

Chapter 10 OTHER PROCEDURES

This chapter includes the following sections:

Section	Topic	Page
10-1	COMMUNICATIONS – DISTRICT AND CENTER RESPONSIBILITIES	10-1
10-2	PRIOR NOTICE	10-6
10-3	REGULATORY MEETINGS	10-7
10-4	INSPECTION OF FOOD RECORDS – SECTIONS 414(A) AND 704(A)	10-8
10-5	ESTABLISHMENT INSPECTION REPORT (EIR) CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	10-11
10-6	INTERSTATE TRAVEL PROGRAM (ITP) CLASSIFICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS	10-11
10-7	REPORTING AND MONITORING.....	10-12
10-8	AD HOC COMMITTEE.....	10-12
10-9	APPEAL PROCESS.....	10-15
10-10	EXPERT SUPPORT FOR CASES.....	10-16
10-11	TESTIMONY; PRODUCTION OF RECORDS; CERTIFICATION OF RECORDS.....	10-19
10-12	APPLICATION INTEGRITY POLICY	10-27

10-1 COMMUNICATIONS – DISTRICT AND CENTER RESPONSIBILITIES

10-1-1 Regulatory Actions that Require Center Concurrence

When a decision is made by the District that initiation of a regulatory action is appropriate, the District should notify the appropriate Center compliance unit regarding its intent to submit a recommendation. District Compliance staff should also remember to enter the “In Review” profile status as “pending” on the Maintain Profiles screen in the Field Accomplishment and Compliance Tracking System (FACTS) for each profile class covered when the recommendation is a result of a Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) or Quality System (QS) inspection of a domestic drug, biologics, or medical device facility. Compliance staff should also notate the Remarks field to explain the action being recommended. When the action recommendation is forwarded to a Center for review, the Remarks field should be updated to reflect that and include the date forwarded. With regard to foreign inspections, Center Compliance staff are responsible for the review and evaluation, and should enter the In Review “pending” status and notate the corresponding Remarks field as appropriate. (See “Firm Profile Updates in FACTS” in Chapter 4 for more information.)

The Center will notify the District as soon as a case (compliance) officer has been assigned. This early communication will create opportunities to discuss the case, including the overall strategy, as well as the history, violations, rationale, charging scheme, regulatory and policy considerations, local knowledge, and national/program issues.

If, after its initial review of a District's recommendation, the Center compliance unit is inclined not to concur with the District's recommendation, the Center will promptly notify and provide the District with an oral explanation for its preliminary decision not to concur with the recommendation. If the District does not agree with the basis for the potential disapproval, it should provide the Center with additional justification for proceeding with the recommended action within three business days. The additional justification should be limited to information

currently available to the District, not a commitment to gather additional information to support the recommendation. The Center will consider this additional justification before making a final disposition on the District's recommendation, apprise the District of its decision, and prepare the final memo. The Center's final disposition on the recommendation (approved or disapproved) will normally not be delayed if it is determined that an inspection will be necessary to collect additional supporting evidence. The involved compliance officer and the DCB should participate in discussions with the Center that occur during the process described in this paragraph. If the official action proposed was not the subject of a formal recommendation from the District (e.g. BIMO, foreign inspection), the same communication principles should apply between involved Center and District staff.

10-1-2 Regulatory Actions prepared by a Center for District Issuance and/or Follow-up

When a decision is made by a Center that District issuance, involvement and/or follow-up is an appropriate course of action, the Center should notify the appropriate District compliance unit(s) regarding its intent to prepare a regulatory action, and provide the District(s) with an opportunity to collaborate on the compliance strategy and the substance of the action.

10-1-3 Debarment - Notification Responsibilities of FDA Employees

1. Background

- a. Purpose and Authority for Debarment - Debarment is a remedial measure taken under section 306 of the Act to prohibit a person (e.g., an individual, corporation, partnership, or association) from participating in FDA-regulated activities, as described below:
 - i. A person other than an individual may be prohibited from submitting, or assisting in the submission of, any abbreviated drug application. Sections 306(a)(1) and 306(b)(1)(A).
 - ii. An individual may be prohibited from providing services in any capacity to the sponsor of an approved or pending drug product application. Sections 306(a)(2) and 306(b)(1)(B).
 - iii. A person may be prohibited from importing an article of food, or offering an article of food for import, into the United States. Section 306(b)(1)(C).
 - iv. A person may be prohibited from being accredited to inspect eligible device manufacturers and from carrying out activities under agreements with foreign countries to facilitate commerce in devices. Section 306(m)(1).

Debarment may be based on a criminal conviction or on conduct, as identified in section 306 of the Act. See "Persons Subject to Debarment" below.

- b. "Drug Product" - For purposes of section 306, the term "drug product" means a drug subject to regulation under section 505 (new drugs), section 512 (new animal drugs), or section 802 (exports of certain unapproved products) of the Act, or under section

351 (regulation of biological products) of the Public Health Service Act. Section 201(dd).

c. Other Possible Actions - Civil Penalties

Under section 307(a)(6) of the Act, any person with an approved or pending drug product application who knowingly engages the services of a debarred person is liable for civil penalties.

Under 307(a)(7), debarred individuals are subject to civil penalties for providing services to a person with an approved or pending drug product application.

2. **Notification Responsibilities**

- a. Authority - Staff Manual Guide (SMG) “7712 - Debarment Proceedings” provides general procedures for FDA staff to follow for debarment actions and defines the responsibilities of FDA employees. ORA’s Office of Enforcement (OE) has responsibility for initiating and pursuing debarment actions. All FDA employees have a responsibility to notify OE of any persons that may be subject to debarment and to forward relevant materials to OE within specified timeframes, as detailed below.
- b. Required Notification - In accordance with SMG 7712, all FDA employees have the following responsibilities:
- i. Ensuring that ORA’s Office of Enforcement (OE) is notified when the employee has notice (from an oral or written communication) that a person may be subject to debarment.
 - ii. Ensuring that OE is provided with copies of all relevant materials (e.g., any written notice or petition for debarment and information supporting debarment) in the employee’s possession.

Send the notification and materials to the attention of the Debarment Specialist, Division of Compliance Policy/OE via electronic transmission, interoffice or regular mail, or FAX. Include your name, office, and phone number. The Division of Compliance Policy (DCP) is located at 12420 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, Maryland 20857. Telephone 301-796-5280; FAX 301-827-3670. Contact the Debarment Specialist or DCP if you have any questions about notification or debarment, or if you need further information.

- c. Timeframes for Notification - If the person who may be subject to debarment:
- i. has an approved or pending drug application;
 - ii. is an employee of such a person;
 - iii. is a clinical investigator;
 - iv. is currently engaged in importing food or offering it for import; or

- v. has been convicted of a felony under section 301(gg) of the Act, FDA employees will notify OE as soon as is practicable.

Otherwise, employees will notify OE within ninety (90) days of receiving the oral or written notice.

- d. Requirements Applicable to OCI - The Office of Criminal Investigations (OCI) is responsible for providing quarterly reports to the Director, Division of Compliance Policy (OE) that set forth all convictions that have occurred within the preceding three (3) months that may trigger debarment.

3. ***Persons Subject to Debarment***

The triggering event for debarment is ordinarily a felony or misdemeanor conviction under Federal law or a felony conviction under State law. However, certain conduct may subject a food importer, and, for drugs and biologics, a high managerial agent (and other individuals) to debarment. The types of convictions and conduct that may subject persons to debarment are detailed in section 306 of the Act and summarized below, by product type.

