Construction

Appropriations Language

For construction, improvement, acquisition, or removal of buildings and other facilities required in the conservation, management, investigation, protection, and utilization of fishery and wildlife resources, and the acquisition of lands and interests therein; [\$23,088,000] \$19,136,000, to remain available until expended. (Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012.)

Authorizing Statutes

Recreation Use of Conservation Areas Act of 1962 (16 U.S.C. 460k-460k-4). Commonly known as the Refuge Recreation Act of 1962, authorizes development of fish and wildlife areas for recreational use, including land acquisition and facilities construction and management.

National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee). Authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to award contracts for the provision of public accommodations of the National Wildlife Refuge System. It was amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (P.L. 105-57).

Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 715k). Provides for land acquisition, construction, maintenance, development, and administration for migratory bird reservations.

Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742a-742f). Authorizes the development, management, advancement, conservation, and protection of fish and wildlife resources, including the acquisition and development of existing facilities.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq.). Authorizes federal agencies to recover costs associated with hazardous materials removal, remediation, cleanup, or containment activities from responsible parties.

Federal Facilities Compliance Act (50 U.S.C. 1941). Requires federal agencies to comply with federal, state, and local solid and hazardous waste laws in the same manner as any private party.

Pollution Prevention Act of 1990, (P.L. 101-508) as amended (42 U.S.C. 13101, 13101 note, 13102-13109). Requires pollution that cannot be prevented at the source to be recycled in an environmentally sound manner, and disposal as a last resort.

Solid Waste Disposal Act (P.L. 89-272, 79 Stat. 997, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act). Mandates that federal agencies divert solid waste from disposal in landfills through waste prevention and recycling at the rate of 45 percent by 2005 and 50 percent by 2010.

Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7701 -7706). Establishes an earthquake hazards reduction program.

National Dam Safety Program Act (P.L. 104-303 as amended by the Dam Safety and Security Act of 2002, P.L. 107-310 and the Dam Safety Act of 2006, P.L. 109-460). Provides for Federal agencies to implement the Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety, which established management practices for dam safety at all Federal agencies.

National Energy Conservation Policy Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-619, as amended, and 92 Stat. 3206, 42 U.S.C. 8252 et seq.). Establishes an energy management program in the federal government and directs federal agencies to perform energy surveys and implement energy conservation opportunities to reduce consumption of nonrenewable energy resources in buildings, vehicles, equipment, and general operations.

Federal Energy Management Improvement Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-615, November 5, 1998). Promotes the conservation and efficient use of energy throughout the federal government.

Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT) (P.L. 109-58, August 8, 2005). Extends previous Congressional direction to Federal facility managers with even greater goals of energy efficiency improvements in existing and new facilities, mandates increased use of renewable energy sources, sustainable building design and construction, metering of all Federal buildings, and procurement of *Energy Star* equipment. This legislation contains energy efficiency tax credits and new ways to retain energy savings.

Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) (P.L. 110-140, December 19, 2007). Intends to move the United States toward greater energy independence and security; increase production of clean renewable fuels; protect consumers; increase the efficiency of products, buildings, and vehicles; promote research on and deploy greenhouse gas capture and storage options; and improve the energy performance of the Federal Government. The Act sets Federal energy management requirements in several areas, including: energy reduction goals for Federal buildings, facility management and benchmarking, performance standards for new building and major renovations, high-performance buildings, energy savings performance contracts, metering, energy-efficient product procurement, reporting, and reducing petroleum while increasing alternative fuel use.

Omnibus Appropriations Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-8, March 11, 2009; 123 Stat. 527). Section 748 codifies Executive Order 13423. "Executive Order 13423 (72 Fed. Reg. 3919; Jan. 24, 2007) shall remain in effect hereafter except as otherwise provided by law after the date of the enactment of this Act."

(16 U.S.C. 695k-695r). Provides for limitations on reduction of areas by diking or other construction in California and Oregon in the case of migratory waterfowl and other refuges, as well as other construction provisions.

(16 U.S.C. 760-760-12). Provides for the construction, equipping, maintenance, and operation of several named fish hatcheries.

(23 U.S.C. 144 and 151). Requires bridges on public highways and roads to be inspected.

Executive Orders

Presidential Memorandum of October 4, 1979. Directs all federal agencies to adopt and implement the Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety as prepared by the Federal Coordinating Council for Science, Engineering, and Technology. (Secretary of the Interior Order No. 3048, implements and assigns responsibility for a Department-wide dam safety program in accordance with the President's memorandum).

Executive Order 12088 (October 13, 1978). Requires agencies to ensure that facilities comply with applicable pollution control standards; ensure that sufficient funds for environmental compliance are requested in their budgets; and include pollution control projects in an annual pollution abatement budget plan.

Executive Order 12941 for Seismic Risk Safety (December 1994). Adopts minimum standards for seismic safety, requires federal agencies to inventory their owned/leased buildings and estimate the cost of mitigating unacceptable seismic risks.

Executive Order 12699, Seismic Safety of Federal and Federally Assisted or Regulated New Building Construction. Covers the new construction portion of *The Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977* (P.L. 95-124).

Executive Order 13031, Federal Alternative Fueled Vehicle Leadership (December 31, 1996). Mandates that the federal government demonstrate leadership in Alternative Fuel Vehicle (AFV) use and ensures that 75 percent of new light-duty vehicles leased or purchased in FY 2000 and subsequent years in urban areas are alternative fuel vehicles.

Presidential Memorandum, Energy Conservation at Federal Facilities (May 3, 2001). Directs agencies to take appropriate actions to conserve energy use at their facilities to the maximum extent consistent with the effective discharge of public responsibilities. Agencies located in regions where electricity shortages are possible should conserve especially during periods of peak demand.

Presidential Memorandum, Energy and Fuel Conservation by Federal Agencies (September 26, 2005). Directs Federal agencies to take immediate actions to conserve energy and fuel use throughout Federal facilities and the motor fleet.

Memorandum of Understanding for Federal Leadership in High Performance and Sustainable Buildings (signed January 25, 2006, by the Deputy Secretary of the Interior; Final High Performance and Sustainable Buildings Guidance, including revision to the Guiding Principles for Sustainable New Construction and Major Renovations, and for new guidance for Sustainable Existing Buildings, was published by the Office of the Federal Environmental Executive on December 1, 2008.). It proactively addresses the requirements of EPACT 2005 by requiring all new appropriate buildings constructed or major building retrofits completed after FY 2006 to: (1) employ integrated design principles (new buildings); employ integrated assessment, operation, and management principles (existing buildings); (2) optimize energy performance; (3) protect and conserve both indoor and outdoor water; (4) enhance indoor environmental quality; and (5) reduce the environmental impact of materials.

Executive 13423, Strengthening **Federal** Environmental, Order Energy. and Transportation Management (January 24, 2007). [E.O. 13423 rescinds several previous E.O.s, including E.O. 13101, E.O. 13123, E.O. 13134, E.O. 13148, and E.O. 13149.] The Executive Order directs Federal agencies to implement sustainable practices for: energy efficiency and reductions in greenhouse gas emissions use of renewable energy; reduction in water consumption intensity; acquisition of green products and services; pollution prevention, including reduction or elimination of the use of toxic and hazardous chemicals and materials; cost effective waste prevention and recycling programs; increased diversion of solid waste; sustainable design/high performance buildings; vehicle fleet management, including the use of alternative fuel vehicles and alternative fuels and the further reduction of petroleum consumption; and electronics stewardship. In addition, the Order requires more widespread use of Environmental Management Systems (EMS) as the framework in which to manage and continually improve these sustainable practices. It is supplemented by Implementing Instructions issued on March 29, 2007 by the Council on Environmental Quality, and authorizes OMB to track agencies' progress on Executive Order and EPACT goals through three management scorecards on environmental stewardship, energy, and transportation.

Executive Order 13514, Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance (October 5, 2009). This Executive Order expands on the energy reduction and environmental performance requirements of Executive Order 13423 and establishes an integrated strategy towards sustainability and reduction goals for greenhouse gas emissions, water consumption, petroleum consumption, recycling and diversion of materials. It further defines requirements for sustainability in buildings and leases, sustainable acquisition, and electronic stewardship among others.

Justification of Fixed Costs and Related Changes

(Dollars in Thousands)

		CY	BY
Pay Raise and Pay-Related Changes	PY	Change	Change
Calendar Year 2010 Quarter 4	32		
Calendar Year 2011 Quarters 1-3	-		
Calendar Year 2011 Quarter 4		+0	
Calendar Year 2012 Quarters 1-3		+0	
Calendar Year 2012 Quarter 4			+0
Calendar Year 2013 Quarters 1-3			+21
Non-Foreign Area COLA Adjustment to Locality Pay	-	+2	
Change in Number of Paid Days			+23
Employer Share of Federal Health Benefit Plans	26	+39	+24

		$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$	\mathbf{BY}
Other Fixed Cost Changes and Projections	PY	Change	Change
GSA Rental Payments	12	+13	+63

The adjustment is for changes in the costs payable to General Services Administration (GSA) and others resulting from changes in rates for office and non-office space as estimated by GSA, as well as the rental costs of other currently occupied space. These costs include building security; in the case of GSA space, these are paid to DHS. Costs of mandatory office relocations, i.e. relocations in cases where due to external events there is no alternative but to vacate the currently occupied space, are also included.

Appropriation: Construction

	2011 Actual	2012 Enacted	Fixed Costs (+/-)	Program Changes (+/-)	Budget Request	Change from 2012 (+/-)
Nationwide Engineering Services					-	
(\$000)	9,143	9,070	+131	-112	9,089	+19
Bridge and Dam Safety Programs						
(\$000)	1,851	1,852	0	0	1,852	0
Line Item Construction Projects						
(\$000)	9,810	12,129	0	-3,934	8,195	-3,934
Total, Construction (\$000)	20,804	23,051	+131	-4,046	19,136	-3,915
FTE	82	82	0	0	82	0

Summary of 2013 Program Changes for Construction

Request Component	(\$000)	FTE
 Nationwide Engineering Services 	-112	0
Line-Item Construction Projects	-3,934	0
TOTAL Program Changes -4,046		0

Justification of Program Changes for Construction

The 2013 budget request for the Construction program is \$19,136,000 and 82 FTE, with a net program change of -\$4,046,000 and 0 FTE from the 2012 Enacted.

Nationwide Engineering Services (-\$112,000/+0 FTE)

The Service request includes an \$112,000 reduction in Nationwide Engineering Services. This decrease was necessary to support other high priority Service initiatives but will decrease the amount of advance planning and technical support that can be provided to Service field stations for facility maintenance and repair projects.



Line Item Construction Program Projects (-\$3,934,000/+0 FTE)

The Service request includes a \$4,046,000 reduction for line-item Construction projects. This reduction in Construction funding will reduce the Service's ability to address the current backlog of more than 890 priority repair and rehab projects, valued at over \$812 million.

Projects proposed for 2013 are summarized by program in the following table:

	2013 Construction Project Listing by Program						
DOI Rank Score	Reg	Station State Project Title/Description		Request (\$000)			
National Wildl	National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS)						
1000	3	Crab Orchard NWR	IL	Little Grassy Dam Site Investigation	300		
1000	8	Pahranagat NWR	NV	Repair Upper Pahranagat Dam Phase 2 [ic]	1,353		
779	8	San Pablo Bay NWR	CA	Levee Rehab to Restore Tidal Flow	1,497		
740	5	Missisquoi NWR	VT	Erosion control to Protect Indian Burial Ground	156		

DOI Rank	1	ZU13 CONSTI	uction Pr	oject Listing by Program	Request
Score	Reg	Station	State	Project Title/Description	(\$000)
610	2	Lower Rio Grande Valley NWR	TX	Flooding Repairs	176
610		Servicewide NWRS	N/A	Demolish and Dispose of Excess Property [cc]	309
600	1	Turnbull NWR	WA	GE-Tier 2 Energy Efficiency for Comfort Station power line removal	210
575	4	Tennessee NWR	TN	Repair Storm Damaged Service Road	126
550	4	White River NWR	AR	GE-VC/Office Tier 2 Energy Upgrades	550
545	3	Boyer Chute NWR	NE	Demolish Flood Damaged Buildings	300
545	4	Okefenokee NWR	GA	Repair Boardwalk and Observation Platform	159
475	2	Tishomingo NWR	ОК	GE-Rehab HQ building to improve energy efficiency	139
300	1	James Campbell NWR	НІ	VFE-Replacement interpretive and entrance	134
230	5	Edwin B. Forsythe NWR	NJ	VFE-Rehab Leeds Eco Trail Boardwalk Phase 2	426
100	2	Aransas NWR	TX	VFE-Rehab YETA Showers and Restrooms	140
	Subto	tal, NWRS			5,975
National Fish	Hatche	ry System (NFHS)			
825	4	Bears Bluff NFH	SC	Replace Decking and Repair Handrails on Saltwater Pier	33
805	5	White River NFH	VT	Reconstruct the River Water Infiltration Gallery	1,432
770	5	White River NFH	VT	Demolish and Reconstruct the Fish Tagging Building	500
610		Servicewide NFHS	N/A	Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property [cc]	130
521	2	Inks Dam NFH	TX	Replace Flood Damaged ADA Accessible Fishing Pier	100
260	1	Kooskia NFH	ID	Rehab Signs and Interpretive Displays	25
	Subto	tal, NFHS			2,220
SUBTOTA	L, CONS	STRUCTION PROJECTS			8,195
Dam and Brid	lge Safe	ty			
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Dam Safety Program and Inspections	1,113
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Bridge Safety Program and Inspections	739
SUL	BTOTAL	, DAM & BRIDGE SAFETY			1,852
Nationwide E	ngineer	ing Services (NES)			
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Core Engineering Services	5,419
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Seismic Safety Program	120
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Waste Prevention & Recycling	100
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	Environmental Compliance	998
N/A	9	Servicewide	N/A	User Cost Share (CAM)	2,452
Sub	total, Na	ationwide Engineering Serv	rices (NES	6)	9,089
TOTAL, CON	STRUCT	TION			19,136

