## **Key Elements of State PPP Enabling Legislation for Highway Projects**Last Updated December 2007

No.:	Issue:	States with Provision:
1.	Does the relevant law allow solicited	Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware,
	and unsolicited proposals for PPP	Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland,
	Projects?	Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,
		Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Texas,
		Utah, Virginia, Washington
2.	Does the relevant law permit	Alaska, Colorado, Delaware, Florida,
	local/state/federal funds to be	Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi,
	combined with private sector funds	Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon,
	on a PPP project?	Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia,
3.	Who has rate-setting authority to	Washington Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, California,
3.	impose user fees and under what	Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
	circumstances may they be changed	Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland,
	or otherwise reviewed?	Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,
		Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, South
		Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
		Virginia, Washington
4.	Does the relevant law permit TIFIA	Alaska, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
	loans to be used on PPP projects?	Indiana, Louisiana, North Carolina,
		Oregon, Texas, Utah, Virginia,
		Washington
5.	Is the number of PPP projects	Alaska, Arizona, California, Indiana,
	limited to only a few "pilot" or	Missouri, North Carolina, Tennessee
	"demonstration" projects?	A1 1 C 1'C ' E1 '1 I I'
6.	Are there restrictions concerning the	Alaska, California, Floride, Indiana,
	geographic location of PPP projects?	Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas
7.	Are there restrictions concerning the	Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado,
<b>/·</b>	particular mode of transportation	Indiana, Louisiana, Minnesota,
	eligible to be developed as a PPP	Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, North
	project (e.g., truck, passenger auto,	Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee
	freight rail, passenger rail)?	,
8.	Is there a legal requirement to	North Carolina, Tennessee
	remove tolls after the repayment of	
	project debt?	
9.	Does the relevant law permit the	California, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana,
	conversion of existing or partially	Maryland, Minnesota, North Carolina,
10	constructed highways into toll roads?	Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington
10.	Is there a restriction that prevents the	Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware,
	revenues from PPP projects from	Florida, Indiana, Mississippi, North
	being diverted to the state's general	Carolina, Oregon, Texas, Utah,
	fund or for other unrelated uses?	Washington

No.:	Issue:	States with Provision:
11.	Is prior legislative approval required	Alaska, California, Delaware, Florida,
	when an individual PPP proposal is	Indiana, Louisiana, Tennessee,
	received?	Washington
12.	Are there any similar requirements	Arizona, Delaware, Minnesota
	that subject the PPP proposal or the	
	negotiated PPP agreement to a local	
	veto?	
13.	Does the relevant law permit all	California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida,
	kinds of procurements for PPP	Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland,
	project delivery? These might	Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina,
	include, for example, calls for	Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
	projects, competitive RFQ and RFPs,	Virginia, Washington
	qualifications review followed by an	
	evaluation of proposer concepts, use of design build, procurements based	
	on financial terms such as return on	
	equity rather than on price, long-	
	term asset leases for some period of	
	up to 60 years or longer from the	
	time operations commence?	
14.	Are there explicit	Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
	exemptions/supplemental	Indiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North
	procurement authority from the	Carolina, Oregon, Texas, Virginia,
	application of the state's general	Washington
	procurement laws?	
15.	Does the relevant law authorize the	Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California,
	public sector to grant long-term	Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
	leases/franchises for the	Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland,
	construction, operation and	Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,
	maintenance of toll facilities?	Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Texas,
1.0	D d 11' ( 1 d	Utah, Virginia, Washington
16.	Does the public sector have the	Alaska, Colorado, Florida, Indiana,
	authority to issue toll revenue bonds or notes?	Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi,
	of flotes?	Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
		Washington
17.	Does the public sector have the	Colorado, Georgia, Oregon, South
	authority to form nonprofits and let	Carolina, Virginia
	them issue debt on behalf of a public	, . 6
	agency?	
18.	Does the relevant public agency have	Alaska, Colorado, Florida, Georgia,
	the authority to hire its own technical	Indiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North
	and legal consultants?	Carolina, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Virginia,
		Washington
19.	Does the relevant law permit the	Delaware, Indiana, Texas

No.:	Issue:	States with Provision:
	public sector to make payments to	
	unsuccessful bidders for work	
	product contained in their proposals?	
20.	Can the agency charge application	Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana,
	fees to offset its proposal review	Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, Oregon,
	costs?	Texas, Virginia, Washington
21.	Does the relevant law allow adequate	Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia,
	time for the preparation, submission	Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland,
	and evaluation of competitive	Mississippi, Missouri, Oregon, Texas,
	proposals? Note that the agency	Virginia, Washington
	should have the authority to establish	
	these deadlines on a case-by-case	
	basis depending on the complexity	
	and scope of the initial proposal or	
	other factors that might promote	
	competition (e.g., more review time	
	during holiday periods).	
22.	Is the public sector required to	Arizona, North Carolina
	maintain comparable non-toll routes	
22	when it establishes new toll roads?	
23.	Are there any non-compete clause	Alabama, Florida, Mississippi, North
24.	prohibitions?	Carolina, Texas
24.	Is the authority to enter into PPPs	Alabama, Alaska, California, Colorado,
	restricted to the state DOT or state	Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana,
	turnpike authority or may regional or local entities also do so?	Louisiana, Maryland, Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina,
	local chities also do so:	Tennessee, Utah, Washington (States
		listed restrict authority to state DOT or
		state turnpike authority.)
25.	Does the relevant law specify	Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
	evaluation criteria for PPP proposals	Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, Nevada,
	received under a given procurement	Virginia
	approach?	
26.	Does the relevant law specify the	California, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana,
	structure and participants for the	Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi,
	review process involving PPP	Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Utah,
	proposals?	Washington
27.	Does the relevant law protect the	Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana,
	confidentiality of PPP proposals and	Maryland, Missouri, Oregon, Texas,
	any related negotiations in the period	Virginia, Washington
	prior to execution of the PPP	
	agreement?	
28.	Does the relevant law provide for the	Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Colorado,
	ability of the public sector to	Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana,
	outsource long-term operations and	Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota,

No.:	Issue:	States with Provision:
	maintenance and other asset	Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina,
	management duties to the private	Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee,
	sector?	Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington