# **Appendix A:**

# **Summary of Update Remedy Decisions for FY00 and FY01**

**Note:** The information and data presented in Appendix A have been supplied to EPA headquarters by Regional offices. The data is subject to occasional updates as new information is received, thus Appendex A data should be used for informational purposes only.

#### SUMMARY OF UPDATED REMEDY DECISIONS FOR FY00

		<b>" 4555</b>	<b>"</b>	<b>"</b>					Change	Initiator			Type o	of Change
Region	# With No Sav.	# of TBD	# With Est. Sav.	# With Est. Incr.	Estimated Savings	Estimated Increases	PRP	EPA	State	Fed. Fac.	Public	Joint	ESD	ROD-A
1	3	0	0	1	0	\$0.5M	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	0
2	1	0	2	0	\$23.0M	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
3	2	0	7	1	\$32.1M	\$0.9M	8	1	1	0	0	0	5	5
4	3	0	7	1	\$0.5M	\$0.1M	4	5	0	1	0	1	5	6
5	1	1	7	0	\$35.9M	0	2	2	0	2	0	3	4	5
6	0	0	3	0	\$2.5M	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	1
7	2	0	1	1	\$0.6M	\$0.6M	1	2	1	0	0	0	3	1
8	0	0	3	1	\$4.7M	\$35.0M	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2
9	1	1	3	1	\$2.3M	\$24.0M	0	3	0	3	0	0	4	2
10	1	1	4	4	\$83.4M	\$26.6M	1	2	0	2	0	5	8	2
Total	14	3	37	10	\$185.0M	\$87.7M	21	18	4	10	0	11	39	25

14 3 37 10 64 sites 21 PRP 18 EPA 11 JOINT 39 ESD 10 FED FAC 4 STATE 25 ROD-A 64 sites 64 sites

#### SUMMARY OF UPDATED REMEDY DECISIONS FOR FY01

									Change	Initiato	r		Туре	of Change
Region	# With No Sav.	# of TBD	# With Est. Sav.	# With Est. Incr.	Estimated Savings	Estimated Increases	PRP	EPA	State	Fed. Fac.	Public	Joint	ESD	ROD-A
1	3	3	1	2	\$1.0M	\$0.9M	2	2	0	5	0	0	8	1
2	0	3	0	0	\$14.2M	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	0
3	1	1	6	0	\$18.2M	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	5	3
4	1	0	1	1	\$1.4M	\$4.5M	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	2
5	3	3	5	1	\$9.2M	\$0.1M	7	4	1	0	0	0	8	4
6	1	0	1	0	\$21.0M	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	0	0	1	1	\$ 11.0M	\$4.0M	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
8	0	0	1	0	\$2.6M	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
9	0	0	1	1	\$0.3M	\$3.0M	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
10	1	1	3	0	\$5.2M	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	3	2
Total	10	11	20	6	\$84.1M	\$12.5M	18	16	1	9	0	3	31	16

10 11 20 6 47 sites 18 PRP 16 EPA 9 FED FAC 3 JOINT 1 STATE 47 sites 31 ESD 16 ROD-A 47 sites

## **Appendix A.1:**

# Summary of Remedy Update Information for FY00 and FY01 for Sites Without Cost Increases

**Note:** The information and data presented in Appendix A.1 represent only a portion of the information available in the decision document. If more information is needed, please refer to the site's ESD, ROD-Amendment, memo-to-file, or letter.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase				
			Region 1 - FY 00							
Region 1  Iron Horse Park  OU2 - Shaffer Landfill,  MA	6/27/91 9/8/00 (ESD)	7/00 9/8/00	PRP	Ground water (leachate)	State concurrence letter, public meeting	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown Est'd Savings = \$0				
MA	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Collecting leachate via perimeter toe drains; To - Collecting leachate via dual band collection (leachate and gas) wells in landfill.									
	Factual Basis: Collection volume of leachate than				ollection, treatment and dispo	osal of much greater				
Region 1  U.S. Naval Construction  Battalion Center	9/30/99 1/5/00 (ESD)	12/29/99 1/5/00	EPA	Soil, Ground water	EPA, State concurred; community notified; public notice in newspaper	Fed = \$2K EPA = \$200 Est'd Savings = \$0				
Davisville, RI	Type of Change: There is a need for a time extension of two months.									
	Factual Basis: The Nav required by the ROD.	y's contractor was u	nable to provide a	Class 1 survey for	the area of institutional cont	crols, in the time period				

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 1 Sullivans Ledge Site OU1, MA	6/28/89 9/27/00 (ESD)	9/27/98 9/27/00	EPA	Wetlands, Ground water	Series of informal public meetings	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0			
		_		-	over the disposal area and sh water captured with slurry w				
		replicated downstre	am. The shallow	-	ired construction changes in the down gradient side of th				
			Region 1 - FY 01						
Region 1  Fletcher's Paint Works and Storage Facility, OU1, NH	9/30/98 3/14/01 (ESD)	1/01 3/14/01	PRP	Soil	State concurrence letter, community notified	Fed = 160 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0			
	Type of Change: From - Excavation and use of thermal desorption treatment; To - Addition of language to the the cleanup criteria allowing consideration for the cleanup of arsenic to the background concentration, if the background concentration, is higher than the cleanup level set in the ROD; and the consideration for the practical quantitation limit for benozo(a)pyrene over the ROD cleanup level.								
	Factual Basis: PRP idea quantitation limits in est	_	~ ~	•	ration of background concen	trations and practical			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 1  Loring Air Force Base, OU4, ME (U.S. Air Force)	9/30/96 OU4 1/26/01 (ESD)	Unknown 1/26/01	U.S. Air Force	Landfill ground water	State concurred on ESD. Restoration Advisory Board Consulted on draft ESD.	Fed = Insignificant costs incurred (EPA) Contr. = Insignificant costs incurred (US Air Force) Est'd Savings = \$0
		e and ground water r	estriction boundar	ries to expand the o	control remedy (RCRA C co	· ·
	Factual Basis: Detection update.	n of ground water co	ontaminants associ	ated with the landfi	ills on the off-base boundary	resulted in the remedy
Region 1  Loring Air Force Base, OU12, ME (U.S. Air Force)	9/19/99 OU12 1/26/01 (ESD)	Unknown 1/26/01	U.S. Air Force	Ground water	State concurred on ESD. Restoration Advisory Board Consulted on draft ESD.	Fed = Insignificant costs incurred (EPA) Contr. = Insignificant costs incurred (US Air Force) Est'd Savings = \$0
		o - Extend the groun	d water managem	ent zone for which	ve, institutional controls, pr the U.S. Air Force obtained	
	Factual Basis: Contami management zone.	nation associated wi	th the Quarry was	detected off-base a	nd beyond the originally def	ined ground water

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 1  Materials Technology Laboratory (U.S. Army), OU1, MA	9/26/96 6/7/01 (ESD)	Unknown 6/01	Army	Soils	State concurred on ESD. Restoration Advisory Board given opportunity to review and comment on draft ESD.	Fed = \$500* (EPA) Contr. = N/A Est'd Savings = \$1.0- \$1.5M
	Type of Change: From	- Soil excavation and	d off-site disposal:	; To - Natural Atten	uation	
	*Note: This was the securesources from EPA for	ond ESD for the site,	•	e in this ESD was t	he same as the earlier (1998)	ESD. Therefore, the
Region 1 McKin Co., ME	7/22/85 3/30/01 (ROD-A)	5/97 3/30/01	EPA	Ground water	Mediated discussions included EPA, State, PRPs, the town, the local water district and community members.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown  Est'd Savings = Unknown
					vaiver for federal and state dr hould certain monitoring cri	
	Factual Basis: EPA's to technically feasible.	echnical impracticab	ility evaluation do	cumented that aqui	fer restoration within a reasc	onable time frame was not

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 1  New Bedford Harbor  OU 1,  MA	9/25/98 9/27/01 (ESD)	9/6/01 9/27/01	EPA	Sediments	State concurred	Fed = 3 wks. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0
	dredging sediments and	place in CDF, interir	n capping; To - A	dded five elements	on (CDFs) and associated water to the 200 acre sediment cle in the CDF D wall design; an	anup; mechanical
	Factual Basis: Addition cleanup approach for the		-	sediment sampling	and state-of-the-art dredging	g field test) and refined the
Region 1 Union Chemical Co., Inc., ME	12/27/90 9/28/01 (ESD)	10/97 9/28/01	PRPs	Ground water	Monthly meetings with the local citizens group, the state and the PRPs.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown Est'd Savings = Unknown
	Type of Change: From surface water; To - In-si	_	_	-	xidation and treated ground und water.	water being discharged to
	Factual Basis: The resu surface water.	lts of a pilot test indi	cated that ground	water could be trea	nted without first requiring ex	xtraction and disposal in

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
			Region 2 - FY 00					
Region 2  Byron Drum and Barrel, NY	9/29/89 8/2/00 (ESD)	3/99 7/00	PRP	Ground water, Soil	Full State involvement; community expressed some interest and expressed support for the changes at an 8/24/00 public meeting.	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0		
	recharge of the treated g soil flushing), and furthe determine if levels of co these two areas is not wa the remediation of the co	round water to the so or evaluation of the concern are present; The arranted. The contart contaminated soil in the gallery consisting of	oil to enhance the foncentrations of in to - Based on pre-raination in the remais area, instead of perforated pipe a	Clushing of the containing area of the staining area of the staining the tree and gravel, will be it	ataminated ground water in twanination in the soil into the ts in the surface soil in a third of the sampling, it was conclude site, however, still requires related water to a recharge basinstalled after the excavation sposal.	ground water (i.e., in-situ d area of the site to d that further action in emediation. To enhance in, as was originally		
	two areas of the site note	ed above are only ma	arginally above the	cleanup levels spe	nant concentrations in the grecified in the ROD and that the the with background concentrations.	ne levels of inorganic		
Region 2  Colesville Municipal Landfill, NY	3/29/91 9/7/00 (ESD)	2/00 8/00	PRP	Ground water	Full State involvement; community expressed no opinion.	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$10M		
	Type of Change: From - Pump and treatment; To - Pump and treatment with enhanced reductive dechlorination.  Factual Basis: Field tests, post-capping, ground water sampling, and a pilot-scale treatability study.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 2  Myers Property, NJ	9/28/99 7/6/00 (ROD-A)	1996 7/6/00	PRP	Soil	State worked with EPA as support/advisory agency; local neighborhood group has been involved for several years.	Fed =1000 hrs.* Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$13M
	secure landfill and replace  Factual Basis: Treatabil	ce with new soil.  lity studies in the mi-	d-1990's showed t	hat original remedy	r using soil washing would not and several rounds of work med remedy update	ot work.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
			Region 2 - FY 01			
Region 2  Kin-Buc Landfill, NJ	9/28/92 8/16/01 (ESD)	6/3/97 5/2/01	EPA	Landfill refuse/drums	State concurred with the ESD. EPA held a number of meetings with the Town Council about this work, and found general acceptance of EPA's planned remedy change. A local environmental group has expressed strong reservations about the actions taken not being "enough."	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown  Est'd Savings = Unknown*
	industrial debris, and rec concluded that the Mour took place earlier in 200	quired maintenance on B remedy was still 1.  formed several investigations.	of a clay cap. A list adequate, but adestigations to determ	mited number of dr ded removal of dru	B" portion of the site as ho ums were subsequently disco ms to the extent practicable.	overed; To - The ESD The drum removal work
	*Note: The PRP has not	shared its response	costs with EPA.			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 2  Rowe Industries Ground  Water Contamination, NY	• •		~		EPA attended numerous community meetings trying to implement construction of the original remedy, but the community was adamantly opposed to a treated water discharge to surface water.  - Splitting the discharge bet	
	the recharge basin. That water if the plume was n	•			nd water that normally woul arge.	d seep into the surface
	*Note: The PRP will im	-	-		om discharging ground water	, the remedy was updated.
Region 2  Vineland Chemical, Co., Inc., NJ	9/28/89 9/10/01 (ESD)	1999 9/01	EPA	Soil	State concurred with the ESD. No significant public opposition to the ESD.	Fed = 40 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$14.2M
		-		-	ere contamination was to be redeposition of clean soil on-	
	Factual Basis: The pum resulted in the remedy u		ndicated that the u	nsaturated zone soi	ls would not all reach the clo	eanup level for arsenic and