- a. Foods: A person (an individual, corporation, partnership, or association) is subject to debarment if the person has been convicted of a felony for conduct relating to the importation into the U.S. of any food, or has engaged in a pattern of importing or offering for import adulterated food that presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals. Section 306(b)(3).
- b. Drugs – Corporations, Partnerships, and Associations: A person other than an individual is subject to debarment if that person has been:
 - i. Convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of any abbreviated drug application. Section 306(a)(1).
 - ii. Convicted for conduct that relates to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of any abbreviated drug application, and is a misdemeanor under Federal law or a felony under State law. Section 306(b)(2)(A)(i).
 - iii. Convicted of a conspiracy to commit, or aiding or abetting, a criminal offense described in i. or ii. above. Section 306(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- c. Drugs/Biologics – Individuals: An individual is subject to debarment if s/he has been:
 - i. Convicted of a felony under Federal law for conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of any drug product, or otherwise relating to the regulation of any drug product under the Act. Section 306(a)(2).
 - ii. Convicted of a misdemeanor under Federal law or a felony under State law for

- conduct relating to the development or approval, including the process for development or approval, of any drug product, or otherwise relating to the regulation of drug products under the Act, or has been convicted of a conspiracy to commit, or aiding or abetting, a criminal offense described in this paragraph or the preceding paragraph. Section 306(b)(2)(B)(i).
- iii. Convicted of a felony under Federal law or a felony under State law which involves bribery, payment of illegal gratuities, fraud, perjury, false statement, racketeering, blackmail, extortion, falsification or destruction of records, or interference with, obstruction of an investigation into, or prosecution of, any criminal offense, or a conspiracy to commit, or aiding or abetting, such felony. Section 306(b)(2)(B)(ii).
- d. Drugs/Biologics – Debarment based on Conduct: An individual is also subject to debarment:
- i. If s/he materially participated in acts that were the basis for a conviction for an offense subject to debarment. Section 306(b)(2)(B)(iii).
- ii. If s/he is a high managerial agent who:
- worked for, or worked as a consultant for, the same person as another individual during the period in which such other individual took actions for which a felony conviction was obtained and which resulted in the debarment of such individual;
- had actual knowledge of the actions described above of such other individual, or took action to avoid such actual knowledge, or failed to take action for the purpose of avoiding such actual knowledge;
- knew that the actions were violative of law; and
- did not report such actions, or did not cause such actions to be reported, to an officer, employee, or agent of the Department or to an appropriate law enforcement officer, or failed to take other appropriate action that would have ensured that the process for the regulation of drugs was not undermined, within a reasonable time after such agent first knew of such actions. Sections 201(bb) and (cc), and 306(b)(2)(B)(iv).
- e. Devices: A person (an individual, corporation, partnership, or association) accredited to inspect eligible device manufacturers is subject to debarment if the person has been convicted of a felony for one or more prohibited acts under section 301(gg) of the Act. Section 306(m).

Section 301(gg) prohibits the knowing failure to notify the Secretary of a condition the accredited person discovered during an inspection that could cause or contribute to an unreasonable risk to the public health as required by section 704(g)(7)(E), or an accredited person's knowing inclusion of false information in an inspection report prepared under section 704(g)(7)(A), or the knowing failure to include material facts in such a report.

10-2 PRIOR NOTICE

10-2-1 Purpose

This section defines "prior notice" and establishes uniform criteria to determine if adequate prior notice has been provided.

10-2-2 Background

Except in a few specifically defined areas, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has no legal obligation to warn firms or individuals that they, their practices, or their products are in violation of the law prior to taking formal enforcement action. However, a basic principle of FDA's enforcement policy is the belief that the majority of persons will voluntarily comply with the law when given information as to what is required, what violations appear to exist, and, in the case of violations of regulatory significance, that failure to comply may result in the initiation of enforcement action.

10-2-3 Policy

When it is consistent with the public protection responsibilities of the agency and if a violative situation does not present a danger to health or does not constitute intentional, gross or flagrant violations, it is FDA's policy to afford individuals and firms an opportunity to voluntarily take appropriate and prompt corrective action prior to the initiation of enforcement action. If voluntary correction is not achieved, documentation that adequate prior notice was provided strengthens the agency's position in enforcement actions by establishing that responsible individuals continued violating the law despite having been warned by the agency.

The following factors should be considered in evaluating the adequacy of prior notice (prior warning):

1. The conduct, condition, practice, or product violates the laws enforced by FDA.
2. The notice (warning) adequately identified the violative conduct, condition, practice or product. (Note: Similar violations do not need separate prior notices, for example, separate prior notices are not necessary for each unapproved new drug shipped.)
3. Notice (warning) was provided to the firm and the most responsible individuals.
4. The firm was afforded a reasonable amount of time to implement corrections. Corrections may include halting shipments, recalling product in violation, or changing procedures and controls.
5. Consider if situations have occurred that may affect the adequacy of prior notice, such as a change in ownership or responsible management. For example, consider what is known by the new management, and if the "firm" received notice.

Note: Prior Notice may be provided orally or in writing. Where there is no dispute as to what is required to comply with the law, adequate notice may well be the Investigator's discussion of objectionable conditions with responsible management at the conclusion of the inspection. If, however, the violative conduct involves a controversial area, an area in which policy is still emerging, or one that has not been pervasively regulated in the past, written notice (usually in the form of a Warning Letter) may be required prior to the initiation of enforcement action.

Consideration of these factors will facilitate meeting the prior notice requirements for civil and certain criminal actions.

10-2-4 Procedures

Warning Letters are the principal means by which the agency provides prior notice of violations and of achieving voluntary compliance. See RPM Chapter 4, Advisory Actions. However, Prior Notice may be provided by means of a civil suit, administrative action, or other less formal ways, including the following:

1. Enforcement action or notification by State, municipal or other Federal agencies involving the same or similar violations.
2. Issuance of the FDA-483, List of Observations, at the conclusion of an inspection. Issuance of a copy of the FDA-483 to a firm's most responsible person(s) must follow guidance in Field Management Directive 120.
3. Discussion with management by an FDA investigator, documented in the EIR.
4. Recall Classification Notification Letters.
5. Properly documented meetings or telephone conversations between agency officials and a firm's top management (see section on Regulatory Meetings in this chapter).
6. Properly documented advisory communications by FDA Center personnel concerning critical scientific issues.

Note: For further information related to "properly documented" telephone conversations and meetings, see Staff Manual Guide, External Relations, Guide 2126.2, Memoranda of Telephone Conversations and Meetings with Non-FDA Persons, on the OIRM Intranet site.

10-3 REGULATORY MEETINGS

A Regulatory Meeting is a meeting requested by FDA management at its discretion, to inform responsible individuals or firms about how one or more products, practices, processes, or other activities are considered to be in violation of the law. FDA is not required to hold a Regulatory Meeting and, except for a few specifically defined areas (see RPM Chapter 4 – Warning Letter Procedures), is not required to provide any other form of prior notice prior to taking an enforcement action (see RPM Chapter 10).

Regulatory Meetings can be an effective enforcement tool to obtain prompt voluntary compliance, and have been used successfully in a variety of different situations, including:

1. As a follow-up to a Warning Letter when firms have corrected the majority of violative conditions noted in the Warning Letter, to provide additional encouragement, direction, and assistance in achieving compliance. As a follow-up to a Warning Letter, FDA officials may remind a firm or individual in a Regulatory Meeting that failure to make appropriate corrections in a timely manner may result in enforcement action.
2. To communicate documented violations that do not warrant the issuance of a Warning Letter. Under these circumstances, a Regulatory Meeting provides the added benefit of real time, two-way discussion of the violations and the appropriate corrective actions.

