Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary
Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:	4/3/2009
2. Agency:	Department of Justice
3. Bureau:	Justice Management Division
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communication (LEWC)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	011-03-01-03-01-1640-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)	Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The Integrated Wireless Network (IWN), allows the Department to rapidly establish and implement a minimum operational baseline to achieve its strategic goals, while allowing for increased functionality when funding permits. The consolidated IWN approach leverages existing Agency radio sites wherever practical, while focusing on providing efficient, comparable coverage for ATF, DEA, FBI, and the USMS. All four Law Enforcement components will share a unified radio network, thus eliminating redundant coverage and duplicative radio sites.

These capabilities will support wide range of DOJ agent missions including, but not limited to: investigative or counterintelligence surveillance operations; protective details for VIPs; fugitive or other task force arrest operations; and coordination with state and local law enforcement during an emergency scenario.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	5/12/2006
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?	
Name	Oden, Claude
Phone Number	202-598-2000
Email	claude.m.oden@usdoj.gov
a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager?	Senior/Expert/DAWIA-Level 3
b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned?	11/30/2005
c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification?	4/2/2009
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	No
a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all that apply:	Expanded E-Government

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 3
17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	Yes
19. Is this a financial management system?	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	No

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	62
Software	2
Services	35
Other	1

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name	Hitch, Vance
Phone Number	202-514-0507
Title	Chief Information Officer
E-mail	Vance.Hitch@usdoj.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO   No
High Risk Areas?

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)								
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010	BY+1 2011	BY+2 2012	BY+3 2013	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	25.224	0.65	8.3	8.2					
Acquisition:	298.464	0	81.7	95.5					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	323.688	0.65	90.0	103.7					
Operations & Maintenance:	392.616	71.756	93.076	98.238					
TOTAL:	716.304	72.406	183.076	201.938					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.								
Government FTE Costs	14.083	1.854	1.924	3.205					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	49	19	19	35					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional   Yes
FTE's?

    a. If "yes," How many and in what year?   16 additional FTE are required in FY2010

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																* Costs in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order ($M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer FAC-C or DAWIA Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N)
DJJ06-C-1410	FFP, T&M, CPFF, CPIF, CPAF	Yes	6/8/2006	6/8/2006	5/31/2021		Yes	Yes		NA	Yes	Yes	Lowenberg, Stacey	(202) 598-2006/ stacey.b.lowenberg@usdoj.gov	N/A	Yes
DJJ-05-F-1125	T&M	Yes	11/9/2004	11/9/2004	9/30/2009	26.31	No	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	Lowenberg, Stacey	(202) 598-2006/ stacey.b.lowenberg@usdoj.gov	N/A	Yes

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The following contract does not require EVM for the following reasons:

Contract 2) This contract/task order expires September 09, all EVM for this contract is managed by the PMO.

All future DME contracts/task orders will be requiring the contractor to provide ANSI/EIA 748 compliant EVM data and reports.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?   Yes

a. Explain why not or how this is being done?

4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements   Yes
of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with
agency requirements?

    a. If "yes," what is the date?   8/13/2004

        1. Is it Current?   Yes

    b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

        1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	N/A	$1.3 million	$1.331 million
2007	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	48% portable; 62% mobile	52% portable; 64% mobile	52% portable; 64% mobile
2008	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	3% discount of total contract purchase price
2008	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	52% portable; 64% mobile	56% portable; 68% mobile	56% portable; 68% mobile
2009	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2009	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	56%portable; 68% mobile	65% portable; 77% mobile	TBD
2010	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD
2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	65% portable; 77% mobile	70% portable; 82% mobile	TBD
2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Narrow Band Conversion	30%	31%	TBD
2011	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD
2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice Units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	70% portable; 82% mobile	90% portable; 100% mobile	TBD
2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Narrowband Conversion	31%	37%	TBD
2012	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	10%	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD
2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice Units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	90% portable; 100% modbile	95% portable; 100% mobile	TBD
2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Narrowband conversion	37%	66%	TBD

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the

investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment?:

    a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part   Yes
of the overall risk management effort for each system
supporting or part of this investment?

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	**Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?**	**Planned Operational Date**	**Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)**
IWN	Government Only	10/3/2016	9/30/2016
IWN	Government Only	10/1/2012	9/28/2012
IWN	Government Only	10/1/2012	9/28/2012
IWN	Government Only	10/1/2013	9/30/2013
IWN	Government Only	10/1/2014	9/30/2014
IWN	Government Only	10/1/2016	9/30/2016
IWN	Government Only	10/3/2016	9/30/2016

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	**Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?**	**NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)**	**Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)**	**Date Completed: C&A**	**What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)**	**Date Completed: Security Control Testing**	**Date the contingency plan tested**
IWN	Government Only		yes	2/29/2008	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	3/12/2009	4/2/2009
IWN	Government Only		yes	2/29/2008	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	3/12/2009	4/2/2009

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

    a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

    a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
IWN	No	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	No	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act fo this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act.
IWN	Yes	No	A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act.

