

2012 Measuring Broadband America
July Report

Technical Appendix

Table of Contents

1. Introduction/Summary
2. Panel Construction
 - A. Use of an All Volunteer Panel
 - B. Sample Size and Volunteer Selection
 - Table 1: ISPs, Sample Sizes and Percentages of Total Volunteers
 - Table 2: Distribution of Whiteboxes by State
 - Table 3: Distribution of Whiteboxes by Census Region
 - C. Panelist Recruitment Protocol
 - D. Validation of Volunteers' Service Tier
 - E. Protection of Volunteers' Privacy
3. Broadband Performance Testing Methodology
 - A. Selection of Hardware Approach
 - B. Design Principles and Technical Approach
 - C. Testing Architecture
 - i. Testing Architecture Overview
 - ii. Approach to Testing and Measurement
 - iii. Home Deployment of the NETGEAR Based Whitebox
 - iv. Home Deployment of the TP-Link Based Whitebox
 - v. Test Nodes (Off-Net and On-Net)
 - Table 4: Number of Testing Servers Overall
 - vi. Test Node Selection
 - D. SamKnows Methodology
 - Table 5: Estimated Total Traffic Volume Generated by Test
4. Data Processing and Analysis of Test Results
 - A. Background
 - i. Time of Day
 - ii. ISP and Service Tier
 - B. Data Collection and Analysis Methodology
 - i. Data Integrity
 - ii. Infrastructure Anomalies in March and April
 - iii. Collation of Results and Outlier Control
 - iv. Peak Hours Adjusted to Local Time
 - v. Congestion in the Home Not Measured
 - vi. Traffic Shaping Not Studied
 - vii. Analysis of PowerBoost and Other 'Enhancing' Services
 - viii. Latencies Attributable to Propagation Delay
 - ix. Limiting Factors

Reference Documents

- User Terms and Conditions
- Code of Conduct

1. Introduction/Summary

This Appendix to the 2012 Measuring Broadband America—July Report, A Report on Consumer Wireline Broadband Performance in the U.S., provides detailed technical background information on the methodology that produced the Report.

Specifically, this Appendix covers the process by which the panel of consumer participants was originally recruited and selected for the August 2011 Report, and expanded for the July 2012 Report; discusses the actual testing methodology; and describes the analysis that was undertaken of the actual test result data.

2. Panel Construction

This section describes the background to the study and methods employed to design the target panel, select volunteers for participation, and manage the panel to maintain the statistical and operational goals of the program.

The basic objective of this study was to measure wireline broadband service performance in the United States as delivered by an ISP to the consumer's broadband modem. We recognize that many factors contribute to end-to-end broadband performance, of which only some are under the control of the consumer's ISP. Although there are several ways to measure broadband performance, the methodology outlined here is focused on the measurement of broadband performance within the scope of an ISP's network, and specifically focuses on measuring performance from the consumer Internet access point, or consumer gateway, to a close major Internet gateway point. The design of the methodology allows it to be integrated with other technical measurement approaches that, in the future, could focus on other aspects of broadband performance.

A. Use of an All Volunteer Panel

In 2008, SamKnows¹ conducted a test of residential broadband speed and performance in the United Kingdom² and during the course of that test determined that attrition rates for such a test were lower when an all-volunteer panel was used, rather than attempting to maintain a panel through an incentive scheme of monthly payments. Consequently, in designing the methodology for this broadband performance study, we relied entirely on volunteer consumer broadband subscribers. The volunteers were selected from a large pool of prospective participants according to a plan designed to generate a representative sample of desired consumer demographics, including geographical location, ISP, and speed tier. As an incentive for participation, volunteers were given access to a personal reporting suite which allowed them to monitor the performance of their broadband service. They were also provided with a measurement device referred to in the study as a "Whitebox," configured to run custom SamKnows software.³

B. Sample Size and Volunteer Selection

The study allowed for a target deployment of up to 10,000 Whiteboxes to volunteer panelists across the United States. The number of volunteers from each participating broadband provider was selected to ensure that the data collected would support

¹ SamKnows is a company that specializes in broadband availability measurement and was retained under contract by the FCC to assist in this study. See <http://www.samknows.com/broadband/index.php>.

² See http://www.samknows.com/broadband/pm/PM_Summer_08.pdf (last accessed July 15, 2012).

³ The Whiteboxes remain in consumer homes and continue to run the tests described below. Participants may remain in the trial as long as it continues, and may retain their Whitebox when they end their participation.

statistically valid inferences based on a first order analysis of gathered data. Other methodological factors and considerations influenced the selection of the sample size and makeup:

- The panel of U.S. broadband subscribers was drawn from a pool of over 145,000 volunteers following an ongoing recruitment campaign that ran from May 2010 through February 2012.
- The volunteer sample was organized with a goal of covering major ISPs in the 48 contiguous states across five broadband technologies: DSL, cable, fiber-to-the-home, fixed terrestrial wireless, and satellite.⁴
- Target numbers for volunteers were also set across the four Census Regions—Northeast, Midwest, South and West—to help ensure geographic diversity in the volunteer panel and compensate for network variances across the U.S.⁵
- Each of the four Census Regions was split into three speed ranges: <3 Megabits per second (Mbps), 3<10 Mbps, >=10 Mbps,⁶ with each speed tier forming an individual sample ‘cell’ against which a target number of volunteers would be selected.⁷
- A target plan for allocation of Whiteboxes was developed based on the market share of participating ISPs. Initial market share information was based principally on FCC Form 477⁸ data filed by participating ISPs for June 2011.
- An initial set of prospective participants was selected from volunteers who had responded directly to SamKnows as a result of media solicitations. Where gaps existed in the statistical sample plan, SamKnows worked with participating ISPs via email solicitations targeted at underrepresented cells. A miscellaneous cell was created across fiber-to-the-home, DSL and cable technologies, and across all

⁴ The final results included volunteers from all 50 states. Due to the low number of volunteers that subscribed to satellite and fixed terrestrial wireless technology, the results from those consumers’ Whiteboxes were not included in the report. However, data collected from satellite and fixed terrestrial wireless subscribers will be included in detailed data files that will be released to the public as part of the Raw Bulk Data Set.

⁵ Although we recruited volunteers according to Census Region to ensure the widest possible distribution of panelists throughout the United States, as discussed below a sufficient number of testing devices were not deployed to enable the evaluation of regional differences in broadband performance.

⁶ These speed ranges were chosen to provide alignment with broadband tiers as categorized in the “Form 477” reports that the Commission uses as its primary tool for collecting data about broadband networks and services. See *Modernizing the FCC Form 477 Data Program*, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 1508, 1512 n.27 (2011), citing *Development of Nationwide Broadband Data to Evaluate Reasonable and Timely Deployment of Advanced Services to All Americans, Improvement of Wireless Broadband Subscriber Data, and Development of Data on Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) Subscriber Data*, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 23 FCC Rcd 9691, 9700-01 (2008).

⁷ The term cell is used to describe a specific number associated with a set of volunteer attributes (ISP, technology, region, speed tier) that provided a specific sample set of volunteers for the population.

⁸ FCC Form 477 data collects information about broadband connections to end user locations, wired and wireless local telephone services, and interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services. See http://transition.fcc.gov/form477/inst.htm#_PURPOSE for further information.

regions and service tiers, to allow additional units to be allocated to accommodate volunteers who did not fit into other cells or who changed ISPs or service tiers during the trial.

- Statistical experts from both the FCC and the ISPs reviewed and agreed to the plan.

Prior to the April 2012 testing period, 5,557 panelists from the 2011 sample continued to supply data via their measurement devices. The addition of 2,454 subscribers who were recruited after the March 2011 testing period brought the total subscribers reporting data in April 2012 to 8,011. After the data were processed, as discussed in more detail below, test results from a total of 7,782 panelists were used in the July 2012 Report.

The recruitment campaign resulted in the coverage needed to ensure balanced representation of users across the U.S. Table 1 presents the number of volunteers for the month of April 2012 listed by ISP, as well as the percent of total volunteers accounted for by each ISP.

Table 1 ISPs, Sample Sizes and Percentages of Total Volunteers

| ISP | Sample size | % of total volunteers |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| AT&T | 887 | 11.40% |
| Cablevision | 254 | 3.26% |
| CenturyLink | 312 | 4.01% |
| Charter | 646 | 8.30% |
| Comcast | 1,121 | 14.41% |
| Cox | 720 | 9.25% |
| Frontier | 533 | 6.85% |
| Insight | 127 | 1.63% |
| Mediacom | 295 | 3.79% |
| Qwest | 352 | 4.52% |
| Time Warner Cable | 1,170 | 15.03% |
| Verizon | 1,055 | 13.56% |
| Windstream | 310 | 3.98% |
| Total | 7,782 | 100% |