Notes: p = planning, d = design, ic = initiate construction, cc = complete construction

Program Overview

The Construction program request consists of the following activities and sub-activities:

- Nationwide Engineering Services
- Dam Safety Program and Inspections
- Bridge Safety Program and Inspections
- Line-Item Construction Projects

Nationwide Engineering Services

Program Mission: Nationwide Engineering Services (NES) supports the management of numerous construction and maintenance projects completed each year that must be designed and constructed in a manner which meets building code and other Federal facility requirements. The NES provides technical engineering assistance for specific projects, as well as national engineering programs (most of which are required statutorily). NES also covers the cost of a wide variety of energy management and sustainable practices tracking and reporting requirements, and supports the hundreds of audits and assessments that are required each year to ensure compliance with seismic, environmental and energy mandates and statutory requirements. Specifically, NES is comprised of four sub-activities:

- Core Engineering Services (CES)
- Seismic Safety Program
- Environmental Compliance Management
- Waste Prevention, Recycling and Environmental Management Systems

A summary of the four programs that are funded by NES are provided below:

Core Engineering Services (CES). Engineering program costs are reimbursed through a combination of direct charges against the Construction Appropriation, deferred maintenance, and other reimbursable projects. Approximately 49 percent of Engineering FTEs are funded in CES. The balance of FTEs is funded by charges against specific projects. Service Engineers use a project-based accounting system to account for and seek reimbursement for design and construction management services. CES funding supplements project-specific reimbursements to cover staff and office costs that cannot be



charged against projects. Such costs include: 1) management/administration of the Engineering program in the Regional and Washington Offices, and 2) annual staff costs required to provide engineering technical assistance for which funds are not otherwise available. CES funding also covers the cost of staff time to provide technical assistance for a multitude of engineering related issues associated with the 35,000 constructed assets making up the Service's \$27 billion asset inventory.

Seismic Safety Program. The Earthquake Hazards Reductions Act of 1977 is intended to reduce risk to life and property from future earthquakes in the United States through establishment of an effective earthquake hazards reduction program. Executive Order 12699, Seismic Safety of Federal and Federally Assisted or Regulated New Buildings Construction, covers the new construction portion of the Act. Executive Order 12941 requires that Federal agencies inventory existing buildings and estimate the cost of mitigating unacceptable seismic risks. The Service has approximately 6,300 buildings a number of which are located in high, moderate and low seismic zones. Seismic Safety Program funds are for implementation and oversight of the nationwide Seismic Safety Program only.

Funding to complete seismic safety structural repairs is requested by the Service separately as individual line-item construction projects.

Environmental Compliance Management. The Division of Engineering (DEN) ensures that Service facilities and activities comply with new and existing Federal, State, and local environmental laws and regulations as required by the Federal Facility Compliance Act. Federal managers can receive "Notices of Violation" and may be fined for noncompliance with environmental laws. In addition, irresponsible Federal employees can be criminally charged for violation of environmental laws. Environmental compliance audits are conducted for approximately 900 field locations on at least a five-year cycle, and in FY 2011, the Service conducted approximately 220 audits. The DEN also provides technical assistance to Regional Offices and field stations for environmental cleanups, compliance policy, training, environmental compliance audits, Environmental Management Systems (EMS) conformance audits, and environmental compliance.

Waste, Prevention, Recycling, and Environmental Management Systems. Funding is used to support implementation of Executive Orders 13423 and 13514, manage the "Greening the Government" program outlined in the Department of the Interior's Strategic Plan, and carry out associated waste prevention, recycling, and similar actions outlined in the Department's Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan. The Waste, Prevention, Recycling, and Environmental Management Systems Program objectives include: continuing to implement and maintain EMS at appropriate organizational levels; reducing waste by-products; increasing the recycled content of materials used by the Service in accordance with the opportunities identified in prior years; and reducing the use of toxic/hazardous chemicals and materials.

Dam and Bridge Safety Programs.

Program Mission: The Service currently has approximately 260 dams in its inventory. The referenced statutes require existing dams to be properly designed, operated and maintained to ensure human health and safety. In addition, dams that threaten downstream populations are required to have Emergency Action Plans (EAPs). During 2013, the Service will continue its Dam Safety Program, which includes periodic Safety Evaluation of Existing Dams (SEED) inspections. SEED inspections include performing and reassessing hazard classifications, which is a classification system based upon the population at risk and economic loss in the event of a dam failure. The Service uses the hazard classification, risk assessment, and the overall condition of the dam to identify the need and priority for dam safety repair and rehabilitation projects. Funding to complete needed dam safety structural repairs is requested by the Service separately as individual line-item construction projects.

The Service owns over 700 bridges that serve essential administrative functions or provide primary public access. Inspections are conducted at statutorily required time intervals, and involve: determining or verifying the safe load-carrying capacity; identifying unsafe conditions and recommending ways to eliminate them; and identifying maintenance, rehabilitation, or reconstruction needs. Funds are also used to provide national management, administration and technical supervision of the Bridge Safety Program. Funding to complete needed bridge safety structural repairs is requested by the Service separately as individual line-item construction projects.

Line Item Construction.

Program Mission: Construction funding is used to reconstruct, repair, rehabilitate and replace existing buildings, other structures and facilities such as bridges and dams, and also to construct buildings, structures and facilities not previously existing. Construction funds are requested as project specific lineitems in the President's Budget Request. Funds may be used for project-specific planning, design and

construction management, construction, demolition, site work, land acquisition, furniture, fixtures and equipment. Proposed construction projects are identified annually in the Service budget request as part of the "Five-Year Construction Plan". The FY 2013 request includes projects only for repair or rehabilitation of existing facilities; no new facilities are proposed.

2013 Program Performance

Line-Item Construction Projects. In 2013, the Service requests a total of \$8,195,000 for 17 line-item construction projects. A summary of proposed projects is included in the 2013 Construction Appropriation List of Project Data Sheets (PDS) table below. A Project Data Sheet (PDS) is provided for each project and includes key data on project description, justification, cost and schedule. Following the individual PDSs is a Summary Project Data Sheet for 2013 – 2017. This summarizes the Service's 5-Year Construction Plan that directs funding to the most critical health, safety, and resource protection needs. This plan complies with the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) Number 6 on deferred maintenance reporting. Project selection is based on each project's alignment with the Department and Service objectives, condition assessments of existing facilities, and subsequent ranking of Facility Condition Index (FCI) and DOI Rank.

	2013 Construction Appropriation List of Project Data Sheets						
DOI					Request		
Rank	Region	Station	State	Project Title/Description	(\$000s)		
1000	3	Crab Orchard NWR	IL	Little Grassy Dam Site Investigation	300		
1000	8	Pahranagat NWR	NV	Upper Pahranagat Dam	1,353		
825	4	Bears Bluff NFH	SC	Repair Saltwater Pier	33		
805	5	White River NFH	VT	Reconstruct the River Water Infiltration Gallery	1,432		
779	8	San Pablo Bay NWR	CA	Repair Levees	1,497		
770	5	White River NFH	VT	Demolish & Reconstruct the Fish Tagging Building	500		
740	5	Missisquoi NWR	VT	Erosion Control to Protect Indian Burial Ground	156		
610	NA	NFHS	NA	NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	130		
610	2	Lower Rio Grande Valley NWR	TX	Flooding Repairs	176		
610	NA	NWRS	NA	NWRS Dispose of Excess Property	309		
600	1	Turnbull NWR	WA	GE-Tier 2 Energy Efficiency for Comfort Station power line removal	210		
575	4	Tennessee NWR	TN	Repair Storm Damaged Service Road	126		
550	4	White River NWR	AR	GE-VC/Office Tier 2 Energy Upgrades	550		
545	3	Boyer Chute NWR	NE	Demolish Flood Damaged Buildings	300		
545	4	Okefenokee NWR	GA	Repair Boardwalk and Observation Platform	159		
521	2	Inks Dam	TX	Replace Fishing Pier, Ramp & Slab	100		
475	2	Tishomingo NWR	ОК	GE-Rehab HQ building to improve energy efficiency	139		
300	1	James Campbell NWR	HI	VFE-Replacement interpretive and entrance	134		
260	1	Kooskia NFH	ID	Rehabilitate Signs & Interpretive Displays	25		

	2013 Construction Appropriation List of Project Data Sheets						
DOI Rank							
230	5	Edwin B. Forsythe NWR	NJ	VFE-Rehab Leeds Eco Trail Boardwalk Phase 2	426		
100	2	Aransas NWR	TX	VFE-Rehab YETA Showers and Restrooms	140		
TOTAL,	TOTAL, LINE-ITEM CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS						

Notes: p = planning, d = design, ci = initiate construction, cc = complete construction

Dam Inspections. These inspections and frequencies are consistent with the Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety (2004), the Department DM 753 Dam Safety Policy and Bureau 361 FW 1-3 Dam Safety policy. It is anticipated that the Service will perform approximately 70 dam inspections, including 10 (33%) formal inspections of high risk dams and approximately 45(22%) inspections of low risk dams as well as an estimated 15 initial assessments of impoundments to determine if they qualify as dams.

Bridge Inspections. Bridges are scheduled accordingly to their condition and last inspection. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) requires all vehicular bridges to be inspected on a regular basis, typically at 24-month intervals. The 2013 Bridge Safety Inspection Program will include inspection of 369 bridges, or 50% of the Service's inventory. The 2013 schedule will maintain FHWA NBIS compliance.



Missisquoi National Wildlife Refuge Erosion Control to Protect Indian Burial Ground





San Pablo Bay Levee Rehab to Restore Tidal Flow





U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			Total Project Score/Ranking				
U.S. Fish u	O.S. Fish and widnije Service						
PROJEC	T DATA SHEET		Funding Source:	Construction			
	Project Identification						
Project Title: Little Grassy Dam Structura	l Investigation						
Project #: 2012213888 Unit/Facility N	Name: Crab Orchard Natio	onal Wildlife Re	efuge				
Region/Area/District: Region: 3	Org Code: 33610	Congressiona	l District: 12	State: IL			
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code: Unique	Identifier: 33610	API: 100	FCI - Before:	FCI - Projected: 0.00			

Project Description:

The funding will be used to complete a critical engineering investigation of the spillway at Little Grassy Lake Dam, based on findings and recommendations from a FY 2011 investigation. Little Grassy Dam is a zoned earth embankment dam that is 88 feet high, with a Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office, and county roads in the dam failure inundation zone. The Population at Risk (PAR) is 31 people. The recent investigation of the service spillway identified significant evidence of a potential failure mode through loss of embankment material beneath the concrete spillway resulting in the failure of the spillway, erosion of the underlying soils and failure of the dam. The evidence includes lack of a proper filter beneath the spillway, partially clogged drainage system, and the lack of waterstops in the spillway concrete.

Project Need/Benefit:

This investigation will evaluate the existing condition of the service spillway and make recommendations for assuring continued safe operation of the spillway and the safety of the dam. The investigation will include performing borings through the spillway slab, obtaining soils samples, performing erodibility tests, and conducting a geophysical survey to locate existing voids beneath the spillway and install instruments to monitor uplift pressures.

