figure 3-10 Uranium Flow and Inventory in Fuels Manufacturing Process (CY1978) [DOE/EA-0030 1980]

3.4.2.1 Scrap Returned to Fernald

Uranium metal scrap and sludge from uranium-bearing acids were returned to Fernald for reprocessing. Uranium scrap sources included uranium chips and saw fines, solid metal scrap, and sodium diuranate sludge.

3.4.2.2 Liquid Effluent - Chemical Waste Containing Uranium

A chemical waste system was used in the 300 Area to receive and dispose of all concentrated liquid chemical wastes, including three liquid waste streams containing uranium. As shown in Figure 3-11, the system provided for collection, neutralization, and transportation of the wastes to concrete basins in the 100-H Area where the liquids would evaporate to form a solid salt cake. Later, as part of the Hanford Site response to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) the residual material was stabilized, removed, and buried at the Hanford Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF).

The only routine chemical wastes and uranium particulates to enter the process sewer from Buildings 313 and 333 were from process sewer rinse tanks, air scrubbers, wash stations, cut-off saws, and the concretion facility in the 304 Building.



Waste Acid and Uranium-Bearing Acid Recovery System

Figure 3-11 Waste Acid and Uranium-Bearing Acid Recovery System [DOE/EA-0030 1980]

Chemical solutions that contained appreciable amounts of uranium were collected in holding tanks, pumped to Building 313 and neutralized with sodium hydroxide. The precipitate was shipped to Fernald for recovery.

Chemicals used and transferred were controlled, and liquids discharged to the process sewer were neutralized. Neutralized waste storage tanks (surrounded by dikes) held 10,000 gallons of liquid waste.

3.4.2.3 Airborne Effluents

All plants had filtered ventilation and air monitoring devices to assure safety of personnel and that atmospheric releases were controlled. It is noted that prior to 1948, T-Plant and B-Plant did not have exhaust filters and had to restrict dissolution activities to periods when atmospheric conditions would permit maximum dilution of the radioactive and non-radioactive off-gases. Ventilation systems were provided at process locations to collect and remove airborne uranium particulates and smoke and to discharge the filtered air outside the buildings.

3.4.2.4 Solid Waste

Solid waste contaminated with uranium was packaged for transfer, by truck, to the burial sites in the 200 and 600 Areas. Some of the solid burial sites in the 600 Area contain unreported quantities of uranium waste. The Waste Information Data System maintained by the Environmental Restoration Contractor has information on each waste site on the Hanford Project. Included in the description of each site is the concentration, when known, of the chemical and radionuclide concentration.

3.4.2.5 300 Area Process Trenches

The chemical wastes and uranium within the process water that entered the process sewer from fuel fabrication were diluted in the sewer before being discharged into the two process sewer trenches.

3.4.3 Hanford Separation Plants

T-Plant, B-Plant, and the REDOX, U-Plant, and PUREX separations plants routinely discharged uranium in a number of waste streams to the environment, waste storage tanks, and to the solid waste burial ground. Plant operations were designed to minimize loss of product and for protection of workers and the environment. As earlier stated, both the T-Plant and B-Plant processed irradiated fuel to recover plutonium from the uranium and fission products, which were transferred to underground tanks. REDOX and PUREX recovered both plutonium and uranium as primary products. U-Plant reclaimed the uranium from the waste that had been discharged from the T- and B-plants.

Solid wastes, such as failed equipment and line-generated wastes, were sent to the Hanford Burial Grounds.

3.4.4 Recovery of Uranium in the U-Plant

After uranium had been removed in the TBP process at U-Plant, residual liquid was returned to the waste tanks and chemically struck to cause precipitation of the fission products. Clarified liquid was then pumped to the BC cribs located just south of the 200 East Area. Approximately thirty million gallons of waste liquors containing about 5,700 kgs of uranium were thus disposed.

Other wastes from the TBP process were disposed to the liquid and solid waste pathways described in Section 3.4.6.

