



S 11607-342
S71707-49

PIUMPF

Boyd Young
Chairman
Ronald D. Hackney
Secretary

Maria F. Wieck, CPA, CEBS
Administrative Officer
Trevor K. England, CPA
Financial Officer

September 14, 2007

Ms. Nancy Morris, Secretary
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street NE
Washington, DC 20549-1090



Re: File Numbers S7-16-07 and S7-17-07

Dear Secretary Morris:

I am writing to comment on the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) proposed rules regarding shareholder resolutions related to the election of directors. I am also providing comments on the open-ended questions posed by the SEC regarding the filing of non-binding resolutions under Rule 14a-8.

In my opinion, the SEC should reject both proposed rules in their current form and should not make any changes to shareholders' rights to file non-binding resolutions.

Please be mindful that I am writing on behalf of the Board of Trustees for the PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund. PIUMPF is a Taft-Hartley Trust with \$2 billion in assets, covering 75,000 fund participants. The PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund is available to all 850,000 USW International Union members. Both the USW members and the Fund's participants participate in the capital markets as individual investors through IRAs and mutual funds.

Last year, the federal courts made it clear that, under the SEC's current rules, investors have the right to raise, through the shareholder resolution process, the issue of shareholder-nominated board candidates being included on the company's proxy solicitation.

As a result, this year, the proxy access issue came to a vote at Hewlett-Packard and UnitedHealth. At both companies, these resolutions received extraordinarily high levels of support. These developments constitute an improvement in our corporate governance system. There is no evidence that the return of the proxy access issue to the shareholder resolution system has harmed investors, companies or the markets.

Nonetheless, the first SEC proposal would flatly roll back investor rights in this area. The second proposal would place restrictions on shareholders' exercise of those rights that would effectively make those rights a dead letter. Moreover, the second proposal does further injury to investors by raising the possibility of various dramatic rollbacks of shareholder rights to bring resolutions in general.

PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund

3320 Perimeter Hill Drive • Nashville, Tennessee 37211-4123 • 1-800-474-8673 • 615-333-6343 • 615-333-5760 (Fax)
website: www.uswbenefitfunds.org • e-mail: pensions@uswbenefitfunds.com

Secretary Morris Letter
September 14, 2007
Page 2

With the recent corporate scandals, including backdating of management stock options and unjustified executive pay awards, there clearly remain serious deficiencies in the board oversight of corporate management. By proposing to limit the right of shareholders to hold boards accountable through director elections with its proposed rules, the SEC will erode investor confidence in "fair, orderly, and efficient markets" in direct contradiction to its stated mission.

Finally, with the announced departure of Commissioner Roel Campos and other potential changes at the Commission, the SEC should defer action on these far-reaching proposed rules until a full complement of Commissioners is able to give any proposed changes its full attention.

In my opinion, the SEC should withdraw both of its proposed rules and instead allow shareholders to continue to road test the new opportunities available as a result of the AIG decision. Moreover, I see no need for the SEC to make any changes in Rule 14a-8 and would oppose any changes in the rules regarding non-binding resolutions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Boyd Young", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Boyd Young, Chairman
PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund
& USW President Emeritus