Note: In FY 2012 funds will support needed repairs to three dams at Crab Orchard NWR, including replacing the outlet works and flood-proofing the downstream structure that houses the outlet gate operator for Little Grassy Lake Dam. The FY 2013 investigation will address a separate need.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of t	he project that is i	in the follow	ving categories of need.				
100 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	I aintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Poli	cy, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI	(6)	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Imp	provement	(9)	0 % Critical Miss	ion Deferred Mainter	nance	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferre	ed Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Comp	oliance Capital Impro	ovement	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Defer	red Maintenance		(3)	
			0 % Other Capita	al Improvement		(1)	
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No cheduled (YY):	net Costs s	Completed (YY):	Total Projec	et Score: 1000		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s 300,000 0 300,000	% 100 0	Project Funding History Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 201 Future Funding to Compl Total:	3 Budget:	\$300,00	0	
Class of Estimate: A Estimate Escalated To FY: 2012 (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received in		\$300,000 \$ \$	0	
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> <u>1/13</u> <u>4/15</u>		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved YES	1?	
	Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs (\$'s)						
Current: 0.00	Projected:	0.00)	Net Change:	0.00		

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Total Project Score/	Ranking: 1000					
U.S. Fish and Willinge Service	Programmed Fundi	ing FY: 2013					
PROJECT DATA SHEET		Funding Source:	Construction				
Project Identification							
Project Title: Repair Upper Pahranagat Dam - Phase 2 [ic]							
Project #: 2009968209 Unit/Facility Name: Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge							
Region/Area/District: Region: 8 Org Code: 84551 Co	ongressional District:	02	State: NV				
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code: 40162000 Unique Identifier: 10005093 AP	PI: 100 FCI - Be	fore: 0.00	FCI - Projected: 0.00				

Project Description:

Complete the design, and initiate construction to repair the Upper Pahranagat Dam. Funding to complete construction will be requested in FY 2014.

Project Need/Benefit:

Upper Pahranagat Dam, constructed in 1937, is a 16 foot tall 1,500 foot long earth embankment dam. The Upper Pahranagat Dam was recently reclassified as a High Hazard dam. Dambreak modeling showed that a dam failure would cause downstream US Highway 93 to be overtopped by dangerous flooding in excess of three feet for over 4 hours. In 2010, there was a replacement of the dam's outlet works, however, the Upper Pahranagat Dam does not meet Department or Service dam safety standards and requires additional spillway capacity to safely pass the Inflow Design Flood. In addition, the dam has significant seepage problems and requires a toe drain to safely control seepage. There are many large trees on the dam embankment that need to be removed and the embankment needs to be reconstructed concurrent with the installation of a seepage control toe drain. Funds to finish the design and complete construction will address the dam safety deficiencies. The Population at Risk is estimated to exceed 20 persons.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the	ne project that is	in the follow	ving categories of need.				
100 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	aintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Poli	cy, High Performano	ce Sustain Bldg CI	(6)	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Imp	rovement	(9)	0 % Critical Missi	ion Deferred Mainte	nance	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred	d Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Comp	liance Capital Impro	ovement	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferr	red Maintenance		(3)	
			0 % Other Capita	al Improvement		(1)	
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): Y Type: D So	No cheduled (YY):	2013	Completed (YY):	Total Projec	et Score: 1000		
	Proj	ect Costs a	nd Status				
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s 53,000 0 853,000	9% 100 0	Project Funding History Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Future Funding to Completorial:	Budget: –	\$'s \$150,000 \$ 1,353,000 \$1,502,000 \$3,005,000	0	
Class of Estimate: B Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received in		\$150,000	0	
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	Sch'd 1/13 4/15		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved YES	?	
A	Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs (\$'s)						
Current: 145	Projected:	545		Net Change:	400		

II C E	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service				825			
U.S. F	sn ana whalije Service		Programmed Fu	nding FY:	2013			
PRO	Funding Source:	Construction	n					
Proiect Identification								
Project Title: VFE Replace Decking	Project Title: VFE Replace Decking & Handrails on Saltwater Pier [p/d/cc]							
Project #: 2007730513 Unit/Facility Name: Bears Bluff National Fish Hatchery								
Region/Area/District: Region: 4	Org Code: 41288	Congressional	l District: 06	State:	SC			
Project Justification								
DOI Asset Code: 40130200 Un	que Identifier: 10014330	API: 100	FCI - Before: 0.49	FCI - Projec	eted: 0.00			

Project Description:

Replace wooden decking and handrails on the saltwater pier. The wooden decking and hand rails have deteriorated and the nail heads have rusted off, creating potentially dangerous conditions for employees and visiting public walking on the pier. The marine environment shortens the life span of materials. Deficiency noted during 2007 comprehensive condition assessment and deterioration has increased over time.

The replacement decking and handrail materials will be recycled HDPE which has a fifty year life span with virtually no maintenance.

Project Need/Benefit:

National Fish Hatcheries need to build capacity to support increasing visitation and National initiatives such as "Connecting Children with Nature." This project will support recreational and educational programs at the hatchery for the visiting public and school groups.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of	the project that is in	n the follow	ving categories of need.			
10 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Policy, High Performance Sustain Bldg CI (6			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Im	provement	(9)	0 % Critical Mission	n Deferred Mainter	nance (4)	
90 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (0 % Code Complia	ance Capital Impro	vement (4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	l Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferred	d Maintenance	(3)	
			0 % Other Capital	Improvement	(1)	
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No Scheduled (YY):		Completed (YY):	Total Projec	t Score: 825	
	Proje	<u>ct Costs a</u>	and Status			
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s \$33,000 0 \$33,000	% 100 0 100	Project Funding History (Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Future Funding to Complete Total:	Budget:	\$'s 0 \$33,000 0 \$33,000	
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)			Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in		\$0 \$0	
<u>Dates:</u> Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> 1/13 4/15		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved? YES	
	Annual Operati	on & Mai	intenance Costs (\$'s)		·	
Current: 878.10	Projected:	0.00	0 0	Net Change:	878.10	

	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service				Total	Total Project Score/Ranking:		805
•	•				Prog	rammed Fund	ling FY:	2013
PROJECT DATA SHEET				Fund	ing Source:	Construction		
Project Identification								
Project Title: Reconstruct the								
Project #: 2012213094	Unit/Facility	Name: Wh	nite River Nation	al Fish Hatcher	y			
Region/Area/District: Region	on: 5	Org Code:	53290	Congressiona	al District:	00	State:	VT
Project Justification							•	
DOI Asset Code: 40710300	Unique 1	Identifier:	10023406	API: 100	FCI - Before:	0.37	FCI - Project	ed: 0.00

Project Description:

Reconstruct the river-water infiltration gallery which suffered significant damage during Hurricane Irene, including the complete destruction of the in-river filtration beds, erosion and cracking of the foundation, and collapse of the first floor, which caused equipment to fall through to a lower level. The building is a total loss and presents significant safety hazards. Reconstruction will include: restoration of in-river filtration beds; replacement of control, monitoring and alarm systems as well as a series of pumps, motor, valves and water delivery infrastructure. A much less expensive pre-engineered structure will be utilized.

Project Need/Benefit:

Reconstruction will allow surface waters from the White River to once again be utilized for the culture of lake trout, Atlantic salmon and other native aquatic species supporting an array of ongoing restoration efforts throughout Lake Ontario, Lake Erie, the Lake Champlain Basin, and efforts under the Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture and the National Fish Habitat Action Plan. Re-establishment of this system will also allow waters of the White River to be used to once again pre-chill station well water which will convey a significant annual operational savings by reducing reliance on station chillers. This project supports 4 measures in OP 5 (Managing Fish to Self-Sustaining levels) and 2 measures in OP 54 (Condition of Assets) within the Service Operational Plan.

Ranking Categories: Identify	y the percent of the	project that is	in the follo	wing catego	ories of need.			
50 % Critical Health or S	afety Deferred Mai	ntenance	(10)	0	% Energy Police	cy, High Performan	ce Sustain Bldg C	I (6)
0 % Critical Health or S	0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement			50	% Critical Missi	on Deferred Mainte	enance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource P	rotection Deferred	Maintenance	(7)	0	% Code Comp	liance Capital Impre	ovement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource P	rotection Capital Ir	nprovement	(6)	0	% Other Deferr	ed Maintenance		(3)
				0	% Other Capita	l Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required VE Required (Y or N): Y		No eduled (YY):	2013	Complete	ed (YY):	Total Projec	ct Score: 80:	5
		Proj	ect Costs	and Statu	IS			
Project Cost Estimate (this PD) Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work: Total:	\$1,43: \$1,43:	0	% 100 0 100	Appropri Requeste	ated to Date:	Buuget.	\$'s \$1.432.0 \$1,432.0	0
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY:	(yy)				g Funds Received Funds Received in		. , , , ,	\$0 \$0
<u>Dates:</u> Construction Start/Award: (QTR Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	VYY)	<u>Sch'd</u> <u>1/13</u> <u>4/15</u>			Data Sheet //Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approv	red?
	Ar	nual Opera	tion & Ma	intenanc	e Costs (\$'s)		•	
Current: 11,307.46		Projected:	11,30	7.46		Net Change:	0.00	

77	S. Fish and Wildlife Service		Project Score/Rank	ing:	779		
· ·	•			Y:	2013		
P	Funding Source:	Co	nstruction				
Project Identification							
Project Title: Rehabilitate Levees to Restore Tidal Flow to Cullinan Ranch Unit							
Project #: 2011199680 Un	t/Facility Name: San Pablo Bay Na	tional Wildlife Ref	fuge				
Region/Area/District: Region:	8 Org Code: 81644	Congressional	District: 06	State	: CA		
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code: Unique Identifier: 81644 API: 100 FCI - Before: 0.00 FCI - Projected:					ected: 0.00		

Project Description:

In conjunction with numerous partners including five state or federal agencies, the San Pablo Bay National Wildlife Refuge's Cullinan Ranch Unit tidal restoration project will restore over 1,500 acres of wetland habitat for two endangered species while providing safe public access to hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education opportunities in the north San Francisco Bay Area.

This is a \$14.9 million project, of which \$75,000 from other sources has already gone into planning. All phases of this project are critical for restoration work to continue and funds and grants from other agencies to be effectively applied. Postponement of the project will result in the loss of grant funding already awarded for the wetland restoration effort. Restoring the tidal flow to the Cullinan Ranch Unit consists of three main tidal-induced erosion; and 37 mile-long setback levee to protect Highway 37; 2) armoring/rip-rapping 2.5 miles of Highway 37 to protect it from tidal-induced erosion; and 37 raising the height of 1 mile of existing levee and installing water control structures to protect adjacent property. Infrastructure protection afforded by this work must be in place prior to breaching existing levees to restore tidal flows.

The restoration of twice-daily tidal flows to the site will result in the deposition of sediments that would bring the site to tidal marsh elevations and create meandering slough channels Salt tolerant marsh plain vegetation such as pickleweed, cord grass and other native plant species will return. Presently, only 15% of the bay's historic tidal lands remain. An Environmental Impact Statement was completed for the project and a Record of Decision was signed on April 9, 2010. The funding requested for the Fish and Wildlife Service for this project is approximately \$1.5 million, which will be combined with \$13,403,000 from other sources to allow completion of the project.

Project Need/Benefit

This project is the culmination of more than 14 years of planning with multiple partners and stakeholders. Benefits of completing this project include:

- * 1,549 acres of wetland habitat will be restored, directly benefiting two endangered species;
- *Public access will be available for hunting, fishing wildlife observation, wildlife photography and environmental opportunities;
- *Safe public ingress and egress that meets highway safety standards will be provided;
- *Safe travel for the more than 23,000 drivers who daily pass through or by the refuge on Highway 37;

Not completing this project would result in years of wasted planning, permitting, time, and money. In addition, not funding this project would fail to: a) provide safe access for the visiting public, b) restore tidal habitats for threatened and numerous other wetland wildlife, and c) provide the public with outdoor recreation opportunities such as viewing wildlife, hiking, hunting, fishing, birding, photography and environmental education.