Details for Text Options:
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

    a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Integrated Wireless Network (IWN)

    b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture? Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov. 115-000

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Back Office	IWN will	Back Office	Development	Enterprise			No Reuse	19

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Services	integrate communications systems.	Services	and Integration	Application Integration				
Back Office Service	IWN will upgrade/modernize selected DOJ component LMR	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration			No Reuse	15
Business Management Services	IWN Program/Project Management will establish the programmatic framework for the nationwide deployment of an integrated network	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management			No Reuse	6
Business Management Services	IWN will develop the methodology for voice communication	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	3
Business Management Services	IWN will develop nationwide system	Business Management Services	Organizational Management	Network Management			No Reuse	6
Support Services	IWN will support Agents/Officers.	Support Services	Communication	Voice Communications			No Reuse	50

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Internet Protocol
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Internet Protocol
Voice Communications	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Project 25
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	Project 25

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)?   No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?   Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?   4/25/2008

    b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

    c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Alternative Analysis Results: Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			* Costs in millions
Alternative Analyzed	**Description of Alternative**	**Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate**	**Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate**
3. IWN	For the near term, maximizes use of existing capital assets and infrastructure for metropolitan areas while providing dependable service through conventional means to rural areas. GD proposes to provide risk-managed, rapid rollout of LMR system to meet mission critical voice demand.	1.2	0.2902

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

The IWN was selected based on the following criteria:

Adherence to Administration's Policy Guidance

+President's Management Agenda for Expanding E-Government
  -Improve government effectiveness and efficiency, achieving costs savings where possible; and
  -Results oriented - creating value for both citizens and government

+Adherence to Clinger-Cohen of 1996 for modular implementation

+Adherence to goals set for Government to Government initiatives:
  -Facilitate better leverage of investments in IT systems;
  -Best applicability for interoperability across government agencies;
  -Provide better integration of key government operations, such as disaster response; and
  - Consideration for Project SAFECOM goals

Business Case Applicability

+Applicability to business case: meeting customer (users') functional requirements

+Consideration of emerging technologies

+Market-based, actively promoting innovative solutions and competition

+Plan to adopt commercial best practices

+Focus on improving and providing additional communications capabilites for officers/agents

Promotes principles of Good Government

+Focus on improving service quality

+Citizen-centered; not bureaucracy or agency-centered

+Strong privacy and security protections

  -Maximizing personal safety of officers/agents
  -Protecting civil liberties of citizens

+Reduce redundant IT spending across OMB's identified six lines of business

+Best value approach for the government

a. What year will the investment breakeven? (Specifically, when the budgeted costs savings exceed the cumulative costs.)   Beyond 2021

4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

1- Flexible communications services available wherever agents need to operate.
2- Increased security.
3- Communications services whenever they are needed.
4- Interoperable communications with agents/officers from other federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies.
5- Improved range.
6- Better voice quality.
7- Capable of Over-the Air-Rekeying.

5. Federal Quantitative Benefits				
What specific quantitative benefits will be realized (using current dollars) Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:				
	Budgeted Cost Savings	**Cost Avoidance**	**Justification for Budgeted Cost Savings**	**Justification for Budgeted Cost Avoidance**
PY - 1 2007 & Prior	0	0		
PY 2008	0	0		
CY 2009	0	43		Upfront infrastructure savings
BY 2010	0	0		
BY + 1 2011	0	82.5		Upfront infrastructure savings
BY + 2 2012	2.8	0		
BY + 3 2013	2.8	53.8		Upfront infrastructure savings
BY + 4 2014 & Beyond	59.6	46		Upfront infrastructure savings
Total LCC Benefit	65.2	225.3	LCC = Life-cycle Cost	

6. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?   Yes

   a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?   This Investment

   b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

5b. List of Legacy Investment or Systems		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	**UPI if available**	**Date of the System Retirement**

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?   Yes

   a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?   5/23/2008

   b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?   No

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Investment risks are identified in the system concept development phase where the cost estimates are made and the investment schedule is defined.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?   Yes

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)   No

a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?   No

a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in $ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Initial Baseline Total Cost ($M) Estimated	Current Baseline Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Planned	Current Baseline Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Actual	Current Baseline Total Cost ($M) Planned	Current Baseline Total Cost ($M) Actual	Current Baseline Variance Schedule (# days)	Current Baseline Variance Cost ($M)	Percent Complete
1		11/30/2008	$14.88	10/1/2009		$14.88				75%
3		9/30/2008	$18.45		5/21/2007	$18.48	$18.45		$0.03	100%
4		12/31/2007	$1.30	9/17/2009		$1.30				92%
5		7/31/2008	$5.05	9/15/2009		$5.05				81%
6		3/31/2008	$1.68	9/1/2008		$1.68				100%
7		1/31/2007	$3.65		1/31/2007	$3.65	$3.65		$0.00	100%
9		9/29/2009	$0.27	9/30/2009		$0.16				0%
10		9/30/2009	$1.98	9/30/2009		$1.72				0%
11		9/30/2009	$0.06	9/30/2009		$0.05				0%
12		9/30/2009	$0.06	9/30/2009		$0.05				0%
13		9/30/2009	$1.26	9/30/2009		$0.73				0%
14		9/30/2009	$0.43	9/30/2009		$0.21				0%
15		9/30/2009	$0.41	9/30/2009		$0.17				0%
16		9/30/2009	$0.55	9/30/2009		$0.38				0%
17		9/30/2009	$0.31	9/30/2009		$0.31				0%
18		9/30/2009	$0.38	9/30/2009		$0.38				0%
19		9/30/2009	$0.30	9/30/2009		$0.30				0%
20		9/30/2009	$0.20	9/30/2009		$0.20				0%
Project Totals										