

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Items	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
Purpose and Need for this Study	ES-1
Alternatives Development	ES-2
The Proportional Alternative	ES-2
The Contractors' Proposal.....	ES-2
Decision.....	ES-4
 CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION.....	 I-1
Background.....	I-1
Need for Cost Allocations	I-4
Need for a Revised Cost Allocation of the CVP.....	I-4
Scope of Study	I-5
Public Outreach.....	I-5
 CHAPTER II. SUMMARY OF CVP COST ALLOCATION STUDIES.....	 II-1
Annual Cost Allocation Updates.....	II-1
Previous CVP Cost Allocation Studies	II-2
Initial Central Valley Project Studies	II-2
1946 Cost Allocation Study	II-2
1956 Reallocation Study.....	II-2
1960 Reallocation Study.....	II-3
1970 Reallocation Study.....	II-3
1975 Reallocation Study.....	II-7
Congressional Actions that Affect Allocations and Repayment.....	II-7
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Requirements	II-7
Congressional Approval of Cost Allocations.....	II-8
Trinity River Mitigation and Restoration Activities.....	II-8
Coordinated Operations Agreement and Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement	II-8
General Accounting Office Report.....	II-9
Central Valley Project Improvement Act.....	II-9
 CHAPTER III. EXISTING CVP PLANT-IN-SERVICE COST ALLOCATION	 III-1
Cost Allocation Computational Process	III-1
Identify Costs to be Allocated.....	III-1
Allocate Costs to Project Purposes.....	III-3
Calculate Repayment Responsibilities.....	III-4
Summary of Existing CVP Cost Allocation	III-8

Items	Page
CHAPTER IV. DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES	IV-1
Criteria for Development of Alternatives.....	IV-1
Compliance with P.L. 99-546	IV-1
Recommendations in the GAO Report	IV-1
Allocation Methods Considered.....	IV-2
Quantity-Based Methods	IV-3
Priority-Based Methods	IV-4
Benefits-Based Methods	IV-4
User Group-Based Methods	IV-6
Allocation Alternatives Developed.....	IV-7
Existing Allocation.....	IV-7
Proportional Alternative.....	IV-7
Contractors' Proposal.....	IV-11
CHAPTER V. COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	V-1
Existing Allocation.....	V-1
Proportional Alternative	V-4
Contractors' Proposal.....	V-8
Summary of Results.....	V-12
CHAPTER VI. EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	VI-1
Evaluation Criteria	VI-1
Application of Evaluation Criteria to Alternatives.....	VI-2
Criterion 1 – Allocate Joint Costs Based on Project Benefits	VI-2
Criterion 2 – Adjust to Changes in Project Operations	VI-5
Criterion 3 – Apply Accepted Cost Allocation Standards.....	VI-8
Criterion 4 – Consistency with Past CVP Cost Allocation Methods.....	VI-9
Criterion 5 – Consistency with Laws, Regulations, and Guidance	VI-10
Criterion 6 – Adaptive and Able to Accept New Project Features	VI-12
Criterion 7 – Simplify the Cost Allocation Process	VI-12
Criterion 8 – Implementation Process	VI-13
Evaluation Summary.....	VI-13
CHAPTER VII. SUMMARY	VII-1
Decision.....	VII-2
Proportional Alternative	VII-2
Contractors' Proposal.....	VII-2
Future Study.....	VII-3

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A.** Water and Power Contractors' Cost Allocation Proposal
- APPENDIX B.** Excluded, Exempt, Joint and Specific Costs for Proportional Alternative
- APPENDIX C.** COE Letter of February 27, 1975, Concerning Flood Control Benefits for 1975 CVP Cost Reallocation Study
- APPENDIX D.** Responses to Comments on Draft Report

LIST OF TABLES

Items	Page
Table ES-1	Changes in Total Repayment Responsibilities.....ES-5
Table ES-2	Changes in M&I Water Rate Components.....ES-6
Table ES-3	Changes in Irrigation Water Rate Components.....ES-6
Table I-1	Summary of Public Meetings and Workshops.....I-6
Table II-1	Summary of 1970 Reallocation StudyII-5
Table II-2	Significant Issues Addressed in the 1970 Reallocation Study.....II-6
Table III-1	Existing CVP Cost Allocation Repayment Responsibilities as of September 30, 1999..... III-8
Table IV-1	Characteristics of Cost Allocation Alternatives.....IV-8
Table IV-2	Features Exempt from Proportional AlternativeIV-11
Table IV-3	Comparison of Joint Cost Allocation Factors for Base I Facilities.....IV-12
Table V-1	Costs Allocated Using Separable and Joint Cost Allocation Factors..... V-1
Table V-2	Allocation of Project Costs in the Existing Allocation..... V-2
Table V-3	Repayment Responsibilities in the Existing Allocation..... V-3
Table V-4	Water Rate Components in the Existing Allocation..... V-4
Table V-5	Specific Costs and Joint Cost Allocation Factors in the Proportional Alternative..... V-5
Table V-6	Allocation of Project Costs in the Proportional Alternative V-6
Table V-7	Repayment Responsibilities in the Proportional Alternative V-7
Table V-8	Water Rate Components in the Proportional Alternative..... V-7
Table V-9	Comparison of Joint Cost Allocation Factors V-8
Table V-10	Allocation of Project Costs in the Contractors' Proposal..... V-9
Table V-11	Repayment Responsibilities in the Contractors' Proposal..... V-10
Table V-12	Water Rate Components in the Contractors' Proposal..... V-11
Table V-13	Summary of Total Allocated Costs for All Alternatives..... V-12
Table V-14	Summary of Repayment Responsibilities in All Alternatives V-13
Table V-15	Summary of M&I Rate Components in All Alternatives..... V-14
Table V-16	Summary of Irrigation Rate Components in All Alternatives..... V-15
Table VI-1	Criteria to Evaluate Cost Allocation Alternatives..... VI-3
Table VI-2	Comparison of Cost Allocation Alternatives VI-14

LIST OF FIGURES

Items		Page
Figure I-1	The Central Valley Project.....	I-3
Figure III-1	Repayment of Water Supply Costs in Existing Allocation.....	III-6
Figure III-2	Repayment of Power Costs in Existing Allocation.....	III-7
Figure IV-1	Repayment of Water Supply Costs in Contractors' Proposal.....	IV-15

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AJE	alternative justifiable expenditure
Bay-Delta Plan	1994 Delta Water Quality Control Plan
CIP	Construction-In-Progress
COA	Coordinated Operations Agreement
COE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Commissioner	Commissioner of Reclamation
Coordination Act	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1934
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
D-1485	State Water Resources Control Board Decision 1485
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin River/San Francisco Bay Delta
DMC	Delta-Mendota Canal
DOE	Department of Energy
ESA	Endangered Species Act
GAO	General Accounting Office
IDC	interest during construction
M&I	municipal and industrial
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
SCRB	separable costs-remaining benefits
Secretary	Secretary of the Interior
Service	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
SOD	safety of dams
State	State of California
SWP	California State Water Project
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
Western	Western Area Power Administration