Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 3/20/2009

Department of Justice 2. Agency:

Federal Bureau Of Investigation 3. Bureau:

FBI Next Generation Identification (NGI) 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

011-10-01-03-01-3199-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current

Mixed Life Cycle

status.)

7. What was the first budget year this investment was FY2009 submitted to OMB?

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The NGI supports the FBI's CJIS Division mission to reduce terrorist and criminal activities by providing timely, relevant criminal justice information to the law enforcement community. NGI supports various laws enacted to ensure the FBI biometric systems are able to seamlessly share data that is complete, accurate, current, and timely. CJIS operates and maintains the Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System (IAFIS), one of the largest repositories of biometric-supported Criminal History Record Information (CHRI) in the world. IAFIS provides electronic identification and criminal history services in support of more than 82,000 authorized criminal justice, civil, and international organizations. To ensure the integrity and quality of the services provided, IAFIS must be enhanced to take advantage of advances in filtering, feature extraction, and matching algorithms. The NGI Program is a compilation of capabilities that will improve, expand, and create new biometric identification services for the criminal justice, national security, and civil communities. In addition, the NGI will provide the frame work for a future multimodal system, and will facilitate biometric fusion identification techniques. The framework will be expandable, scalable, and flexible to be interoperable with existing systems, such as the DHS Automated Biometric Identification System (IDENT).

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

5/16/2008

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager?

Waiver Issued

b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned?

1/5/2009

c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification?

12/31/2008

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

No

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

No

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help

fund this investment?

- 2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
- 3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?
- 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Expanded E-Government

The NGI initiatives will allow the FBI to provide new services to state, local, and federal partners that supports the President's Management Agenda for electronic government. The FBI has worked with these partnering agencies through collaborative meetings such as the NGI workshop, Advisory Policy Board (APB) Working Group meetings, Compact Council, and the IAFIS Interface Evaluation Task Force (IIETF)meetings to identify new service requirements.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Yes the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

No

Yes

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

10002204 - Criminal Justice Services

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology?

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16 - 23

For information technology investments only:

- 16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Level 2 Guidance)
- 17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)
- (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
- 18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

Yes

- 19. Is this a financial management system?
- No
- a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?
 - 1. If "yes," which compliance area:
 - 2. If "no," what does it address?
- b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52
- 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware 35 Software

Services 18

40 Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

N/A

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment Yes appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO Yes High Risk Areas?

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

(Estim	Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)										
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010	BY+1 2011	BY+2 2012	BY+3 2013	BY+4 and beyond	Total		
Planning:	41.042	7.98	0.725	0							
Acquisition:	769.153	50.051	153.755	115.17							
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	810.195	58.031	154.480	115.17							
Operations & Maintenance:	174.506	40.27	62.274	33.816							
TOTAL:	984.701	98.301	216.754	148.986							
	Governme	nt FTE Costs	s should not	be included	l in the amou	unts provide	d above.				
Government FTE Costs	805.892	90.935	93.049	95.213							
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	13574	1250	1250	1250							

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

- 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional No FTE's?
 - a. If "yes," How many and in what year?
- 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

The Summary of Spending chart reflects the consolidation of the IAFIS and NGI 300s. The NGI portion includes the following: (1) Obligations for FY07; (2) Current and planned budget authority for FY08 and FY09; (3) Assumes recurral of the FY07 appropriation; (4) Budget estimates from the NGI Life Cycle Cost Estimate (LCCE) Model for FY10 and beyond based on functional rather than system requirements; (5) Approval to carry FY07 Appropriation into FY08. The NGI PO received a LCCE from the Requirements Study contractor in Jan 2007.

