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## Part 1, Questions

- What percent of natural populations and individuals within those populations are harvested?
- How frequently are they harvested?

How intense is the harvest?
How often are plants harvested illegally?

- Wrong time
- Wrong place
- Wrong size


## Warning!

If you fear knowing the answer to these questions, you may wish to leave the room!

## Part 2

Case study of a directly observed illegal harvest in 2008

## The Populations

## 7 states

- 30 populations 4552* individuals
5-11 seasons
221 population years'
28,688 observations 31,871 seeds
*number censused in 2008 (varies by year)


## Populations 'Representative'

## Censuses On Various Land Ownership

 Types

## Ownership

## How Was Harvest Recognized?

Plant missing
Evidence of digging; loose soil \& moved plant markers
Root absent
Plant confirmed missing in the next yr
Supplemental: discarded tops, multiple plants gone in vicinity

## What percent of populations have been harvested?

## 43\%*

*over 5-11 years (mean; 7.4 y ), depending on the population

## Breakdown

What percent of populations are harvested each year?

## $15.4 \% *$

*34 harvest events observed in 221 population-years

## Breakdown

## - What percent of plants are harvested?

### 9.45\%*

*Mean over entire study period. = percent of all sizes

## Breakdown

- What percent of plants are harvested each year?


## $1.28 \%{ }^{*}$

*only 'confirmed harvested' plants included; therefore this underestimates true rate;elsewhere we estimate the rate at closer to 5\% (McGraw et al. 2003. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 130(2): 62-69)

## Breakdown

In populations where harvest occurs, what percent of plants are removed?

### 7.26\%*

*again, underestimate of true rate

# Does harvest vary among land categories? 

$L-R X^{2}=38.24, p<0.0001$
Probability 0.6 of Harvest




Land Category

## Summary - Percent Harvest

A large percent (nearly $1 / 2$ ) of the censused populations experienced harvest over the study period, though the annual rate was only $15 \%$

- The chance that an individual plant would be harvested over the study period was fairly low (~ $10 \%)$, translating into a low annual rate ( $-1 \%$ ); however this is an underestimate
On average, harvesters remove a relatively small portion of the population when they harvest
Nature preserves/state forest/parks particularly vulnerable


## How frequently are populations harvested?

## Frequency of Harvests



## How 'intense' is the harvest?

Components of 'intensity'

- Proportion of population taken (7.26\%)
- Proportion of non-reproductive plants taken (more = more intense)
- Proportion of small plants (<3 prong) taken (more = more intense)


## Reproductive Status of Harvested Plants



Status

## What percent of harvested plants were undersized (either 1 prong or 2 prong)?

Number of Plants Harvested


## Summary - Frequency \& Intensity

Almost half of the harvested populations were harvested more than once during the study period Although harvesters find and remove only a small percent of plants, they frequently take nonreproductive and small plants

## What percent of harvests were illegal?

## Illegal Harvest



What percent of harvests were legal (in all 3 respects)?

## - $5.88 \%$ of harvests

## 5 plants were legally

 harvested (out of 368)!
## Summary - legality of harvesting

Compliance with harvest regulations is extremely low (season>place>size)

## Effects of Non-Compliance

## Effect of Harvester Behavior



## Case Study of An Illegal Harvest


-48 plants - Nature preserve - Harvest directly observed


## Illegal Harvest in KY

## Size Distribution

a
Reproductive Status of Harvested Plants


## Berry Ripening Across the Range



## Harvest Season Start Dates



## Summary \& Conclusions

$\checkmark$ Harvest rates are relatively low
$\checkmark$ Managers of nature preserves, state parks, and state forests have a particularly difficult time preventing harvest:
$\checkmark$-the land is accessible
$\checkmark$-surreptitious harvest is difficult to detect
$\sqrt{ }$-the small size of roots makes concealing them easy
$\checkmark$ Harvest frequency and intensity are high

## Summary \& Conclusions

Compliance with regulations is low
Continued low-level, non-compliant harvest is unsustainable

Harvest seasons in seven states remain out of sync with the timing of seed ripening: PA, KY, TN, VA, GA, MD, VT

## Summary \& Conclusions

Size of Ginseng Plants at Age 5


No. of plants


150 of 519 seedlings (29\%) survived
11 of 150 (7\%) had seeds
139 of 150 (93\%) had 1 or 2 leaves

2 leaf


Size (Leaf Number)
Five year old plants in wild populations are immature, nonreproductive, and cannot be sustainably harvested Age is a poor criterion for determining when harvest should occur

## Summary \& Conclusions

Size is a better criterion for harvest, but restrictions need to be verifiable and enforceable; they currently are not (exception - Wisconsin)
 democracy at its best. It requires citizens to practice the hardest of virtues - self-restraint."
Edwin Way Teale, Circle of the Seasons, 1953
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