Humanitarian Assistance

Indicators and Definitions

Handbook Guidance – Annex 6

The Humanitarian Assistance Objective contains three Areas:

- (1) Protection, Assistance and Solutions,
- (2) Disaster Readiness, and
- (3) Migration Management.

Many of the indicators for HA are not indicators that Posts and Missions are expected to report on. The information for these indicators will be reported by the respective Bureaus in Washington. These are marked as "Bureau Reported."

Further, F is not requiring that Operating Units set targets against indicators in Area 1, Protection, Assistance and Solutions and Area 3, Migration Management. given that the interventions can not be forward planned. F does expect those results to be reported at the end of the fiscal year.

Humanitarian Assistance

Indicator List

Program Support/Program Design and Learning Indicators Error! Bookmark not defined.
ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT Error! Bookmark not
defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SPECIAL STUDIES Error! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BASELINE OR FEASIBILITY STUDIES Error! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS Error! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF INFORMATION GATHERING OR RESEARCH
ACTIVITIESError! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MONITORING PLANS Error! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN MONITORING AND
EVALUATIONError! Bookmark not defined.
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SECTOR ASSESSMENTS Error! Bookmark not
defined.
Program Area: Protection, Assistance and Solutions
ELEMENT: HA 1.1 - PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BENEFICIARIES ASSISTED BY USG-SUPPORTED
PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS ACTIVITIES (BUREAU
REPORTED) 11
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WITH EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION
CAPACITY IN REFUGEE SITUATIONS (BUREAU REPORTED) 11
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF REFUGEES ADMITTED TO THE U.S. AGAINST
THE REGIONAL CEILINGS ESTABLISHED BY PRESIDENTIAL
DETERMINATION (BUREAU REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF NGO OR OTHER INTERNATIONAL
ORGANIZATION PROJECTS THAT INCLUDE ACTIVITIES TO
PREVENT AND/OR RESPOND TO GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE. 13
ELEMENT: HA 1.2 - ASSISTANCE AND RECOVERY
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF DISASTERS DECLARED WITHIN 72 HOURS
(DISASTER DECLARATION CABLE SENT) (BUREAU REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF PLANNED EMERGENCY FOOD AID
BENEFICIARIES REACHED (BUREAU REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF DISASTER-AFFECTED HOUSEHOLDS
PROVIDED WITH BASIC INPUTS FOR SURVIVAL, RECOVERY OR
RESTORATION OF PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY (BUREAU
REPORTED
,

Program Area: Disaster Readiness16
ELEMENT: HA 2.1 – CAPACITY BUILDING, PREPAREDNESS, AND PLANNING 16
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG MISSIONS/EMBASSIES WITH COMPLETE
DISASTER RELIEF PLANS IN PLACE (BUREAU REPORTED) $\dots 16$
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WITH EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS
LINKED TO A RESPONSE SYSTEM IN PLACE AS A RESULT OF
USG ASSISTANCE (BUREAU REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN DISASTER PREPAREDNESS
AS A RESULT OF USG ASSISTANCE
ELEMENT: HA 2.2 – MITIGATION
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG-ASSISTED COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE
CONSTRUCTED/DEVELOPED PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TO
MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF SHOCKS
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG-ASSISTED SHOCK PRONE AREAS
REPORTING MAINTENANCE OR IMPROVEMENT IN HOUSEHOLD
FOOD CONSUMPTION
Program Area: Migration Management
ELEMENT: 3.1 - PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF COUNTRIES WITH USG-FUNDED PROGRAMS
THAT ESTABLISH POLICIES, PRACTICES AND SYSTEMS THAT
PROTECT AND ASSIST VULNERABLE MIGRANTS (BUREAU
REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: REDUCTION IN AVERAGE COST OF STAY OF MIGRANTS FROM
THE FORMER SOVIET UNION IN ABSORPTION CENTERS IN
ISRAEL (BUREAU REPORTED)
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE HUMANITARIAN MIGRANTS TO
ISRAEL THAT RECEIVE MANDATORY SERVICES (BUREAU
REPORTED)
ELEMENT: 3.2 – INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT AND CAPACITY-BUILDING
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE ASSOCIATE EXPERTS WHO ARE
HIRED PERMANENTLY BY IOM IN A GIVEN FISCAL YEAR
(BUREAU REPORTED)

Program Support/Program Design and Learning Indicators

Standard Program Design and Learning indicators are associated with each Program Area. These 7 indicators are found in the FACTS system at the end of the indicator list for each Program Area.