Outyear costs provided in the previous submission were estimates. Updated costs in this submission are the actual commitments and obligations. The projects that were approved by management and received funding for FY08 are the basis for the numbers that are in FY08 for this reporting period. The funding profile has been updated to reflect only those projects that have been approved by the CJIS Resource Management Board. The CJIS Division has implemented a structured Resource Management Process (RMP) to ensure that resources are appropriately applied to both IT projects and to Non-IT activities to minimize risks while maximizing benefits as defined by the Department, Bureau, and Division strategic goals and objectives. The RMP ensures proper control of limited funding and personnel resource allocations within the CJISD and provides a continuous cycle of selection, control and evaluation of all investments. As a result of this process, the CJISD is able to ensure that law enforcement and civil agencies receive timely and accurate criminal justice information. In addition, the CJISD continually assesses the extent to which its existing capital assets support achievement of strategic goals and objectives.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Ta	ntracts/Task Orders Table: * Costs in millions															
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	or Contract/	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Contract/	Interagene	Is it performanc e based? (Y/N)	Competitiv ely awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information	Contracting Officer FAC-C or	assigned has the competenci es and skills
(NGI) Development Contract		Yes	2/12/2008	2/12/2008	12/31/2017		No	Yes		NA	Yes	Yes				
(IAFIS) V797(049A3 G)P-070		Yes	6/30/2005	6/30/2005	9/30/2011		No	No		NA	Yes	Yes				
W91Q4204D		Yes	10/1/2005	10/1/2005	9/30/2011		No	No		NA	No	Yes				
	Time-and- Materials	Yes	8/1/2005	8/1/2005	9/30/2011		Yes	No		NA	Yes	Yes				
	Time-and- Materials	Yes	8/1/2007	8/1/2007	9/30/2009	1.513	No	No	No	NA	No	Yes				
	Firm-Fixed- Price	Yes	9/18/2006	9/18/2006	9/30/2011		No	No		NA	No	Yes				
	Time-and- Materials	Yes	5/31/2008	9/26/2008	9/30/2009	0.797	No	No	No	NA	No	Yes				
Latent Services	Time-and- Materials	No	9/15/2009													

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The IAFIS contract W91Q4204D0004 does not require EVM because it is an O&M contract. The remainder of the contracts not mandating EVM provisions are for level of effort (Time and Material) program management support services and EVM calculations are completed by the program management office.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

a. Explain why not or how this is being done?

The FBI requires contractors to comply with Federal Law regarding Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act to ensure the FBI develops, procures, maintains, and uses electronic information technology that allows federal employees with disabilities to have access to use information and data. The COTR or the program manager of the contract verify in writing that the contractor is either 508 compliant or document the specific reason for which compliance is waived or not required.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date?

4/13/2007

1. Is it Current?

Yes

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance II	nformation Table							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability and Response Time			
2007	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and Sex Offenders Database			
2007		Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2007	Prevent Terrorism and	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints			

Exhibit 300: FBI Next Generation Identification (NGI) (Revision 7)

Performance In	formation Table		. TBI NEXT GEI	leration identi	fication (NGI) ((Kevision 7)		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Promote the Nation s Security				as new modality.			
2008	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability and Response Time			
2008	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offenders database.			
2008	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a service provider to conduct a manual quality check processrocess			
2008	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality			
2009	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability and Response Time			
2009	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offenders database			
2009	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2009	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality			
2010	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability and Response Time of the IAFIS			
2010	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository			

Exhibit 300: FBI Next Generation Performance Information Table					neation (NOI)	(Kevision 7)		
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offenders database			
2010	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2010	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality			
2011	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS processing Capability and Response Time			
2011	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offenders database.			
2011	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2011	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality.			
2012	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability is 160,000 per day with a 2-hour Response Time for 95% of electronic routine criminal fingerprint transactions and 24 hours response time for 99% of civil fingerprint transactions			
2012	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected			

Exhibit 300: FBI Next Generation Identification (NGI) (Revision 7)

Performance Information Table				lication (NGI) (,	
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offenders database			
2012	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2012	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality.			
2013	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS Processing Capability is 160,000 per day with a 2-hour Response Time for 95% of electronic routine criminal fingerprint transactions and 24 hour response time for 99% of civil fingerprint transactions			
2013	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of Transactions and Response Time for IAFIS Fast Response to searches against the Repository for Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Suspected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offender database			
2013	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Percentage of all ten print transactions that require a Service Provider to conduct a Manual Quality Check process			
2013	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Redesign the IAFIS Repository to include Multimodal Repositories - Iris features will be enrolled in the repository designated by the contributor			
2014	Ensure the Fair and Efficient Operation of the Federal Justice System	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	IAFIS processing capability is 160,000 per day with a 2 hour response time for 95% of electornic routine criminal fingerprint transactions and 24 hour response time for 99% of civil fingerprint transactions			
2014	Prevent Crime, Enforce Federal Laws, and	Mission and Business Results	Law Enforcement	Citizen Protection	Number of transactions and response time			

Performance In	Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results			
	Represent the Rights and Interests of the American People				for IAFIS fast response to searches against the Repository for Individuals fo Special Concern (RISC) containing the Known or Sustpected Terrorists and the Wanted Persons, and sex offender database.						
2014		Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	Perenctage of all ten print transactions that require a service provider to conduct a manual quality check process						
2014	Prevent Terrorism and Promote the Nation s Security	Technology	Information and Data	External Data Sharing	Provide iris data and palmprints as a new modality						

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

- 1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment?:
- a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:
- 2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part Yes of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment?