Ranking Categories: Identify the	percent of the pro	ject that is	in the following categories	of need:			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred I	Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy P	olicy, High Performa	nce Sustain Bldg CI (6)		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital In	provement	(9)	0 % Critical M	lission Deferred Main	ntenance (4)		
60 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	60 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (7)			npliance Capital Impr	rovement (4)		
40 % Critical Resource Protection Capi	tal Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Defe	erred Maintenance	(3)		
Emphasis Total		0 % Other Capital Improvement (1)					
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on	this Project?	No		Total Projec	t Score: 779		
Project Costs and Status							
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$'s	%	Project Funding Histor	ry (Entire Project):	\$'s		
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$8	98,200	60	Appropriated to Date:				
	98,800	40	Requested in FY 201	Budget _	\$1,497,000		
	97,000	100	Planned Funding in FY	2013	\$0		
Total Cost Estimate.		<u>.</u>	Future Funding to Comp	lete Project:	\$0		
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM)	<u>D</u>	•	Funded by Partners	_	\$13,403,000		
Estimate Good Until: 2014			Total Project Cost:		\$14,900,000		
Dates:	Sch'd		Project Data Sheet		DOI Approved?		
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr	/yy) 1/13		Prepared/Last Update	ed 9-11	YES		
Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr.	/yy) 4/15			(mm/yy)			
	Annual Op	eration &	Maintenance Costs(\$'s)			
Current: 0.00	Projected:	0.00		Net Change: 0	.00		

II C Eig	h and Wildlife Service		Total P	Total Project Score/Ranking:		770		
U.S. F 18	O.S. I ish una Huanje Service			Programmed Funding FY:		2013		
PROJI		Fundin	g Source:	Construction	Į.			
	Project Identification							
Project Title: Demolish and reconstru	Project Title: Demolish and reconstruct the fish tagging building							
Project #: 2012213100 Unit/Facili	ty Name: White River Nationa	l Fish Hatchery						
Region/Area/District: Region: 5	Org Code: 53290	Congressiona	al District:	00	State:	VT		
Project Justification								
DOI Asset Code: 35500100 Uniq	ue Identifier: 10023413	API: 90	FCI - Before:	0.12	FCI - Projec	ted: 0.00		

Project Description:

Demolish and reconstruct the fish tagging building. This building, which is used for fish tagging, hatchery storage and is the location of the motor control centers associated with the river water intake gallery, was severely damaged by flood waters associated with Hurricane Irene. Flood waters severely undercut the building's foundation causing structural failure and the collapse of the first floor. At present the condition not only represents a severe safety hazard but also prohibits the utilization of the river water intake gallery due to the destruction of the motor control center and electrical infrastructure contained within the building. Demolition and reconstruction is essential to abate the associated health and human safety hazard as well as to allowing surface waters from the White River to once again be utilized for the culture of lake trout, Atlantic salmon and other native aquatic species supporting an array of ongoing biological efforts in Lake Ontario, Lake Erie., throughout the Lake Champlain basin, and under the Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture and the National Fish Habitat Action Plan.

Project Need/Benefit:

This project supports 4 measures in OP 5 (Managing Fish to Self-Sustaining levels) and 2 measures in OP 54 (Condition of Assets) within the Service Operational Plan.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the	he project that is i	n the follow	ving categories of need.				
50 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	laintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Policy	, High Performano	ce Sustain Bldg CI	(6)	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Imp	provement	(9)	50 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance				
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferre	(7)	0 % Code Complia	ance Capital Impro	ovement	(4)		
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferred	l Maintenance		(3)	
			0 % Other Capital	Improvement		(1)	
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No cheduled (YY):	et Costs o	Completed (YY):	Total Projec	et Score: 770)	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s 500,000 0 500,000	% 100 0 100	Project Funding History (Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Future Funding to Complete Total:	Budget:	\$'s \$500,0	0	
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: (yy)			Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in			\$0 \$0	
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	Sch'd 1/13 4/15	ion & Moi	Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated intenance Costs (\$'s)	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approve YES	ed?	
Current: 116.77	Projected:	0.00		let Change:	116.77		

II C	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			e/Ranking: 740			
<i>U.S.</i>	rish ana wilalije servic	e	Programmed Fun	ding FY: 2013			
PRO	OJECT DATA SHEET		Funding Source:	Construction			
Project Identification							
Project Title: Erosion Control to I	Protect Indian Burial Ground						
Project #: 2011208701 Unit/F	acility Name: Missisquoi l	National Wildlife Ref	uge				
Region/Area/District: Region: 5	Org Code: 5352	0 Congression	al District: 00	State: VT			
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code:	Unique Identifier: 53520	API: 100	FCI - Before:	FCI - Projected: 0.00			

Project Description:

Provide erosion protection for a cultural site within the refuge along the Missisquoi River. An important cultural site on the refuge has been eroding due to years of flooding and conditions were exacerbated by the flooding in 2011. A site just north of the Refuge was found to be an Abenaki burial location and is protected by the state. There is a high probability that Native American burials exist on the eroding tract and has significant cultural values to the Abenaki Tribe. The project will involve placement of rip rap to prevent erosion and looting of cultural resources.

Project Needs/Benefit:

This project will protect sensitive cultural site and stop the potential for looting as material is exposed. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is committed to protecting this sensitive cultural site to comply with Section 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Federal Agency Historic Preservation Programs and Section 3 of the Native American Graves Protection & Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) (covering inadvertent discovery of human remains). This project scores 100 percent Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement due to the nature of the repairs needed. The Service is working with Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) archeologists and engineers, as well as with the State Department of Historic Preservation. The Abenaki Tribe views this as the responsibility of the Federal Government to protect this cultural resource and to comply with NAGPRA Act when burials erode from lands under Service jurisdiction. This project will also effect long term aquatic and riparian habitat improvement and riparian and river bank protection.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the	e project that is i	n the follow	ring categories of need.				
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Ma	aintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Pol	icy, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI (6)		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Impr	0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement			0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance			
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred	l Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Com	pliance Capital Impro	vement (4)		
100 % Critical Resource Protection Capital I	mprovement	(6)	0 % Other Defer	rred Maintenance	(3)		
			0 % Other Capital Improvement (1)				
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: Sc	No heduled (YY):		Completed (YY):	Total Projec	t Score: 740		
Project Costs and Status							
	\$'s 0 56,000 56,000	% 0 100 100	Project Funding Histor Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 201 Future Funding to Comp. Total:	3 Budget:	\$'s 0 \$156,000 0 \$156,000		
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received i				
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	Sch'd 1/13 4/15		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved? YES		
A	nnual Operati	ion & Mai	ntenance Costs (\$'s)		-		
Current: 0.00	Projected:	200		Net Change:	200		

II C. E	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service					610	
U.S. F	y			nmed Fund	ling FY:	2013	
PRO	Funding	Source:	Construction	l			
Project Identification							
Project Title: Hatchery System Exc	ess/Inactive Property Disposal						
Project #: 2010145730 Unit/Fa	ility Name: National Fish H	atchery System					
Region/Area/District: Multiple	Org Code: Multiple	Congressiona	al District:	Multiple	State:	Multiple	
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code: Un	ique Identifier: Multiple	API: 100	FCI - Before:		FCI - Project	ted: 0.00	

Project Description:

Funding will be used to dispose of excess or unused property. This proposal is in support of the DOI Real Property Cost Savings and Innovation Plan.

List of property to be demolished includes:

Dale Hollow NFH (TN) (\$10,000) - Disposal of Residence with attached garage

Quilcene NFH (WA) (\$20,000) - Demolish log building

Abernathy Fish Technology Center (WA) (\$80,000) - Demolish water treatment towers

Green Lake NFH (ME) (\$20,000) - Demolish mobile home

Project Need/Benefit:

The Service expends Operation & Maintenance (O&M) funding to maintain property that has been declared excess or unused. Removal of such assets from the Service's property inventory may save O&M funding and allow saved funding to be diverted to more pressing O&M needs.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of	the project that is i	in the follow	ving categories of need.			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Policy	y, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI	(6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Ir	0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement			on Deferred Mainter	nance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	(7)	0 % Code Compli	iance Capital Impro	vement	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capit	al Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferre	d Maintenance		(3)
			0 % Other Capital	Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type:	No Scheduled (YY):	•	Completed (YY):	<u>Total Projec</u>	t Score: 610	
	Proje	ect Costs a	and Status			
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s \$130,000 0 \$130,000	% 100 0 100	Project Funding History Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Future Funding to Complet Total:	Budget:	\$130,00	0
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)			Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in		\$ \$	-
<u>Dates:</u> Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	Sch'd 1/13 4/15		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved YES	1?
Aı	nnual Operation	& Maint	enance Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 0.00	Projected:	0.00)	Net Change: 0.00		

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Total Project Score/Ranking: 610									
U.S. Fish and wilding Service	Programmed Funding FY: 2013									
PROJECT DATA SHEET	Funding Source: Construction									
Project Identification										
Project Title: Repair Roads and Fences Damaged by Flooding										
Project #: 2010147749 Unit/Facility Name:Lower Rio Grande	alley National Wildlife Refuge									
Region/Area/District: Region: 2 Org Code: 21552	Congressional District: 15 State: TX									
Project Justification										
DOI Asset Code: Unique Identifier: 21552	API: 100 FCI - Before: FCI - Projected: 0.00									

Project Description:

Torrential rainfall from Hurricane Alex in 2010 resulted in severe flooding in the Rio Grande basin and the Lower Rio Grande Valley. Damage to the Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge has been extensive due to the Refuge being inundated for over 3 months. These repairs are urgently needed to rebuild roads and repair fences/gates. These facilities are critical to maintaining access and habitat on the National Wildlife Refuge.

Project Need/Benefit:

The road repairs are needed so administrative personnel can gain access to their areas and border patrol agents can protect refuge assets. Fence repairs are needed to maintain a secure refuge. This project rates 100 percent Code Compliance Capital Improvement due to the nature of the repairs.

Ranking Categories: Identify	the percent of the p	roject that is	in the follo	wing categ	gories of need.			
0 % Critical Health or Sa	fety Deferred Maint	enance	(10)	0	% Energy Polic	y, High Performan	nce Sustain Bldg	g CI (6)
0 % Critical Health or Sa	fety Capital Improv	ement	(9)	0	% Critical Mission	on Deferred Mainte	enance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Pro	otection Deferred M	aintenance	(7)	100	% Code Compl	iance Capital Impr	rovement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement (6)				0	% Other Deferre	d Maintenance		(3)
				0	% Other Capital	Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required VE Required (Y or N): N		luled (YY):			ted (YY):	Total Proje	ect Score:	610
		<u>Proj</u>	ect Costs	and Stati	us			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS) Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work: Total:	\$176,0 \$176,0	0000	% 0 100 100	Appropr Request	t Funding History riated to Date: ted in FY 2013 Funding to Complete	Budget: -	\$17	's 0 76.000 0 76.000
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY:	(yy)				ng Funds Received in Funds Received in		ΨΙ	\$0 \$0
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/ Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> <u>1/13</u> <u>4/15</u>			Data Sheet d/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Appr YES	
	Ann	ual Operat	ion & Ma	intenanc	ce Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 0.00	P	rojected:	300.	.00		Net Change:	0.00	

U.S. Eigh	and Wildlife Comice		Total Project Score/Ranking:			610				
U.S. Fish	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service					2013				
PROJEC	Fundin	g Source:	Construction							
Project Identification										
Project Title: Refuge System Excess Pro	perty Disposal									
Project #: 2010145720 Unit/Facility	y Name: National Wildlife	Refuge System								
Region/Area/District: Multiple	Org Code: Multiple	Congressiona	l District:	Multiple	State:	Multiple				
Project Justification										
DOI Asset Code: Unique	e Identifier: Multiple	API: 100	FCI - Before:		FCI - Projecte	d: 0.00				

Project Description:

Funding will be used to dispose of excess or unused property. This proposal supports the DOI Wide Real Property Cost Savings Plan. The list of property to be demolished includes:

Matagorda Island NWR (TX) \$88,994 - Bldg Housing Single Family

Buenos Aires NWR AZ) \$32,400 - Building Dining Hall Cafeteria

Boyer Chute NWR (NE) \$26,680 - Building Housing Single Family Harris Neck NWR (GA) \$17,576 - Building Housing Cabin, cinderblock, one story, three room

St Catherine Creek NWR (MS) \$11,600 - Building Warehouse Equipment Vehicle, masonry block

Chincoteague NWR (VA) \$24,000 - Building Visitor Contact Station, wood

Edwin B Forsythe NWR (NJ) \$21,000 - Building Housing Cabin

Upper Souris NWR (ND) \$32,000 - Building Warehouse Equipment Vehicle, boathouse, concrete

San Joaquin River NWR (CA) \$54,750 - Building Service Shop Maintenance, brick

Project Need/Benefit:

The Service at times expends O&M funding to maintain property that has been declared excess or unused. Unused buildings eventually deteriorate and can become an attractive nuisance. Removal of such assets from the Service's property inventory will eliminate the O&M costs and reduce the deferred maintenance associated with these facilities, thus enabling the Service to focus future resources on mission critical assets.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of	the project that is	in the follo	wing categ	gories of need.			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	Maintenance	(10)	0	% Energy Poli	cy, High Performano	ce Sustain Bldg CI	(6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Im	provement	(9)	100	% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance			
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferro	(7)	0	% Code Comp	oliance Capital Impro	ovement	(4)	
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			% Other Defer	red Maintenance		(3)
			0	% Other Capita	al Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No Scheduled (YY):		Complet	ted (YY):	Total Projec	ct Score: 610	
	Proje	ect Costs	and Stati	us			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work: \$ Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s 309,000 0	% 100 0	Appropri	Funding Histor riated to Date: red in FY 2013	Duuget.	\$'s \$309.0	0 00 0
Total: \$	309,000	100	Total:	2 1	_	\$309,00	00
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)				g Funds Received Funds Received i			\$0 \$0
<u>Dates:</u> Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	Sch'd 1/13 4/15			Data Sheet d/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approve	ed?
	Annual Operat	ion & Ma	intenano	ce Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 0.00	Projected:	0.0	0		Net Change:	0.00	

II C E	h and Wildlife Service		Project Score/Ranki	ing: 600					
U.S. 11	n ana whalije Service		Planned Funding FY	Y: 2013					
PROJ	Funding Source:	Construction							
Project Identification									
Project Title: Green Energy -Tier 2	Energy Efficiency Projects for Tu	rnbull Comfort Sta	ntion power line removal						
Project #: 2010123884 Unit/Fac	ity Name: Turnbull National	Wildlife Refuge							
Region/Area/District: Region: 1	Org Code: 13560	Congressional	District: 05	State: WA					
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 35801000 Uni	que Identifier: 10003876	API: 65 F 0	CI - Before: 1	FCI - Projected: 0.00					

Project Description:

Complete Tier 2B energy efficiency projects for Turnbull comfort station. Project will remove failing buried 0.25-mile power line cable to the comfort station, install a water pipe from the office to the comfort station, and add 1 kW of photovoltaic panels to operate the comfort station lights. Project will also insulate and heat the plumbing system.