The Program Support indicators are standard across all five Objectives. In order to avoid extensive duplicate entries, data reference sheets for these 7 standard indicators are listed here.

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEAR	NING ELEMENT	
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SPECIAL STUDIES		
DEFINITION:		
Special Studies are undertaken to gather information relevant for a particular		
program or project to improve our k	nowledge and understanding about the study	
subject. Different from an assessme	ent or an evaluation, they examine unique	
circumstances as opposed to an en	tire activity, project or program.	
RATIONALE:		
This indicator captures support provided by operating units for development		
projects and programs		
Unit:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Number of special studies	None	
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Output	Higher = Better	
DATA SOURCE:		
Both qualitative and quantitative methods of data collection and analysis are		
used for special studies.		
Measurement Notes:		

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BASELINE OR FEASIBILITY STUDIES DEFINITION:

A "baseline study" is a study conducted to examine and record the context/situation to be addressed by the project or program. Such studies are generally carried before program activities begin or simultaneous to program start-up in order to establish a starting place from which to measure movement resulting from USG-assisted activities.

A "feasibility study": is carried to examine the context in which an anticipated project or program would be implemented as well as the viability and practicality of its implementation.

RATIONALE:

Baseline and feasibility studies reflect the preparation and forethought that go into USG programming.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of Studies	None
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better
DATA SOUDOF	

DATA SOURCE:

While baseline studies generally collect quantitative data, both qualitative and quantitative data are used for feasibility studies.

Measurement Notes:

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT INDICATOR TITLE: **NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS**

DEFINITION: Evaluation involves a systematic collection of information on the performance and impacts of on-going or completed USG-funded projects. programs, or sub-sets of activities. Its purpose is to inform decisions about how to improve the performance to increase the prospect of achieving results and/or to inform decisions about future programming. Evaluation is a formal analytical endeavor and should not be confused with routine site visits or informal discussions about a project or program's performance. Evaluations go beyond collecting information on the extent to which planned outputs, outcomes and impacts have been achieved and focus on the collection of information that can help answer the following types of questions:

- Why have planned results not been achieved? •
- What are the underlying factors and forces that appear to have impeded • and/or supported the achievement of results?
- Which programs and/or activities are the most effective or efficient in • achieving results?
- What types of actions should be taken to improve the performance in achieving results, including whether or not to continue funding some or all of the development activities evaluated and why.
- What are the lessons that can be learned? •

RATIONALE:

This indicator captures the efforts made by an operating unit to improve the performance of on-going programs and to draw lessons for future.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Number of Evaluations	None	
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Outputs	Higher = Better	
DATA SOURCE:		
A wide variety of data collections methods can be used. These include statistical		
data from secondary sources, sample surveys, structured and unstructured		
interviews, site visits and focus group discussions.		
MEASUREMENT NOTES:		

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF INFORMATION GATHERING OF	R RESEARCH ACTIVITIES
DEFINITION:	

Information gathering or research activities" refer to efforts to gather and analyze information in a systematic fashion on a specific topic. Research falls under three categories -applied, basic and development research.

Applied Research – Applied research is defined as systematic study to gain knowledge or understanding necessary to determine the means by which a recognized and specific need may be met.

Basic Research – Basic research is defined as the systematic study directed toward fuller knowledge or understanding of a phenomenon or process and of observable facts without specific applications toward processes or products in mind.

Development Research – Development Research is defined as the systematic application of knowledge or understanding, directed toward the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods including design, development and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements.

RATIONALE: This indicator indicates the nature of support for program development	
undertaken by an operating unit	
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of research activities	None
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
output	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
Project and program documents	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	

8

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MONITORING PLANS

DEFINITION:

Monitoring plans refer to the plans designed to monitor the performance of a project or program. They track the performance or situation against what was planned or expected according to pre-determined standards.

RATIONALE:

This indicator is designed to capture the Program Support provided by an operating unit.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Number of Monitoring Plans	None	
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤΟΟΜΕ	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Report	Higher = Better	
DATA SOURCE:		
Mission/post/bureau records and documents		
MEASUREMENT NOTES:		

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN MONITORING AND EVALUATION DEFINITION:

This indicator refers to the number of participants in a classroom or remote training course on any topic related to measuring performance and impacts of a project or program, including indicators, qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, data analysis and nature and purpose of evaluation.