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):									
Name of System Agency/ or Contractor Operated System? Planned Operational Date Planned Operational Date Op									
NGI	Government Only	9/30/2009	8/31/2009						

Name of System	System?	Planned Operational Date	or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)
NGI	Government Only	9/30/2009	8/31/2009

Exhibit 300: FBI Next Generation Identification (NGI) (Revision 7)

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	-	Date the contingency plan tested
IAFIS	Government Only	Yes		FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	5/7/2008	3/5/2008

- 5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?
- a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?
- 6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?
- a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.
- 7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operation	al Systems - Privacy Tal	ole:			
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
IAFIS	Yes		IPS and EIR PIAs covering this system will be completed shortly, based on conclusions reached in a completed Privacy Threshold Analysis. http://foia.fbi.gov/ips.ht m		FBI-009; http://edocket.access.gp o.gov/2007/E7-1176.htm

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target Yes enterprise architecture?

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Yes Strategy?

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. FBI Next Generation Identification

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?

Yes

a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed

202-000

guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

			130.0. 10	detailed guidance	Service	Service		1
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Component Reused Name (b)	Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Information Exchange	Defines the set of capabilities that support the interchange of information between multiple systems or applications	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange		.,	No Reuse	2
Data Recovery	Supports the restoration and stabilization of data sets to a consistent desired state	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Recovery			No Reuse	2
Data Processing	Support retrieval of records that satisfy specific query	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			No Reuse	2
Extraction and Transformation	Supports the manipulation and change of data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			No Reuse	2
Data Processing	Support retrieval of records that satisfy specific query	Back Office Services	Data Management	Loading and Archiving			No Reuse	2
Data Integration	Support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration as well as the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Data Integration			No Reuse	2
Legacy Integration	Support the communication between newer generation hardware/software applications and the previous, major generation of hardware/software applications	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration			No Reuse	2
Software Development	Support the creation of both graphical and process application or system software	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Software Development			No Reuse	34
Information Access	Defines the set of capabilities to support mission information sharing	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	2
Information Exchange			Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	2
Performance Management	Defines the set of capabilities for measuring the effectiveness of	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Performance Management			No Reuse	2

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	an organization's financial assets and capital							
Business Rules Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the management of the enterprise processes that support an organization and its policies	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management			No Reuse	2
Requirements Management	Defines the set of capabilities for gathering, analyzing and fulfilling the needs and prerequisites of an organization's efforts	Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	2
Risk Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the identification and probabilities or chances of hazards as they relate to a task, decision or long-term goal; includes risk assessment and risk mitigation	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Risk Management			No Reuse	2
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Defines the set of capabilities that support the creation and maintenance of relationships between data entities, naming standards and categorization	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Mapping / Taxonomy			No Reuse	2
Information Retrieval	Allows access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval			No Reuse	2
Information Sharing	Supports the use of documents and data in a multi-user environment for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Services	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	2
Knowledge Capture	Defines the set of capabilities that facilitate collection of data and information	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture			No Reuse	2
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Defines the set of capabilities that support the transfer of knowledge to the end customer	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery			No Reuse	2
Record Linking / Association	Defines the set of capabilities that support the correlation between logical data and information sets	Digital Asset Services	Records Management	Record Linking / Association			No Reuse	2
Process Tracking	Allows the monitoring of	Process Automation Services	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking			No Reuse	2