The distribution of Whiteboxes by state is found in Table 2.⁹

Table 2: Distribution of Whiteboxes by State

| State | Total Boxes | % Total Boxes | % of Total U.S. Broadband Subscribers in State ¹⁰ | State | Total Boxes | % Total Boxes | % of Total U.S. Broadband Subscribers in State |
|-------|-------------|---------------|--|-------|-------------|---------------|--|
| AK | 1 | 0.01% | 0.2% | MT | 10 | 0.13% | 0.3% |
| AL | 70 | 0.88% | 1.3% | NC | 292 | 3.66% | 3.1% |
| AR | 56 | 0.70% | 0.8% | ND | 4 | 0.05% | 0.2% |
| AZ | 334 | 4.19% | 2.0% | NE | 58 | 0.73% | 0.8% |
| CA | 834 | 10.7% | 11.8% | NH | 38 | 0.48% | 0.5% |
| CO | 137 | 1.72% | 1.8% | NJ | 235 | 2.95% | 3.3% |
| CT | 104 | 1.31% | 1.3% | NM | 52 | 0.65% | 0.6% |
| DC | 19 | 0.24% | 0.2% | NV | 81 | 1.02% | 0.8% |
| DE | 14 | 0.18% | 0.3% | NY | 525 | 6.59% | 6.8% |
| FL | 355 | 4.46% | 6.7% | OH | 386 | 4.84% | 3.8% |
| GA | 226 | 2.84% | 2.9% | OK | 88 | 1.10% | 1.0% |
| HI | 30 | 0.38% | 0% | OR | 210 | 2.64% | 1.3% |
| IA | 289 | 3.63% | 1.0% | PA | 275 | 3.45% | 4.4% |
| ID | 45 | 0.56% | 0.4% | RI | 34 | 0.43% | 0.4% |
| IL | 325 | 4.08% | 4.0% | SC | 103 | 1.29% | 1.4% |
| IN | 127 | 1.59% | 2.0% | SD | 2 | 0.03% | 0.2% |
| KS | 63 | 0.79% | 0.9% | TN | 104 | 1.31% | 1.8% |
| KY | 189 | 2.37% | 1.3% | TX | 393 | 4.93% | 7.1% |
| LA | 53 | 0.67% | 1.2% | UT | 62 | 0.78% | 0.8% |
| MA | 174 | 2.18% | 2.5% | VA | 327 | 4.10% | 2.6% |
| MD | 153 | 1.92% | 2.0% | VT | 5 | 0.06% | 0.2% |
| ME | 23 | 0.29% | 0.5% | WA | 243 | 3.05% | 2.3% |
| MI | 210 | 2.64% | 3.0% | WI | 229 | 2.87% | 1.9% |
| MN | 185 | 2.32% | 1.8% | WV | 18 | 0.23% | 0.5% |
| MO | 148 | 1.86% | 1.8% | WY | 4 | 0.05% | 0.2% |
| MS | 26 | 0.33% | 0.7% | | | | |

⁹ Subscriber data in the July 2012 Report is based on the FCC's Internet Access Services Report with data current to June 30, 2011. *See* Internet Access Services: Status as of June 30, 2011, Wireline Competition Bureau, Industry Analysis and Technology Division (rel. June 2012), *available at* http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-314630A1.pdf.

¹⁰ The percentages were calculated as a percent of the total 78,900 subscriptions of each state. *See id.*, Table 16 Residential Fixed Connections and Households by State as of June 30, 2011 (Connections over 200 kbps in at least one direction and households, in thousands) at 36.

The distribution of Whiteboxes by Census Region is found in Table 3.

Table 3: Distribution of Whiteboxes by Census Region

| Census Region | Total Boxes | % Total Boxes | % of Total U.S. Broadband Subscribers |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Northeast | 1413 | 18% | 20% |
| Midwest | 2026 | 25% | 21% |
| South | 2486 | 31% | 35% |
| West | 2043 | 26% | 23% |

C. Panelist Recruitment Protocol

Panelists were recruited in the 2011 and 2012 panels using the following method:

- A significant proportion of volunteers were recruited via an initial public relations and social media campaign led by the FCC. This included discussion on the FCC website and on technology blogs, as well as articles in the press regarding the study.
- We reviewed the demographics of this initial panel to identify any deficiencies with regard to the sample plan described above. These goals were set to produce statistically valid sets of volunteers for demographics based on ISP, speed tier, technology type, and region. This initial pool of volunteers was then supplemented by the participating ISPs, who sent out an email to customers in desired demographics that were under-represented in the pool of publicly-solicited volunteers. Emails directed interested volunteers to contact SamKnows regarding participation in the trial. At no time during this recruitment process did the ISPs have any knowledge regarding which of their customers might be participating in the trial. In almost all cases, ISP engagement in soliciting volunteers enabled us to meet desired demographic targets.

The mix of panelists recruited using the above methodologies varied by ISP.


A multi-mode strategy was used to qualify volunteers for this trial. The key stages of this process were as follows:

1. Volunteers were directed to complete an online form, which provided information on the study and required volunteers to submit a small amount of

information, which was used to track subsequent submissions by these volunteers.

2. Those volunteers who were determined to be representative of the target broadband user population were sent a follow-up email, which invited participation in a web-based speed test that was developed by SamKnows in collaboration with Measurement Lab (“M-Lab”) and PlanetLab.¹¹
3. Volunteers were selected from respondents to this follow-up email based on the statistical requirements of the panel. Selected volunteers were then asked to complete an acknowledgment of User Terms and Conditions that outlined the permissions to be granted by the volunteer in key areas such as privacy.¹²
4. Of those volunteers that completed the User Terms and Conditions, SamKnows selected the final panel of 12,000 participants,¹³ each of whom received a Whitebox for self-installation. SamKnows provided full support during the Whitebox installation phase.

The graphic below illustrates the study recruitment methodology:



¹¹ M-Lab is a consortium supporting research on broadband networks. PlanetLab is a global research network supporting the development of new network services. More information on M-Lab and PlanetLab can be found at <http://www.measurementlab.net/> and <http://planet-lab.org/>, respectively.

¹² The User Terms and Conditions is found in the Reference Documents at the end of this Appendix.

¹³ Over 12,000 Whiteboxes have been shipped to targeted volunteers since 2011, of which 7,782 were online and reporting data used in the July 2012 Report from the month of April 2012.

D. Validation of Volunteers' Service Tier

The methodology employed in this study included verifying each panelist's service tier and ISP against the record base of participating ISPs.¹⁴ Initial throughput tests were used to confirm reported speeds.

The broadband service tier reported by each panelist was authenticated in the following way:

- At the time of recruitment, each panelist was required to complete a speed test using an M-Lab server. This test provided a rough approximation of the panelist's service tier which served to identify panelists with targeted demographics, and highlighted anomalies in the panelist's survey response to measured speed.
- At the time the panelist installed the Whitebox, the device automatically ran an IP test to check that the ISP identified by the volunteer was correct.
- The Whitebox also ran an initial test which flooded each panelist's connection in order to accurately detect the throughput speed when their deployed Whitebox connected to a test node.
- Each ISP was asked to confirm the broadband service tier reported by each selected panelist.
- SamKnows then took the validated speed tier information that was provided by the ISPs and compared this to both the panelist-provided information, and the actual test results obtained, in order to ensure accurate tier validation.

SamKnows manually completed the following four steps for each panelist:

- Verified that the IP address was in a valid range for those served by the ISP in question.
- Reviewed data for each panelist and removed data where speed changes such as tier upgrade or downgrade appeared to have occurred, either due to a service change on the part of the consumer or a network change on the part of the ISP.
- Identified panelists whose throughput appeared inconsistent with the provisioned service tier. Such anomalies were re-certified with the consumer's ISP.¹⁵

¹⁴ Past FCC studies found that a high rate of consumers could not reliably report information about their broadband service, and the validation of subscriber information ensured the accuracy of advertised speed and other subscription details against which observed performance was measured. See John Horrigan and Ellen Satterwhite, *Americans' Perspectives on Online Connection Speeds for Home and Mobile Devices*, 1 (FCC 2010), available at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-298516A1.doc (finding that eighty percent of broadband consumers did not know what speed they had purchased).

¹⁵ For example, when a panelist's upload or download speed was observed to be significantly higher than that of the rest of the tier, it could be inferred that a mischaracterization of the panelist's service tier had occurred. Such anomalies, when not resolved in cooperation with the service provider, were excluded from the July 2012 Report, but will be included in the Raw Bulk Data Set.

- Verified that the resulting downstream-upstream test results corresponded to the ISP-provided speed tiers, and updated accordingly if required.

Of the more than 12,000 Whiteboxes that were shipped to panelists since 2011, 8,011¹⁶ units were reporting data in April 2012. The participating ISPs validated 80 percent of these panelists, of which 18 percent were reallocated to a different tier following the steps listed above. The remaining 20 percent of panelists were validated based on comparing the performance data and line performance characteristics with the available service tiers from the appropriate ISP. Eliminating panelists who either changed ISPs during the month of April 2012 or did not produce data for this trial during that month produced the final data set of the approximately 7,782 volunteers included in the July 2012 Report.

E. Protection of Volunteers' Privacy

A major concern during this trial was to ensure that panelists' privacy was protected. The panel was comprised entirely of volunteers who knowingly and explicitly opted-in to the testing program. Full opt-in documentation was preserved in confidence for audit purposes.

All personal data was processed in conformity with relevant U.S. law and in accordance with policies developed to govern the conduct of the parties handling the data. The data were processed solely for the purposes of this study and are presented here and in all online data sets with all personally identifiable information (PII) removed.

To fulfill these privacy requirements a range of materials was created both to inform each panelist regarding the details of the trial, and to gain the explicit consent of each panelist to obtain subscription data from the participating ISPs. These documents were reviewed by the Office of General Counsel of the FCC and the participating ISPs and other stakeholders involved in the study.

¹⁶ This figure represents the total number of boxes reporting during April 2012, the month chosen for the July 2012 Report. Shipment of boxes continued in succeeding months and these results will be included in Raw Bulk Data Set.

3. Broadband Performance Testing Methodology

This section describes the system architecture and network programming features of the tests, and other technical aspects of the methods employed to measure broadband performance during this study.

A. Selection of Hardware Approach

A fundamental choice when developing a solution to measure broadband performance is whether to use a hardware or software approach.

Software approaches are by far the most common and allow a very large sample to be reached relatively easily. Web-based speed tests, such as the FCC's own Consumer Broadband Test, fall into this category. These typically use Flash or Java applets, which execute within the context of the user's web browser. When initiated, these clients download content from remote web servers and measure the throughput of the transfer. Some web-based speed tests also perform upload tests, while others perform basic latency checks.

Other less common software-based approaches to performance measurement involve installing applications on the user's workstation which periodically run tests while the computer is switched on.