RATIONALE:

This indicator gives an indication of the efforts made by operating unit to improve its performance

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of people trained	Gender
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
Project and program reports	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	

ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SECTOR ASSESSMENTS

DEFINITION:

Sector assessments are undertaken to provide comprehensive analyses of needs and opportunities in a particular sector so that informed strategic and programmatic decisions can be made. A sector is broadly defined to include gender, environment, agriculture, industry, food security, health, education, and democracy.

RATIONALE:

Sectors assessments are usually undertaken to identify problems, opportunities and promising areas for interventions.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of sector assessments	
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
Both primary and secondary sources of data and information are used for sector	

assessments.

MEASUREMENT NOTES:

Program Area: Protection, Assistance and Solutions

ELEMENT: HA 1.1 - PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS			
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BENEFICIARIES ASSISTED BY USG-SUPPORTED			
PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS AC	TIVITIES (BUREAU REPORTED)		
DEFINITION:			
Beneficiaries assisted are thos	e receiving any services funded under this		
element.			
RATIONALE:			
1 0	This indicator provides a gross measure of the breadth of activity funded under		
this element.			
Unit:	DISAGGREGATE BY:		
Number of beneficiaries	None		
Type: Output/Outcome	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:		
Output	Higher = better		
Data Source:			
	Implementing Partners		
MEASUREMENT NOTES:			
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible			
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this			
indicator.			

ELEMENT: HA 1.1 - PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WITH EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION CAPACITY IN REFUGEE SITUATIONS (BUREAU REPORTED)

DEFINITION:

A country is considered to have "effective registration capacity" when it uses UNHCR's Project Profile (a standardized registration system), followed by the government's operation of Project Profile alone or jointly with UNHCR. The goal is to increase the number of countries that have "effective registration capacity."

RATIONALE:

Effective refugee registration establishes the number of refugees present in a given situation, family ties and composition, number of vulnerable persons, etc. This information helps ensure that all refugees receive the assistance and protection they need and helps prevent misuse of refugee assistance by non-refugees.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of countries	None
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Outcome	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
UNHCR	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible	
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this	
indicator.	

ELEMENT: HA 1.1 - PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS

INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF REFUGEES ADMITTED TO THE U.S. AGAINST THE REGIONAL CEILINGS ESTABLISHED BY PRESIDENTIAL DETERMINATION (BUREAU REPORTED)

DEFINITION:

Number of refugees admitted to the U.S. as a percentage of the regional ceilings established by Presidential Determination.

Regional ceilings established by Presidential Determination means the maximum number set by the President for refugee admissions to the U.S. in a given fiscal year. For FY 2007, the PD's regional ceilings total 50,000. Presidential Determination on FY 2007 Refugee Admissions Numbers and Authorizations of In-Country Refugee Status Pursuant to Sections 207 and 101(a)(42),

respectively, of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and Determination Pursuant to Section 2(b)(2) of the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act, as amended.

RATIONALE:

This indicator measures the effectiveness of the refugee admissions program overall.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Percent of regional ceiling	None
Түре: Оитрит/Оитсоме	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
PRM, REFUGEE PROCESSING CENTER (RPC)	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible	
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this	
indicator.	

ELEMENT: HA 1.1 - PROTECTION AND SOLUTIONS		
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF NGO	OR OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION	
PROJECTS THAT INCLUDE ACTIVITIES TO	PREVENT AND/OR RESPOND TO GENDER-BASED	
VIOLENCE		
DEFINITION:		
Percentage of NGO or other international organization projects that include		
activities to prevent and/or respond to gender-based violence.		
All USG-funded projects, funded under this element, are included. Within these		
	nd IO projects that include activities that	
	to gender-based violence. Types of	
•	prevention, response, capacity building. In	
	ommunity-based education programs,	
0	survivors, gender-based violence training for ng NGO staff on preventing and responding	
to gender-based violence.	ig NGC stall on preventing and responding	
to gender-based violence.		
Gender-based violence is violence th	nat is directed against a person on the basis	
	/sical, mental, or sexual harm or suffering.	
Includes rape, sexual assault, forced		
domestic violence, and other forms of		
RATIONALE:		
Gender-based violence (GBV) is a serious protection concern for refugees, IDPs		
and other conflict victims. Evidence suggests that increased vulnerability during		
complex humanitarian emergencies may lead to a rise in GBV, particularly sexual		
violence. The USG relies on NGOs with specialized capacities to fill this		
important gap and ensure that essential GBV support is provided.		
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Percent of projects	None	
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Output Data Source:	Higher = Better	
Implementing partners		
Measurement Notes:		

ELEMENT: HA 1.2 - ASSISTANCE AND RECOVERY

INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF DISASTERS DECLARED WITHIN 72 HOURS (DISASTER DECLARATION CABLE SENT) (BUREAU REPORTED)

DEFINITION:

Number of disasters responded to within 72 hours. Response is defined as when the disaster declaration cable is sent.

