

1

2

**CLIMATE IMPACT OF CONTRAILS AND CONTRAIL
CIRRUS**

3

4

5

6

7

SSWP # IV

8

9

January 25, 2008

10

11

12

13

14

U. Burkhardt, B. Kärcher, H. Mannstein and U. Schumann

15

DLR Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

16

17

18

19

20

21

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | Climate impact of contrails and contrail cirrus | 1 |
| 2 | Executive summary | 4 |
| 3 | Introduction and background | 6 |
| 4 | 2. Review | 9 |
| 5 | a. Current state of science | 9 |
| 6 | Thermodynamic conditions for contrail formation | 9 |
| 7 | Microphysics of contrail formation | 10 |
| 8 | Wake processing of contrails | 11 |
| 9 | Development of contrail cirrus | 12 |
| 10 | Trends of cirrus cloudiness | 14 |
| 11 | Contrail effects on the radiation budget | 15 |
| 12 | b. Critical role of contrails and contrail cirrus | 16 |
| 13 | c. Advancements since the IPCC 1999 report | 17 |
| 14 | Remote sensing | 17 |
| 15 | Upper tropospheric humidity and supersaturation | 17 |
| 16 | Wake processes | 17 |
| 17 | Contrail coverage | 18 |
| 18 | Contrail optical depth | 19 |
| 19 | Future scenarios | 19 |
| 20 | d. Present state of measurements and data analysis | 19 |
| 21 | Relative humidity | 19 |
| 22 | Contrail measurements | 20 |
| 23 | Cirrus detection using satellite imagery | 22 |
| 24 | Contrail detection using satellite imagery | 22 |
| 25 | e. Present state of modeling capability | 23 |
| 26 | Large-Eddy simulations | 23 |
| 27 | Global modeling | 24 |
| 28 | Optical properties and radiative forcing | 25 |
| 29 | Offline radiative transfer models | 26 |
| 30 | Future air traffic and mitigation scenarios | 26 |
| 31 | f. Current estimates of climate impacts and uncertainties | 27 |
| 32 | g. Interconnectivity with other SSWP theme areas | 29 |
| 33 | 3. Outstanding limitations, gaps and issues requiring improvement | 30 |
| 34 | a. Science | 30 |
| 35 | Representing contrail life cycle in global models | 30 |
| 36 | Contrail cirrus optical depth and coverage | 30 |
| 37 | Soot effects | 31 |

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1 | Metrics..... | 31 |
| 2 | b. Measurements and analysis..... | 32 |
| 3 | Upper tropospheric relative humidity | 32 |
| 4 | Remote sensing of contrails | 32 |
| 5 | Identification and characterization of aged contrail cirrus..... | 33 |
| 6 | Correlations between cirrus coverage and air traffic | 34 |
| 7 | c. Modeling capability..... | 34 |
| 8 | Scale problem..... | 34 |
| 9 | Uncertainties in global modeling | 35 |
| 10 | Improvements and validation necessary for relative humidity and cloud coverage | 35 |
| 11 | Improvements necessary for contrail cirrus | 36 |
| 12 | Radiation | 36 |
| 13 | Validation..... | 37 |
| 14 | d. Interconnectivity with other SSWP theme areas..... | 37 |
| 15 | 4. Prioritization for tackling outstanding issues | 37 |
| 16 | a. Impact..... | 39 |
| 17 | b. Ability to improve climate impacts with reduced uncertainties..... | 40 |
| 18 | c. Practical use..... | 41 |
| 19 | d. Achievability | 41 |
| 20 | e. Estimated cost..... | 43 |
| 21 | f. Timeline | 43 |
| 22 | 5. Recommendations | 44 |
| 23 | a. Options | 44 |
| 24 | b. Supporting rationale | 45 |
| 25 | c. How to best integrate best available options | 45 |
| 26 | 6. Summary | 46 |
| 27 | References | 47 |

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1

2 Generally, the climatic impact of air traffic (of which a substantial part may be due to
3 contrails and contrail cirrus) today (year 2000) amounts to 2-8% of the global radiative
4 forcing associated with climate change. Due to the projected increase in air traffic [ICAO,
5 2007] the relative importance of air traffic is going to increase drastically. In the long term it
6 may well be, that the most serious threat to the continued growth of air travel is its impact on
7 climate [Green, 2005]. In view of the societal relevance and economic importance of
8 sustainable growth of global aviation, it would be appropriate that the climate science
9 community received sufficient funding, allowing significant progress estimating climate
10 impacts, in order to ensure that political decisions are based on increasingly sound scientific
11 knowledge. Aircraft-induced cloudiness, which comprises contrail cirrus and modification of
12 cirrus by aircraft exhaust soot emissions are the most uncertain component in aviation climate
13 impact assessments [IPCC, 2007]. Since they may be the largest component in aviation
14 radiative forcing aircraft-induced cloudiness and contrail cirrus in particular require a large
15 research effort.

16 Contrails develop at lower relative humidity than natural cirrus and therefore can increase
17 high cloudiness and change the radiation budget significantly in or near regions with high air
18 traffic density. Several studies have inferred coverage due to line-shaped contrails in limited
19 areas using satellite data. In situ measurements have been made analyzing young contrails
20 regarding their ice water content, particle sizes and particle habit, some of which have not
21 been fully mined. Radiative transfer models estimate the radiative forcing due to individual
22 contrails. Current estimates of global contrail radiative forcing are based on climate model
23 simulations using a simple parameterization for line-shaped contrail coverage and their ice
24 water content.

25 From those studies we know that line-shaped contrail coverage in areas of high traffic density
26 may be as large as a few percent. The optical properties of the probed contrails are distinct
27 from natural cirrus with line-shaped contrails consisting of a larger number of smaller
28 particles. The optical properties of isolated line-shaped contrails change the radiative balance
29 in a way that they cause in the majority of situations warming of the atmosphere. Line-shaped
30 contrails are estimated to cause a global radiative forcing of about 10 mW/m^2 .

31 Contrary to the IPCC, we judge the state of science regarding contrail radiative forcing to be
32 poor. Regional line-shaped contrail coverages have not been inferred from satellite data in a
33 way that warrants intercomparability. Detection thresholds have not been properly estimated
34 and discussed so that a comparison with model estimates is hampered. Available airborne
35 measurements of contrails suffer from the poor detectability and characterization of size and
36 habit of small ice crystals typical for contrails. Estimates of global line-shaped contrail
37 coverage are all based on one single contrail parameterization approach using a climate
38 model. Most of those studies use the same global model, the same tuning data set and the
39 same assumptions about contrail ice microphysics and optical depth so that similar results are
40 not surprising. There exists a pending dissent about contrail optical properties from Lidar
41 measurements and satellite retrievals estimating larger mean optical depth than suggested by
42 global models. Due to the problems using observational data for model validation the extent
43 of the disagreement is not known. Radiative transfer simulations find only modest changes of
44 contrail radiative forcing due to three-dimensional effects, the inclusion of the diurnal cycle of
45 air traffic and other factors. However, even the most advanced radiative transfer studies have
46 not yet incorporated best knowledge of key parameters such as contrail ice microphysics in
47 order to place conclusive bounds on associated uncertainties.

48 Therefore, in a first step, we recommend that more independent studies and sensitivity
49 experiments should be performed estimating the climate impact of line-shaped contrails so

1 that proper error bars of radiative forcing can be inferred. Better observational data together
2 with the associated detection thresholds and efficiencies must be obtained that can be used for
3 constraining contrail model parameterizations and model validation. A uniform data set
4 estimating line-shaped contrail coverage from satellites globally is needed.

5 Without further progress in contrail modeling we will not be able to answer questions about
6 the climate impact of future air traffic scenarios. Radiative forcing estimates due to contrails
7 cannot be simply scaled with an increased air traffic since future air traffic is forecasted to
8 increase mainly in the more humid subtropics of southeast and east Asia. Model estimates of
9 radiative forcing are mainly describing the effect of contrails in the areas of strongest current
10 air travel, the extratropics. Observational studies as well have been focusing on the mid
11 latitudes. In the subtropics there is little observational evidence of the optical properties and
12 radiative effects of contrails and it is not known how well current contrail parameterizations
13 will perform in the tropics.

14 Until now not even an accepted (IPCC-level) best estimate of radiative forcing due to aircraft-
15 induced cirrus changes exists and the state of knowledge is generally judged to be very low.
16 Persistent contrails spreading into long-lived contrails cirrus decks covering substantial
17 regional areas is observed at times, hence significant atmospheric effects can be expected.
18 However, there is no robust estimate for the additional coverage due to contrail cirrus. The
19 small- and synoptic-scale meteorological conditions supporting contrail cirrus development
20 (including relative humidity and wind shear) appear to be highly variable. Therefore, no
21 simple relationship exists between contrail age and linearity and detectability. The optical
22 properties of contrail cirrus are not known and radiative forcing due to contrail cirrus has not
23 been estimated. Therefore, current estimates of total aviation-induced radiative forcing likely
24 lack an important contribution. Contrail cirrus may not only change the radiative balance due
25 to an increase in cloud coverage or optical thickness of existing cirrus but also by modifying
26 the upper tropospheric moisture budget and by replacing or changing natural cirrus, should
27 the optical properties of contrail cirrus remain distinct from natural cirrus. Cirrus changes due
28 to the emission of soot particles from aircraft jet engines are much less certain. The ice-
29 nucleation behavior of fresh soot emissions is probably poor according to in situ data, but
30 regional or large-scale effects on cirrus properties and coverage cannot be ruled out. Progress
31 in this area requires a targeted field study demonstrating the ability of aging aircraft soot
32 particles to form ice at lower relative humidities than ice nuclei from other sources or the
33 ability to change particle size spectra in cirrus.

34 We propose introducing contrail cirrus as a new, purely anthropogenic ice cloud type and
35 recommend studying the whole life cycle of contrails. On the one hand in situ and remote
36 sensed observations of aged contrail cirrus are needed. On the other hand contrails should be
37 treated in global models as an independent cloud class together with their associated ice water
38 content. The formation of contrail cirrus from individual young contrails over a wide range of
39 spatial scales requires a special model study. Also identification of aviation induced
40 cloudiness in observations needs further studies.

41 Avoiding persistent contrail formation due to suitable operational (real time) changes in air
42 traffic management may provide a clue for efficiently reducing the aviation climate impact
43 due to persistent contrails on a short time scale. Weather forecast models may be used to
44 predict areas in which contrails form and persist with similar limitations as climate models
45 and would therefore benefit from the climate model research. This predictive capability is a
46 prerequisite for the development of mitigation strategies.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1
2 Changes in cirrus cloudiness caused by contrails, contrail cirrus and soot particles together are
3 denoted as aircraft-induced cloudiness (AIC) [Forster *et al.*, 2007]. Persistent contrails spread
4 considerably during their life time and transform from line-shaped (or linear) into more
5 irregularly formed contrail cirrus. Contrail cirrus is composed of irregularly-shaped ice
6 crystals that, just like natural cirrus, reflect solar radiation and trap outgoing longwave
7 radiation [Platt, 1981; Stephens and Webster, 1981]. Radiative effects of cirrus and contrails
8 have been addressed in several review or overview articles [Liou, 1986; Graßl, 1990;
9 Parungo, 1995; Fabian and Kärcher, 1997; Fahey *et al.*, 1999; Lee *et al.*, 2000; Schumann and
10 Ström, 2001; Minnis, 2003; Schumann, 2002, 2005]. Observations reveal that young contrail
11 ice crystals have smaller effective diameters than natural cirrus [Sassen, 1979; Betancor
12 Gothe and Graßl, 1993; Gayet *et al.*, 1996; Petzold *et al.*, 1997; Lawson *et al.*, 1998;
13 Heymsfield *et al.*, 1998; Poellot *et al.*, 1999; Schröder *et al.*, 2000; Febvre *et al.*, 2008]. Such
14 comparatively small particle sizes render the radiative impact of contrails different from that
15 of most natural cirrus clouds during at least part of the contrail life cycle. The contrail
16 radiative effect is thought to be a net warming as longwave heating dominates over shortwave
17 cooling owing to the relatively small visible optical thickness (< 0.5) of most contrails probed
18 in field measurements [Duda and Spinhirne, 1996; Jäger *et al.*, 1998; Meyer *et al.*, 2002;
19 Duda *et al.*, 2004; Minnis *et al.*, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005; Atlas *et al.*, 2006]. Additional
20 cloudiness may also be induced by aviation due to the possible influence of aviation aerosol
21 (mainly soot emissions) on cirrus clouds [Ström and Ohlsson, 1998; Hendricks *et al.*, 2005].
22 In the literature this effect was termed soot cirrus [Schumann, 2006].

23 Generally, the climatic impact of air traffic (of which a substantial part may be due to
24 contrails and contrail cirrus) today (year 2000) amounts to 2-8% of the global radiative
25 forcing associated with climate change. Since air traffic has been increasing on average by 5%
26 per year since the 1990 (twice as fast as the global economy), emissions have been increasing,
27 even though fuel consumption per passenger kilometer has been reduced significantly. Due to
28 the projected increase in air traffic [ICAO, 2007] the relative importance of air traffic is going
29 to increase drastically. Additionally, air traffic is concentrated in certain regions that
30 experience much larger climate impact due to air traffic than the global mean. In the long term
31 it may well be, that the most serious threat to the continued growth of air travel is its impact
32 on climate [Green, 2005]. The planned introduction of emission trading schemes must be
33 based on a solid scientific basis which is currently still lacking especially for non-CO₂
34 emissions [IPCC, 2007; Wuebbles and Ko, 2007]. The necessary scientific research would
35 support the strategic planning of the Joint Planning and Development Office (JPDO) to
36 develop the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the European vision
37 2020 of the Advisory Council for Aeronautics Research in Europe (ACARE), as well as
38 informing the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) through its Committee on
39 Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) on how scientific knowledge may be used to
40 improve assessments of environmental health and welfare impacts of aviation environmental
41 policy. In view of the societal relevance and economic importance of sustainable growth of
42 global aviation, it would be appropriate that the climate science community received
43 sufficient funding, for supporting not only applied but also basic research allowing real
44 progress estimating climate impacts, in order to ensure that political decisions are based on
45 increasingly sound scientific knowledge.

46 Contrails are short-lived when forming in dry air. They are persistent and grow in terms of
47 their horizontal coverage and ice water content whenever the air masses, in which they reside,
48 stay saturated or supersaturated with respect to the ice phase [Brewer, 1946]. Ice-
49 supersaturated regions and cirrus occurrences are closely tied to synoptic weather patterns
50 [Detwiler and Pratt, 1984; Schumann, 1996; Kästner *et al.*, 1999; Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003a,

1 2005; Haag and Kärcher, 2004; Gettelman *et al.*, 2006; Carleton *et al.*, 2007] and mesoscale
2 vertical air motion variability [Kärcher and Ström, 2003]. Typical thicknesses of ice-
3 supersaturated layers are 500 m at middle and high latitudes [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003b;
4 Treffeisen *et al.*, 2007; Rädcl and Shine, 2007a] limiting the vertical extent of contrail cirrus.
5 Occasionally much deeper layers have been observed indicated by contrail fall streaks
6 [Knollenberg, 1972; Konrad and Howard, 1974; Schumann, 1994; Atlas *et al.*, 2006]. Contrail
7 outbreaks, which describe clusters of persistent contrails that spread in suitable weather
8 conditions, indicate that ice supersaturated layers can be horizontally extended (at least up to
9 35,000 km²) [Minnis *et al.*, 1998] and can last for many hours [Detwiler and Pratt, 1984;
10 Mannstein *et al.*, 1999; DeGrand *et al.* 2000; Duda *et al.*, 2001, 2004, 2005]. Since the
11 beginning of jet air traffic, it is known that contrail cirrus can appear without natural cirrus
12 when atmospheric conditions do not support natural cloud formation, enhancing natural cloud
13 coverage [e.g., Kuhn, 1970; Detwiler and Pratt, 1984; Schumann and Wendling, 1990].
14 Contrails or contrail clusters are also observed in conjunction with cirrus clouds depending on
15 the synoptic situation [Sassen, 1997; Immler *et al.*, 2007]. Atmospheric feedbacks presumably
16 exist between persistent contrails and natural cirrus, because they share the same condensable
17 water vapor reservoir.

18 Until now only young or linear contrails have been subject to observational and theoretical
19 analyses. Jet exhaust contrails form by condensation of the emitted water vapor mainly on co-
20 emitted aerosol particles [Busen and Schumann, 1995; Gierens and Schumann, 1996;
21 Schumann, 1996; Kärcher, 1996; Schröder *et al.*, 1998; Kärcher *et al.*, 1996, 1998; Schumann
22 *et al.*, 1996; 2002]. Dynamical processes related to the decay of aircraft vortices determine the
23 number and mass of contrail ice crystals that survive in ice-supersaturated air [Lewellen and
24 Lewellen, 2001; Unterstrasser *et al.*, 2008]. The effective diameters of observed ice crystals in
25 young contrails are initially ~1 µm and increase with contrail age [Sassen, 1979; Gayet *et al.*,
26 1996; Freudenthaler *et al.*, 1996; Strauss *et al.* 1997; Petzold *et al.*, 1997; Goodman *et al.*,
27 1998; Lawson *et al.*, 1998; Heymsfield *et al.*, 1998; Sassen and Hsueh, 1998; Poellot *et al.*,
28 1999; Schröder *et al.*, 2000; Del Guasta and Niranjana, 2001; Febvre *et al.*, 2008]. Individual
29 contrails can persist for many hours with radiative processes affecting contrail longevity and
30 growth [Kuhn, 1970; Knollenberg, 1972; Gierens, 1994]. Contrail cirrus are frequently
31 observed to spread, inducing additional cirrus cloud coverage. This contrail cirrus can only to
32 some extent be distinguished from natural cirrus using satellites by tracking. Microphysical
33 properties of this aged and hence nonlinear contrail cirrus depends on the amount of water
34 vapor available in the ambient air and less on the moisture input from the aircraft [Schumann,
35 2002]. In a sheared environment, the increase in horizontal coverage is dependent on the
36 vertical extent of the contrail, which is in turn controlled by ice crystal sedimentation and
37 hence vertical layering of supersaturation. The size of the ice crystals in contrail cirrus and
38 their sedimentation properties may depend on the initial number of ice crystals formed in the
39 young contrail [Schumann, 1996] and on the processing of the ice crystals in the wake
40 vortices [Lewellen and Lewellen, 2001]. Otherwise, the temporal evolution of initially linear
41 contrails into spreaded contrail cirrus [Reinking, 1968; Gierens, 1998; Minnis *et al.*, 1998;
42 Schröder *et al.*, 2000; Atlas *et al.*, 2006] is controlled by atmospheric state variables and
43 dynamical processes (e.g., relative humidity, temperature, vertical shear of the horizontal
44 wind field perpendicular to the contrail axis, horizontal advection and diffusion, vertical air
45 motion). Coverage due to nonlinear contrail cirrus has not been simulated yet. Attempts to
46 estimate contrail cirrus coverage and optical depth from remote sensed data are considered
47 very uncertain [Fahey *et al.*, 1999; Sausen *et al.*, 2005]. The number and size distribution of
48 ice crystals in nonlinear contrail cirrus is not known. Remote sensing observations may miss
49 linear contrails with a width lower than the pixel size. Aviation-induced cloudiness
50 components, nonlinear contrail cirrus and soot cirrus are indistinguishable from background
51 cirrus. So far, the IPCC has assigned a best estimate of radiative forcing to linear contrails
52 only [Fahey *et al.*, 1999; Forster *et al.*, 2007].

1 Observational tools include Lidar and Radar instruments, satellite sensors and standard cloud
2 physics instrumentation onboard high flying aircraft, but available measurements do not cover
3 the full contrail cirrus life cycle [SSWP Key Theme 4]. Lidar and Radar have been used to
4 conduct case studies of contrails or to develop local contrail statistics [Konrad and Howard,
5 1974; Kästner *et al.*, 1993; Freudenthaler *et al.*, 1996; Sassen, 1997; Jäger *et al.*, 1998; Uthe
6 *et al.*, 1998; Sassen and Hsueh, 1998; Del Guasta and Niranjana, 2001; Sussmann and Gierens,
7 2001; Immler *et al.*, 2007]. Observational studies of regional contrail coverage have been
8 reported, including visual inspection of satellite images and automated algorithms to identify
9 linear objects in satellite scenes [Joseph *et al.*, 1975; Carleton and Lamb, 1986; Lee *et al.*,
10 1989; Schumann and Wendling, 1990; Bakan *et al.*, 1994, Mannstein *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*,
11 2001; Meyer *et al.*, 2002, 2007; Minnis *et al.*, 2003, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005; Duda *et al.*,
12 2005; Stuefer *et al.*, 2005; Mannstein and Schumann, 2005]. For uniform detection of
13 contrails during day and night, most studies used infrared satellite images which may detect
14 preferably contrails effective in the infrared and may underestimate the fraction of contrails
15 with high solar albedo. Time series composed of studies using different remote sensing
16 instruments suffer from different false alarm rates and detection efficiencies. A global,
17 homogeneous analysis of coverage and optical properties by linear contrails is still missing.
18 Number and size of ice crystals and optical depth of non line-shaped contrail cirrus cannot be
19 observed since they can generally not be distinguished from natural clouds. Therefore optical
20 properties need to be modeled.

21 The restriction to polar orbiting satellites results in a temporal sampling of contrails that
22 interferes with the daily pattern of air traffic. Any attempt to relate observed contrail cirrus
23 coverage to air traffic has to rely on a precise knowledge of real air traffic movements. Such
24 information is available only regionally. Available trend analyses are considered uncertain,
25 because the aviation signal is difficult to isolate and the trends of natural cirrus cloud amounts
26 may have many causes [Chagnon, 1981; Liou *et al.*, 1990; Boucher, 1999; Zerefos *et al.*,
27 2003; Minnis *et al.*, 2004; Stubenrauch and Schumann, 2005; Stordal *et al.*, 2005; Travis *et al.*,
28 2007; Eleftheratos *et al.*, 2007]. Attempts to attribute observed cirrus trends to aviation
29 cannot discriminate among contrail and soot effects and natural trends. Contrail and soot
30 effects on cirrus therefore need to be analyzed separately using improved correlation analysis
31 of observations or modeling tools. Observation-based studies have discussed the contrail
32 effect on surface temperature and diurnal temperature range [Travis *et al.*, 2005; Ponater *et al.*,
33 2005; Hansen *et al.*, 2005].

34 Modeling approaches comprise microphysical process models [Kärcher *et al.*, 1995; Brown *et al.*,
35 1997; Kärcher, 1998; Yu and Turco, 1998], Large-Eddy simulations (LES) [Boin and
36 Levkov, 1994; Gierens, 1996; Chlond, 1998; Jensen *et al.*, 1998a; Gierens and Jensen, 1999;
37 Khvorostyanov and Sassen, 1998; Sussmann and Gierens, 1999, 2001; Chen and Lin, 2001;
38 Lewellen and Lewellen, 2001; Ström and Gierens, 2002; Paoli *et al.*, 2004; Unterstrasser *et al.*,
39 2008], radiative transfer calculations and radiative forcing estimates [Fortuin *et al.*, 1995;
40 Strauss *et al.*, 1997; Schulz, 1998; Liou *et al.*, 1998; Minnis *et al.*, 1999; Meerkötter *et al.*,
41 1999; Myhre and Stordal, 2001; Chen *et al.*, 2001; Stuber *et al.*, 2006; Gounou and Hogan,
42 2007; Stuber and Forster, 2007; Rädcl and Shine, 2007b] and global or regional modeling
43 [Liou *et al.*, 1990; Rind *et al.*, 1996; Sausen *et al.*, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 2001; Duda *et al.*, 2005;
44 Ponater *et al.*, 1996, 2002, 2005; Marquart *et al.*, 2003; Fichter *et al.*, 2005; Hansen *et al.*,
45 2005]. Process-based and LES models covered only contrail formation or early stages of the
46 transformation into cirrus. Most radiation models use optical depth and ice water content in a
47 parametric manner instead of representing realistic values and respective variability.
48 However, the latter is important, as climate forcing is known to be strongly influenced by
49 regional and seasonal forcing patterns. Only few attempts have been undertaken to investigate
50 the global impact of linear contrails with climate models. Contrail modeling relies on the
51 successful simulation of the large scale climate and is hampered by the limited information of

1 observed [SSWP Key Theme 3] and simulated [Kärcher *et al.*, 2006; Tompkins *et al.*, 2007;
2 Liu *et al.*, 2007; Gettelman and Kinnison, 2007] upper tropospheric supersaturation.

3 We propose to introduce contrail cirrus as a new, purely anthropogenic ice cloud type in
4 global models for the following reasons. Contrail cirrus have distinct optical properties and
5 interact with the moisture field and natural cirrus. Individual contrails have been tracked for
6 long periods of time (as long as 17 hours) in satellite imagery [Minnis *et al.*, 1998], and this
7 does not seem an upper limit of possible life times. Therefore they can be advected, spread
8 and condense water causing considerable cloud coverage away from the source areas. Contrail
9 cirrus can significantly change cirrus coverage in the vicinity of air traffic routes and alter
10 radiative fluxes. The prospect of climate change and rapidly increasing demands for air
11 transportation emphasizes the need to study contrail cirrus. To enable an environmentally
12 sustainable development of air traffic in the future is a major motivation for research in this
13 area, with strong links to research efforts aiming at understanding dynamical, microphysical
14 and chemical processes in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere region.

15 Section 2 reviews the current state of science, focusing on advancements since the 1999 IPCC
16 report. Section 3 discusses limits of available methods and identifies research issues that
17 urgently need improvement to enable scientific progress. Section 4 prioritizes outstanding
18 issues. Section 5 provides recommendations to maximize science output. The SSWP closes
19 with a summary in section 6 and a comprehensive list of references.

20

2. REVIEW

21 a. Current state of science

22 *Thermodynamic conditions for contrail formation*

23 Contrails were first observed in 1915, but it took more than 25 years to provide proper
24 explanations. Early theories of contrail formation before 1940 (reviewed by Schumann
25 [1996]) considered various details of mixing of the engine heat, moisture and particle
26 emissions in the exhaust jet behind the engine with ambient air and various microphysical
27 details of particles, and liquid or ice particle formation. It was therefore a major progress
28 when Schmidt [1941], and later Appleman [1953], explained the formation of contrails purely
29 thermodynamically without the need to consider details of jet mixing and particle
30 microphysics. The only assumption needed is about whether contrail particles form at liquid
31 water or ice saturation. Schmidt [1941] assumed contrail formation at ice saturation. Several
32 other studies at the same time, as reviewed in Schumann [1996], see e.g. Brewer [1946],
33 provided clear evidence that contrail formation requires liquid saturation. This has been
34 confirmed in many follow-on studies [Schumann *et al.*, 1996; Jensen *et al.*, 1998b; Kärcher *et al.*
35 *et al.*, 1998; Schumann, 2000].

36 The thermodynamic theory assumes isobaric mixing of both specific heat (enthalpy) and
37 water vapor concentration in the exhaust at equal rates after complete combustion with
38 ambient air without other sources and losses (such as radiative heating). This approach
39 ignores details of the initial split of exhaust energy in internal energy and kinetic energy in the
40 exhaust jet [Schumann, 1996, 2000] and initial variations of the heat/moisture ratio between
41 the core and bypass parts of the engine jets [Schumann *et al.*, 1997]. The thermodynamic
42 theory also ignores details of initial visibility [Appleman, 1953]. All these issues impact the
43 predicted threshold temperature below which contrails form by up to ~ 1 K only. However, it
44 was found important to note that only part of the chemical fuel energy is converted to heat in
45 the engine exhaust. A fraction η , corresponding to the overall propulsion efficiency is used to
46 propel the aircraft against its drag. This fact was known in principal already to Schmidt
47 [1941], but the explicit inclusion of the overall propulsion efficiency $\eta = F V / (m_F Q)$ as a

1 function of aircraft speed V , fuel mass flow rate m_F and engine thrust F (or specific fuel
2 consumption per thrust, $SFC = m_F/F$) was first identified in Busen and Schumann [1995] and
3 explained in detail by Schumann [1996], and later confirmed by various studies [Schumann,
4 2000; Schumann *et al.*, 2000; 2002; Detwiler and Jackson, 2002]. Still details of mixing and
5 microphysics [Kärcher *et al.*, 1996, Paoli *et al.*, 2004; Vatazhin *et al.*, 2007] matter for
6 formation of particles in the young contrail, their visibility, radiative effects, and possibly also
7 for their later fate [Schumann, 1996].

8 According to basic thermodynamics, the maximum temperature and minimum relative
9 humidity at which contrails form (i.e., threshold conditions) are determined by ambient
10 temperature, pressure and relative humidity, specific heat of fuel combustion, emission index
11 of water vapor, and the overall aircraft propulsion efficiency. The amount of fuel
12 consumption is as such unimportant for contrail formation, but often used as a proxy for
13 flown kilometers or water vapor emissions.

14 *Microphysics of contrail formation*

15 The sole empirical constraint consists of assuming that at least plume water saturation is
16 required to nucleate contrail particles. Observations of contrail formation in threshold
17 conditions at very low and normal fuel sulfur content showed small visible differences in both
18 contrail onset and appearance [Busen and Schumann, 1995]. Numerical simulations [Kärcher
19 *et al.*, 1995] consistent with observations [Schumann *et al.*, 1996] suggested that emitted soot
20 particles must be involved as nucleation centers for contrail ice particles, as close to the
21 formation threshold, liquid plume aerosols (consisting of water, sulfuric acid and organics)
22 forming at subsaturations relative to ice do not freeze rapidly. Visible contrail formation in
23 threshold conditions within one wingspan behind jet engines at very low fuel sulfur content
24 was rather explained by the rapid formation and subsequent freezing of a (partial) water
25 coating on $\sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ exhaust soot particles [Kärcher *et al.*, 1996]. The coating is enhanced by
26 condensation of sulfuric acid created by oxidation fuel sulfur precursor gases [Schumann *et*
27 *al.*, 1996]. In-situ measurements provided quantitative indication that a significant part of soot
28 emissions contributed to contrail ice formation [Schröder *et al.*, 1998; Schumann *et al.*, 2002].
29 Threshold conditions, the water saturation criterion and the impact of fuel sulfur content, have
30 been confirmed by in-situ measurements within the measurement uncertainties [Schumann *et*
31 *al.*, 1996; Jensen *et al.*, 1998b; Kärcher *et al.*, 1998; Schumann, 2000].

32 A sufficient number of ice crystals are needed to make the contrail visible very quickly
33 [Schumann, 1996]. Those are provided by exhaust soot particles acting as nucleation centers.
34 Emitted metal particles, that have been found as residual in contrail ice particles [Twohy and
35 Gandrud, 1998; Petzold *et al.*, 1998], or entrained ambient particles are not abundant enough.
36 If ambient temperatures decrease below the formation threshold, plume supersaturations
37 increase, leading to activation of the large reservoir of liquid plume particles (exceeding that
38 of soot particles by orders of magnitude) in addition to soot and increasing the number of
39 nascent contrail ice crystals up to ten times [Kärcher *et al.*, 1998]. The initial contrail ice
40 particle number is limited to $\sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, because they remove the excess supersaturation
41 within fractions of a second.

42 Large plume cooling rates ($\sim 1 \text{ K/ms}$) exert a strong dynamical control on contrail formation.
43 This causes properties of nascent contrails to be rather insensitive to details of the ice
44 nucleation process. In fact, contrail formation can be explained by homogeneous freezing of
45 the water droplets either containing soot cores or sulfuric acid traces as passive inclusions.
46 The assumption of perfect ice nucleation behavior of the majority of freshly emitted soot
47 particles would contradict observational evidence as contrails then would become visible
48 under threshold conditions significantly closer to the jet engine exit as soon as ice saturation
49 is reached. It should be noted that contrails become visible within meters from the engine exit

1 if the ambient air temperature is more than an order 10 K cooler than the threshold
2 temperature. However, it cannot be excluded that a small fraction of the coated soot particles
3 nucleate ice without passing a water activation stage [Kärcher *et al.*, 1996, Schumann *et al.*,
4 1996]. Some contrail observations would be consistent with such soot particles forming ice
5 from about 140% relative humidity over ice up to water saturation [Kärcher *et al.*, 1998]. If
6 that happens, contrails would also form in a small temperature range above the threshold
7 temperature if the plume did not reach water saturation, but the contrails would stay invisible.

8 *Wake processing of contrails*

9 During jet mixing the gas and particle mixing ratios decrease until the jet plumes become
10 captured in a pair of trailing vortices after several seconds of plume age. When the ambient air
11 is ice-supersaturated and secondary ice nucleation occurs on ambient aerosols, contrail
12 regions that formed at the plume edges or in upwelling limbs of the vortices may contain a
13 few much larger crystals [Heymsfield *et al.*, 1998]. At this point, the majority of ice particles
14 are still very small (mean diameters 0.5-1 μm) and their total concentrations are reduced
15 considerably (by up to a factor 500) [Schröder *et al.*, 2000]. The capturing virtually
16 suppresses further mixing until the vortices become unstable and break-up after 1-3 min
17 [Lewellen and Lewellen, 1996]. The aircraft influence on wake dynamics ceases after several
18 Brunt-Väisälä periods (several 10 min). Hereafter, plume dispersion is under the control of
19 atmospheric turbulence, gravity waves and wind shear (dispersion regime) [Schumann *et al.*,
20 1995, 1998; Gerz *et al.*, 1996]. Contrails persist and further accumulate ice mass only at
21 ambient ice supersaturation.

22 At low ambient shear, vortex dynamics is the primary determinant of the vertical extent of
23 young contrails [Sussmann and Gierens, 1999] and can have dramatic impact on ice crystal
24 properties. Ice crystal number densities can be significantly reduced during adiabatic
25 compression that results from the downward motion of the vortex system (typically ~ 300 m at
26 a few m/s) [Lewellen and Lewellen, 2001]. The sinking induces baroclinic instability at the
27 top of the vortex pair from which a few ice particles can escape (secondary vortex).

28 Systematic analyses of the wake effects on young contrail properties are hampered by the
29 large number of influencing factors. Contrail properties depend on ambient stability,
30 turbulence conditions and aircraft type, as well as on ambient temperature and
31 supersaturation. Almost all ice crystals survive in the sinking primary vortices at ambient
32 supersaturations exceeding 30%, which are rare [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003a; Gettelman *et al.*,
33 2006]. The surviving ice particle fraction decreases with decreasing supersaturation and is
34 smallest (factor 100 reduction) for the highest temperatures still allowing contrail formation,
35 because sublimation rates are fastest [Unterstrasser *et al.*, 2008]. The secondary vortex is
36 favored in wakes of heavy aircraft at slight ambient supersaturations, resulting in faint
37 contrails at the original cruising altitude [Sussmann and Gierens, 2001]. The exact loss of ice
38 crystal number is difficult to quantify accurately because this depends on the spread of ice
39 particle sizes which is only poorly known. In-situ measurements reveal a range of total ice
40 crystal concentrations between 10-1000 cm^{-3} after a few minutes of plume age [Gayet *et al.*,
41 1996; Heymsfield *et al.*, 1998; Schröder *et al.*, 1998, 2000; Febvre *et al.*, 2008], a spread
42 consistent with the variability in the wake processes discussed above.

43 Ice mass is typically concentrated within ~ 200 m deep vertical layers that extend ~ 100 m
44 horizontally after vortex breakup, determined by wake dynamics. Despite wake-induced
45 variations, the total contrail ice mass after the early dispersion regime is roughly given by the
46 saturation vapor excess and is therefore strongly temperature-dependent [Lewellen and
47 Lewellen, 2001]. Ice crystal number densities generally remain high enough (exceeding ~ 1
48 cm^{-3}) to ensure depletion of saturation vapor excess and therefore thermodynamic equilibrium
49 in young contrails. This view is consistent with observations which additionally point to a

1 large variability range in ice water content [Schumann, 2002]. Presumably, in the dispersion
2 regime the respective ice water path will be largely determined by the vertical extent of the
3 supersaturated layer in which the contrail particles sediment given sufficiently high
4 supersaturations.

5 The effective ice crystal size is affecting the optical depth and radiative forcing of ice clouds.
6 It varies in proportion to the inverse of the cubic root of ice crystal number and is therefore
7 expected to exhibit a certain variability range ($\sim 100^{1/3} \approx 5$) [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999]. The high
8 number of small particles [Petzold *et al.*, 1997] implies high optical extinctions due to
9 contrails a few minutes old, as confirmed by in-situ measurements [Febvre *et al.*, 2008].
10 Another factor affecting radiative effects is the shape of ice crystals. Replica images reveal
11 that the majority of ice particles in young (< 30-60 min) contrails bear a quasi-spherical shape
12 (droxtals) [Gayet *et al.*, 1996; Schröder *et al.*, 2000], but other crystal habits have been
13 detected as well sometimes even in young (< 15 min) contrails [Strauss *et al.*, 1997; Goodman
14 *et al.*, 1998; Lawson *et al.*, 1998; Febvre *et al.*, 2008]. The factors determining the ice particle
15 shapes in aging contrails remain unclear but may include factors such as pressure,
16 temperature, relative humidity and vertical velocity.

17 *Development of contrail cirrus*

18 Aircraft measurements of contrail ice particle size distributions only exist for line-shaped
19 contrails because nonlinear contrails are very difficult to identify for pilots without additional
20 support. Most of these probed contrails were less than 1 h old [Gayet *et al.*, 1996; Schröder
21 *et al.*, 2000; Febvre *et al.*, 2008; for new evaluations we refer to one SSWP from Key Theme 4].
22 The data indicate smaller mean ice particles sizes in contrails than found in cirrus clouds
23 developing in similar conditions. Typical effective diameters and total ice water contents in
24 contrails at least 3 min old range from 2.5-10 μm and 2-5.5 mg/m^3 , respectively, at
25 temperatures near 218 K [Schröder *et al.*, 2000]. These values are systematically smaller than
26 those measured with the same instrumentation in nearby cirrus at similar temperatures. At
27 higher temperatures sizes and ice water content can be larger [Heymsfield *et al.*, 1998]. When
28 sorted according to their age, contrail ice particle concentrations have been shown to decrease
29 (due to plume mixing) and effective diameters to increase (due to condensation), approaching
30 typical values characteristic for the small particle mode found in midlatitude cirrus clouds
31 ($0.3\text{-}30 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $20\text{-}30 \mu\text{m}$, respectively). Despite significant differences in ice particle
32 number and size, the scattering phase function, asymmetry parameter and optical extinction
33 may not always differ substantially between natural cirrus and young (15-20 min) contrails
34 [Febvre *et al.*, 2008].

35 Cirrus clouds including subvisible cirrus exhibit a wide range of morphologies and
36 microphysical properties, depending on formation mechanisms and ambient conditions
37 [Dowling and Radke, 1990]. Not much is known about the properties of older contrails and
38 contrail cirrus because of the lack of in-situ observations and the difficulty to simulate those
39 clouds with process models owing to the increased spatial and extended time scales. Contrail
40 cirrus particle sizes and concentrations may approximate those of natural cirrus with time but
41 there may remain differences in geometry and vertical distribution of cloud ice and particle
42 shapes. One observed contrail with unknown age contained near spherical particles with an
43 effective diameter of 30-36 μm and an ice water content of 18 mg/m^3 [Gayet *et al.*, 1996].
44 The cirrus cloud probed nearby was characterized by values of 48-60 μm and 15-50 mg/m^3 ,
45 respectively. The larger effective diameter in the cirrus was brought about by a second, large
46 particle mode centered at a maximum particle dimension of 300-400 μm and containing only
47 few irregular crystals. Causes for the generation of a large particle mode include aggregation
48 and sedimentation, as well as early nucleation of few efficient heterogeneous ice nuclei. A
49 large particle mode has not been detected in contrails. Whether such a mode can develop
50 during the contrail life cycle remains open, and its potential impact on radiative forcing

1 remains to be studied. Sedimentation is likely to be more important in cirrus than in young
2 contrails. The few existing data [Schumann, 2002] do not allow drawing general conclusions
3 on difference between contrail cirrus and natural cirrus at similar temperatures.

4 At a given layer depth, large supersaturation leads to rapid ice particle growth and
5 sedimentation, limiting the contrail life time. Contrail fall streaks may extend temporarily into
6 subsaturated air thus causing the contrail to have a larger vertical extent than the depth of the
7 supersaturated layer. Three studies report heavily precipitating contrails with unusually deep
8 fallstreaks, large ice water content and very large maximum crystal dimensions (> 1 mm)
9 [Knollenberg, 1972; Schumann and Wendling, 1990; Atlas *et al.*, 2006]. It is conceivable that
10 such geometrically (and presumably optically thick) contrails develop only at large layer
11 thicknesses (> 1 -2 km) and high persistent supersaturations (> 20 -30%), both of which are
12 rare events [Gierens *et al.*, 1999a; Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003b]. More commonly contrails
13 experience lower supersaturations ($< 15\%$) and evolve in supersaturated layers ~ 500 m deep.

14 One numerical study revealed that interactions between radiation and dynamics can affect the
15 early development of contrails [Jensen *et al.*, 1998a]. The numerous ice crystals in a young
16 contrail in a sheared environment subject to ice supersaturation absorb upwelling longwave
17 radiation. The resulting strong diabatic heating drives turbulence-induced updrafts (updraft
18 speeds 5-8 cm/s, exceeding synoptic values), enhancing the vertical depth and changing the
19 contrail microstructure. Radiative cooling in the top layers opens the possibility of secondary
20 ice formation by homogeneous freezing there by generating high supersaturations. These
21 processes are most effective in a neutrally or unstably stratified atmosphere. Such interactions
22 are known to occur in cirrus clouds as well, potentially prolonging their life time [Dobbie and
23 Jonas, 2001]. Under less humid and more stably stratified ambient conditions, radiative
24 effects have been shown to be less important [Gierens, 1996; Chlond, 1998].

25 Contrail cirrus have been shown to survive for many hours and hence synoptic processes
26 become significant. Contrail cirrus can be advected over long distances during their life time.
27 Given upper tropospheric wind speed of 30 m/s, they move at ~ 100 km/h possibly into
28 regions with little or no air traffic. The vertical shear of the horizontal wind spreads contrails
29 into tilted layers. The vertical wind shear perpendicular to the contrail axis pulls the contrail
30 apart at a rate proportional to the contrail height. Spreading rates observed at a midlatitude
31 site range from 18-140 m/min [Freudenthaler *et al.*, 1995], causing line-shaped contrails to
32 grow quickly to widths of several km. Turbulence that is connected with strong shear causes
33 contrails to loose their line shape. When acting in isolation, wind shear reduces the ice water
34 path and optical depth in each vertical contrail column, but at the same time increases the
35 horizontal coverage. The overall effect on radiative forcing is not clear, as this is determined
36 by the product of coverage and optical depth. Given the variability in upper tropospheric
37 shear rates [Dürbeck and Gerz, 1996] and a typical spread of ice particle growth rates (0.3-2
38 $\mu\text{m}/\text{min}$) there is no unique relationship between contrail age and linearity, nor between age
39 and optical depth (visibility). Virtually no information is available about the coverage due to
40 older and nonlinear contrails mainly since in satellite images, contrail cirrus cannot be
41 identified when they loose their line shape and/or cease to be visibly brighter than cirrus due
42 to the high concentration of small ice particles.

43 Supersaturation with respect to the ice phase is a prerequisite for contrail cirrus to persist and
44 to accumulate ice mass [Brewer, 1946]. Synoptical processes determine the regional areas of
45 ice supersaturation [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2005] and therefore control to a large extent the life
46 time of contrail cirrus. Contrails develop often in special synoptic situations like ahead of a
47 warm front and are connected with cirrus clouds [Detwiler and Pratt, 1984; Kästner *et al.*
48 1999, Sassen 1997, Immler *et al.*, 2007]. Hence contrails appear before natural cirrus form.
49 According to satellite images, line-shaped contrails already showing a significant degree of
50 spreading often appear in clusters (outbreaks) in heavily traveled areas [Schumann and
51 Wendling, 1990; Mannstein *et al.*, 1999; DeGrand *et al.*, 2000]. In supersaturated areas mean

1 relative humidity as well as its variability is high [Gettelman *et al.*, 2006]. This is obvious
2 when comparing areas with a large frequency of supersaturation with the spatial distribution
3 of high clouds, both exhibiting similar patterns. During the contrail life time, the synoptic and
4 mesoscale variability of the atmosphere influence the contrails in the same way as natural
5 cirrus. This variability stems from fluctuations of temperature and moisture which have a
6 variety of sources, including gravity and orographic waves, convection, and wind shear
7 induced turbulence, among others. It leads to local cooling and heating. Whether contrail
8 cirrus properties are sensitive to such forcings depend on the relative magnitude of these
9 dynamical and microphysical time scales (e.g., for ice mass growth). Given identical
10 dynamical forcings, microphysical changes may be different at different stages of the contrail
11 cycle because the associated time scales in turn are determined by particle number and size.

12 Contrail cirrus competes with natural cirrus for condensable water and therefore has the
13 potential of delaying cirrus onset and replacing natural cirrus. Sedimentation of contrail ice
14 crystals may lead to additional drying of upper tropospheric air masses but it is not known
15 whether this transport is enhanced by contrail cirrus due to the additional cloudiness or
16 reduced due to the smaller mean particle size. On the one hand, inferred statistical
17 connections between changes in cirrus cloudiness and air traffic using remote sensed data are
18 uncertain. On the other hand, the contrail cirrus life cycle has not been represented in global
19 models yet.

