COMPLIANCE TODAY

IS YOUR PROGRAM EFFECTIVE?



 This presentation is intended for educational purposes only and does not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the presenter and not intended to provide legal, coding, billing or compliance specific directions or recommendations.



TOPICS

- History of compliance
- Federal Sentencing Guidelines and changes over time
- Today's compliance expectations
 - Accountability
 - Mature compliance programs
- Why the wheels come off
- Best practices



PPACA

- Compliance programs are required
 - Ups the ante for failing to have effective compliance
 - Makes "knew or should have known" a given





HISTORY OF THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES

- 1984 Congress passed Sentencing Reform Act
- Purpose to establish Federal sentencing policies and standards
- "Mandatory" although called guidelines
- Goal to enlist organizations in fraud/false claim prevention
- http://www.ussc.gov/



HOW DO THEY AFFECT ME AND WHY DO I CARE?

- 1991
 - How the government responds to misconduct
 - Link penalties to effective compliance program efforts
 - 1:1 correlation with
 7 elements of
 effective compliance
 programs







ASSESSMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE PROGRAMS

- 7 basic elements of effective compliance programs:
 - Implementation of written P&P and standards of conduct
 - Designation of compliance officer and committee
 - Effective training and education
 - Effective communication
 - Enforcement/discipline
 - Internal auditing and monitoring
 - Response to detected errors
 - Plus: Culture of compliance, ethical behavior (2003)
 - Accountability for high level employees



HOW IT WORKS

- How bad is a function of:
 - Mathematical formula to calculate fines, penalties and prison sentences
 - You start with a base score (it's never 0)
 - What's good may be used to subtract points
 - What's bad will add points
- The changes in 2003 added big teeth in the Culpability score
 - Size of organization
 - Definition of "effective"
 - Management involvement or knowledge
 - Program requirements and expectations



WHAT ARE YOUR RISK AREAS?

- There are some common problems but your risks are unique to your organization
- Your compliance program must be unique and customized for your organization
- If you've seen one rural health clinic, you've seen one rural health clinic



WHAT ARE SOME OF THE WELL KNOWN RISKS?

- Credit balances
- System integrity
- Coding
- Provider numbers
- Place of service
- Billing
- Modifiers
- Joint ventures

- Discounts
 - Professional courtesy
- Kickbacks
- Not medically necessary
- Software that results in improper billing





OIG PROVIDES THE GUIDANCE

- 1998 Hospitals
 - Revised 2005. Update includes excellent guidance that can be used by all providers
- 1998 Third Party Billing guidance
- 2000 Physician and small group practices
- Other specialties
- All have same basic requirements
- All have things you can use in your program (the best information is in the footnotes)



WHAT ARE THE EXPECTATIONS?

- Prevention, prevention
- Rapid identification of problems
- Rapid correction of problems
 - What steps should be given due care?
- Compliance with ALL rules, laws, regulations and statutes
- Compliance imbedded at every level in every job
- Management (including executives) actively involved and knowledgeable



EXPECTATIONS, cont.

- Education at every level in the organization
- Implementation of industry "best practices"
 - How do you keep up?
 - How do you measure yourself against best practices?
- Discipline and enforcement
- Ongoing risk assessments
- What you "knew or should have known"
- Understanding of "false claim"
 - It should be renamed "false anything"



EXPECTATIONS, cont.

- You do not get bonus points for a compliance plan. We are in a mature environment and a mature plan is the standard. "This is 2012, not 1996."
 - It's not a book
 - It's not a check-off list
 - Compliance plan ≠ effective compliance
- If it's in your walls, you'd better address it!!!!!
 - "Pattern and practice determine intent."



DO I WORK FOR YOU?

- Long term employee
- > 40 years old
- Recognized as a leader, known for performance excellence and other awards
- Reliable, trustworthy, your right arm





THE WORLD WE LIVE IN

- FY 2011
 - -\$3 Billion inFalse ClaimActrecoveries
 - \$2.8 billion from Qui Tam cases





THINGS TO PONDER

- Why have virtually 0 entities sentenced under the federal sentencing guidelines received credit for an "<u>effective"</u> compliance program?
- Prosecutors acknowledge in the "vast majority" of successful prosecutions the organizations and entities had a compliance program, so what was wrong with it?
- Prosecutors, defense attorneys and experts in myriad cases all came up with the same list of problems demonstrating noneffective programs.
- LET'S LEARN FROM THAT!!!!!



COMPLACENCY

- We have a plan and we think it's working
 - Yes, but on paper, not living and breathing
 - Stated vs.Execution

- Rely upon what was established to function as intended
 - What about the changes since then
 - People
 - Regulations
 - Procedures
 - Providers
 - Services
 - Systems
 - Payors
 - Policies



CULTURE OVERRIDES INTENT

- Does your culture <u>welcome and</u> <u>encourage</u> reporting of issues vs. "Require".
- What do employees really know and understand?
- How do they really think, act and feel?
- What are the ongoing, meaningful compliance educational efforts?
- Do all employees jointly and individually understand their role in contributing to your compliance efforts and processes?



EMPLOYEE VS. MANAGEMENT





- Perception is typically a continental divide
 - I've never had compliance training
 - I think I had some training when I was hired
 - We have to go once a year
 - "My manager is the LAST person I would tell about a problem. I need other options."



EMPLOYEE VS. MANAGEMENT, CONT.