Project Need/Benefit:

Project will eliminate the failing buried cable and save energy by eliminating energy loss caused by transforming alternating current twice to operate minimal lights and pump at the comfort station. This is a Tier 2B project because main benefit is to eliminate energy loss rather than to replace the power source with solar, and because most of the cost is removing the power line.

Ranking Categories: Identify the	percent of the p	roject that is	in the following categorie	es of need:		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred I	Maintenance	(10)	100 % Energy	Policy, High Performa	ance Sustain Bldg CI (6)	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital In	provement	(9)	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance			
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	red Maintenand	0 % Code Co	ompliance Capital Impr	rovement (4)		
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capi	tal Improvemen	0 % Other D	eferred Maintenance	(3)		
Emphasis Total			0 % Other C	apital Improvement	(1)	
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on	this Project?	No		Total Projec	et Score: 600	
	Proj	ect Costs a	nd Status			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$'s	%	Project Funding Hist	ory (Entire Project):	\$'s	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$0	0	Appropriated to Date:			
Capital Improvement Work: \$2	10,000	100	Requested in FY	2013 Budget	\$210,000	
· · ·	210.000	100	Planned Funding in FY	2013	\$0	
Total Cost Estimate.			Future Funding to Con	nplete Project:	\$0	
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM)	<u>C</u>		Total Project Cost:	•	\$210,000	
Estimate Good Until: 2014				•		
Dates:	Sch'd		Project Data Sheet		DOI Approved?	
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr	/yy) 1/13		Prepared/Last Upda	ited 1/12	MEG	
Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr.	/yy) 4/14			(mm/yy)	YES	
A	nnual Opera	tion & Ma	intenance Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 986	Projected:	985		Net Change: -	1	

	TI C 1					Total P	roject Score	e/Ranking:	575	
	U.S. F	Fish and Wildlife Servi	ice			Progra	mmed Fund	ling FY:	2013	
	PRO	JECT DATA SHEET	Γ				g Source:	Construction	n	
]	Project I	dentifica	tion					
Project Title: Repai	r Storm Dama	ged Service Road								
Project #: 20076475	48 Unit/F:	acility Name: Tennessee l	National V	Vildlife Re	fuge					
Region/Area/District:	Region: 4	Org Code: 426	520	Congress	ional Distr	ct:	08	State:	TN	
		I	Project J	ustificati	on					
DOI Asset Code: 40	760200 U	nique Identifier: 10055839	9 <i>I</i>	API: 90	FCI - F	efore:	0.07	FCI - Projec	cted: (0.00
esurface. Project Need/Benefit: These repairs will help ne Refuge back to its	to restore i	nate was developed. Was nfrastructure and trails e before the floods of 2 Mission Deferred Maint	to the To	ennessee s will th	National en provide	Wildlife e a safe (Refuge. T	r This will brin	ng much	of
oroject scored 100 perc	ent Critical	Wission Deterred Walli	tenance o	lue to the	e nature of	the wor	k.			ms
Ranking Categories:							k.			mis
Ranking Categories:	Identify the p	ercent of the project that is i			gories of ne	ed.		rmance Sustain	Bldg CI	(e
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Hea	Identify the p alth or Safety I	ercent of the project that is i	in the follo	wing cate	gories of ne % Energ	ed. 2y Policy,			Bldg CI	((
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Head of the Criti	Identify the p alth or Safety I	ercent of the project that is i Deferred Maintenance	in the follo	owing cate	gories of ne % Ener	ed. zy Policy, il Mission	High Perfor Deferred M:		Bldg CI	((
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Hea 0 % Critical Res	Identify the p alth or Safety I alth or Safety O ource Protecti	ercent of the project that is i Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement	in the follo (10) (9)	owing cate 0 100	gories of ne % Ener; % Critica % Code	ed. gy Policy, ıl Mission · Complia	High Perfor Deferred M:	aintenance Improvement	Bldg CI	
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Hea 0 % Critical Res	Identify the p alth or Safety I alth or Safety O ource Protecti	ercent of the project that is i Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance	in the follow (10) (9) (7)	owing cate 0 100 0	gories of ne % Energ % Critica % Code % Other	ed. 2y Policy, ıl Mission e Complia: Deferred	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I	aintenance Improvement	Bldg CI	(4
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Hea 0 % Critical Res 0 % Critical Res Capital Asset Planning	Identify the p alth or Safety I alth or Safety C source Protecti cource Protecti	ercent of the project that is in Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance on Capital Improvement Or N): No	in the follow (10) (9) (7)	owing cate 0 100 0 0	gories of ne % Energy % Critica % Code % Other % Other	ed. 2y Policy, ıl Mission e Complia: Deferred	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I Maintenance Inprovement	aintenance Improvement	Bldg CI	(4)
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Hea 0 % Critical Res 0 % Critical Res	Identify the p alth or Safety I alth or Safety C source Protecti ource Protecti	ercent of the project that is in Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance on Capital Improvement on Capital Improvement or N): No E: Scheduled (YY):	in the following (10) (9) (7) (6)	owing cate 0 100 0 0 Comple	gories of ne % Ener; % Critica % Code % Other % Other	ed. 2y Policy, ıl Mission e Complia: Deferred	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I Maintenance Inprovement	aintenance Improvement e		(4)
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Heat 0 % Critical Res 0 % Critical Res Capital Asset Planning VE Required (Y or N): Project Cost Estimate (a)	Identify the p alth or Safety I alth or Safety C ource Protecti ource Protecti Required? (Y N Type	ercent of the project that is in Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance on Capital Improvement or N): No e: Scheduled (YY): Project	in the follow (10) (9) (7) (6) ext Costs %	owing cate 0 100 0 Comple and State	gories of ne % Ener; % Critica % Code % Other % Other sted (YY):	ed. gy Policy, l Mission Complia Deferred Capital In	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I Maintenance Inprovement	aintenance Improvement e	575 \$'s	
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Heat 0 % Critical Res 0 % Critical Res Capital Asset Planning VE Required (Y or N): Project Cost Estimate (i) Deferred Maintenance W	Identify the path or Safety I alth or Safety I cource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protection I although the path of	ercent of the project that is in Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance on Capital Improvement or N): No e: Scheduled (YY): Proje \$'s \$126,000	(10) (9) (7) (6) eet Costs % 100	owing cate 0 100 0 Comple and State Projec Approp	gories of ne % Ener; % Critica % Code % Other % Other	ed. gy Policy, l Mission Complia Deferred Capital In	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I Maintenance mprovement Total P	aintenance Improvement e	575 \$'s	(((3 ()
Ranking Categories: 0 % Critical Heat 0 % Critical Res 0 % Critical Res Capital Asset Planning VE Required (Y or N): Project Cost Estimate (a)	Identify the path or Safety I alth or Safety I cource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protectiource Protection I although the path of	ercent of the project that is in Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement on Deferred Maintenance on Capital Improvement or N): No e: Scheduled (YY): Project	in the follow (10) (9) (7) (6) ext Costs %	owing cate 0 100 0 0 Comple and State Projec Approp Reques	gories of ne % Ener; % Critica % Code % Other % Other sted (YY): cus t Funding I	ed. gy Policy, Il Mission Complia Deferred Capital In History (Ette: 2013	High Perfor Deferred Mance Capital I Maintenance Inprovement Total P	aintenance Improvement e	575 \$'s	0000

Planning Funds Received in FY

Design Funds Received in FY

Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated

Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs (\$'s)

1,094

Class of Estimate:

Current:

Estimate Escalated To FY:

Project Complete: (QTR/YY)

Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY)

1,104

(yy)

Sch'd

1/13

4/15

Projected:

100

Jan-12

(mm/yy)

Net Change:

DOI Approved?

YES

		1 1 2015							
_	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			Proj	Project Score/Ranking:		550		
				Plai	nned Funding FY:	,	2013		
PROJECT DATA SHEET				Fun	ding Source:	Constructi	on		
	P	roject Iden	tification						
Project Title: Green Energ	gy -Visitor Center/Office Tier	2 Energy Up	grades						
Project #: 2010124568	Unit/Facility Name: Whit	te River Nati	onal Wildlife Re	efuge					
Region/Area/District: Region	gion: 4 Org Code:	43670	Congression	nal District:	01	State: Al	R		
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 3529070	0 Unique Identifier:	10050361	API: 100	FCI - Before:	.90 F (CI - Projected:	0.00		
	•			•					

Project Description:

Rehabilitate building by designing and installing energy efficient heating, ventilation, cooling, and lighting improvements to office Visitor Center. Project involves component renewal for the heating, ventilation and air conditioning equipment, windows, insulation, water heater, and lighting fixtures.

Project Needs/Benefit:

This project is in support of Executive Order 13514, the Energy Independence and Security Act and the Energy Policy Act, The Department of the Interior has committed to achieving 20% reduction in scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions by 2020.

Ranking Categories: Identify	the percent of the p	roject that is	s in the following categorie	s of need:			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Defer		(10)	75 % Energy Policy, High Performance Sustain Bldg CI				
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capit	al Improvement	(9)	25 % Critical M	lission Deferred Main	tenance (4		
0 % Critical Resource Protection I	Deferred Maintenanc	0 % Code Cor	npliance Capital Impre	ovement (4			
0 % Critical Resource Protection	Capital Improvemen	t (6)	0 % Other Def	erred Maintenance	(3		
100 Emphasis Total			0 % Other Cap	ital Improvement	(1		
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Require	ed on this Project?	No	-	Total Project	Score: 550		
	Proj	ect Costs	and Status	•			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$'s	%	Project Funding Histo	ry (Entire Project):	\$'s		
Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work: Total Cost Estimate:	\$137,500 \$412,500 \$550,000	25 75 100	Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY Planned Funding in FY Future Funding to Comp	2013 Budget	\$550,000 \$0		
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) Estimate Good Until: 2014	<u>DM</u>		Total Project Cost:	<u>-</u>	\$550,000		
Dates: Scheduled Work Start Date: Scheduled Work Complete Date:	Sch'd (qtr/yy) 1/13 (qtr/yy) 4/15 Annual Oper	eation & N	Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Update faintenance Costs (\$'s)	ed 8-11 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved? YES		
Current: 64,068	Projected:	64,004	Ιμπτεπαπές Costs (ψ s)	Net Change: -6	4		

	C Eigh	and Wildlife	Comico			Total Pro	ect Score	/Ranking:	545
\boldsymbol{U}	S. Fish C	ana wnanje	Service			Programi	Programmed Funding FY:		
]	PROJECT DATA SHEET					Funding S	Source:	Construction	1
Project Identification									
Project Title: Demolish flood	damaged b	ouildings							
Project #: 2011208388 U:	nit/Facility	Name: Boyer (Chute Nationa	ıl Wildlif	e Refug	ge			
Region/Area/District: Region	n: 3	Org Code:	33515	Congre	essiona	l District:	01	State:	NE
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code:	Unique	33515		API:	100	FCI - Before:		FCI - Project	ted: 0.00

Project Description:

Record flooding in 2011 in the Missouri River valley led to the inundation of all facilities at Boyer Chute National Wildlife Refuge. The high water persisted for several months severely damaging the buildings and other infrastructure. The project is to demolish six buildings and structures that have been rendered uninhabitable due to the damage by the high water. The prolonged flooding conditions created mold growth that is a direct threat to human health and safety, including the structural and foundation damages. Given the extent of the damages and mold growth, it is not feasible to rehabilitate these structures. At this time there are no alternate building sites on the Refuge that provide reasonable protection from future flood events. The operations and maintenance support functions will be relocated to DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge.