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase					
			Region 3 - FY 00								
Region 3  Aladdin Plating Site OU2, PA	12/30/93 1/21/00 (ESD)	12/99 1/21/01	EPA	Ground water	The state concurred with remedy change. Required changes to Administrative Record made in accordance with 40 CFR.	Fed = 50 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0					
	Type of Change: The o	riginal remedy, whic	h provided for sar	npling, will be done	e by removal instead of the r	emedial process.					
	Factual Basis: Sampling	g should have been a	removal action u	nder CERCLA sect	ion 101(23).						
Region 3  Avco Lycoming Williamsport Division, PA	6/30/91 4/9/92 (ESD) 12/30/96 (ROD-A) 4/6/00 (ROD-A)	5/98	PRP	Ground water	State provided support throughout the evaluation and concurred on amendment. Public meeting and comment period. Comments addressed in Responsiveness Summary.	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$1.9 M					
	installation of a molasse organic compounds; sou existing down gradient e	Type of Change: From - Extraction with air sparging/soil vapor extraction (SVE) and metal precipitation systems to address organic; installation of a molasses injection system to address hexavalent chromium; To - Ground water recovery system to capture volatile organic compounds; source reduction through either air sparging/SVE; ground water extraction and/or in-situ oxidation; and recognize existing down gradient extraction system. Continue in-situ metals precipitation and monitoring.									
	geologic conditions.	iental data gathered a	arter installation of	air sparging and S	VE was found to be ineffect	ive, due to subsurface					

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 3  Brown's Battery Breaking, PA	OU#1 - 9/28/90 OU#2 - 7/2/92 5/31/00 (ROD-A)	1/95 5/00	PRP	Soil	State approval: 5/23/00  Public meeting and comment period April/May 2000	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$2.6M			
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Additional soil excavation in Appendix G areas to a cleanup level of 200 ppm; planned excavation sequence of the issuance of Appendix G; solidification/stabilization of all materials excavated from the site prior to off-site disposal; separation of incidental lead posts and plates from casings prior to treatment; permanent relocation of on-site residents and busine implementation of deed restrictions to limit future use; To - Limit excavation in Appendix G areas where sampling confirms removed up to 200 ppm; reevaluate the sequence of excavating Appendix G soils and other soils exceeding 1000 ppm cleanup standar Allow testing of marginally contaminated soils to determine if treatment is needed; change potential future use of property.								
	Factual Basis: Federal trustees identified additional soil excavation areas. Test pitting in pre-design outlined the extent of contamination.								
Region 3  Keystone Sanitation Landfill OU1, PA	9/30/90 9/14/00 (ROD-A)	11/98 9/14/00	PRP	Soil, Landfill wastes	State consulted an alternate source control remedy and concurred with amendment. Public meeting and comment period with no objections.	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = 0 Est'd Savings = \$3.6M			
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Excavation and consolidation into landfill; impermeable cap and gas collection system over landfill and subsequent revegetation; and implementing site access restrictions; To - Employ Enhanced Landfill Gas Extraction (ELGE) system to remove and destroy volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and methane from landfill waste; upgrades to existing soil cover; monitoring; and institutional controls.								
	Factual Basis: Pilot tes concentration.	st conducted for ELG	E system. New n	nethods now availal	ble to characterize landfill pe	ermeability and gas			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase				
Region 3  Metal Bank Site, PA	12/31/97 9/27/00 (ESD)	3/6/00 9/00	PRP	Ground water, Soil	State concurred with ESD	Fed = 75 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0				
	oil collection system; eli	Type of Change: From - Install oil collection system; install temporary cofferdams; soil monitoring. To - Excavate LNAPL in lieu of oil collection system; eliminate cofferdams; elimination of soil monitoring program and use of geotextile layer.  Factual Basis: Preliminary design sampling and investigation results lead to the remedy update.								
Region 3  Moyer Landfill Site, PA	9/20/85 1/3/00 (ESD) <b>Type of Change:</b> From	4/26/99 12/23/99 - On-site treatment	State  of leachate; To - 1	Ground water, Surface water  Leachate collection	Public Notice requirements of 40 CFR and sub parts have been met	Fed = 75 hrs. Contr. = None  Est'd Savings = \$2M  Publicly Owned				
	Treatment Works, contingent on the construction of interceptor sewers.  Factual Basis: Results of recent flow data lead to the remedy update.									
Region 3  MW Manufacturing Site, PA	OU#3 6/30/93 9/27/00 (ESD)	11/95 7/00	PRP	Ground water	State concurred with ESD	Fed = 60 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$20M				
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From- Ground water extraction system for DNAPL collection; To - Construct an interceptor trench and intermittent bedrock wells for DNAPL collection. Note: Cleanup standards changed from background to MCLs, which was another motive for the remedy change.									
	Factual Basis: Pre-design investigation results including a geoprobe investigation, ground water sampling for VOCs, overburden aquifer test, natural attenuation evaluation, and additional ground water modeling.									

Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
9/30/91 3/31/00 (ROD-A)	9/1/98 3/00	PRP	Ground water	State approval received in February 2000. Public informed on 9/21/99.	Fed = 250 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0.5M			
Type of Change: From - Operate a ground water recovery/treatment system in both refuse Areas 1 and 3 and install additional extraction wells in these areas, if needed; To - Monitored natural attenuation with institutional controls in both refuse Areas 1 and 3.								
Factual Basis: Results of a ground water extraction and treatment system lead to the remedy update.								
12/31/90 9/30/99 (ROD-A) 8/24/00 (ROD-A)	3/8/00 6/22/00	PRP	Soil	State concurred with Amendment #2 for OU #3 on 7/21/00.  Thirty-day public comment period (6/22/00-7/22/00), in addition to a public meeting held on 6/26/00.	Fed = 175 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$1.5M			
Type of Change: From - Excavate moderately contaminated unsaturated off-site soil; cover on-site soil with impermeable cover; off-site disposal of nonhazardous concrete and building debris; and excavate and dispose of underground piping and building foundations. To - Leave moderately contaminated unsaturated soils in place, off-site, and cover with two feet of clean soil; eliminate soil excavation activities in the southeastern off-site area along the steep embankment adjacent to rail tracks; allow for non-hazardous concrete and building debris to be used as fill on-site, underneath soil cover; and allow nonhazardous building foundations and nonhazardous piping to be left on-site, provided that they are located below the two foot cover of clean soil. Deed restrictions necessary for off-site areas where contaminated unsaturated soil remains in place.								
	Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)  9/30/91  3/31/00 (ROD-A)  Type of Change: From extraction wells in these Factual Basis: Results of 12/31/90  9/30/99 (ROD-A) 8/24/00 (ROD-A)  Type of Change: From site disposal of nonhazar To - Leave moderately of activities in the southeas building debris to be use to be left on-site, provid where contaminated uns	Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)  9/30/91  3/31/00 (ROD-A)  Type of Change: From - Operate a ground extraction wells in these areas, if needed; To  Factual Basis: Results of a ground water ext  12/31/90  3/8/00  9/30/99 (ROD-A)  8/24/00 (ROD-A)  6/22/00  Type of Change: From - Excavate moderat site disposal of nonhazardous concrete and b To - Leave moderately contaminated unsatur activities in the southeastern off-site area alo building debris to be used as fill on-site, und to be left on-site, provided that they are local where contaminated unsaturated soil remains	Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)         Commenced Date Review Completed         Initiator           9/30/91         9/1/98         PRP           3/31/00 (ROD-A)         3/00         PRP           Type of Change: From - Operate a ground water recovery/treextraction wells in these areas, if needed; To - Monitored natures are as a ground water extraction and treatments.           12/31/90         3/8/00         PRP           9/30/99 (ROD-A)         6/22/00           8/24/00 (ROD-A)         6/22/00           Type of Change: From - Excavate moderately contaminated usite disposal of nonhazardous concrete and building debris; and To - Leave moderately contaminated unsaturated soils in place activities in the southeastern off-site area along the steep emba building debris to be used as fill on-site, underneath soil coverto be left on-site, provided that they are located below the two where contaminated unsaturated soil remains in place.	Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)   One of Change (ESD/ROD-A)   One of Change (ESD/ROD-A)   One of Change (ESD/ROD-A)   One of Change: From - Operate a ground water recovery/treatment system in be extraction wells in these areas, if needed; To - Monitored natural attenuation with	Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)   Commenced Date Review Completed   Initiator   Ground water   State approval received in February 2000.   Public informed on 9/21/99.   PRP   Ground water   State approval received in February 2000.   Public informed on 9/21/99.   Public informed on 9/21/99.   Public informed on 9/21/99.   Public informed on 9/21/99.   Prevail a ground water recovery/treatment system in both refuse Areas 1 and 3 and extraction wells in these areas, if needed; To - Monitored natural attenuation with institutional controls in both   Factual Basis: Results of a ground water extraction and treatment system lead to the remedy update.   12/31/90   3/8/00   PRP   Soil   State concurred with Amendment #2 for OU #3 on 7/21/00.   Prevail addition to a public comment period (6/22/00-7/22/00), in addition to a public meeting held on 6/26/00.   Thirty-day public comment period (6/22/00-7/22/00), in addition to a public meeting held on 6/26/00.   Type of Change: From - Excavate moderately contaminated unsaturated off-site soil; cover on-site soil with site disposal of nonhazardous concrete and building debris; and excavate and dispose of underground piping a To - Leave moderately contaminated unsaturated soils in place, off-site, and cover with two feet of clean soil; activities in the southeastern off-site area along the steep embankment adjacent to rail tracks; allow for non-ha building debris to be used as fill on-site, underneath soil cover; and allow nonhazardous building foundations to be left on-site, provided that they are located below the two foot cover of clean soil. Deed restrictions nece			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
			Region 3 - FY 01					
Region 3  Arrowhead Associates/ Scovill Corporation, VA	9/29/91 (ROD) 9/98 (ESD) 9/28/01 (ROD-A)	10/00 9/28/01	PRP	Ground water	State approved on 9/28/01	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs.  Est'd Savings = \$2.0M		
	Type of Change: From - Ground water pump and treat system. The ESD in 1998 changed the remedy to a Permeable Reactive Subsurface Barrier (PRSB); To - The ROD Amendment provides for continuing with the PRSB and allows for the installation of an impermeable Surface Cap which is estimated to produce a more efficient and more cost- effective remedy than either the pump and treat technology or the PRSB operating alone.  Factual Basis: Continuing evaluations of the PRSB system by the PRPs indicated that an impermeable surface cap would improve							
Region 3  Berks Sand Pit, PA	performance of the PRS  9/29/88  2/2/94 (ESD)  9/14/01 (ESD)	3/01 7/13/01	EPA	Ground water	State Letter of Approval on 7/13/01	Fed = 50 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs.  Est'd Savings = \$0		
	Type of Change: From - Local restrictions to prevent any further drinking water wells in the contaminated areas of the aquifer; To - Remove local restrictions from preventing any further drinking water wells in the contaminated area. Operating ground water pump and treat system has lowered the contamination of the ground water to allow lifting the prohibition against new drinking water wells. Monitoring and public outreach to continue.							
	Factual Basis: The rem	edy was working we	ll enough to rescir	d the institutional o	control.			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 3  Centre County Kepone Site (OU1), PA	4/21/95 3/8/01 (ROD-A)	4/16/97 3/2/01	PRP	Sub-surface soil	State approved on 3/2/01	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$2.4M		
	Type of Change: From - Excavation of sub-surface VOC, mirex and kepone contaminated soils and off-site disposal; To - Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) of VOCs in soil. Excavation will still occur where mirex and kepone exceed clean-up criteria and where bedrock is near the ground surface (less than 6 feet). Other components of the ROD remain the same.							
	Factual Basis: Soil vapor extraction technology will achieve cleanup goals and is less expensive than the excavation of VOC contaminated sub-surface soils.							
Region 3  E.I. DuPont Newport Site (South Landfill only), DE	8/26/93 8/16/95 (ESD) 5/18/01 (ESD)	5/16/01	PRP	Soil, Ground water	State approved on 5/16/01	Fed = 250 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$9.3M		
	Type of Change: From - In-situ chemical precipitation with sodium sulfide and sodium sulfate; upgrade containment system from a soil cover to a low-permeability synthetic cap; install circumscribing ground water barrier wall and a ground water pump and treat system; To - Installation of a Permeable Reactive Barrier System (PRBS) to remove metals from ground water; construction of a low-permeability synthetic cap; and elimination of ground water pump and treat system.							
	Factual Basis: The PRBS is designed to remove the contamination from the ground water while it is still in the ground. Treatment takes place in the permeable zone, eliminating the need for a pump and treat system. Both EPA and the State of Delaware concurred with the change in treatment technology.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 3 Hunterstown Road Site, PA	8/2/93 8/25/98 (ESD) 3/22/01 (ESD)	8/23/00 3/8/01	PRP	Lagoon sediments and drum removal	State Letter of Approval on 3/8/01	Fed = 75 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$75K			
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Off-site stabilization treatment of lagoon sediments, stressed vegetation and corridor areas and disposal; To - On-site stabilization treatment of lagoon sediments, stressed vegetation and corridor areas. Eighty drums were discovered during on-site treatment. Drums were removed and contents treated and destroyed. Original cost savings from on-site treatment were estimated to be \$100,000. Costs of drum removal and disposal lowered estimated cost savings.								
	Factual Basis: The PRP	wanted a cheaper re	emedy.						
Region 3  Jack's Creek Superfund Site, PA	9/30/97 4/19/01 (ESD)	4/00 3/29/01	PRP	Soil, Debris	State approval on 3/29/01	Fed = 75 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs.  Est'd Savings = \$2.2M			
	Type of Change: From - Excavation of on-site threat (metal contaminated) materials, transport off-site, off-site stabilization and off-site disposal; To - Excavation of on-site threat (metal-contaminated) materials, on-site stabilization and placement of stabilized materials beneath the on-site multi-layer cap. Both the PRP Group (for reasons of decreased costs) and community members (for reasons of least disturbance) prefer on-site treatment. Off-site treatment would require the need to truck some 750 loads of contaminated soil through the community to the disposal site.								
	Factual Basis: On-site stabilization and placement of stabilized materials beneath the cap satisfies the needs of both the PRP and the community. With certain conditions, the State concurred with the remedy.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 3  Metal Banks Site, PA	12/21/97 3/00 PRP Soil, Ground water State approved in 11/00 Fed = 75 hrs. Contr. = None 12/15/00 (ESD) Est'd Savings = \$0*							
	Type of Change: From - Soil hot spots of PCB contamination exceeding 25 ppm will be excavated and confirmation sampling done at the conclusion of the excavation; Oil Collection and Monitoring System installed along site perimeter to collect oil floating on shallow ground water and off-site disposal; install sheet pile wall around southern and western perimeter of property to prevent erosion of fill material into DE River; To - Sampling PCB hot spots either prior to or after excavation of soils to allow for a more focused remedy; and installment of Oil Monitoring and Collection System only in area SA 4/5 (which leaves out SA 1, 2, and 3). Installment of Oil Monitoring System only in the other areas. Collecting of oil floating in shallow ground water for off-site disposal. Sheet Wall reduced in size to cover surface water area only and additional erosion control measures were required such as revegetation, geotextile covers and supplemental rip rap along the DE river where signs of bank erosion are detected.							

\*Note: The remedy changes will clearly result in cost savings. Due to the on-going litigation between the site owners and the PRPs related to remedy issues, obtaining realistic estimates of future costs from any of the parties would be impractical now. When the court

Appendix A.1

resolves the issues, obtaining cost estimates should be feasible.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 3  Patuxent River Naval Air Station, MD	12/22/98 6/25/01 (ROD-A)	2/2/99 4/00	Navy	Soil	U.S. EPA Region 3 approval: 6/25/01	Fed = 150 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$2.2M		
	Type of Change: From - Excavation of contaminated soil, off-site incineration and disposal in off-site RCRA approved Landfill; To - Excavation of smaller portion of soil hot spots, addition of soil cover and application of clean fill, and off-site disposal of soils. No off-site incineration will be employed.							
					achieve the cleanup goals. Tealth and the environment.	The Maryland Department		
			Region 4 - FY 00					
Region 4  Camp LeJeune Military Res. (US Navy), NC	5/15/97 6/20/00 (ROD-A)	3/1/98 6/20/00	Navy	Subsurface soils	State concurred on amendment. Public notice of Proposed Plan, during public comment period from 9/1/98 to 10/1/98.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown Est'd Savings = \$200K		
	Type of Change: From - On-site biological treatment of soil contaminated with PAH compounds; To - On-site landfill							
	Factual Basis: Results of a treatability study found that biological treatment would not treat all of the PAH compounds.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 4  Davis Park Road TCE, NC	9/29/98 9/27/00 (ESD) 9/27/00  9/27/00  EPA Ground water The State concurred with the ESD. The ESD was publicized by a notice in the local newspaper.  Est'd Savin							
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Providing water service to 70 families and conducting long term monitoring of natural attenuation with traditional ground water pump and treatment as a contingent remedy; To - Providing water service to 70 families and conducting long term ground water monitoring of natural attenuation with no contingent remedy.							
	Factual Basis: Ground	water monitoring res	ults showed that n	atural attenuation v	vas occurring in the ground v	vater at the site.		
Region 4  General Electric Co./ Shepherd Farm, NC	9/29/95 7/27/00 (ESD)	12/1/99 7/27/00	with the ESD. The ESD   Contr. = None					
	Type of Change: From - Ground water pump and treatment with in-situ biological remediation. To - Ground water pump and treatment with no biological treatment.							
	Factual Basis: The results of a treatability study, conducted during the Remedial Design, determined that in-situ biological treatment would not be effective in remediating the site.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 4  JFD Electronics/Channel Master, NC	9/10/92 7/19/00 (ESD)	6/10/00 7/19/00	EPA, PRP	Soil, Sludge	The State concurred on the ESD. The ESD was publicized by a notice in the local newspaper and there was a public meeting on 6/12/00.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$150K		
	Type of Change: From - The remedy called for excavation and mix of on-site and off-site disposal options based on waste type; metal contaminated wastes were to be solidified and disposed on-site; and other wastes were to be transported off-site for disposal; To - All wastes will be excavated and transported off-site for disposal.  Factual Basis: Oil sampling for hexavalent chromium, conducted after the ROD was issued, determined that the area and extent of soil contamination at the site was significantly less than previously determined during the Remedial Investigation. The community supported off-site disposal of all wastes.							
Region 4  New Hanover County Airport Burn Pit, NC	9/29/92 4/11/00 (ROD-A)	10/1/99 4/11/00	PRP	Ground water	The State concurred on the amended ROD. The Proposed Plan public comment period was 11/16/99 to 1/15/00.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$2K		
	Type of Change: From - Traditional ground water pump and treatment; To - Air sparging as an innovative treatment technology.  Factual Basis: The results of a treatability study conducted during the Remedial Design supported the remedy update.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 4  North Belmont PCE, NC	9/24/97 8/24/00 (ESD)	1/5/00 8/24/00	EPA	Ground water	The State concurred on the ESD. The ESD was publicized by a notice in the local newspaper and a fact sheet was sent out to the site mailing list.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = None Est'd Savings: \$100K		
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - In well vapor stripping technology and in-situ biological remediation; To - Deleting the in-situ biological remediation and using only the in well vapor stripping technology.							
	Factual Basis: Results of	of a treatability study	conducted during	the Remedial Desi	gn lead to the remedy update	e.		
Region 4  Para - Chem Southern, Inc., SC	9/27/93 12/23/99 (ROD-A)	10/1/99 12/23/99	PRP Soil The State concurred on the amended ROD. The Proposed Plan public comment period was 8/26/99 to 9/25/99.  Fed = Unknown Contr. = None  Est'd Savings = \$81K					
	Type of Change: From - Soil excavation and off-site disposal of all contaminated soils on site; To - Changed remedy to require soil vapor extraction in one area of site in lieu of soil excavation and off-site disposal.							
	Factual Basis: The Remedial Action was 75 percent complete when the PRP identified an area of the site that could be successfully remediated using soil vapor extraction at a significant cost reduction.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 4  Potters Septic Tank Service Pits, NC	8/5/92 9/27/00 (ROD-A)	6/1/00 9/27/00	EPA	Ground water	The State concurred on the amended ROD. The Proposed Plan public comment period was 8/10/00 to 9/9/00	Est'd Savings = \$0 Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$6K			
	Type of Change: From - Ground water contamination source removal and ground water pump and treatment; To - Source removal and ground water natural attenuation with institutional controls.								
	Factual Basis: During the source removal phase of the Remedial Action, it was observed that there was a significant improvement in ground water quality at the site.								
Region 4  Redwing Carriers, Inc., (Saraland), AL	12/15/92 6/14/00 (ROD-A)	1/15/99 6/14/00	EPA	Soil, Ground water	Received State concurrence, Public notice of Proposed Plan, public comment period 4/19/99 to 6/25/99	Fed = Unknown Contr. = None Est'd Savings: \$0			
		<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Source removal with off-site disposal and ground water pump and treatment; To - More extensive source removal with off-site disposal. Ground water pump and treatment is now a contingent remedy.							
	Factual Basis: Changes were deemed necessary based on new site information discovered during an EPA 1996/1997 Removal Action.  The area and extent of source material at the site was found to be greater than previously determined.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 4 Sapp Battery Salvage, FL	9/26/86 6/29/00 (ESD)	6/1/00 6/29/00	PRP	Soil, Debris	State concurred on ESD; Fact Sheet sent out to mailing list	Fed = 20hrs. Contr. = 5hrs. Est'd Savings = \$0		
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - On-site stabilization and solidification of soil containing battery casings and on-site disposal; To - On-site separation of soil and battery casing; then stabilization, solidification and on-site disposal of contaminated soil; and on-site treatment and offsite disposal of battery casings.							
	Factual Basis: During a was not technically feasi		was determined th	nat stabilization and	solidification of the soil and	l battery casings together		
			Region 4 - FY 01					
Region 4  Cape Fear Wood  Preserving, NC	6/30/89 3/23/01 (ROD-A)	10/1/00 3/23/01	EPA	Ground water	State concurred on Proposed Plan, public comment period, public meeting 11/14/00	Fed = 120 hrs. Contr. = 6 hrs.  Est'd Savings = \$0		
	Type of Change: From - On-site ground water pump and treat until cleanup goals are met; To - On-site pump and treat with natural attenuation to meet cleanup goals.							
	Factual Basis: Informat	tion about the area an	nd extent of soil co	ontamination was d	iscovered during the soil Ren	noval Action.		