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name	Service Component Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Classification	Supports the selection and retrieval of records organized by shared characteristics in content or context	Support Services	Search	Classification	(b)	(b)	No Reuse	2
Data Processing		Support Services	Search	Classification			No Reuse	2
Data Processing		Support Services	Search	Pattern Matching			No Reuse	2
Pattern Matching	-	Support Services	Search	Pattern Matching			No Reuse	2
Data Processing		Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	2
Query	Supports the retrieval of records that satisfy a specific query selection criteria	Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	2
Access Control	Supports the management of permissions for logging onto a computer, application, service, or network; includes user management and role/privilege management	Support Services	Security Management	Access Control			No Reuse	2
Access Provisioning and Authorization	Defines the set of capabilities that support the administration and management of the access rights/privileges	Support Services	Security Management	Access Control			No Reuse	2
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Support the identification and monitoring of activities within an application, system, or network	Support Services	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis			No Reuse	2
Security Auditing		Support Services	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis			No Reuse	2
Access Authentication	Defines the set of capabilities that support the validation of a user's credential	Support Services	Security Management	Identification and Authentication			No Reuse	2

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	facilities or systems							
Identification and Authentication	Supports obtaining information about those parties attempting to log onto a system or application for security purposes and the validation of those users		Security Management	Identification and Authentication			No Reuse	2
Intrusion Detection	Support the detection of unauthorized access to a government information system	Support Services	Security Management	Intrusion Detection			No Reuse	2

- a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment

Service Specifications supporting this IT investment Service Specification (b) FEA SRM Component (a) **FEA TRM Service Area FEA TRM Service Category FEA TRM Service Standard** (i.e., vendor and product name) Software Development Platform Independent Component Framework Business Logic Technologies Platform Independent Information Retrieval Component Framework Business Logic Technologies Information Sharing Platform Independent Component Framework Business Logic Technologies Software Development Component Framework Business Logic Platform Independent Technologies Software Development Component Framework Business Logic Platform Independent Technologies Software Development Platform Independent Component Framework Business Logic Technologies Software Development Component Framework Business Logic Platform Independent Technologies Extraction and Transformation Component Framework Data Interchange Data Exchange Data Exchange Component Framework Data Interchange Data Exchange Standardized / Canned Component Framework Data Interchange Data Exchange Audit Trail Capture and Component Framework Data Management Reporting and Analysis Analysis Knowledge Capture Component Framework Data Management Reporting and Analysis Query Component Framework Data Management Reporting and Analysis Standardized / Canned Component Framework Data Management Reporting and Analysis Access Control Component Framework Security Supporting Security Services Access Control Component Framework Security Supporting Security Services Information Retrieval Component Framework Security Supporting Security Services Access Control Component Framework Security Supporting Security Services

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.								
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)				
Identification and Authentication	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services					
Intrusion Detection	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services					
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display					
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display					
Software Development	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display					
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications					
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser					
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance					
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport					
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services					
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services					
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services					
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services					
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Access Control	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Identification and Authentication	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Process Tracking	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Legacy Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration					
Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware					
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware					
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware					
Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware					
Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware					

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and

Service Specifications supportin		I	1	
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Classification	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and	Interoperability	Data Transformation	
Data Exchange	Integration Service Interface and	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Data Integration	Integration Service Interface and	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Extraction and Transformation	Integration Service Interface and	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Information Retrieval	Integration Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Database	
Information Sharing	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Recovery	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Database	
Knowledge Capture	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Database	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Storage	
Information Sharing	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Storage	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Database / Storage	Storage	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Sharing	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
	Infrastructure Service Platform and			
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Sharing	Infrastructure		Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

Service Specifications supportin				Service Specification (b)
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	(i.e., vendor and product name)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Intrusion Detection	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Pattern Matching	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Performance Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Query	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Record Linking / Association	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Risk Management	Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Software Development	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Information Retrieval	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Pattern Matching	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Integrated Development	
Business Rule Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Environment Software Configuration	
Requirements Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Information Sharing	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Software Development	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Business Rule Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Business Rule Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Requirements Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Access Control	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Business Rule Management	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Software Configuration	
Pattern Matching	Infrastructure Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Management Test Management	
_	Infrastructure	0 0	Ü	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	

Exhibit 300: FBI Next Generation Identification (NGI) (Revision 7)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Pattern Matching	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Data Recovery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications
- b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.
- 6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)?
 - a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

- 1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?
- b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be 8/3/2009 completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Alternative Analysis Results: Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table: * Costs in million * Costs in million									
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate						
Alternative 2	TBD								
Alternative 3	TBD								
Complete System Replacement	Complete hardware replacement/upgrade								
Status Quo	Continued operation of the system deployed in 1999								

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

NGI is expected to primarily use COTS products which require minimal development effort while reducing risks related to cost, schedule, performance, and technical obsolescence. The NGI PMO will be providing an updated independent government cost estimate and Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) by 08/03/09. This CBA will be used to update the original Alternative Analysis that was dated 4/1/06.