20 *Trends of cirrus cloudiness*

21 Remote sensing methods cannot distinguish between aged contrail cirrus and natural cirrus.
22 Further insight into trends of cirrus cloud coverage that could at least in part be forced by air
23 traffic is gained by monitoring cirrus coverage and relating it to air traffic. Ground-based
24 observations of monthly mean high cloud coverage show a step-like increase around 1965,
25 possibly correlated with the onset of jet air traffic. Coverage increases more rapidly during
26 1965-1982 than before the jet era 1948-1964 [Liou *et al.*, 1990] possibly due to the
27 introduction of jet aircraft in air travel. Contrails may be responsible for degradation in the
28 observability of the solar corona and photosphere in the period 1961-1978 (Schumann, 2002).
29 Based on ship- and ground-based observations, a change in the occurrence frequency of cirrus
30 was found to be correlated with aviation fuel consumption and was largest in the main flight
31 corridors over the north east of the U.S.A. and the northern Atlantic [Boucher, 1999]. A
32 similar study based on satellite data reported consistency in trends of cirrus and linear contrail
33 amounts over the USA [Minnis *et al.*, 2004]. They used the 300 hPa moisture fields from the
34 NCEP (National Center for Environmental Prediction) reanalysis data as a proxy for natural
35 cirrus coverage and found a 1% increase of contrail cirrus per decade over the continental US.
36 Removing ENSO, NAO and QBO trends from time series of cirrus occurrence and
37 eliminating the effects of convection and changing tropopause temperature revealed increases
38 in cirrus trends in regions with high air traffic density [Zerefos *et al.*, 2003]. Contrary to these
39 works, another satellite study suggests extra cirrus coverage over in regions with high air
40 traffic density over Europe but remains inconclusive because other factors impacting high
41 cloudiness have not been removed from the data set [Stordal *et al.*, 2005]. Satellite data for
42 trends in high cloud amount and retrieved upper tropospheric humidity showed a clear
43 positive trend in the high cloud occurrence over the North Atlantic flight corridor when the
44 humidity was insufficient for cirrus formation but allowed persistent contrail formation
45 [Stubenrauch and Schumann, 2005]. Two months of cirrus cover deduced from METEOSAT
46 data and actual air traffic data from EUROCONTROL suggested a strong linear growth of
47 cloud coverage and air traffic density which eventually becomes saturated when approaching
48 the fractional coverage of ice-supersaturation [Mannstein and Schumann, 2005]. Later the
49 correlation was shown to be inconclusive because of natural spatial variations of cirrus
50 coverage in the domain investigated [Mannstein and Schumann, 2007].

1 These few attempts to infer relationships between cirrus amount and aviation suffer from the
2 poor knowledge of trends in natural cirrus and their dependence on a plethora of dynamical
3 factors acting from the mesoscale up to planetary scales and by aerosol-related processes
4 affecting upper tropospheric ice initiation. Further, these approaches are unable to
5 discriminate between contrail cirrus effects and effects caused by aircraft soot emissions. The
6 latter could modify the cirrus properties and indirectly the background moisture fields in
7 which contrails grow. Hence, current trend analyses invoking a contrail impact are
8 noteworthy but not conclusive.

9 *Contrail effects on the radiation budget*

10 The radiative impact of clouds depends strongly on cloud optical depth and their
11 inhomogeneity [Fu *et al.*, 2000]. A few satellite studies inferred probability distributions of
12 linear contrail optical depths at visible wavelengths, which are a useful measure of this
13 inhomogeneity [Meyer *et al.*, 2002; Minnis *et al.*, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005]. These
14 distributions exhibit maxima in the range 0.1-0.4, consistent with optical depth values derived
15 in several case studies. It is conceivable that contrail cirrus developing from the secondary
16 vortex, contrail cirrus that is subject to large wind shear, or evaporating contrails become
17 subvisible. While Lidar data point to the existence of subvisible contrails [Sassen, 1997;
18 Immler *et al.*, 2007], quantitative evidence on larger spatial scales is lacking as satellite
19 sensors are not capable of detecting contrails with low (perhaps < 0.05 - 0.2) visible optical
20 depths. Optical depth distributions of thin cirrus clouds detected by Lidar [Immler and
21 Schrems, 2002] exhibit a shape that is skewed towards small values, comprising a significant
22 fraction of subvisible clouds (optical depth < 0.01 - 0.03) even at midlatitudes. Subvisible
23 cirrus cause a small radiative forcing per area but if occurring frequently may have a large
24 effect.

25 Global radiative forcing estimates obtained using general circulation models (GCMs) depend
26 crucially on the assumptions made about the optical depth of the contrails. When simulating
27 contrails offline, optical properties of contrails are assumed constant and radiative forcing
28 estimates are simply scaled linearly with optical depth. Only one climate modeling approach
29 [Ponater *et al.*, 2002; Marquart *et al.*, 2003] attempts the simulation of the regional variability
30 of the optical properties of contrails.

31 Another crucial factor affecting radiative forcing of contrail cirrus is their coverage. Analyses
32 that consider at least regional scales (useful for global model validation) must rely on satellite
33 remote sensing techniques. Only few studies investigated sufficiently long time series of
34 contrails to provide average regional linear contrail coverage over western Europe, USA and
35 the greater Thailand region [Bakan *et al.*, 1994; Meyer *et al.*, 2002, 2007; Palikonda *et al.*,
36 2005]. Some of these observations reach back to the 1980s, requiring scaling with average
37 fuel consumption to obtain estimates for more recent air traffic which introduces an
38 unspecified uncertainty. Specifications of what has been observed in terms of false alarm rates
39 and other technical issues of the detection algorithm, optical depth detection limits and
40 detection efficiency, average optical depth and width and associated variability and ice crystal
41 effective sizes or other optical properties are vague or missing in most cases. Therefore, the
42 inferred coverage is difficult to compare among each other and are of limited use for model
43 validation. Current estimates of the global distribution of linear contrail coverage diagnosed
44 with a climate model rely on sorting out contrails with minimum optical depths < 0.02 to
45 compare with observed coverages [Ponater *et al.*, 2002; Marquart *et al.*, 2003, Fichter *et al.*,
46 2005]. Choosing different lower detection limits would result in global mean and especially in
47 regional changes of simulated coverage. Global mean coverage due to line-shaped contrails
48 are estimated to range between 0.04% and 0.09%.

1 The effect of contrail cirrus on the radiation budget depends on the size, habit, number and
2 vertical distribution of crystals, surface albedo, solar zenith angle, height and thickness of
3 contrail, spatial inhomogeneity and presence of clouds and water vapor column below the
4 contrails (affecting brightness temperature). During night radiative forcing is always positive.
5 Contrails with optical depths of 0.2-1 have been shown to exert a net warming in the chosen
6 combinations of controlling parameters [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999] even though parameter
7 combinations could be specified that could cause net cooling [Myhre and Stordal, 2001;
8 Mannstein and Schumann, 2005; Schumann, 2005; Sausen *et al.*, 2005]. The effect of
9 contrails replacing cirrus has not yet been studied. If contrails should replace natural cirrus on
10 a larger scale, and if aged contrails retain different optical properties (many small ice
11 particles) then it is conceivable that even though those contrails are warming the net forcing
12 of contrails replacing natural cirrus is a cooling. Moreover, contrails may increase cirrus
13 optical thickness beyond the point where this increase causes a cooling.

14 Mitigation options such as fuel additives or cryoplane technology are not expected to decrease
15 contrail radiative forcing significantly [Marquart *et al.*, 2001, 2005; Gierens, 2007], whereas
16 changes in flight levels can change contrail coverage significantly [Sausen *et al.*, 1998;
17 Fichter *et al.*, 2005] making contrail avoidance due to flight rerouting a viable option.

18 **b. Critical role of contrails and contrail cirrus**

19 Contrail cirrus are the most obvious effect of air traffic but are presently the most uncertain
20 component in aviation climate impact assessments. Since they may be the largest component
21 in aviation radiative forcing they require a large research effort.

22 Contrails develop at lower relative humidity than natural cirrus and therefore increase high
23 cloudiness. This increase can be significant in or near regions with high air traffic density.
24 Contrails just as natural clouds are a major part of the climate system changing the radiation
25 budget. Due to differences in the ice particle size distributions and in horizontal and vertical
26 cloud structure the optical properties of and radiative forcing by contrails are different to
27 those of natural clouds. Furthermore, the multitude of possible parameter combinations (e.g.,
28 solar zenith angle, surface albedo and overlap with natural cloudiness) makes contrail
29 radiative impact extremely space- and time-dependent. In any case, all studies currently
30 available have indicated a time mean global net warming effect on the atmosphere [Sausen *et al.*, 2005].
31 Contrails may also change the radiation budget by changing the optical properties
32 of natural cirrus or even preventing natural cirrus from forming.

33 The additional coverage caused by aviation is predicted to grow strongly due to a forecasted
34 increase in air traffic increasing the radiative effect of contrails. Radiative forcing estimates
35 due to contrails cannot be simply scaled with an increased air traffic since future air traffic is
36 forecasted to increase mainly in the more humid subtropics of southeast and east Asia. Model
37 estimates of radiative forcing are mainly describing the effect of contrails in the areas of
38 strongest current air travel, the extratropics. Observational studies as well have been focusing
39 on the mid latitudes. In the subtropics there is little observational evidence of the optical
40 properties and radiative effects of contrails. Additionally, air traffic in the future will take
41 place in an already changed climate, that is itself subject of research.

42 Contrary to CO₂, contrails and the possible indirect soot effect have a short life time, probably
43 not much longer than days to weeks. On short term, contrails have a far larger climate impact
44 than CO₂ emissions. Contrail avoidance therefore reduces the climate effects of aviation on
45 the short term. This may be achieved by flight rerouting, which is discussed also for future
46 minimization of NO_x-induced ozone changes due to aviation. More careful flight routing with
47 most accurate meteorological data may also help to reduce fuel consumption. Advanced air
48 traffic management operations have the potential to reduce contrail formation by avoiding
49 flights through supersaturated regions. Because route optimizations need to take also the

1 effects of NO_x and CO₂ emissions into account it is not clear whether flight rerouting reduces
2 contrail induced radiative forcing. The inclusion of such climate aspects in aircraft design or
3 air traffic management tools have been proposed but not yet fully analyzed.

4 A greater portion of the upper troposphere will support contrail formation if future aircraft
5 should have greater overall propulsion efficiency. Reductions of soot emissions due to
6 improved engine technology may only change contrail properties if the reductions are very
7 large but would not avoid contrails, since ambient aerosol particles would replace them as
8 nucleation centers. However, reductions of soot emissions would diminish possible soot-
9 induced changes of cirrus clouds.

10 **c. Advancements since the IPCC 1999 report**

11 *Remote sensing*

12 An automated satellite-based detection algorithm for line-shaped contrails has been published
13 [Mannstein *et al.*, 1999] which was applied by several groups using AVHRR data over
14 Europe [Meyer *et al.* 2002], the continental USA [Duda *et al.*, 2004; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005],
15 eastern north Pacific [Minnis *et al.*, 2005] and southeast and east Asia [Meyer *et al.*, 2007].
16 First estimates of the amount of older contrail cirrus which cannot be identified by their line
17 shape have been given by Minnis [2004] and Mannstein and Schumann [2005]. Some detailed
18 Lidar and in-situ case studies have added knowledge on structure and optical parameters of
19 individual contrails [Freudenthaler *et al.*, 1995, 1996; Atlas *et al.*, 2006; Febvre *et al.*, 2008].
20 Several cirrus trend analyses have been carried out after 1999 [Zerefos *et al.*, 2003; Minnis *et al.*
21 *et al.*, 2004; Stordal *et al.*, 2005; Stubenrauch and Schumann, 2005] (section 2.a), but detected
22 cirrus changes could not be unambiguously ascribed to aviation.

23 *Upper tropospheric humidity and supersaturation*

24 In the last years increased effort has been put into obtaining reliable statistics of
25 supersaturation. MLS and more recently AIRS retrievals have been used to infer global
26 supersaturation statistics [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003a; Gettelman *et al.*, 2006] showing extended
27 areas with large frequencies of supersaturation in the upper troposphere, reaching in the
28 midlatitudes maxima of up to 30%. The overall frequency of supersaturation in those studies
29 is relatively uncertain but agrees relatively well with estimates from measurements along
30 commercial flight routes (MOZAIC) in the upper troposphere of ~13% [Gierens *et al.*,
31 1999a]. Minimum frequencies are found in equatorial areas. The large scale structures of
32 supersaturation resemble those of humidity. The vertical extent of supersaturated areas has
33 been estimated using humidity-corrected radiosonde data [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003b; Rädcl
34 and Shine, 2007a]. Parameterizations of cloud microphysics describing the formation of ice
35 crystals at substantial supersaturation have been devised [see Kärcher *et al.*, 2006 for most
36 recent developments including the impact of heterogeneous ice nuclei] and implemented in
37 climate models [Lohmann and Kärcher, 2002]. Nevertheless cloud coverage has remained to
38 be uniquely dependent on humidity making microphysics and cloud coverage inconsistent.
39 Other modeling approaches consist of simply changing the humidity threshold of cloud
40 coverage to a higher supersaturated value, neglecting the fact that cirrus form and evaporate at
41 different humidities. As long as the life cycle of cirrus is not consistently modeled, there will
42 be a need to parameterize contrail formation.

43 *Wake processes*

44 To describe contrail formation and the early interaction of contrails with wing tip vortices a
45 highly sophisticated two phase flow model has been developed [Paoli *et al.*, 2004]. LES
46 methods have been used for the carrier phase, solving the fully compressible 3D Navier

1 Stokes equations and water vapor, while a Lagrangian particle tracking approach has been
2 adapted to ice formation from exhaust soot particles. Simulated mixing histories of air parcels
3 and probability distributions for ice particle size and water vapor reveal much of the complex
4 structure of nascent contrails as a result of strong interactions between particle microphysics
5 and turbulence. In general terms, the overall findings of much simpler approaches using
6 classical mixing assumptions [Kärcher *et al.*, 1996, 1998] have been confirmed. Three-
7 dimensional LES studies with a simpler treatment of ice microphysics have also been
8 presented shedding light on the evolution of contrails during the vortex phase [Lewellen and
9 Lewellen, 2001]. A similar 2D-approach has been developed recently aiming at a more
10 systematic survey of atmospheric parameters influencing contrails up to the dispersion phase
11 [Unterstrasser *et al.*, 2008]. Contrary to the prior approaches, the latter model can
12 straightforwardly be extended to study the contrail-to-cirrus transition on larger scales using
13 LES methods. After 1999, a 2D cloud-resolving model has been employed to simulate
14 contrails up to 30 min of age [Chen and Lin, 2001], yielding information on ice crystal size
15 distributions similar to the model of Jensen *et al.* [1998a]. Both works agree upon the
16 importance for radiative processes in simulating young contrails. A regional climate model
17 has been fed with results from the cloud-resolving simulations (contrail coverage, effective
18 sizes and short-/longwave optical depths) to estimate the climate impact of contrail layers in
19 an area surrounding Taiwan using ensemble simulations [Wang *et al.*, 2001]. The regional
20 study concluded that contrail radiative forcing is dominated by contrail coverage and radiative
21 properties play a smaller role owing to the spatial inhomogeneity of the coverage.

22 *Contrail coverage*

23 First global estimates of the radiative forcing due to contrails were derived in 1998 based on
24 the calculation of potential contrail coverage from offline calculations using temperature,
25 humidity and pressure from ECMWF reanalyses and folding this potential contrail coverage
26 with some measure of flight density [Sausen *et al.*, 1998]. Since then this approach has been
27 upgraded resulting in a climate model parameterization of contrails, calculating online
28 contrail occurrence and the contrail ice water content from the condensable water at the time
29 step [Ponater *et al.*, 2002; Marquart *et al.*, 2003]. This allows for capturing the dependence of
30 contrail occurrence on the weather regime and the regional and temporal variability of contrail
31 ice content. Still this method consists of calculating the potential contrail coverage and tuning
32 the simulated contrail coverage to the observed contrail coverage over a selected region
33 assuming that the tuning coefficient is temporally and locally universal. Most if not all studies
34 scaled the contrail coverage to that derived by Bakan *et al.* [1994] for Europe (30°W-30°E,
35 35°N -75°N). Other approaches still calculate contrail coverage by folding offline the
36 potential contrail coverage with data of flight density or use estimates of contrail coverage
37 from older studies and assume globally and temporally fixed optical depth. They concentrate
38 on using more sophisticated radiative transfer models analyzing the variability of radiative
39 forcing due to different background parameters [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999], due to the daily
40 cycle of air traffic [Myhre and Stordal, 2001; Stuber *et al.*, 2006; Stuber and Forster, 2007]
41 and 3D effects on radiative transfer [Chen *et al.*, 2001; Gounou and Hogan, 2007]. It was
42 generally found that global contrail radiative forcing does not vary strongly depending on the
43 radiation code used; it may depend, however, strongly on the method to treat cloud overlap in
44 GCMs [Marquart and Mayer, 2002]. However, most of these studies apply the same contrail
45 ice crystal size distribution [Strauss *et al.*, 1997] and ignore vertical variability in ice water
46 content and effective radii, so that large deviations between these estimates may not be
47 expected in the first place. Chen *et al.* [2001] rely on simulated ice crystal spectra showing a
48 persistent small particle mode at 2-3 μm within supersaturated air leading to net cooling by
49 contrails. This persistent small particle mode disagrees with earlier findings by Schröder *et al.*
50 [2000]. According to these observations the small particles grow substantially within the first
51 30 minutes. Differences may also be caused by a more advanced treatment of horizontal

1 inhomogeneities in radiative transfer and the different atmospheric background in the
2 subtropics.

3 *Contrail optical depth*

4 Lower estimates of radiative forcing due to line-shaped contrails since 1999 are based on
5 lower estimates of mean contrail optical depth. Usually a global mean optical depth of 0.1 is
6 assumed instead of 0.3 used by IPCC [1999]. It is unclear whether this lower optical depth of
7 contrails is more realistic. Satellite observations estimate mean optical depth of contrails to
8 range between 0.2-0.4 over the U.S.A. [Minnis *et al.*, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005] and 0.05-
9 0.2 over Europe [Meyer *et al.*, 2002]. For comparison, Ponater *et al.* [2002] compute mean
10 visible optical depths of 0.1-0.13 and 0.06-0.09, respectively, over these regions, with
11 individual values covering several orders of magnitude. The models compute mean values for
12 ensembles of contrails within rather large grid boxes (e.g. $\sim 300 \times 300 \text{ km}^2$ for T30 resolution),
13 while the observations provide optical depth for individual contrails or contrail clusters at the
14 cloud scale or the scale of satellite resolution. Presently, one cannot decide how accurate the
15 model results are.

16 A reason for the low contrail optical depth simulated by the ECHAM4-GCM may be a
17 general low bias in the ice water content of natural clouds. Comparison with observational
18 data hints at an underestimation of ice water content and effective radii of cirrus by ECHAM4
19 [Lohmann *et al.*, 2007]. Furthermore, it is assumed that the condensable water at one time
20 step (of 30 min) is a good proxy for the ice water content of the contrail while ice water
21 content of natural cirrus is accumulated using a prognostic variable. It is likely that the spatial
22 and temporal variability of the ice water content and therefore of optical depth as simulated by
23 Ponater *et al.* [2002] is more realistic than the overall amount. On the other hand, it is also
24 unclear whether observations of optical depth are representative since the optical depth
25 detection threshold of satellite sensors is usually not specified and detectability may be biased
26 towards optically thicker contrails. The decrease in radiative forcing due to the studies
27 performed after 1999 has been compensated by the use of an air traffic inventory for 2002
28 which includes an increase in air traffic from the 1992 values used earlier [Sausen *et al.*,
29 2005; Forster *et al.*, 2007].

30 *Future scenarios*

31 Recently mitigation studies have been performed, analyzing the use of fuel additives [Gierens,
32 2007], cryoplane propulsion [Marquart *et al.*, 2001, 2005] and flight level changes [Fichter *et al.*,
33 2005] indicating that flight rerouting may be the most successful mitigation option
34 (section 2.e).

35 **d. Present state of measurements and data analysis**

36 *Relative humidity*

37 Relative humidity measurements in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere with
38 sufficient vertical resolution are needed in order to validate the humidity fields simulated by
39 global models which is the basis for modeling the occurrence and optical properties of
40 contrails and natural cirrus. Relative humidity is difficult to measure in the upper troposphere
41 and lower stratosphere.

42 Satellite observations are employed to infer supersaturation [Spichtinger *et al.*, 2003a;
43 Gettelman *et al.*, 2006] without having been designed to measure relative humidities. The
44 influence cirrus clouds have on the inferred values is uncertain. While spatial patterns of
45 relative humidity are reliable, the inferred magnitudes of supersaturations are highly
46 uncertain. Most satellite instruments suffer from relatively coarse horizontal and/or vertical

1 resolution, greatly limiting their use for detailed model validation. The applicability of more
2 recent improved instrumentation such as CALIPSO/CloudSat or Odin-SMR [Ekström *et al.*,
3 2007] in contrail research remains to be shown. A promising, still developing technique for
4 the retrieval of moisture is the GPS tomography [Troller *et al.*, 2006], but for the time being
5 the resolution and the sensitivity to the low water vapor contents in the tropopause region is
6 not sufficient.

7 Older operational radiosondes are known to have dry biases and cannot be employed to
8 measure relative humidity reliably at altitude without suitable corrections [Miloshevich *et al.*,
9 2001]. However, carefully calibrated and corrected RS80A radiosondes [Nagel *et al.*, 2001]
10 and follow up instruments can be used to detect supersaturated vertical layers [Spichtinger *et al.*
11 *et al.*, 2003b; Rädcl and Shine, 2007a]. In-situ (airborne and balloon-borne) research
12 instruments measure clear-sky relative humidity relatively well in the extratropical regions
13 (with an uncertainty of about $\pm 10\%$ relative humidity) and are therefore the best option for
14 contrail studies. To date, the MOZAIC program [Marenco *et al.*, 1998] provides 9 years of in-
15 situ measurements onboard commercial aircraft along major flight routes and is a powerful
16 data source not only for relative humidity [Gierens *et al.*, 1999a] but also for temperature and
17 water fluctuations [Gierens *et al.*, 2007]. Aircraft measurements of water vapor with forward-
18 facing inlets are more difficult inside clouds because care has to be taken to avoid the
19 additional detection of small particles. However, there are known differences in the water
20 vapor measurement between different in-situ instruments and satellite retrievals [Kley *et al.*,
21 2000] and between different satellite retrievals [Read *et al.*, 2007]. These pending
22 discrepancies between different in situ instruments are most relevant in the cold atmosphere
23 mostly found in the tropical tropopause region [Peter *et al.*, 2007] too high to be affected by
24 commercial subsonic air traffic. More information on this subject will be provided by SSWPs
25 of Key Theme 3.

26 *Contrail measurements*

27 Aircraft measurements use a suite of techniques to describe the number size distribution, ice
28 water content and scattering phase function of contrail particles and are the best tool to
29 provide a detailed optical and microphysical characterization of contrails. Fresh contrails that
30 can clearly be related to their source aircraft are straightforward to probe (near field
31 measurements), although only very experienced pilots can handle the perils of close
32 encounters between contrail-producing and contrail-detecting aircraft. Due to the highly
33 turbulent microstructure of fresh contrails, many crossings at similar plume ages are needed to
34 obtain statistically robust data. It has been recognized for a long time that measurements of
35 particle concentrations with optical particle spectrometers that have inlets could overestimate
36 the number concentrations of small ice crystals due to shattering of large ice crystals on the
37 inlets or aircraft bodies. This is highly relevant to young contrails, as those are expected to
38 consist of high numbers of small crystals. As laid out in an SSWP in Key Theme 4, existing
39 contrail measurements appear to be reliable mainly because large crystals ($> 100\ \mu\text{m}$) are
40 largely absent in fresh contrails, so that available near-field measurements are in agreement
41 with theoretical expectations [Kärcher *et al.*, 1998].