3.4.5 Uranium Trioxide (UO₃) Plant

The major unit operations performed at the UO_3 Plant were concentration of uranyl nitrate hexahydrate (UNH), calcination of UNH to UO_3 , packaging of the UO_3 product, and nitric acid recovery. Uranium-containing wastes were generated during routine operation. The waste streams included solid wastes which were buried, the liquid effluents discharged to the ground, and gaseous effluents released to the atmosphere.

The UO_3 process condensates were pumped to the 216-U-12 Crib, though some went to the acid absorber tower for use as reflux water. Uranium-contaminated liquid wastes including steam condensate, chemical sewer, and cooling water were discharged to the U-10 pond.

The vapors leaving the concentrators contained water and very dilute nitric acid which were condensed and discarded as waste. Calcination of the UNH produced oxides of nitrogen, oxygen and water. The gaseous products were drawn through an off-gas scrubber, a gas cooler, and an absorption tower before being discharged to the atmosphere. A portion of the recovered nitric acid was circulated back through the acid scrubber and the remainder was pumped to storage for shipment back to the PUREX Plant. The nitric acid had a low residual level of UNH. The flowsheet [Raab 1978] indicated that the UO₃ content of the scrubber off-gas was negligible. The UO₃ product was conveyed to a cyclone separator where the UO₃ powder and the transporting air were separated. The air was filtered first through two bag filters and then a final filter before discharge to the atmosphere.

Solid contaminated uranium waste, consisted typically of failed equipment and normal line-generated process waste. These solid wastes were buried in the 200 Area waste burial grounds.

Gaseous wastes from concentration, calcination powder handling, and acid recovery operation were filtered and discharged to the atmosphere. Radioactive elements in this stream included uranium.

3.4.6 Summary of Uranium Discharged to Wastes at Hanford

The major uranium-containing waste streams included solid wastes buried in the 200 and 300 Areas and liquid wastes which were disposed of in the 100, 200 and 300 areas. The majority of the liquid wastes were generated by the irradiated-fuel reprocessing plants which discharged process wastes to the underground waste storage tanks. Liquid effluents from the processing plants that contained low levels of radioactivity were also discharged to the ground via French drains, retention basins, ponds, and trenches. Gaseous effluents were a insignificant source of uranium losses.

Waste Management records indicate that on the $\sim 2,174$ MTU in the form of waste has been disposed at Hanford. The distribution is shown in Table 3-9.

Uranium-bearing low level liquid wastes from the 200 Area facilities, were discharged to approximately 110 cribs, ponds, tile fields, and other similar structures. This does not include the twenty-two trenches of BC Cribs that are located in or near the 200 Areas.

Solid wastes from the 200 Area operations were disposed to approximately 27 burial sites [Maxfield 1979].

Location	Waste Tanks. (In Kgs)	Liguids to Ground (In Kgs)	Solids to Burial
100 Area		1,930	
200 Area	958,000	78,000	927,700
300 Area		82,000	126,000
400 Area	0	0	0
Total	958,000	161,930	1,053,700

Table 3-9 Uranium Waste at Hanford

The reported quantity of uranium discharged to the ground in the 100 Area is based on an estimated 2 Ci of uranium discharged to cribs and trenches [TRAC-0151- VA 1991]. A later report [Diediker 1999] documents all the cribs and trenches in the 100 Area and includes estimates based on sampling. Since uranium was not a major radionuclide in the liquid effluents, only a limited number of uranium analyses are available and only for ²³⁴U and ²³⁵U which accounts for only a few kilograms of uranium. The quantity of uranium in the 200 Area Waste Tanks is based on accountability records and sampling data [Kupfer 1999]. The report also provided an estimate of 840-920 MTU based on a modeling effort. The current best basis inventory (BBI) maintained by CH2M Hill Hanford Group Corp and based on current tank samples showed the estimated uranium tank inventory as 863 MTU. This is a reduction from an earlier uranium tank waste (10/1/98) BBI estimate of 929 MTU. It has been speculated by Process Retrieval Engineering that core sampling is not getting representative samples of the residual layer of BiPO₄ metal wastes, which could cause the BBI to underestimate the uranium tank waste inventory. The reported quantity of uranium discharged to the ground in the