RATIONALE:

This indicator measures a critical aspect of an effective response to disasters.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Percent of disasters	None
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better

DATA SOURCE:

OFDA

MEASUREMENT NOTES:

Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this indicator.

	ELEMENT: HA 1.2 - ASSISTANCE AND RECOVERY	
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF PLANNED EMERGENCY FOOD AID BENEFICIARIES		
REACHED (BUREAU REPORTED)		
DEFINITION:		
Beneficiaries are those who come into direct contact with the goods or services		
provided by the program.		
Reached is defined as contact with a beneficiary regardless of the number of		
times or amount of assistance received.		
The sum of number of beneficiaries	reached by each emergency food aid	
program is divided by the sum of the	e planned beneficiaries of each emergency	
food aid program.		
RATIONALE:		
The effectiveness of USG food aid programs in reaching their planned		
beneficiaries is an important prerequisite to increased field-level impact.		
Successfully reaching planned beneficiaries is especially important for saving		
lives and livelihoods.		
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Dereent of planned happing	None	
reicent of planned beneficiaries	INONE	
Percent of planned beneficiaries <i>Type: Output/Outcome</i>	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Type: Output/Outcome Output Data Source:	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
<i>Түре: Оитрит/Оитсоме</i> Output	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Type: Output/Outcome Output Data Source: Implementing partners MEASUREMENT NOTES:	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Type: Output/Outcome Output DATA SOURCE: Implementing partners MEASUREMENT NOTES: Targets are set and results are repo	DIRECTION OF CHANGE: Higher = better	

ELEMENT: HA 1.2 - ASSISTANCE AND RE	ECOVERY	
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF DISAS	TER-AFFECTED HOUSEHOLDS PROVIDED WITH	
BASIC INPUTS FOR SURVIVAL, RECOVER	Y OR RESTORATION OF PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY	
(BUREAU REPORTED)		
DEFINITION:		
The number of disaster-affected households is defined in the disaster		
declaration.		
	ing been provided with inputs regardless of	
the number of times or amount and t	ype of assistance received.	
•	ation of productive capacity include water,	
	elter and camp management, productive	
input and infrastructure rehabilitation	commodities and services.	
	Is reached by all programs responding to a	
	disaster is divided by the total number of disaster-affected households to	
calculate the percent of households reached in each disaster. The percent of		
households reached in each disaster is weighted by the number of households		
affected to create a weighted average across all disasters.		
RATIONALE:		
The ability to reach disaster-affected households with basic inputs for survival,		
recovery and restoration is central to the success of Assistance and Recovery		
efforts.		
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Percent of disaster-affected	None	
households		
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Output	Higher = better	

DATA SOURCE:

Implementing partners

MEASUREMENT NOTES:

Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this indicator.

Note: the USG aims to increase the international community's financial contribution to addressing disasters. The USG will track the overall percentage of disaster-affected households assisted to make sure it is increasing while the percentage assisted by US-funded programs decreases.

Program Area: Disaster Readiness

ELEMENT: HA 2.1 – CAPACITY BUILDING, PREPAREDNESS, AND PLANNING		
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG MISSIONS/EMBASSIES WITH COMPLETE DISASTER		
RELIEF PLANS IN PLACE (BUREAU REPORTED)		
DEFINITION:		
A complete Mission Disaster Relief Plan (MDRF) consists of:		
a Hazard and Risks Analysis,		
 a Country Logistics and Resources Assessment (CLARA), 		
 specific emergency scenarios which could impact the Mission's work 		
there;		
 response plans for each of the included scenarios (including strategy, 		
implementation, available resources, logistics, internal management,		
budgeting, and preparedness actions),		
an Action Checklist and Timeline,		
	 all relevant contact information, and 	
	updating and up-keeping the disaster relief	
plan.		
MDRPs must be shared with OFDA.		
RATIONALE:	f achieving a U.S. Mission's state of disaster	
•	viding disaster assistance. It assigns	
	and lines of communications, and sets forth	
	r emergency relief operations in the country.	
	irection of the U.S. Chief of Mission in order	
· · ·		
to alleviate human suffering and loss of human life caused by acts of man or nature. The plan is designed to become operational when there is either an		
occurrence or a substantial threat of disaster or other significant event that might		
warrant its activation.		
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Percent of missions/embassies	None	
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Output	Higher = better	
DATA SOURCE:		
OFDA		
Measurement Notes:		
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible		
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this indicator.		