All software solutions implemented on a consumer's computer, smart phone, or other Internet access device suffer from the following disadvantages for the purposes of this study:

- The software may itself affect broadband performance;
- The software typically does not account for multiple machines on the same network;
- The software may be affected by the quality and build of machine;
- Potential bottlenecks (such as wireless equipment, misconfigured networks, and older computers) are generally not accounted for and result in unreliable data;
- A consumer may move the computer or laptop to a different location which can affect performance;
- The tests may only run when the computer is actually on, limiting the ability to provide a 24-hour profile;
- For manually-performed software tests, panelists may introduce a bias by when they choose to run the tests (*e.g.*, may only run when they are encountering problems with their service).

In contrast, hardware approaches involve placing a device inside the user's home that is physically connected to the consumer's Internet connection, and periodically

running tests to remote targets on the Internet. These hardware devices are not reliant on the user’s workstation being switched on, and so allow results to be gathered throughout the day and night. The primary disadvantages of a hardware approach are that this solution is much more expensive than a software approach and requires installation of the hardware by the consumer or a third party.

B. Design Principles and Technical Approach

For this test of broadband performance, as in the August 2011 Report, the FCC continued to use design principles that were previously developed by SamKnows in conjunction with their study of broadband performance in the U.K. The design principles comprise seventeen technical objectives:

| Technical Objectives | Methodological Accommodations |
|---|---|
| 1. Must not change during the monitoring period. | The Whitebox measurement process is designed to provide automatic and consistent monitoring throughout the measurement period. |
| 2. Must be accurate and reliable. | The hardware solution provides a uniform and consistent measurement of data across a broad range of participants. |
| 3. Must not interrupt or unduly degrade the consumer’s use of the broadband connection. | The volume of data produced by tests is controlled to avoid interfering with panelists’ overall broadband experience, and tests only execute when consumer is not making heavy use of the connection. |
| 4. Must not allow collected data to be distorted by any use of the broadband connection by other applications on the host PC and other devices in the home. | The hardware solution is designed not to interfere with the host PC and is not dependent on that PC. |
| 5. Must not rely on the knowledge, skills and participation of the consumer for its ongoing operation once installed. | The Whitebox is “plug-and-play.” Instructions are graphics-based and the installation process has been substantially field tested. |
| 6. Must not collect data that might be deemed to be personal to the consumer without consent. | The data collection process is explained in plain language and consumers are asked for their consent regarding the use of their personal data as defined by any relevant data protection legislation. |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>7. Must be easy for a consumer to completely remove any hardware and/or software components if they do not wish to continue with the research program.</p> | <p>Whiteboxes can be disconnected at any time from the home network. As soon as the route is reconnected the reporting is resumed as before.</p> |
| <p>8. Must be compatible with a wide range of DSL, cable, and fiber-to-the-home modems.</p> | <p>Whiteboxes can be connected to all modem types commonly used to support broadband services in the U.S. either in an in-line or bridging mode.</p> |
| <p>9. Where applicable, must be compatible with a range of computer operating systems, including, without limitation, Windows XP, Windows Vista, Windows 7, Mac OS and Linux.</p> | <p>Whiteboxes are independent of the PC operating system and therefore able to provide testing with all devices regardless of operating system.</p> |
| <p>10. Must not expose the volunteer's home network to increased security risk, <i>i.e.</i>, it should not be susceptible to viruses, and should not degrade the effectiveness of the user's existing firewalls, antivirus and spyware software.</p> | <p>Most user firewalls, antivirus and spyware systems are PC-based. The Whitebox is plugged in to the broadband connection "before" the PC. Its activity is transparent and does not interfere with those protections.</p> |
| <p>11. Must be upgradeable from the remote control center if it contains any software or firmware components.</p> | <p>The Whitebox can be completely controlled remotely for updates without involvement of the consumer PC, providing the Whitebox is switched on and connected.</p> |
| <p>12. Must identify when a user changes broadband provider or package (<i>e.g.</i>, by a reverse look up of the consumer's IP address to check provider, and by capturing changes in modem connection speed to identify changes in package).</p> | <p>Ensured regular data pool monitoring for changes in speed, ISP, IP address or performance, and flagged when a panelist should notify and confirm any change to their broadband service since the last test execution.</p> |
| <p>13. Must permit, in the event of a merger between ISPs, separate analysis of the customers of each of the merged ISP's predecessors.</p> | <p>Data are stored based on the ISP of the panelist, and therefore can be analyzed by individual ISP or as an aggregated dataset.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>14. Must identify if the consumer’s computer is being used on a number of different fixed networks (<i>e.g.</i>, if it is a laptop).</p> | <p>The Whiteboxes are broadband dependent, not PC or laptop dependent.</p> |
| <p>15. Must identify when a specific household stops providing data.</p> | <p>The Whitebox needs to be connected and switched on to push data. If it is switched off or disconnected its absence is detected at the next data push process.</p> |
| <p>16. Must not require an amount of data to be downloaded which may materially impact any data limits, usage policy, or traffic shaping applicable to the broadband service.</p> | <p>The data volume generated by the information collected does not exceed any policies set by ISPs. Panelists with bandwidth restrictions can have their tests set accordingly.</p> |
| <p>17. Must limit the possibility for ISPs to identify the broadband connections which form their panel and therefore potentially “game” the data by providing different quality of service to the panel members and to the wider customer base.</p> | <p>ISPs signed a Code of Conduct¹⁷ to protect against gaming test results. While the identity of each panelist was made known to the ISP as part of the speed tier validation process, the actual Unit ID for the associated Whitebox was not released to the ISP and specific test results were not directly assignable against a specific panelist. Moreover, most ISPs had hundreds, and some had more than 1,000, participating subscribers spread throughout their service territory, making it difficult to improve service for participating subscribers without improving service for all subscribers.</p> |


¹⁷ Signatories to the Code of Conduct are: Adtran, AT&T, Cablevision, CenturyLink, Charter, Comcast, Corning, Cox, Fiber to the Home Council, Frontier, Georgia Tech, Genband, Insight (by TWC), Internet Society, Mediacom, Motorola, Qwest, Time Warner Cable, US Telecom, Verizon, Viasat and Windstream. A copy of the Code of Conduct is included as a Reference Document attached to this Appendix.

C. Testing Architecture

i. Testing Architecture Overview

As illustrated below, the performance monitoring system comprised a distributed network of Whiteboxes in the homes of members of the volunteer consumer panel, and was used to accurately measure the performance of fixed broadband connections based on real-world usage. The Whiteboxes were controlled by a cluster of servers, which hosted the test scheduler and the reporting database. The data was collated on the reporting platform and accessed via a reporting interface¹⁸ and secure FTP site. The system also included a series of speed-test servers, which the nodes called upon according to the test schedule.

¹⁸ Each reporting interface included a data dashboard for the consumer volunteers, which provided statistics associated with their household's broadband performance as reported by the Whitebox.



ii. Approach to Testing and Measurement

Any network monitoring system needs to be capable of monitoring and executing tests 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Similar to the method used by the television audience measurement industry, each panelist was equipped with a Whitebox, which was self-installed by each panelist and conducted the performance measurements.

During the 2011 testing period the Whitebox provided was based upon hardware manufactured by NETGEAR, Inc. (NETGEAR) and operated as a broadband router. It was intended to replace the panelist’s existing router and be the first consumer premise equipment connected to the provider’s network after the modem. The installation of the Whitebox directly after the consumer’s home Internet connection ensured that tests could be run at any time the network was connected and powered, even if all home computers were switched off.

Firmware for the Whitebox routers was developed by SamKnows with the cooperation of NETGEAR. In addition to running the latest versions of the SamKnows testing software, the routers retained all of the native functionality of the NETGEAR consumer router.

For the 2012 testing period, a second Whitebox model was introduced. This version was based upon hardware produced by TP-Link and operated as a bridge, rather than as a router. It was designed to be installed after the customer’s existing router – it did

not replace the study participant's router. The TP-Link Whitebox was designed to have all home devices connected via the LAN ports on the rear of the Whitebox. The TP-Link Whitebox passively monitored wireless network activity in order to determine when the network was active (in order to defer measurements), and ran a modified version of OpenWrt, an open source router platform based upon Linux.

The July 2012 Report incorporates data from both types of Whitebox. Testing has found that the results they produce are indistinguishable, and we use the term "Whitebox" throughout the July 2012 Report to describe both types of devices.

The software that came pre-installed on each of the Whiteboxes was programmed to execute a series of tests designed to measure the key performance indicators (KPIs) of a broadband connection by simulating real world usage. The tests were a suite of applications, written by SamKnows in the programming language C, which were rigorously tested by the ISPs and other stakeholders. Whiteboxes have been shown to provide accurate information about lines with throughput rates in excess of 100 Mbps.

iii. **Home Deployment of the NETGEAR Based Whitebox**

This study was initiated by using existing NETGEAR firmware, and all of its features were intended to allow panelists to replace their existing routers with the Whitebox. If the panelist did not have an existing router and used only a modem, they were asked to install the Whitebox as per the regular NETGEAR instructions.

This approach was the default approach used across all ISPs and service tiers. However, this approach could not easily accommodate scenarios where the panelist had a combined modem/router supplied by their ISP that had specific features that the Whitebox could not provide. Two such scenarios were:

1. Some Verizon FiOS gateways utilizing a MoCA (Multimedia over Cable) interface; and
2. AT&T U-Verse gateways providing U-Verse specific features, such as IPTV.

In such scenarios the Whitebox was connected to the existing router/gateway and all devices connected through it. In order to prevent a "double-NAT" configuration issue, in which multiple routers on the same network perform Network Address Translation (NAT) making access to the interior (SamKnows) router difficult, the Whitebox was set to dynamically switch to operate as a transparent Ethernet bridge when deployed in these scenarios. All consumer configurations were evaluated and tested by participating ISPs to confirm their suitability.¹⁹

Because the Whitebox could detect all wired and wireless traffic, no tests were performed when there was any Internet activity beyond a defined threshold value.

