

Uniform Crime Reports

Release Date, Sunday, October 13, 1996

PREFACE

Crime in the United States has undergone many changes over the years. It has grown from the small booklet that was first published in 1930 to the large publication it is today. Through the years more tables have been added, and data collection has been expanded. Many law enforcement agencies have been involved in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program's changes. As the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) has been developed in recent years, agencies across the country are changing the way they collect and report crime data, and state-level UCR programs are adopting NIBRS.

This year the FBI UCR has experienced a different kind of change. The Program is in the process of moving from its traditional residence in Washington, D.C., to its new home in Clarksburg, West Virginia. The undertaking is enormous. It involves moving a Program that has been in place for over 60 years and all that it comprises—computers, equipment, files, furniture, publications, libraries, and most of all people.

Most important is how this change affects longtime contributors to and users of the UCR Program. Hopefully, it does not and it will not affect them at all. It has been the desire of the UCR staff that while the program is experiencing an internal change, externally the change should be transparent. Contributors are still collecting and reporting data. They are still getting the assistance they need from the Program's components. Information is still being disseminated to the Program's users. In other words, in spite of the change, the Program is conducting business as usual, which speaks to the importance placed on the entire data collecting and reporting process. It speaks to the worth of the Program and the value of all contributing agencies. And it speaks to the dedication of all of the men and women who make this publication possible.

Thus while change is constantly with us, what remains unchanged is a commitment to those people and their programs that assist in reducing crime.

CRIME FACTORS

Each year when *Crime in the United States* is published, many entities—news media, tourism agencies, and other groups with an interest in crime in our Nation—compile rankings of cities and counties based on their Crime Index figures. These simplistic and/or incomplete analyses often create misleading perceptions which adversely affect cities and counties, along with their residents. Assessing criminality and law enforcement's response from jurisdiction to jurisdiction must encompass many elements, some of which, while having significant impact, are not readily measurable nor applicable pervasively among all locales. Geographic and demographic factors specific to each jurisdiction must be considered and applied if crime assessment is to approach completeness and accuracy. There are several sources of information which may assist the responsible researcher. The U.S. Bureau of the Census data, for example, can be utilized to better understand the makeup of a locale's population. The transience of the population, its racial and ethnic makeup, its composition by age and gender, education levels, and prevalent family structures are all key factors in assessing and better understanding the crime issue.

Local chambers of commerce, planning offices, or similar entities provide information regarding the economic and cultural makeup of cities and counties. Understanding a jurisdiction's industrial/economic base, its dependence upon neighboring jurisdictions, its transportation system, its economic dependence on nonresidents (such as tourists and convention attendees), its proximity to military installations, etc., all help in better gauging and interpreting the crime known to and reported by law enforcement.

The strength (personnel and other resources) and the aggressiveness of a jurisdiction's law enforcement agency are also key factors. While information pertaining to the number of sworn and civilian law enforcement employees can be found in this publication, assessment of the law enforcement emphases is, of course, much more difficult. For example, one city may report more crime than a comparable one, not because there is more crime, but rather because its law enforcement agency through proactive efforts identifies more offenses. Attitudes of the citizens toward crime and their crime reporting practices, especially concerning more minor offenses, have an impact on the volume of crimes known to police.

It is incumbent upon all data users to become as well educated as possible about how to categorize and quantify the nature and extent of crime in the United States and in any of the over 16,000 jurisdictions represented by law enforcement contributors to this Program. Valid assessments are only possible with careful study and analysis of the various unique conditions affecting each local law enforcement jurisdiction.

Historically, the causes and origins of crime have been the subjects of investigation by varied disciplines. Some factors which are known to affect the volume and type of crime occurring from place to place are:

Population density and degree of urbanization.

Variations in composition of the population, particularly youth concentration.

Stability of population with respect to residents' mobility, commuting patterns, and transient factors.

Modes of transportation and highway system.

Economic conditions, including median income, poverty level, and job availability.

Cultural factors and educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.

Family conditions with respect to divorce and family cohesiveness.

Climate.