200 Area is based on an estimated 77.9 Ci of uranium discharged to cribs and trenches [Diediker 1999]. An earlier estimate [TRAC-0151-VA 1991] reported 143 MTU based on an estimate of 137 Ci of uranium in the liquid waste. The quantity of uranium in solid waste is based on the reported estimate in each burial ground as of the end of 1998 [Hagel 1999]. The estimate includes a small contribution from solid uranium bearing waste from offsite. The quantity of uranium in liquid wastes to the ground for the 300 Area is based on the data reported in the 1988 hazards ranking report [Stenner 1988]. The waste in the North and South ponds has been excavated and shipped to the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility. The quantity of uranium in solid waste in the 300 Area is based on data provided by the Environmental Resource Center and reported in the Waste Information Data System. The 300 Area generated solid U waste was actually buried in or moved to the 600 Area burial. Several of the sold waste burial sites in the 600 Area contain unreported quantities of uranium waste.

3.4.7 Uranium Losses Through Transmutation and Fission

Uranium fuel fabricated in the 300 Area Fuel Fabrication Facility was irradiated in one of nine reactors that were operated at Hanford. The reactors primarily produced plutonium for the Defense Program, but a number of other products were produced to support ongoing Defense and Nuclear Energy Programs. During reactor operations uranium was fissioned to produce fission products and uranium was transmuted to other radionuclides, including plutonium.

An estimate of the quantity of uranium consumed in the reactors has been made on the basis of the quantity of plutonium produced at Hanford, the change in the percentage of 235 U in the uranium fuel to the reactors, and the percentage of 235 U in the uranium fuel discharged from the reactors. Between 1945 and 1989 Hanford produced 67.4 MT Pu. [DOE DP-0137 1996] This would have required the consumption of an equivalent quantity of 238 U. Normal uranium (0.711 wt % 235 U) or low enriched uranium (0.94-1.25 wt % 235 U) was the feed to the reactors. The uranium recovered from processing was slightly depleted in 235 U. Assuming that 10% of the uranium received at Hanford for fuel fabrication was returned as fabrication scrap without cycling it through the reactors, an estimated 66 MT of 235 U was fissioned in the reactors. If it is also assumed that 10% of the plutonium produced was also fissioned or transmutated, then ~140 MTU was consumed in the reactors. This calculation results in a net loss of uranium in the overall uranium site balance.

3.5 Overall Recycled Uranium Site Mass Balance

In the attempt to segregate out the Hanford Site recycled uranium component, a mass balance including both in-scope and out-of scope uranium was developed. Development of this material balance was very complex because uranium transactions internal to Hanford activities needed to be clearly separated from non-Hanford transactions. In establishing a mass balance, both the Hanford Site contractors and the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) needed to be integrated into the calculations. Two issues related to shipper/receiver correlation of historical transactions

make a precise mass flow extremely difficult. The first issue is that while MC&A records indicate shipments to offsite locations, it was not uncommon for shipments to be diverted, during transit, to secondary locations to address feedstock shortages. Secondarily, for fiscal year transaction reconciliation between sites, quantities leaving one site near the end of a fiscal year may not be received and entered into the receiver site's MC&A records (booked values) until the next fiscal year. Further difficulties with establishing precise mass flows at Hanford are in establishing the accuracy of estimates for normal operational losses (NOL), accuracy of measured discards, accuracy of estimated discards, reconciliation of Inventory Differences (ID) from continual contractor turnovers, accuracy of past decay calculations, and accuracy of Material Unaccounted For (MUF) explanations. An example of one difficulty was when Hanford, within a semi-arid environment, shipped UO₃ powder to the southeast. During transit and upon arrival at the southeast receipt location, the UO₃ absorbed moisture, resulting in larger receipt quantities measured than were reported shipped from Hanford.