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 4  Cecil Field Naval Air Station, FL	6/24/96 1/25/01 (ROD-A)	6/1/99 1/25/01	Navy	Ground water, Soil	State concurred on Proposed Plan, public comment period	Fed = 40 hrs. Contr. = 0 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$1.4M		
	Type of Change: From - In-situ ground water treatment and on-site biotreatment of contaminated soils; To - Monitored natural attenuation of ground water and off-site disposal of contaminated soils.							
	Factual Basis: After the contaminated soils were excavated and placed in the biotreatment area, ground water monitoring indicated that natural attenuation was occurring. During biotreatment O&M, it was determined that treatment costs were going to be significantly higher than planned. Off-site disposal was found to be more cost effective.							
			Region 5 - FY 00					
Region 5  Conrail Rail Yard (OU2), (Elkhart) IN	6/28/91 interim 9/9/94 final 9/27/2000 (ROD-A)	2/00	PRPs	Ground water, Soil	State concurred with amended remedy. Public comments were addressed in Responsiveness Summary.	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$6.1M		
	Type of Change: From - Extract and treat ground water to MCLs, monitoring, and institutional controls, and in-situ treatment of soil; To - Technical impracticability waiver for Dense Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPL) on rail yard property, hydraulic containment of DNAPL source areas, natural gradient flushing of dissolved portion of ground water plume, drag strip source remediation, monitoring of ground water and contingent remedy.							
	Factual Basis: New information was collected during Remedial Design.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5 Fernald OU4 (Silos Project 1 & 2), OH	12/7/94 7/31/00 (ROD-A)	3/97 7/00	EPA, DOE	Ground water, Soil, Sludge	State concurred with amended remedy. State submitted extensive comments during formal public comment period.	Fed = 120 hrs. Contr. = 40 hrs. Est'd Savings = \$2.5M		
	clean backfill; and pump	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Soil removal, decanting of sludge, vitrification, and off-site disposal; excavation of soils and replacement with clean backfill; and pump and treatment of ground water; To - Removal of the contents of silos 1 and 2 and treatment using chemical stabilization; disposal of soil and debris offsite.						
	Factual Basis: A proble	m with the initial sig	n and performanc	e of vitrification re	medy resulted in the remedy	up date.		
Region 5 Industrial Excess Landfill, OH	7/17/89 3/1/00 (ROD-A)	1990 3/00	EPA	Ground water, Soil, Landfill gas and wastes	State provided comments during public comment period. State wanted long-term monitoring program that includes limited radiation testing.	Fed = None Contr. = \$10-20K Est'd Savings = \$12.3M		
	<b>Type of change:</b> From - Install multi-layer RCRA Subtitle C cap over landfill, expansion of existing methane venting system; extract and treat ground water by air stripping, carbon adsorption, and flocculation/sedimentation/filtration. Remedy includes monitoring and institutional controls; To -Institutional controls, redesigned landfill cover, monitored natural attenuation for ground water, and expansion of existing methane venting system.							
	Factual Basis: Post-ROD sampling results showed that EPA could eliminate the pump and treat system because there was no evidence that the plume of contamination exists outside of site boundaries.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5  Johns-Manville Corp., OU1, IL	6/30/87 2/9/93 (ESD) 9/22/00 (ESD)	9/00	PRP	Air, Ground water, Soil, Surface water	State did not concur with ESD. State wants current landfill regulations to apply to closure of ponds.	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = None		
	Type of Change: From apply rip rap along treats		-		r; pave two parking lot areas and on-site landfill areas.	; resurface roadways; and		
	Factual Basis: Closure of than continually pumpin				e cost-effective and has mor nt system.	e long term effectiveness		
Region 5 Lemon Lane Landfill OU1, IN	8/13/84 5/12/00 (ROD-A)	9/95 5/00	EPA	Soil, Solid waste	State concurred with amended remedy. City and county support the remedy.	Fed = None Contr. = \$600K*  Est'd Savings: N/A part of Westinghouse/ Bloomington		
	Type of Change: From - Incinerate PCB-contaminated materials, cap site with synthetic liner, and solid waste removal; To - Hot spot removal and off-site disposal and capping with RCRA Subtitle C cap, and consolidate landfill.							
	<b>Factual Basis:</b> Federal court decision stating that landfill must be remediated by 12/31/00. Also, the original remedy could not be implemented as selected and recent data from nearby residential wells necessitated a remedy change.							
	*Note: The initial incineration was never implemented due to public opposition and the state passing laws preventing the review of the permits. Therefore, the site needed complete investigation with multiple sampling events.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 5  New Lyme Landfill, OH	9/27/85 11/16/99 (ROD-A)	9/98	EPA, State	Ground water, Soil, Leachate	State concurred with amendment	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$9.4M			
	Type of Change: From - On-site treatment of ground water using biological disc, sodium hydroxide precipitation and granular activated carbon, and on-site consolidation of sediment. Remedy includes ground water monitoring; To - Close down ground water treatment facility and amend long-term ground water monitoring program including a contingency plan.								
	Factual Basis: The favo	orable results of a foo	cused feasibility st	udy preceded the re	emedy update.				
Region 5	3/30/90	8/00	Federal enforcement	Soils, Debris, Ground water	Some State input.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown			
NL Industries Taracorp Lead Smelter, OU1, IL	1995 (ESD) 9/19/00 (ESD)	9/00				Est'd Savings = \$2.5			
	Type of Change: From - Excavate more than 94,000 cubic yards of lead-contaminated soil and debris, consolidate and cover with a RCRA multi-media cap, remove all on-site drums to an off-site facility for recovery and install ground water collection/containment system; To - Monitored Natural Attenuation.								
	Factual Basis: Favorable ground water monitoring data preceded the remedy update.								
Region 5	8/90	9/13/00	EPA, State	Ground water	State involved with ESD and agreed with	Fed = 80 hrs. Contr. = None			
Onalaska Municipal Landfill, OU1, IL	9/29/00 (ESD)	9/29/00			modification	Est'd Savings = \$600K			
	Type of Change: From - Install landfill cap; extract and treat ground water, and install air injection system to enhance bioremediation; To - New State standards for several site-related chemicals.								
	Factual Change: Information obtained during long-term Remedial Action. The new Wisconsin ground water Preventive Action Limits (PALs) allow the use of standard laboratory detection limits.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5  Sangamo Electric Dump/Crab Orchard, OU1, IL	8/1/90 6/23/00 (ESD)	11/23/98 6/00	Federal Facility	Ground water, soil	State concurred, community reviewed the ESD	Fed = 130 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$2.5M		
	Type of Change: From - Excavate and treat soil and sediment using incineration or In-Situ Vitrification (ISV), stabilization/fixation residues and metal contaminated soil and sediment, on-site disposal of treated material; monitoring of ground water, surface water leachate; and institutional controls; To - Multi-phase extraction with limited phytoremediation and monitored natural attenuation to address ground water.							
	_	aterial to be thermall		-	uring post-ROD. Also, there by layers of the subsurface so			
			Region 5 - FY 01					
Region 5  Duell and Gardner Landfill Site, MI	9/7/93 8/10/01 (ROD-A)	1996 8/10/01	State	Soil, Ground water	State announced the proposed plan, public meeting	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$3.4M		
	Type of Change: From - Low-temperature treatment of contaminated soil, carbon adsorption treatment of ground water and capping of the landfill; To - Revised soil and ground water cleanup standards; reduced volume of soil to be remediated by excavation and disposal; eliminated LTTD from the remedy; required long-term monitoring; use restrictions or institutional controls for ground water; and construction of landfill cap.							
	Factual Basis: Data from pre-designed investigation determined that extent of contamination in the soil and ground water is less and size/mass of ground water plumes appear to have stabilized or decreased since the remedial investigation. Additionally, the State revised its cleanup levels, which resulted in a reduction in the volume of soil requiring remediation at the site.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 5  Fields Brook Sediment Operable Unit, OH	9/30/86  PRPs (ESD #3)  Sediments (ESD #3 also affected the Floodplain/Wet land Operable Unit)  PRPs (ESD #3)  State was neutral on ESD #3 (State was consulted, but did not actively participate in the ESD review process).  Fed = None* Contr. = None* Est'd Savings = \$0*								
		s to allow on-site the	ermal treatment of	DNAPL-impacted	landfill; To - ESD #3 - modi soil and sediment. Basicall				
	Factual Basis: ESD #3 - Discovery of a layer of DNAPL under the sediment and floodplain resulted in a larger volume of material that required thermal treatment.  *Note: ESD #3 allowed a change in the location of the thermal treatment of highly contaminated sediments. The ESD was initiated when an area of DNAPL saturated sediment and soils was identified. The early 1986 ROD allowed on-site thermal treatment. However, as part of ESD #1, thermal treatment of sediments was moved off-site since the volume of material requiring treatment were expected not to make on-site treatment cost-effective. The cost change is assumed to be neutral because the ESD returns to the original on-site thermal treatment determination.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5 Fields Brook Flood Plains/Wetlands Operable Unit, OH	9/30/86 8/15/97 (ESD #1) 9/30/97 4/8/99 (ESD #2) 8/17/01 (ESD #3)	8/01	PRPs	Soil	State was neutral on ESD #3 (State was consulted, but did not actively participate in the ESD review process).	Fed = Unknown* Contr. = Unknown*  Est'd Savings = Unknown*		
	Type of Change: From - Excavate soils, backfill with clean soil, on-site containment with a cover, and disposal either on-site or off-site; To - ESD #3 - modified sediment and floodplain/wetland RODs to allow on-site thermal treatment of DNAPL-impacted soil and sediment. For soils, the ESD extended the technical determinations from the sediment operable unit that required thermal treatment of highly contaminated material.							
	Factual Basis: ESD #3 - Discovery of a layer of DNAPL under the sediment and floodplain resulted in a need to thermally treat highly-contaminated soils. The ESD extended the approach used in the adjacent impacted sediments.  *Note: ESD #3 allowed a change in the location of the thermal treatment of highly contaminated sediments. Since highly-contaminated soils had not previously been identified in the floodplain/wetland area, this ESD required additional work (and thus additional costs) within this OU. The ESD extended the technical determinations from the sediment operable unit to address soils that had been impacted by DNAPL and had moved from under the brook channel to the floodplain.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5 Galesburg/Koppers Co., IL	6/30/89 8/29/01 (ESD)	7/30/00 8/1/01	PRP	Ground water	Dual signature, no public meeting	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown  Est'd Savings = Unknown*		
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Shallow interception trenches and deeper pumping wells to contain and extract contaminated ground water; To - Ground water pumped from lower part of aquifer and treated in the well head and then recirculated into the top of the aquifer instead of being extracted, treated and then discharged.							
	the in-situ treatment tech	nnology worked effec	ctively to reduce c	oncentrations below	be generated to dispose of over target levels.  ger responsible for paying for			
Region 5  Metamora Landfill, OU2, MI	9/28/90 9/27/01 (ROD-A)	3/00 6/11/01	PRP	Ground water	State concurred/ no letter sent	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$3.6M		
	Type of Change: From - Cap landfill and ground water pump and treat; To - Monitored natural attenuation.							
	Factual Basis: The resu	lts of ground water s	studies demonstrat	ed stability of the V	OCs in the ground water pl	ume.		