Effective strength of law enforcement agencies.

Administrative and investigative emphases of law enforcement.

Policies of other components of the criminal justice system (i.e., prosecutorial, judicial, correctional, and probational).

Citizens' attitudes toward crime.

Crime reporting practices of the citizenry.

The Uniform Crime Reports give a nationwide view of crime based on statistics contributed by state and local law enforcement agencies. Population size is the only correlate of crime utilized in this publication. While the other factors listed above are of equal concern, no attempt is made to relate them to the data presented. The reader is, therefore, cautioned against comparing statistical data of individual reporting units from cities, counties, metropolitan areas, states, or colleges and universities solely on the basis of their population coverage or student enrollment.

Data users are cautioned against comparisons of crime trends presented in this report and those estimated by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Because of differences in methodology and crime coverage, the two programs examine the Nation's crime problem from somewhat different perspectives, and their results are not strictly comparable. The definitional and procedural differences can account for many of the apparent discrepancies in results from the two programs.

CONTENTS

	Page
Section I—Summary of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program	1
Section II—Crime Index Offenses Reported	5
Narrative comments:	
Crime Index Total	5
Violent Crime:	10
Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	13
Forcible rape	23
Robbery	26
Aggravated assault	31
Property Crime:	35
Burglary	38
Larceny-theft	43
Motor vehicle theft	49
Arson	53
Crime Index Tabulations	53 57
Crime maex fabulations	31
Charts:	
Crime clock, 1995	4
	7
Crime Index total, 1991–1995	8
Crime Index offenses, percent distribution, 1995	_
Regional violent and property crime rates, 1995	9
Violent crime, 1991–1995	12
Murder, 1991–1995	15
Forcible rape, 1991–1995	25
Robbery, 1991–1995	28
Robbery analysis, 1991–1995	30
Aggravated assault, 1991–1995	33
Property crime, 1991–1995	37
Burglary, 1991–1995	40
Burglary analysis, 1991–1995	41
Larceny-theft, 1991–1995	45
Larceny analysis, 1991–1995.	46
Larceny analysis, 1995	47
Motor vehicle theft, 1991–1995.	51
Tables:	
Crime Index total by month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	6
Violent crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	11
Murder:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	14
Victims by race and sex, 1995	14
Age, sex, and race of victims, 1995	16
Age, sex, and race of offenders, 1995	16
Victim/offender relationship by age, 1995	17
Victim/offender relationship by race and sex, 1995	17
Types of weapons used, 1995	18
Victims, types of weapons used, 1991–1995	18
Victims, types of weapons used, 1995	18
Circumstances by relationship, 1995	19
Circumstances by weapon 1995	20

Tables — Continued	Page
Circumstances, 1991–1995	21
Circumstances by victim sex, 1995	21
Justifiable homicide by weapon, 1991–1995:	
Law enforcement	22
Private citizen	22
Forcible rape, by month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	24
Robbery:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	27
Percent distribution, region, 1995	27
Percent distribution, population group, 1995	29
Types of weapons used, 1995	29
Aggravated assault:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	32
Types of weapons used, 1995	32
Property crime total by month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	36
Burglary, by month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	39
Larceny-theft:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	44
Percent distribution, region, 1995	44
Motor vehicle theft:	
By month, percent of annual total, 1991–1995	50
Percent distribution, region, 1995	50
Arson, 1995:	
Rate, population group	54
Type of property	54
Structures not in use	55
Monetary value of property damaged	55
Offenses cleared by arrest	55
Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age	55
Index of crime:	
United States, 1976–1995	58
United States, 1995	59
Regional offense and population distribution, 1995	59
Region, geographic division, and state, 1994–1995	60
State, 1995	68
Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1995	79
Offense Analysis, United States, 1991–1995	106
Number of offenses known to the police, 1995:	
Cities and towns 10,000 and over in population	107
Universities and colleges	150
Suburban counties	160
Rural counties 25,000 and over in population	174
Crime trends, offenses known to the police, 1994–1995:	
Population group	181
Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group	183
Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group	184
Offense breakdown, population group	185
Crime rates, offenses known to the police, 1995:	
Population group	187
Suburban and nonsuburban cities, population group	189
Suburban and nonsuburban counties, population group	190
Offense breakdown, population group	191
Murder, state, types of weapons, 1995	193
Robbery, state, types of weapons, 1995	194
Aggravated assault, state, types of weapons, 1995	195