42 Much less is known about the contrail life cycle and their decay, which is essential for the
43 assessment of the radiative forcing of contrails and contrail cirrus. Only few measurements,
44 mostly from Lidar or airborne measurements exist for the first 60 minutes. Some case studies
45 using satellite imagery discuss the evolution of radiative parameters and forcing of contrail
46 clusters for up to about 6 hours [Duda *et al.*, 2001]. These measurements do not allow
47 representative statistics. Tracking of contrails and contrail outbreaks from satellite data has
48 been performed in some case studies, but a general description of the life cycle and the
49 resulting radiative forcing of contrails and contrail cirrus is available only for some specific
50 cases [Minnis *et al.*, 1998; Schumann, 2002].

1 The climate impact of contrails and contrail cirrus cannot be measured directly, it has to be
2 derived from model studies. Measurements and data analysis is necessary to validate their
3 optical properties over the life cycle.

4 Visual or photographic detection of contrail occurrence [Bakan *et al.*, 1994] covers only
5 linear contrails similar to those detectable in satellite measurements. The frequency of
6 occurrence at a given site indicates how often the thermodynamic formation conditions are
7 met. The occurrence of linear contrails observed from airports in the contiguous United States
8 has been analyzed by Minnis *et al.* [2003]. It shows a strong seasonal cycle and regional
9 differences, but almost no daily cycle, pointing to the very dense air traffic during the daylight
10 observing period. About 80-90% of the contrails occurred along with cirrus clouds. In
11 Fairbanks, Alaska, a region with relatively low air traffic, Stuefer *et al.* [2005] validated
12 mesoscale model forecasts of contrail formation conditions by visual observation of single
13 aircraft. Sassen [1997] published the results from 10 years of observations of high clouds and
14 contrails at the FARS site in Utah using a combined data set of all-sky camera images,
15 polarization Lidar and radiometers. These ground based Lidar observations of contrails rely
16 on the drift of contrails directly over the fixed location. Lidar observations resolve contrails
17 and cirrus clouds with a high spatial resolution and give information on altitude, optical depth
18 and, in combination with near-IR spectroscopy [Langford *et al.*, 2005] also on ice particle
19 size.

20 Ground-based contrail observations have been performed using a scanning Lidar with
21 tracking capability operated near Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany [Jäger *et al.*, 1998]. The
22 tracking of many single contrails in meteorological conditions favorable for their formation
23 and persistence yielded surveys of the evolution of cross section, height and optical
24 parameters (e.g., depolarization) up to 60 min of estimated contrail age [Freudenthaler *et al.*,
25 1995, 1996]. In combination with an air traffic data base [Garber *et al.*, 2005], MODIS
26 satellite images and ground based photographs, Atlas *et al.* [2006] have assessed the age of 18
27 contrails probed by the NASA/GSFC micropulse Lidar. This Lidar provided information on
28 particle fall speeds and estimated sizes, optical extinction coefficients, optical, and ice water
29 path for contrails and their fall streaks with ages up to 2 hours. Lidar observations of thin
30 cirrus and contrails have been carried out in Lindenberg, Germany [Immler *et al.*, 2007]. The
31 classification of cirrus clouds was aided by a CCD camera, and a high resolution radiosonde
32 corrected for the dry bias was also operated at this site. In 90% of the cases where ice
33 supersaturation was indicated by the radiosonde, cirrus clouds have been detected. As the
34 Lidar is capable of detecting very thin cirrus (visible optical depths of 10^{-4} or less), a high
35 detection frequency may not come as a surprise. Cirrus including a large fraction of subvisible
36 cirrus have been observed in 55% of all observations. Visual inspection of the camera images
37 showed that 5% of the observed cirrus could be identified as aging contrails, but only ~10%
38 of the identified contrails were line-shaped. The rest consisted of significantly spreaded
39 contrail cirrus or was connected to pre-existing cirrus.

40 Few measurements of contrails with airborne Lidar systems have been reported. During the
41 ICE89 campaign Kästner *et al.* [1993] derived optical depths of three contrails and
42 surrounding cirrus clouds and compared them to optical depth values derived from AVHRR
43 data. They found an agreement within 10% between both methods and optical depths of the
44 contrails were by 0.1-0.25 higher than in the cirrus. A scanning Lidar system was also flown
45 on the NASA DC-8 aircraft during the SUCCESS campaign [Uthe *et al.*, 1998]. This system
46 was primarily designed to help locate and direct the DC-8 into thin cirrus and contrail layers,
47 but also provided high resolution data on the vertical cloud structure. Although the recorded
48 Lidar data have not been fully analyzed, they have been claimed to be useful for the
49 interpretation of data collected in-situ and from radiometric sensors and for inferring optical
50 and radiative cloud properties.

1 *Cirrus detection using satellite imagery*

2 New passive instruments of unprecedented quality like the Spinning Enhanced Visible and
3 Infra-Red Imager (SEVIRI) aboard the geostationary Meteosat Second Generation (MSG)
4 allow for the first time the quantification of cloud properties during the life cycle of clouds
5 from space. The new "MSG cirrus detection algorithm" (MeCiDA) has been developed using
6 the seven infrared channels of SEVIRI [Krebs *et al.*, 2007] thus providing a consistent scheme
7 for cirrus detection at day and night. MeCiDA combines morphological and multi-spectral
8 threshold tests and detects optically thick and thin ice clouds. The thresholds were determined
9 by a comprehensive theoretical study using radiative transfer simulations as well as manually
10 evaluated satellite observations. The results have been validated by comparison with the
11 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Cirrus Reflection Flag: An
12 extensive comparison showed that 81% of the pixels were classified identically by both
13 algorithms. On average, MeCiDA detected 60% of the MODIS cirrus. The lower detection
14 efficiency of MeCiDA was caused by the lower spatial resolution of MSG/SEVIRI, and the
15 fact that the MODIS algorithm uses infrared and visible radiances for cirrus classification.
16 The advantage of MeCiDA compared to retrievals for polar orbiting instruments like MODIS
17 or previous geostationary satellites is that it allows the derivation of quantitative data every 15
18 min, 24 h a day. This high temporal resolution allows the study of diurnal variations and life
19 cycle aspects. MeCiDA has been used to derive cirrus coverage over Europe and the North
20 Atlantic for a complete year in the frame of the ESA project CONTRAILS (Validation of the
21 Eurocontrol contrail detection model with satellite data).

22 *Contrail detection using satellite imagery*

23 Linear contrails with widths of the order of the horizontal resolution of satellite sensors (~1
24 km for NOAA AVHRR type sensors) or larger are an obvious feature in satellite imagery, but
25 automated or manual identification of contrail cirrus is not possible. Besides geometrical
26 matters, contrails detected by Lidar or by ground-based observers may not be detectable by
27 satellites owing to their moderately high optical depth detection thresholds (mostly ~0.1 in the
28 visible wavelength range).

29 The main criteria to identify a linear contrail in satellite imagery are its shape and its contrast
30 to the background. The best contrast for young contrails composed of small ice particles is
31 usually found in the difference of temperatures measured in the thermal infrared split window
32 channels (at ~11 μ m and 12 μ m) originally designed to retrieve the sea surface temperature.
33 Over a homogeneous surface and a dry atmosphere even fresh contrails with a width smaller
34 than the image resolution can be identified, but classical retrieval methods for the optical
35 properties fail in this case, because the true width remains unknown.

36 Purely visual interpretation of satellite images is influenced by human factors but is usually
37 more efficient in detecting linear contrails than automated methods. The first published visual
38 data analysis was performed by Bakan *et al.* [1994]. An automated contrail detection
39 algorithm [Mannstein *et al.*, 1999] has been applied to AVHRR over Europe [Meyer *et al.*,
40 2002], the continental USA [Duda *et al.*, 2004; Minnis *et al.*, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005]
41 and southeast and east Asia [Meyer *et al.*, 2007].

42 The contrail detection algorithm indicates pixels in satellite infrared data which are covered
43 by linear contrails. Contrail width (wider than pixel size) and length are easily derived from
44 the resulting contrail mask. Integration over larger areas and/or times enables derivation of
45 contrail coverage. In case studies with additional information on air traffic and wind
46 conditions it is also possible to derive spreading rates [Duda *et al.*, 2004]. Based on the
47 automated identification of linear contrails, their optical properties and radiative forcing has
48 been estimated from the brightness temperature difference between the contrails and their
49 surrounding assuming that the contrail temperature equals the atmospheric temperature at the
50 same altitude [Meyer *et al.*, 2002, Minnis *et al.*, 2005; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005]. For all derived

1 parameters it has to be kept in mind, that they are related to the spatial resolution of the
2 sensor, which is in the order of at least $1.3 \times 1.3 \text{ km}^2$ in the nadir of the satellite for the
3 AVHRR, which was used for all of these studies. The sub-pixel variation of contrails is not
4 considered in the algorithms.

5 False alarms in the contrail detection algorithm are usually [90% according to Meyer *et al.*,
6 2002] caused by natural cirrus clouds with a shape similar to contrails, the detection
7 efficiency decreases with increasing the background inhomogeneity, which might also be
8 caused by other contrails. Tuning the algorithm to a low false alarm rate reduces also the
9 detection efficiency, enhancing the detection efficiency results in a higher false alarm rate.
10 The false alarm rate can be reliably determined statistically from observations in regions
11 without air traffic, but the detection efficiency has to be assessed by comparison to visual
12 inspection, as no other truth is available.

13 A major problem with this algorithm is its sensitivity to minor differences in the spectral and
14 spatial performance of the sensor. Both, detection efficiency and false alarm rate have to be
15 determined for each instrument independently by visual inspection, which introduces a high
16 level of uncertainty. A direct cross calibration between different instruments is not possible
17 because the satellites are on different orbits. Therefore, time series using contrail analyses of
18 different satellites suffer from large uncertainties.

19 Another more general problem of the interpretation of data from satellites in a sun-
20 synchronous orbit is the sampling at slowly drifting local times. The sampling interferes in
21 this case with the daily pattern of air traffic, resulting in aliasing effects. For case studies, the
22 algorithm has also been applied to MODIS, A(A)TSR, MSG and GOES data, the latter in
23 geostationary orbits allowing for nearly continuous observation at the expense of the high
24 resolution of the polar orbiters.

25 The majority of contrail studies using satellite data are based on the thermal infrared channels,
26 but contrails are also detectable in the visible and near infrared part of the spectrum [Minnis,
27 2003]. A systematic derivation of optical properties like optical depth, effective particle size,
28 ice water content, or particle number using these channels has not been reported.

29 **e. Present state of modeling capability**

30 Contrails and contrail cirrus form at lower relative humidities than natural clouds and
31 therefore change the overall cloud coverage. The additional cloud coverage due to contrail
32 cirrus together with the specific optical properties of contrail cirrus, that are different from
33 those of natural cirrus, are the two main factors influencing the radiative forcing due to
34 contrail cirrus. Therefore a realistic radiative forcing, and thus a realistic climatic impact of
35 contrail cirrus, can only be obtained if the estimates of contrail cloud coverage and optical
36 properties of contrails are themselves realistic. Detailed modeling of contrails in concert with
37 field observations help to parameterize the processes as a function of large-scale meteorology.
38 So far a more process-oriented treatment of contrails in large scale models is missing. These
39 are until now only based on the criterion for contrail formation and inventories of air traffic
40 and constrained by contrail statistics obtained from satellite observations. In a climate model,
41 only the effect due to linear contrails has been simulated so far and contrail coverage has been
42 limited to source areas.

43 *Large-Eddy simulations*

44 The interplay between near-field observations and models of contrail formation have
45 unraveled many features of the contrail formation process. It can be explained sufficiently
46 well with existing knowledge and does not introduce significant uncertainty in models
47 describing the subsequent evolution [Kärcher, 1999]. Contrail evolution in the vortex regime
48 is mostly described by 2D or 3D LES, few of which have been coupled to a simplified

1 description of the ice phase using bulk microphysical methods [Lewellen and Lewellen, 2001;
2 Unterstrasser *et al.*, 2008]. Those simulations are complex and suggest a range of factors
3 influencing contrail development up to the dispersion regime. Comparisons to Lidar
4 measurements in case studies [Sussmann and Gierens, 1999] showed that these models are
5 sufficiently well developed to study the impact of wake dynamics and atmospheric parameters
6 on the contrail ice mass, but must invoke assumptions about underlying ice crystal size
7 distributions to make inferences about particle number densities. The latter point is an
8 important constraint when employing such information in global model contrail
9 parameterization schemes. Besides contrail ice mass, information on ice particle number is
10 required to estimate effective crystal sizes for use in the radiation schemes. This information
11 must currently be drawn from in-situ measurements.

12 A study of the mesoscale evolution of contrail cirrus has been performed and parameters such
13 as initial crystal number, shear and supersaturation have been varied [Jensen *et al.*, 1998a].
14 Radiation was found to be important for contrail development. Large-Eddy simulations could
15 also be used to study the contrail to cirrus transition on successively increasing spatial and
16 temporal scales, but such efforts have not yet been reported. In such an approach, it is not
17 clear at which point large-scale processes take over a dominant role in determining contrail
18 cirrus evolution and their interaction with cirrus. Contrail properties simulated by LES models
19 can be prescribed in regional models in order to estimate the regional climate effect of
20 contrails [Wang *et al.*, 2001].

21 *Global modeling*

22 The overall synoptic situation connected with supersaturated regions can probably be well
23 represented in weather forecast and climate models, although low resolution models only
24 allow for a statistical subgrid scale description. Humidity is a critical variable in atmospheric
25 models due to the strong influence of subgrid scale processes and the presence of strong
26 spatial humidity gradients. While areas of supersaturation can be identified in such models,
27 the prediction of its magnitude and small-scale variability is much more demanding. Most
28 global models do not allow supersaturation on the grid scale but rely on assumptions about its
29 subgrid variability in their cloud schemes. Only the ECMWF integrated forecast system
30 currently allows for explicit ice supersaturated states that are consistently simulated with
31 cirrus cloud fraction although cirrus microphysics is still highly simplified [Tompkins *et al.*,
32 2007]. Few climate models prognose explicit supersaturation [Wilson and Ballard, 1999;
33 Lohmann and Kärcher, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2007] but simulate cloud coverage inconsistent with
34 ice microphysics.

35 Contrails cannot be treated as a mere source term to the cloud parameterization in a climate
36 model because of differences in the number and particle size distribution of contrail cirrus and
37 natural cirrus. Instead contrails need to be parameterized in global models. Most global
38 modeling approaches rely on a variation of a single contrail cover parameterization proposed
39 by Sausen *et al.* [1998]. Contrail cloud coverage is introduced into the model which must be
40 parameterized consistently with the model's cloud physics. In the absence of explicit
41 supersaturation in the model a potential contrail coverage is defined. The potential contrail
42 coverage is the area which would support contrail formation. This critical relative humidity
43 for contrail formation is then made consistent with the cloud parameterization. Since contrails
44 can form at a lower relative humidity than natural clouds, the critical humidity for contrail
45 formation in the GCM grid box is defined as a combination of the two critical humidities.
46 Potential contrail coverage is then limited by natural cirrus coverage. The dependency
47 between natural cirrus coverage and relative humidity is unchanged. As a result the contrail
48 parameterization can only simulate an additional coverage due to contrails and cannot replace
49 natural cirrus. Potential contrail coverage is usually interpreted as the maximally attainable
50 additional coverage due to contrails. This is not correct since only the formation conditions of

1 contrails are modeled and not the persistence conditions. Once contrails are formed they can
2 persist whenever the air is supersaturated, or in the modeling framework moister than a
3 specified critical humidity. Potential contrail coverage was calculated using ECMWF
4 reanalysis data or ECMWF operational data or simulations of the global climate model
5 ECHAM4, which originated from an older version of the ECMWF model.

6 In order to arrive at a global contrail coverage, potential contrail coverage is then folded with
7 an air traffic inventory [Gierens *et al.*, 1999b; Marquart *et al.*, 2003; Ponater *et al.*, 2002;
8 Sausen *et al.*, 1998]. In Sausen *et al.* [1998] folding was done linearly and with the square
9 root of air traffic, the latter to account for saturation effects such as contrail merging and
10 consumption of condensable water. Gierens [1998] argues that in the presence of advection,
11 saturation effects are not likely to happen. Duda *et al.* [2005] determines that the folding
12 should be done with the fourth root of air traffic. In most studies the global DLR and the
13 newer AERO2K data set have been used. As a measure of air traffic density mostly fuel usage
14 or flown kilometers were used. Using flown kilometers or fuel usage was shown to lead to
15 different results especially in the long distance flight corridors [Gierens *et al.*, 1999b] but
16 since flown kilometers are not available in some data sets, fuel usage is often used. The
17 folding of the air traffic data with the potential contrail coverage is either done online or in
18 most cases offline. The resulting field describes the frequency of contrail formation.

19 The computed frequency of contrail formation is then related to the observed coverage of
20 line-shaped contrails by a tuning coefficient. Within the parameterization contrails exist only
21 for one time step. This results in a contrail coverage that is limited to the areas of air traffic.
22 Advection, spreading and persistence of contrails is not covered. Contrail coverage is always
23 zero in areas of no air traffic while in reality strong winds in the upper troposphere can advect
24 contrails over hundreds of kilometers. The tuning coefficient is set so that the calculated line-
25 shaped contrail coverage agrees with the observed contrail coverage over a particular area
26 without taking into account physical mechanisms. This tuning coefficient is assumed to be
27 temporally and spatially constant. Until now the mean European contrail coverage of Bakan *et al.*
28 [1994] was always used for tuning the parameterization. Rädcl and Shine [2007b] estimate
29 that contrail coverage may be significantly changed when using a model that simulates
30 explicitly supersaturation instead of the potential contrail coverage as defined by Sausen *et al.*
31 [1998].

32 Duda *et al.* [2005] use in a very similar approach to Sausen *et al.* [1998] but employ a short
33 term regional forecasting model, different definition of potential contrail coverage and a
34 different tuning data set. The regional air traffic inventory of Garber *et al.* [2005], which
35 describes air traffic over the contiguous U.S.A. is used. Potential contrail coverage is
36 calculated from a regional forecasting model that contains explicit supersaturation, as the
37 frequency at which persistent contrails can form. Comparison of the patterns of simulated
38 contrail coverage with the satellite inferred contrail coverage quantified the influence of
39 parameters such as the relative humidity threshold and order of relationship between air traffic
40 and contrail coverage.

41 All global studies restrict themselves to studying only line-shaped contrails. Contrail cirrus
42 coverage cannot be estimated in global climate models using the parameterization used for
43 line-shaped contrails because no estimates of contrail cirrus coverage exist since older contrail
44 cirrus is difficult to distinguish from natural cirrus. Instead a process based approach must be
45 chosen in order to simulate contrail cirrus coverage and ice water content.

46 *Optical properties and radiative forcing*

47 Most parameterizations make only crude assumptions about the optical depth of contrails. In
48 most offline studies, optical thickness has been set to a temporally and spatially constant
49 value. The choice of this value has a large impact on the resulting radiative forcing. Since

1 observations and climate model simulations point at optical thickness being very variable in
2 time and space, any kind of constant optical depth value introduces an error in the radiative
3 forcing calculations. Even if an average value of contrail optical depth is chosen and only
4 global radiative forcing is of interest, the forecasted increase of air traffic in the subtropics is
5 likely to result in a change in the mean contrail optical depth. Only one global contrail
6 parameterization simulates optical properties of contrails as a function of ambient conditions
7 online in the climate model [Ponater *et al.*, 2002; Marquart *et al.*, 2003; Ponater *et al.*, 2005].
8 In contrails water condenses just like in natural cirrus a fraction of the moisture excess but
9 since contrails exist only for one time step the contrail ice water content equals the condensed
10 water at the time step. Contrary to cirrus they do not accumulate ice water in the model.

11 It is not clear how contrails overlap but the overlap assumption influence the simulated
12 contrail coverage and the resulting radiative forcing very strongly. Usually it is assumed that
13 contrails overlap randomly because they are far from filling the potentially contrail-
14 supporting area and the flights are assumed to not overlap. This assumption will be especially
15 justified if contrails are allowed to advect away from the source area [Gierens, 1998]. In areas
16 where a substantial fraction of the potential contrail-supporting area is filled up, contrail
17 overlap depends on the overlap of those areas. The finding that the vertical depth of
18 supersaturated areas is small hints at random overlap being a reasonable choice even when the
19 contrail-supporting area is filled up. Nevertheless, for the radiative calculations, maximum
20 random overlap of contrails and natural cirrus is assumed.

21 Feedbacks of contrails on the simulated climate have not been studied yet. It is not clear
22 whether contrails dry the atmosphere more strongly than air traffic moistens it. Furthermore it
23 is not clear if contrails can replace natural clouds by a significant amount and if they replace
24 natural clouds how large the net radiative forcing might be due to the different optical
25 properties of contrails and natural clouds.

26 *Offline radiative transfer models*

27 As an alternative to global modeling, radiative transfer models have been used calculating the
28 effect of prescribed contrails. Some of these models have underlying ice water paths, effective
29 ice crystal radii and ice crystal shapes as a basis to parameterize their microphysics. Those
30 parameterizations have been optimized for cirrus clouds based on the state of knowledge in
31 the mid 1990s and include high values for the ice water path and effective radius that are not
32 representative for contrail cirrus [Plass *et al.*, 1973; Fu and Liou, 1993; Fortuin *et al.*, 1995].
33 Up to date, most studies actually prescribing contrail microphysical properties base their
34 results on a single ice particle size distribution [Strauss *et al.*, 1997], although in-situ data
35 show a marked temporal evolution of radiatively relevant contrail properties and effective
36 sizes are smaller initially [Schröder *et al.*, 2000]. The plane parallel assumption for contrails
37 adopted by virtually all studies causes contrail radiative forcing to grow strictly in proportion
38 to the fractional coverage, disregarding inhomogeneity effects [Schulz, 1998; Chen *et al.*,
39 2001; Gounou and Hogan, 2007]. Improved optical data sets for ice crystal radiative
40 properties that have become available [Yang *et al.*, 2000, 2005] have not yet propagated into
41 radiative transfer models used to study contrails. Attempts to overcome the assumption of
42 vertical homogeneity of contrail optical properties have not been reported.