¹⁹ We note that the use of legacy equipment has the potential to impede some panelists from receiving the provisioned speed from their ISP, and this impact is captured by the survey.

This ensured both that testing did not interfere with consumer use of his or her Internet service and that any such use did not interfere with or invalidate testing.

iv. Home Deployment of the TP-Link Based Whitebox

The TP-Link based Whitebox, which operated as a bridge, rather than a router, was introduced in response to the increased deployment of integrated modem/gateway devices (for example, Verizon FiOS and AT&T U-Verse). The NETGEAR based Whitebox was unable to replicate all of the features provided by these highly customized routers supplied by the ISPs, and therefore had to operate behind the ISP's router as a bridge.

Given the increasing trend of merging modem and router into a single device, and offering additional over-the-top services via this device, SamKnows determined that it was necessary to develop a new Whitebox which operated natively as a bridge.

To use the TP-Link based Whitebox, panelists were required to have an existing router. Custom instructions guided these panelists to connect the Whitebox to their existing router and then connect all of their home devices to the Whitebox. This was required so that the Whitebox could measure traffic volumes from wired devices in the home and defer tests accordingly. The Whitebox would not manipulate NAT traffic or provide any DHCP services – it operated as a pure Ethernet bridge.

Panelists were not asked to change their wireless network configurations. The TP-Link based Whitebox passively monitored the strongest wireless network for traffic and, like the NETGEAR Whitebox, did not conduct measurements when activity was detected.²⁰

²⁰ Note that it did not matter if the wireless network was encrypted; the TP-Link based Whitebox only cared about traffic volume, not the contents of packets.

v. Test Nodes (Off-Net and On-Net)

For the tests in this study, SamKnows employed nine core measurement or reference points that were distributed geographically across nine locations. These off-net measurement points were supplemented by additional measurement points located on the networks of some of the ISPs participating in this study. Together, the core measurement points were used to measure consumers' broadband performance between the gateway device and an available reference point that was closest in transit time to the consumer's address. The distribution of "off-net" primary reference points operated by M-Lab and "on-net" secondary reference points operated by broadband providers provided additional scientific checks and insight into broadband service performance within an ISP's network. In total, the following 130 measurement servers were deployed in conjunction with the program:

Table 4: Number of Testing Servers Overall

| Server owner | # |
|--------------|----|
| AT&T | 9 |
| Cablevision | 2 |
| CenturyLink | 14 |
| Charter | 5 |
| Comcast | 35 |
| Cox | 1 |
| Frontier | 6 |
| Mediacom | 1 |
| M-Lab | 30 |
| Qwest | 5 |
| Time Warner | 13 |
| Verizon | 5 |
| Windstream | 4 |

OFF-NET TEST NODES

The M-Lab infrastructure served as destinations for the remote tests during this study. Nodes were located in the following major U.S. Internet peering locations:

- New York City, New York (2 peering points)
- Los Angeles, and Mountain View, California
- Seattle, Washington
- Dallas, Texas

- Chicago, Illinois
- Atlanta, Georgia
- Miami, Florida
- Washington, DC

ON-NET TEST NODES

In addition to off-net nodes, some ISPs implemented their own on-net servers as an audit to the off-net nodes. Whiteboxes were instructed to test against the ‘off-net’ M-Lab nodes and the ‘on-net’ ISP nodes, when available.

The following ISPs provided on-net test nodes:

- AT&T
- Cablevision
- CenturyLink
- Charter
- Comcast
- Cox
- Frontier
- Mediacom
- Qwest
- Time Warner Cable
- Verizon
- Windstream

The same suite of tests was scheduled for these on-net nodes as for the off-net nodes and the same server software developed by SamKnows was used regardless of whether the Whitebox was interacting with on-net or off-net nodes.

It is important to note that while these on-net test nodes were included in the testing, the results from these tests were used as a control set; the results presented in this study are based only on tests performed using off-net nodes. These reference points were principally intended to test for performance degradations caused by bandwidth limitations in “middle mile” segments,²¹ or for effects caused by the specific design of the network.

²¹ “Middle mile” transport refers generally to the transport and transmission of data communications from the central office, cable headend, or wireless switching station to an Internet point of presence. In contrast, “last

The actual results showed little difference in results obtained from on-net and off-net nodes, and the additional data from the on-net nodes provided a further confidence in test results. The general correspondence between results taken from the M-Lab and the independent ISP reference points also suggests that among the ISPs tested, broadband performance that falls short of expectations is caused primarily by the segment of an ISP's network from the consumer gateway to the ISP's core network.²²

Results from both on-net and off-net nodes will be included in the Raw Bulk Data Set that will be released to the public. Test nodes were continually monitored for load and congestion; this end-to-end control of both the test node and Whitebox provided a high level of integrity in testing. The results contained in the July 2012 Report are based on the measurements obtained from the M-Lab peering reference points only, while the Raw Bulk Data Set contains all results, including those from the ISP-only reference points.

vi. Test Node Selection

Having a geographically diverse set of test nodes would be of little use if the Whiteboxes running the test did not have a suitable mechanism to determine which node offered the lowest round trip time between itself and the panelist's Whitebox.

The node actually selected might not always be the geographically closest test node to the panelist; the network route between the panelist's home and the test node will often travel via an indirect route that may take it through one or more cities. This might make another test node that is physically farther away preferable.

To identify nodes with the lowest round trip time, each Whitebox fetched a complete list of test nodes from the SamKnows infrastructure upon first execution of the test batch and performed a simple round trip time measurement to each. It then selected the test node with the lowest round trip time to test against from that point forward.

mile" refers to the connection between a consumer's home and that consumer's broadband service provider's first aggregation point.

²² A simple view of an ISP's network from the consumer perspective is that it consists of a path connecting the consumer's modem to a major aggregation point and that such aggregation points are connected with high bandwidth facilities forming a core or backbone network. Connecting paths joining one or more subscribers to these aggregation points typically have significantly less bandwidth or capacity than the connecting links between aggregation points and other major locations within this core network.

D. SamKnows Methodology²³

Each deployed Whitebox performs the following tests:

| Test | Primary measure(s) |
|----------------------------|--|
| Download speed | Throughput in Megabits per second (Mbps) utilizing three concurrent TCP connections |
| Upload speed | Throughput in Mbps utilizing three concurrent TCP connections |
| Web browsing | Total time to fetch a page and all of its resources from a popular website |
| UDP latency | Average round trip time of a series of randomly transmitted UDP packets distributed over a long timeframe |
| UDP packet loss | Fraction of UDP packets lost from UDP latency test |
| Video streaming | Initial time to buffer, number of buffer under-runs and total time for buffer delays ²⁴ |
| Voice over IP | Upstream packet loss, downstream packet loss, upstream jitter, downstream jitter, round trip latency |
| DNS resolution | Time taken for the ISP's recursive DNS resolver to return an A record ²⁵ for a popular website domain name |
| DNS failures | Percentage of DNS requests performed in the DNS resolution test that failed |
| ICMP latency | Round trip time of five regularly spaced ICMP packets |
| ICMP packet loss | Percentage of packets lost in the ICMP latency test |
| Latency under load | Average round trip time for a series of regularly spaced UDP packets sent during downstream/upstream sustained tests |
| Availability ²⁶ | Total time the connection was deemed unavailable for any purpose, which could include a network fault or unavailability of a measurement point |

²³ Specific questions on test procedures may be addressed to team@samknows.com.

²⁴ Only the total buffer delay is presented in the Tabular Test Results spreadsheet. Results of all tests will be included in the Raw Bulk Data files.

²⁵ An "A record" is the numeric IP address associated with a domain address such as www.fcc.gov.

²⁶ The measurement of availability provided a check on how often tests could not be run and was used as a quality metric overall, but was not used in analysis of broadband performance.

Consumption²⁷

A simple record of the total bytes downloaded and uploaded by the router

The following sub-sections detail the methodology used in each of the individual tests.

DOWNLOAD SPEED AND UPLOAD SPEED

These tests measured the download and upload throughput by performing multiple simultaneous HTTP GET and HTTP POST requests to a target test node.

Binary, non-zero content—herein referred to as the payload—was hosted on a web server on the target test node. The test operated for a fixed duration of 30 seconds. It also recorded average throughput at 5 second intervals during the test. The client attempted to download as much of the payload as possible for the duration of the test.

The test used three concurrent TCP connections (and therefore three concurrent HTTP requests) to ensure that the line was saturated. Each connection used in the test counted the numbers of bytes transferred and was sampled periodically by a controlling thread. The sum of these counters (a value in bytes) divided by the time elapsed (in microseconds) and converted to Mbps was taken as the total throughput of the line.

Factors such as TCP slow start and congestion were taken into account by repeatedly transferring small chunks (256 kilobytes, or kB) of the target payload before the real testing began. This ‘warm up’ period was said to have been completed when three consecutive chunks were transferred at within 10% of the speed of one another. All three connections were required to have completed the warm up period before the timed testing began. The ‘warm-up’ period was excluded from the measurement results.

Downloaded content was discarded as soon as it was received, and was not written to the file system. Uploaded content was generated and streamed on the fly from a random source.

WEB BROWSING

The test recorded the averaged time taken to sequentially download the HTML and referenced resources for the home page of each of the target websites, the number of bytes transferred, and the calculated rate per second. The primary measure for this test was the total time taken to download the HTML front page for each web site and all associated images, JavaScript, and stylesheet resources. This test did not test against the centralized testing nodes; instead it tested against real websites, ensuring

²⁷ While this metric is not an active test it is included in this description as a passive test.

that the effects of content distribution networks and other performance enhancing factors could be taken into account.

Each Whitebox tested against the following 9 websites²⁸:

- <http://www.cnn.com>
- <http://www.youtube.com>
- <http://www.msn.com>
- <http://www.amazon.com>
- <http://www.yahoo.com>
- <http://www.ebay.com>
- <http://www.wikipedia.org>
- <http://www.facebook.com>
- <http://www.google.com>

The results include the time taken for DNS resolution. The test used up to eight concurrent TCP connections to fetch resources from targets. The test pooled TCP connections and utilized persistent connections where the remote HTTP server supports them.

The client advertised the user agent as Microsoft Internet Explorer 8. Each website was tested in sequence and the results summed and reported across all sites.

UDP LATENCY AND PACKET LOSS

These tests measured the round trip time of small UDP packets between the Whitebox and a target test node.

Each packet consists of an 8-byte sequence number and an 8-byte timestamp. If a packet was not received back within three seconds of sending, it was treated as lost. The test recorded the number of packets sent each hour, the average round trip time and the total number of packets lost. The test computed the summarized minimum, maximum, and mean from the lowest 99% of results, effectively trimming the top (*i.e.*, slowest) 1% of outliers.

The test operated continuously in the background. It was configured to randomly distribute the sending of the echo requests over a fixed interval of one hour, reporting the summarized results once the interval had elapsed. Approximately 600 packets were sent within a one hour period, with fewer packets sent if the line was not idle.

²⁸ These websites were chosen based on a list by Alexa, <http://www.alexacom/>, of the top twenty websites in October 2010.

This test was started when the Whitebox booted and ran permanently as a background test.

VIDEO STREAMING

For the purpose of the video streaming test, the intent was to simulate an end user viewing a streaming video online. This test emulated live video streaming rather than a service such as YouTube that employs a ‘progressive download’ approach.

The test operated over TCP and used proprietary client and server side components. The client and server negotiated the test parameters at the start of each test.

A three-second playout buffer was configured and the client attempted to download data from the server at the maximum rate necessary to ensure that this buffer was never empty. A separate client-side thread consumed data from this buffer at a fixed rate, looking for buffer under-runs (which would manifest themselves to users as a pause in video). The Whitebox recorded the time to initial buffer, the total number of buffer under-runs and the total delay in microseconds due to these under-runs.

The test operated at four bit rates: 768 kilobits per second (kbps), 1.25 Mbps, 2.25 Mbps, and 3.75 Mbps.

VOICE OVER IP

The Voice over IP (VoIP) test operated over UDP and, unlike the video streaming test, utilized bi-directional traffic, as is typical for voice calls.

The Whitebox would handshake with the server, and each would initiate a UDP stream with the other. The test used a 64 kbps stream with the same characteristics and properties (*i.e.*, packet sizes, delays, bitrate) as the G.711 codec. The test measured jitter, delay and loss. These metrics were measured by subdividing the stream into blocks, and measuring the time taken to receive each block (as well as the difference between consecutive times).

Jitter was calculated using the PDV approach described in section 4.2 of RFC5481. The 99th percentile was recorded and used in all calculations when deriving the PDV.

DNS RESOLUTION AND DNS FAILURES

These tests measured the DNS resolution time of an A record query for the domains of the websites used in the web browsing test, and the percentage of DNS requests performed in the DNS resolution test that failed.

The DNS resolution test was targeted directly at the ISP’s recursive resolvers. This circumvented any caching introduced by the panelist’s home equipment (such as another gateway running in front of the Whitebox) and also accounted for panelists that might have configured the Whitebox (or upstream devices) to use non-ISP provided DNS servers. ISPs provided lists of their recursive DNS servers for the purposes of this study.

ICMP LATENCY AND PACKET LOSS

These tests measured the round trip time (RTT) of ICMP echo requests in microseconds from the Whitebox to a target test node. The client sent 5 ICMP echo requests of 56 bytes to the target test node, waiting up to three seconds for a response to each. Packets that were not received in response were treated as lost. The mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation of the successful results were recorded.

LATENCY UNDER LOAD

The latency under load test operated for the duration of the 30 second downstream and upstream speed tests, with results for upstream and downstream recorded separately. While the speed tests ran, the latency under load test sent UDP datagrams to the target server and measured the round trip time and number of packets lost. Packets were spaced 500 milliseconds (ms) apart, and a 3 second timeout was used. The test recorded the mean, minimum and maximum round trip times in microseconds. The number of lost UDP packets was also recorded.

This test represents an updated version of the methodology used in the August 2011 Report and brings it into line with the methodology for the regular latency and packet loss metrics.

Table 5: Estimated Total Traffic Volume Generated by Test

| Test | Target(s) | Duration | Total Est. Daily Volume |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Web browsing | 9 popular US websites | Est. 30 seconds | 80 MB |
| Video streaming ¹ | 1 off-net test node | Fixed 10 seconds at 768kbps, 1.25Mbps, 2.25Mbps, 3.75Mbps | 60 MB |
| | 1 on-net test node | Fixed 10 seconds at 768kbps, 1.25Mbps, 2.25Mbps, 3.75Mbps | 60 MB |
| Voice over IP | 1 on-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds at 64k | 1 MB |
| | 1 off-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds at 64k | 1 MB |
| Download speed ^{2, 3} | 1 off-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds*** | 4.5 GB at 50Mbps |
| | | | 1.72 GB at 20Mbps |
| | | | 858 MB at 10Mbps |
| | | | 357 MB at 3Mbps |
| | 1 on-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds*** | 4.5 GB at 50Mbps |
| | | | 1.72 GB at 20Mbps |
| Upload speed ^{2, 3} | 1 off-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds*** | 858 MB at 10Mbps |
| | | | 357 MB at 3Mbps |
| | 1 on-net test node | Fixed 30 seconds*** | 129 MB at 1.5Mbps |
| | | | 174 MB at 2Mbps |
| UDP latency | 1 off-net test node | Permanent | 87 MB at 1Mbps |
| | | | 44 MB at 0.5Mbps |

| Test | Target(s) | Duration | Total Est. Daily Volume |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1 on-net test node | Permanent | 1 MB |
| UDP packet loss | 1 off-net test node | Permanent | N/A (uses above) |
| | 1 on-net test node | Permanent | N/A (uses above) |
| DNS resolution | 9 popular US websites | Est. 3 seconds | 0.3 MB |
| DNS failures | 9 popular US websites | N/A (As DNS resolution) | |
| ICMP latency | 1 off-net test node | Est. 5 seconds | .3MB |
| | 1 on-net test node | | |
| Latency under Load | 1 off-net test node | Est. 5 seconds | .3MB |
| | 1 on-net test node | | |
| UDP packet loss | 1 off-net test node | N/A (As UDP latency) | 0.1MB |
| | 1 on-net test node | | |

¹ Video streaming rates: Lines will only stream the rates they are capable of, according to the latest speed test results. If a rate is deemed unreachable (e.g. a 3.75Mbps rate on a 1Mbps line), then it will be skipped.

² Download/upload daily volumes are estimates based upon likely line speeds. All tests operated at maximum line rate so actual consumption may vary.

³ Frequency: twice every hour. All other tests report results once per hour.

*** The speed tests run for a fixed duration of 30 seconds, but output the cumulative average transfer speed every 5 seconds (i.e. the first average is for seconds 0-5, the second is for 0-10, etc.). This allows us to measure performance over multiple durations, while running only one test.

In addition to the tests described above, for 60 seconds prior to and during testing, a ‘threshold manager’ service on the Whitebox monitored the inbound and outbound traffic across the WAN interface to calculate if a panelist was actively using the Internet connection. The threshold for traffic was set to 64 kbps downstream and 32 kbps upstream. Statistics were sampled and computed every 10 seconds. If either of these thresholds was breached, the test was delayed for a minute and the process repeated. If the connection was being actively used for an extended period of time, this pause and retry process would continue for up to 5 times before the entire test cycle was abandoned.

4. Data Processing and Analysis of Test Results

This section describes the background for the categorization of data gathered for the July 2012 Report, and the methods employed to collect and analyze the test results.

A. Background

i. Time of Day

One of the key factors that affects broadband performance is usage-based congestion. At peak hours, defined for this study as the period on weekdays between 7:00 pm and 11:00 pm local time, there are more people attempting to use the Internet simultaneously, giving rise to the potential for congestion if any of these points are provisioned on a contended basis. When congestion occurs, users' performance will suffer.

ii. ISP and Service Tier

A sufficient sample size is necessary to allow meaningful statistical analysis and the ability to robustly compare the performance of specific ISP packages. The study achieved statistically meaningful sample sizes for the following download and upload speeds²⁹ (listed in alphabetical order):

Download Speeds:

- AT&T DSL's 1.5 Mbps, 3 Mbps, and 6 Mbps tiers;
- AT&T U-verse's 1.5 Mbps, 3 Mbps, 6 Mbps, 12 Mbps, 18 Mbps, and 24 Mbps tiers;
- Cablevision's 15 Mbps and 50Mbps tiers;
- CenturyLink's 1.5 Mbps, 3 Mbps, and 10 Mbps tiers;
- Charter's 15Mbps, 25 Mbps, and 30 Mbps tiers;
- Comcast's 8 Mbps, 12 Mbps, 15 Mbps, and 25 Mbps tiers;
- Cox's 3 Mbps, 12 Mbps, 15 Mbps, 18 Mbps, 22 Mbps, and 25 Mbps tiers;
- Frontier's 1 Mbps, 3 Mbps, 7 Mbps, 20 Mbps, and 25 Mbps tiers;
- Insight's 10 Mbps and 20Mbps tiers;
- Mediacom's 12 Mbps, 15 Mbps, and 20 Mbps tier;

²⁹ Due to the large number of different combinations of upload/download speed tiers supported by ISPs where, for example, a single download speed might be offered paired with multiple upload speeds or vice versa, upload and download test results were analyzed separately to produce enough samples to provide statistically valid data.

- Qwest's 1.5 Mbps, 7 Mbps, 12 Mbps, and 20 Mbps tiers;
- Time Warner Cable's 3 Mbps, 10 Mbps, 15 Mbps, 20 Mbps, and 30 Mbps tiers;
- Verizon DSL's 1 Mbps, 1.5 Mbps, 3 Mbps, and 7 Mbps tiers;
- Verizon Fiber's 15 Mbps, 20 Mbps, 25 Mbps, and 35 Mbps tiers; and
- Windstream's 1.5 Mbps, 3 Mbps, 6 Mbps, and 12 Mbps tiers.

Upload Speeds:

- AT&T DSL's 384 kbps, 512 kbps, and 768 kbps tiers;
- AT&T U-verse's 1 Mbps, 1.5 Mbps, and 3 Mbps tiers;
- Cablevision's 2 Mbps, 5 Mbps, and 8 Mbps tiers;
- CenturyLink's 256 kbps, 512 kbps, 640 kbps, 768 kbps, and 896 kbps tiers;
- Charter's 3 Mbps and 4 Mbps tiers;
- Comcast's 2 Mbps and 4 Mbps tiers;
- Cox's 512 kbps, 768 kbps, 2 Mbps, 3 Mbps, 4 Mbps, and 5 Mbps tiers;
- Frontier's 384 kbps, 768 kbps, 5 Mbps, and 25 Mbps tiers;
- Insight's 1 Mbps and 1.5 Mbps tiers;
- Mediacom's 1 Mbps and 2 Mbps tiers;
- Qwest's 640 kbps and 896 kbps tiers;
- Time Warner Cable's 512 kbps, 1 Mbps, 2 Mbps, and 5 Mbps tiers;
- Verizon DSL's 128 kbps, 384 kbps, and 768 kbps tiers;
- Verizon Fiber's 5 Mbps, 25 Mbps, and 35 Mbps tiers; and
- Windstream's 768 kbps tier.

Statistical averages for the validated April 2012 data are found at: fcc.us/mba0712testresults. The results within these bands are further broken out by ISP and service tier. Where an ISP does not offer a service tier within a specific band or a representative sample could not be formed for tier(s) in that band, the ISP will not appear in that speed band.

B. Data Collection and Analysis Methodology**i. Data Integrity**

As the Whiteboxes ran tests consistently from homes across the U.S., it was important to check the data to ensure that any anomalies were removed. To ensure the integrity of the large amount of data collected, the following protocols were developed:

1. Change of ISP intra-month: found units that changed ISP intra-month (determined by performing daily WHOIS query using the panelist's IP address), and removed data for the ISP on which they spent less time over the course of that month.
2. Change of service tier intra-month: found units that changed service tier intra-month by isolating the difference between the average sustained throughput observed for the first three days in the reporting period from the average sustained throughput observed for the final three days in the reporting period. If a unit was not online at the start or end of that period, then the first/final three days that they were actually online were taken. If this difference was over 50%, the downstream and upstream charts for this unit were individually reviewed. Where an obvious step change was observed (*e.g.*, from 1 Mbps to 3 Mbps), the data for the shorter period was flagged for removal.
3. Removal of any failed or irrelevant tests: removed any failed or irrelevant tests by removing measurements against any non-M-Lab servers (to catch tests to ISP test nodes). Removed measurements against any M-Lab server outside of the U.S. Removed measurements against any M-Lab server that exhibited greater than or equal to 10% failures in a specific one hour period (the purpose was to remove periods where M-Lab servers were unavailable).
4. Removal of any problem units: removed measurements for any unit that exhibited greater than or equal to 10% failures in a particular one hour period (the purpose was to remove periods where units were unable to reach the Internet).

ii. Infrastructure Anomalies in March and April

The August 2011 Report was based on data collected during the month of March 2011. Anomalous behavior observed in the measurement infrastructure occurring during March 2012 led to a decision not to use data from this month as basis for ISP comparison in the July 2012 Report. The March 2012 issue manifested itself as a gradual deterioration in measured performance across all ISPs (decreasing throughput, increasing packet loss) when specific measurement servers were used. By the time the problem with the measurement infrastructure was resolved, a significant portion of the planned testing period had elapsed.

Given this potential degradation in the March 2012 data, a joint decision was made by SamKnows, the FCC, and the ISPs participating in the study to base the July 2012 Report on data collected in April 2012. A non-disclosure agreement was executed by M-Lab to gain early access to the March 2012 data in order perform an analysis and produce a report fully describing the cause of this degradation and its impact on the data. Additional testing and verification procedures were instituted following the March incident to more readily identify network issues and minimize the loss of data.

Utilizing these network monitoring enhancements, anomalies were detected for a period of six days at one measurement site during the April 2012 test period. The cause of this issue was unrelated to that identified in March 2012 and was found to be the result of the failure of a transport link connecting the measurement server to the Internet. As a result, this failure affected all customers of the network service provider using this link. The data showed that all ISPs testing to this measurement location were equally affected. Though the anomaly only affected one measurement site, in the interest of fairness, SamKnows, the FCC, and the participating ISPs made the decision to exclude data collected during this six day period from the Report for all measurement sites. This shortened the measurement period for data used in the July 2012 Report from 30 days to 24 days. Due to the large number of tests performed daily, the statistical validity of the data was unaffected by this exclusion.

iii. Collation of Results and Outlier Control

All measurement data were collated and stored for analysis purposes as monthly trimmed averages during three time intervals (24 hours, 7:00 pm to 11:00 pm local time Monday through Friday, 12:00 am to 12:00 am local time Saturday and Sunday). Only participants who provided a minimum of one week (seven days) of valid measurements and had valid data in each of the three time intervals were included in the April 2012 test results. In addition, we dropped the top and bottom 1% of measurements to control for outliers that may have been anomalous or otherwise misrepresentative of actual broadband performance. All statistics were computed on the trimmed data.³⁰

³⁰ These methods were reviewed with statistical experts within the FCC and by participating ISPs.

We charted data only when at least 25 data points were available and noted instances of 30 or fewer data points.

The resulting final sample of data for April 2012 was 7,782 participants.

iv. **Peak Hours Adjusted to Local Time**

Peak hours were defined as weekdays between 7:00 pm to 11:00 pm (inclusive) for the purposes of the study. All times were adjusted to the panelist's local time zone. Due to some tests that only took place once every two hours on an individual Whitebox, the period used for aggregating peak performance had to be a multiple of two.

v. **Congestion in the Home Not Measured**

Download, upload, latency, and packet loss measurements were taken between the panelist's home gateway and the dedicated test nodes provided by M-Lab. Web browsing measurements were taken between the panelist's home gateway and nine popular U.S.-hosted websites. Any congestion within the user's home network is therefore not measured by this study. The web browsing measurements are subject to possible congestion at the content provider's side, although the choice of nine highly trafficked websites configured to serve high traffic loads may have mitigated the effects of temporary congestion.

vi. **Traffic Shaping Not Studied**

The effect of traffic shaping is not studied in the July 2012 Report, although test results were subject to any bandwidth management policies put in place by ISPs. The effects of bandwidth management policies, which may be used by ISPs to maintain consumer traffic rates within advertised service tiers, may be most readily seen in those charts in the July 2012 Report that show performance over 24-hour periods, where tested rates for some ISPs and service tiers flatten for periods at a time.

vii. **Analysis of PowerBoost and Other 'Enhancing' Services**

The use of transient speed enhancing services marked under names such as "PowerBoost" on cable connections presented a technical challenge when measuring throughput. These services will deliver a far higher throughput for the earlier portion of a connection (the size of this duration may vary by ISP, service tier, and potentially other factors). For example, this could mean that a user with a contracted 6 Mbps service tier may receive 18 Mbps for the first 10MB of a transfer. Once the "burst window" is exceeded, throughput will return to the contracted rate, with the result that the burst speed will have no effect on very long sustained transfers.

Existing speed tests transfer a quantity of data and divide this quantity by the duration of the transfer to get the transfer rate (typically expressed in Mbps). Without accounting for burst speed techniques, speed tests employing the mechanism described here will produce highly variable results depending on how much data they

transfer or how long they are run. Burst speed techniques will have a dominant effect on short speed tests: a speed test running for 2 seconds on a connection employing burst speed techniques would likely record the burst speed rate, whereas a speed test running for 2 hours will reduce the effect of burst speed techniques to a negligible level.

The speed test employed in this study isolated the effects of transient performance enhancing burst speed techniques from the long-term sustained speed by running for a fixed 30 seconds and recording the average throughput at 5 second intervals. The throughput at the 0-5 second interval is referred to as the *burst* speed and the throughput at the 25-30 second interval is referred to as the *sustained* speed. Testing was conducted prior to the start of trial to estimate the length of time during which the effects of burst speed techniques might be seen. Even though the precise parameters used for burst speed techniques are not known, their effects were no longer observable in testing after 20 seconds of data transfer.

viii. **Latencies Attributable to Propagation Delay**

The speeds at which signals can traverse networks are limited at a fundamental level by the speed of light. While the speed of light is not believed to be a significant limitation in the context of the other technical factors addressed by the testing methodology, a delay of 5 ms per 1000 km of distance traveled can be attributed solely to the speed of light. The geographic distribution and the testing methodology's selection of the nearest test servers are believed to minimize any significant effect. However, propagation delay is not explicitly accounted for in the results.

ix. **Limiting Factors**

A total of 3,088,140,069 measurements were taken across 163,831,495 unique tests.

All scheduled tests were run, aside from when monitoring units detected concurrent use of bandwidth.