- a. What year will the investment breakeven? (Specifically, when the budgeted costs savings exceed the cumulative costs.)
- 4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

Advanced Fingerprint Identification Technology (AFIT) Benefits: Interoperability with other systems; more efficient searches; accommodates increased volumes in pre-employment background checks; ensures fewer misses in fingerprint accuracy; avoids hiring additional staff to accommodate increased workload volumes. Repository of Individuals of Special Concern (RISC) Benefits: supports the DOJ's goal of preventing, disrupting, and defeating terrorist operations before they occur by swiftly adapting and responding to the needs of the terrorist screening agencies and the law enforcement communities. Quality Check Function Benefits: Verifies authorized users; establishes consistency for data verification and better management of user fee transactions; streamlines work processes, improves overall response time; reduces number of ten-print transactions rejected by IAFIS, saving costs to local law enforcement; allows more timely updates of records; avoids hiring additional staff to accommodate increased workload volumes. Disposition Reporting Improvements (DRI) Benefits: Facilitates a more complete CHRI that will support local, state, and federal criminal justice agencies, authorized civil agencies, and international law enforcement organizations. Enhanced IAFIS Repository Benefits: Will notify responsible agency regarding criminal activity of individuals in sensitive positions; automatically allows for transition of files between civil and criminal repositories; supports flat fingerprints; supports national security; increases repository capacity; creates new functionality for the civil file; provides the ability to search the civil records with remote latent fingerprint submissions; will provide expanded/new search and response generation capabilities; collectively locate civil history and CHRI to facilitate the search, addition, consolidation, modification, expungement, response generation, and file maintenance of civil and criminal information. Interstate Photo System (IPS) Enhancements Benefits: Easier submission and retrieval of photos into database; increased number of photos retained; new search capabilities will help to solve crimes; ability to collect and retain data to field facial identification services in a future multi-modal system. National Palm Print System (NPPS) Benefits: Will allow for additional identifications (approximately 30 percent of latent lifts are made from palm prints); provides an additional tool to solve more crimes.

What specific quantitative	benefits will be realized (using curre	ent dollars) Use the results of y		
	Budgeted Cost Savings	Cost Avoidance	Justification for Budgeted Cost Savings	Justification for Budgeted Cost Avoidance
PY - 1 2007 & Prior	0	0	TBD	TBD
PY 2008	0	0	TBD	TBD
CY 2009	0	0	TBD	TBD
BY 2010	0	0	TBD	TBD
BY + 1 2011	0	0	TBD	TBD
BY + 2 2012	0	0	TBD	TBD
BY + 3 2013	0	0	TBD	TBD

5. Federal Quantitative Benefits What specific quantitative benefits will be realized (using current dollars) Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:							
Budgeted Cost Savings Cost Avoidance Justification for Budgeted Cost Savings Cost Avoidance							
BY + 4 2014 & Beyond	0	0	TBD	TBD			
Total LCC Benefit	0	0	LCC = Life-cycle Cost				

6. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part Yes or in-whole?

a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?

This Investment

b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

5b. List of Legacy Investment or Systems							
Name of the Legacy Investment of	of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement				

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 5/3/2007

b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

No

Yes

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

- 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?
- 3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

The overall NGI program plan is intended to minimize program risk. The NGI cost baseline reflects the risk adjusted cost estimate for the work breakdown structure (WBS) and includes technical and schedule cost uncertainty inherent in the estimate.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

CV

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x Yes 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)

b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

CV is 12.5% due to delay in Development Contract Award.

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

The Development Contract was awarded in February 2008, however a protest was filed with the Government Accountability Office (GAO).