43 *Future air traffic and mitigation scenarios*

44 Projected air traffic rise causes an increase of linear contrail coverage [Gierens *et al.*, 1999b]
45 assuming that the atmosphere stays the same and when allowing for climate change [Marquart
46 *et al.*, 2003]. The expected rise depends strongly on the used air traffic scenario [Gierens *et al.*,
47 1999b]. However, the simulated development of upper tropospheric relative humidity and
48 cirrus clouds in a future climate, and hence their impact on contrails, must be considered

1 uncertain due to known difficulties of representing these variables in climate models. Changes
2 in propulsion efficiency cause contrail formation at higher temperatures and therefore at lower
3 altitudes [Schumann, 1996]. Areas in which potentially contrails can form are increased by
4 more than 10% when changing the propulsion efficiency by 0.1 [Sausen *et al.*, 1998]. Actual
5 contrail coverage, on the other hand, is expected to increase by only 0.1% since air travel
6 usually takes place in areas colder than the temperature formation threshold. Flight level
7 changes have a major impact on contrail coverage [Sausen *et al.*, 1998; Fichter *et al.*, 2005].
8 Air traffic at about 10 km has the strongest impact on radiative forcing [Rädel and Shine,
9 2007b] and should therefore be avoided if the contrail impact is to be minimized. If the
10 cryoplane technology is used aerosol output is decreased and moisture output increased
11 lowering the relative humidity threshold for contrail formation and causing an increase in ice
12 crystal sizes. The former leads to an increase in contrail coverage and the latter possibly to a
13 reduction in optical thickness. Both effects are estimated to cancel when calculating radiative
14 forcing [Marquart *et al.*, 2003]. Fuel additives designed to change the ice nucleation behavior
15 of exhaust soot particles are not likely to have a significant impact on contrail radiative
16 forcing because the formation process is not sensitive to details of the ice formation process
17 [Gierens, 2007].

18 **f. Current estimates of climate impacts and uncertainties**

19 Contrails increase the planetary albedo and hence cause a negative radiative forcing in the
20 shortwave (SW) range. Contrail temperature is usually lower than the brightness temperature
21 of the atmosphere without the contrails. Therefore, contrails induce a positive radiative
22 forcing in the longwave (LW) range. The net radiative forcing is the difference between the
23 SW and LW values. In most cases, the net radiative forcing is positive at the top of the
24 atmosphere. Radiative forcing is mostly negative at the Earth's surface, in particular during
25 daytime. The radiative forcing increases with ice water path, or optical depth, and with
26 contrail coverage [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999]. For a given ice water path, the SW dominates
27 over the LW effect for sufficiently small effective ice crystal radii. The cross-over point
28 depends also on assumed ice crystal habit [Zhang *et al.*, 1999]. We emphasize that the net
29 radiative forcing is generally the difference between two large values: negative SW forcing
30 and positive LW forcing. Hence, any small error in either of them has a large impact on the
31 computed net effect.

32 Global mean radiative forcing estimates for persistent line-shaped contrails have been
33 reported that differ by a factor five. This results mainly from the use of different values for
34 contrail coverage and optical depth. For 1992 air traffic, Marquart *et al.* [2003], Myhre and
35 Stordal [2001], and Minnis *et al.* [1999] have yielded values of 3.5 mW/m², 9 mW/m², and 17
36 mW/m², respectively. Minnis *et al.* [1999] assume global contrail coverage of 0.1% for 1992,
37 an optical thickness of 0.3, contrails at 200 hPa altitude, and hexagonal ice particles; they also
38 included a simplified diurnal cycle with a globally uniform 2:1 day-to-night ratio. Myhre and
39 Stordal [2001] use the same optical depth and coverage but find smaller radiative forcing
40 values because of different approaches for the daily traffic cycle, scattering properties of ice
41 particles, and contrail altitude. Marquart *et al.* [2003] normalize the contrail coverage
42 computed with a climate model by reference to more recent satellite observations [Meyer *et al.*,
43 2002] implying a smaller global contrail coverage (0.05-0.07% for 1992); they compute
44 smaller optical thickness values [Ponater *et al.*, 2002], including the daily cycle, improved
45 altitude distributions of the contrails, and an update of the LW radiation scheme of the global
46 model [Marquart and Mayer, 2002]. Their radiative forcing result for 1992 is five times
47 smaller than the value used in the IPCC [1999] assessment.

48 IPCC [2007] adopted the result of Sausen *et al.* [2005] to conclude that the best estimate for
49 the radiative forcing of persistent line-shaped contrails for aircraft operations in 2000 is 10
50 mW/m². The value is based on independent estimates derived from Myhre and Stordal [2001]

1 (15 mW m⁻²) and Marquart *et al.* [2003] (6 mW/m²). The two values were used by the IPCC
2 [2007] to set the uncertainty range of a factor of two. This best estimate is significantly lower
3 than the IPCC [1999] value of 34 mW/m², linearly scaled from 1992 to 2000 air traffic. The
4 change results from reassessments of persistent linear contrail coverage and lower optical
5 depth estimates, as detailed above. The new estimates include diurnal changes in the
6 shortwave solar forcing, which decreases net forcing for a given contrail cover by about 20%.

7 Regional cirrus trends were used as a basis to compute a global mean radiative forcing value
8 for AIC (aircraft-induced cloudiness) in 2000 of 30 mW/m² with a range of 10-80 mW/m²
9 [Stordal *et al.*, 2005; Sausen *et al.*, 2005]. This value is not considered a best estimate because
10 of the uncertainty in the optical properties of AIC and in the assumptions used to derive AIC
11 coverage. However, this value is in agreement with the upper limit estimate for AIC radiative
12 forcing in 1992 of 26 mW/m² derived from surface and satellite cloudiness observations
13 [Minnis *et al.*, 2004]. A value 30 mW/m² is close to the upper limit estimate 40 mW/m²
14 derived for AIC without line-shaped contrails in IPCC [1999].

15 A by far larger climate impact has been deduced by Minnis *et al.* [2004], who have analyzed a
16 cirrus trend of ~1%/decade over the continental USA between 1971 and 1995, which was
17 attributed almost exclusively due to air traffic increase during the period. Assuming an optical
18 depth of 0.25 this increase of high clouds was calculated to induce a global mean radiative
19 forcing of up to 25 mW/m² and a surface temperature response of 0.2-0.3 K/decade in the
20 region of the forcing, which would explain practically all observed warming over the
21 respective area between 1973 and 1994. In response to the Minnis *et al.* [2004] conclusion,
22 contrail forcing was examined by Shine [2005] and in two global climate modeling studies
23 [Hansen *et al.*, 2005; Ponater *et al.*, 2005]. These studies stressed that it is not possible to
24 derive a regional climate response from a regional climate forcing and concluded that the
25 surface temperature response calculated by Minnis *et al.* [2004] is too large by about one
26 order of magnitude. For the Minnis *et al.* [2004] result to be correct, the climate efficacy of
27 contrail forcing would need to be much greater than that of other forcing terms (e.g., CO₂).
28 Instead, model simulations hint at a smaller efficacy of contrail forcing than equivalent CO₂
29 forcing [Hansen *et al.*, 2005; Ponater *et al.*, 2005].

30 For contrail cirrus, no reliable estimate of the optical properties and of the radiative forcing
31 exists. The IPCC estimate of an upper bound of radiative forcing of 40 mW/m² by contrail-
32 and soot-induced cirrus changes is based on the assumptions of 0.2% global additional cirrus
33 coverage with an optical thickness of 0.3 (same as for line-shaped persistent contrails) [IPCC,
34 1999]. Both assumptions are very uncertain. The optical properties of the contrail cirrus are
35 likely different from that of line-shaped contrails. The radiative forcing depends nonlinearly
36 on the optical depth. It increases approximately linearly for small optical depth values,
37 reaches a maximum in between 2 and 5 and may be negative for optical depth values larger
38 than 10 [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999]. Contrails within cirrus may enhance the optical depth of the
39 cirrus beyond the limit where an increase in optical depth causes a reduction of the radiative
40 forcing. Hence, a reliable estimate of the radiative forcing by contrail cirrus cannot be given.

41 For 1% additional cirrus cloud coverage regionally (optical depth 0.28), a regional surface
42 temperature increase of the order 0.1 K was expected from a study by Strauss *et al.* [1997].
43 With a 2D radiative convective model, a 1 K increase was found in surface temperature over
44 most of the Northern Hemisphere for additional cirrus coverage of 5% [Liou *et al.*, 1990]. For
45 1% additional cirrus cloud coverage globally (optical depth 0.33) a general circulation model
46 coupled to a mixed layer ocean model computed 0.43 K global warming [Rind *et al.*, 2000].
47 Ponater *et al.* [2005] find a smaller specific climate response from contrails than for CO₂
48 increases in their climate model: the equilibrium response of surface temperature to radiative
49 forcing from contrails is 0.43 K/(W m⁻²) while 0.73 K/(W m⁻²) for CO₂. For a global contrail
50 coverage of 0.06 % and 0.15 %, with mean radiative forcing of 3.5 mW/m² and 9.8 mW/m² in
51 1992 and 2015, respectively (optical depth 0.05-0.2 depending on region and season, Meyer

1 *et al.* [2007]), the computed transient global mean surface temperature increase until 2000
2 amounts to ~ 0.0005 K in this model [Ponater *et al.*, 2005].

3 Contrails cool the surface during the day and heat the surface during the night, and hence
4 reduce the daily temperature amplitude. The net effect depends strongly on the daily variation
5 of contrail coverage. A reduction of solar flux by an order 50 W/m^2 , as measured by Sassen
6 [1997], is to be expected locally in the shadow of optically thick (optical depth > 1) contrails.
7 The surface LW forcing is small because of the shielding of terrestrial radiation by water
8 vapor in the atmosphere above the surface. Hence, the Earth's surface locally receives less
9 solar energy in the shadow of contrails [Sassen, 1997]. This does not exclude a warming of
10 the atmosphere-surface system driven by the net flux change at the top of the atmosphere
11 [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999]. As shown by a 1D radiation-convection model, vertical heat
12 exchange in the atmosphere may cause a warming of the surface even when it receives less
13 energy by radiation [Strauss *et al.*, 1997].

14 Travis *et al.* [2002] claimed observable increases in the daily temperature range due to
15 reduced contrails in the three days period of September 11-14, 2001, when air traffic over
16 parts of the USA was reduced. They report that the daily temperature range was 1 K above the
17 30-year average for the three days grounding period, which was interpreted as evidence that
18 jet aircraft do have an impact on the radiation budget over the USA. Several studies discussed
19 these findings and pointed out that the statistical significance is weak and does not allow for
20 strong conclusions [Schumann, 2005; Forster *et al.*, 2007; Dietmüller *et al.*, 2007]. Moreover,
21 unusually clear weather in that region could also explain the observed daily temperature range
22 [Kalkstein and Balling, 2004; Travis *et al.*, 2007].

23 Radiative forcing due to contrails is expected to increase in future due the projected increase
24 in air traffic. Marquart *et al.* [2003] simulated the radiative forcing due to the increase of air
25 traffic and due to climate warming. By 2015 the radiative forcing of line-shaped persistent
26 contrails is simulated to be 9.4 mW/m^2 and by 2050 14.8 mW/m^2 , compared to 3.5 mW/m^2 in
27 1992. Neglecting climate change the radiative forcing would be larger since the simulated
28 temperatures in the tropical upper troposphere would be colder and the frequency of contrail
29 formation larger than when allowing for climate change.

30 The majority of global contrail studies rely on a single modeling approach to simulate line-
31 shaped contrail coverage, relying on assumptions such as constant tuning factor,
32 representativeness of the coverages reported by Bakan *et al.* [1994] and constant optical
33 depth. Furthermore studies are not independent since they are carried out with only few
34 different models and always tuned to the same observed contrail coverage over Europe.
35 Newer and lower estimates of radiative forcing are partly based on the assumption of a lower
36 constant optical depth than in the 1990s. One-dimensional radiation schemes seem to agree on
37 RF due to linear contrails and therefore do not add to the range of forcing estimates. However,
38 most of these studies apply the same contrail ice crystal size distribution [Strauss *et al.*, 1997]
39 so that the uncertainty in radiative forcing may be underestimated. Three-dimensional effects
40 on radiative transfer are not insignificant but are not considered in global models yet.

41 Rädcl and Shine [2007b] estimate the combined error due to the assumption of constant
42 optical depth and due to the use of scaling factors for tuning the contrail coverage to be about
43 60%. Additionally smaller errors due to assumptions of ice crystal parameters, neglect of 3D
44 radiative transport, assumption of constant engine parameters, diurnal cycle of contrail
45 coverage, errors due to the cancellation of between long wave and short wave forcings. All
46 errors together are estimated to account for a factor of two in net radiative forcing.

47 **g. Interconnectivity with other SSWP theme areas**

48 Our theme is closely connected to theme area 3 in terms of observations of ice supersaturation
49 in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, both globally from satellites and locally from

1 aircraft or balloons. We have emphasized that such measurements are difficult to perform and,
2 in the case of remotely sensed data, highly uncertain. While we principally understand the
3 causes of supersaturation, prediction in global models is at its infancy. A physically consistent
4 representation of supersaturation, ice microphysics and coverage of contrail cirrus and natural
5 cirrus including their subgrid-scale features requires new modeling approaches.

6 Our theme is also connected to theme area 4 regarding measurements of contrail cirrus. A
7 global homogeneous data set of relevant contrail cirrus properties (primarily optical depth and
8 coverage) is not available. We have emphasized that available measurements (comprising
9 Lidar and Radar instruments, satellite sensors and standard cloud physics instrumentation
10 onboard high flying aircraft) do not cover the full contrail cirrus life cycle. Virtually all
11 quantitative in-situ information available covers only contrail ages up to ~30-60 min or
12 perhaps up to ~2-3 h when tracking individual contrails in remotely sensed data.

13 **3. OUTSTANDING LIMITATIONS, GAPS AND ISSUES REQUIRING** 14 **IMPROVEMENT**

15 **a. Science**

16 *Representing contrail life cycle in global models*

17 It is currently not possible to simulate the complete life cycle of contrail cirrus (i.e. fractional
18 coverage, microphysical properties, radiative forcing) from formation to decay. The radiative
19 effect of short lived (up to ~30 min) and non line-shaped contrails has not been properly
20 discussed yet. Further, physical mechanisms that remain unconsidered by current approaches
21 include advective transport of contrail cirrus out of the major contrail-forming areas.

22 Interactions of contrails with the moisture field and cirrus clouds cannot be treated well in
23 current models. Contrail cirrus taps condensable water and might remove the moisture by
24 sedimentation, therefore changing the relative humidity. This may cause the atmosphere not
25 to reach or to reach later the moisture thresholds for formation of natural cirrus therefore
26 delaying cirrus onset.

27 More emphasis has to be put in estimating the climate effect of contrail cirrus. New process
28 based methods have to be developed since results cannot be tuned to observations. These
29 efforts would benefit from a better knowledge of the temporal development of contrail
30 properties from in-situ and remote sensing measurements. It remains unclear if and, if at all,
31 when contrails acquire similar properties as natural cirrus. The apparent lack of aged contrail
32 cirrus measurements hinders progress in this area.

33 *Contrail cirrus optical depth and coverage*

34 Any confidence in estimated global radiative forcing of contrail cirrus will remain low unless
35 the underlying optical depth mean and variability of contrail cirrus has been fully explored
36 and the radiation schemes in global models have been adapted to contrail-specific optical
37 properties. Clouds produce different flux changes depending on the environmental
38 circumstances (cloud, surface or atmospheric properties). As a class, thin cirrus cool the
39 surface and exert a net warming within and at the top of the atmosphere [Chen *et al.*, 2000];
40 optically thicker cirrostratus and anvil cirrus still warm the atmosphere on the whole but cool
41 the surface and top of the atmosphere. This annual and global mean picture derived from
42 ISCCP-D2 data has largely confirmed earlier studies regarding cirrus radiative forcing
43 [Hartmann *et al.*, 1992], but still contains substantial simplifications in treating the vertical
44 layering of cloud, the radiative transfer in cirrus, and in assumptions about the nighttime
45 radiative fluxes, so that these findings cannot be viewed as a final conclusion. Contrail cirrus
46 belonging to the class of thin cirrus are therefore also expected to warm the atmosphere on

1 average. However, a host of underlying factors controlling radiative forcing by contrail cirrus,
2 including their radiative impact when coexisting with cirrus, need to be explored further to
3 build more confidence in predictions of their net radiative effect.

4 The actual radiative relevance of clouds is also controlled by the product of their typical
5 spatial coverage and their frequency of occurrence (cloud amount). In the case of contrails the
6 latter is determined by the formation probability along aircraft flight paths while the former is
7 more closely tied to the factors controlling atmospheric supersaturation, transport and contrail
8 dissipation. The total coverage is the sum of coverage due to line-shaped contrails and
9 contrail cirrus. A separate estimate of the latter contribution has not yet been reported. IPCC
10 [2007] estimates the ratio of total (contrail cirrus plus soot cirrus) coverage due to aircraft-
11 induced cloudiness to that of persistent linear contrails in the range 1.8-10 [Minnis *et al.*,
12 2004; Mannstein and Schumann, 2005]. The upper bound is currently not supported by
13 Mannstein and Schumann [2007]. The study by Stubenrauch and Schumann [2005] would
14 imply even smaller lower bounds [Schumann, 2005]. Locally, this ratio is ill-defined if
15 considering regions into which contrails have been advected but where air traffic is low or
16 absent.

17 A further open question is the radiative effect of producing contrails inside existing cirrus (or
18 other high level clouds). Such contrails may increase the optical depth of the combined
19 cirrus/contrail systems compared to the cirrus, or high level cloud, alone. If the optical depth
20 is thick already (~3-6), then an increase in optical depth may cause a cooling. If the optical
21 depth is small, the increase in optical depth will still cause a warming. The importance of this
22 effect depends on at least three factors. (i) The relative frequency of occurrence of contrails
23 inside thick cirrus (high level clouds) compared to contrails outside cirrus or in thin cirrus; (ii)
24 the change in optical depth for solar and terrestrial radiation caused by the contrail forming
25 inside the existing high level cloud; (iii) the gradient of the radiative forcing with optical
26 depth. To our knowledge this problem has not been studied yet, but without solving it, one
27 cannot exclude that contrails cool.

28 *Soot effects*

29 Whereas it is feasible to use as a first step a proxy for supersaturation when simulating
30 contrails, the simulation of the soot effect relies on the explicit simulation of supersaturation.
31 The lack of consistency between ice supersaturation, cirrus microphysics and cirrus cloud
32 coverage in most global models currently does not allow the simulation of the indirect effect
33 on climate induced by soot emissions with confidence. Satellites cannot discriminate between
34 pure contrail effects and soot effects on cirrus, therefore hampering a sound model validation
35 of contrail impact on climate.

36 To tackle the soot effect, an in-situ experiment should be designed to demonstrate the ice-
37 forming capability of aircraft soot emissions (*experimentum crucis*). Such a measurement
38 should be performed first in relatively unpolluted air because the background cirrus in flight
39 corridors could already be affected by aviation soot. The soot should be emitted along with
40 tracers marking the air mass. Difficulties in interpretation may arise from dynamical effects
41 that can easily mask aerosol-induced cirrus changes and the impact of ice nuclei from other
42 sources such as mineral dust.

43 *Metrics*

44 The climate impact of contrails is usually reported in terms of global mean or regional mean
45 contrail-cirrus cover [Sausen *et al.*, 1998], and forcing in terms of shortwave (SW), longwave
46 (LW) and net (LW+SW) radiative forcing values [Minnis *et al.*, 1999]. In order to assess the
47 climate impact one needs to know the equilibrium global mean surface temperature change
48 ΔT per net radiative forcing (RF), $\Delta T = \lambda_{\text{contrail}} \text{RF}$, or the efficacy, i.e. the value $\lambda_{\text{contrail}} / \lambda_{\text{CO}_2}$

1 relative to that for RF from CO₂ concentration changes [Hansen *et al.*, 2005; Ponater *et al.*,
2 2005]. Since contrails are strongly correlated with air traffic density, even when accounting
3 for drift of contrails during their life-time, the contrail-induced climate impact occurs mainly
4 at northern midlatitudes [Minnis *et al.*, 2004]. Moreover, contrails cirrus is special in respect
5 to its potential impact on the hydrological cycle, with many still unexplored mechanisms.

6 Generally, our gap analysis is in agreement with the findings of the 2006 Boston Workshop
7 on climate impacts of aviation summarized by Wuebbles and Ko (2007)
8 (<http://web.mit.edu/aeroastro/partner/reports/climatewrksp-rpt-0806.pdf>).

9 **b. Measurements and analysis**

10 *Upper tropospheric relative humidity*

11 The global distribution of humidity in the upper troposphere is not well determined since
12 satellites have a low resolution in the area of the tropopause. Relative humidity is even more
13 uncertain since it relies on consistent temperature and humidity measurements. In satellite
14 data, only large-scale features such as geographical or seasonal patterns are robust features,
15 the magnitude of inferred supersaturation is uncertain [Gettelman *et al.*, 2006]. Such
16 observations need to be refined and continued to infer reliable statistics and better quantitative
17 information on magnitude, frequency of occurrence, and variability of supersaturation.

18 Despite pending issues in measuring relative humidity in-situ, ice supersaturation can be
19 measured with aircraft with sufficient accuracy in the extratropics. Those measurements are
20 particularly useful for aviation-related research (and for the general understanding of upper
21 tropospheric/lower stratospheric processes as well) when performed on a regular basis on
22 commercial aircraft. In-flight measurements using the already existing Tropospheric Aircraft
23 Meteorological Data Relay (TAMDAR) system should routinely include reliable humidity
24 measurements at flight level, thus providing a climatology of relative humidity and cirrus
25 coverage. TAMDAR and NOAA's Water Vapor Sensing System 2 (WVSS2) might improve
26 the situation in the future when adapted to and used at cruising altitudes.

27 Whereas the formation of ice supersaturation in the extratropics is understood (Section 2.a), a
28 reliable statistic of the magnitude, vertical layering and horizontal extent of supersaturation
29 are not available. Field measurements support the predominance of homogeneous freezing as
30 a major source of cloud ice mass [Jensen *et al.*, 2001]. This inference has been made based on
31 frequently measured maximum supersaturations being consistent with the homogeneous
32 freezing process and the frequent occurrence of a large number of small ice crystals [Kärcher
33 and Ström, 2003; Gayet *et al.*, 2004; Hoyle *et al.*, 2005]. However, it is not clear why high ice
34 supersaturations can persist in the presence of cold thin cirrus and why some values are
35 exceptionally high (above the homogeneous freezing level) outside of clouds at very low (<
36 200 K) temperatures [Jensen *et al.*, 2005; Peter *et al.*, 2007].

37 *Remote sensing of contrails*

38 Global coverage by linear contrails, their optical properties and also the related radiative
39 cloud forcing are in principle deducible from satellite measurements. Instruments like MODIS
40 on Aqua and Terra or the A(A)TSR(2) series on ERS1, ERS2 and ENVISAT offer this
41 possibility, as their data is available in a resolution of ~1 km for nearly the whole globe. A
42 systematic study of contrail cover from AVHRR on METOP, AATSR and MODIS (~1 km
43 resolution), in connection with air traffic data may provide very useful results for model
44 validation.

45 A fine tuning of the automated contrail detection algorithm to these instruments followed by a
46 thorough characterization of the performance in terms of detection limits, false alarm rates
47 and detection efficiencies are necessary prerequisites. Contrails may be detected as soon as

1 they show a significant contrast from background in terms of measurable radiation, but
2 quantification of what can be detected and what not is difficult. False alarm rates and error
3 bounds limit accuracy of contrail cover deduced from NOAA AVHRR channels to $\sim 0.1\%$
4 cover [Meyer *et al.*, 2002]. The optical depth values may be uncertain to an order 0.05 or
5 more. Error bounds on detection limits, effective radius, life time, spreading rates, etc. have
6 still to be determined.