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 5  Motor Wheel Inc., MI	9/30/91 7/12/01 (ESD)	1/01 6/01	EPA	Ground water	ROD- State concurred  ESD- State did not concur	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0		
	Type of Change: Expanded the original extent of contamination from the perched and glacial aquifer to include the underlying Saginaw aquifer and expanded the scope of the remedial action to include the remediation of the Saginaw aquifer.  Factual Basis: Concerns about the migration of the contamination through unconfined intersections of the glacial aquifer and Saginaw aquifer resulted in the remedy update. At time of original ROD, a full contamination study of the Saginaw aquifer was not complete.							
Region 5 Peerless Plating Co., MI	9/21/92 4/5/01 (ESD)	11/99 4/5/01	EPA	Soil	The State concurred on the ESD. Public notice on 3/15/01.	Fed = Unknown. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$1.0M		
Type of Change: From - Saturated contaminated soil will be excavated to approximately 3 to 4 feet below the water table, but further; To - Contaminated soils will be excavated only to the water table. Institutional controls are part of the remedial action site.								
	Factual Basis: Changes were deemed necessary based on a new site information discovered during the construction of the ground water treatment building. Previously unidentified soil contamination was discovered and found to be widespread in the subsurface over a large portion of the site.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
Region 5  Rasmussen's Dump, MI		ground water zones.	Basically the ren	nedy revised groun	State announced the proposed plan, public meeting  flushing); To - In-situ ozoned water cleanup standards, b		
	Factual Basis: Data from monitoring events indicated a zone of contamination that may have by-passed the ROD extraction capture system. Changing the ROD remedy from pump and treat to in-situ ozone oxidation to treat all remaining zones of ground water with contamination above clean-up standards will allow the clean-up to proceed more rapidly at reduced expense.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 5  Republic Steel Corp.  Quarry, OH	9/30/88 9/28/01	5/30/01 9/28/01	EPA	Ground water, soil, quarry surface water, sediment	State concurrence letter, City of Elyria (RP) involvement in concurrence	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0			
	Type of Change: From - Excavate and remove sediment and soils from drainage ditch and hot spots around edge of quarry, ground water monitoring, and fish and biota study; To - Addition of the institutional controls and deed restrictions to the ROD. In addition, the following provisions were incorporated at the Republic Steel Quarry Site:  1. Any future use of the site must be restricted to heavy industrial use. This indicates that residential use of the property, as well as public access or recreational use of the quarry, its sediments and soil must be prohibited;  2. The use of ground water as a source of drinking water must be prohibited and the use of the City of Elyria municipal water supply as the potable water source for any industrial or commercial development or public use must be required; and  3. The City of Elyria must continue to post and maintain site security and warning signs, as well as maintain the repair of the quarry perimeter fence. Further, the city must conduct sufficient inspections to ensure compliance with any land use and access controls that may be adopted in the future.								
	Factual Basis: The Level II Five-Year Review indicated potential human health risks, not addressed during the Remedial Action that could be mitigated via institutional controls and deed restrictions.								
Region 5 Tippecanoe Sanitary Landfill Inc., IN	9/30/97 9/27/01	8/30/01 9/27/01	PRP	Leachate	Dual signature, no public involvement	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown  Est'd Savings = Unknown			
	Type of Change: From - Conveying leachate to Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW); To - Conveyance to no-site storage for off-site transport and disposal.								
	Factual Basis: The the city indicated an inability to accept the leachate so the PRPs had to find another alternative. Although the ROD allowed conveyance of the leachate to the POTW, the remedy was updated to allow for something other than conveyance to the POTW.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 5  Tri-County LF Co./ Elgin Landfills Superfund Site; OU3, IL	9/30/92 6/25/96 (GW ESD); 4/23/98 (CAP ESD); 7/14/99 (CAP ESD) 7/3/01 (CAP ESD)	4/15/01 7/3/01	PRP	Soil	State concurred verbally; remedy still complied with State ARARs.	Fed = Approximately \$2K Contr. = Minimal review Est'd Savings = \$1.0M			
	Type of Change: From - About 60 % of landfill surface in low permeability, high strength asphalt coverage of landfill surface; About 40 % landfill surface coverage with geosynthetic composite cap; To - 100 % coverage by geosynthetic composite cap.								
	strength asphalt cap, and	l approximately 40 % 38 (landfill cap costs	6 of the Elgin Lan	dfill was going to b	oing to be covered with low e covered in the geosynthetic l with geosynthetic composi	c cap at a total cost of			
			Region 6 - FY 00						
Region 6 Odessa Chromium #1 (OU2), TX	3/18/88 10/25/99 (ESD)	4/99 10/25/99	State	Ground water	State has lead responsibility for the site and proposed change; minimal community interest in change	Fed = None Contr. = \$10K Est'd Savings = \$500K			
	Type of Change: From - Pump and treat system; To - Addition of in-situ ferrous sulfate treatment.								
	Factual Basis: New tech	hnology paved the w	ay for the remedy	update.					

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 6  Odessa Chromium #2  North and South Plumes,  TX	3/18/98 10/25/99 (ESD)	4/99 10/25/99	State on South Plume, PRP on North Plume	Ground water	State proposed change; minimal community interest in change	Fed = None Contr. = \$10K  Est'd Savings = North Plume: \$350K (SEQUA Cooperation, PRP Lead)  South Plume: \$100K (TNRCC, State Lead)		
	Type of Change: From - Pump and treat system; To - Addition of in-situ ferrous sulfate treatment.							
	Factual Basis: New tech	hnology paved the w	ay for the remedy	update.				

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 6  Tex Tin Corporation Superfund Site, TX	5/17/99 9/28/00 (ROD-A)	3/7/00 4/5/00	PRP	Ground water, Slag, Soil, Wastes	High interest by community and state. Comments submitted by the community during public comment period and state review and comments on site documents. High city interest to start the cleanup process.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown Estimated Savings: Approximately \$1.5M
	To - Stabilization treatments shallow ground is not a From - Ground water me	nent standards for lea potential drinking wa onitoring; To - Cont ing discharge to Pon	chate to meet RC ater source. rolling horizontal ds 24, 25, and 26	RA Toxicity Character flow direction with	cound water Maximum Contacteristic Leaching Procedure a installation of a western slueating soils that could leach c	(TCLP) levels since

Factual Basis: PRP presented new information that was not available to EPA prior to the signing of the original ROD. New information

Appendix A.1 37

resulted in the PRPs conducting a supplemental Feasibility Study.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase				
	Region 6 - FY 01									
Region 6  Monroe Auto Equipment Co., (Paragould Pit), AR	9/26/96 11/9/00 (ROD-A)	9/98 11/9/00	PRP	Soil	State had lead role in overseeing PRP's work, and State drafted the ROD amendment. Community was supportive, as revised remedy provided greater reuse possibilities.	Fed = N/A Contr. = N/A Est'd Savings = \$0				
	Type of Change: From - On-site containment of contaminated soils and sludges; To - Treatment and off-site disposal of same.									
	Factual Basis: Revised remedy was equally protective, provided for reuse of the property, and was favored by the community.									
Region 6 Popile, Inc., AR	2/1/93 9/28/01 (ROD-A)	1997/98 9/28/01	EPA	Soil, Ground water	State supported change; minimal public interest in site.	Fed = N/A Contr. = N/A Est'd Savings = \$21.0M				
	Type of Change: From - Excavation and onsite biological treatment of contaminated soils and sludges; in-situ bioremediation of deep subsurface soils; To - Containment through maintenance of on-site vault created during Removal Action and some additional capping, plus institutional controls.  From - Extraction and treatment of contaminated ground water; To - Technical Impracticability waiver, monitoring, and institutional controls.									
	Factual Basis: Biotreat	ment pilots failed to	achieve cleanup g	oals, and new data	showed that the ground wate	er plume was stable.				