Table 3-10 and Figure 3-12 summarize these mass flows. At the right of each entry in Table 3-10 is a reference number which maps to the index below for further details to entered quantities and attendant reference documents. As the table indicates, approximately 115,955.4 metric tons of uranium (all types) were received at Hanford (Hanford and PNNL) from January 1948 through March 30, 1999. Approximately 112,287.3 metric tons were shipped within this same period. Approximately 4,006 MTU remains in the Material Control and Accountability (MC&A) inventory and approximately 2,314 MTU was lost to waste and reactor consumption. This leaves a difference of about 664.1MTU between receipts, on-site holdings, uranium consumed, and shipments. This difference is primarily attributed to uncertainties in the quantities of uranium in waste, that which was consumed in the reactors, and the limited data from the pre-1948 operating period. As indicated in Figure 3-12, the recycled uranium component of the receipt total is approximately 109,143.6 MTU (~94%). The recycled component of the shipment total was approximately 109,792 MTU (~98%). Approximately 6,180 MTU is at the Hanford site in the form of current inventory or waste. An additional approximately 140 MTU was fissioned or transmutated in the production reactors.

Index Mapping for Summary Table 3-10:

Entry #	Table Reference (Receipts)
1.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.1
2.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.1
3.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.1
4.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.2
5.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.3
6.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.4
	Appendix B, Table 3.2.5
	Appendix B, Table 3.2.6
	Appendix B, Table 3.2.7
7.	Appendix B, Table 3.2.8

Entry	# Table Reference
	(Removals)
8.	Appendix B, Table 3.3.1
9.	Appendix B, Table 3.3.2
10.	Appendix B, Table 3.3.3
11.	Appendix B, Table 3.3.4
	Appendix B, Table 3.3.5
	Appendix B, Table 3.3.6
	Appendix B, Table 3.3.7
12.	Appendix B, Table 3.3.8
13.	Section 5, Table 5.1.1
14.	Section 5, Table 5.1.2
15.	Section 3.4

	Table 3-1	<u>) Hanfor</u>	d Mass Bala	nce-Total In-Scope & Out-of-Sc	ope	
Hanford	Ending Inv	ventory			Quantity	Units
	31-Dec-	47 /	In-Process (Fu	uel Fab, Rctrs, Storage, etc.)	1,400.3	MTU
L	31-Dec-	47	In Hanford Wa	iste Tanks	1,915.7	MTU
Receipt		<u></u>	-			
HANFOR	. s. {D Re <u>ceipts</u>	: <u>1-Jan-4</u> 8	31-Dec-49	Aggregate Receipts (All U Types)	3,402.3	мтu
	From Offsite	1-Jan-50) EO FY 1965	Aggregate Receipts (All U Types)	81,013.2	MTU
		FY 1966	EO FY 1970	Aggregate Receipts (All U Types)	19,119.5	MTU
		FY 1971	31-Mar-99	Aggregate Receipts (All U Types)	12,142.1	MTU
				Hanford Receipt Subtotal	115,677.1	MTU
PNNL	Receipts	: FY 1965	31-Mar-99	Aggregate Receipts (All U Types)	278.3	MTU
	From Off	fsite		PNNL Receipt Subtotal	278.3	MTU
				Receipt Subtotal	115,955.4	MTU
			I	Receipt & 47 Ending Inventory	119,271.4	MTU
Shipme	ants:		<u> </u>			
Hanford	Shipments	1-Jan-48	EO FY 1951	Aggregate Shipments (All U Types)	1,601.6	MTU
	Offsite	FY 1952	EO FY 1965	Aggregate Shipments (All U Types)	68,282.6	MTU
l .		FY 1966	EO FY 1970	Aggregate Shipments (All U Types)	28,643.5	MTU
		FY 1971	31-Mar-99	Aggregate Shipments (All U Types)	13,515.7	MTU
				Hanford Shipment Subtotal	112,043.4	MTU
PNNL	Shipments:					
	To Offsite	FY 1965	31-Mar-99	Aggregate Shipments (All U Types)	243.9	MTU
				PNNL Shipment Subtotal	243.9	MTU
				Shipment Total	112,287.3	MTU
3/31/99	Inventor	у :				
Hanford			Curre	ent Unirradiated In-Scope Inventory	1,862.6	MTU
Hanford			Current Irradia	ited & MOX Out-of-Scope Inventory	2,137	MTU
PNNL		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Current Inventory	6.4	MTU
				Inventory Subtotal	4,006	MTU
		Subto	tal Transact	ion Difference	2,978.1	MTU
Waste	& Fissior	1 Loss:				
Hanford				Uranium in Waste Tanks	958	MTU
				Uranium in Solid Waste	1,054	MTU
				Uranium in Ponds, Cribs, & Ditches	162	MTU
			Uraniur	n Lost thru Pu Production & Fission	140	MTU
				Total Difference	664.1	MTU