Project Need/Benefit:

Due to unsafe flooding conditions and high water levels this year, Boyer Chute National Wildlife Refuge has been closed to the public until it is safe to allow appropriate use of the refuge. These funds are urgently needed to aid in the restoration of the Refuge through the removal of these unsafe facilities. Failure to provide these funds will delay the opening of the facilities to the public.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of t	he project that is in	the follow	ing categ	gories of need.			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	f aintenance	(10)	0	% Energy Poli	cy, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI	(6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Imp	provement	(9)	0	% Critical Miss	ion Deferred Mainter	nance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferre	0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (7)					ovement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	(6)	100	% Other Defer	red Maintenance		(3)	
			0	% Other Capita	al Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No cheduled (YY):		Complet	ed (YY):	Total Projec	<u>st Score:</u> 545	
	Proje	ect Costs	and Sta	itus			
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s 300,000 0 800,000	% 100 0 100	Appropri Request	Funding History riated to Date: ed in FY 2013 Funding to Compl	Buuget.	\$'s \$300.00 \$300,00	0
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)				g Funds Received Funds Received in			\$0 \$0
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> 1/13 4/15			Data Sheet d/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved	<u>d?</u>
	nnual Operation	n & Mai	ntenanc	e Costs (\$'s)		-	
Current: 0.00	Projec	eted:	23,045		Net Change: 0.00	l	

II C Ein	and Wildlife Comice		Total Project Score	e/Ranking:	545						
U.S. Fisi	and Wildlife Service		Programmed Fund	ding FY:	2013						
PROJE	Funding Source:	Construction									
Project Identification											
Project Title: Repair Boardwalk and C	bservation Platform Damaged b	y Fire									
Project #: 2011207236 Unit/Facili	ty Name: Okefenokee Nation	al Wildlife Refuge									
Region/Area/District: Region: 4	Org Code: 41590	Congressional Distric	t: 01	State:	GA						
Proiect Justification											
DOI Asset Code: 40800900 Uniq	ie Identifier: 10014855	API: 100 FCI - B	efore: 0.00	FCI - Projected	: 0.00						

Project Description:

As a result of lightning strikes during the storms of 2011, the boardwalk and observation platform at Chesser Island was severely damaged. Large sections of the highly used boardwalk were completely destroyed deeming this important visitor related asset unusable. The asset was inspected and assessed by one of the regional facility management coordinators due to the Prairie Fires of 2011. Results of the inspection warrant replacement of several sections of boardwalk and structural repairs to the observation platform.

Project Need/Benefit:

It is important this asset be repaired as soon as possible in order to provide visitor access, and to ensure repairs are made prior to the area becoming overgrown with vegetation. These repairs will also provide a safe boardwalk and observation platform for the public. This project scores 100 percent Other Deferred Maintenance due to backlog of these much needed repairs.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of	the project that is i	n the follow	wing categories of need.		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Poli	icy, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI (6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital In	nprovement	(9)	0 % Critical Miss	ion Deferred Mainter	nance (4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	red Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Comp	pliance Capital Impro	ovement (4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capit	al Improvement	(6)	100 % Other Defer	red Maintenance	(3)
			0 % Other Capit	al Improvement	(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type:	No Scheduled (YY):		Completed (YY):	Total Projec	t Score: 545
	Proje	ect Costs a	and Status		
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s \$159,000 0	% 100 0	Project Funding Histor Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 201: Future Funding to Compl	Budget:	\$'s 0 \$159,000
Total:	\$159,000	100	Total:	_	\$159,000
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received i		\$0 \$0
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> 1/13 4/15		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved? YES
	Annual Operat	ion & Ma	intenance Costs (\$'s)		
Current: 77	Projected:	0.00	0	Net Change:	77

U.S.	Total Project Sc	ore/Ranking:	521						
0.5.		Programmed F	unding FY:	2013					
PRO		Funding Source	: Construction						
Project Identification									
Project Title: VFE Replace Fishin	g Pier, Ramp and Slab								
Project #: 2009914787 Unit/Facility Name: Inks Dam National Fish Hatchery									
Region/Area/District: Region: 2	Org Code: 21220	Congression	nal District: 11	State:	TX				
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 40760100 U	nique Identifier: 10040308	API: 80	FCI - Before: 1	FCI - Projected	d: 0.00				

Project Description:

This project will replace the 160 S.F. fishing pier, concrete slab (disabled parking area) and asphalt ramp. The fishing pier was demolished several years ago due to unsafe conditions. The concrete slab (located at the bottom of the ramp, just before the pier) is no longer level from settling. The asphalt ramp is severely damaged (several cracks along the entire length), making it unusable. The shoreline in proximity to the pier will be stabilized to ensure longevity.

Project Need/Benefit:

Inks Dam NFH has always served its immediate community of the Texas Hill Country as a fishing and day use outdoor recreation site. Native wildflowers and plants of Texas are protected on hatchery grounds, as are the thousands of birds that utilize hatchery grounds both as a migration stopover and as year-round residents. The hatchery has become an outdoor classroom and training site by utilizing all of the environmental education and outreach potential. Last year, over 2,450 children and adults from a dozen different school and community groups visited the hatchery for specific outreach events and learning opportunities. A safe and functioning fishing pier will enhance the experience of visitors even more.

This project supports GPRA measures REM.2.0.3.0712 (# of waters where recreational fishing opportunities are provided) and REM.1.0.1.0412 (Percent of visitors satisfied with the quality of their experience).

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of t	he project that is i	n the follow	ving categories of need.		
30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred M	Saintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Pol	licy, High Performanc	ce Sustain Bldg CI (6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Im	provement	(9)	0 % Critical Mis	sion Deferred Mainter	nance (4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferre	ed Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Com	pliance Capital Impro	ovement (4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital	Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Defe	rred Maintenance	(3)
			70 % Other Capi	tal Improvement	(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type: S	No scheduled (YY):		Completed (YY):	Total Projec	et Score: 521
	Proje	ect Costs a	and Status		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$'s \$30,000 \$70,000	% 30 70 100	Project Funding Histor Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 201 Future Funding to Comp Total:	Budget:	\$'s 0 \$100,000 0 \$100,000
Class of Estimate: Estimate Escalated To FY: (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received		
Dates: Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> <u>1/13</u> <u>4/15</u>		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approved? YES
	Annual Operat	tion & Ma	aintenance Costs (\$'s)		
Current: 59	Projected:	0.00)	Net Change:	59

		1 1 2010							
	II C Fish	and Wildlife Service		Project	Score/Ranking:	475			
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service				Planne	d Funding FY:	2013			
PROJECT DATA SHEET				Fundin	g Source:	Construction			
		Project Ider	tification						
Project Title: Green Energy - Rehabilitate Headquarters building to improve energy efficiency.									
Project #: 2010123071 Unit/Facility Name: Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge									
Region/Area/District:	Region: 2	Org Code: 21650	Congressiona	al District:	02	State: OK			
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 35600	100 Unique	Identifier: 10008250	API: 80	FCI - Before:	1 FCI	- Projected: 0.00			

Project Description:

Energy saving project to the refuge headquarters building. Rehabilitate the existing brick exterior by removing and putting on insulation wrap, insulation board and properly installed brick exterior. The project will also replace 9 overhead doors that are not currently insulated and do not properly close causing security issues. U Panel metal will be installed above the parking bays to cover the 1920's windows that currently allow loss of heating and cooling to the outside environment. Four large windows at the shop end of the building will be replaced with energy rated windows and reduced in size to standard window openings.

Project Need/Benefit:

Rehabilitate refuge headquarters building used by Refuge management and administrative staff. Insufficient insulation and doors not being able to tightly secure/seal allow loss of heating and cooling to the outside environment. Staff member's performance is hindered due to high noise levels from adjacent work areas, inadequate lighting, ventilation, and electrical power systems. Project is scored 75% energy policy, high performance sustainable buildings by updating doors, rehabilitating the existing brick exterior, insulating with insulating board, improve lighting with energy efficient lighting fixtures and bulbs, ventilation, and electrical power systems to comply with the energy policy act. This project will subdivide interior space with walls and doors. Completion of this project will result in greater energy savings, greater productivity from Refuge staff and improve employee safety, health, and accessibility. Project is scored 25% for other Capital Improvement.

			in the following categories of			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	Maintenance	(10)	75 % Energy Polic	y, High Performan	nce Sustain Bl	dg CI (6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Im	provement	(9)	0 % Critical Missi	on Deferred Maint	enance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	red Maintenanc	e (7)	0 % Code Complia	ance Capital Impro	vement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capi	tal Improvemen	it (6)	0 % Other Deferre	d Maintenance		(3)
100 Emphasis Total			25 % Other Capital	Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on	this Project?	No		Total Project	Score:	475
	Proj	ect Costs a	nd Status			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$'s	%	Project Funding History (Entire Project):		\$'s
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$0	0	Appropriated to Date:			
Capital Improvement Work: \$1	39,000	100	Requested in FY 2013	Budget	\$	139,000
	39,000	100	Planned Funding in FY 2	2013		\$0
			Future Funding to Complete	e Project:		\$0
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM)	<u>DM</u>		Total Project Cost:	_	\$	139,000
Estimate Good Until: 2014				_		
Dates:	Sch'd		Project Data Sheet		DOI Appr	oved?
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr	/yy) 1/13		Prepared/Last Updated	1-12	YE	ES
Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr.	/yy) 1/15			(mm/yy)		
A	nnual Opera	tion & Ma	intenance Costs (\$'s)	-		
Current: 38,917	Projected:	38,878	Ne	et Change: -39		

i	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			Project Score/Ra	nking:	300			
				Planned Funding	g FY:	2013			
	PROJECT DATA SH	EET		Funding Source	Funding Source: Construction				
Project Identification									
Project Title: Visitor Facility Enhancement-Replace interpretive and entrance									
Project #: 2007730146 Unit/Facility Name: James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge									
Region/Area/District: Region: 1 Org Code: 12529 Congressional District: 02 State: HI				HI					
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 40800500	Unique Identifier: 10	064422	API : 40	FCI - Before: 18	FCI - Proje	ected: 0.00			

Project Description:

To replace the interpretive signs at Kii, as recommended in April 13, 2006, a comprehensive condition assessment. Although many of the signs have plywood covers, most of them are corroded from the salt spray. The funding provided will replace 5 small panels, 6 large panels, and 11 posts.

Project Need/Benefit: James Campbell is one of the most important endangered Hawaiian waterbird areas in the state. It is a small, closed endangered species refuge, but does provide guided (docent led) public tours and a strong environmental education program, with 4,000 to 6,000 visitors annually consisting of both students as well as the general public. These interpretive signs will help educate visitors of the current regulations and provide bird species information as Hawaiian Coot, Hawaiian Moorhen, Hawaiian Stilt, Hawaiian Duck, and other sea wildlife such as Hawaiian Monk Seal and the Green Sea Turtle.

Ranking Categories: Identify the	percent of the p	project that is	in the follo	owing categorie	s of need:		
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	Maintenance	(10)	0	% Energy F	Policy, High Performa	nce Sustair	n Bldg CI (6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital In	nprovement	(9)	0	% Critical M	lission Deferred Main	tenance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defe	rred Maintenand	ce (7)	0	% Code Con	npliance Capital Impr	ovement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capi	ital Improvemer	nt (6)	100	% Other Def	erred Maintenance		(3)
Emphasis Total			0	% Other Cap	oital Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on	this Project?	No			Total Projec	t Score:	300
	Proj	ect Costs a	nd Status	s			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$'s	%	Project	Funding Histo	ry (Entire Project):		\$'s
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$1	33,640	100	Appropr	riated to Date:	2		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$0	0	Request	ed in FY 201	3 Budget		\$133,640
-	33,640	100	Planned	Funding in FY	2013		\$0
			Future F	funding to Com	olete Project:		\$0
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM)	<u>D</u>		Total Pr	oject Cost:			\$133,640
Estimate Good Until: 2014							
Dates:	Sch'd		Proje	ect Data Sheet		DOI A	pproved?
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qti	r/yy) 1/13		Prepa	ared/Last Update	ed 9-11		YES
	c/yy) 4/15				(mm/yy)		
. A	Annual Opera	ation & Ma	intenanc	e Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 0.00	Projected:	0.00			Net Change: 0	.00	

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Total Project Score/Ranking: 260							
U.S. Fish and widnife Service	Programmed Funding FY: 2013							
PROJECT DATA SHEET	Funding Source: Construction							
Project Identification								
Project Title: VFE Rehab Visitor Services Facilities [p/d/cc]								
Project #: 2007729667 Unit/Facility Name: Kooskia National Fish Hatchery								
Region/Area/District: Region: 1 Org Code: 14235	Congressional District: 01 State: ID							
Project Justification								
DOI Asset Code: 40750700 Unique Identifier: 10004908	API: 0.00 FCI - Before: 0.00 FCI - Projected: 0.00							

Project Description:

Rehab Visitor Services Outdoor Educational Interpretive displays. Project includes a new hatchery entrance gate sign full color, outdoor quality high pressure laminate poster 2 foot x 2 foot in size; Mill Pond/Looking Glass trailhead interpretive overview signs, with cultural and natural history information (per SRBA agreement for tribal management); (for existing wood structure, one sign on each side; Trailhead and hatchery outdoor brochure racks; Wildlife identification and interpretive sign panels for pond observation platform to include 4 signs, full color with text, 2 foot x 3 foot size; Print costs for Kooskia portion of Dworshak Complex brochure, currently in production; matching funds to existing GPO print account; and Hatchery self-guided tour route interpretive signs, 2 color process, high pressure laminate, outdoor quality, 10x12", 8 signs total. These signs would be placed at points of interest identified in self-guided tour. Currently, the only orientation is the large kiosk panel in front of the main building, but no other interpretation/information is available beyond this one sign. New signs are needed for the adult fish trap, buildings, office, nursery, outside ponds. Signs would allow the public to visit and gain a better understanding of the operations on their own due to limited hatchery staff available to conduct improved visitor services to over 2,000 visitors to the facility each year. The fish production program includes over 500,000 Spring Chinook Salmon in cooperation with the Nez Perce tribe salmon restoration programs.