- Employees do not feel their concerns matter or are taken seriously
 - We are treated like children
 - We are treated like we are stupid
 - The owner/supervisor/manager has no idea (or does not care) what's going on
- Employees perceive retaliation
 - He complained and then he didn't get a raise
 - I heard the managers laughing about me
 - My manager/supervisor gets mad when I complain
 - You can tell who the managers don't like



BREEDING A QUI TAM

- Discounting employees who complain
 - It went into a black hole
 - Not enough reporting options
- Failure to inform employees what happened with their concern
 - Why they were wrong
 - Why they were right
 - Do they see any changes?
 - Did you take advantage of a training opportunity?
 - My supervisor/manager never knows the answer



MIDDLE MANAGEMENT

- Middle managers "in particular":
 - Fail to recognize compliance problems
 - Fail to take appropriate actions
 - Fail to report concerns
 - Tend to treat serious compliance problems as HR issues
 - Address the messenger, not the message
 - Embarrass employees
 - Show favoritism
 - Are not trained to fulfill the critical role they play in the organization
 - Do not have access to necessary industry information
 - Make detrimental compliance decisions to make their (and their employees') lives easier
 - Treat departments like silos



BUSINESS PRIORITIES









- Compliance is not a business priority
- Symbols of priority
 - Money spent
 - Resources available to all
 - Continual measurements of performance
 - Widely disseminated, imbedded in every job
 - A real part of job descriptions and performance evaluations for everyone, including owner, BOD, etc.



SUPERMAN AND WOMAN

- Too many hats syndrome
 - Reliance on "off the shelf" helps vs. developing and customizing the real thing
 - Not enough time
 - No ongoing meaningful evaluation and revisions
 - Compliance becomes the onerous duty you have to go visit once in a while
 - Tendency to think you are done
 - Delegation to people not qualified to perform duties
 - No training or monitoring



POOR PLAN CONSTRUCTION

- Is compliance a company blueprint or a game plan?
 - Unrealistic in ability to follow through, adhere to the plan and deploy resources
 - Will you do everything you said you would?
 - Can you do everything you said you would?
 - Are you doing every single thing your plan says you are?
 - How do you know and can your prove it?
 - Do you allow things to fall through the cracks when other priorities arise



FAILURE TO PREVENT VIOLATIONS

- Duration of problem
- Why not found?
- No planned methodology to research updates
 - I heard it through the grapevine
 - If it's not on the list serve, it's not important
- True risk areas not identified
 - You can't manage what you don't know about
- Policies and procedures not carried out
- Monitoring for compliance with policies and procedures not effective



INEFFECTIVE EDUCATION



- Boring
- Repetitive
- Limited
- Not tailored
- No face-to-face
- No validation of comprehension
- Questionable sources
- Occasional vs. every day
- Make the operations to compliance connection



NO BEST PRACTICES

- Guidance and enforcement not considered best practices
 - Other entity woes not reviewed for relevance to your company
 - What can we learn from Pfizer?
 - What can we learn from the hospital guidance?
 - How many integrity agreements have you reviewed?
 - How many settlements have you read?
 - What reports from OIG, CMS, etc?
 - What targets have been identified?
 - What does the false claims act state?
 - What do the federal sentencing guidelines state?



RIGOR MORTIS



- Timeliness and appropriateness of responses
 - Not prepared to investigate
 - Failure to investigate
 - Improper investigation
 - Failure to seek competent advice
 - Failure to act swiftly to correct problem
 - Failure to look at the bigger picture in response to a problem



HOLLY'S LAW

 If you have the wrong people in charge of compliance, you will fail I'd like to kick the project off by assigning blame for its eventual failure.

- Dilbert



THE RIGHT PERSON

- Pays attention all the time
- Can they draw a line in the sand and hold it?
- Inclusive vs. exclusive
- Approach should be ethics based, not just rules and regulations
- Are they trying to find people screwing up or help them succeed?
- A leader and a team player
- Right is more important than liked
- Integrity and trusted
- Loves and understands research, rules, people
- Highest level in organization
- Independent



ENVIRONMENTAL SCAN

- In today's environment, it's a matter of when, not if, the false claims act will affect you
- Use preventive medicine and you can be protected





BEST PRACTICES



 What your attorney may use as a defense strategy is NOT how you should operate your compliance program



BEST PRACTICES, CONT.

- OIG has a wealth of tools, resources and educational materials and those resources are expanding regularly
 - oig.hhs.gov/
- CMS has a "certification" for Part A and Part B free on line
 - cms.hhs.gov/ Web based training courses by MLN
- Research payor websites not just your jurisdiction
- Take advantage of outreach and open door programs



SET A CLOCK

We've all learned to change batteries in our fire alarms and smoke detectors with the daylight savings time changes. We should refresh our compliance program on a set, predictable and recurring schedule.





FOCUS ON THE WEAK SPOTS

- The focus should be on management and <u>required</u> knowledge, leadership and participation
 - Clear
 investments:
 time, money, duty
 of care





MORE BEST PRACTICES

- Rely on prevention, not reaction
 - Assess your history for clues to your program operations
- Compliance is dynamic. If it is not changing and adapting all the time, it is not working
- Don't assume what you wrote and/or intended is working
- Do assume some people did not "get it"
 - Find out who and help them
- Compliance is at the operational level
 - Knowledge is your best friend



YOUR TURN

- QUESTIONS
- IDEAS
- SUGGESTIONS
- BEST PRACTICES SHARED