(This page intentionally left blank)

07/05/002:52 PM

DOE/RL-2000-43

Figure 3-12 Hanford Uranium Mass Flow

December 1947 through March 1999



Note: The difference (~664.1 MTU) between receipts, on-site holdings, uranium used in reactors, and shipments are primarily attributable to limited available data from the early years of Hanford, the uncertainties of the quantities of uranium in waste, and that consumed in the reactors.

References

ARH-1721M4

PUREX Month-End Reports – FY 1971, April through June, 1971, April1, 1971

Burge 1965 (classified)

HAN-92119, Burge, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear *Materials Balance Reports*, July 15, 1965

Byland 1963 (classified)

HAN-85615, J. N. Byland, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 16, 1963

Byland 1964

HAN-88957, J. N. Byland, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 17, 1964

Christy 1968

Memo CPD-00144, J. T. Christy, *Scheduled UO*₃ *Shipments to Fernald*, November 8, 1968

Christy 1969

Memo CPD-00344, J. T. Christy, *Depleted UO*₃ *Shipments to Fernald*, December 11, 1969

De-Minimis 2000 (classified)

- RL2000-I-00002 **Receipts**, NMMSS to RL, *Receipts by Calendar Year*, *Receiver, Material Type, Shipper, COEI Code*, February 17, 2000
- RL2000-I-00002 **Shipments**, NMMSS to RL, *Shipments by Calendar Year, Receiver, Material Type, Shipper, COEI Code,* February 17, 2000
- RLSR00-00009 **Receipts**, NMMSS to RL, *Receipts by Calendar Year*, *Receiver, Material Type, Shipper, COEI Code*, February 9, 2000
- RLSR00-00009 **Shipments**, NMMSS to RL, *Shipments by Calendar Year*, *Receiver, Material Type, Shipper, COEI Code*, February 9, 2000

Diediker 1999

HNF-1744, L. P. Diediker, *Radionuclide Inventories of Liquid Waste Disposal* Sites on the Hanford Site, August 1999

DOE DP-0137 1996

DOE/DP-0137, Plutonium: The First 50 Years, February 1996

DOE 1999

DOE Report, Office of Defense Programs, *Plutonium and Uranium Recovery* from Spent Fuel Reprocessing by Nuclear Fuels services at West Valley, New York from 1966 to 1972, November 1999

DOE/EA-0030 1980

DOE/EA-0030, Revision 1, Section II.2, *Operation of N-Reactor and Fuel Fabrication Facilities*, August 1980

Donihee 1949

HW-15-701, V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Fissionable Materials Inventory, January 7, 1949

Donihee 1949a

FTS-668 (HW-15-757), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Fissionable Materials Inventory, July 8, 1949

Donihee 1951

FTS-670-DEL (HW-15-1017), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Fissionable Materials Inventory, January 10, 1951

Donihee 1951a

FTS-845-DEL (HW-15-1327), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Fissionable Materials Inventory, July 11, 1951

Donihee 1952

FTS-953-DEL, V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Source and Fissionable Materials Inventory*, July 16, 1952

Donihee 1953

FTS-1085-DEL, V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and *Fissionable Materials Balance Reports*, July 15, 1953

Donihee 1954 (classified)

FTS-1311, V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Fissionable *Materials Inventory*, July 15, 1954

Donihee 1957

FTS-1980-DEL, V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 17, 1957

Donihee 1958

FTS-CLVI-463-DEL (CLY1-431), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 16, 1958

