

Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

Appropriations Language

For expenses necessary to carry out section 6 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), [\$47,757,000] \$60,000,000, to remain available until expended, [of which \$22,757,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund; and of which \$25,000,000 is] to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. (Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012.)

Justification of Language Change

Deletion: “\$47,757,000...of which \$22,757,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund...”

The budget proposes that funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Deletion: “; and of which \$25,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water conservation Fund.”

The budget proposes that all funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Authorizing Statutes

Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Prohibits the import, export, or taking of fish and wildlife and plants that are listed as threatened or endangered species; provides for adding species to and removing them from the list of threatened and endangered species, and for preparing and implementing plans for their recovery; provides for interagency cooperation to avoid take of listed species and for issuing permits for otherwise prohibited activities; and implements the provisions of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES). Authorization of Appropriations: Expired September 30, 1992.

Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l). Authorizes appropriations to the Fish and Wildlife Service to acquire land for national wildlife refuges as otherwise authorized by law. Authorization of Appropriations: Expires September 30, 2015.

Appropriation: Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

	2011 Actual	2012 Enacted	2013			Change from 2012 Enacted (+/-)
			Fixed Cost & Related Changes (+/-)	Program Changes (+/-)	Budget Request	
Conservation Grants (\$000)	11,101	10,529	0	+2,072	12,601	+2,072
Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants (\$000)	10,000	9,485	0	-2,485	7,000	-2,485
Species Recovery Land Acquisition (\$000)	11,000	9,984	0	+5,503	15,487	+5,503
HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States (\$000)	19,938	14,976	0	+6,962	21,938	+6,962
Nez Perce Settlement (\$000)	4,987	0	0	0	0	0
Administration (\$000)	2,854	2,707	0	+267	2,974	+267
Total Appropriations (\$000)	59,880	47,681	0	+12,319	60,000	+12,319
FTE	18	18	0	0	18	0
Payment to Special Fund** (\$000)	53,714	51,356	0	+1,531	52,887	+1,531

** Amounts shown reflect an annual deposit of an amount equal to 5% of total Federal Aid/Sport Fish and Lacey Act violation collections above \$500,000 into this Special Fund. The Special Fund amounts are not available in the fiscal year in which they are collected, but are available for subsequent appropriation to the CESCOF.

Program information may be accessed at: <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/index.html>

Summary of 2011 Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

Request Component	(\$000)	FTE
• Conservation Grants	+2,072	0
• Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants	-2,485	0
• Species Recovery Land Acquisition	+5,503	0
• HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States	+6,962	0
• Administration	+267	0
Program Changes	+12,319	0

Justification of Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

The 2013 budget request for Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund is \$60,000,000 and 18 FTE, a net program change of +\$12,319,000 and +0 FTE from the 2012 Enacted.

- **Traditional Conservation Grants (+\$2,072,000/+0 FTE)** - Conservation Grants provide financial assistance to states and territories to implement conservation projects for listed and candidate species. Funding will be provided to states to implement recovery actions for listed species, implement conservation measures for candidate species, and perform research and monitoring critical to conservation of imperiled species. The Service anticipates funding 7 additional Conservation grants with this increase.
- **Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants (-\$2,485,000/+0 FTE)** - Through the development of regional, multi-species habitat conservation plans (HCPs), local governments and planning jurisdictions incorporate species conservation into local land use plans, thereby streamlining the project approval process. Funding will be provided to states to assist local governments and planning jurisdictions to develop regional, multi-species HCPs. The Service is requesting shifting funds from HCP Planning Assistance to other CESCOF grant programs to

address unmet needs in the other programs and because of the fluctuating demand for the HCP Planning Assistance program funding. With this decrease, the Service anticipates funding 6 fewer HCP Planning Assistance grants.

- **Recovery Land Acquisition Grants (+\$5,503,000/+0 FTE)** - Recovery Land Acquisition grants are provided to states to address habitat loss, the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species from land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values. Recovery Land Acquisition grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers. The Service anticipates funding 9 additional Recovery Land Acquisition grants with this increase.
- **Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants (+\$6,962,000/+0 FTE)** - The conservation benefits provided by HCPs can be greatly increased by protecting important habitat areas associated with HCPs. HCP Land Acquisition funds are used by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers and are meant to complement, not replace, the mitigation responsibilities of HCP permittees. States and territories receive grants for land acquisitions associated with approved HCPs because of their authorities and close working relationships with local governments and private landowners. HCP Land Acquisition grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers. The Service anticipates funding one additional HCP Land Acquisition grant with this increase
- **Administration (+\$267,000/+0 FTE)** - Federal grant management and administrative oversight are necessary to ensure compliance with program requirements and purposes. The funding requested for Administration supports these Service responsibilities. The Service will provide additional technical assistance and grant management to administer the CESCFC program with these funds.