7 The transition of linear contrails into contrail cirrus, which cannot be identified from shape,
8 will remain poorly defined. Polar orbiting satellites observe clouds only once in long periods
9 (typically a day) and can therefore not be used to follow the life cycles of individual contrails.
10 Tracking of contrails and contrail cirrus in data from geostationary satellites with a high
11 temporal resolution (5 min in MSG ‘rapid scan’, 1 min in GOES) can be used to retrieve a
12 portion of the life cycle and the radiative forcing, ice water path, optical depth and effective
13 particle size as function of contrail age and in relation to ambient conditions. Because of the
14 lower spatial resolution of sensors in geostationary orbit this approach can detect only thicker
15 and wider contrails. Systematic studies of such kind have still to be performed. For the
16 interpretation of all measurements it is an advantage to know precisely the actual air traffic,
17 which might have caused the observed contrails. Such data sets are usually not available to
18 the research community. Moreover, knowledge of actual wind fields, temperature and
19 humidity fields is needed at high spatial and temporal resolution to check for contrail
20 formation threshold conditions and to identify the lateral displacement of contrails for given
21 meteorology. Such data can be made available from meteorological analyses from numerical
22 weather prediction centers.

23 Because of the sensor dependence of the observed cloud properties, satellite observation
24 results (such as cloud cover) cannot be compared with model results directly. For proper
25 comparison of satellite data and model results, one should apply a sensor simulator to the
26 model results which simulates what the sensor would see for the given model state. Such an
27 approach is essential for model validation.

28 One should note that the value of contrail cirrus coverage may depend strongly on its
29 definition, namely whether it includes only the coverage observable to a specific sensor, or
30 whether is limited to contrail cirrus above a certain optical depth threshold. Hence, optical
31 depth and contrail coverage should always be reported together with the implied thresholds.

32 *Identification and characterization of aged contrail cirrus*

33 A major limitation in studies of older contrail cirrus is the difficulty to track single contrails
34 with time, or to detect a contrail once it has lost its line shape. Ground-based Lidar can follow
35 linear contrail evolution for a certain time, limited by the wind speed advecting the contrails
36 away from the site. Research aircraft pilots quickly lose track of contrails without additional
37 guidance, for instance from concomitant satellite observations. These are the major reasons
38 for the lack of in-situ or Lidar measurements of contrail cirrus. Poor airborne sampling
39 statistics for evolving large ice crystals and the difficulty in determining the exact sampling
40 position (and hence, infer contrail age from measurements of NO) remain serious problems in
41 any aircraft-based measurement. In-flight measurements of radiative fluxes of aging contrails
42 should be easier to perform, but this requires two aircraft for a proper characterization of up-
43 and downwelling flux densities. As very limited information is available from both in-situ and
44 remote sensing measurements, and measurement uncertainties are often not clearly quantified,
45 the optical properties of even line-shaped contrails and their subsequent time evolution remain
46 a matter of debate. Remote sensing of the optical parameters of ice clouds relies on
47 assumptions about the shape and size distribution of ice crystals. The lack of precise
48 information from direct measurements leads to uncertainties regarding their radiative impact.
49 Even though observational case studies would provide useful information for validation of

1 process models, these measurements do not allow representative statistics. A general
2 description of the contrail cirrus life cycle and the resulting radiative forcing of contrails and
3 contrail cirrus is therefore hardly achievable without the help of models.

4 *Correlations between cirrus coverage and air traffic*

5 The statistical analysis of the correlation between cirrus properties and air traffic data may be
6 the only method allowing the determination of AIC from observations [Mannstein and
7 Schumann, 2005]. The method may be used to study cirrus not only in terms of cover but also
8 directly in terms of radiation signals measurable from satellites. The method is attractive in
9 principle, because it offers chances to detect the mean life time of contrail-cirrus.

10 For proper interpretation of such correlation results one has to know any cross-correlation of
11 the observables with other parameters, such as geographical latitude and longitude because of
12 land-ocean contrasts. Model results are useful to identify such cross-correlations [Mannstein
13 and Schumann, 2007]. Even for nonzero cross-correlations, the method may be useful to
14 determine upper bounds on the amount of AIC changes. Moreover, the same kind of statistical
15 analysis may also be applied to model results, which helps not only to identify cause-effect
16 relationships but also supports validation.

17 From ongoing work, we see chances that such methods provide useful correlation analyses for
18 regions over the globe where the natural variability of cirrus statistics is small.

19 This method requires input in terms of temporally highly resolving geostationary satellite data
20 over long periods and large regions (continents, oceans, hemispheres), together with
21 information on air traffic movements at high spatial (~ 50 km) and temporal (~ 1 h) resolution,
22 and corresponding meteorological analysis data for the same regions and time periods.

23 **c. Modeling capability**

24 *Scale problem*

25 One of the key problems in cloud and, even more so, in contrail modeling is the large range of
26 spatial scales involved. The scale of young contrails (width ~ 50 m, comparable to the aircraft
27 wing span) up to the scale of ice supersaturated regions (~ 500 km). Contrails can either be
28 simulated using a Eulerian or a Lagrangian approach.

29 In a Lagrangian approach one would follow a finite set of typical individual contrail segments
30 over their life-time and derive estimates of the properties of the ensemble of all contrails from
31 the Lagrangian contrail segments. This approach could be an extension of a Gaussian plume
32 model used to simulate the highly inhomogeneous concentration field of emitted trace species
33 in a flight corridor [Schumann and Konopka, 1994; Schumann *et al.*, 1995; Schlager *et al.*,
34 1997]. The idea of this approach has been demonstrated in an idealized simulation of contrail
35 coverage [Gierens, 1998]. Such a model needs input in terms of spatially and temporally
36 highly resolved air traffic movement data. Moreover, the contrail model needs meteorological
37 data input (temperature, humidity, horizontal and vertical wind) from a numerical weather
38 prediction model, preferably one which simulates ice supersaturation [Tompkins *et al.*, 2007].
39 The change in contrail properties of a Lagrangian contrail segment with time for given
40 ambient conditions can be parameterized based on detailed contrail simulations [Unterstrasser
41 *et al.*, 2008]. Offline simulations would be useful for applications such as route optimization
42 and for direct comparison with observations. For climate simulations it is necessary to
43 simulate climate feedbacks which require an online scheme. This would be computationally
44 extremely expensive.

45 Using the Eulerian approach (parameterization) contrail cirrus properties are described by a
46 suitable set of variables at each grid point of a global circulation model. The variables have to

1 characterize fractional coverages and mean properties of contrails of various ages within a
2 grid cell of the Eulerian model. The model simulates the variation of contrails by integrating
3 budget equations including contrail cirrus sources and sinks, in time and space. Such a
4 parameterization is an extension of a GCM cloud scheme. It allows accounting for the
5 feedback of contrail cirrus on the ambient (cloudy) atmosphere. Such a model is suitable for
6 analysis of contrail cirrus both in the present and in a future climate.

7 *Uncertainties in global modeling*

8 Climate models need to be improved in two main aspects. In order to reduce the uncertainty
9 regarding contrail radiative forcing the simulation of upper tropospheric fields needs to be
10 improved and validated. A realistic simulation of the upper tropospheric relative humidity
11 field is crucial since the frequency of contrail occurrence and the optical properties of
12 contrails are strongly dependent on the relative humidity field. The coverage due to line-
13 shaped contrails has been shown to agree reasonably well with observations in specified
14 areas. Nevertheless, the method is unsatisfactory relying on the assumption of a constant
15 scaling between contrail formation frequency and coverage. This assumption is likely to
16 introduce errors especially calculating coverage for future scenarios in which air traffic
17 increases in areas the parameterization was not tuned to. The optical properties of contrails are
18 still under debate, with the modeling community usually assuming or simulating a mean
19 optical depth of ~ 0.1 . Some remote sensing observations suggest similar values and other
20 remote sensing observations, including Lidar and high resolution remote sensing, deriving
21 optical depths of 0.3 or 0.4.

22 *Improvements and validation necessary for relative humidity and cloud coverage*

23 It has become apparent that many climate models have problems simulating the humidity
24 field in the upper troposphere. Models often have problems representing moisture in the area
25 of the tropopause [John and Soden, 2007]. Errors in the upper tropospheric humidity field and
26 associated errors in the temperature field, that manifest themselves often dramatically in the
27 model's cold bias, have an impact on the simulated contrail statistics. Only recently more
28 observations of the upper tropospheric humidity field (MOZAIC, MLS, AIRS) have become
29 available enabling the validation of climate models in the upper troposphere. When evaluating
30 the water budget in climate models, the emphasis is usually put into warm clouds. The
31 microphysics of ice clouds has not been systematically evaluated and may be even used for
32 tuning the model [DelGenio, 2002; Jakob, 2002]. Consequently there are indications that the
33 optical properties of natural clouds may not be represented well at least in some climate
34 models. Specifically it has been noted that the ice water content and effective ice crystal radii
35 are too small in the ECHAM4 climate model [Lohmann *et al.*, 2007]. Size spectra that have a
36 large impact on the microphysics and on the optical properties of clouds have not yet been
37 updated according to the newest measurement results.

38 Climate models do not explicitly capture the formation of cirrus clouds. Nearly all climate
39 models diagnose cirrus coverage in the same way as coverage due to water clouds, purely
40 from the surrounding humidity, and apply saturation adjustment. They do not allow for
41 explicit supersaturation relative to ice. Some modules have been developed to represent ice-
42 supersaturation in global models [Kärcher *et al.*, 2006; Tompkins *et al.*, 2007] that might in
43 the long term lead to a sufficiently accurate physically-based parameterization of contrail
44 development. Future weather forecast and climate models must increase their vertical
45 resolution to enable the simulation of stacked thin layers of supersaturation. They must
46 include proper parameterizations for subgrid-scale dynamical processes that drive ice
47 nucleation, and adapt their cloud schemes to cirrus clouds consistent with observations. The
48 introduction of supersaturation at the grid scale of such models, however, requires current
49 cloud fraction parameterization to be fundamentally modified to be consistent with known

1 cirrus microphysics and supersaturation [Kärcher and Burkhardt, 2008]. A consistent cirrus
2 coverage defining the formation and the evaporation of cirrus at different relative humidity
3 levels and allowing for non-equilibrium states has not been implemented yet. Once this is
4 implemented and validated for natural cirrus, parameterizations of contrails can be based on
5 the improved physics.

6 *Improvements necessary for contrail cirrus*

7 Meanwhile, contrails can be parameterized requiring a proxy for supersaturation instead of the
8 explicit representation of supersaturation, as applied for natural clouds. This approach has
9 been used successfully for simulating line-shaped contrails. Line-shaped contrail coverage has
10 been simulated by tuning an area-averaged coverage to observational data and assuming a
11 globally and temporally constant tuning coefficient. This approach precludes the simulation of
12 the contrail life cycle and assumes that the ice water content can be estimated from the
13 condensable water at a single time step. Because of the former the estimation of global mean
14 radiative forcing due to aircraft-induced cloud changes has until now been limited to the
15 forcing due to line-shaped contrails. Contrail cirrus cannot be modeled globally with existing
16 methods so that a best estimate of radiative forcing due to contrail cirrus does not exist.

17 One possibility that may lead to substantial progress in global modeling is a process-based
18 treatment of contrail cirrus as an individual cloud type with specific sources and sinks. Such
19 an approach will allow uncertainties to be systematically reduced by properly representing
20 and evaluating the processes that determine the entire contrail cirrus life cycle. Instead of
21 constraining contrail coverage, the processes influencing contrail cirrus coverage must be
22 identified, described and adequately constrained. The contrail cirrus parameterization should
23 have a similar amount of subgrid scale information as the natural cloud scheme.

24 Microphysical process rates have to be adjusted to contrails. In this way an independent
25 estimate of line-shaped contrail coverage may be obtained that does not suffer from assuming
26 a constant tuning coefficient and estimating contrail ice water content from the model state at
27 a single time step. Furthermore the coverage due to contrail cirrus and the associated ice water
28 content could be simulated. Nevertheless, as long as natural cirrus coverage is only diagnosed
29 natural cirrus limits contrail cirrus coverage. Therefore contrail cirrus can replace natural
30 cirrus and compete for condensable water with natural cirrus only in a limited way.

31 A realistic simulation of the interaction between contrail cirrus and natural cirrus may be
32 achieved by calculating both coverages prognostically. A prognostic treatment of natural
33 cirrus as suggested by Kärcher and Burkhardt [2008] enables the use of different formation
34 and evaporation humidity levels for natural cirrus and therefore the simulation of
35 supersaturation.

36 *Radiation*

37 Radiation codes in GCMs have a number of deficiencies that make the estimation of contrail
38 radiative forcing uncertain. Three-dimensional effects in radiative transfer are thought to be
39 non-negligible but are not covered routinely even in sophisticated radiative transfer
40 calculations [Gounou and Hogan, 2007]. The microphysical basis for the application of
41 radiative transfer simulations should be improved using real contrail size spectra and realistic
42 vertical layering. This may eventually lead to improved radiation schemes for GCMs for
43 contrail cirrus. Removing uncertainties in contrail radiative forcing must face the general
44 difficulty that the net radiative effect of contrails and cirrus is difficult to evaluate accurately
45 because it results from counteracting effects of large shortwave and longwave forcing terms.

1 *Validation*

2 Besides model development and improvement it is indispensable to also focus on validation.
3 A GCM should be validated using statistical and climatological data. Generally the humidity
4 fields and cloud coverages and optical properties simulated by climate models need to be
5 validated. Suitable data to do this are just becoming accessible. Furthermore fields and
6 frequency of supersaturation simulated by GCMs need to be validated. Available in-situ data
7 for young contrails (up to 30-60 min age corresponding to one GCM time step, section 2.a)
8 could be used to check whether contrail ice water contents are properly initialized in process-
9 based contrail parameterization schemes. Although LES models are available to simulate
10 individual contrails and their evolution within a few hours, those approaches are
11 computationally demanding and are not straightforward to use for GCM validation. Available
12 in-situ measurements provide only snapshots of possible contrail realizations. There still is a
13 marked gap of climatological data describing contrail and contrail cirrus coverages and
14 optical properties that are needed for the validation of simulated contrail and contrail cirrus
15 coverages. Often the conditions under which contrails could be detected are not specified in
16 detail and different observation-based statistics may have different detection thresholds.
17 When developing process-based parameterizations of contrail cirrus coverage, data describing
18 those processes, such as spreading, ice particle sizes and initial conditions after formation, are
19 needed to constrain the parameterization. This calls for novel and innovative theoretical
20 methods to infer contrail cirrus microphysical and optical properties on a statistical basis.
21 Another problem for GCM validation using remote sensing is the difficulty to discriminate
22 between contrail cirrus and possible effects caused by aircraft soot emissions in such data. As
23 a first step, it would be necessary to demonstrate experimentally whether soot modifies cirrus
24 cloudiness (section 3.a). Even if aircraft aerosols should not lead to a significant change in
25 cirrus cloudiness, properties of aerosols from other sources would still be required to predict
26 the formation of natural cirrus by homogeneous and heterogeneous ice nucleation.

27 **d. Interconnectivity with other SSWP theme areas**

28 Limitations, gaps and issues requiring improvement connect to SSWP theme areas 3 and 4
29 covering upper tropospheric relative humidity and contrail-specific microphysics. We recall
30 our statements in section 2.g. Concerning uncertainties in developing appropriate metrics to
31 describe aviation-induced climate change, we refer to the SSWPs from theme area 7.

32 **4. PRIORITIZATION FOR TACKLING OUTSTANDING ISSUES**

33 Modeling and validation (A)

34 In the last section a number of open issues were identified that preclude progress in estimating
35 the global climatic impact of contrails. Some of those issues are known shortcomings in
36 climate models. Eliminating those shortcomings, which relate to the moisture budget and
37 cloud representation in the models, may require several years of attention but would be
38 required to reduce uncertainty of the estimates of the global climate effect of contrails and
39 contrail cirrus. The improvements would increase confidence in our ability to simulate
40 contrails only on the long time scale and therefore would not reduce uncertainty of the climate
41 forcing of contrails for quite a few years to come.

42 On the other hand existing contrail parameterizations should be tested regarding the tuning
43 and validated with more observational data and contrail resolving models as they become
44 available. The high degree of interdependency of current results on global persistent linear
45 contrail radiative forcing that arises from the use of identical data sets for tuning and
46 validation should be reduced. Furthermore parameterizations should be based on processes so
47 that only those processes would need to be constrained. Schemes should be extended covering
48 not only contrails but also contrail cirrus. Radiative parameterizations and overlap

1 calculations should be expanded to cover not only natural cirrus but also contrails. In the
2 future improved parameterizations could then be implemented in models that have an
3 improved representation of the moisture budget and cirrus representation.

- 4 1. Improving and validating the representation of the moisture and clouds in the upper
5 troposphere in atmospheric models.
- 6 2. Including microphysical parameterizations leading to supersaturation in atmospheric
7 models and representing processes of cirrus formation.
- 8 3. Testing the sensitivity of current contrail parameterization to tuning and assumptions
9 influencing optical properties of contrails.
- 10 4. Development of a process based contrail / contrail cirrus parameterization for use in
11 climate models.
- 12 5. Improving representation of radiative response to contrail cirrus in atmospheric
13 models (including optical properties and cloud overlap).
- 14 6. Analyzing under which conditions contrails cool when forming inside high level
15 clouds.
- 16 7. Development of a plume-based contrail model to simulate the scale transition for fresh
17 contrails to extended contrail cirrus decks.
- 18 8. Inclusion of the plume-based contrail model in a weather forecasting model for short-
19 term prediction and validation purposes (long term goal may be inclusion in climate
20 models).
- 21 9. Models need to be validated with a number of observational data sets. Critical
22 observations include absolute and relative humidity, ice water content, ice particle size
23 distributions and habit, optical depth, vertical motion, wind shear, turbulence, etc.
24 Further measurement campaigns are needed. CALIPSO and CLOUDSAT data should
25 be analyzed regarding the detection of contrail cirrus.

26 Remote sensing and in-situ experiments (B)

27 An important issue is the quantification of aviation induced cloud changes AICC (including
28 contrail cirrus, soot cirrus, changes to existing cloud systems; and changes in terms of
29 coverage, microphysical and optical properties, radiative forcing etc.) from observations.
30 Besides the modeling approach described in (A), we suggest a strategy to determine AICC
31 directly by remote sensing. A second important issue is the homogeneous analysis of the
32 precise coverage and properties of line-shaped contrails over a large region of the Earth with
33 specified accuracy. Finally, one needs specific in situ soot experiments with aircraft soot
34 sources in remote regions and measurements of the soot impact on cirrus that may form or
35 may be changed due to the presence of soot [Kärcher *et al.*, 2007].

- 36 1. Improving and validating the representation of contrail and cirrus remote sensing
37 analysis schemes providing cloud coverage, optical thickness, brightness temperature,
38 reflectance, microphysical properties, contrail age, etc.
- 39 2. Provision of simple aircraft impact prediction tools such as contrail cover as a function
40 of air traffic with prescribed spreading and life time [Gierens, 1998; Mannstein and
41 Schumann, 2005].
- 42 3. Testing of correlations between observed cloud properties (from B1) and predicted
43 aircraft impact (from B2) and investigation of any cause-and-impact relationship.
- 44 4. Improved determinations of the line-shaped contrail coverage and properties of line-
45 shaped contrails over many regions of the Earth.

1 5. Soot experiments investigating the impact of soot on cirrus in the atmosphere.

2 B1-B3 would be similar to the approach tried by Mannstein and Schumann [2005]. Instead of
3 comparing cirrus coverage and air traffic data over Europe, areas need to be selected where
4 air traffic induces an observable change. The observations (B1) would be based on
5 METEOSAT (MSG) cirrus observations; in a first step cirrus cover is used as observable; in a
6 second step radiances can be employed additionally [Krebs *et al.*, 2007; Mannstein and
7 Schumann, 2005]. The simple model (B2) simulates contrail coverage along aircraft flight
8 paths as a function of contrail age with a few free parameters (e.g., contrail lifetime)
9 [Mannstein and Schumann, 2005]. From correlating these results (B3), the amount and
10 radiance contributions of aviation-induced cirrus changes are determined including best fitting
11 model parameters. In a next step, one might also correlate a more advanced contrail
12 prediction scheme (driven with meteorological analysis data) to observations to determine
13 further AIC parameters.

14 B4 would make use of a generalized (i.e. used with various sensors) version of the automated
15 satellite-based detection algorithm for line-shaped contrails [Mannstein *et al.*, 1999], which
16 was applied by several groups using AVHRR data over Europe [Meyer *et al.* 2002], the
17 continental USA [Duda *et al.*, 2004; Palikonda *et al.*, 2005], eastern north Pacific [Minnis *et*
18 *al.*, 2005] and southeast and east Asia [Meyer *et al.*, 2007]. The method should be applied to
19 AVHRR, MODIS, A(A)TSR, MSG and GOES data, the latter in geostationary orbits allowing
20 for nearly continuous observation at the expense of the high resolution of the polar orbiters.

21 B5 would be similar to the SUCCESS [Toon and Miake-Lye, 1998] and the SULFUR
22 experiments [Schumann *et al.*, 1996, 2002]. The experiment should allow tackling the soot-
23 cirrus issue. The in-situ experiment should be designed to demonstrate the ice-forming
24 capability of aircraft soot emissions. Soot source can be either a dedicated soot generator, or a
25 strongly sooting engine or a modern normal engine with typical soot properties but low soot
26 emission amounts, depending on the measurement methods used to detect the soot source.
27 Such a measurement should be performed first in relatively unpolluted air (perhaps in the
28 Southern Hemisphere, Punta Arenas) because the background cirrus in flight corridors could
29 already be affected by aviation soot. The soot should be emitted along with tracers marking
30 the air mass. It might be advisable to investigate in addition the cirrus properties in regions
31 with high soot loading from other sources (biomass burning, surface traffic sources, etc.)
32 injected into the upper troposphere by convection or large-scale cyclonic events. However,
33 this will require a far larger experimental set-up than the initial idea to follow the fate of soot
34 emissions from a well defined source.

35 **a. Impact**

36 Modeling and validation (A)

37 A1 and A2 would have a large impact on the reliability of contrail simulations. Until now
38 contrails are simulated by models that have known biases and that have not been rigorously
39 tested regarding the moisture and clouds in the upper troposphere. More model development
40 and improvement is needed so that we can be more confident about contrail simulations.

41 A3 would give us an improved estimate of the uncertainty of existing estimates of contrail
42 radiative forcing that may still be underestimated due to the fact that most estimates use only
43 slight variations of the same method and largely identical data sources.

44 A4 would be a completely new approach and has therefore the ability to give us an
45 independent estimate of contrail radiative forcing. Furthermore this approach would for the
46 first time enable the estimation of the effect of contrail cirrus.