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase				
	Region 7 - FY 00									
Region 7 Hastings OU 13 (Well 3), NE	6/30/93 11/19/99 (ROD-A)	6/1/99 11/19/99	EPA	Ground water	State concurrence, public comment period and opportunity to meet	Fed = 120 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0				
	<b>Type of Change:</b> Continue to use the existing ground water treatment system to reduce contaminant concentrations and reduce clean-up performance goal from the interim target of 31 micrograms per liter (ug/l) to the SDWA MCL of 5 ug/l. Time period and costs expected to be within initial estimates.									
	Factual Basis: Better than expected performance of the ground water pump and treat system resulted in the remedy update.									
Region 7 People's Natural Gas, IA	9/16/91 3/1/00 (ESD)	3/29/94 3/11/00	PRP	Ground water	State concurrence and public notice	Fed = None Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$553K				
	Type of Change: Implement continued ground water monitoring and delete ground water extraction and treatment.									
	Factual Basis: Residual contamination is below ROD clean-up levels and pumping the alluvial aquifer may accelerate migration of contaminants from the shallow silty sand aquifer and exacerbate the problem.									

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 7 Pester Burn Pond, KS	9/30/92 3/1/00 (ESD)	6/11/99 3/1/00	State	Sludge	State-lead concurrence and community input	Fed = TBD Contr. = TBD Est'd Savings = \$0		
	Type of Change: Revised risk assessment and cleanup goal to reflect reasonable land use and modern risk assessment methods resulting in less restrictive land use.							
	Factual Basis: The results of an updated risk assessment lead to the remedy update.							
			Region 7 - FY 01					
Region 7  Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant, OU1, NE	9/29/94 9/20/01 (ROD-A)	3/01 9/01	Federal Facility	Ground water	State concurrence, public meeting	Fed = 80 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$11.0M		
	Type of Change: From - An off-site pump and treat system; To - Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA). On-site pump and treat well added. On and off-site institutional controls also added.							
	Factual Basis: Long-ter	m monitoring of gro	und water and ree	valuation of MNA	resulted in the remedy updat	e.		

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 8 - FY 00									
Region 8  Chemical Sales Site (OU1) CO		9/30/98 6/30/99	EPA, State	Ground water	No significant comments from State and Community.	Fed = 80 hrs. Contr. = \$15K Est'd Savings = \$200K			
	Type of Change: From - High volume extraction from two wells in plume area of site, then treatment via air stripping plus source remediation. To - Natural attenuation of plume plus source remediation.								
	hydraulic conductivity v	alve (K valve), derive ve reported in the R	yed from the Plumo I/FS and used in th	e Area geology and	the two wells would be ined aquifer test analyses and co ge in the K valve resulted in	nditionally agreed upon by			
Region 8  Defense Depot Ogden Utah (DDOU), UT	6/26/92 9/13/00 (ESD)	7/1/00 9/13/00	DOD	Soil	No significant comments. ESD signed by the State.	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = \$200K Est'd Savings = \$1.5M			
	Type of Change: From - Cleanup levels for soils to residential standards; To - Cleanup levels for soils to industrial standards, increase in amounts of soil excavated, and additional costs.								
			•		is ESD. DDOU is now clos by residential reuse planned.	ed, and undergoing reuse			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
Region 8  Defense Depot Ogden Utah (DDOU), UT	8/3/92 8/9/00 (ROD-A)	6/9/00 8/9/00	DOD	Soil, ground water	No significant comments. ROD Amendment signed by the State.	Fed = 200 hrs. Contr. = \$300K Est'd Savings = \$3.0M	
	Type of Change: From - The 1992 ROD provided for excavation and off-site disposal of all contaminated soils. Shallow ground water was to be treated using air stripping and granular activated carbon.; To - Excavation of additional soil amounts to allow some contaminated soil and debris underneath a warehouse on-site to be left in place; and treatment of ground water using a new ozonation process. The amended remedy also adds additional institutional controls for the affected area.						
	Factual Basis: During implementation of the ROD remedy, a new "hot spot" was discovered (OU4 hot spot). The hot spot consists of a localized source area and the associated ground water plume. Some of the source was located between two warehouses and some was beneath the warehouse. The buildings are to be sold to private parties. The source, outside the buildings, has been excavated and shipped off-site. The buildings will provide a cover for the remaining waste. The contaminated ground water is being extracted and treated. Institutional controls will be placed in the deed.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
			Region 8 - FY 01				
Region 8  Rocky Mountain Arsenal, OU 3, CO  ESD for Chemical Sewer Remediation (Section 35 and 26)	6/11/96 11/10/00 (ESD) <b>Type of Change:</b> From	8/00 10/00  - Overburden from t	Army	Soil	The State reviewed the draft version of the ESD and provided comments. No comments from the public were received	Fed = Approximately 60 hrs. Contr. = 100 hrs. (\$7K) Est'd Savings = \$2.5M	
	Type of Change: From - Overburden from the excavation area to be removed and stockpiled; excavation of the remaining sewer line and disposal in the on-site hazardous waste landfill; and excavation of human health exceedance soil surrounding removed sewer lines to a depth of 10 feet or 2 feet below the sewer line, whichever is deeper; To - No additional soil surrounding the former chemical sewer will be excavated. The remaining sewer line segments will be excavated under other site projects.  Factual Basis: Most of the sewer line was removed as part of a separate response action in 1982. The ROD estimated that contaminated soil (not based on sampling) associated with the former sewer pipe location would extend 10 feet on each side of the sewer line and 10 feet below ground surface or 2 feet below the sewer line, whichever was deeper. Design review of the 1982 response action indicated that a large portion of the associated soil had also been removed. Additional soil sampling was conducted in April 2000 to determine the extent of any remaining exceedance soils. Analytical results were below human health exceedance criteria for soil.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
			Region 9 - FY 00						
Region 9	9/30/94 9/29/00 (ESD #2 )	1997-98 7/00	EPA	Soil	State concurred	Fed = 400 hrs. Contr. = \$35K			
Apache Powder Superfund Site, AZ	9/29/00 (ESD #2 )	7700				Est'd Savings = \$1.5M			
	cleanup standards) ident	ified in on-site soils,	sediments or drui	ns (soils media con	cern (COCs) (either recently apponents); To - Modified so ces were not detected or did				
		<b>Factual Basis:</b> Investigative activities, including additional soil sampling and characterization, on site areas of waste disposal indicated that wastes in several areas of the site were non-hazardous or did not exceed EPA's cleanup standards.							
Region 9  Del Norte Pesticide	9/30/85 8/29/00 (ROD-A)	12/99 8/29/00	EPA	Ground water	Accepted by State and community	Fed = 200 hrs. Contr. = None			
Storage, CA	0/25/00 (ROD 11)	0,23,00				Est'd Savings = \$540K			
	Type of Change: From - Pump and treat system; To - Containment.								
	Factual Basis: The plume has been stable for five years, no significant difference in concentration or area of plume whether active pumping and treating or left alone.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 9  Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Main Site, CA	8/5/92 2/24/00 (ESD)	11/1/99 2/24/00	DOE	Ground water	State Dept. of Toxic Substance Control and the Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board were involved.	Fed = 120 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$263K		
	Type of Change: From - In-Situ treatment using Palladium catalyst; To - Closed loop above-ground treatment with Palladium.							
	Factual Basis: VOCs w	Factual Basis: VOCs will be reduced more quickly with the remedy update.						
Region 9  March AFB Sites 10 and 15 (OU1), CA	6/20/96 8/24/00 (ESD)	4/1/00 8/24/00	Federal Facility	Soil, ground water	State Department of Toxic Substance Control and Regional Water Quality Central Board reviewed the document and had no changes.	Fed = 80 hrs. Contr. = \$2K  Est'd Savings = Similar in cost		
	Type of Change: From - Excavation and low temperature thermal desorption for soils and extraction and treatment of ground water using liquid phase granular activated carbon absorption; To - Excavate and treat soils by bio-remediation.							
			-	-	pioremediation of contamina the original ROD based on	-		

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 9  Treasure Island Naval Station, Hunters Point Annex, Parcel B, CA	10/7/97 5/5/00 (ESD)	3/00 5/5/00	Navy	Soil	Thirty-day public comment period	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown  Est'd Savings = Unknown			
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Soil cleanup goals based on 1995 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs); To - Soil cleanup goals revised based on October 1999 PRGs.								
	Factual Basis: The Navy revised soil cleanup goals to take into account revisions to the toxicity and other factors included in the calculations of the Region 9 PRGs issued in October 1999.								
			Region 9 - FY 01						
Region 9  J. H. Baxter & Co., OU1, CA	9/25/90 3/27/98 (ROD-A) 9/13/01 (ESD)	9/1/00	PRP	Soil	State involved from start, minimal community involvement	Fed = 200 hrs. Contr. = N/A Est'd Savings = \$0.3 M			
	Type of Change: From - Additional treatment of contaminated soil; To - Containment on-site in RCRA cell, without additional treatment.								
Factual Basis: Non-carcinogenic PAHs were found to have contaminated 800 cubic yards of soil. On-site treatment had no standard, and soil would have had to be transported off-site for treatment. Since the 1998 ROD amendment enabled the use cell or Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU), the original ROD standard was not appropriate and was relaxed on sit the soil without additional treatment.									

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
		]	Region 10 - FY 0	)					
Region 10  Bangor Ordnance Disposal, WA	12/10/91 7/18/00 (ESD)	4/96 7/18/00	Navy	Surface water	The State is lead regulatory agency at this site. The change was presented to the Bangor Restoration Advisory Board and a notice was published in a local newspaper.	Fed = 10 hrs. (EPA) Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$250K			
	basin leachate without trestimate.  Factual Basis: The untrestablished in the ROD.	Type of Change: From - Treatment of Site A soil treatment basin leachate prior to discharge; To - Discharge of Site A soil treatment basin leachate without treatment. The ESD also documents the increased costs for the overall cleanup, as compared to the ROD estimate.  Factual Basis: The untreated leachate concentrations had leveled off at concentrations slightly above the surface water cleanup level established in the ROD. A literature search and whole effluent toxicity testing demonstrated that discharge of the untreated leachate							
Region 10  Harbor Island (Lead), WA Shipyard Sediments OU (Todd Shipyards portion)	would be protective of a  11/96  12/27/99 (ESD)	2/99 12/27/99	EPA	Sediments	Fact sheet was sent to 250 individuals	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = None  Est'd Savings = Unknown			
	Type of Change: From - One shipyard sediment OU; To - Two separate shipyard sediments OUs, with an expanded area that requires remediation for the Todd Shipyard OU.								
	Factual Basis: Addition encompass all of the pot	•	•		tions disclosed that the OU b	ooundary did not			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
Region 10  Kerr - McGee Chemical Corp. (Soda Springs Plant), ID	9/28/95 7/13/00 (ROD-A)	2/00 7/13/00	PRP	Industrial solid waste	The State concurred in the remedy change. A proposed plan was mailed to the community, and a public meeting was held during the 60-day comment period.	Fed = 430 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$75 M*	

years resulting in a total operating loss of approximately \$80M. The cost of the landfill cap was approximately \$5M, resulting in a cost savings of approximately \$75M. It is noted that the \$75M saving could be considered saved operating costs, instead of as remedy cost