Program Overview

The Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund (CESCF; Section 6 of the Endangered Species Act), administered by the Service's Endangered Species program, provides grant funding to states and territories for species and habitat conservation actions on non-federal lands, including habitat acquisition, conservation planning, habitat restoration, status surveys, captive propagation and reintroduction, research, and education.

The Service's Endangered Species program exists to implement the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended. The key purposes of the Act are to provide a means for conserving the ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened (federally-listed) species depend and to provide a program for the conservation of such species. The Endangered Species program's strategic framework is based on two over-riding goals: 1) recovering federally-listed species, and 2) preventing the need to list species-at-risk. The Service's approach to achieving these goals is through the minimizing or abatement of threats to the species.

Threats are categorized under the ESA as the following five factors:

- The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of a listed species' habitat or range;
- Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;
- Disease or predation;
- The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms;
- Other natural or manmade factors affecting a species' continued existence.

Because most listed species depend on habitat found on state and private lands, grant assistance through the CESCOF program is crucial to conserving federally-listed species. States and territories have been extremely effective in garnering participation by private landowners.

Section 6 grants assist states and territories to build partnerships that achieve meaningful on-the-ground conservation. Section 6 grants also assist the Service by minimizing or abating threats to federally-listed species. The land acquisition grant program elements address land-based threats by preventing land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values on lands purchased through the grant program. Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance grants assist in abating threats by protecting habitat and preventing the decline of sensitive species, often precluding the need for listing a species under the ESA. Habitat Conservation Plans are pro-active landscape level planning instruments that result in private land development planning and species ecosystem conservation.

In order to receive funds under the CESCOF program, states and territories must contribute 25 percent of the estimated program costs of approved projects, or 10 percent when two or more states or territories implement a joint project. The balance of the estimated program costs are reimbursed through the grants. To ensure that states and territories are able to effectively carry out endangered species conservation funded through these grants, a state or territory must enter into a cooperative agreement with the Service to receive grants. All 50 states currently have cooperative agreements for animals, and 44 states have agreements for plants. All territories except one have cooperative agreements for both animals and plants.

Traditional Conservation Grants

Conservation Grants provide financial assistance to states and territories to implement conservation projects for listed and candidate species. The Service makes a regional allocation of these funds based on the number of species covered under cooperative agreements within each Service region. Each Region then solicits proposals and selects projects based on species and habitat conservation benefits and other factors. States receive Conservation Grants funding to implement recovery actions for listed species, implement conservation measures for candidate species, and perform research and monitoring critical to conservation of imperiled species.

Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants

By developing regional, multi-species habitat conservation plans (HCPs), local governments and planning jurisdictions incorporate species conservation into local land use plans, streamlining the project approval process. Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants provide funding to states to assist local governments and planning jurisdictions to develop regional, multi-species HCPs.

Recovery Land Acquisition Grants

Loss of habitat is the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective

Use of Cost and Performance Information

- HCP Land Acquisition, HCP Planning Assistance, and Species Recovery Land Acquisition Grants are awarded through national and regional competitions. The established eligibility and ranking criteria for the program and the competitions conducted to select grants allow the Service to focus the program on its overall goals and ensure that program performance goals are achieved.

- The Service continues to analyze results from previous years of the program to further refine program elements to better meet our program goals. For the FY 2010 competition, the Service targeted 10 percent of the HCP Land Acquisition funding to support single-species HCPs to further the conservation of high priority species across the Nation.

In 2011, the following were awarded:

- 18 HCP Planning Assistance Grants to States
- 21 Recovery Land Acquisition Grants to States and Territories.
- 9 HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States.
- 365 Traditional Conservation Grants to States and Territories.

and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species from development or other land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values. Land acquisition is costly, and neither the Service nor states and territories individually have all the resources necessary to acquire habitats essential for recovery of listed species. Recovery Land Acquisition Grants are matched by states and non-federal entities to acquire these habitats from willing sellers.

Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants

The conservation benefits provided by HCPs can be greatly increased by protecting important habitat areas associated with HCPs. HCP Land Acquisition Grants are used by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers and are meant to complement, not replace, the mitigation responsibilities of HCP permittees. States and territories receive grants for land acquisitions associated with approved HCPs because of their authorities and close working relationships with local governments and private landowners.