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No

a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head? 4/1/2007

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

maicate o it		Initial Baseline			Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance	
Milestone	Description of Milestone	Planned Completion	Total Cost (\$M)		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Total C		ral Cost (\$M)		2	Percent
Number		Date (mm/dd/yyy y)	Estimated	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)	Cost (\$M)	Complete
1	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Services	9/30/2006	\$15.66	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$15.66	\$8.87	0	\$6.79	100%
1	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Services	9/30/2006	\$15.66	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$15.66	\$8.87	0	\$6.79	100%
2	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Training	9/30/2006	\$0.01	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
2	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Training	9/30/2006	\$0.01	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
3	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Travel	9/30/2006	\$0.21	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.21	\$0.21	0	\$0.00	100%
3	Planning - FY06 and earlier - NGI – Travel	9/30/2006	\$0.21	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.21	\$0.21	0	\$0.00	100%
4	Planning - FY06 and earlier - IAFIS – Services	9/30/2006	\$17.85	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$17.85	\$18.84	0	(\$0.99)	100%
4	Planning - FY06 and earlier - IAFIS – Services	9/30/2006	\$17.85	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$17.85	\$18.84	0	(\$0.99)	100%
5	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI – HW	9/30/2006	\$0.05	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.05	\$0.05	0	\$0.00	100%
5	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI – HW	9/30/2006	\$0.05	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.05	\$0.05	0	\$0.00	100%
6	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI – SW	9/30/2006	\$0.06	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.06	\$0.06	0	\$0.00	100%
6	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI - SW	9/30/2006	\$0.06	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.06	\$0.06	0	\$0.00	100%
7	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI - Travel	9/30/2006	\$0.02	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.02	\$0.00	0	\$0.02	100%
7	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - NGI - Travel	9/30/2006	\$0.02	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.02	\$0.00	0	\$0.02	100%
8		9/30/2006	\$72.82	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$72.82	\$398.86	0	(\$326.04)	100%
8		9/30/2006	\$72.82	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$72.82	\$398.86	0	(\$326.04)	100%
9	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier -	9/30/2006	\$2.82	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$2.82	\$40.40	0	(\$37.58)	100%

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline			Curi	rent Baseline	Current Baseline Variance			
Milestone Number		Planned Completion To	Total Cost (\$M)		tion Date ld/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule	2 . (212)	Percent
Number		Date (mm/dd/yyy y)	Estimated	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)	Cost (\$M)	Complete
	IAFIS - SW									
9	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2006	\$2.82	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$2.82	\$40.40	0	(\$37.58)	100%
10	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2006	\$669.70	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$669.70	\$306.08	0	\$363.62	100%
10	Acquisition - FY06 and earlier - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2006	\$669.70	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$669.70	\$306.08	0	\$363.62	100%
11	O&M - FY06 and earlier	9/30/2006	\$157.19	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$157.19	\$148.95	0	\$8.24	100%
11	O&M - FY06 and earlier	9/30/2006	\$157.19	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$157.19	\$148.95	0	\$8.24	100%
12	Government FTE - FY06 and earlier	9/30/2006	\$733.66	9/6/2006	9/30/2006	\$733.66	\$733.66	-24	\$0.00	100%
12	Government FTE - FY06 and earlier	9/30/2006	\$733.66	9/6/2006	9/30/2006	\$733.66	\$733.66	-24	\$0.00	100%
13	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Services	9/30/2007	\$6.21	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$6.21	\$6.21	0	\$0.00	100%
13	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Services	9/30/2007	\$6.21	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$6.21	\$6.21	0	\$0.00	100%
14	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Training	9/30/2007	\$0.01	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
14	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Training	9/30/2007	\$0.01	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
15	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2007	\$0.18	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.18	\$0.18	0	\$0.00	100%
15	Planning - FY07 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2007	\$0.18	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.18	\$0.18	0	\$0.00	100%
16	Planning - FY07 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2007	\$5.39	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$5.39	\$5.39	0	\$0.00	100%
16	Planning - FY07 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2007	\$5.39	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$5.39	\$5.39	0	\$0.00	100%
17	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI - HW	9/30/2007	\$7.92	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$7.92	\$7.92	0	\$0.00	100%
17	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI - HW	9/30/2007	\$7.92	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$7.92	\$7.92	0	\$0.00	100%
18	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI - SW	9/30/2007	\$0.39	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.39	\$3.19	0	(\$2.80)	100%
18	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI - SW	9/30/2007	\$0.39	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.39	\$3.19	0	(\$2.80)	100%
19	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI -	9/30/2007	\$0.03	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.03	\$4.39	0	(\$4.36)	100%

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required.

Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline			Curi	rent Baseline	Current Baseline Variance			
Milestone		Doto	Total Cost (\$M)	(200 200 / 0	tion Date ld/yyyy)	Total (Cost (\$M)	Schedule	Cost (\$M)	Percent Complete
Number			Estimated	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)		
	Services									
19	Acquisition - FY07 - NGI - Services	9/30/2007	\$0.03	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.03	\$4.39	0	(\$4.36)	100%
20	Acquisition - FYO7 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2007	\$0.01	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
20	Acquisition - FYO7 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2007	\$0.01	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$0.01	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	100%
21	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - HW	9/30/2007	\$1.79	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$1.79	\$1.79	0	\$0.00	100%
21	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - HW	9/30/2007	\$1.79	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$1.79	\$1.79	0	\$0.00	100%
22	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2007	\$1.86	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$1.86	\$1.86	0	\$0.00	100%
22	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2007	\$1.86	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$1.86	\$1.86	0	\$0.00	100%
23	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2007	\$2.88	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$2.88	\$2.88	0	\$0.00	100%
23	Acquisition - FY07 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2007	\$2.88	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$2.88	\$2.88	0	\$0.00	100%
24	O&M - FY07	9/30/2007	\$17.32	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$17.32	\$17.32	0	\$0.00	100%
24	O&M - FY07	9/30/2007	\$17.32	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$17.32	\$17.32	0	\$0.00	100%
25	Government FTE - FY07	9/30/2007	\$72.23	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$72.23	\$72.23	0	\$0.00	100%
25	Government FTE - FY07	9/30/2007	\$72.23	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$72.23	\$72.23	0	\$0.00	100%
26	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Services	9/30/2008	\$9.32	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$9.32	\$2.44	0	\$6.88	100%
26	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Services	9/30/2008	\$9.32	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$9.32	\$2.44	0	\$6.88	100%
27	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Training	9/30/2008	\$0.05	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.05	\$0.00	0	\$0.05	100%
27	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Training	9/30/2008	\$0.05	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.05	\$0.00	0	\$0.05	100%
28	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2008	\$0.27	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.27	\$0.33	0	(\$0.06)	100%
28	Planning - FY08 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2008	\$0.27	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.27	\$0.33	0	(\$0.06)	100%
29	Planning - FY08 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2008	\$2.05	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$2.05	\$5.22	0	(\$3.17)	100%

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required.

Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline			Curi	rent Baseline	Current B			
Milestone Number		Planned Completion Total C	Total Cost (\$M)		tion Date ld/yyyy)	Total	Cost (\$M)	Schedule	Cost (\$M)	Percent Complete
Number		Date (mm/dd/yyy y)	Estimated	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)		
29	Planning - FY08 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2008	\$2.05	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$2.05	\$5.22	0	(\$3.17)	100%
30	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - HW	9/30/2008	\$6.68	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$6.68	\$6.14	0	\$0.54	100%
30	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - HW	9/30/2008	\$6.68	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$6.68	\$6.14	0	\$0.54	100%
31	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - SW	9/30/2008	\$0.11	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.11	\$2.11	0	(\$2.00)	100%
31	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - SW	9/30/2008	\$0.11	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.11	\$2.11	0	(\$2.00)	100%
	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - Services	9/30/2008	\$119.54	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$26.03	\$119.54	0	(\$93.51)	100%
	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - Services	9/30/2008	\$119.54	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$26.03	\$119.54	0	(\$93.51)	100%
33	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - Training	9/30/2008	\$0.11	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.11	\$0.00	0	\$0.11	100%
33	Acquisition - FY08 - NGI - Training	9/30/2008	\$0.11	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.11	\$0.00	0	\$0.11	100%
34	Acquisition - FY08 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2008	\$1.63	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$1.63	\$11.60	0	(\$9.97)	100%
34	Acquisition - FY08 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2008	\$1.63	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$1.63	\$11.60	0	(\$9.97)	100%
35	Acquisition - FY08 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2008	\$0.15	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.15	\$0.19	0	(\$0.04)	100%
35	Acquisition - FY08 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2008	\$0.15	9/30/2008	9/30/2008	\$0.15	\$0.19	0	(\$0.04)	100%
36	Acquisition - FY08- IAFIS - Services	9/30/2008	\$6.43	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$6.43	\$4.02	-365	\$2.41	100%
	Acquisition - FY08- IAFIS - Services	9/30/2008	\$6.43	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$6.43	\$4.02	-365	\$2.41	100%
37	O&M - FY08	9/30/2008	\$27.65	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$27.65	\$40.24	-365	(\$12.59)	100%
37	O&M - FY08	9/30/2008	\$27.65	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$27.65	\$40.24	-365	(\$12.59)	100%
38	Government FTE - FY08	9/30/2008	\$90.94	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$90.94	\$90.94	-365	\$0.00	100%
38	Government FTE - FY08	9/30/2008	\$90.94	9/30/2008	9/30/2009	\$90.94	\$90.94	-365	\$0.00	100%
39	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - HDW	9/30/2009	\$55.94	9/30/2009		\$11.39	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
39	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - HDW	9/30/2009	\$55.94	9/30/2009		\$11.39	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
40	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - SW	9/30/2009	\$8.67	9/30/2009	1	\$41.33	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