Appendix A.1 48

savings.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 10  US DOE Hanford 100 Area, WA 100-HR-3 OU	4/96  10/24/99 (ROD-A)  DOE, State  Ground water  State concurred with change. Thirty-day public comment period on the proposed plan, with five comment letters received.  Fed = 30 hrs. (EPA) Contr. = None  Est'd Savings \$8M*								
	Type of Change: From - Implement the previously selected pump and treat remedy for a newly characterized ground water plume; To - Implement an innovative in-situ remedy (permeable reactive barrier) for the newly characterized plume.  Factual Basis: An additional plume of chromium contamination was discovered beyond the existing pump and treat systems. A 1999 treatability study of the innovative in-situ treatment within the plume showed positive results.  *Note: Cost savings are reflected as the estimated difference in the net present value between an additional pump and treat system, and the innovative in-situ technology over a twenty-year period. The selected remedial action is an additional estimated \$4.6M over the ROD estimates.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 10  US DOE Hanford 300 Area, WA 300-FF-1 OU	7/17/96 6/99 EPA, DOE, and State Soil, Debris The state supported the ESD, with comments about additional work needed beyond the scope of this ESD. The ESD was discussed with the site-specific advisory board. A fact sheet was mailed out.  Type of Change: From - Removal and on-site disposal of contaminated soil and debris from many sites, with treatment to meet Land								
	Disposal Restrictions (LDR) if necessary; To - Removal and on-site disposal of contaminated soil and debris from many sites, with treatment to meet Land Disposal Restrictions (LDRs) if necessary, and a RCRA site-specific treatability variance for one site.								
	Factual Basis: During remediation, one site was unexpectedly found to be contaminated with lead as well as radioactive contamination.  Some samples were designated as a lead characteristic hazardous waste.								
Region 10  US DOE INEEL, ID  Test Reactor Area (OU 2-13)	12/97 6/23/00 (ESD)	3/00 6/23/00	DOE, EPA, and State	Soil, Ground water	The State supported the changes to the selected remedy. Notice of the ESD was published in seven Idaho newspapers.	Fed = Minimal Contr. = None Est'd Savings = \$0			
	Type of Change: From - General institutional control requirements; To - More specific institutional control requirements.								
	Factual Basis: Review of the ROD showed that it did not contain adequate details on the institutional controls and how they would be implemented, maintained, and monitored. Additional details on the institutional controls were added to the selected remedy to be consistent with regional guidance issued subsequent to the original ROD.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
	Region 10 - FY 01								
Region 10  Bonneville Power Administration Ross	5/6/93 and 9/29/93 1/18/01 (ESD)	6/1/00 1/18/01	EPA	Soil	The State supported the change. A notice of the ESD was published in a local newspaper	Fed = 8 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Savings = None			
Complex (US DOE), OU1 & OU2, WA	Type of Change: From - Vague institutional control requirements; To - Site-specific and facility-wide institutional control requirements.								
	Factual Basis: The CERCLA Five-Year Review recommended that BPA develop a strategy to better provide for long term administration, implementation and maintenance of institutional controls.								

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 10  Frontier Hard Chrome, Inc., OU1 & OU2, WA	12/30/87 and 7/5/88 8/30/01 (ROD-A)	6/97 8/30/01	EPA	Soil, Ground water	State was actively involved in identifying alternative technologies and concurred with the selected remedy. A proposed plan for the amended remedy was released and one generally supportive comment letter was received.	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = \$70K  Est'd Savings = Either \$2.2M or \$10.4M*
	Factual Basis: Post-RO available and cost-effect *Note: Combined cost e	ground water and so D studies revealed the cive technologies. stimates, in original	nat the originally soil and ground w	elected remedies water RODs, were es	on.  rould be ineffective. Further stimated to be \$5.8 million. ombined cost of the amende	studies identified newly  Based on new site

amended remedy. The ROD amendment uses the updated cost estimates for its comparison.

be approximate \$3.6 million. Thus, the estimated savings would be approximately \$2.2 million if you compare the 1987 and 1988 RODs with the amended remedy, or would be \$10.4 million if you compared the updated cost estimate for the original remedy and the

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 10  Harbor Island (Lead), WA  Soil and Ground water Operable Unit	9/30/93 9/26/01 (ESD)  Type of Change: From for certain well characte	-			The State concurred with the change. An announcement of the ESD was made in the Fact Sheet sent to interested parties, as well as published in a local newspaper.	Fed = 80 hrs. Contr. = \$0  Est'd Savings = \$2.0M
	Factual Basis: Additional hot spots have been discovered during the cleanup, and some of the hot spots extended beneath permanent structures that make the costs for cleanup substantially greater. Also, additional information was developed on the risk associated with the weathered materials that demonstrate that this higher action level is protective. This hot spot concentration change is also consistent with recent State cleanup decisions.					

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
Region 10  INEEL -Idaho National Engineering Lab (US DOE), ID  Test Area North (OU 1- 07B)	8/4/95 9/19/01 (ROD-A)	3/30/00 9/19/01	DOE, EPA, State	Ground water	The State participated and concurred in the selection of the remedy and concurred in the remedy change. A proposed plan was released and public meetings were held. In addition, presentations were made to the Citizens Advisory Board.	Fed = 200 hrs. Contr. = \$5K Est'd Savings = \$1.0M
	treat in the medial zone plume. Institutional con	of the plume (unchar trol requirements are	nged from the orige unchanged.	inal remedy); and r	To - In-situ bioremediation i nonitored natural attenuatior	n in the distal zone of the
		•			atural attenuation and an inn plogy, could cleanup the cont	•••

Appendix A.1 54

time and at a lower cost than the originally selected remedy.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 10  Teledyne Wah Chang, WA	9/27/95 9/28/01 (ESD)	2/01 9/28/01	EPA	Soil	State supported change. Notice of ESD published in local newspaper	Fed = \$10K Contr. = None Est'd Savings = Unknown		
	Type of Change: From - Excavation and off-site disposal of all gamma emitting soil, institutional controls, and site closure requirements; To - In-place management of contamination including some excavation and institutional controls during life of the facility, and modified site closure requirements to capitalize on facility's existing closure requirements under state permit and radiation program administrative rules.  Factual Basis: The extent of buried radioactive contaminated soil was significantly less than initially estimated in the RI/FS							

# **Appendix A.2:**

# Summary of Remedy Update Information for FY00 and FY01 for Sites With Cost Increases

**Note:** The information and data presented in Appendix A.2 represent only a portion of the information available in the decision document. If more information is needed, please refer to the site's ESD, ROD-Amendment, memo-to-file, or letter.

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
			Region 1 - FY 0	0				
Region 1 Stamina Mills Superfund Site, OU1, RI	9/28/90 6/27/00 (ESD)	10/98 8/00	ЕРА	Sediments, Ground water	State concurrence received	Fed = Unknown* Contr. = Unknown* Est'd Increase = \$500K*		
		aterials, and off-site	disposal at an ap	-	l and capping of existing landing UV/oxidation to treat con			
	Factual Basis: Concerns over the structural integrity of the landfill and operational problems with the UV/oxidation technology necessitated modification of site cleanup decisions.							
	*Note: Unable to provid and work was completed		•	OU or ESD basis l	pecause the OUs were combined	ned in the remedy action		

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
			Region 1 - FY 0	1			
Region 1  Brunswick Naval Air Station, OU5, ME	2/12/98 12/27/00 (ESD)	N/A 12/00	Navy	Ground water	State concurred; public meeting held	Fed = \$2.5K (EPA) Fed = \$5K* (Navy) Contr. = \$20K* (Navy)  Est'd Increase = \$1M* for capital costs to	
						implement ESD  Est'd Decrease = \$200K annually for plant O&M savings once ESD implemented	
	Type of Change: From - Ground water treatment technology from UV oxidation; To - Air stripper and system effluent discharge from publicly owned treatment works to infiltration gallery. Also added Institutional Controls (IC) that were not specified in the original ROD to prevent use of ground water until cleanup goals are attained. These are enforced by a Navy Base Operating Instruction which documents ICs and specifies a process by which they are considered in base construction.						
	Factual Basis: Due to chemical properties of the preliminary contaminate of concern, 1,1,1-TCA, UV oxidation could only reduce concentrations by 50%. Air stripping achieves greater than 99% concentration reduction, thus allowing treatment effluent to be discharged to a ground water infiltration gallery. Both the air stripper and infiltration gallery will have lower operating costs than the original UV treatment with discharge to the public owned treatment works. Institutional Controls were initially enforced in effect by the Navy, but are now formally documented and enforced.						
	*Note: Costs are estimat	es, but unable to pro	vide precise cost	increases and savin	ngs as the work was complete	d by the responsible party.	