Administration

Federal grant management and administrative oversight are necessary to ensure compliance with program requirements and purposes. The funding requested for Administration allows the Service to carry out these responsibilities.

2013 Program Performance

Traditional Conservation Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in October 2011 and anticipates making award announcements in the summer of fiscal year 2012. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately 7 additional grants will be funded in FY 2013 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2011).

The Service awarded 365 Traditional Conservation Grants in FY 2011. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

- Bull Trout - Investigations in stock assessment, genetics, and fisheries impacts, Washington \$45,255.00
- Captive Propagation of Endangered Birds - Alala, Poouli, Maui Parrotbill, Maui Nukupuu, Palila, Puaiohi, Kauai Nukupuu, Maui Akepa, Hawaii \$496,500.00
- Habitat use of North Padre Island and Laguna Madre habitats by piping plovers and red knot in the vicinity of current and proposed wind energy development, Texas \$155,080.00
- Management and Conservation of the Mexican gray wolf, Arizona and New Mexico \$289,000.00
- Identifying the Causes of Mussel Diversity Decline in the Little Black River System, Missouri \$83,320.00
- Wisconsin Piping Plover Inventory on the Lake Superior Shoreline, Wisconsin \$33,000.00
- Conduct nest surveys, look at nest productivity, and reduce loss of leatherback and hawksbill sea turtle nests, Puerto Rico \$42,000.00
- Monitoring, research, and management of Federally listed bats (Indiana bats, gray bats, Virginia big eared bats), Kentucky \$196,415.00
- American burying beetle monitoring and management, Rhode Island \$10,000.00
- Karner blue butterfly monitoring and management, New Hampshire \$15,000.00
- Western Prairie Fringed Orchid Survey, Monitoring and Data Management, North Dakota \$19,000.00
- Piping Plover Recovery, Montana and North Dakota \$28,550.00

- By-catch of Kittlitz's Murrelet in Alaskan Gillnet Fisheries: A Risk Assessment, Alaska \$25,477.00
- Comparison of techniques to detect denning polar bears, Alaska \$42,886.00
- Breeding season survey for the southwestern willow flycatcher and yellow-billed cuckoo in southern Nevada, Nevada \$40,460.00
- Implementing translocations to promote recovery of Sierra Nevada bighorn sheep, California \$180,863.00

Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in October 2011 and anticipates making award announcements in the summer of fiscal year 2012. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that 6 fewer grants will be funded in FY 2013 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2011).

The Service awarded 18 HCP Planning Assistance Grants in FY 2011. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds. (Please see http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/FY12CESCF_RFPGrantAnnouncement.pdf for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan HCP/NCCP** (Imperial, San Diego, Riverside, San Bernardino, Los Angeles, Kern, and Inyo Counties, CA) \$1,000,000. The project will support the initiation of a conservation strategy for covered natural communities and species in desert ecosystems, while allowing for the development of utility-scale renewable energy projects. It will exclusively address the environmental impacts of large-scale development of solar, wind, geothermal, and biomass technologies, as well as associated transmission facilities proposed throughout the deserts in southern California. The planning area for the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP) covers 23.4 million acres in the Mojave Desert and the Colorado Desert, the extent of the Sonoran Desert in California. Currently, the DRECP identifies 87 species to be covered under the plan. Nineteen are listed as threatened or endangered pursuant to ESA, one is a candidate species for listing, and one has been delisted. Twenty-two are listed as threatened or endangered pursuant to the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) and two are considered rare. A few species that will benefit from this HCP include the Quino checkerspot butterfly, arroyo toad, California condor, and the desert tortoise.
- **Statewide Habitat Conservation Plan for Florida Beaches** (35 Coastal Counties Statewide, FL) \$900,484. This grant will assist in the fifth year of this HCP planning effort. Stakeholders plan to assimilate acquired data into a detailed draft of the HCP. Activities in the coastal area and their threats to listed species will be analyzed. The goal of the HCP is to allow for ongoing beach structure protection measures while limiting and mitigating the adverse effects to nesting loggerhead, Kemp's ridley, leatherback, green, and hawksbill sea turtles, five beach mouse subspecies, and shorebirds, including wintering piping plover. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection is leading this effort in conjunction with builders groups, municipalities, and others.
- **Texas A&M (General Conservation Plan for Central Texas)** (30 Counties in Central Texas) \$388,887. The planning proposal requested funding to develop a comprehensive, range-wide conservation plan for the area where golden-cheeked warbler and the black-capped vireo breeding ranges overlap. The scope of work will include the completion of the conservation program to be incorporated into an umbrella Habitat Conservation Plan (General Conservation Plan), which is

expected to provide range-wide conservation strategies for both species within the approximately 30 counties in central Texas to be included in the plan. This proposal will also reduce the need for individual and county-wide HCP planning efforts in the future by significantly streamlining the process for non-federal entities such as, private landowners, non-profits, non-governmental entities, and state and local governments to comply with the Endangered Species Act, while contributing measureable benefits toward species recovery.