maioate o n	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline			Cur	rent Baseline	Current Baseline Variance			
Milestone		Planned Completion Total Cost (\$	Total Cost (\$M)	Completi (mm/do		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule		Percent
Number		Date (mm/dd/yyy y)	Estimated	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)	Cost (\$M)	Complete
40	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - SW	9/30/2009	\$8.67	9/30/2009		\$41.33	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
41	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Services	9/30/2009	\$18.50	9/30/2009		\$74.54	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
41	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Services	9/30/2009	\$18.50	9/30/2009		\$74.54	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
42	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Training	9/30/2009	\$0.43	9/30/2009		\$0.43	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
42	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Training	9/30/2009	\$0.43	9/30/2009		\$0.43	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
43	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2009	\$3.02	9/30/2009		\$3.02	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
43	Acquisition - FY09 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2009	\$3.02	9/30/2009		\$3.02	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
44	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2009	\$1.97	9/30/2009		\$1.97	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
44	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2009	\$1.97	9/30/2009		\$1.97	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
45	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2009	\$0.41	9/30/2009		\$0.41	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
45	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2009	\$0.41	9/30/2009		\$0.41	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
46	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2009	\$19.30	9/30/2009		\$19.30	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
46	Acquisition - FY09 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2009	\$19.30	9/30/2009		\$19.30	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
47	O&M - FY09	9/30/2009	\$75.19	9/30/2009		\$64.35	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
47	O&M - FY09	9/30/2009	\$75.19	9/30/2009		\$64.35	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
48	Government FTE - FY09	9/30/2009	\$93.05	9/30/2009		\$93.05	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
48	Government FTE - FY09	9/30/2009	\$93.05	9/30/2009		\$93.05	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
49	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - HDW	9/30/2010	\$46.53	9/30/2010		\$46.53	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
49	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - HDW	9/30/2010	\$46.53	9/30/2010		\$46.53	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
50	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - SW	9/30/2010	\$4.73	9/30/2010		\$4.73	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required.

indicate 0 io	or any milestone no longer active		. =							
	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline				rent Baseline	Current Baseline Variance		4	
Milestone Number		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyy y)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule		Percent
Number				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# days)	Cost (\$M)	Complete
50	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - SW	9/30/2010	\$4.73	9/30/2010		\$4.73	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
51	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - Services	9/30/2010	\$12.46	9/30/2010		\$12.46	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
51	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - Services	9/30/2010	\$12.46	9/30/2010		\$12.46	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
52	Acquisition - FY10 -NGI - Training	9/30/2010	\$0.24	9/30/2010		\$0.24	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
52	Acquisition - FY10 -NGI - Training	9/30/2010	\$0.24	9/30/2010		\$0.24	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
53	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2010	\$2.22	9/30/2010		\$2.22	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
53	Acquisition - FY10 - NGI - Travel	9/30/2010	\$2.22	9/30/2010		\$2.22	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
54	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2010	\$0.57	9/30/2010		\$0.57	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
54	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - HDW	9/30/2010	\$0.57	9/30/2010		\$0.57	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
55	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2010	\$0.18	9/30/2010		\$0.18	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
55	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - SW	9/30/2010	\$0.18	9/30/2010		\$0.18	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
56	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2010	\$15.05	9/30/2010		\$15.05	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
56	Acquisition - FY10 - IAFIS - Services	9/30/2010	\$15.05	9/30/2010		\$15.05	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
57	O&M - FY10	9/30/2010	\$67.01	9/30/2010		\$67.01	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
57	O&M - FY10	9/30/2010	\$67.01	9/30/2010		\$67.01	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
58	Government FTE - FY10	9/30/2010	\$95.21	9/30/2010		\$95.21	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
58	Government FTE - FY10	9/30/2010	\$95.21	9/30/2010		\$95.21	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%
	-									