47 A5. Cloud overlap assumptions and radiative response are not yet adapted to contrails or/and
48 the coexistence of contrails and clouds. But different cloud overlap assumptions and

1 assumptions about particle size and habit have a strong impact on the radiative forcing
2 estimates.

3 A6. This approach requires (i) a statistical model of cloud properties (frequency distribution
4 of high level clouds of various optical thickness); (ii) a model study to understand the
5 microphysical differences between contrails forming in cloud free air from contrails forming
6 inside clouds; and (iii) radiative transfer calculations to determine the change in SW and LW
7 radiative forcing values due to inserting a contrail into the high level cloud.

8 A7. Simulating scales from ~50 m (width of young contrails) to ~500 km (grid scale of global
9 models) as a function of aircraft movements, aircraft emissions, altitude, ambient temperature
10 (including stratification), humidity, vertical and horizontal wind (including rising motion and
11 wind shear), turbulence, ambient aerosols, ambient clouds, requires special model
12 development.

13 A8. Including the plume-based contrail model in a NWP model (such as the ECMWF-IFS)
14 would allow comparison with individual (past and new, in situ and remote sensing)
15 observations. Moreover the plume-based contrail model in the NWP can be used to predict
16 contrail coverage at time scales needed for air traffic management to minimize the effect of
17 contrails. Model results obtained with a GCM in climate mode could on the other hand be
18 compared only to observations in a statistical sense except when nudging the GCM with
19 observational fields.

20 A9 would support the development of contrail cirrus parameterizations or simulations.

21 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

22 The activity could provide upper and lower bounds on aviation impact on cloud changes.
23 Furthermore activities B1 and B4 are critical for validating global model simulations of
24 contrail cirrus A8, see outline below.

25 **b. Ability to improve climate impacts with reduced uncertainties**

26 Uncertainty of radiative forcing due to contrails has not yet been properly estimated.
27 Therefore research should not aim at reducing error bars but at developing independent
28 approaches and using those approaches to estimate sensitivities to assumptions.

29 Modeling and validation (A)

30 A1 might not reduce uncertainties of contrail radiative forcing unless the representation of
31 supersaturation has been validated itself. Application using several different host GCMs is
32 likely to increase uncertainties since contrail forcing estimates have until now been mainly
33 calculated using a single model (ECHAM) or using the related (ECMWF model).

34 A2 might actually first lead to an increase of the uncertainty since more processes need to be
35 represented or parameterized in models. Those processes need to be constrained and validated
36 with observational data which are scarce.

37 A3 would not reduce the uncertainty but yield more reliable estimates of uncertainty.

38 A4 would give an independent estimate of linear contrail radiative forcing and therefore may
39 increase the estimate of uncertainty. In the case of contrail cirrus this approach would give a
40 first estimate and at the same time could be used to provide an estimate of uncertainty.

41 A5 might be able to decrease uncertainty due to providing a mean and variability of cloud
42 optical properties since different assumptions in cloud optical properties were the main reason
43 for different radiative forcing estimates.

44 A6 needs to be solved to exclude or confirm the potential of contrails-in-cirrus inducing
45 cooling.

1 A7 and A8. The plume-based contrail model can be used to test simulations of supersaturation
2 in NWP models by comparing predicted and observed contrail cirrus. Hence, the activity also
3 contributes to improving global models and their ability to simulate climate impacts with
4 reduced uncertainty and to determine strategies to reduce this climate impact.

5 A9 is crucial for reducing uncertainties in models.

6 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

7 Activity (B) would provide an observational basis for an assessment of future climate change
8 due to aviation impact on cloud changes.

9 Improved and validated contrail and cirrus remote sensing analysis schemes are required to
10 obtain data on cloud coverage, optical thickness, brightness temperature, reflectance,
11 microphysical properties, contrail age, etc.

12 By correlating results from aircraft impact prediction tools with observed cirrus properties,
13 insight on cause-and-impact relationships between air traffic and cirrus changes and
14 constraints on important model parameters can be obtained.

15 A uniform approach to determine the line-shaped contrail coverage and properties of line-
16 shaped contrails over many regions of the Earth would provide data from which the global
17 amount of line-shaped contrail cover could be determined experimentally; moreover these
18 results would be essential for model constraining and validation.

19 By measuring the properties of soot, cirrus and other aerosol behind a soot source, one learns
20 about the change of soot with time and about the soot impact on cirrus. We believe that such
21 an experiment is essential to tackle the soot-cirrus issue.

22 **c. Practical use**

23 Results from (A) and (B) would contribute to the next available IPCC assessment of global
24 climate change and for related ICAO activities.

25 A1, A2 and A5 are prerequisites for a microphysically consistent simulation of ice clouds and
26 their optical properties in general. After development they contribute to a better estimation of
27 the climatic impact of contrail cirrus only in conjunction with A3 and A4. A3 and A4 are
28 based on existing methods and need validation A9. This activity will lead immediately to
29 more realistic estimates of the radiative forcing of contrails and contrail cirrus and the
30 associated uncertainty. A6 would reduce the uncertainty on the lower bound of the radiative
31 forcing by contrails (relevant also for contrail-cirrus). A7 and A8 combined enable the
32 validation of contrail simulations and therefore are not of immediate practical use. Using A7
33 and A8 for air traffic management on the other hand would be immediately useful.

34 B1 is crucial for validating contrail models. B2 and B3 would provide model-independent
35 data on AIC. B3 provides one basis for validating global contrail models. B5 is crucial to
36 understanding soot ageing and soot-cirrus interaction and for demonstrating a measurable
37 impact of soot on cirrus.

38 **d. Achievability**

39 Many of the suggested subjects require cooperation of researchers across several fields
40 including basic research. This underlines the need for cooperation beyond several institutes.
41 In most of the subjects DLR (internally and with external partners) is already active. Those
42 areas have additionally been indicated below in order to facilitate cooperation.

43

44

1 Modeling and validation (A)

2 A1. Due to the availability of new satellite based data sets in the upper troposphere (e.g.,
3 AIRS) validation should now be possible. However, it must be recognized that remote sensing
4 of humidity and clouds itself is fraught with significant uncertainties. A number of transport
5 schemes for climate models have been developed that need to be implemented (if they aren't
6 already) and validated in the upper troposphere. Cooperation in the field of remote sensing is
7 necessary.

8 A2. Only recently supersaturation has been included in a few models [Tompkins *et al.*, 2007]
9 but not always consistently with microphysics or cloud coverage parameterizations. This
10 work should be continued [Kärcher and Burkhardt, 2008] and extended to include recent
11 advances in ice nucleation microphysics [Hendricks *et al.*, 2005].

12 A3 is straightforward.

13 A4 requires expertise in both atmospheric dynamics and cloud microphysics. A process based
14 parameterization needs to be consistent with the existing model cloud scheme. Therefore such
15 a parameterization will vary depending on the host cloud scheme. The development,
16 introduction and validation of such a scheme into the ECHAM GCM is currently followed by
17 U. Burkhardt and B. Kärcher at DLR.

18 In A5 radiative transfer models and LES models can be used studying properties and radiative
19 effects of individual contrails. LES modeling of contrail development and the transition into
20 cirrus is performed by S. Unterstrasser and K. Gierens.

21 A6. The cloud properties may be derived from CALIPSO data. The study to understand the
22 differences between contrails forming in cloud free air from contrails forming inside clouds
23 can be performed with an LES-model [Unterstrasser *et al.*, 2008]. The radiative transfer
24 calculations can be performed with existing tools [Meerkötter *et al.*, 1999]. A preliminary
25 study has been started by U. Schumann and R. Meerkötter.

26 A7 and A8. The following ingredients for the plume-based contrail model are available:
27 Gaussian plume models, meteorology from a NWP model, validation data (including MODIS,
28 MSG observations, CALIPSO, Cloudsat, in situ data, LES model results), aircraft movement
29 data base for periods for which MSG-data are available. Corresponding work has been started
30 within the European Integrated Project QUANTIFY by K. Gierens, U. Schumann and
31 QUANTIFY-partners.

32 A9. A large community is required to tackle validation issues, including validation of cloud
33 and moisture variables retrieved by remote sensing via in-situ measurements and advanced
34 cirrus modeling. In support of the latter, I. Sölch and B. Kärcher currently couple a multiscale
35 LES model with a sophisticated aerosol-ice-radiation package to simulate cirrus by means of
36 Lagrangian tracking, an approach opening up new ways of analyzing cirrus clouds in
37 conjunction with field measurements.

38 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

39 B1: A good basis is the method MeCiDA developed at DLR because of its suitability for
40 geostationary satellites and all day and night times. So far MeCiDA has been used to derive
41 cirrus coverage over Europe and the North Atlantic for a complete year.

42 B2: This requires input in terms of actual aircraft movements. A dataset is needed including
43 3D position vectors as a function of time along the flight paths for each aircraft. The type of
44 aircraft and engine has to be known for emission estimates. The data should be available for
45 the region covered by geostationary satellites (i.e., Europe, North Atlantic, Eastern North
46 America) and should be available for the time periods for which satellite observations are
47 being performed. Unless better data get available, the use the global data set from AERO2K

1 for the year 2002 is recommended, or special data sets provided for smaller regions e.g., by
2 EUROCONTROL (Europe and Atlantic, year 2004) and DFS (Germany, Sept. 2002).

3 B3: Limited experience exists in correlating observed and predicted contrail cover. Since
4 results of correlation analyses are easily misinterpreted regions have to be selected where only
5 the aircraft impact is relevant. Alternatively modeling is needed to discriminate between
6 aircraft impact and other reasons for cloud changes. Presently, K. Graf, H. Mannstein, B.
7 Mayer and U. Schumann at DLR are working on this topic.

8 B4 requires the application of the algorithm of Mannstein *et al.* [1999] to as many remote
9 sensing data sets as possible covering a large part of the globe, with quantifiable and
10 comparable accuracy.

11 B5 would make use of a suitable soot source (the source could be a normal aircraft engine, but
12 the plume soot particles should be easily traceable for at least hours and hundreds of
13 kilometers) and at least one research aircraft measuring aerosol and cirrus properties. The
14 measurement should be performed in relatively unpolluted air because the background cirrus
15 in flight corridors could already be affected by aviation soot. The soot should be emitted
16 along with tracers marking the air mass. To overcome possible difficulties in interpretation,
17 the project needs to be supported by proper model activities, addressing, e.g., dynamical
18 effects that can mask aerosol-induced cirrus changes and the impact of IN from other sources
19 such as mineral dust. The experiment could be performed with or including the new High
20 Altitude and Long Range Research Aircraft (HALO) research aircraft, which should become
21 operational in summer 2009. A first demonstration mission CIRRUS-ML is being prepared
22 under the coordination of DLR. HALO will be equipped with a powerful set of aerosol and
23 cirrus instruments. HALO will also be available for emission and identification of a passive
24 tracer gas (H. Schlager and others). A laboratory-style aircraft engine soot generator has been
25 developed at DLR Stuttgart; its use for airborne applications could be studied. The experiment
26 can also be performed with US-aircraft (DC-8, HIAPER) or Russian aircraft. Cooperation
27 with the Atmospheric Soot Network (<http://www.asn.u-bordeaux.fr>) on this topic would also
28 be possible.

29 **e. Estimated cost**

30 Modeling and validation (A)

31 Costs are determined by individual salaries of experienced research scientists (timelines are
32 suggested in section 4.f) and the use of observational tools needed for validation purposes.
33 Computing costs should also be considered.

34 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

35 Cost besides salaries include those for obtaining and evaluating satellite data and aircraft
36 movement data bases as well as designing and carrying out a large-scale field campaign
37 including personnel preferably in the southern hemisphere including the development of a
38 proper soot source.

39 **f. Timeline**

40 The necessary research can be performed within the time frame associated with projected
41 doubling of air traffic, as estimated below.

42 Modeling and validation (A)

43 A1 and A9. Model development and validation is an ongoing process and makes progress
44 when new data sources become available. It is usually required that both modelers and data
45 teams work closely together. It is difficult to associate a timeline because the amount of

1 dedicated work depends on the type of model improvement and validation parameter and
2 progress in verifying retrievals.

3 A2. Development of a theoretical ice nucleation scheme that describes physically based ice
4 particle formation in cirrus requires at least one year of work of an experienced research
5 scientist (1 PY). Its implementation in a climate model and thorough testing requires ~2 PY.
6 Achieving consistency between microphysics and cloud coverage in the model is even more
7 time-consuming. We estimate 1 PY to develop a consistent cirrus cloud scheme and ~3 PY
8 for implementation and validation depending on the original model's cloud scheme. Adapting
9 to an improved radiation scheme would be a significant additional effort (2-3 PY).

10 A3 would require few months work testing the impact of one parameter change.

11 A4 and A5 would require ~2 PY each, covering the design and development of the
12 parameterization (A4) and performing detailed contrail studies as a basis for upgrading
13 radiation parameterizations (A5).

14 A6. A preliminary study can be performed within a few months time.

15 A7 and A8. the initial model development until demonstration of the feasibility and first
16 validation results requires ~2 PY for 3 years, plus support by the NWP team, and the team
17 providing input in terms of observation data and aircraft movement data base.

18 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

19 B1, B2 and B3 would require funding of at least ~3 PY for 3 years.

20 B4 requires cooperation of teams working in the field of contrail detection, and access to all
21 relevant satellite data around the globe. The initial phase would be devoted to a careful
22 comparison and adjustment of the detection algorithm. Thereafter, a large set of data would be
23 processed. This expensive task may require ~10 PY within a 3 year period.

24 B5 may require 2 PY to develop an appropriate soot source and 5 PY for experiment and
25 analyses. Parts of this work can be done in parallel.

26 **5. RECOMMENDATIONS**

27 Pure literature research or compilations of existing knowledge is not going to advance science
28 any further. There are definite gaps of understanding (see section 3) that need to be addressed
29 before any more definite conclusions about climate forcing of contrails can be drawn.
30 Methods exist that could be applied gaining e.g. a homogeneous data base of contrail
31 properties from remote sensing. Progress in simulating climate forcing due to contrails
32 requires considerable effort developing new concepts.

33 **a. Options**

34 Options depend strongly on the amount of funding and support available. Activities (A) and
35 (B) can be carried out simultaneously. They both offer large advances in understanding and
36 potentially lead to significant progress within 3-5 years. However, problems are highly
37 complex so that final conclusions cannot be drawn in such a short period. With the proper
38 timing, this research may contribute considerably to the upcoming (fifth) IPCC report or a
39 second dedicated IPCC aviation assessment.

40 Modeling and validation (A)

41 To make headway in evaluating the climatic impact of contrails and contrail cirrus, we
42 recommend concentrating efforts on both, climate and radiative transfer modeling and on
43 improving the data basis needed for validating those models. On the one hand a combination
44 of remote sensing, along the lines explained in section 4, and in situ measurements would be

1 useful and on the other hand LES and simple modeling in order to provide validation data sets
2 or enhance process understanding.

3 Without new concepts in global modeling, no true progress estimating the climate impact of
4 contrails will be made. Physically-based parameterizations describing microphysical and
5 optical properties of contrail cirrus need to get developed and realized in different global
6 models to ensure independent estimates.

7 On the long term, treating supersaturation, contrail cirrus, soot cirrus and natural cirrus
8 consistently, global models will be able to provide more robust predictions of radiative
9 forcing with reduced uncertainty.

10 A large effort needs to be put into obtaining validation data sets in order to constrain global
11 model parameterizations. The data sets must be exactly characterized by the thresholds of the
12 observational tools in order to enable a direct comparison with global model output.

13 Observations (see below) and global modeling should be accompanied by modeling of
14 contrail cirrus on the cloud scale and by radiative transfer simulations. Together they benefit
15 model development and remote sensing alike by providing or depending our understanding of
16 processes.

17 With a range of matured climate models, we finally recommend to carry out IPCC-type
18 assessment simulations focusing on the contrail climate impact. To this end, emission
19 scenarios need to be employed that capture the most recent estimates of future air traffic and
20 climate change parameters.

21 Remote sensing and in situ measurements (B)

22 Remote sensing provides regional statistics of alterations of coverage and contrail optical
23 properties. Analysis tools (such as those developed at DLR) should be applied to global
24 observations yielding homogeneous data sets. Those could also be used in conjunction with
25 improved methods in order to investigate possible correlations between air traffic and high
26 cloudiness changes quantifying the aircraft-induced component. Activity B4 requires the
27 application of an automated detection algorithm (such as that one developed at DLR) to
28 different satellite sensors.

29 In parallel, we recommend to carry out in-situ measurements, preferably of old contrail cirrus.
30 Such measurements must be carefully designed and supported by on-line meteorological
31 analyses to enable probing of contrails in later stages of their life cycle. Again, remote sensing
32 including Lidar can be employed in support of this goal by locating and tracking individual
33 contrails and guiding the aircraft experimenters. In-situ measurements should cover both,
34 microphysics and radiation, ideally using a number of research aircraft at the same time.
35 Those measurements should also address the soot impact on cirrus.

36 The activity B5 requires the characterization of the soot source, the knowledge of the exact
37 position of the aircraft and measurements of the undisturbed meteorology.

38 **b. Supporting rationale**

39 The rationale behind our recommendation is that one approach alone or several approaches in
40 isolation are insufficient to improve the current state of knowledge. Only when all options
41 noted above are tied together can significant progress be made and uncertainties reduced.

42 **c. How to best integrate best available options**

43 A 10 year research plan, organized in two steps, should suffice to address the most pressing
44 issues raised in this SSWP. Research must be closely coordinated with the scientific
45 community interested in upper tropospheric / lower stratospheric transport, chemistry and

1 aerosol and cloud physics. Moreover, the research should be embedded in general climate and
2 climate mitigation research activities. The design and performance of a large-scale
3 measurement campaign must involve experimentalists, modelers and theoreticians alike.
4 Coordinated model assessments of aviation-induced climate change could take place in an
5 early stage after about 3 years and at the end of the research project. Funding must be large
6 enough to integrate the international science community and to enable several independent
7 approaches.

8 We recommend an intense cooperation between the US-agencies (FAA, NASA, NSF) with
9 European agencies (DLR, EU, DFG). We also recommend an intense cooperation between
10 research-oriented teams and agencies or companies having access to details on air traffic (e.g.
11 EUROCONTROL, FAA, ICAO), and engine emissions. For direct access to meteorological
12 fields inclusion of teams from the leading weather services may be helpful.

13 For the purpose of maximum acceptance and maximum use of existing knowledge, we
14 recommend performing these projects in an environment of open information exchange and
15 open participation. The classical “Virginia Beach” meetings as in 1992-1997 should be
16 revived.

17 **6. SUMMARY**

18 A number of issues were identified indicating pressing research need regarding better
19 validation data sets and climate model improvements. Long term efforts are required both in
20 observations and modeling, developing new process parameterizations for ice clouds and their
21 radiative effects, since model improvements are interdependent. Nevertheless, improvements
22 building on the current state of cloud parameterizations in climate models could also lead to
23 significant progress in understanding the aviation impact on climate at a shorter time scale.

1 **References**

- 2 Appleman, H., 1953: The formation of exhaust condensation trails by jet aircraft. *Bull. Amer.*
3 *Meteorol. Soc.* 34, 14-20.
- 4 Atlas, D., Z. Wang and D.P. Duda, 2006: Contrails to cirrus – Morphology, microphysics, and
5 radiative properties. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.* 45, 5-19.
- 6 Bakan, S., M. Betancor, V. Gayler and H. Graßl, 1994: Contrail frequency over Europe from
7 NOAA satellite images. *Ann. Geophys.* 12, 962-968.
- 8 Betancor-Gothe, M. and H. Graßl, 1993: Satellite remote sensing of the optical depth and
9 mean crystal size of thin cirrus and contrails. *Theor. Appl. Clim.* 48, 101-113.
- 10 Boin, M. and L. Levkov, 1994: A numerical study of contrail development. *Ann. Geophys.* 12,
11 969-978.
- 12 Boucher, O., 1999: Air traffic may increase cirrus cloudiness. *Nature* 397, 30-31.
- 13 Brewer, A.W., 1946: Condensation trails. *Weather* 1, 34-40.
- 14 Brown, R.C., R.C. Miake-Lye, M.R. Anderson and C.E. Kolb, 1997: Aircraft sulphur
15 emissions and the formation of visible contrails. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24, 385-388.
- 16 Busen, R. and U. Schumann, 1995: Visible contrail formation from fuels with different sulfur
17 contents. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 22, 1357-1360.
- 18 Carleton, A.M. and P.J. Lamb, 1986: Jet contrails and cirrus clouds: A feasibility study
19 employing high resolution satellite imagery. *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.* 67, 301-309.
- 20 Carleton, A.M., D. J. Travis, K. Master and S. Vezhapparambu, 2007: Composite atmospheric
21 environments of jet contrail outbreaks for the United States. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*
22 *Climatol.*, accepted.
- 23 Changnon, S.A. Jr., 1981: Midwestern cloud, sunshine and temperature trends since 1901 –
24 Possible evidence of jet contrail effects. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 20, 496-508.
- 25 Chen, T., W.B. Rossow and Y. Zhang, 2000: Radiative effects of cloud-type variations. *J.*
26 *Clim.* 13, 264-286.
- 27 Chen, J.-P. and R.-F. Lin, 2001: Numerical simulation of contrail microphysical and radiative
28 properties. *TAO* 12, 137-154.
- 29 Chen, J.-P., W.-H. Lin and R.-F. Lin, 2001: Estimation of contrail frequency and radiative
30 effects over the Taiwan area. *TAO* 12, 155-178.
- 31 Chlond, A., 1998: Large eddy simulations of contrails. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 55, 796-819.
- 32 DeGrand, J.Q., A.M. Carleton, D.J. Travis and P.J. Lamb, 2000: A satellite-based climatic
33 description of jet aircraft contrails and associations with atmospheric conditions 1977-
34 79. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 39, 1434-1459.
- 35 DelGenio, A.D, 2002: GCM Simulations of cirrus for climate studies; In: Cirrus. D.K. Lynch,
36 K. Sassen, D. O’C. Starr and G. Stephens (Eds.), pp. 310–326. New York, Oxford
37 University Press.
- 38 Del Guasta, M. and K. Niranjan, 2001: Observation of low depolarization contrails at
39 Florence (Italy) using a 532-1064 nm polarization Lidar. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, 4067-
40 4070.
- 41 Detwiler, A. and R. Pratt, 1984: Clear-air seeding: Opportunities and strategies. *J. Wea. Mod.*
42 16, 46-60.