ELEMENT: HA 2.1 – CAPACITY BUILDING, PREPAREDNESS, AND PLANNING		
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COUNTRIES WITH EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS LINKED TO A		
RESPONSE SYSTEM IN PLACE AS A RESULT OF USG ASSISTANCE (BUREAU REPORTED)		
DEFINITION:		
Early warning systems include FEWSNET (the Famine Early Warning		
Mechanism Network). Countries are counted against this indicator if a linkage		
has been put in place between an ea	arly warning system and a response system	
as a result of USG assistance during this reporting period.		
RATIONALE:		
	Early warning systems are critical to enable efficient and effective readiness.	
Systems need to warn about shocks and provide information to inform		
appropriate response, including food and non-food interventions.		
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Number of countries	None	
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Outcome	Higher = better	
DATA SOURCE:		
Missions/FEWSNet Implementing Partners		
MEASUREMENT NOTES:		
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible		
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this		
indicator.		

ELEMENT: HA 2.1 - CAPACITY BUILDING, PREPAREDNESS, AND PLANNING

INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN DISASTER PREPAREDNESS AS A RESULT OF USG ASSISTANCE

DEFINITION:

This indicator will include individuals who have attended disaster preparedness training programs. This will include those trained in disaster preparedness through specific training programs, e.g. seismic awareness and prevention programs, Emergency Managers and First Responders training, and community members trained through community based disaster preparedness training. *Rationale:*

Most lives are saved by local responders and neighbors in the immediate aftermath of a disaster. Simple preparedness measures can save many lives in earthquakes, floods, and food insecurity. Training local communities and responders on preparedness has a high benefit cost ratio in both lives saved and money spent.

Unit:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Number of people	Sex
Түре: Оитрит/Оитсоме	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = better
DATA SOURCE:	
DCHA/OFDA; DCHA/FFP	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	

ELEMENT: HA 2.2 – MITIGATION

INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG-ASSISTED COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE		
CONSTRUCTED/DEVELOPED PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TO MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF		
SHOCKS		
DEFINITION:		
The focus is on physical infrastructure that mitigates the effect of natural shocks,		
such as floods and droughts.		
	nation on the number of communities that	
	meet the criteria and the total number of communities assisted. The percentage	
	ing the sum of the program-specific number	
	by the sum of the program-specific number of	
communities assisted.		
RATIONALE: Building cyclone shelters, flood embankments, gully plugs and other soil and		
water conversation structures can help communities reduce damage due to tropical storms and floods. Water conservation and retention structures help		
reduce the damage caused by drought. Building community resilience through this kind of physical infrastructure is a critical aspect of mitigation.		
Unit:	Disaggregate by:	
Percent of USG-assisted	None	
communities		
Түре: Оитрит/Оитсоме	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Outcome	Higher = Better	
DATA SOURCE:		
Implementing partners		
Measurement Notes:		

INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF USG-ASSISTED SHOCK PRONE AREAS REPORTING MAINTENANCE OR IMPROVEMENT IN HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION

DEFINITION:

Shocks include natural disasters, economic downturns, and other external shocks. Household food consumption is maintained when the value of the indicator from the reporting year is the same as the baseline. Household food consumption is improved when the value of the indicator from the reporting year is greater than the baseline. Food consumption is measured by household dietary diversity or months of adequate food provisioning. If the program reports on both dietary diversity and months of adequate food provisioning, the program is considered to have maintained or improved household food consumption if either of the measures meets the requirements for maintenance or improvement.

Implementing partners provide information on the number of areas that meet the criteria and the total number of areas assisted. The percentage of areas is calculated by dividing the sum of the program-specific number of areas meeting the criteria by the sum of the program-specific number of areas assisted.

