

Overview of Cost Analysis

Methodology

Considered:

- Cost Premium for Renewable Generation
- Annual Increments of Chosen Resources
- Prices Paid Under Long-Term Contracts
- Aggregate Compliance Costs
- Wholesale Price and Air Emissions Reductions
- UCAP Revenues
- Net Ratepayer Bill Impacts

Cost Premium for Renewable Generation

- Used to construct supply curve
- Assumes renewable generators "price takers"
- Dependent on RECs or other mechanism to recover above-market costs
- Assumes use of CFDs over 15 year contracts

Annual Increments of Chosen Resources

- Assumes contracts to lowest cost resources first
- Each year individually modeled

Prices Paid Under Long-Term Contracts

- Sum of costs for all contracted resources (cost-based approach) to establish lower bound
- Alternative calculation (to bound upper limit) based on assumption each resource would receive same premium as market-clearing resource



 Annual compliance costs calculated as aggregate of prior years' and current year's long-term contract costs

Wholesale Price and Air Emissions Reductions

- Estimated resources displaced by RPS and effects on wholesale price and air emissions
- Modeling limited to years 2006, 2009 and 2013
- Intermediate years estimated through interpolation
- Wholesale price effects reduced to account for preexisting long-term "hedge" contracts
- Air emissions reductions by 2013:
 - NO_x 2,000 tons- 5.22%
 - SO₂ 7,000 tons- 6.04%
 - **CO**_{2 3,683,000 tons- 7.43%}

UCAP Revenues

- Calculated for years 2006, 2009 and 2013
- Intermediate years estimated through interpolation
- Limited to 10% capacity factor for intermittent wind resources
- Wholesale price effects reduced to account for pre-existing long-term "hedge" contracts

Net Ratepayer Bill Impacts

- Estimated by customer class for each utility
- Estimated for years 2006, 2009 and 2013
- Cumulative bill impacts by 2013:
 - Residential -1.38%-+2.66%
 - Commercial -1.19%-+3.29%
 - Industrial -2.36%-+5.31%

Key Assumptions

- Eligibility
- Tiers
- Market Structure
- Schedule of Targets
- Wholesale Market Prices
- Supply Curve
- Price Zones
- Treatment of Other Renewable Demands
- Additional Context
- Other Effects

Eligibility (generation on-line after 1/1/03)

- Preliminary eligibility assumptions
- Wind
- New low impact hydro (<30 MW, upgrades to existing facilities)
- Biomass (including co-firing)
- Landfill Gas
- Manure Digestion
- Solar
- Geothermal
- Ocean (tidal, wave)
- Fuel Cells

Tiers

Main Tier

Consists of technologies to compete "head to head"

Sell output in wholesale market

- Customer-Sited Tier
 - Installed by end-users
 - Commodity value function of displaced retail rate

Market Structure

- Long term contracts to meet each year's target
- Lowest cost awarded first
- Upper and lower bounds of potential costs calculated (market-clearing approach vs. cost-based approach)

Schedule of Targets

- Begin in 2006 (2005 renewables 19.2%)
- Add equal % each year through 2013 to reach 25% goal
- Baseline adjusted to reflect possible attrition of small hydros and expansion of green markets

Wholesale Market Prices

- MAPS model estimated generation units displaced by RPS
- Quantified wholesale price & air emissions effects of displacement
- UCAP revenues calculated using "demand curve" methodology
- Supply & UCAP effects discounted to account for hedges

Supply Curve

- Contains resources & technologies expected to be major RPS contributors
- Broad & flat in shape
- Any reordering would probably have minimal effect

Price Zones

- 11 NYISO zones
- Aggregated into 3 "megazones"
- Captures vast majority of state price differentials
- Within each megazone, prices similar
 & transmission constraints minimal

Treatment of Other Renewable Demands

- Green Marketing
- Executive Order 111
- Demand from NE RPS
- Not considered (expected to be net exporters):
 - PJM RPS
 - Hydro Quebec
 - Ontario RPS

Additional Context

- Higher LBMP lower REC; based on CFD approach
- Resources added in Zones 1&2 may be less costly than shown in analysis
- Procurement design will effect actual RPS cost
- Analysis does not reflect strategic bidding or market power
- Ignores potential of SBC funds to "buy down" costs of renewables
- Ignores potential improvements in capacity factors

Other Effects

- Introduction of large wind resources could cause increase in regulation service & capacity reserve requirements
- Displacement of fossil-fueled generation could put downward pressure on those fuels regionally
- Does not capture effects of fuel diversity as a hedge on retail electric rates

Imports

- 32.5% of RPS MWh estimated to come from imports
- Hydro upgrades from Ontario & Quebec; wind from PJM
- NE assumed to be a net importer of renewables
- Siting issues neccessitate imports to reach goal
- Assuming no imports increases RPS costs due to replacement by higher cost in-state generation
- Must satisfy hourly matching rules for delivery except intermittants may use monthly matching

Factors Effecting Actual Results

- Assumed CFD- Actual used fixed REC price
- Assumed long-term contracts- Actual contracts up to 10 years
- Assumed ample time for projects to come on line-Actual results effected by rush to capitalize on PTC
- Assumed cost assumptions in late 2003- Actual costs likely higher due to:
 - Increased costs of steel
 - Effects of a weak dollar
 - Turbine availability

Administrative Costs

- New York State Energy Research & Development Authority (NYSERDA) RPS administrator
- Estimated direct payroll, fringe benefits, indirect labor, overheads, outside consulting, and nonpersonnel service costs
- Includes yearly cost estimates of administering, monitoring, and evaluating the RPS program
- Budget of \$3.2 million per year, on average, through 2013
- Does not include potential NYS government fees NYSERDA may incur

Detailed Information

- All documents related to NY Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Case 03-E-0188 may be viewed at:
- www.dps.state.ny.us
- Click on "What's New"
- Click on "Renewable Portfolio Standard"