Project Need/Benefit:

Connecting people with nature is directly related to the mission of the National Fish Hatchery System. The Visitor Facility Enhancement Program is designed to get people outside to enjoy fish and wildlife resources through small construction projects that facilitate quality fish and wildlife dependent recreation.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of	the project that is in	n the follow	ving categories of need.			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Police	cy, High Performanc	e Sustain Bldg CI	(6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Ir	nprovement	(9)	100 % Critical Missi	on Deferred Mainter	nance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Defer	red Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Comp	liance Capital Impro	ovement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capit	al Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferr	red Maintenance		(3)
			0 % Other Capita	l Improvement		(1)
Capital Asset Planning Required? (Y or N): VE Required (Y or N): N Type:	No Scheduled (YY):		Completed (YY):	Total Projec	et Score: 260	
	Proje	ect Costs a	and Status			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work: Total:	\$'s \$25,000 0 \$25,000	% 100 0 100	Project Funding History Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Future Funding to Complet Total:	Budget:	\$'s \$25.00 \$25,00	0
Class of Estimate: D Estimate Escalated To FY: 2013 (yy)			Planning Funds Received Design Funds Received in			\$0 \$0
<u>Dates:</u> Construction Start/Award: (QTR/YY) Project Complete: (QTR/YY)	<u>Sch'd</u> <u>1/13</u> <u>4/15</u>		Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated	Jan-12 (mm/yy)	DOI Approve YES	<u>d?</u>
	Annual Operatio	on & Mair	ntenance Costs (\$'s)			
Current: 53.69	Projected:	0.0	0	Net Change:	53.69	

	= = = = = =								
11.5	Project Score/Rank	ing: 230							
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			Planned Funding F	Y: 2013					
PI	Funding Source:	Construction							
Project Identification									
Project Title: Visitor Facility Enhancement - Rehabilitate Leeds Eco-Trail Boardwalk Phase 2 (d/cc)									
Project #: 2007734982 Unit/Facility Name: Edwin B Forsythe National Wildlife Refuge									
Region/Area/District: Region: 5 Org Code: 52510 Congressional District: 02 State: NJ									
Project Justification									
DOI Asset Code: 40751100	Unique Identifier: 10022040	API: 70	FCI - Before: 1	FCI - Projected: 0.00					

Project Description:

Rehabilitate Leeds Eco-Trail boardwalk Phase 2. Rehabilitate by altering the boardwalk rails with wheel chair-height rails for the 945 linear feet rail completed in 2009. Present rails obstruct the views for those in a wheelchair. Rehabilitate 340 L.F. at the end of the boardwalk for the 0.5-mile loop trail is located near the entrance of the Wildlife Drive. It provides an opportunity for visitors to see and photograph wildlife at the tidal salt marsh to forest transition. In addition, it is well suited for environmental education. Students can have access pools for dip netting and closely examine salt marsh flora and fauna from the boardwalk. Wayside exhibits created in partnership with the New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail help to interpret the resources of the site. Recently, a grant was awarded to upgrade the upland portion of the trail to make it accessible for visitors with mobility impairments. In 2009, only 945 L.F. of the boardwalk was replaced that was damaged by high tides where the trail was closed due to numerous safety hazards.

Project Needs/Benefits:

The outer boardwalk will made be accessible to visitors with mobility impairments. The project will benefit the refuge's areas of emphasis for wildlife observation and environmental education. It also supports Refuge Annual Performance Planning (RAPP) measure 5.26 Wildlife Observation Foot Trail Visits.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement (9) 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance (10) % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (17) 0 % Code Compliance Capital Improvement (18) % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement (18) % Code Compliance Capital Improvement (18) % Code Capital Improvement (18) % Code Capital Improvement (18) % C			
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement (9) 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance (10) % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (17) 0 % Code Compliance Capital Improvement (18) % Code Capital Improvement (18) % Cod	Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the project	ct that is in the following categories of need:	
O % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance (7) 0 % Code Compliance Capital Improvement (6) O % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement (6) 65 % Other Deferred Maintenance (7) Image: Control of the project Improvement Improvement Improvement Improvement (8) Improvement Improvement (8) Improvement Improvement (8) Improvement Improvement (9) Project Costs and Status Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$'s % Project Funding History (Entire Project): \$'s Appropriated to Date: Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,360 \$426,360 Planned Funding in FY 2013 \$0 \$0 Puture Funding to Complete Project: \$0 \$0 Total Project Cost: \$426,360 \$0	0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	(10) % Energy Policy, High Performance Sustain Bldg C	CI (6)
O % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement Capital Resource Protection Capital Improvement Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on this Project? No Total Project Score: 230	0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	(9) 0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	(4)
Total Project Score: 35 % Other Capital Improvement Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on this Project? No Total Project Score: 230 Project Costs and Status Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$'s % Project Funding History (Entire Project): \$'s Deferred Maintenance Work: \$277,134 65 Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY Budget \$426,360 Capital Improvement Work: \$149,226 35 Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,360 Total Cost Estimate: \$426,360 100 Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0 Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) DM Total Project Cost: \$426,360 Estimate Good Until: 2014 Total Project Cost: \$426,360	0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	(7) 0 % Code Compliance Capital Improvement	(4)
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on this Project? No Total Project Score: 230	0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	(6) 65 % Other Deferred Maintenance	(3)
Project Costs and Status Project Costs and Status	100 Emphasis Total	35 % Other Capital Improvement	(1)
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$'s	Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on this Project?	No <u>Total Project Score:</u> 23	0
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$277,134 65 Appropriated to Date: Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,360 State Planned Funding in FY 2013 State Sta	Project (Costs and Status	
Capital Improvement Work: \$277,134 65 Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,360 Total Cost Estimate: \$426,360 100 Planned Funding in FY 2013 \$0 Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) DM Total Project Cost: \$426,360 Estimate Good Until: 2014 Total Project Cost: \$426,360	Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$'s	% Project Funding History (Entire Project): \$'s	
Capital Improvement Work: \$149,226 35 Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,360 Total Cost Estimate: \$426,360 100 Future Funding in FY 2013 \$0 Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0 Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) DM Total Project Cost: \$426,360 Estimate Good Until: 2014 \$426,360	Deferred Maintenance Work: \$277,134	0.3	
Total Cost Estimate: \$\frac{\\$426,360}{\}\$ \frac{100}{\} Planned Funding in FY 2013 \\$0 \\ Future Funding to Complete Project: \\$0 \\ \text{Class of Estimate:} \{A, B, C, D, DM\} \text{DM} \text{DM} \text{Total Project Cost:} \text{\$426,360} \\ \text{Estimate Good Until:} \text{2014}		Requested in FY 2013 Budget \$426,	,360
Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0 Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) DM Estimate Good Until: 2014 Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0 Total Project Cost: \$426,360	\$426.260	100 Planned Funding in FY 2013	\$0
Estimate Good Until: 2014	Total Cost Estimate.	Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
2014	Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) <u>DM</u>	Total Project Cost: \$426,	360
Dotes: Schid Breiget Date Sheet DOI Approved?	Estimate Good Until: 2014		
Project Data Sneet BOT Approved:	<u>Dates:</u> <u>Sch'd</u>	Project Data Sheet DOI Approve	d?
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr/yy) 1/13 Prepared/Last Updated 8-11 YES	Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr/yy) 1/13	Prepared/Last Updated 8-11 YES	
Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr/yy) 4/15 (mm/yy)	Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr/yy) 4/15	(mm/yy)	
Annual Operation & Maintenance Costs (\$'s)	Annual Operation	n & Maintenance Costs (\$'s)	
Current: 155 Projected: 155 Net Change: 0	Current: 155 Projected: 1	Net Change: 0	

	1 1 2010							
II C Fig	h and Wildlife Service		Project Score/Ranki	ing:	100			
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			Planned Funding FY	7: 20	13			
PROJI	Funding Source:	Construc	tion					
Project Identification								
Project Title: Visitor Facility Enhancement - Rehabilitate the YETA Showers & Restrooms.								
Project #: 2010123224 Unit/Facility Name: Aransas National Wildlife Refuge								
Region/Area/District: Region: 2 Org Code: 21532 Congressional District: 14 State: TX								
Project Justification								
DOI Asset Code: 35801000 Uniq	ue Identifier: 10007024	API: 30 FCI	- Before: 1	FCI - Projected	1: 0.00			

Project Description:

Rehabilitate the YETA showers and restrooms by upgrading the current septic system for this facility. The YETA Comfort Station is aged and dilapidated, and needs to be rehabilitated to provide updated facilities for the visiting public. The Comfort Station will be replaced with an updated modern facility that is safe, well-light, and provide a more sanitary facility as well as provide access that is compliance with ADA requirements.

Project Need/Benefit:

This project will greatly enhance the Youth Environmental Training Area by making the facility meet ADA standards. This rehabilitation will improve the experience for the public who use the facilities.

Ranking Categories: Identify the percent of the pro-	ject that is	in the following categories of need:	
0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	(10)	0 % Energy Policy, High Performance Sustain Bldg CI	(6)
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	(9)	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	(7)	0 % Code Compliance Capital Improvement	(4)
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	(6)	0 % Other Deferred Maintenance	(3)
Emphasis Total		100 % Other Capital Improvement	(1)
Capital Asset Planning 300 Analysis Required on this Project?	No	Total Project Score: 100	
Pro	ject Cost	s and Status	
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$'s	%	Project Funding History (Entire Project): \$'s	
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$0	0	Appropriated to Date:	
Capital Improvement Work: \$140,000	100	Requested in FY 2013 Budget 140,000	_
Total Cost Estimate: \$140,000	100	Planned Funding in FY 2013 \$0	_
Total Cost Estimate.		Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0)
Class of Estimate: (A, B, C, D, DM) <u>DM</u>		Total Project Cost: \$140,000)
Estimate Good Until: 2014			
<u>Dates:</u> <u>Sch'd</u>		Project Data Sheet DOI Approved?	
Scheduled Work Start Date: (qtr/yy) 1/13		Prepared/Last Updated 8-11 YES	
Scheduled Work Complete Date: (qtr/yy) 4/15		(mm/yy)	
Annual Operati	on & Ma	intenance Costs (\$'s)	
Current: 48 Projected:	47	Net Change: 1	

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PLAN FY 2013 - 2017 Summary Project Data Sheet