Donihee 1959

HAN-72720-DEL (HW-61013), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 16, 1959

Donihee 1960

HAN-75996-DEL (HW-66018), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 18, 1960

Donihee 1961

HAN-79125-DEL (HW-70179), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 19, 1961

Donihee 1962

HAN-82406-DEL HW-74167), V. D. Donihee, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 17, 1962

Elgert 1968

Memo CPD-00109, O. J. Elgert, RL, Shipment of UO_3 to Paducah, October 9, 1968

Freitag 1952

Teletype TT-04459, H. R. Freitag, AEC, to F. M. Belmore, NYOO, *Tank Cars-UNH Materials*, September 19, 1952

Gifford 1959

Letter HAN-71497, A. T. Gifford, AEC/RL, to V. P. Donihee, GE, *Enriched UO*₃, March 3, 1959

Greninger 1953

Letter HW-27296, A. B. Greninger, GE, to D. G. Sturges, AEC/RL, Uniformity of Uranium Metal Composition, March 3, 1953

Groot 1952

HW-25757, C. Groot to Files, *Status of the Flouride Volitility Processes*, October 17, 1952

Gustafson 1957

HW-53366, L. D. Gustafson, *Definitive Scope of a Facility for E-Metal Product* Segregation at the UO_3 Plant, Project CG-767, November 4, 1957

Hagel 1999

HNF-EP-0125-11, D. L. Hagel, Summary of Radioactive Solid Waste Received in the 200 Areas During Calendar Year 1998, May 1999

Handshuh 1966

HAN-95170-DEL (RL-GEN-1068-DEL), J. W. Handshuh, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, June 1966*, July 18, 1966

Handshuh 1966a

HAN-96413-DEL (RL-GEN-133-1), J. W. Handshuh, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Nuclear Materials Balance Report*, December 31, 1966

Handshuh 1967

HAN-98198, J. W. Handshuh, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Nuclear Materials* Balance Report, June 1967, June 30 1967

Hauff 1952

Letter HW-26138, T. W. Hauff, GE, to D. G. Sturges, AEC, General Analytical Control Program I, November 5, 1952

Heaberlin 1983

UNI-03254, J. O. Heaberlin, UNC Nuclear Industries, Operational Analysis for Uranium and Plutonium Flows, October 1, 1983

Hillesland 1984

UNI-278 REV 10, D. S. Hillesland, Factor Weights for N Fuel Elements, Effective October 1, 1984, October 1, 1984

Kupfer 1999

HNF-SD-WM-TI-740, Rev. OC, M. J. Kupfer, et al., Standard Inventories of Chemicals and Radionuclides in Hanford Site Tank Wastes, March 2, 1999

Larson 1982

6-I-47-17, M. P. Larson, RHO, to G. J. Miskho, RL, *PUREX Material Control and Accountability Plan, Contract DE-AC06-77RL01030*, RHO-CD-1475) June 21, 1982

Maxfield 1979

RHO-CD-0673, Volumes I, II, & III, H. L. Maxfield, *Handbook, 200 Area Waste Sites*, April 1, 1979

McCullough 1952

Letter HAN-46781, McCullough, GE, to G. W. Wunder, NLO, *Hanford Uranium Specifications*, dated September 25, 1952

McIntosh 1952

HW-24403, J. D. McIntosh, Hanford Works Analytical Manual for the Plutonium Separations and Metal Fabrication Processes, August 1, 1952

Murphy 1966

HAN-95136-DEL (ISO-378-DEL, J. G. Murphy, Isochem, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1966*, July 14, 1966

Murphy 1966a

HAN-96400-DEL (ISO-665-DEL), J. G. Murphy, Isochem, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1966*, January 13, 1967

Murphy 1967

HAN-98196-DEL (ISO-973-DEL), J. G. Murphy, Isochem, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1967*, July 17, 1967

Murphy 1968

HAN-99439-DEL (ARH-314-DEL), J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1967*, January 15, 1968

Murphy 1968a

ARH-699-DEL, J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1968*, July 12, 1968