A Regulatory Meeting should not be used as a substitute for an Untitled Letter when a particular compliance program calls for the issuance of an Untitled Letter. Also, in most cases, a Regulatory Meeting should not be used to initially communicate violations of regulatory significance. Such violations are generally best communicated in the form of a Warning Letter. However, there are some situations when a regulatory meeting may be used to initially communicate violations of regulatory significance. Examples include:

1. When a Regulatory Meeting is held to communicate a health hazard and the necessity for immediate corrective action to address violative product that is on the market.
2. When a Regulatory Meeting is held in conjunction with the issuance of a Warning Letter to emphasize the significance of the violations.

A successful outcome of a Regulatory Meeting would include a commitment by the responsible individuals to correct the conditions or practices at their facility that are in violation of the law. The districts, at their discretion, would typically verify these commitments through evaluation of subsequent communication and documentation and/or a follow-up inspection. The inspection classification should reflect the significance of the violations and can be appropriately modified based on the adequacy of the corrective action. In those instances where the corrective actions are not satisfactorily carried out, definitive plans should be made for follow up action by the District. Consult with the involved Center and OE is advisable.

Any FDA organization with regulatory oversight of a firm or individual has the discretion to decide whether or not to hold a Regulatory Meeting. If a Center decides to hold a Regulatory Meeting concerning observations made by one or more Districts, the Center should invite any districts involved and consider any objections that such district(s) may have to such meeting. Centers are also encouraged to invite district participation in Regulatory Meetings concerning observations or matters originating in the Center (e.g., unapproved new drug or device issues). Any disagreement between a Center and a District about whether to conduct a Regulatory Meeting should be resolved by collaborative discussion with the Center, District, and OE.

For situations that involve corporate-wide violations or multiple districts, the meeting should include the affected Centers, OE, and the involved Districts. The location of the meeting should be negotiated by the involved parties.

Summary minutes must be prepared for all regulatory meetings.

10-4 INSPECTION OF FOOD RECORDS – SECTIONS 414(a) and 704(a)

10-4-1 Purpose

This section describes the authority, criteria, and procedure for inspecting records under sections 414(a) and 704(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

10-4-2 Authority

1. ***The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act:***
 - a. Section 414(a) Records Inspection – Criteria for records access, see 10-4-3 below.

- b. Section 414(b)- Authority to issue regulations to establish requirements for the establishment and maintenance of records needed to determine the immediate previous sources and the immediate subsequent recipients of food. See 21 CFR 1.326 -1.368.
 - c. Section 414(c)- Protection of sensitive information. FDA shall take appropriate measures to ensure that effective procedures are in place to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of any trade secret or confidential information that is obtained by FDA pursuant to section 414.
 - d. Section 414(d) Limitations- This section shall not be construed -- (1) to limit the authority of FDA to inspect records or require establishment and maintenance of records under any other provision of this Act; (2) to authorize FDA to impose any requirements with respect to a food to the extent that it is within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 451 et seq.), or the Egg Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 1031 et seq); (3) to have any legal effect on section 552 of title 5, United States Code, or section 1905 of title 18, United States Code; or (4) to extend to recipes for food, financial data, pricing data, personnel data, research data, or sales data (other than shipment data regarding sales).
 - e. Section 704(a)(1)(B)- Requirement that, during an inspection, any person (excluding farms and restaurants) who manufactures, processes, packs, transports, distributes, holds, or imports foods provide access to all records and other information described in section 414, when the criteria for records inspection in section 414(a)(1) or (a)(2) are satisfied, subject to the limitations in section 414(d).
 - f. Section 301(e)- Authority to treat the refusal to permit access to or copying of any record required by section 414 or 704(a) or the failure to establish or maintain any record required by section 414(b) as prohibited acts.
2. **21 CFR 1.361** *What are the Record Availability Requirements?*- When FDA has a reasonable belief that an article of food, and any other article that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, is adulterated and presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, or when FDA believes that there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to an article of food, and any other article of food that the FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, any records and other information accessible to FDA under section 414 or 704(a) of the Act must be made readily available for inspection and photocopying or other means of reproduction. Such records and other information must be made available as soon as possible, not to exceed 24 hours from the time of receipt of the request.

10-4-3 Statutory requirements for invoking records inspection authority

FDA can invoke its authority to access and copy records when the statutory requirements in section 414(a)(1), 414(a)(2), or section 704 of the Act are satisfied, whether or not intentional adulteration is known or suspected.

- Section 414(a)(1) Adulterated Food - If FDA has a reasonable belief that an article of food, and any other article of food that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, is adulterated and presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, FDA has authority to access and copy all records relating to such articles that are needed to assist FDA in making this determination.
- Section 414(a)(2) Use of or Exposure to Food of Concern - If FDA believes that there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to an article of food, and any other article of food that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, FDA has authority to access and copy all records relating to such article, and any other article of food that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, that are needed to assist FDA in making this determination.

Sections 414(a)(1) and 414(a)(2) apply to any person - *excluding farms and restaurants* - who manufactures, processes, packs, distributes, receives, holds, or imports such article of food. Appropriate credentials and a written notice must be presented and the request for records must be conducted at reasonable times, within reasonable limits, and in a reasonable manner. See 10-4-7.

For foreign firms, section 414 applies to any person (excluding farms and restaurants) who manufactures, processes, packs, transports, distributes, holds, or imports such article intended for U.S. commerce.

10-4-4 Records that may be accessed and copied under this authority

FDA may access and copy all records, as authorized under section 414 and 704 relating to the manufacture, processing, packing, distribution, receipt, holding, or importation of the article of food that are maintained by or on behalf of an entity in any format (including paper and electronic formats) and at any location, which are needed to meet the criteria in section 414(a)(1) or (a)(2). See 21 CFR 1.326.

The Investigator should initially request and review the records most likely needed to establish adulteration and serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals. See sections 414(a)(1) and (a)(2). The Investigator, as appropriate, should extend the review to other necessary records.

10-4-5 Records that may *not* be accessed and copied under this authority

FDA's authority under sections 414 and 704(a) of the Act does not apply to: recipes for food, financial data, pricing data, personnel data, research data (except test marketing a food), or sales data (other than shipment data regarding sales), all of which are excluded under section 414(d). In addition, FDA may not access records from farms and restaurants. See 21 CFR 1.362.

In 21 CFR 1.328, "recipe" is defined as "the formula, including ingredients, quantities, and instructions necessary to manufacture a food product. Because a recipe must have all three elements, a list of the ingredients used to manufacture a product without quantity information and manufacturing instructions is not a recipe." Accordingly, FDA has authority to access such a list of ingredients in a records request.

10-4-6 Procedure for Invoking Records Inspection Authority

The District must obtain OE concurrence in accordance with the procedures below before making a request to any person for access to records under sections 414(a) and 704(a).

1. FDA's Office of Emergency Operations (OEO) will coordinate activities to obtain OE concurrence on a records request and should be initially contacted as follows:

a. During a domestic inspection, District or other FDA personnel notifies FDA's Emergency Operations Center (OEO at 301-443-1240 – 24 hours/day), which the emergency response activities associated with a food that may present a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

b. During a foreign inspection, the FDA investigator conducting the inspection notifies the Director, Division Foreign Field Investigations (DFFI). DFFI then notifies OEO.

Note: Steps 2-6 may occur concurrently or sequentially:

2. OEO notifies the appropriate Center (CFSAN and/or CVM) and the Office of Enforcement (OE) in the Office of Regulatory Affairs (either verbally or in writing).

3. The appropriate Center, with the concurrence of OE, determines that there is a reasonable belief that the food is adulterated and presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, or that there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to the food will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

4. OE concurs with any requests for access to records, and works with the appropriate Center to determine the scope of the request and ensures the requested records are necessary to assess whether the food, and any other food that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, is adulterated and presents a threat of serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals or whether there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to the food, and any other food that FDA reasonably believes is likely to be affected in a similar manner, will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

5. The appropriate Center consults with the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC) on the determination of whether there is a reasonable belief that the food is adulterated. OE will consult with the appropriate Center and OCC in determining the scope of the records request.
6. After the final determination is made, the appropriate Director shall memorialize the decision in writing. See SMG 1410.306.
7. Once all the necessary determinations are made, OE conveys the information to the District Director for the facility being inspected (domestic inspection) or the Director, DFFI (foreign inspection). The Director, DFFI will convey the information to the appropriate Foreign Office Director or to the DFFI Foreign inspection cadre member.

10-4-7 Issuing the FDA 482c

After the necessary determination has been made in accordance with the procedures in section 10-4-6 above, an investigator or other authorized FDA personnel upon presentation of credentials will issue a written notice, Form FDA 482c, to the owner, operator, or agent in charge, informing that person of the records requested and FDA's legal authority to obtain such records. FDA may request additional records related to the implicated food at a later time under the same authority.

10-4-8 Timeframes for compliance

For all persons except foreign firms, records and other information requested under this authority must be made available as soon as possible, not to exceed 24 hours from the time of receipt of the request. 21 CFR 1.361.

10-4-9 Failure to provide records

The refusal to permit access to or copying of any record required by section 414 or 704(a) and the failure to establish or maintain any record required by section 414(b) are prohibited acts under section 301(e) of the Act. See 21 CFR 1.363.

10-5 ESTABLISHMENT INSPECTION REPORT (EIR) CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS

For further information related to “EIR Conclusions and Decisions,” see Field Management Directive No. 86, or refer to the web site at <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/Inspections/FieldManagementDirectives/ucm061430.htm>.

Please remember to update the firm's FACTS profile information at each stage in the review process to its conclusion, as appropriate, for any Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) or Quality System (QS) inspection of a domestic or foreign drug, biologics, or medical device facility that involves compliance activity or status. See “Firm Profile Updates in FACTS” in Chapter 4 for further information.

10-6 INTERSTATE TRAVEL PROGRAM (ITP) CLASSIFICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

For further information related to ITP see Compliance Program 7318.029, “Interstate Travel Program-- Conveyances and Support Facilities” or visit the web site at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/ComplianceEnforcement/ucm073336.pdf>.

In addition, see Field Management Directive No. 122, “Interstate Travel Sanitation: Potable Water on Interstate Carrier Conveyances and at Watering Points” or visit the web site at <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/Inspections/FieldManagementDirectives/ucm096020.htm>.

10-7 REPORTING AND MONITORING

The Field Accomplishments and Compliance Tracking System (FACTS) replaces this section. Please see your FACTS Lead User for further guidance.

10-8 AD HOC COMMITTEE

10-8-1 Purpose

This section outlines the function, composition, and activities of agency **ad hoc** committees that are convened for enforcement purposes, and lists the responsibilities of the field and headquarters units in recommending and carrying out their goals.

Function

There are four principle types of **ad hoc** committees: “strategy,” “referral,” “appeal,” and “seizure/injunction.”

1. Strategy **ad hoc** committees are formed to resolve issues for which agency precedent is lacking on matters that involve complex and difficult enforcement issues, or where there is a dispute between two or more offices over strategy.
2. Referral **ad hoc** committees are formed to consider the referral of a matter to the Department of Justice for further criminal investigations or proceedings. See Chapter 6, “Judicial Actions.”

Note: The Office of Criminal Investigations (OCI) is responsible for reviewing all matters within FDA’s jurisdiction for which a criminal investigation may be recommended. If the district or center office believes that there is a need for a criminal investigation, they must contact OCI immediately. If OCI concludes that they will not be participating in the matter at the time, the district office or center may then proceed as outlined below under “Procedures.”

3. Appeal **ad hoc** committees are formed to resolve issues pertaining to a legal, administrative, or regulatory course of action, when enforcement policy is inconsistent, unclear or not fully developed.
4. Seizure/injunction **ad hoc** committees may be convened at any time during the preliminary assessment, case initiation, or concurrent review process (see Chapter 6) when a Regional Food and Drug Director (RFDD); the Director, Office of Regional Operations (ORO); the Director, Office of Enforcement (OE); the Center Director, or the Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation, or a designee, cannot agree on the strategy or viability of a seizure or injunction case.

Ad hoc committees may be formed at any point in the case development or review process; however, early identification of the need for an **ad hoc** committee enables a more prompt review of the action.

10-8-2 Composition

The **ad hoc** committee will be chaired by the Director, OE, and will consist of the RFDD, the appropriate Center Director of Compliance, the Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation and, if appropriate, the Director, OCI. The Director, ORO, should be included when the matter involves the Division of Foreign Field Inspections (DFFI). When a committee member is unable to participate in a scheduled **ad hoc** meeting, he or she must designate an appropriate official to serve in his or her absence.

The committee members or their designated representatives should be prepared to make agency decisions on the issues based upon the evidence presented prior to and during the **ad hoc** committee meeting. The committee members are also responsible for identifying and arranging for the participation of any additional personnel and for other resources that they feel are necessary. Personnel should be limited to those individuals who have knowledge of the events at issue or who can significantly help in the decision making process.

10-8-3 Procedures

Requests for forming a strategy, referral, appeal, or seizure/injunction **ad hoc** committee may originate from an RFDD, the Director, ORO; the Director, OE; a Director of Compliance; or the Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation.

If the parties cannot reach an agreement working on their own or with the help of Division of Compliance Management and Operations (DCMO), DCMO will schedule an **ad hoc** committee meeting.

1. The person who requests an **ad hoc** committee must submit a memorandum via CMS to OE, DCMO. The memorandum should specify the type of **ad hoc** committee being requested.
 - a. The person who requests a strategy **ad hoc** committee should include in the memorandum the recommended outcome of the meeting.
 - b. The person who requests a referral **ad hoc** committee should include in the memorandum a description of the evidence expected to be gained through further criminal investigations or proceedings and the reasons it is necessary to refer the matter to the Department of Justice instead of continuing with an FDA investigation.
 - c. The person who requests an appeal **ad hoc** committee does not need to summarize the facts and evidence in the memorandum if the committee participants are already familiar with the case; however, if the committee participants are unfamiliar with the case, the person who requests an appeal **ad hoc** should include sufficient background and supporting information in the memorandum.
 - d. The person who requests a seizure/injunction **ad hoc** committee should describe any disputed data, information, or views in the memorandum. When an **ad hoc** committee is requested, the clock for reviewing seizure/injunction cases will be tolled. Therefore, the time it takes to review the **ad hoc** request will not be applied against the timelines for reviewing seizure/injunction cases, described in section 6-1-5 (4). The **ad hoc** committee will immediately establish a time schedule for its review of the case.

At the **ad hoc** committee meeting, the person who requested the meeting will briefly summarize the reason for the request, recommend an outcome of the meeting, describe any foreseeable problems, and provide whatever information may be useful in reaching a decision.

All decisions made by the **ad hoc** committee, including necessary follow-up and strategy, will be recorded, disseminated, and noted in the appropriate section of CMS by the DCMO Compliance Officer assigned to the case.

The **ad hoc** committee may reconvene in cases of significant changes, or revisions to the original **ad hoc** supporting material, the discovery of new information or evidence, or when other issues arise that could impact the original decision of the committee. These changes must first be reviewed under the regular review process. If it is necessary to reconvene the **ad hoc** committee, the original **ad hoc** attendees should make every effort to attend any follow-up **ad hoc** meeting.

In most instances, the committee will reach a decision through consensus of the members. When consensus is not possible, the Director, OE will refer the matter to the Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs (ACRA) with a recommendation for making a final decision.

All committee decisions are subject to review by the ACRA and OCC, and the final decision will not be subject to appeal.

10-8-4 Responsibilities

OE receives the request for convening an **ad hoc** committee review. OE assesses the request to determine if there is a clear indication of a dispute or other issue in need of resolution, determines whether sufficient information was submitted, attempts to obtain a resolution with the parties, and if a resolution cannot be reached, establishes the time and place for the meeting. OE will also disseminate the request and any supporting material to the principal members of the **ad hoc** committee.

The Director, OE, chairs the **ad hoc** committee and issues the final decision based upon the **ad hoc** committee's discussion. If the **ad hoc** committee cannot reach a decision, the Director, OE, refers the matter to the ACRA for a final decision.

The Director, ORO, may recommend convening an **ad hoc** committee based on issues that arise from ORO headquarters based program activities.

The RFDDs make a final decision on all recommendations for an **ad hoc** committee from the field. The Regional Director serves as a principal on the **ad hoc** committee.

The District Director may recommend the convening of an **ad hoc** committee and forward the recommendation to OE/DCMO through the RFDD.

The Director of Compliance of the appropriate Center approves or disapproves all recommendations for an **ad hoc** committee from the center and will serve as a principal on the **ad hoc** committee.

The Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation will serve as a principal on the **ad hoc** committee to provide legal counsel, and to advise on a particular recommendation.

The ACRA may review all committee decisions.

Committee members who are unable to participate in a scheduled *ad hoc* meeting are responsible for designating an appropriate official to serve in their absence. The committee members are also responsible for identifying and arranging for the participation of any appropriate resource persons they feel are necessary, and for providing necessary background information to those persons.

10-9 APPEAL PROCESS

10-9-1 Purpose

This section sets forth a procedure for the appeal of decisions regarding recommendations for legal or administrative actions.

10-9-2 Who May Appeal

Appeals may be originated by the RFDDs or the Center Directors for Compliance (or equivalent).

10-9-3 What May Be Appealed

Appropriate officials may appeal any decision disapproving a proposed action, administrative or legal, which is allegedly inconsistent, or where there is nonexistent enforcement policy. The directors of the involved offices must have attempted to resolve the disagreement prior to submitting an appeal.

10-9-4 When An Appeal Is Not Appropriate

An appeal is not appropriate when additional information that overcomes the basis for the original denial becomes available. In such cases, the recommendation should be updated to include the new or additional information and resubmitted to the reviewing office with an explanation for the resubmission and a request for reconsideration.

10-9-5 Requests For Appeal

District offices should submit appeals over the signature of the RFDD. Centers should submit appeals over the signature of the Center Director for Compliance. The appeal memorandum and two copies of relevant supporting material must be identified, indexed, and submitted to the Director, OE.

The appeal memorandum must identify the issues on which the appeal is based, and the reasons for disagreeing with the decision of the declining unit. Recommendations must include a summary of communications regarding attempts to resolve differences of opinion.

10-9-6 Review Of Appeals

OE/DCMO will review the appeal package to assure that it is complete, determine that an appeal is appropriate, and will determine whether the appeal deals with policy, regulations, or a statute. DCMO will initially attempt to gain a resolution of the disagreement by the parties to the appeal.

10-9-7 Decision On Appeals

If DCMO cannot get the parties to agree, an **ad hoc** committee meeting to be chaired by the Director, OE, will be scheduled, following the procedures outlined in section 10-6, **ad hoc** Committee.

The meeting will include the RFDD, the Center Director for Compliance, and the Deputy Chief Counsel for Litigation, if appropriate. If the principals are unable to attend, they will designate a senior compliance official to serve in their absence. Usually only one resource person must accompany the senior compliance official.

Resolution of appeals is by consensus of the **ad hoc** committee members. If the **ad hoc** committee can not reach a consensus, the matter will be forwarded to the ACRA for a final decision.

Decisions made by the **ad hoc** committee will be recorded and distributed to meeting participants. The decision may be limited to a decision on the merits of the case or it may include instructions to develop policy in a particular program area. The ACRA or OCC may review all committee decisions.

10-10 EXPERT SUPPORT FOR CASES

10-10-1 Purpose

This section sets out a procedure for assuring that medical, scientific, technical or other specialized (collectively “expert”) support is available for a case. A witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training or education, may testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise, if (1) the testimony is based upon sufficient facts or data, (2) the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods, and (3) the witness has applied the principles and methods reliably to the facts of the case (Federal Rules of Evidence, “Rule 702. Testimony by Experts”).

For purposes of this section, the term “case” refers to a matter that is or may become a court case or hearing. The term “experts” is used to describe individuals from within or outside of FDA, who may serve as consultants and/or as expert witnesses in a case. FDA may seek expert support whether or not a case is contested or litigated.

10-10-2 Responsibility

The Centers have the primary responsibility for assuring that FDA has appropriate expert support for a case, if needed to support the particular violation. The initial determination as to the need for expert support shall be included in the approval memorandum. The Center Compliance Office, in consultation with OCC, should determine whether or not FDA needs expert support for a case. If the Center determines that expert support is needed, it should consult with its medical or scientific review staffs to ensure that the Center position on the subject represents the consensus of current informed medical or scientific opinion. If necessary, the Center should also consult the Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA) district offices to make this determination (see also FDA Staff Manual Guide 2610.2, Obtaining Services of Expert or Fact Witnesses (7/2/98)).

The Center should also determine whether FDA will be able to obtain expert support for a case,

when it is necessary to do so. The Center should not send a case forward to institute actual legal proceedings until it makes these determinations about expert support.

10-10-3 Criteria For Determining The Level Of Expert Support

FDA requires outside expert support in precedent-setting cases when FDA does not have sufficient in-house expertise. If in-house experts are available, the Center should contact them to determine whether it should also contact individuals from outside FDA to assess the consensus of current medical, scientific, or technical opinion.

The Center generally should review unprecedented issues and complex cases involving state-of-the-art and/or current good manufacturing practice to determine whether it should obtain concurrence by the experts.

The Center generally needs only limited additional expert support for cases that are sufficiently similar to previous cases, except where a new court decision, regulation, or policy has sufficiently changed the factors to consider.

The Center generally needs to refer only to recent cases when determining the need for expert support in a current case that is identical to a recent case.

10-10-4 Documentation

The Center's approval memorandum for a case should include a section regarding expert support, under a separate heading. This section should summarize the Center's effort to provide assurance of expert support and describe significant issues or concerns related to the expert support. It should also include relevant information about prior or pending court cases, testimony or affidavits developed during a recent court case or hearing, information derived during recent processes to propose or finalize rules, advisory committee meetings, literature searches that support the consensus of current opinion, memoranda of conversations with experts, etc.

10-10-5 List Of Individuals For Expert Support

The Center should develop and maintain a list of experts. The Center might develop this information from a variety of sources, but it is responsible for assuring the adequacy of the expert support for a case. For example, when the Center contacts an expert, it should consider asking that expert to identify other individuals with similar expertise. This may be useful in the case at hand (e.g., if a corroborating witness is needed) as well as in future cases.

10-10-6 Obtaining And Paying For Expert Support

The Center might determine that FDA needs expert support from outside FDA to review a case and/or to serve as an expert witness. In that event, the Center should consult with other FDA components, e.g., Office of Chief Counsel and ORA district offices, to identify the most suitable witness, obtain the services of that individual, and pay for fees. Further background about obtaining the services of experts and paying for expert support is set out in an August 25, 2003, memorandum from Donald Vasbinder, Acting Director, Office of Enforcement, to FDA Center and ORA managers. A copy of the memorandum can be found on the Office of Enforcement's intranet web site.

As explained in that memorandum, it is important to keep in mind the overall principle that each

case is brought on behalf of the FDA, not any particular part of the organization. It is the responsibility of all involved elements of the FDA to cooperate and work together to provide the best possible support for all cases, regardless of which particular office may be the lead. Thus, it is the joint responsibility of the Center and field offices to identify and obtain the best witnesses and other case support, with the Office of Chief Counsel responsible for the final determination of use of witnesses if we anticipate a contest or litigating a case. Although the Centers have primary responsibility for assuring the availability of expert support, field offices should work closely with the Centers and provide any possible assistance in the process, such as identifying and suggesting to the Center possible good local experts. Field/Center consultation and cooperation on considering the possible need for and the obtaining of expert support should start as soon as necessary and feasible in the case development process and continue throughout each stage.

The following procedures are to be followed for obtaining and paying for the services of experts when needed for support of court cases or hearings:

1. Decisions are to be made jointly by the responsible Center and field offices on the type and scope of expert support needed for support of cases and hearings. It is also important that, if the Agency anticipates that a case will be contested, the Office of Chief Counsel be consulted as to the use of expert witnesses. The office that first identifies the need to obtain expert support is responsible for contacting and consulting with the other offices responsible for the case.
2. For outside (non-FDA) consultants and expert witnesses agreed upon as necessary by all parties to support cases or hearings at any stage (including support for a recommendation, review and approval, and litigation), all costs associated with such services will be shared equally among each major office (other than OCC) having responsibility for the case (e.g., each Center and District involved). This includes all necessary expenses for such expert services, such as contracting for and consulting with experts, travel and per diem, and other associated expenses necessary for this purpose.
 - a. For outside experts, the responsible Center and field offices involved shall also consult and agree with one another on which office will be designated to take the lead role in actually contacting the expert, negotiating a contract for services, and making sure the necessary paperwork is completed.
 - b. Normally, unless mutually agreed otherwise, the designated lead office for these administrative purposes will be the one having primary responsibility for the aspect of the case that requires the expert support. For example:
 - i. The District would normally be the administrative lead for expert support (such as declarations) required for its regulatory action recommendations, or for expert witnesses needed for testimony for a trial or hearing under the District's purview.
 - ii. The Center would normally be the administrative lead if either the Center or OCC concluded in reviewing a recommended case that outside expert review is required to ensure adequate scientific support is available before proceeding with the case, or for expert witnesses needed for testimony at a hearing under the Center's purview.
 - c. The designated lead office that prepares the necessary paperwork to procure the

services for outside expert support is also responsible for ensuring timely payment of all invoices related to the services provided. In order to accommodate the shared-funding arrangement, the following accounting procedures should be employed:

i. ***When an ORA field office is designated as the lead for an outside expert:***

The total expense should be charged to the applicable ORA field central funding CAN using category E. Accounting technicians should code field "N" of the DHR as follows:

"E (Last name of witness)"

Copies of each obligating document, including information concerning the Center with which the cost will be shared, should be faxed to ORA's Office of Resource Management, Division of Management Operations (DMO) at (301) 827-1679. DMO will provide the respective Center a copy of the obligating document for tracking purposes. Each quarter, DMO will contact the budget personnel in the applicable Center to request a transfer of funds to recover half of the total cost.

ii. ***When a Center is designated as the lead for an outside expert:***

The total expense should be charged to the applicable Center's accounting point. Copies of each obligating document, including information concerning the field location with which the cost will be shared, should be faxed to ORA's Office of Resource Management, Division of Management Operations (DMO) at (301) 827-1679. Each quarter, the applicable Center will contact the budget personnel in DMO (301-796-4343) to request a transfer of funds to recover its half of the total cost.

3. For expert support provided by FDA employees, all such expenses (including travel and per diem) will be borne by the office of the employee involved. Expenses should be paid by the employee's office in accordance with its normal procedures. (NOTE: For expert support by FDA employees, this changes some provisions in sections 5.b. and 7.b.iii. of Staff Manual Guide 2610.2, which are somewhat in conflict.)

10-11 TESTIMONY; PRODUCTION OF RECORDS; CERTIFICATION OF RECORDS

10-11-1 Requests for Testimony

FDA may authorize FDA employees to provide testimony if the testimony will be in the public interest and promote FDA's objectives (21 CFR 20.1). While interrupting the normal duties of FDA employees to provide testimony in a proceeding to which the agency is not a party is generally not considered to be in the public interest, FDA may be able to honor a request for testimony by providing responsive documents. FDA can also certify these documents for presentation in court.

FDA processes written requests for testimony in accordance with 21 CFR 20.1. Affidavits, declarations, and employees' responses to depositions, interrogatories, or rogatory letters are considered to be testimony covered by this regulation. (See Definitions) FDA does not process

verbal requests for testimony.

FDA employees are not permitted to provide testimony pertaining to any function of the FDA, or with respect to any information acquired in performing their official duties, unless authorized by the Commissioner (21 CFR 20.1(a)), or another FDA official to whom this authority has been delegated.

The Director, Division of Compliance Policy (DCP), OE, ORA has been delegated the authority to authorize the giving of testimony under 21 CFR 20.1, and is the Agency lead for authorizing all testimony. In addition to the Director, DCP, the following officials have the authority to authorize the giving of testimony under 21 CFR 20.1: the Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs (ACRA); the Deputy ACRA, ORA; and the Director and Deputy Director, Office of Enforcement (OE), ORA. See Staff Manual Guide 1410.24(a)(2)) for these delegations and SMG 1410.21 for General Redelegations of Authority from the Commissioner.

In addition to the DCP director's role in authorizing testimony, DCP conducts the initial review of all requests for testimony, except for requests from a state government agency.

The Division of Federal State Relations (DFSR) conducts the initial review of requests for testimony from a state government agency, and then prepares a 21 CFR 20.1 package for the review and signature of the Director, DCP, except as follows. If the testimony (e.g., affidavit, declaration) only addresses the absence of records or only identifies documents for the purpose of certifying records for a FOIA request, it does not require DCP (or OCC) review.

FDA employees may be asked to assist DCP and DFSR in responding to a request for testimony, by identifying suitable individual(s) to testify, drafting authorized testimony, or identifying records (instead of testimony) that are responsive to the request.

If a request involves Congress, employee personnel records, the DHHS Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, the investigation of an FDA employee by the DHHS Inspector General, the testimony of an FDA employee as a private citizen, or the testimony of a former employee regarding FDA-related matters, see the instructions in "Requests involving Special Circumstances" below. Otherwise,

1. ***If you receive a written request for testimony*** (whether by letter or subpoena) that was submitted by, or on the behalf of:
 - a. A person *other than a state government agency*, contact DCP and send the request to that office.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Office of Enforcement
Division of Compliance Policy
12420 Parklawn Drive
Rockville, MD 20857
Phone: (301) 796-5280
Facsimile: (301) 827-3670

To expedite processing, a scanned copy of the testimony request should also be sent to:

Testimony-FDA-wide@fda.hhs.gov

- b. A state government agency, contact DFSR and send the request to that office.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Office of Regional Operations
Division of Federal-State Relations
12420 Parklawn Drive, Rm. 3033
Rockville, MD 20857
Phone: (301) 796-5390
Facsimile: (301) 827-9221

2. If you are contacted by an individual requesting testimony:

- a. Either refer the person to the Director, DCP or, as appropriate, the Director, DCP; or advise them that FDA does not process verbal requests for testimony and that they should submit a written testimony request to the address for DCP or, if appropriate, DCP shown above, well in advance of their desired due date to allow time for evaluating and processing the request; and that they should include information about the hearing body, date, location, and purpose of the proceeding; the nature and scope of the testimony FDA is being asked to provide and the use to which it will be put; the name(s) of the FDA employees, if known, being asked to testify; the requester's interest in the matter sought to be disclosed; the requester's rationale for considering that the testimony is in the public interest and will promote the objectives of FDA and the laws it enforces; and any other relevant background. Refer them to 21 CFR 20.1(c) for FDA's procedures on how to make these requests.
- b. Do **not** make any commitment regarding the availability or willingness of a witness to testify in any particular case.
- c. Document the conversation, and send DCP (or DCP) a memorandum by email or facsimile at the telephone numbers above, and contact that office to confirm receipt.

3. If you have been authorized to testify:

- a. Before presenting testimony, contact the assigned OCC attorney, if any, to obtain legal advice; and the appropriate FDA component, to obtain technical advice and, if needed, information about funding travel.
- b. After presenting testimony, submit a summary or copy of the testimony or a transcript of the testimony to DCP, OCC, and, if involved, DCP. If the Director, DCP, authorizes the testimony for a hearing in a state court, the summary memorandum should address not only the individual's testimony, but also any other portions of the hearing that the individual attended.

10-11-2 Requests for Production of Records

The Director, DCP, is the Agency lead for responding to subpoenas for the production of records.

FDA processes requests for records in accordance with 21 CFR 20.2. This regulation provides that any request for FDA records, whether it be by letter or by a subpoena duces tecum or by

any other writing, will be handled according to the FOIA provisions of 21 CFR Part 20.

If a request involves Congress, employee personnel records, the DHHS Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, the investigation of an FDA employee by the DHHS Inspector General, the testimony of an FDA employee as a private citizen, or the testimony of a former employee regarding FDA-related matters, see the instructions in “Requests involving Special Circumstances” below. Otherwise,

1. *If you receive a written request or a subpoena, including a subpoena duces tecum, for the production or certification of records:*

Send the request to the address for DCP or, if appropriate, DFRS shown above in “If you receive a written request for testimony.”

2. *If you are contacted by an individual requesting the production or certification of records:*

- a. Either refer the person to the Director, DCP or, if appropriate, the Director, DFRS; or advise them that FDA does not process verbal requests for records and that they should submit a written request to the address for DCP or, if appropriate, DFRS (shown above in “If you receive a written request for testimony”) well in advance of their desired due date to allow time for evaluating and processing the request; and that they should include specific information about the records requested, the requested due date, and any other relevant background. Refer them to 21 CFR 20.2 for FDA’s procedures for processing these requests.
- b. Do ***not*** make any commitment regarding the availability of records.
- c. Document the conversation, and send DCP (or DFRS) a memorandum by email or facsimile (at the numbers shown above in “If you receive a written request for testimony”), and contact that office to confirm receipt.

10-11-3 Multi-issue Subpoena - Requests for Samples

If you receive a subpoena or a request that seeks FDA records, testimony, and actual samples, you should: (1) refer the sender of the request/subpoena for the samples to the Director, DCP, who, if needed, will consult with OCC for a response pursuant to 21 CFR 2.10, and if involved, OCI; and (2) process the remaining portion of the request/subpoena as a request for testimony and for records.

FDA considers a request/subpoena for analytical results to be a request for records.

10-11-4 Requests for Certification of Records

FDA processes requests for certification of records in accordance with 21 CFR 20.3. DCP will forward requests for the certification of records to the appropriate component for direct response.

10-11-5 Requests involving Special Circumstances

If you receive a request that involves:

1. An FDA proceeding or a DHHS proceeding where FDA is involved – contact OCC, if that office is not already involved or aware of the request.
2. Congress – contact FDA’s Office of Legislation, except as noted in “Congressional Requests – Instructions for ORA Staff” below.
3. Employee personnel records related to litigation – contact the Employee and Labor Relations Branch in DHHS’s Rockville Human Resources Center.
4. An investigation of an FDA employee by the DHHS Inspector General - contact FDA’s Office of Internal Affairs (HFH-560) in the Office of Criminal Investigations.
5. The DHHS Office of Equal Employment Opportunity – contact FDA’s EEO and Diversity Management Office (HF-15), in the Office of Shared Services.
6. Testimony as a private citizen - Some testimony as a "private citizen" (i.e., not in one's official FDA capacity) may raise special concerns such as possible conflict of interest or may require approval as an outside activity. NOTE: If the individual’s planned testimony is based on information derived during FDA employment, the employee must contact the Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA), Office of Enforcement (OE), Division of Compliance Policy (DCP) for clearance of this testimony under 21 CFR 20.1. For testimony as a private citizen, employees also should refer to the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.805, and the HHS Supplemental Standards of Ethical Conduct, 5 CFR 5501.106. Contact FDA’s Ethics and Integrity Staff for further information regarding approval of the testimony as an outside activity.
7. Testimony by former FDA employees - Requests for the testimony of former FDA employees are not covered specifically by 21 CFR 20.1. Encourage former employees to contact the Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA), Office of Enforcement (OE), Division of Compliance Policy (DCP) if they receive a request or subpoena to provide testimony regarding FDA-related matters, because FDA may assist that individual. Advise former employees that there may be other restrictions on their ability to provide the requested testimony, including possible conflict of interest concerns and statutory and regulatory restrictions on the release of trade secrets and other kinds of confidential information.

10-11-6 Congressional Requests – Instructions for ORA Staff

Whether a District Office may answer a Congressional inquiry directly or must refer the inquiry to ORA’s Executive Operations Staff (EOS) depends on the source and subject matter of the inquiry, as detailed below.

1. The following types of Congressional inquiries may be answered directly by District Offices:
 - a. Inquiries that originate from the staff of a Congressional Office *located in District Office travel areas, which concern issues of general interest.*

- i. Each District should respond directly using approved information available to the public (e.g., copies of public documents, FDA publications, Talk Papers, press releases, *Federal Register* notices, or other published materials). Where applicable, the District may refer Congressional staff to FDA's Internet Web site where many of these materials are available on-line.
 - b. Inquiries that request information about a locally detained entry or a domestic inspection(s).
 - c. Inquiries involving state and/or local legislative issues.
 - i. If assistance is needed, refer the inquiry to the EOS.
 - ii. In all cases, notify the EOS of the outcome of the inquiry.
2. The following types of Congressional inquiries must be referred to the EOS.
- a. Inquiries that originate from the staff of a Congressional Office *located in Washington, D.C.*
 - b. Inquiries involving legislative, policy, or budget issues that are *national in scope* (e.g., issues that are receiving major press coverage, are the subject of pending Federal legislation, involve FDA expenditures, etc.), regardless of where the inquiry originates.
 - c. Inquiries regarding cases in litigation or pending product approvals.

The EOS will respond and/or forward these inquiries to the Office of Legislation (OL), as necessary.

If you have any questions, contact the EOS or OL at:

ORA Executive Operations (Outlook address "ORA Executive Operations")
(301) 796-5231 (phone)
(301) 827-0963 (fax)

Office of Legislation (Outlook address "OC OL STAFF")
(301) 796-8900 (phone)
(301) 827-8602/847-8603 (fax)

10-11-7 Disclosure of Non-public information

FDA generally discloses information pursuant to a request or subpoena if that information is neither exempt from disclosure under FOIA nor prohibited from disclosure by other law. In certain circumstances, however, the law allows FDA to share certain non-public information that is otherwise exempt or prohibited from disclosure, e.g., 21 CFR 20.85 (disclosure to other federal agencies), 21 CFR 20.88 (disclosure to state and local agencies), or 21 CFR 20.89 (disclosure to foreign agencies). Other examples of instances in which FDA may share non-public information are set forth below.

1. FDA may share certain non-public information with federal or state officials commissioned by FDA under law.
2. FDA may share certain non-public information with other government officials under agreements or contracts that contain appropriate confidentiality provisions.
3. FDA may share personal privacy information that a state or federal prosecuting attorney seeks without redaction for use as evidence at trial, if the release is allowed under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Contact OCC and FDA's Privacy Act Officer in DFOI before sharing this non-public information.
4. If OCI is involved in joint investigations with other law enforcement agencies for violations of the FD&C Act, contact OCI before sharing non-public information related to the investigation. Applicable regulations in 21 CFR Part 20, the law enforcement exemption of the Privacy Act, and 21 U.S.C. 331(j) govern disclosures of certain non-public information during the course of open multi-agency investigations. In all multiagency investigations, OCI has obtained or, prior to the sharing, will obtain confidentiality assurances that the non-public information disclosed by FDA will be used for law enforcement purposes only, and in accordance with the provisions of the applicable statutes.

10-11-8 Other Requests for Information – Informal Meetings

A person (individual, company, corporation, etc.) might request an informal meeting with an FDA employee to discuss information the employee obtained during the course of his or her employment.

1. If the requester is **not** an official from another government agency, and if private civil litigation is involved, decline the request and suggest that the requester submit a request for testimony under 21 CFR 20.1. Also, advise the requester that FDA has a long-standing policy against granting one-sided interviews or informal testimony to avoid creating the impression that FDA is biased toward a party.
2. If the requester is **not** an official from another government agency, if the request relates to a matter other than private civil litigation (e.g., the requester seeks general information about FDA's activities), advise the requester to submit a written request to FDA, to be handled as general correspondence under routine office procedures.
3. If the requester is an official from another government agency, advise the requester to submit a written request to FDA to be handled under appropriate agency procedures for the sharing of publicly releasable or non-public information. Contact OCI's information disclosure senior investigator if the request is from a law enforcement agency and the request is for non-public information.
4. Consult with DCP if needed.

10-11-9 Definitions

The following list contains definitions of commonly used terms in the context of testimony, subpoenas, or production of records.

Affidavit - A written document signed in the presence of a notary public under a sworn oath that

the statement is true. FDA considers an affidavit to be testimony covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

Certification (also known as “certificate”) - A written assurance, or official representation, that some act has or has not been done, or some event occurred, or some legal formality has been complied with. Typically, a requester will ask that FDA certify as to the authenticity of an FDA record as a true copy. FDA processes requests or subpoenas for certification under 21 CFR 20.3.

Declaration - A written statement signed under the penalty of perjury. A declaration can substitute for an affidavit in federal court proceedings. 28 U.S.C. 1746. FDA considers a declaration to be testimony covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

Deposition - The taking and recording of testimony of a witness under oath, in front of a court reporter prior to trial and away from the courtroom. FDA considers an employee’s responses in a deposition to be testimony covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

Interrogatories - Written questions sent by one party in a lawsuit to the other party during the discovery process prior to trial. Interrogatories must be answered in writing under oath or under penalty of perjury. FDA considers the employee’s response to interrogatories to be testimony covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

Notary Public - A person authorized by the state in which they reside to administer an oath of truth to a person making an affidavit, and to apply their signature and seal or stamp to attest to the oath.

Rogatory Letters - A written request from a judge in one state to a judge in another, asking that the latter take the testimony of a witness. FDA considers testimony in response to rogatory letters to be covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

Subpoena - A court order commanding a witness to appear at a certain time and place to testify on a certain matter. For purposes of this section, a “subpoena” means a subpoena for verbal or written testimony (e.g., an affidavit). A subpoena for testimony also might include a request for records. In that case, FDA would process the subpoena under 21 CFR 20.1 and 20.2.

Subpoena Duces Tecum - A court order commanding a witness to produce documents at a certain time and place. FDA processes these subpoenas, which are intended for the production of records, under 21 CFR 20.2.

Testimony - For purposes of this section, testimony is an individual’s statement given in writing (such as an affidavit or a declaration) or by appearance under oath at a proceeding. The statement might be in response to a deposition or interrogatories. Testimony is covered by 21 CFR 20.1.

10-11-10 References

1. "Enforcement Notes," Issue No. 85, September 18, 2002, Testimony, available on ORA’s Intranet
2. Staff Manual Guide 1410.21 (General Redelegations of Authority from the Commissioner to other Officers of the Food and Drug Administration)
3. Staff Manual Guide 1410.23 (Certification of True Copies and Use of Department Seal)

4. Staff Manual Guide 1410.24 (Disclosure of Official Records and Authorization of Testimony)
5. Staff Manual Guide 2127.1 (Attendance by FDA Employees at Congressional Hearings)
6. Staff Manual Guide 2127.2 (Requests for Testimony of FDA Personnel in Non-FDA Proceedings)
7. Staff Manual Guide 2460.7 (Procedures for Implementing the Freedom of Information Act) (Not on Intranet)
8. 5 U.S.C. 552a (Privacy Act); 21 CFR Part 21(Privacy Act regulations)
9. 5 U.S.C. 552(b) (Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)); 21 CFR Part 20 (FOIA regulations), particularly 21 CFR 20.1, 20.2, and 20.3
10. 5 CFR 2635.805 (Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch; Service as an expert witness)
11. 5 CFR 5501.106 (HHS Supplemental Standards of Ethical Conduct; Outside employment and other outside activities)
12. Information Disclosure Manual on ORA's Intranet Information Disclosure Main Page
13. FDA's FOIA Page on FDA's Intranet

10-12 APPLICATION INTEGRITY POLICY

The Application Integrity Policy (AIP) describes the Agency's approach regarding the review of applications that may be affected by wrongful acts that raise significant questions regarding data reliability. FDA published this policy, formally entitled, "Fraud, Untrue Statements of Material Facts, Bribery, and Illegal Gratuities; Final Policy" in the Federal Register on September 10, 1991 (56 FR 46191), and in Compliance Policy Guide (CPG) 7150.09 (see Sec. 120.100 of the Compliance Policy Guides publication). These documents, procedures to implement the AIP, and AIP information are available on the Internet at:

<http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPolicy/default.htm>.