INDEX

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

DENNIS E. METCALF AND SUSAN GARIFO FURST

Witnesses for Bonneville Power Administration

SUBJECT: Rebuttal Testimony for Non-Federal Transmission Cost for GTA Customers' Non-Federal Power Purchases

		Page
Section 1.	Introduction and Purpose of Testimony	1
Section 2.	Response to Parties' Testimony	1

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF					
2		DENNIS E. METCALF AND SUSAN GARIFO FURST				
3		Witnesses for Bonneville Power Administration				
4						
5	SUBJ	SUBJECT: REBUTTAL TESTIMONY FOR NON-FEDERAL TRANSMISSION CO				
6	FOR GTA CUSTOMERS' NON-FEDERAL POWER PURCHASES					
7	Sectio	1. Introduction and Purpose of Testimony				
8	Q.	Please state your names and qualifications.				
9	A.	My name is Dennis E. Metcalf. My qualifications are contained in WP-02-Q-BPA-49.				
10	A.	My name is Susan Garifo Furst. My qualifications are contained in WP-02-Q-BPA-24.				
11	Q.	Please state the purpose of your testimony.				
12	A.	. The purpose of this testimony is to rebut testimony from certain parties regarding the				
13		application of Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) proposal for the payment for				
14		non-Federal transmission for non-Federal power to the South Idaho Exchange customers.				
15	Sectio	2. Response to Parties' Testimony				
16	Q.	Please summarize the parties' testimony regarding the inclusion of the South Idaho				
17		Exchange Customers in the payment for non-Federal transmission for non-Federal				
18		power.				
19	A.	The Idaho Consumer-Owned Utilities Association (ICUA) proposes that BPA include				
20		he costs associated with the delivery of non-Federal power either through the South				
21		daho Exchange or a "functionally equivalent replacement" and eliminate the				
22		requirement that such deliveries come from the BPA network. Gendron,				
23		WP-02-E-ID-01, at 3. Northwest Requirements Utilities (NRU) and the Pacific				
24		Northwest Generating Cooperative (PNGC), both state that the South Idaho Exchange				
25		serves a similar function to General Transfer Agreements (GTA), and propose that the				
26		South Idaho Exchange mechanism be eligible for non-Federal power under BPA's				

	n	
1		proposal. Saven, WP-02-E-NI-03, at 10 and Holt and Scott, WP-02-E-PN-01, at 6-7.
2		PNGC also proposes that BPA's criteria should be modified to eliminate the requirement
3		that non-Federal power originate from the BPA system for those customers served
4		through the South Idaho Exchange. Holt and Scott, WP-02-E-PN-01, at 7-8.
5	Q.	Are any modifications needed to BPA's proposal to allow the mechanism employed in
6		the South Idaho Exchange to be eligible under the proposal?
7	A.	No. The only issue concerns whether PacifiCorp is able or willing to provide
8		transmission from its western to its eastern system, either by allowing third party
9		deliveries over the South Idaho Exchange or through the redispatch provisions in its
10		Open Access Tariffs. The Transmission Business Line (TBL) takes no position on
11		whether PacifiCorp can or should provide such service, but is willing to work with GTA
12		customers and PacifiCorp in an attempt to reach such an arrangement. If GTA
13		customers succeed in acquiring such service for non-Federal deliveries, then TBL would
14		pay for that service subject to the conditions of the TBL proposal.
15	Q.	Both ICUA and PNGC propose that BPA should modify its proposal to eliminate the
16		requirement that non-Federal power originate from the BPA system. Is that change
17		necessary for the South Idaho Exchange customers to benefit from TBL's proposal for
18		purchases made on the east side of the region?
19	A.	No. The Utah Power GTA provides for the delivery of power from Goshen Substation
20		to the GTA customer, and the portion of Goshen Substation owned by BPA is in
21		Bonneville's Network. Therefore, under the TBL proposal, TBL would pay for
22		non-Federal transmission from Goshen to the GTA customers' Points of Delivery. The
23		customer would be responsible for costs of delivering non-Federal power to Goshen. In
24		other words, if the customer arranges for delivery of non-Federal power to Goshen, BPA
25		will include the Network-equivalent costs of the non-Federal transmission from Goshen
26		to the customer subject to the conditions of the TBL proposal.

1	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
2	A.	Yes.
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		