

Rishi.Raj@Colorado.EDU (Boulder)

Polymer Derived EBCs for Protecting Si_3N_4 in Gas Turbine Environments

ORNL-EBC Meeting (Nashville) Nov. 18-19, 2003

Sponsors: Honeywell and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

THE PROBLEM

Silicon Nitride Vanes

1066°C-1260°C; 8.9 atm, $p_{H2O} = 0.101$

162m/s to 573 m/sec

• 27% of cross section lost in 1818 h

• recession and mechanical degradation

 \cdot Si₃N₄ grains are oxidizing and then volatilizing

"Evaluation of Mechanical Reliability of Silicon Nitride Vanes after Field Tests in an Industrial Gas Turbine" Liu, Ferber, Westphal and Macri(ORNL report - I assume)

A Tenet

silica passivation mechanism for oxidation protection is not viable for the exposed surfaces of $Si₃N₄$ vanes and blades in humid combustion environment

Opila et al. (JACerS)

Design Elements of EBCs

- oxide overlayer: for chemically stable
- graded interface: prevent thermal shock
- diffusion barrier: for oxygen
- *interfaces*: as nucleation barriers to silica

Materials Selection (Oxide Overlayer)

- Transition Metal Oxides (ZrO₂, HfO₂, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂)
- Base Metal Oxides $(Al_2O_3, MgO$ etc.)
- Complex Oxides (YAG, Perovskites, etc.)
- Silicates (Mullite, etc.)

simple oxides are process friendly more likely to be implemented

Materials Selection (Bond Layer)

Why PDCs (silicon carbonitride-SiCN)?

- apparently compatible with oxides
- apparently ultra-slow diffusion at ultrahigh temperatures
- reasonable processing experience at Colorado

PDCs (silicon carbonitride-SiCN)?

Missing Science

• apparently compatible with oxides

the nature of oxide-SiCN interface not known

• apparently ultra-slow diffusion at ultrahigh temperatures

information is phenomenological not mechanistic

• reasonable processing experience at Colorado

structure of SiCN (and how it relates to process) not known

Where we are and where we are going?

Current Knowledge:

- ultralow diffusivity suggested by resistance to creep and crystallization
- emerging evidence of compatibility with oxides
- emerging knowledge of the fundamental unit of SiCN-SiCO structure

Research Plan:

• ABC* composites to evaluate interfacial reactions after exposure to Keiser Rig - comprehensive evaluation and modeling

• evaluate thermal shock in ABC composites

*SiCN-Oxide-Si₃N₄ composites

Composition Diagram for SiCN

Silicon Carbonitride (SiCN)

H.-J. Kleebe (CSM)

Wt. Loss at Hi Temperatures

Courtesy: Riedel

Resistance to Crystallization

Summary of Creep Results

- mobile molecules are LARGE $($ \sim 1-2 nm)
- long range diffusion extremely slow

Concepts of Creep in (silicate) Glasses and in Polycrystalline Materials are NOT Applicable

crystallization and creep studies show that self diffusivity in SiCN is extremely slow

what is the structural origin? - cannot be explained by diffusion in "glass"

SiCN apparently has a NanoDomain structure which remains intact up to ultrahigh temperatures

Schempp, Duerr, Lamparter, Bill and Aldinger (1998):

The Size of NanoDomains from SAXS+SANS

Structure of the NanoDomains?

Two Types of Structures May be Conceptualized

"domain size is controlled by the number of Si-O-C bonds"

Higher Carbon Decreases the Domain Size: Type II

Since the domain size increases with lower carbon (or higher oxygen) the Type II structure is accepted

inference: carbon walls prevent diffusion

Emerging Picture of the Structural Unit in SiCN

a carbon cage structure with sequestered nanodomains of silica or silicon nitride which are unable to coarsen by diffusion, and are too small to nucleate crystals

SiCN - Zirconia NanoComposites

SiCN-Zirconia:

Courtesy Kleebe

Oxidation Study of SiCN-ZrO $_{\rm 2}$

theme: modeling!

$$
Phenomenology: \t\t h^2 = k_{xp}t \t\t k_p = C.k_{xp}
$$

Reaction is Composition Dependent:

$$
SiC_xN_yO_z + (1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{z}{2}).O_2 = SiO_2 + xCO + \frac{y}{2}N_2
$$

$$
\alpha = (1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{z}{2})
$$

Mass Balance and Geometry:

$$
V_{ox} = V_{so_2} + n_{zr} \cdot V_{zro_2}
$$

$$
\frac{dh}{dt} = J_{o_2} \cdot \frac{V_{so_2} + n_{zr} \cdot V_{zro_2}}{\alpha}
$$

\nFlux Equation:
$$
J_{o_2} = \frac{D_{o_2}}{V_{so_2}RT} \cdot \frac{\Delta \mu_{o_2}}{h}
$$

$$
k_{xp} = k_p \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot (1 + n_{zr} \frac{V_{zro_2}}{V_{so_2}})
$$

\nFinal Result:
$$
h^2 = \frac{D_{o_2} \cdot \Delta \mu_{o_2}}{RT} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot (1 + n_{zr} \frac{V_{zro_2}}{V_{so_2}}) \cdot t
$$

$$
k_p = \frac{D_{o_2} \cdot \Delta \mu_{o_2}}{RT}
$$

More on the compatibility of Oxides and Non-Oxides in PDC structures.

Influence of 1-5% Silica Flux on Creep Behavior of Polycrystalline Silicon Nitride

OSBORNE, PROC. BRITISH CERAMIC SOC. #25, 1975.

SiCN can tolerate high oxygen content without degradation of HT properties.

Intensity

The Approach

Phase I

The detailed study of ABC composites will provide scientific knowledge of the interface reactions, rate of propagation of the oxidation front (in the Keiser Rig), and the thermal shock behavior.

Basic research issues which will be addressed by the ABC composites.

- Diffusivity study progression of the oxidation overgrowth.
- Thermal Shock study damage as a function of particle size and the three kinds of interfaces (AB, BC and AC).
- Subsurface Nucleation of Silica study nucleation a oxide/SiCN and SiCN/Si₃N₄ interfaces.

Merits of PDC based EBCs

- Low Diffusivity
- Compatibility of SiCN with Oxides

*FOM=strength/(E-Modulus x CTE)