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase				
Region 1 Otis Air National Guard Base/Camp Edwards,	9/30/98 10/31/00 (ESD)	6/00	Federal Facility	Soil	State concurred on 10/24/00; informal public comment period - 8/28/00 to 9/26/00	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr.= Included below Est'd Increase = \$84K				
OU5, MA	Type of Change: From storm drainage area orig			itional, similarly co	ntaminated area into excavati	on planned for adjacent				
	Factual Basis: Drainage swale at the Chemical Spill 2 (CS-2) Study Area determined to contain elevated levels of soil contaminants such that a No Further Action Decision Document for CS-2 could not go forward. EPA directed AFCEE to prepare an ESD to document the inclusion of the CS-2 drainage swale into the 1998 ROD, and then proceed with No Further Action for remainder of CS-2 Study Area.									
			Region 3 - FY 0	0						
Region 3  Tybouts Corner Landfill, DE	3/6/86 5/17/92 (ESD) 7/26/00 (ESD)	10/96 5/31/00	PRP	Soil	State concurred on 5/31/00; notice of ESD in local newspaper; Administrative Record updated	Fed = 125 hrs. EPA Contr. = None Est'd Increase = \$900K				
	Type of Change: From - Install temporary gas vending system along northern boundary of the site (Red Lion Road) to prevent off-site migration of landfill gas and monitor basements in residential dwellings near the landfill; To - Improve and expand active and passive gas venting systems by installing permanent above-ground system along the Red Lion Road corridor that will operate with other system components now in place.									
	Factual Basis: Addition	nal investigation in 1	997 and 1998 res	Factual Basis: Additional investigation in 1997 and 1998 resulted in the remedy update.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
			Region 4 - FY 0	0				
Region 4  Marzone Inc./Cheveron Chemical Co., GA	9/30/94 5/2/00 (ROD-A)	10/1/99 5/2/00	PRP	Ground water	The State concurred on the amended ROD. The proposed plan public comment period was 12/15/99 to 1/15/00.	Fed = Unknown Contr. = Unknown Est'd Increase = \$100K		
	Technology utilizing iro	Type of Change: From - Traditional ground water pump and treatment technology; To - Passive Funnel and Gate Innovative Treatment Technology utilizing iron filings and in-situ treatment of ground water.  Factual Basis: A treatability study was conducted during the Remedial Design and resulted in the remedy update.						
Region 4 Whitehouse Oil Pits, FL	5/30/85 7/16/01 (ESD)	10/1/98 7/16/01	EPA	Ground water, Soil, Sediment	State concurred on ESD; Fact Sheet sent out to mailing list	Fed = 40 hrs. Contr. = 10 hrs. Est'd Increase = \$4.5M		
	Type of Change: From - On-site construction of a lime curtain, slurry wall and capping of contaminated soils; To - Off-site cleanup of contaminated sediments and on-site construction of slurry wall and larger cap. Lime curtain was deleted from design.  Factual Basis: During Remedial Design, it was determined that off-site sediments needed to be remediated, the lime curtain was not needed, and area of the slurry wall and cap needed to be increased in size.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
			Region 5 - FY 0	1		
Region 5  Lower Ecorse Creek  Dump, MI	7/17/96 7/13/01 (ROD-A)	3/1/00 3/29/01	EPA	Soil	Both the State and City of Wyandotte were in full support of the change. No comments were received from the general public.	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = None Est'd Increase: \$35K
	Type of Change: From affected by excavation;		sposal of shallow	and deep soil; resa	mpling, if necessary, and rest	oration of residential areas
	Factual Basis: Test pitt	ing results indicated	that the affected	soil could safely be	kept in place.	
	•		Region 7 - FY 0	0		
Region 7  Bruno Co-op Association/Associated	9/30/98 8/25/00 (ESD)	1/25/00 8/25/00	EPA	Ground water	State support, community availability sessions, and comment period	Fed = 100 hrs. Contr. = \$125K Est'd Increase: \$590K
Properties, OU1, NE  Type of Change: From - Active pump and treat remedy to restore aquifer; To - Update provious operation and maintenance costs as well as increased costs for capital expenditures and continuous costs.						l in the assessment of
	Factual Basis: Re-evalutechnology that generate		•		as compared with in-situ gro	und water circulation well

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
			Region 7 - FY 0	1			
Region 7  ACE Services, KS	5/5/99 9/13/01 (ROD-A)	2/00 9/13/01	EPA	Ground water, Soil	State concurred, public meeting	Fed = 60 hrs. Contr. = \$10K	
,	,					Est'd Increase = \$4M	
	<b>Type of Change:</b> From - Extract and treat ground water and discharge treated water into creek tributary, Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW), or to beneficial reuse; To - Increase the size of treatment plant, number of extraction wells, method of treatment, demolition of old site buildings, and provision of city drinking water supply to several residents.						
	Factual Basis: Additional ground water monitoring during Remedial Design indicated that the plume was much larger than previously thought. Based on increased extraction volumes, the type of treatment was changed to be more cost effective. The plume had also spread to neighboring wells requiring the provision of another water supply. An increase in plume size required increase in plant size, thus requiring a change in location back to the original site that required demolition of site buildings.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
			Region 8 - FY 0	0				
Region 8  Denver Radium Shattuck Chemical Site, OU8, CO	1/28/92 6/16/00 (ROD- A)	5/14/99 12/20/99	Five-Year Review with State, City & County elected officials, Public	Ground water, Soil	State, City & County of Denver, and local community requested that EPA consider other alternatives to the onsite remedy to allow for restricted use of the site.	Fed = 5000 hrs* Contr. = \$300K Est'd Increase = \$35M		
	Type of Change: From - Under the original remedy, all buildings were demolished and disposed of off-site. A monolith was placed onsite, consolidating the excavated Shattuck facility soils along with soils from vicinity properties and from the adjoining railroad right-of way. The monolith was capped with low-infiltration barrier materials and rip-rap surface; To - Removal of the monolith from the site along with any additionally identified contaminants in excess of the cleanup levels specified in the amended ROD. The monolith and any additional identified contaminated soils would be excavated and disposed of offsite at a licensed/permitted disposal facility or would be recycled at a licensed facility. Complete removal of the monolith and additional identified contaminants would leave no residual contamination, pursuant to the original remedy. Ground water monitoring will continue as specified in the original ROD.							
	Factual Basis: Additional data on contaminated soils was provided.  *Note: A community activist group sued EPA for an inadequate five-year review of the on-site solidification/stabilization of low-level radioactive soil. A focused remedy review process included an unprecedented public dialogue with stakeholders including OSWER, Region 8, PRP, State, City, and community groups. This process involved long facilitated meetings and an EPA HQ contractor conducted the independent Five-Year Review. Public comment on this proposed plan was extensive and much effort was needed to be as responsive as possible.							

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase	
			Region 9 - FY 0	0			
Region 9  San Gabriel Valley, Area 1  Whittier Narrows Operable Unit, CA	3/31/93 11/10/99 (ROD- A)	1996 11/99	EPA	Ground water	State shared cost; community notified with proposed plan; extensive coordination with basin and down gradient water purveyors.	Fed = 5000 hrs.* Contr. = \$2M Est'd Increase = \$24M	
	Type of Change: From	- Monitoring only; T	o - Ground wate	r containment by p	ump and treat system (11,000	gpm).	
	Type of Change: From - Monitoring only; To - Ground water containment by pump and treat system (11,000 gpm).  Factual Basis: Concentrations of contaminants in ground water increased to unacceptable levels, necessitating an active remedy.  *Note: The work for the ROD amendment included installing several additional multiport wells in the area to determine the extent of the newly detected contamination in both the shallow and deep ground water. The extent that the plume had traveled into the Whittier Narrows OU from an up-gradient source needed to be determined. Additional detected compounds, ground water modeling, data analysis and outreach to surrounding stakeholders was also needed.						

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review <u>Commenced</u> Date Review  Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
			Region 9 - FY 0	1		
Region 9  Purity Oil Sales Inc., OU2, CA	9/30/92 6/30/96 (ESD) 3/30/01 (ESD)	4/98 3/01	Community, EPA	Soil	Substantial community involvement throughout process and ongoing	Fed = 800 hrs.* Contr. = \$200K  Est'd Increase = \$3M
	*Note: The remedy upda 30, 2001 when the ESD oversight of construction spent preparing the resid	table short-term imp fence line residents r ate resulted from nur was written. There a activities that starte lents for relocation a g the site perimeters	acts to all resident necessitated perminerous meetings were many negoted in October 200 and determining that resulted in the	nts of an adjacent tranent relocations.  with the community in the meetings with the ESD required the actual relocation are generation of a terminal transfer to the second transfer to the second transfer to the second transfer to the second transfer transfer to the second transfer	ailer park resulted in the removant and other stakeholders from the County of Fresno and the rest the relocation of residents offer. Also during January 2 echnical memorandum that do	April 1998 until March community as well as and many hours were

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase
		ŀ	Region 10 - FY	00		
Region 10  Commencement Bay Nearshore/Tideflats, WA  Thea Foss, Wheeler- Osgood and Hylebos Waterways	9/30/98 8/30/00 (ESD)	6/99 8/30/00	EPA	Sediments	Extensive coordination and concurrence from State and Puyallup Tribe. One public comment period prior to issuance of the draft ESD. A 65-day public comment period on the ESD, plus a public meeting. 180 comment letters received.	Fed = Approximately 2,500 hrs.* Contr. = Approximately \$25K  Est'd Increase = Approximately \$25M
		ring; To - More spe	cific remedial act	•	ediment remedial action (i.e., h the ROD, and identification	
	Factual Basis: Pre-remediation design studies at the individual waterways better defined the area and volume exceeding the cleanup levels that lead to the identification of specific areas where natural recovery would be appropriate, and specific areas to be dredged or capped. The estimated volume of material that needs to be dredged increased approximately 80% to 100% from the ROD estimates. In addition, the post-ROD studies helped EPA identify which disposal sites would be most appropriate to safely contain the dredged sediments.  *Note: The remedy update required extensive EPA resources to do the following activities:  1) significant detailed review of design studies on the two major waterways;  2) complicated negotiations with numerous PRPs and various regulatory agencies;					
	3) complex source contrand 4) habitat migration	_	najor storm water	control and a NAF	L source area from a historic	coal gasification plant;

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase			
Region 10  US DOE Hanford 100 Area, WA 100-IU-6-OU	7/99 6/15/00 (ESD)	2/00 6/15/00	EPA, DOE, State	Wastes, Soil, Debris	The State supported and concurred on the ESD. The Hanford Advisory Board was briefed on the ESD and a notice of availability was published in the local newspaper.	Fed = 80 hrs. (EPA) Contr. = None Est'd Increase = \$1.3M			
	Type of Change: From - Remediation of 46 soil contamination areas through removal of contaminated soil, structures and associated debris; treatment as required to meet the disposal facility requirements; and disposal at an on-site facility; To - Remediation of 48 soil contamination areas through removal of contaminated soil, structures and associated debris; treatment as required to meet the disposal facility requirements; and disposal at an on-site facility.								
		ed about the addition	nal sites through a	an ESD. Based on	ed to similar, but separate site the post-ROD investigations,				
Region 10  US DOE Hanford 300 Area, WA 300-FF-5-OU	7/17/96 6/15/00 (ESD)	2/00 6/15/00	EPA, DOE, State	Ground water	The State supported and concurred on the ESD. The Hanford Advisory Board was briefed on the ESD and a notice of availability was published in the local newspaper	Fed = 80 hrs. (EPA) Contr. = None Est'd Increase = \$180K			
	Type of Change: From - Interim remedy for ground water beneath the 300 area complex and the immediate vicinity; To - Interim remedy for ground water beneath all of the 300 area waste sites.								
	Factual Change: Addit original selected interim				original boundaries of the groditional plumes.	und water OU. The			

Region Site Name, State	Date of Original ROD Date of Change (ESD/ROD-A)	Date Review Commenced Date Review Completed	Change Initiator	M edia	State/Community Involvement	Est'd Resource Demands - <u>Fed/Contr.</u> Est'd Cost Increase		
Region 10  US DOE INEEL, ID  Argonne National	9/98 2/14/00 (ESD)	11/99 2/14/00	DOE	Soil	Notice of ESD published in six newspapers. State fully involved in decision.	Fed = 10 hrs. (EPA) Contr. = None Est'd Increase = \$65K		
Argonne National Laboratory - West (OU9- 04)	one site, and excavation  Factual Basis: Bench-so	Type of Change: From - In-situ phytoremediation for all sites; To - In-situ phytoremediation at some sites, ex-situ phytoremediation at one site, and excavation and on-INEEL disposal for the rest of the sites.  Factual Basis: Bench-scale tests showed that remediation goals could not be met in a reasonable time frame at some of the sites. In-situ phytoremediation changed to ex-situ phytoremediation due to security upgrade needs.						