Recovery Land Acquisition Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in October 2011 and anticipates making award announcements in the summer of fiscal year 2012. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that 9 additional grants will be funded in FY 2013 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2011).

The Service awarded 21 Recovery Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2011. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

(Please see http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/FY12CESCF_RFPGrantAnnouncement.pdf for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **Recovery and Protection for Karst Dependent Federally-Listed Species in Missouri** (Lawrence County, MO) \$534,750. The Missouri Department of Conservation is awarded \$534,750 to acquire an 895-acre conservation easement on land that will protect important karst and cave recharge areas in southwest Missouri. Protections will provide significant benefits for the gray bat, Ozark cavefish, and Missouri bladderpod. Easement lands will be managed by the Ozark Regional Land Trust and will protect ground water aquifers and cave recharge areas, foraging areas and movement corridors for bats, as well as limestone glades and important caves. Protections will reduce the threats to these species from habitat degradation and development.
- **Chesapeake Bay Puritan Tiger Beetle Habitat Conservation** (Cecil & Calvert Counties, MD) \$2,426,055. The State of Maryland Department of Natural Resources, in partnership with the Eastern Shore Conservancy, USFWS CBFO, Girl Scouts of the Chesapeake Bay Council, and five private landowners requested funding to purchase permanent conservation easements on six properties. The properties total 456 acres of forestland and eroding cliffs and support three sub-populations of the federally threatened Puritan tiger beetle. One location also supports a large population of the federally threatened northeastern beach tiger beetle. Once acquired, the property will be protected as habitat for the recovery of these species in Maryland.
- **Northern Blue Mountains Bull Trout Recovery** (Asotin & Columbia Counties, WA) \$712,650. This project will conserve bull trout habitat through a combination of fee acquisition and conservation easements on at least five key properties totaling 2,872 acres along the northern rim of the Umatilla National Forest in both the Touchet River and Asotin Creek watersheds. These efforts will also protect important winter range for populations of elk and deer in the Blue Mountains of southeast Washington, thereby providing the primary food source for natural recolonization by gray wolves.

Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition Grants

The Service published a request for proposals in October 2011 and anticipates making award announcements in the summer of fiscal year 2012. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that one additional grant will be funded in FY 2013 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2011).

The Service awarded 9 HCP Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2011. Examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program, but in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds.

(Please see http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/FY12CESCF_RFPGrantAnnouncement.pdf for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP)** (San Diego County, CA) \$6,000,000. This project will result in the acquisition of 250-600 acres of land that will greatly enhance the existing San Diego MSCP by securing key regional wildlife linkages and preserving core habitat in four targeted areas. The acquisitions will benefit 31 listed and unlisted species, including the San Diego fairy shrimp, arroyo toad, least Bell's vireo, coastal California gnatcatcher, southwestern willow flycatcher, and bald eagle. The proposed acquisition areas support a mosaic of high quality riparian, vernal pool, and upland habitats that support numerous listed and unlisted species covered by the San Diego MSCP. The proposed acquisition supports a larger landscape conservation initiative and will greatly enhance the conservation goals of the San Diego MSCP through the connection of the largest intact blocks of publicly-owned and managed land within San Diego County.
- **Karner Blue Butterfly Land Acquisition** (Jackson County, WI) \$360,000. The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources will protect 240 acres of land within the Bauer-Brockway Barrens State Natural Area and the Jackson County Forest with this grant award. This acquisition will advance the recovery of the Karner blue butterfly in Wisconsin by permanently protecting this land within the species' West Central Driftless Recovery Unit. The property to be acquired has an extremely diverse butterfly community. The addition of these lands will connect existing protected habitats to benefit this disturbance-dependent endangered butterfly and a large number of additional rare species that depend on the barrens ecosystem.
- **Stimson Forestlands Conservation Project** (Missoula County, MT) \$4,000,000. This grant will fund a conservation easement on over 9,300 acres of forestland. The property is concurrent with another conservation easement on 18,700 acres of adjacent lands. This project is a continuation of several years of landscape-scale conservation efforts on working lands in northwestern Montana to complement the Native Fish Habitat Conservation Plan, benefitting bull trout, Columbia redband trout, mountain whitefish, pygmy whitefish, and westslope cutthroat trout. This effort will ensure the availability of high quality riparian and instream habitat by protecting against imminent development threats. It will also maintain connectivity between lake, river, and stream systems in the Lake Creek drainage and help native fish species' adaptation to the effects of climate change so that fish can move to more suitable habitats as water temperatures increase and flow regimes change.

Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund - Performance Change Table

Performance Goal	2008 Actual	2009 Actual	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Plan	2013 PB	Change from 2012 Plan to 2013 PB	Program Change Accruing in Out-years
7.30.2 # of listed species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional and Nontraditional Section 6)	676	693	756	835	318	915	597	
Comments	Performance increase reflects improve program performance in FY 2011 which is the basis for estimating FY 2013 results.							
7.30.3 # of Spotlight listed species benefitting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional and Nontraditional Section 6)	91	99	86	86	44	94	50	
Comments	Performance increase reflects improve program performance in FY 2011 which is the basis for estimating FY 2013 results.							
8.3.7 # Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Section 6) Project Awards	89	63	75	89	30	98	68	
Comments	Performance increase reflects improve program performance in FY 2011 which is the basis for estimating FY 2013 results.							
8.3.8 # Spotlight Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Section 6) Project Awards	9	14	20	21	3	23	20	
Comments	Performance increase reflects improve program performance in FY 2011 which is the basis for estimating FY 2013 results.							

Standard Form 300			
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE			
COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND			
Special Trust Fund Receipts (in millions of dollars)			
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-302		2011 Actual	2012 Enacted
			2013 Estimate
0100	Balance, start of year	266	291
	Receipts:		
0240	Payment from General Fund, Cooperative Endangered Species Fund	54	51
0400	Total: Balances and collections	320	342
	Appropriations:		
0500	Cooperative Endangered Species Fund	-29	-25
0799	Balance, end of year	291	317
Program and Financing (in millions of dollars)			
Identification code 14-5143-0-302		2011 Actual	2012 Enacted
			2013 Estimate
Obligations by program activity:			
0001	Conservation Grants to States	20	18
0002	HCP Planning Assistance Grants	10	9
0003	Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004	5	0
0004	Grant Administration	2	3
0005	HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States	20	18
0006	Species Recovery Land Acquisition	9	10
0007	Payment to special fund unavailable receipt acct	54	51
0009	Total new obligations	120	109
Budgetary resources available for obligation:			
1000	Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1	45	52
1021	Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations	13	4
1050	Unobligated balance (total)	58	56
Budget authority:			
Discretionary:			
1101.1	Appropriation (LWCF special fund, 14 5479)	31	23
1101.2	Appropriation (CESCF special fund 14 5143)	29	25
1160	Appropriations discretionary (total)	60	48
Mandatory:			
	Appropriation	54	51
1200	Appropriations, mandatory (total)	54	51
1900	Budget authority (total)	114	99
1930	Total budgetary resources available	172	155

Standard Form 300				
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR				
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE				
COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND				
Memorandum (non-add) entries:		2011 Actual	2012 Enacted	2013 Estimate
1941	Unexpired unobligated balance, end of year	52	46	38
Change in obligated balance:				
Obligated balance, start of year (net):				
3000	Unpaid obligations brought forward, Oct 1 (gross)	216	180	144
3030	Obligations incurred, unexpired accounts	120	109	125
3040	Outlays (gross)	-143	-141	-143
3080	Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations, unexpired	-13	-4	-4
Obligated balance, end of year (net):				
3090	Unpaid obligations , end of year (net)	180	144	122
Budget authority and outlays, net:				
Discretionary:				
4000	Budget authority, gross	60	48	60
Outlays, gross:				
4010	Outlays from new discretionary authority	9	10	12
4011	Outlays from discretionary balances	80	80	78
4020	Outlays, gross (total)	89	90	90
Mandatory:				
4090	Budget authority, gross	54	51	53
Outlays, gross:				
4100	Outlays from new mandatory authority	54	51	53
4180	Budget authority, net (total)	114	99	113
4190	Outlays, net total	143	141	143
Object classification (in millions of dollars)				
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012				
Direct obligations:				
Personnel compensation:				
1111	Personnel compensation; Full-time permanent	2	2	2
1410	Grants, susidies, and contributions	64	55	69
1940	Financial transfers	54	51	53
1990	Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations	120	108	124
	Below reporting threshold	--	1	1
99.99	Total new obligations	120	109	125
Employment Summary				
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-012				
1001	Direct civilian full-time equivalent employment	18	18	18

This page intentionally left blank