

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN DIGITAL TELEVISIONS
AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-789

**COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 52) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-referenced investigation with respect to respondent Westinghouse Digital LCC (“Westinghouse”) based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 19, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Vizio, Inc. (“Vizio”) of Irvine, California. *76 Fed. Reg.* 42728 (Jul. 19, 2011). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,511,096; 5,621,761; 5,703,887; 5,745,522; and 5,511,082. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The respondents named in the Commission’s notice of investigation are Coby Electronics Corp. of Lake Success, New York; Curtis International Ltd. of Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada; E&S International Enterprises, Inc. of Van Nuys, California; MStar Semiconductor, Inc. of Chupei, Hsinchu Hsien, Taiwan; ON Corp US, Inc. of San Diego, California; Renesas Electronics Corporation of Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan; Renesas Electronics America, Inc. of Santa Clara, California; Sceptre, Inc. of City of Industry, California; and Westinghouse of Orange, California.

On April 18, 2012, complainant Vizio and respondent Westinghouse filed a joint motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2) to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) that resolves their litigation. Public and confidential versions of the Settlement Agreement were attached to the motion. The motion also stated that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation. On April 30, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On May 7, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion, finding that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination of Westinghouse from this investigation and that the motion fully complies with Commission Rule 210.21.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

/s/
Lisa Barton
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 29, 2012