									Rar	ıking C	Ranking Categories (%)	s (%)					
DOI	Reg	Unit Name	State (State Congres Dist	s Project Title/ Description	wcH2dm	іэснэ«і	"СКРdm	ескрсі	mpMጋ%	«ЕЬНЬ2Вс!	mb0%	!ºɔɔ%	ioO%	WD%	io%	Cost (\$000)
FY 2013	13																
1000	3 Crab (Crab Orchard NWR	1	12	Little Grassy Dam Site Investigation	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
1000	8 Pahrar	Pahranagat NWR	⋛	2	Upper Pahranagat Dam	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,353
825	4 Bears	Bears Bluff NFH	SC	9	Replace Decking & Handrails on Saltwater Pier	40	0	09	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
805	5 White	White River NFH	5	0	Reconstruct the River Water Infiltration Gallery	20	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,432
779	8 San Pa	San Pablo Bay NWR	CA	9	Rehabilitate and Repair Levees	0	0	09	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,497
770	5 White	White River NFH	>	0	Demolish & Reconstruct the Fish Tagging Building	20	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
740	5 Missis	Missisquoi NWR	>	0	Erosion Control to Protect Indian Burial Ground	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
610	9 NFHS		Ą	NA	NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	130
610	2 Lower	Lower Rio Grande Valley NWR	ĭ	15	Flooding Repairs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	176
610	9 NWRS	S	N	NA	NWRS Dispose of Excess Property 2013	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	309
009	1 Turnbu	Turnbull NWR	WA	5	GE-Tier 2 Energy Efficiency for Tumbull Comfort Station power line removal	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	210
575	4 Tenne	Tennessee NWR	Z	80	Repair Storm Damaged Service Road	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	126
550	4 White	White River NWR	AR	-	GE-Visitor Center/Office Tier 2 Energy Upgrades	0	0	0	0	25	75	0	0	0	0	0	550
545	3 Boyer	Boyer Chute NWR	R	1	Demolish Flood Damaged Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	300
545	4 Okefe	Okefenokee NWR	GA	-	Repair Boardwalk and Observation Platform	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	159
521	2 Inks D	Inks Dam NFH	ĭ	11	Replace Fishing Pier, Ramp & Slab	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	100
475	2 Tishor	Tishomingo NWR	OK	2	GE-Rehabilitate HQ building to improve energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	75	0	0	25	0	0	139
300	1 James	James Campbell NWR	ᇁ	2	VFE-Replacement interpretive and entrance	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	134
260	1 Koosk	Kooskia NFH	ΠD	1	Rehabilitate Signs & Interpretive Displays	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
230	5 Edwin	Edwin B. Forsythe NWR	3	2	VFE-Rehabilitate Leeds Eco Trail Boardwalk Phase 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	35	0	0	426
100	2 Aransa	Aransas NWR	¥	14	VFE-Rehabilitate the YETA Showers & Restrooms.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	140
													FY 20	FY 2013 Total Cost	al Cost		8,195

					,				Rai	Ranking Categories (%)	ategorie	(%) s					
DOI Rank	Reg	g Unit Name	State (Congress State Dist	ss Project Title/ Description	wpsH2%	ioSHO%	wскРdm	ускьсі	шрМጋ%	%EbHb2Bc!	mbO%	ioOO%	ioO%	WDW	IO%	Cost (\$000)
FY 2014	14																
1000	က	Necedah NWR	M	က	Sprague Mather and Goose Pool Dams	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1,100
1000	∞	Pahranagat NWR	Ž	2	Upper Pahranagat Dam	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,502
844	4	Warm Springs NFH	GA	3	Replace Fish Holding House	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	514
838	9	Long Lake NWR	<u>N</u>	0	Construct Storage Building	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
805	~	Midway Atoll NWR	M	66	Remove lead based paint from buildings and structures	20	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	406
789	4	Long Lake NWR	<u>Q</u>	0	Construct Culvert Bridges	0	25	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
779	4	Wolf Creek NFH	Κ	1	Replace Oxygenation System - Phase 1 (p/d/cc)	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,200
740	2	Ohio River Islands NWR	%	4	Erosion Control (p/d/cc)	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,500
740	9	Ennis NFH	TM	0	Construct Effluent Treatment System- Phase 1	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	800
675	6	NWRS	NA	NA	NWRS Visitor Facility Enhancements 2014	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
675	က	NFHS	A	N A	NFH Visitor Facility Enhancements	0	0	0	20	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	400
675	6	NWRS	N A	N A	NWRS 2017 Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	1,697
675	9	NFHS	NA	NA	NFH Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	009
610	6	NWRS	N	N	NWRS Dispose of Excess Property 2014	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
610	6	NFHS	NA	NA	NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	130
													FY 2	014 To	FY 2014 Total Cost		12,149

									Ra	Ranking Categories (%)	ategorie	(%) Se					
DOI	Reg	g Unit Name	State	State Congress Dist	sss Project Title/ Description	wpsH2%	ioSH3%	mb4Яጋ%	іэ4ЯЭ%	mbMJ%	%EPHPSBci	mbO%	ioOO%	ioO%	WDW	13%	Cost (\$000)
FY 2015	115																
1000	∞	Modoc NWR	OA	4	Rehab Dorris Dam	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
844	4	Warm Springs NFH	GA	ო	Replace Fish Holding House (p/d/cc)	20	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	009
740	9	Rocky Mountain Arsenal	8	7	Construct 500KW Solar Array	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	800
740	4	Atchafalaya NWR	Ч	9	Construct Office/Shop	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	926
740	∞	San Pablo Bay	S	9	Levees Phase 2	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,100
740	က	Jordan River NFH	≅	-	Whitefish Production Phase 2	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,914
710	4	Mountain Longleaf NWR	AL	က	Construct Shop	0	0	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	30	0	810
675	စ	NWRS	¥	Ϋ́	NWRS Visitor Facility Enhancements 2015	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
675	6	NWRS	¥	N	NWRS 2017 Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	1,613
675	9	NFHS	¥	A	NFH Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	009
675	က	NFHS	¥	N	NFH Visitor Facility Enhancements	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	400
643	4	Crocodile Lake NWR	FL	18	Rehab Whiskey Bottle pit	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	1,656
610	6	NWRS	Ą	N	NWRS Dispose of Excess Property 2015	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
610	6	NFHS	NA	NA	NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	130
													ΕY	2015 To	FY 2015 Total Cost		12,149

FY 2016 Total Cost

									Rai	ıking C	Ranking Categories (%)	s (%)					
DOI Rank	Reg	g Unit Name	State C	Congress State Dist	s Project Title/ Description	wpsH2%	!PSHD%	mb4RJ%	io4AO%	mbMJ%	%EPHPSBci		ioOO%	iɔO%	WDW	13%	Cost (\$000)
FY 2016	016																
1000	2	Buffalo Lake NWR	¥	31	Umbarger Dam - Repair Roller Compacted Concrete Spillway	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,000
740	9	Gavins Point NFH	SD	0	Construct Water Treatment Building	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,742
740	3	Jordan River NFH	MI	3	Construct Whitefish Production Phase I	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	772
740	∞	San Pablo Bay	CA	9	Levees Phase 2	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,107
675	6	NWRS	Ą		NWRS Visitor Facility Enhancements 2016	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
675	6	NWRS	NA		NWRS 2017 Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	1,798
675	9	NFHS	NA		NFH Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	009
675	3	NFHS	Ą		NFH Visitor Facility Enhancements	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	400
610	6	NWRS	Ą		NWRS Dispose of Excess Property 2016	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
610	6	NFHS	¥		NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	130
809	-	Oregon Islands NWR	OR	-	Expand Oregon Coast NWR Complex Office	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,300

									æ	nking C	Ranking Categories (%)	(%) s					
DOI Reg Rank	Reg	J Unit Name	State (State Congress Dist	s Project Title/ Description	wpsH3%	!ºSHጋ%	mbЧЯЭ%	io4RD%	mbMJ%	%EPHPSBci		iɔɔɔ%	ioO%	WDW	13%	Cost (\$000)
FY 2017	17																
1000	6	Division of Engineering	VA	66	Evaluations of Newly Acquired Dams	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
1000	-	Midway Atoll NWR	MO	0	Remove lead base paint from buildings and structures	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,960
740	-	Abernathy Fish Technology Center	WA	3	Admin/VC Building - Phase 2 [c]	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,795
740	9	Gavins Point NFH	S	0	Construct Water Treatment Building	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	718
675	6	NWRS	NA		NWRS 2017 Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	1,746
675	6	NWRS	N A		NWRS Visitor Facility Enhancements 2017	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
675	9	NFHS	N A		NFH Green Energy Projects	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	009
675	က	NFHS	¥		NFH Visitor Facility Enhancements	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	400
610	6	NFHS	Ą		NFHS Demolish & Dispose of Excess Property	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	130
610	6	NWRS	N A		NWRS Dispose of Excess Property 2017	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
													FY 2	017 To	FY 2017 Total Cost		12,149
Total N	lump	Total Number of Projects - This Plan:	11							Τ	Total Cost of All 5-Year Plan Projects (\$000): \$56,791	of All 5	-Year P	lan Pro	jects (\$	(000	\$56,791

Summary of Requirements

Appropriation: Construction

	2011	Enacted	2012	Enacted	Fixed	Internal		ogram ges (+/-)		2013 a's Budget		ges from 2012
	FTE	Amount	FTE	Amount	Costs	Transfers	FTE	Amount	Total FTE	Amount	FTE	Amount
Nationwide Engineering Services	82	9,143	82	9,070	+131	+0	0	-112	82	9,089	0	+19
Dam Safety		1,113		1,113	+0	+0		+0		1,113		0
Bridge Safety		738		739	+0	+0		+0		739		0
Wildlife Refuge		6,079		8,848	+0	+0		-2,873		5,975		-2,873
Fish Hatcheries		3,731		2,917	+0	+0		-697		2,220		-697
Other		0		364	+0	+0		-364		0		-364
Subtotal, Construction	82	20,804	82	23,051	+131	0	0	-4,046	82	19,136	0	-3,915
Reimbursable program	0	2,000	0	2,000	+0	+0	0	0	0	2,000	0	0
Total, Construction	82	22,804	82	25,051	+131	+0	0	-4,046	82	21,136	0	-3,915

Standard Form 300

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CONSTRUCTION

Program and Financing (in million of dollars)

	fication code 14-1612-0-1-303	2011 actual	2012 estimate	2013 estimate
Obliga	ations by program activity:			
	Direct Program:			
0001	Refuges	25	20	8
0002	Hatcheries	9	6	3
0003	Law Enforcement	0	0	1
0004	Dam safety	3	2	2
0005	Bridge safety	1	1	1
0006	Nationwide Engineering Services	9	9	9
0009	Ecological Services/Habitat Restoration	1	1	0
0100	Total, Direct program:	48	39	24
0801	Reimbursable program:	0	2	2
0900	Total, new obligations	51	41	26
Budae	etary resources available for obligation			
1000	Unobligated balance carried forward, start of year	46	23	12
1100	New Budget Authority (gross)	23	27	21
1021	Resources avail from recoveries of prior year obligations	5	5	3
1930	Total budgetary resources available for obligation	74	53	36
2395	Total new obligations (-)	-51	-41	-26
2440	Unobligated balance carried forward, end of year	23	12	10
New b	oudget authority (gross), detail: discretionary			
4000	Appropriation	21	23	19
4001	Unobligated balance of appropriations permanently reduced	0	0	0
4300	Appropriation (total, discretionary)	21	23	19
Discre	etionary spending authority from offsetting collections			
5800	Offsetting collections (cash)	1	2	2
5801	Change in uncollected payments, Federal source	1	0	0
5890	Spending authority from offsetting collection (total discretionary)	2	2	2
7000	Total new budget authority (gross)	24	25	21
Chang	ge in obligated balances			
3000	Obligated balance, start of year	186	86	65
3020	Total New obligations	172	80	59

Standard Form 300

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CONSTRUCTION

Program and Financing (in million of dollars)			
Identification code 14-1612-0-1-303	2011 actual	2012 estimate	2013 estimate
3040 Total outlays (gross) (-)	-147	-57	-42
3080 Recoveries of prior year obligations (-)	-5	-5	-3
3091 Change in uncollected customer payments	-6	-6	-6
3100 Obligated balance, end of year (Gross)	80	59	40
Outlays (gross) detail:			
4010 Outlays from new discretionary authority	7	7	6
4011 Outlays from discretionary balances	140	50	36
4020 Total outlays (Gross)	147	57	42
Offsets against gross BA and outlays:			
Offsetting collections from:			
4030 Federal sources	-10	-2	-2
4030 Federal sources (total)	-10	-2	-2
Net budget authority and outlays:			
8900 Budget Authority	21	23	19
9000 Outlays	137	55	40
Object Classification Summary			
Direct Obligations:			
Personnel compensation:			
1111 Full-time permanent	6	6	6
1113 Other than full-time permanent	1	1	1
1119 Total personnel compensation	7	7	7
1121 Civilian personnel benefits	2	2	2
1210 Travel and transportation of persons	1	1	1
2310 Rental payments to GSA	1	1	1
2330 Communications, utilities and misc. charges	0	1	1
2520 Other Services	0	2	1
2530 Purchase of goods from Government accounts	2	4	1
2540 Operation and maintenance of facilities	9	4	3
2600 Supplies and materials	1	1	1
3100 Equipment	0	2	1
3200 Land and structures	21	12	4
4100 Grants, subsidies and contributions	3	2	1
1990 Subtotal obligations, Direct Obligations	47	39	24

Standard Form 300

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CONSTRUCTION

Program and Financing (in million of dollars)

Identification code 14-1612-0-1-303		2011 actual	2012 estimate	2013 estimate
Reimbursable obligations:				
2520 Other Services		1	2	2
3100 Equipment		1	0	0
3200 Land and structures		1	0	0
2990 Subtotal obligations, Reimbursable Obligat	ons	3	2	2
9995 Below reporting threshold		1	0	0
9999 Total, new obligations		51	41	26
Personnel Summary				
1001 Civilian full-time equivalent employment		82	82	82