Tables — Continued	Page
Offense analysis, 1995, and percent change from 1994	196
Type and value of property stolen and recovered, 1995	196
Section III—Crime Index Offenses Cleared	197
Narrative comments	197
Chart:	
Crimes cleared by arrest, 1995	198
Tables:	
Offenses known and percent cleared by arrest, 1995:	
Population group	199
Geographic region and division	201
Offense breakdown, population group	203
Offenses cleared by arrest of persons under 18 years of age, 1995	205
Section IV—Persons Arrested	207
Narrative comments	207
Tables:	_0,
Arrests for drug abuse violations, 1995	207
Total estimated arrests, United States, 1995	208
Arrests, number and rate, 1995:	200
Region	209
Population group	210
Total arrest trends:	210
1986–1995	212
Sex, 1986–1995	213
1991–1995	214
Sex, 1991–1995	215
1994–1995	216
Sex, 1994–1995	217
Total arrests, 1995:	217
Distribution by age	218
Male arrests, distribution by age	220
Female arrests, distribution by age	
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age	
Distribution by sex	
Distribution by race	
City arrest trends:	220
1994–1995	229
Sex, 1994–1995	230
City arrests, 1995:	230
Distribution by age	231
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age	233
Distribution by sex	234
Distribution by race	235
Suburban county arrest trends:	233
1994–1995	238
Sex, 1994–1995	239
Suburban county arrests, 1995:	237
Distribution by age	240
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age	240
	242
Distribution by sex	243
Distribution by race	∠44
Rural county arrest trends: 1994–1995	247
Sex. 1994–1995	247
JUA, 1//T=177J	∠ + 0

Tables — Continued	Page
Rural county arrests, 1995:	
Distribution by age	249
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age	251
Distribution by sex	252
Distribution by race	253
Suburban area arrest trends:	
1994–1995	256
Sex, 1994–1995	257
Suburban area arrests, 1995:	
Distribution by age	
Of persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age	260
Distribution by sex	261
Distribution by race	262
Police disposition of juvenile offenders taken into custody, 1995	265
Arrests by state, 1995	266
Section V—Weapons Used in Violent Crime	274
Narrative comments	
Tables:	
Percent changes in the numbers of murders, robberies, and aggravated assaults,	
by weapon types, United States, 1994 over 1985	274
Number of firearm-related violent crimes and shooting victims, United States, 1994	274
Percent changes in the number of juvenile arrests for weapon violations, by)[ace,	
United States, 1994 over 1985	276
Percent increases in the number of firearm-related murders, robberies, and aggravated assaults,	
by region, United States, 1994 over 1985	276
Charts:	
Number of murders, robberies, and aggravated assaults by firearms,	27.
United States, 1975–1994	275
Distribution of firearms, related robberies, aggravated assaults, and murders by region,	277
United States, 1994	277
Section VI—Law Enforcement Personnel	278
Narrative comments	
Tables:	
Full-time law enforcement employees, October 31, 1995:	
Employees, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by	
population group	279
Officers, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by	
population group	280
Employees, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants	281
Officers, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants	282
Employees, percent male and female	283
Civilian employees, percent of total, population group	283
State law enforcement agencies	284
States	285
Cities	286
Universities and colleges	347
Suburban counties	352
Rural counties	356

Section VII—Appendices	366
Appendix I—Methodology	366
Appendix II—Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting	373
Appendix III—Uniform Crime Reporting Area Definitions	375
Appendix IV—The Nation's Two Crime Measures	378
Appendix V—Directory of State Uniform Crime Reporting Programs	380
Appendix VI—National Uniform Crime Reporting Program Directory	386
Appendix VII—Uniform Crime Reporting Publications List	387