Schedules were adjusted when required for specific tests to avoid triggering data usage limits applied by some ISPs.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The following document was agreed to by each volunteer panelist who agreed to participate in the broadband measurement study:

User Terms and Conditions

PLEASE READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY. BY APPLYING TO BECOME A PARTICIPANT IN THE BROADBAND COMMUNITY PANEL AND/OR INSTALLING THE WHITEBOX, YOU ARE AGREEING TO THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

YOUR ATTENTION IS DRAWN PARTICULARLY TO CONDITIONS 3.5 (PERTAINING TO YOUR CONSENT TO YOUR ISPS PROVIDING CERTAIN INFORMATION AND YOUR WAIVER OF CLAIMS), 6 (LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY) AND 7 (DATA PROTECTION).

1. Interpretation

1.1. The following definitions and rules of interpretation apply to these terms & conditions.

Connection: the Participant's own broadband internet connection, provided by an Internet Service Provider ("ISP").

Connection Equipment: the Participant's broadband router or cable modem, used to provide the Participant's Connection.

Intellectual Property Rights: all patents, rights to inventions, utility models, copyright and related rights, trade marks, service marks, trade, business and domain names, rights in trade dress or get-up, rights in goodwill or to sue for passing off, unfair competition rights, rights in designs, rights in computer software, database right, moral rights, rights in confidential information (including know-how and trade secrets) and any other intellectual property rights, in each case whether registered or unregistered and including all applications for and renewals or extensions of such rights, and all similar or equivalent rights or forms of protection in any part of the world.

ISP: the company providing broadband internet connection to the Participant during the term of this Program.

Participant/You/Your: the person who volunteers to participate in the Program, under these terms and conditions. The Participant must be the named account holder on the Internet service account with the ISP.

Open Source Software: the software in the Whitebox device that is licensed under an open source licence (including the GPL).

Participant's Equipment: any equipment, systems, cabling or facilities provided by the Participant and used directly or indirectly in support of the Services, excluding the Connection Equipment.

Parties: both the Participant and SamKnows.

Party: one of either the Participant or SamKnows.

Requirements: the requirements specified by SamKnows as part of the sign-up process that the Participant must fulfil in order to be selected to receive the Services.

SamKnows/We/Our: the organization providing the Services and conducting the Program, namely:

SamKnows Limited (Co. No. 6510477) of 25 Harley Street, London W1G 9BR

Services / Program: the performance and measurement of certain broadband and Internet services and research program (Broadband Community Panel), as sponsored by the Federal Communications Committee (FCC), in respect of measuring broadband Internet Connections.

Software: the software that has been installed and/or remotely uploaded onto the Whitebox, by SamKnows as updated by SamKnows, from time to time, but not including any Open Source Software.

Test Results: Information concerning the Participant's ISP service results.

Whitebox: the hardware supplied to the Participant by SamKnows with the Software.

1.2. Headings in these terms and conditions shall not affect their interpretation.

1.3. A person includes a natural person, corporate or unincorporated body (whether or not having separate legal personality).

1.4. The schedules form part of these terms and conditions.

1.5. A reference to writing or written includes faxes and e-mails.

1.6. Any obligation in these terms and conditions on a person not to do something includes, without limitation, an obligation not to agree, allow, permit or acquiesce in that thing being done.

2. SamKnows' Commitment to You

2.1 Subject to the Participant complying fully with these terms and conditions, SamKnows shall use reasonable care to:

(a) provide the Participant with the Measurement Services under these terms and conditions;

(b) supply the Participant with the Whitebox and instructions detailing how it should be connected to the Participant's Connection Equipment; and

(c) if requested, SamKnows will provide a pre-paid postage label for the Whitebox to be returned.

(d) comply with all applicable United States, European Union, and United Kingdom privacy laws and directives, and will access, collect, process and distribute the information according to the following principles:

Fairness: We will process data fairly and lawfully;

Specific purpose: We will access, collect, process, store and distribute data for the purposes and reasons specified in this agreement and not in ways incompatible with those purposes;

Restricted: We will restrict our data collection and use practices to those adequate and relevant, and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which we collect the information;

Accurate: We will work to ensure that the data we collect is accurate and up-to-date, working with Participant and his/her ISP;

Destroyed when obsolete: We will not maintain personal data longer than is necessary for the purposes for which we collect and process the information;

Security: We will collect and process the information associated with this trial with adequate security through technical and organizational measures to protect personal data against destruction or loss, alteration, unauthorized disclosure or access, in particular where the processing involves the transmission of data over a network.

2.2 In addition, SamKnows shall:

(a) provide Participant with access to a Program-specific customer services email address, which the Participant may use for questions and to give feedback and comments;

(b) provide Participant with a unique login and password in order to access to an online reporting system for access to Participant's broadband performance statistics.

(c) provide Participant with a monthly email with their specific data from the Program or notifying Participant that their individual data is ready for viewing;

(d) provide Participant with support and troubleshooting services in case of problems or issues with their Whitebox;

(e) notify Participant of the end of the FCC-sponsored Program and provide a mechanism for Participant to opt out of any further performance/measuring services and research before collecting any data after termination of the Program;

(f) use only data generated by SamKnows through the Whitebox, and not use any Participant data for measuring performance without Participant's prior written consent; and

(g) not monitor/track Participant's Internet activity without Participant's prior written consent.

2.3 While SamKnows will make all reasonable efforts to ensure that the Services cause no disruption to the performance of the Participant's broadband Connection, including only running tests when there is no concurrent network activity generated by users at the Participant's location. The Participant acknowledges that the Services may occasionally impact the performance of the Connection and agrees to hold SamKnows and their ISP harmless for any impact the Services may have on the performance of their Connection.

3. Participant's Obligations

3.1 The Participant is not required to pay any fee for the provision of the Services by SamKnows or to participate in the Program.

3.2 The Participant agrees to use reasonable endeavors to:

(a) connect the Whitebox to their Connection Equipment within 14 days of receiving it;

(b) not to unplug or disconnect the Whitebox unless (i) they will be absent from the property in which it is connected for more than 3 days and/or (ii) it is reasonably necessary for maintenance of the Participant's Equipment and the Participant agrees that they shall use reasonable endeavors to minimize the length of time the Whitebox is unplugged or disconnected;

(c) in no way reverse engineer, tamper with, dispose of or damage the Whitebox, or attempt to do so;

(d) notify SamKnows within 7 days in the event that they change their ISP or their Connection tier or package (for example, downgrading/upgrading to a different broadband package), to the email address provided by SamKnows;

(e) inform SamKnows of a change of postal or email address by email; within 7 days of the change, to the email address provided by SamKnows;

(f) agrees that the Whitebox may be upgraded to incorporate changes to the Software and/or additional tests at the discretion of SamKnows, whether by remote uploads or otherwise;

(g) on completion or termination of the Services, return the Whitebox to SamKnows by mail, if requested by SamKnows. SamKnows will provide a pre-paid postage label for the Whitebox to be returned;

(h) be an active part of the Program and as such will use all reasonable endeavors to complete the market research surveys received within a reasonable period of time;

(i) not publish data, give press or other interviews regarding the Program without the prior written permission of SamKnows; and

(k) contact SamKnows directly, and not your ISP, in the event of any issues or problems with the Whitebox, by using the email address provided by SamKnows.

3.3 You will not give the Whitebox or the Software to any third party, including (without limitation) to any ISP. You may give the Open Source Software to any person in accordance with the terms of the relevant open source licence.

3.4 The Participant acknowledges that he/she is not an employee or agent of, or relative of, an employee or agent of an ISP or any affiliate of any ISP. In the event that they become one, they will inform SamKnows, who at its complete discretion may ask for the immediate return of the Whitebox.

3.5 THE PARTICIPANT'S ATTENTION IS PARTICULARLY DRAWN TO THIS CONDITION. The Participant expressly consents to having their ISP provide to SamKnows and the Federal Communications (FCC) information about the Participant's broadband service, for example: service address, speed tier, local loop length (for DSL customers), equipment identifiers and

other similar information, and hereby waives any claim that its ISPs disclosure of such information to SamKnows or the FCC constitutes a violation of any right or any other right or privilege that the Participant may have under any federal, state or local statute, law, ordinance, court order, administrative rule, order or regulation, or other applicable law, including, without limitation, under 47 U.S.C. §§ 222 and 631 (each a "Privacy Law"). If notwithstanding Participant's consent under this Section 3.5, Participant, the FCC or any other party brings any claim or action against any ISP under a Privacy Law, upon the applicable ISPs request SamKnows promptly shall cease collecting data from such Participant and remove from its records all data collected with respect to such Participant prior to the date of such request, and shall not provide such data in any form to the FCC. The Participant further consents to transmission of information from this Program Internationally, including the information provided by the Participant's ISP, specifically the transfer of this information to SamKnows in the United Kingdom, SamKnows' processing of it there and return to the United States.

4. Intellectual Property Rights

4.1 All Intellectual Property Rights relating to the Whitebox are the property of its manufacturer. The Participant shall use the Whitebox only to allow SamKnows to provide the Services.

4.2 As between SamKnows and the Participant, SamKnows owns all Intellectual Property Rights in the Software. The Participant shall not translate, copy, adapt, vary or alter the Software. The Participant shall use the Software only for the purposes of SamKnows providing the Services and shall not disclose or otherwise use the Software.

4.3 Participation in the Broadband Community Panel gives the participant no Intellectual Property Rights in the Test Results. Ownership of all such rights is governed by Federal Acquisition Regulation Section 52.227-17, which has been incorporated by reference in the relevant contract between SamKnows and the FCC. The Participant hereby acknowledges and agrees that SamKnows may make such use of the Test Results as is required for the Program.

4.4 Certain core testing technology and aspects of the architectures, products and services are developed and maintained directly by SamKnows. SamKnows also implements various technical features of the measurement services using particular technical components from a variety of vendor partners including: NetGear, Measurement Lab, TP-Link.

5. SamKnows' Property

The Whitebox and Software will remain the property of SamKnows. SamKnows may at any time ask the Participant to return the Whitebox, which they must do within 28 days of such a request being sent. Once SamKnows has safely received the Whitebox, SamKnows will reimburse the Participant's reasonable postage costs for doing so.

6. Limitations of Liability - THE PARTICIPANT'S ATTENTION IS PARTICULARLY DRAWN TO THIS CONDITION

6.1 This condition 6 sets out the entire financial liability of SamKnows (including any liability for the acts or omissions of its employees, agents, consultants, and subcontractors) to the Participant, including and without limitation, in respect of:

(a) any use made by the Participant of the Services, the Whitebox and the Software or any part of them; and

(b) any representation, statement or tortious act or omission (including negligence) arising under or in connection with these terms and conditions.

6.2 All implied warranties, conditions and other terms implied by statute or other law are, to the fullest extent permitted by law, waived and excluded from these terms and conditions.

6.3 Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in these terms and conditions limits or excludes the liability of SamKnows:

(a) for death or personal injury resulting from its negligence or willful misconduct;

(b) for any damage or liability incurred by the Participant as a result of fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation by SamKnows;

(c) for any violations of U.S. consumer protection laws;

(d) in relation to any other liabilities which may not be excluded or limited by applicable law.

6.4 Subject to condition 6.2 and condition 6.3, SamKnows' total liability in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty), misrepresentation, restitution or otherwise arising in connection with the performance, or contemplated performance, of these terms and conditions shall be limited to \$100.

6.5 In the event of any defect or modification in the Whitebox, the Participant's sole remedy shall be the repair or replacement of the Whitebox at SamKnows' reasonable cost, provided that the defective Whitebox is safely returned to SamKnows, in which case SamKnows shall pay the Participant's reasonable postage costs.

6.6 The Participant acknowledges and agrees that these limitations of liability are reasonable in all the circumstances, particularly given that no fee is being charged by SamKnows for the Services or participation in the Program.

6.7 It is the Participant's responsibility to pay all service and other charges owed to its ISP in a timely manner and to comply with all other ISP applicable terms. The Participant shall ensure that their broadband traffic, including the data pushed by SamKnows during the Program, does not exceed the data allowance included in the Participant's broadband package. If usage allowances are accidentally exceeded and the Participant is billed additional charges from the ISP as a result, SamKnows is not under any obligation to cover these charges although it may choose to do so at its discretion.

7. Data protection - the participation's attention is particularly drawn to this condition.

7.1 The Participant acknowledges and agrees that his/her personal data, such as service tier, address and line performance, will be processed by SamKnows in connection with the program.

7.2 Except as required by law or regulation, SamKnows will not provide the Participant's personal data to any third party without obtaining Participant's prior consent. However, for the avoidance of doubt, the Participant acknowledges and agrees that subject to the privacy policies

discussed below, the specific technical characteristics of tests and other technical features associated with the Internet Protocol environment of architecture, including the client's IP address, may be shared with third parties as necessary to conduct the Program and all aggregate statistical data produced as a result of the Services (including the Test Results) may be provided to third parties.

7.3 You acknowledge and agree that SamKnows may share some of Your information with Your ISP, and request information about You from Your ISP so that they may confirm Your service tiers and other information relevant to the Program. Accordingly You hereby expressly waive claim that any disclosure by Your ISP to SamKnows constitutes a violation of any right or privilege that you may have under any law, wherever it might apply.

8. Term and Termination

8.1 This Agreement shall continue until terminated in accordance with this clause.

8.2 Each party may terminate the Services immediately by written notice to the other party at any time. Notice of termination may be given by email. Notices sent by email shall be deemed to be served on the day of transmission if transmitted before 5.00 pm Eastern Time on a working day, but otherwise on the next following working day.

8.3 On termination of the Services for any reason:

(a) SamKnows shall have no further obligation to provide the Services; and

(b) the Participant shall safely return the Whitebox to SamKnows, if requested by SamKnows, in which case SamKnows shall pay the Participant's reasonable postage costs.

8.4 Notwithstanding termination of the Services and/or these terms and conditions, clauses 1, 3.3 and 4 to 14 (inclusive) shall continue to apply.

9. Severance

If any provision of these terms and conditions, or part of any provision, is found by any court or other authority of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that provision or part-provision shall, to the extent required, be deemed not to form part of these terms and conditions, and the validity and enforceability of the other provisions these terms and conditions shall not be affected.

10. Entire agreement

10.1 These terms and conditions constitute the whole agreement between the parties and replace and supersede any previous agreements or undertakings between the parties.

10.2 Each party acknowledges that, in entering into these terms and conditions, it has not relied on, and shall have no right or remedy in respect of, any statement, representation, assurance or warranty.

11. Assignment

11.1 The Participant shall not, without the prior written consent of SamKnows, assign, transfer, charge, mortgage, subcontract all or any of its rights or obligations under these terms and conditions.

11.2 Each party that has rights under these terms and conditions acknowledges that they are acting on their own behalf and not for the benefit of another person.

12. No Partnership or Agency

Nothing in these terms and conditions is intended to, or shall be deemed to, constitute a partnership or joint venture of any kind between any of the parties, nor make any party the agent of another party for any purpose. No party shall have authority to act as agent for, or to bind, the other party in any way.

13. Rights of third parties

Except for the rights and protections conferred on ISPs under these Terms and Conditions which they may defend, a person who is not a party to these terms and conditions shall not have any rights under or in connection with these Terms and Conditions.

14. Privacy and Paperwork Reduction Acts

14.1 For the avoidance of doubt, the release of IP protocol addresses of client's Whiteboxes are not PII for the purposes of this program and the client expressly consents to the release of IP address and other technical IP protocol characteristics that may be gathered within the context of the testing architecture. SamKnows, on behalf of the FCC, is collecting and storing broadband performance information, including various personally identifiable information (PII) such as the street addresses, email addresses, sum of data transferred, and broadband performance information, from those individuals who are participating voluntarily in this test. PII not necessary to conduct this study will not be collected. Certain information provided by or collected from you will be confirmed with a third party, including your ISP, to ensure a representative study and otherwise shared with third parties as necessary to conduct the program. SamKnows will not release, disclose to the public, or share any PII with any outside entities, including the FCC, except as is consistent with the SamKnows privacy policy or these Terms and Conditions. See <http://www.samknows.com/broadband/privacy.php>. The broadband performance information that is made available to the public and the FCC, will be in an aggregated form and with all PII removed. For more information, see the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a), and the SamKnows privacy policy.

14.2 The FCC is soliciting and collecting this information authorized by OMB Control No. 3060-1139 in accordance with the requirements and authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act, Pub. L. No. 96-511, 94 Stat. 2812 (Dec. 11, 1980); the Broadband Data Improvement Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-385, Stat 4096 § 103(c)(1); American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009 (ARRA), Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat 115 (2009); and Section 154(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

14.3 *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Notice.* We have estimated that each Participant of this study will assume a one hour time burden over the course of the Program. Our estimate includes the time to sign-up online, connect the Whitebox in the home, and periodic validation of the hardware. If you have any comments on this estimate, or on how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write the Federal Communications

Commission, Office of Managing Director, AMD□PERM, Washington, DC 20554, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060□1139). We will also accept your comments via the Internet if you send an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov. Please DO NOT SEND COMPLETED APPLICATION FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and provides you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060□1139. THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507. This notice may also be found at <http://www.testmyisp.com/paperwork.html>.

15. Jurisdiction

These terms and conditions shall be governed by the laws of the state of New York.

SCHEDULE

THE SERVICES

Subject to the Participant complying with its obligations under these terms and conditions, SamKnows shall use reasonable endeavors to test the Connection so that the following information is recorded:

- Web browsing
- Video streaming
- Voice over IP
- Download speed
- Upload speed
- UDP latency
- UDP packet loss
- Consumption
- Availability
- DNS resolution
- ICMP latency
- ICMP packet loss

In performing these tests, the Whitebox will require a variable download capacity and upload capacity per month, which will be available to the Participant in motion 2.3. The Participant acknowledges that this may impact on the performance of the Connection.

SamKnows will perform tests on the Participant's Connection by using SamKnows' own data and will not monitor the Participant's content or internet activity. The purpose of this study is to measure the Connection and compare this data with other consumers to create a representative index of US broadband performance.

The following Code of Conduct, available at <http://www.samknows.com/broadband/code-conduct>, was signed by ISPs and other entities participating in the study:

**SAMKNOWS
2012 FCC BROADBAND TESTING AND MEASUREMENT PROGRAM
CODE OF CONDUCT**

February 17, 2012

WHEREAS the Federal Communications Commission of the United States of America is conducting a Broadband Testing and Measurement Program, in conjunction with SamKnows, the purpose of which is to establish a technical platform for measuring Broadband America and further to use that platform to collect data;

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, as participants and stakeholders in that Broadband Testing and Measurement Program, do hereby agree to be bound by and conduct ourselves in accordance with the following principles and shall:

1. At all times act in good faith;
2. Not act, nor fail to act, if the intended consequence of such act or omission is to enhance, degrade, or tamper with the results of any test for any individual panelist or broadband provider, except that:
 - 2.1 It shall not be a violation of this principle for broadband providers to:
 - 2.1.1. Operate and manage their business including modifying or improving services delivered to any class of subscribers that may or may not include panelists among them, provided that such actions are consistent with normal business practices, and
 - 2.1.2. Address service issues for individual panelists at the request of the panelist or based on information not derived from the trial;
 - 2.2. It shall not be a violation of this principle for academic and research purposes to simulate or observe tests and components of the testing architecture, provided that no impact to FCC data or the Internet service of the panelists occurs; and
3. Not publish any data generated by the tests, nor make any public statement based on such data, until such time as the FCC releases data on a biannual basis or makes a public statement regarding any results of the tests, or except where expressly permitted by the FCC; and
4. Ensure that their employees, agents, and representatives, as appropriate, act in accordance with this Code of Conduct.

Signatories: