

# Known Variants Working Group

Russ Altman

Les Biesecker

David Goldstein

Heidi Rehm

# Introductory comments

- Decided to focus on the questions about prior knowledge that are usually asked, and what data are available to answer them
- Some discomfort with the basic premise of known variants since in reality variants seen before are on a continuum of evidence connecting them to any given trait or constellation of traits

## Documentation of the range of phenotypes that have been associated to a variant

- Important considerations for evaluating evidence for a variant
  - a) Evaluation be tied to a specific phenotype
  - b) Methodological details of phenotyping
  - c) Standard nosology for describing the phenotype

*Resources – locus specific variant databases, OMIM, primary literature*

## The number and frequency of the finding of the variant in people with the phenotype (cases)

- ‘Number’ and ‘frequency’ used separately in order to capture replication of finding and its relative contribution to the total mutation load of the gene

*e.g. CFTR deltaF508 vs. a variant seen once*

- a) That the affected did not have another mutation in this gene
- b) That the affected did not have an implicated mutation in another gene

The number and frequency of the finding of the variant in people without the phenotype (controls)

- Ethnic/genomic matching of the controls to the cases

How numbers in cases and controls compare (relative risk) and how the frequency of a mutation compares to the disease frequency

Current tools and resources to assess include:

- *1000 genomes*
- *NHLBI ESP*
- *dbSNP*
- *dbGaP*
- *Source publication for the variant descriptions*
- *Disease frequencies from GeneReviews and other publications*

## Genetic data

- Segregation in multiple family members  
*accompanied by proper statistical genetic analysis*
- Proper allelic status  
*some locus specific databases*
- *De novo* germ line occurrence in sporadic disease
- Somatic evidence  
*e.g. McCune-Albright syndrome and somatic GNAS mutations*
- Penetrance of the variant

# Mutational spectrum data

- The types of mutation in the gene
- The distribution of mutations throughout the gene (domains)

*Resources: HGMD, LOVD/LDSB, dbVAR, ClinVar, ISCA, DECIPHER, OMIM, review articles including GeneReviews, and primary literature*



# Functional data

- Controlled experiment where mutation significantly perturbs the protein function
- Biochemical evidence of the disease in the subject is supportive though not conclusive as evidence

*e.g.  $\alpha$ -galactosidase activity in a patient with a GLA mutation*

*Contrast with an expression effect of a variant associated with depression*

- Cautious of pseudodeficiency  
*e.g. Tay-Sachs*

*Resources: LSDB, HGMD, OMIM, local, and institutional databases*

# Protein prediction programs/conservation

- A tool that predicts that amino acid change is deleterious or variant has potential to change splicing
  - Such prediction judged weak guide to pathogenicity (though perhaps better guide to whether variant is deleterious, which is to be distinguished from pathogenic for a given condition)

*Resources: software tools including PolyPhen, SIFT, MutationTaster, NNSplice, ESE/ESS, conservation*

## Pathophysiologic plausibility

- Biological evidence that perturbation of gene product is a plausible cause of the phenotype
- Includes therapeutic targets, tissue-specific expression, pathway involvement, biochemical data, etc.
- Absent when evaluating variants in uncharacterized transcripts
- Absence of biological evidence significant evidence against implication

*Resources: OMIM, Unigene, GeneCards, PharmGKB, primary literature*

# Provenance/assessment

- Expertise, experience, reputation of the source of each type of data
- A summary or synthetic analysis of data and conclusions reached by prior evaluations of the variant
- Suboptimal practice that is currently serving as a proxy
- Long-term goal to move towards a clear and comprehensive access to data instead of using expert opinion

# Key Points Slide

- Importance of integrating data – example <http://matt.might.net/articles/my-sons-killer/>
- What would a centralized DB look like
  - Genetics
  - Phenotype
  - Controls?
- How would one transition between existing data and New Resource?
- What steps can be taken to reduce the burden of obviously unfounded claims in the literature?
- How to handle “threads of evidence”

# Genetic background

- Background genome can impact significance of the variant
  - Genome “finite” in terms of main effects
  - May as well be infinite in terms of interactions
- Knowledge that a variant is implicated as causative at least once in a genomic context is an important
- Create a meta-database to connect existing elements in an organized and relational way
- Wiki-like environment for independent community curation accompanied by objective and structured database