

**U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Land and Water Conservation Fund
FY 2003 Land Acquisition Priority System Component Scores**

RANK	REGION	PROJECT	PROJECT SUMMARY	FISHERIES	ENDANGERED AND	BIRD	ECOSYSTEM	TOTALS
				AND AQUATIC RESOURCES	THREATENED SPECIES			
1	3	Upper Mississippi River	25	169	153	200	170	717
2	4	Cache River Complex		188	185	145	190	708
3	3	Great River		153	180	175	190	698
4	4	Pond Creek	25	178	200	120	175	698
5	3	Northern Tallgrass		155	200	140	200	695
6	4	St. Marks	25	140	200	130	200	695
7	3	Big Muddy		166	158	186	170	680
8	4	J.N. Ding Darling Complex		116	200	165	195	676
9	4	White River	25	160	151	150	190	676
10	5	Chesapeake Marshlands		170	200	140	160	670
11	6	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie		84	200	185	200	669
12	4	SE Louisiana Complex		150	178	180	160	668
13	5	Silvio O. Conte		128	200	140	200	668
14	1	San Diego NWR		87	200	180	200	667
15	4	Lower Suwannee		169	200	125	170	664
16	2	Laguna Atascosa		121	200	175	155	651
17	1	San Joaquin River		122	200	180	145	647
18	1	Don Edwards SF Bay Complex		140	200	170	130	640
19	2	Lower Rio Grande		100	200	190	150	640
20	4	Chickasaw/Lower Hatchie		149	189	115	185	638
21	1	Willapa		135	200	170	130	635
22	6	Charles M. Russell	10	76	159	180	195	620
23	2	Trinity River		155	171	120	160	606
24	7	Yukon Delta	50	137	69	190	160	606
25	5	Back Bay		159	113	200	130	602
26	3	Ottawa		137	200	170	95	602
27	5	E. B. Forsythe		134	109	190	165	598
28	1	Sacramento Complex		171	146	160	120	597
29	7	Togiak	50	106	69	185	175	585
30	6	Western Montana		103	136	145	200	584
31	7	Izembek	50	118	69	190	155	582
32		No Project						
33	2	Buenos Aires	50	63	200	165	100	578
34	4	Waccamaw		162	153	100	160	575
35	4	Grand Bay		144	175	150	105	574
36	4	National Key Deer/Crocodile Lake	10	136	200	135	80	561
37	3	Cypress Creek		156	136	125	140	557
38	1	Stone Lakes		133	168	175	80	556
39	5	Cape May		125	90	190	150	555
40	1	Hakalau Forest	50	35	200	140	120	545
41	4	St. Vincent	10	127	183	130	95	545
42	3	Illinois River		128	165	110	140	543
43	1	Desert NWR Complex	50	102	200	110	80	542
44	4	Alligator River		151	104	95	190	540

**U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Land and Water Conservation Fund
FY 2003 Land Acquisition Priority System Component Scores**

RANK	REGION	PROJECT	PROJECT SUMMARY	FISHERIES	ENDANGERED AND	BIRD	ECOSYSTEM	TOTALS
				AND AQUATIC RESOURCES	THREATENED SPECIES			
45	5	Rachel Carson		137	138	150	115	540
46	4	Savannah		162	107	150	120	539
47	1	Saddle Mountain/Hanford Reach	25	99	108	170	135	537
48	4	Black Bayou Lake		153	102	125	155	535
49	3	Patoka River		154	145	140	95	534
50	4	Pocosin Lakes		137	112	90	195	534
51	2	San Bernard/Austin's Woods Addition		165	37	180	150	532
52	2	Balcones Canyonlands		72	200	105	155	532
53	4	Bon Secour		97	200	135	100	532
54	7	Alaska Peninsula/Becharof	50	80	51	190	160	531
55	6	North Dakota WMA		79	111	175	165	530
56	5	Wertheim	50	110	53	140	175	528
57	5	Long Island Complex	50	110	53	140	175	528
58	4	Florida Panther	50	98	148	100	130	526
59	1	Oregon Coast Complex		141	126	170	80	517
60	2	Aransas	50	78	200	125	60	513
61	4	Key Cave		92	200	156	65	513
62	7	Kodiak	50	76	68	170	145	509
63	4	Crystal River		139	200	100	65	504
64	4	Cat Island		158	124	105	115	502
65	3	Grand Kankakee Marsh		132	128	125	110	495
66	6	National Bison Range	25	71	130	140	125	491
67	6	Red Rock Lakes		82	109	145	155	491
68	4	SE Louisiana Sub Complex		150	136	110	95	491
69	4	Hobe Sound	10	130	200	90	60	490
70	3	Nececah		91	125	140	130	486
71	1	Ridgefield Complex		123	158	150	55	486
72	7	Yukon Flats	50	106	5	165	160	486
73	4	Pelican Island	25	108	200	95	55	483
74	5	Prime Hook	25	127	95	185	50	482
75	1	Nisqually Complex		157	123	100	100	480
76		No Project						
77	5	Eastern VA Rivers		151	128	110	90	479
78	5	Rappahannock River Valley		151	128	110	90	479
79	4	Roanoke River		176	41	90	170	477
80	5	Erie		167	83	130	95	475
81	4	Currituck		130	107	100	130	467
82	2	Attwater Prairie Chicken		58	200	100	105	463
83	6	Marais des Cygnes	10	104	148	105	90	457
84	5	Parker River	50	136	90	140	40	456
85	5	Ohio River Islands		141	116	115	80	452
86	1	Palmyra Atoll	50	71	71	145	110	447
87	7	Kenai	50	84	5	145	160	444
88	5	Pettaquamscutt Cove	10	118	72	140	100	440

**U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Land and Water Conservation Fund
FY 2003 Land Acquisition Priority System Component Scores**

RANK	REGION	PROJECT	PROJECT SUMMARY	FISHERIES	ENDANGERED AND	BIRD	ECOSYSTEM	TOTALS
				AND AQUATIC RESOURCES	THREATENED SPECIES			
89	5	Rhode Island Complex	10	118	72	140	100	440
90	3	Black Creek		157	61	140	80	438
91	6	Boyer Chute		133	120	90	85	428
92	5	E. MA Complex South		86	93	180	65	424
93	5	Great Meadows		86	93	180	65	424
94	2	Ozark Plateau		85	194	95	50	424
95	1	Klamath Basin Complex		105	61	140	115	421
96	7	Tetlin	50	114	5	95	155	419
97	5	Moosehorn	25	100	93	145	55	418
98	5	Northern ME Complex	25	100	93	145	55	418
99	7	Kanuti	50	114	5	93	155	417
100	4	Tensas River		125	54	95	140	414
101	5	Eastern Shore of VA		125	63	160	65	413
102	7	Tualatin River		153	51	135	70	409
103	5	ME Coastal Is. Complex		116	72	145	75	408
104	5	Petit Manan		116	72	145	75	408
105	5	Great Dismal Swamp	25	134	16	80	150	405
106	6	Colorado River WMA		152	125	70	50	397
107	4	LA Black Bear Complex		114	47	95	140	396
108	3	Shiawassee		113	53	180	50	396
109	4	Bond Swamp		178	51	75	90	394
110	5	Potomac River Complex	50	91	53	110	90	394
111	5	S. B. McKinney		119	50	125	100	394
112	3	Minnesota Valley		132	25	120	115	392
113	4	Archie Carr		68	200	70	50	388
114	6	Cokeville Meadows		99	43	150	95	387
115	5	Walkill River		133	30	120	95	378
116	6	Alamosa/Monte Vista	50	88	67	95	65	365
117	1	Turnbull	10	68	62	85	140	365
118	2	Bitter Lake		74	132	90	65	361
119	5	Great Bay	10	108	43	100	100	361
120	6	Bear River		61	46	135	115	357
121	3	Horicon		90	35	130	100	355
122	3	Whittlesey Creek		124	17	95	114	350
123	4	Clarks River		133	46	85	85	349
124	4	Mackay Island		133	40	95	74	342
125	5	Montezuma		102	20	170	50	342
126	3	Neal Smith		79	100	85	75	339
127	5	E MA Complex North	25	100	6	125	82	338
128	5	Lake Umbagog		108	44	105	70	327
129	5	Canaan Valley		56	47	110	110	323
130	5	Chincoteague	10	91	71	110	35	317
131	5	Great Swamp	10	127	45	105	30	317
132	5	Missisquoi	50	138	17	100	10	315

**U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Land and Water Conservation Fund
FY 2003 Land Acquisition Priority System Component Scores**

RANK	REGION	PROJECT	PROJECT SUMMARY	FISHERIES	ENDANGERED AND	BIRD	ECOSYSTEM	TOTALS
				AND AQUATIC RESOURCES	THREATENED SPECIES			
133	3	Glacial Ridge		66	28	140	80	314
134	5	Bombay Hook	50	122	20	100	19	311
135	5	Iroquois	50	90	21	115	35	311
136	5	Supawna Meadows		129	21	100	60	310
137	4	Sandy Point	25	64	155	60	0	304
138	1	James Campbell		135	101	55	10	301
139	4	Lake Wales Ridge		5	200	70	25	300
140	3	Kirtland's Warbler		0	200	45	40	285
141	6	Lee Metcalf	25	71	62	85	40	283
142	3	Crane Meadows		55	41	120	65	281
143	6	Marais des Cygenes		46	105	95	35	281
144	6	Baca NWR		31	50	95	95	271
145	3	Fairfield Marsh WPA		86	8	75	90	259
146	3	Driftless Area		28	83	70	75	256
147	5	Sunkhaze Meadows		99	18	80	40	237
148	5	Patuxent Research Refuge		78	13	105	20	216
149	3	Michigan Islands		53	47	70	25	195
150	1	Coachella Vally	50	0	51	60	20	181