RATIONALE:

The indicator captures the extent to which USG programs are successful in assisting households to reduce their vulnerability to shocks. The indicator is stated as "maintenance or improvement" because the level of vulnerability of the target populations to risk factors is such that the maintenance of household food consumption levels, in the face of a drought for example, represents an improved level of resiliency.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Percent of areas	None
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Outcome	Higher = Better
DATA SOURCE:	
Implementing partners	
MEASUREMENT NOTES:	

Program Area: Migration Management

ELEMENT: 3.1 - PROTECTION AND ASSIS	ELEMENT: 3.1 - PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE		
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF COUNTRIES WITH USG-FUNDED PROGRAMS THAT			
ESTABLISH POLICIES, PRACTICES AND SYSTEMS THAT PROTECT AND ASSIST			
VULNERABLE MIGRANTS (BUREAU REPORTED)			
DEFINITION:			
The percentage of countries, with USG-funded programs under this element, that			
have established policies, practices, and systems that protect and assist			
vulnerable migrants during the period compared to all countries funded during			
the measurement period.			
RATIONALE:			
Funding projects of this type are intended, in part, to build the capacity of			
governments in these countries to better protect and assist vulnerable migrants.			
UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:		
Percent of countries	None		
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:		
Outcome	Higher = Better		
DATA SOURCE:			
International Organization for Migration project progress reports, PRM desk and			
field monitoring			
Measurement Notes:			
Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureau responsible			
for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this			
indicator.			

ELEMENT: 3.1 - PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE

INDICATOR TITLE: REDUCTION IN AVERAGE COST OF STAY OF MIGRANTS FROM THE FORMER SOVIET UNION IN ABSORPTION CENTERS IN ISRAEL (BUREAU REPORTED)

DEFINITION:

Reduction in time migrants from the former Soviet Union stay at absorption centers, thereby reducing cost.

RATIONALE:

Humanitarian migrants leave absorption centers when able to secure permanent housing. Leaving absorption centers reflects their ability to make this critical step toward self-sufficiency and the ability to secure and service low-interest government loans or otherwise provide housing for themselves. This indicator illustrates the level of UIA's efficiency in facilitating that process.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Dollars	None
TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Outcome	Higher = better
DATA SOURCE:	

United Israel Appeal (UIA), Jewish Agency for Israel (JAFI)

MEASUREMENT NOTES:

Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this indicator. ELEMENT: 3.1 - PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE

INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE HUMANITARIAN MIGRANTS TO ISRAEL THAT RECEIVE MANDATORY SERVICES (BUREAU REPORTED)

DEFINITION:

Mandatory services are defined as care and processing en route, transport to Israel, and transitional housing.

Eligible humanitarian migrants to Israel explanation: This program addresses the situation of Jews in the former Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, Africa and the Near East and other countries where Jews are in distress. The U.S. funds portions of five programs as part of the overall work of the UIA, the only U.S. organization with a resettlement program in Israel. The program resettles humanitarian migrants to Israel, providing them with knowledge and skills to help them integrate and achieve self-sufficiency.

RATIONALE:

Receiving mandatory assistance will enable humanitarian migrants to Israel to achieve the program's long-term goal of enabling them to become self-sufficient members of Israeli society.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:
Percent of eligible migrants	None
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:
Output	Higher = Better
DATA SOUDOF	

DATA SOURCE:

United Israel Appeal (UIA)

MEASUREMENT NOTES:

Targets are set and results are reported by the Washington Bureaus responsible for the funds. Missions/Posts are not required to target and report on this indicator.

ELEMENT: 3.2 – INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT AND CAPACITY-BUILDING INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE ASSOCIATE EXPERTS WHO ARE HIRED PERMANENTLY BY IOM IN A GIVEN FISCAL YEAR (BUREAU REPORTED) DEFINITION: Percentage of eligible Associate Experts (AEs) who are hired permanently by IOM in a given fiscal year.

RATIONALE:

The permanent hire of PRM-funded AEs increases American representation in IOM.

UNIT:	DISAGGREGATE BY:	
Percent of eligible AEs	None	
ΤΥΡΕ: ΟυΤΡυΤ/ΟυΤCOME	DIRECTION OF CHANGE:	
Outcome	Higher = better	
DATA SOURCE:		
Reports from IOM of eligible AEs who are hired.		
MEASUREMENT NOTES:		