Murphy 1969

ARH-1036-DEL (SSSM-246), J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1968*, January 15, 1969

Murphy 1969a

ARH-1099-6-DEL, J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *HVA Material Balance Report-June 1969*, July 10, 1969

Murphy 1970

ARH-1099-12-DEL (SSSM-646), J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *HVA Material Balance Report-December 1969*, January 12, 1970

Murphy 1970a

ARH-1540-6-DEL, J. G. Murphy, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1970*, July 13, 1970

Prudich 1966

HAN-95171-DEL (DUN-1303), T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1966*, July 14, 1966

Prudich 1967

HAN-96419-DEL (DUN-1916), T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1966*, January 16, 1967

Prudich 1967a

HAN-98194-DEL (DUN-2777), T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1967*, July 12, 1967

Prudich 1968

HAN-99434 (DUN 3624-DEL), T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1967*, January 11, 1968

Prudich 1968a

DUN-4436, T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1968*, July 12, 1968

Prudich 1968b

DUN-5250, T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1968*, January 15, 1969

Prudich 1969

SSM-644 (DUN-5942-DEL), T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June 1969*, June 30, 1969

Prudich 1969a

DUN-6557, T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-December 1969*, December 31, 1969

Prudich 1970a

DUN-7049, T. Prudich, Douglas United Nuclear, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Material Balance Report-June* 1970, June 30, 1970

Raab 1978

RHO-CD-519, G.J. Raab and W. C. Schmidt, *Uranium Trioxide UO*₃) *Plant Chemical Flowsheet*, November 1978

Rebol 1949

HAN-27519, E. W. Rebol, AEC, Hanford Analytical Results Uranium Sample Exchange Program, August 22, 1949

RHO-MA-138 1978

RHO-MA-138, RHO to DOE RL, Analytical Laboratories Operating Instructions, 1978

Richards 1950

HW-19496, R. B. Richards, GE, Conversion of UNH to UO₃-Progress Report, November 9, 1950

Richards 1952 (classified)

Letter HW 23509, B. B. Richards, AEC/RL, to Dr. Hurd, *Shipment of UO3-Lot 002*, February 15, 1952

Richards 1952a

Memo HW-23754, R.B. Richards, UO_3 Production Lots 007,008, 009, March 11, 1952

Richards 1952b

Letter HW-23379, R. B. Richards, AEC, to F. W. Hurd, OR, *First Trial Production Lot*, *UO*₃, January 28, 1952

Riches 1979

Letter, J. W. Riches to O.J. Elgert, *N-Reactor Environmental Assessment, UNI-*1313 Environmental Report on the Operation of N Reactor and Fuels Fabrication Facilities, May 9, 1979

SD-CP-SAR-002 1983

SD-CP-SAR-002, Rev. 1, *Rockwell Hanford Operations, UO*₃ *Plant Safety Analysis Report*, September 1983

Shortess 1955

FTS-1481-DEL, C. J. Shortess, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, *Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports*, July 19, 1955

Shortess 1956

FTS-1644-DEL, C. J. Shortess, GE, to U.S. AEC Richland, Source and Special Nuclear Materials Balance Reports, July 18, 1956

Smith 1959

HW-59136, R. E. Smith, *Product Specifications Uranium Trioxide (Depleted E-Metal) for Off-Site Shipment*, February 18, 1959

Stenner 1988

PNL – 6456 Vol I, R. D. Stenner, et al., *Hazard Ranking System Evaluation of CERCLA Inactive Waste Sites at Hanford*, October 1988

Sturges 1953

Memo HAN-53121, D. G. Sturges, *Shipments of Irradiated Enriched Uranium from Hanford to ARCO*, December 21, 1953

TRAC-0151-VA 1991

TRAC-0151-VA, Historical Perspective of Radioactively Contaminated Liquid and Solid Wastes Discharged or Buried in the Ground at Hanford, April 1991

Work 1952

HW-23848 (Hanford 43786), J.B. Work, UO₃ Trial Production Lots 010, 011, 012, and 013, March 19,1952