without doors. DOE is also interested in information regarding the existence and examples of these types of products. Assuming that these types of products exist, what are their annual shipments?

- (4) DOE is interested in whether classifying residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor as covered products is necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of EPCA.
- (5) DOE seeks stock and shipment data for residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor, segregated by different product types.
- (6) DOE seeks information regarding energy test procedures suited for residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor.
- (7) DOE seeks information regarding energy use of these products.
- (8) DOE seeks information concerning the extent to which similar coverage may be appropriate for commercial or industrial products that utilize similar refrigeration technologies.
- (9) DOE seeks calculations and accompanying values for household and national energy consumption.
- (10) DOE seeks information as to the availability or lack of availability of technologies for improving energy efficiency of residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor.

The Department is interested in receiving views concerning other relevant issues that participants believe would affect DOE's ability to establish test procedures and energy conservation standards for residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor. The Department invites all interested parties to submit in writing by December 8, 2011, comments and information on matters addressed in this notice and on other matters relevant to consideration of a determination for residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor.

After the expiration of the period for submitting written statements, the Department will consider all comments and additional information that is obtained from interested parties or through further analyses, and it will prepare a final determination. If DOE determines that residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor qualify as covered products, DOE will consider initiating rulemakings to develop test procedures and energy conservation standards for residential refrigeration products that do not incorporate a compressor. Members of the public will be given an opportunity to submit written and oral

comments on any proposed test procedure and standards.

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 430

Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Energy conservation, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 1, 2011.

Kathleen B. Hogan,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. [FR Doc. 2011–28928 Filed 11–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Parts 121, 124, 125, 126, and 127

RIN 3245-AG23

Small Business Size and Status Integrity

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: SBA is reopening the comment period for the proposed rule published in the Federal Register on October 7, 2011. In that rule SBA proposed to amend its regulations to implement provisions of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (Jobs Act) pertaining to small business size and status integrity. SBA proposed to amend its program regulations to implement statutory provisions establishing that there is a presumption of loss equal to the value of the contract or other instrument when a concern willfully seeks and receives an award by misrepresentation. SBA proposed to amend its program regulations to implement statutory provisions that provide that the submission of an offer or application for an award intended for small business concerns will be deemed a size or status certification or representation in certain circumstances. SBA proposed to amend its program regulations to implement statutory provisions that provide that an authorized official must sign in connection with a size or status certification or representation for a contract or other instrument. SBA proposed to amend its regulations to implement statutory provisions that provide that concerns that fail to update their size or status in the Online Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA) database (or any

successor thereto) at least annually shall no longer be identified in the database as small or some other socioeconomic status, until the representation is updated. SBA proposed to amend its regulations to clarify when size is determined for purposes of entry into the 8(a) Business Development and HUBZone programs. The proposed rule provided a 30-day comment period closing on November 7, 2011.

SBA is reopening the comment period for an additional 30 days in response to the significant level of interest generated by the proposed rule among small businesses. Given the scope of the proposed rule and the nature of the issues raised by the comments received to date, SBA believes that affected businesses need more time to review the proposal and prepare their comments.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published on October 7, 2011 (76 FR 62313) is extended through December 8, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by RIN: 3245–AG23, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail, for paper, disk, or CD/ROM submissions: Dean Koppel, U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Government Contracting, 409 Third Street SW., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20416.
- Hand Delivery/Courier: Dean Koppel, U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Government Contracting, 409 Third Street SW., 8th Floor Washington, DC 20416.

SBA will post all comments on http://www.regulations.gov. If you wish to submit confidential business information (CBI) as defined in the User Notice at http://www.Regulations.gov, please submit the information to Dean Koppel, U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Government Contracting, 409 Third Street SW., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20416, or send an email to Dean.Koppel@sba.gov. Highlight the information that you consider to be CBI and explain why you believe SBA should hold this information as confidential. SBA will review the information and make the final determination on whether it will publish the information or not.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dean Koppel, Office of Government Contracting, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416; (202) 205–9751; Dean.Koppel@sba.gov. Dated: November 2, 2011.

Joseph G. Jordan,

Associate Administrator, Government Contracting and Business Development. [FR Doc. 2011–28827 Filed 11–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2011-1223; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-173-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Bombardier, Inc. Model CL–600–2B16 (CL–601–3A, CL–601–3R, and CL–604 Variants) airplanes. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

There have been several occurrences of the air driven generator (ADG) failure to power essential buses during functional tests of the ADG. It was found that the low threshold setting of the circuit protection on the ADG generator control unit (GCU) can prevent the supply of power from the ADG to the essential buses. In the event of an emergency, loss of power to the essential buses can prevent continued safe flight.

The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI. **DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by December 23, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: (202) 493–2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: Ü.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor,

Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and

5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., 400 Côte-Vertu Road West, Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9, Canada; telephone (514) 855–5000; fax (514) 855–7401; email thd.crj@aero.bombardier.com; Internet http://www.bombardier.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (425) 227–1221.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Assata Dessaline, Aerospace Engineer, Avionics and Flight Test Branch, ANE– 172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228–7301; fax (516) 794–5531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2011-1223; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-173-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the aviation authority for Canada, has issued Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF–2011–25, dated July 25, 2011 (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

There have been several occurrences of the air driven generator (ADG) failure to power essential buses during functional tests of the ADG. It was found that the low threshold setting of the circuit protection on the ADG generator control unit (GCU) can prevent the supply of power from the ADG to the essential buses. In the event of an emergency, loss of power to the essential buses can prevent continued safe flight.

This directive mandates the replacement of the ADG GCU.

You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

Relevant Service Information

Bombardier, Inc. has issued Service Bulletin 605–24–003, dated April 25, 2011; and Service Bulletin 604–24–023, dated April 25, 2011. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have proposed different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a NOTE within the proposed AD.