- 1 Detwiler, A. and A. Jackson, 2002: Contrail formation and propulsion efficiency. *J. Aircr.* 39,
2 638-644.
- 3 Dietmüller, S., M. Ponater, R. Sausen, K.P. Hoinka and S. Pechtl, 2007: Contrails, natural
4 cirrus, and diurnal temperature range. *J. Clim.*, submitted.
- 5 Dobbie, S. and P.R. Jonas, 2001: Radiative influences on the structure and lifetime of cirrus
6 clouds. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 127, 2663-2682.
- 7 Dowling, D.R. and L.F. Radke, 1990: A summary of the physical properties of cirrus clouds.
8 *J. Appl. Met.* 29, 970-978.
- 9 Dürbeck, T. and T. Gerz, 1996: Dispersion of aircraft exhausts in the free atmosphere, *J.*
10 *Geophys. Res.* 101, 26,007-26,016.
- 11 Duda, D.P. and J.D. Spinhirne, 1996: Split-window retrieval of particle size and optical depth
12 in contrails located above horizontally inhomogeneous clouds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23,
13 3711-3714.
- 14 Duda, D.P., P. Minnis and L. Nguyen, 2001: Estimates of cloud radiative forcing in contrail
15 clusters using GOES imagery. *J. Geophys. Res.* 106, 4927-4937.
- 16 Duda, D.P., P. Minnis, L. Nguyen and R. Palikonda, 2004: A case study of the development
17 of contrail clusters over the Great Lakes. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 61, 1132-1146.
- 18 Duda, D.P., P. Minnis and R. Palikonda, 2005: Estimated contrail frequency and coverage
19 over the contiguous United States from numerical weather prediction analyses and flight
20 track data. *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 537-548.
- 21 Ekström, M., P. Eriksson, B. Rydberg and D.P. Murtagh, 2007: First Odin sub-mm retrievals
22 in the tropical upper troposphere: humidity and cloud ice signals. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7,
23 459-469.
- 24 Eleftheratos, K., C.S. Zerefos, P. Zanis, D.S. Balis, G. Tselioudis, K. Gierens and R. Sausen,
25 2007: A study on natural and manmade global interannual fluctuations of cirrus cloud
26 cover for the period 1984-2004. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 2631-2642.
- 27 Fabian, P. and B. Kärcher, 1997: The impact of aviation upon the atmosphere. *Phys. Chem.*
28 *Earth* 22, 503-598.
- 29 Fahey, D.W., U. Schumann, S. Ackerman, P. Artaxo, O. Boucher, M.Y. Danilin, B. Kärcher,
30 P. Minnis, T. Nakajima and O.B. Toon, 1999: Aviation-produced aerosols and
31 cloudiness. In: Aviation and the Global Atmosphere. A Special Report of IPCC
32 Working Groups I and III [Penner, J.E., D.H. Lister, D.J. Griggs, D.J. Dokken and M.
33 McFarland (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA.
- 34 Febvre, G., J.-F. Gayet, B. Kärcher, A. Minikin, V. Shcherbakov, O. Jourdan, U. Schumann
35 R. Busen, H. Schlager and M. Fiebig, 2008: On optical and microphysical
36 characteristics of contrails and cirrus. *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.
- 37 Fichter, C., S. Marquart, R. Sausen and D.S. Lee, 2005: The impact of cruise altitude on
38 contrails and related radiative forcing. *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 563-572.
- 39 Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, R. Betts, D.W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J.
40 Lean, D.C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Nganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van
41 Dorland, 2007: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. In:
42 *Climate Change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to*
43 *the 4th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*
44 [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and
45 H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA.

- 1 Fortuin, J.P.F., R. Van Dorland, W.M.F. Wauben and H. Kelder, 1995 : Greenhouse effects of
2 aircraft emissions as calculated by a radiative transfer model. *Ann. Geophys.* 13, 413-
3 418.
- 4 Freudenthaler, V., F. Homburg and H. Jäger, 1995: Contrail observations by ground-based
5 scanning Lidar: Cross-sectional growth. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 22, 3501-3504.
- 6 Freudenthaler, V., F. Homburg and H. Jäger, 1996: Optical parameters of contrails from Lidar
7 measurements: Linear depolarization. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23, 3715-3718.
- 8 Fu, Q. and K.N. Liou, 1993: Parameterization of the radiative properties of cirrus clouds. *J.*
9 *Atmos. Sci.* 50, 2008-2025.
- 10 Fu, Q., B. Carlin and G. Mace, 2000: Cirrus horizontal inhomogeneity and OLR bias.
11 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 3341-3344.
- 12 Garber, D.P., P. Minnis and K.P. Costulis, 2005: A commercial flight track database for upper
13 tropospheric aircraft emission studies over the USA and southern Canada. *Meteorol. Z.*
14 14, 445-452.
- 15 Gayet, J.-F., G. Febvre, G. Brogniez and H. Chepfer, W. Renger and P. Wendling, 1996:
16 Microphysical and optical properties of cirrus and contrails. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 53, 126-138.
- 17 Gerz, T., T. Dürbeck and P. Konopka, 1998: Transport and effective diffusion of aircraft
18 emissions. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 25,905-25,913.
- 19 Gettelman, A. and D.E. Kinnison, 2007: The global impact of supersaturation in a coupled
20 chemistry-climate model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 1629-1643.
- 21 Gettelman, A., E.J. Fetzer, A. Eldering and F.W. Irion, 2006: The global distribution of
22 supersaturation in the upper troposphere from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder, *J.*
23 *Clim.* 19, 6089-6103.
- 24 Gierens, K., 1994: The influence of radiation on the diffusional growth of ice crystals. *Beitr.*
25 *Phys. Atmos.* 67, 181-193.
- 26 Gierens, K., 1996: Numerical simulations of persistent contrails. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 53, 3333-
27 3348.
- 28 Gierens, K., 1998: How the sky gets covered with condensation trails. *Meteorol. Z.* 7, 181-
29 187.
- 30 Gierens, K. and U. Schumann, 1996: Colors of contrails from fuels with different sulfur
31 contents. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101, 16,731-16,736.
- 32 Gierens, K. and E. Jensen, 1998: A numerical study of the contrail-to-cirrus transition.
33 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 4341-4344.
- 34 Gierens, K., U. Schumann, M. Helten, H. Smit and A. Marenco, 1999a: A distribution law for
35 relative humidity in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere derived from three
36 years of MOZAIC measurements. *Ann. Geophys.* 17, 1218-1226.
- 37 Gierens, K., R. Sausen and U. Schumann, 1999b: A diagnostic study of the global distribution
38 of contrails, Part II: Future air traffic scenarios. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 63, 1-9.
- 39 Gierens, K., R. Kohlhepp, N. Dotzek and H.G.J. Smit, 2007: Instantaneous fluctuations of
40 temperature and moisture in the upper troposphere and tropopause region. Part 1:
41 Probability densities and their variability. *Meteorol Z.* 16, 221-231.
- 42 Goodman, J., R.F. Pueschel, E.J. Jensen, S. Verna, G.V. Ferry, S.D. Howard, S.A. Kinne and
43 D. Baumgardner, 1998: Shape and size of contrail ice particles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25,
44 1327-1330.

- 1 Gounou, A. and R.J. Hogan, 2007: A sensitivity study of the effect of horizontal photon
2 transport on the radiative forcing of contrails. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 64, 1706-1716.
- 3 Graßl, H., 1990: Possible climatic effects of contrails and additional water vapor. In: Air
4 Traffic and the Environment – Background, Tendencies and Potential Global
5 Atmospheric Effects. U. Schumann (ed.), Lecture Notes in Engineering, *Springer*
6 *Berlin*, 124-137.
- 7 Green, J.E., 2005: Future aircraft – greener by design? *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 583-590.
- 8 Haag, W. and B. Kärcher, 2004: The impact of aerosols and gravity waves on cirrus clouds at
9 midlatitudes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, D12202, doi:10.1029/2004JD004579.
- 10 Hansen, J., M. Sato, R. Ruedy, L. Nazarenko, A. Lacis, G.A. Schmidt, G. Russell, I. Aleinov,
11 M. Bauer, S. Bauer, N. Bell, B. Cairns, V. Canuto, M. Chandler, Y. Cheng, A.
12 DelGenio, G. Faluvegi, E. Fleming, A. Friend, T. Hall, C. Jackman, M. Kelley, N.
13 Kiang, D. Koch, J. Lean, J. Lerner, K. Lo, S. Menon, R. Miller, P. Minnis, T. Novakov,
14 V. Oinas, J. Perlwitz, J. Perlwitz, D. Rind, A. Romanou, D. Shindell, P. Stone, S. Sun,
15 N. Tausnev, D. Thresher, B. Wielicki, T. Wong, M. Yao and S. Zhang, 2005: Efficacy
16 of climate forcings, *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, D18104, doi:10.1029/2005JD005776.
- 17 Hartmann, D.L., M.E. Ockert-Bell and M.L. Michelsen, 1992: The effect of cloud type on the
18 Earth's energy balance: Global analysis. *J. Clim.* 5, 1281-1304.
- 19 Hendricks, J., B. Kärcher, U. Lohmann and M. Ponater, 2005: Do aircraft black carbon
20 emissions affect cirrus clouds on the global scale? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L12814,
21 doi:10.1029/2005GL022740.
- 22 Heymsfield, A.J., R.P. Lawson and G.W. Sachse, 1998: Growth of ice crystals in a
23 precipitating contrail. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1335-1338.
- 24 ICAO, 2007: Environmental Report 2007. Environmental Unit of the International Civil
25 Aviation Organization, 231pp.
- 26 Immler, F. and O. Schrems, 2002: LIDAR measurements of cirrus clouds in the northern and
27 southern midlatitudes during INCA (55°N, 53°S): A comparative study. *Geophys. Res.*
28 *Lett.* 29, 1809, doi:10.1029/2002GL015077.
- 29 Immler, F., R. Treffeisen, D. Engelbart, K. Krüger and O. Schrems, 2007: Cirrus, contrails,
30 and ice supersaturated regions in high pressure systems at northern mid latitudes.
31 *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* 7, 13,175-13,201.
- 32 Jäger, H., V. Freudenthaler and F. Homburg, 1998: Remote sensing of optical depth of
33 aerosols and clouds related to air traffic. *Atmos. Environ.* 32, 3123-3127.
- 34 Jakob, C., 2002: Ice clouds in numerical weather prediction models—progress, problems and
35 prospects. Cirrus; In: Cirrus. D.K. Lynch, K. Sassen, D. O’C. Starr and G. Stephens
36 (Eds.), *Oxford University Press*, New York, 327-345.
- 37 Jensen, E.J., A.S. Ackerman, D.E. Stevens, O.B. Toon and P. Minnis, 1998a: Spreading and
38 growth of contrails in a sheared environment. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13,557-13,567.
- 39 Jensen, E. J., O.B. Toon, S. Kinne, G.W. Sachse, B.E. Anderson, K.R. Chan, C.H. Twohy, B.
40 Gandrud, A. Heymsfield and R.C. Miake-Lye, 1998b: Environmental conditions
41 required for contrail formation and persistence. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 3929-3936.
- 42 Jensen, E.J., O.B. Toon, S.A. Vay, J. Ovarlez, R. May, P. Bui, C.H. Twohy, B. Gandrud, R.F.
43 Pueschel and U. Schumann, 2001: Prevalence of ice-supersaturated regions in the upper
44 troposphere: Implications for optically thin ice cloud formation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 106,
45 17,253-17,266.

- 1 Jensen, E.J., J.B. Smith, L. Pfister, J.V. Pittman, E.M. Weinstock, D.S. Sayres, R.L. Herman,
2 R.F. Troy, K. Rosenlof, T.L. Thompson, A.M. Fridlind, P.K. Hudson, D.J. Cziczo,
3 A.J. Heymsfield, C. Schmitt and J.C. Wilson, 2005: Ice supersaturations exceeding
4 100% at the cold tropical tropopause: Implications for cirrus formation and dehydration.
5 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 5, 851-862.
- 6 John, V.O. and B.J. Soden, 2007: Temperature and humidity biases in global climate models
7 and their impact on climate feedbacks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L18704,
8 doi:10.1029/2007GL030429.
- 9 Joseph, J.H., Z. Levin, Y. Mekler, G. Ohring and J. Otterman, 1975: Study of contrails
10 observed from ERTS I satellite imagery. *J. Geophys. Res.* 80, 366-372.
- 11 Kärcher, B., 1996: Aircraft-generated aerosols and visible contrails. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23,
12 1933-1936.
- 13 Kärcher, B., 1998: Physicochemistry of aircraft-generated liquid aerosols, soot, and ice
14 particles: 1. Model description. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 17,111-17,128.
- 15 Kärcher, B., Th. Peter and R. Ottmann, 1995: Contrail formation: Homogeneous nucleation of
16 H₂SO₄ / H₂O droplets. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 22, 1501-1504.
- 17 Kärcher, B., Th. Peter, U.M. Biermann and U. Schumann, 1996: The initial composition of
18 jet condensation trails. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 53, 3066-3083.
- 19 Kärcher, B., R. Busen, A. Petzold, F.P. Schröder, U. Schumann and E.J. Jensen, 1998:
20 Physicochemistry of aircraft generated liquid aerosols, soot, and ice particles, 2.
21 Comparison with observations and sensitivity studies. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 17,129-
22 17,148.
- 23 Kärcher, B. and J. Ström, 2003: The roles of dynamical variability and aerosols in cirrus
24 cloud formation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 3, 823-838.
- 25 Kärcher, B., J. Hendricks and U. Lohmann, 2006: Physically-based parameterization of cirrus
26 cloud formation for use in global atmospheric models. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, D01205,
27 doi:10.1029/2005JD006219.
- 28 Kärcher, B., O. Möhler, P.J. DeMott, S. Pechtl and F. Yu, 2007: Insights into the role of soot
29 aerosols in cirrus cloud formation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 4203-4227.
- 30 Kärcher, B. and U. Burkhardt, 2008: Prediction of cirrus clouds in general circulation models.
31 *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, submitted.
- 32 Kästner, M., K.T. Kriebel, W. Renger, G.H. Ruppertsberg and P. Wendling, 1993:
33 Comparison of cirrus height and optical depth derived from satellite and aircraft
34 measurements. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 121, 2708-2717.
- 35 Kästner, M., R. Meyer and P. Wendling, 1999: Influence of weather conditions on the
36 distribution of persistent contrails. *Meteorol. Appl.* 6, 261-271.
- 37 Kalkstein, A.J. and R.C. Balling Jr., 2004: Impact of unusually clear weather on United States
38 daily temperature range following 9/11/2001. *Climate Res.* 26, 1-4.
- 39 Khvorostyanov, V.I. and K. Sassen, 1998: Cloud model simulation of a contrail case study:
40 Surface cooling against upper tropospheric warming. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 2145-
41 2148.
- 42 Kley, D., J.M. Russell III and C. Phillips, 2000: SPARC Assessment of upper tropospheric
43 and stratospheric water vapor. WCRP-113, WMO/TD-No.1043, SPARC Report No.2,
44 312pp.

- 1 Knollenberg, R.G., 1972: Measurements of the growth of the ice budget in a persisting
2 contrail. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 29, 1367-1374.
- 3 Konrad, T.G. and J.C. Howard, 1974: Multiple contrail streamers observed by Radar. *J. Appl.*
4 *Meteorol.* 13, 563-572.
- 5 Krebs, W., H. Mannstein, L. Bugliaro and B. Mayer, 2007: Technical note: A new day and
6 night-time Meteosat Second Generation Cirrus Detection Algorithm MeCiDA. *Atmos.*
7 *Chem. Phys.* 7, 6145-6159.
- 8 Kuhn, P.M., 1970: Airborne observations of contrail effects on the thermal radiation budget.
9 *J. Atmos. Sci.* 27, 937-943.
- 10 Langford, A.O., R.W. Portmann, J.S. Daniel, H.L. Miller, C.S. Eubank, S. Solomon and E.G.
11 Dutton, 2005: Retrieval of ice crystal effective diameters from ground-based near-
12 infrared spectra of optically thin cirrus. *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, D22201,
13 doi:10.1029/2005JD005761.
- 14 Lawson, R.P., A.J. Heymsfield, S.M. Aulenchbach and T.L. Jensen, 1998: Shapes, sizes and
15 light scattering properties of ice crystals in cirrus and a persistent contrail during
16 SUCCESS. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1331-1334.
- 17 Lee, D.F., 1989: Jet contrail identification using the AVHRR split window. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*
18 28, 993-995.
- 19 Lee, D.S., P.E. Clare, J. Haywood, B. Kärcher, R.W. Lunn, I. Pilling, A. Slingo, and J.R.
20 Tilston, 2000: Identifying the uncertainties in radiative forcing of climate from aviation
21 contrails and aviation-induced cirrus. Report DERA/AS/PTD/CR000103, 69pp.
- 22 Lewellen, D.C. and W.S. Lewellen, 1996: Large-eddy simulations of the vortex-pair breakup
23 in aircraft wakes. *AIAA J.* 34, 2337-2345.
- 24 Lewellen, D.C. and W.S. Lewellen, 2001: The effects of aircraft wake dynamics on contrail
25 development. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 58, 390-406.
- 26 Liou, K.N., 1986: Influence of cirrus clouds on weather and climate processes: A global
27 perspective. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 114, 1167-1199.
- 28 Liou, K.N., S.C. Ou and G. Koenig, 1990: An investigation of the climatic effect of contrail
29 cirrus. In: Air Traffic and the Environment – Background, Tendencies and Potential
30 Global Atmospheric Effects. U. Schumann (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Engineering,
31 Springer Berlin, 154-169.
- 32 Liou, K.N., P. Yang, Y. Takano, K. Sassen, T. Charlock and W. Arnott, 1998: On the
33 radiative properties of contrail cirrus. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1161-1164.
- 34 Liu X., J.E. Penner, S.J. Ghan and M. Wang, 2007: Inclusion of ice microphysics in the
35 NCAR community atmospheric model version 3 (CAM3). *J. Clim.* 20, 4526-4547.
- 36 Lohmann, U., P. Stier, C. Hoose, S. Ferrachat, S. Kloster, E. Roeckner and J. Zhang, 2007:
37 Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-
38 HAM. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 3425-3446.
- 39 Lohmann, U. and B. Kärcher, 2002: First interactive simulations of cirrus clouds formed by
40 homogeneous freezing in the ECHAM GCM. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107, 4105,
41 doi:10.1029/2001JD000767.
- 42 Mannstein, H. and U. Schumann, 2005: Aircraft induced contrail cirrus over Europe.
43 *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 549-554.
- 44 Mannstein, H. and U. Schumann, 2007: Corrigendum. *Meteorol. Z.* 16, 131-132.

- 1 Mannstein, H., R. Meyer and P. Wendling, 1999: Operational detection of contrails from
2 NOAA AVHRR-data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 20, 1641-1660.
- 3 Marquart, S., R. Sausen, M. Ponater and V. Grewe, 2001: Estimate of the climate impact of
4 cryoplanes. *Aerospace Sci. Technol.* 5, 73-84.
- 5 Marquart, S. and B. Mayer, 2002: Towards a reliable GCM estimation of contrail forcing.
6 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 29, 1179-1182.
- 7 Marquart, S., M. Ponater, F. Mager and R. Sausen, 2003: Future development of contrail
8 cover, optical depth, and radiative forcing: Impacts of increasing air traffic and climate
9 change. *J. Clim.* 16, 2890-2904.
- 10 Marquart, S., M. Ponater, L. Ström and K. Gierens, 2005: An upgraded estimate of the
11 radiative forcing of cryoplane contrails. *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 573-582.
- 12 Meerkötter, R., U. Schumann, D.R. Doelling, P. Minnis, T. Nakajima and Y. Tsushima, 1999:
13 Radiative forcing by contrails. *Ann. Geophys.* 17, 1080-1094.
- 14 Meyer, R., H. Mannstein, R. Meerkötter, U. Schumann and P. Wendling, 2002: Regional
15 radiative forcing by line-shaped contrails derived from satellite data. *J. Geophys. Res.*
16 107, 4104, doi:10.1029/2001JD000426.
- 17 Meyer, R., R. Buell, C. Leiter, H. Mannstein, S. Pechtl, T. Oki and P. Wendling, 2007:
18 Contrail observations over Southern and Eastern Asia in NOAA/AVHRR data and
19 comparisons to contrail simulations in a GCM. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 28, 2049-2069.
- 20 Miloshevich, L.M., H. Vömel, A. Paukkunen, A.J. Heymsfield and S.J. Oltmans, 2001:
21 Characterization and correction of relative humidity measurements from Väisälä RS80-
22 A radiosondes at cold temperatures. *J. Atmos. Oceanic. Technol.* 18, 135-156.
- 23 Minnis, P., 2003: Contrails. In: Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences, J. Holton, J. Pyle, and
24 J. Curry (Eds.), *Academic Press London*, 509-520.
- 25 Minnis, P., 2005: Response to comment on "Contrails, cirrus trends, and climate". *J. Clim.*
26 18, 2783-2784.
- 27 Minnis, P., D.F. Young, D.P. Garber, L. Nguyen, W.L. Smith Jr. and R. Palikonda, 1998:
28 Transformation of contrails into cirrus during SUCCESS. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1157-
29 1160.
- 30 Minnis, P., U. Schumann, D.R. Doelling, K. Gierens and D.W. Fahey, 1999: Global distribu-
31 tion of contrail radiative forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 26, 1853-1856.
- 32 Minnis, P., J.K. Ayers, M.L. Nordeen and S.P. Weaver, 2003: Contrail frequency over the
33 United States from surface observations. *J. Clim.* 16, 3447-3462.
- 34 Minnis, P., J.K. Ayers, R. Palikonda and D. Phan, 2004: Contrails, cirrus trends, and climate.
35 *J. Clim.* 17, 1671-1685.
- 36 Minnis, P., R. Palikonda, B.J. Walter, J.K. Ayers and H. Mannstein, 2005: Contrail properties
37 over the eastern North Pacific from AVHRR data. *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 515-523.
- 38 Myhre, G. and F. Stordal, 2001: On the tradeoff of the solar and thermal infrared impact of
39 contrails. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, 3119-3122.
- 40 Nagel, D., U. Leiterer, H. Dier, A. Kats, J. Reichard and A. Behrend, 2001: High accuracy
41 humidity measurements using the standardized frequency method with a research
42 upper-air sounding system. *Meteorol. Z.* 10, 395-405.

- 1 Palikonda, R., P. Minnis, D.P. Duda and H. Mannstein, 2005: Contrail coverage derived from
2 2001 AVHRR data over the continental United States of America and surrounding
3 areas. *Meteorol. Z.* *14*, 525-536.
- 4 Paoli, R., J. Hélie and T. Poinot, 2004: Contrail formation in aircraft wakes. *J. Fluid Mech.*
5 *502*, 361-373.
- 6 Parungo, F., 1995: Ice crystals in high clouds and contrails. *Atmos. Res.* *38*, 249-262.
- 7 Penner, J.E., D.H Lister, D.J. Griggs, D.J. Dokken and M. McFarland, 1999: Aviation and the
8 global atmosphere – A special report of IPCC working groups I and III.
9 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Cambridge University Press*, 365pp.
- 10 Peter, Th., C. Marcolli, P. Spichtinger, T. Corti, M.B. Baker and T. Koop, 2006: When dry air
11 is too humid. *Science* *314*, 1399-1402.
- 12 Petzold, A., R. Busen, F.P. Schröder, R. Baumann, M. Kuhn, J. Ström, D.E. Hagen, P.D.
13 Whitefield, D. Baumgardner, F. Arnold, S. Borrmann and U. Schumann, 1997: Near-
14 field measurements on contrail properties from fuels with different sulfur content. *J.*
15 *Geophys. Res.* *102*, 29,867-29,880.
- 16 Petzold, A., J. Ström, S. Ohlsson and F.P. Schröder, 1998: Elemental composition and
17 morphology of ice-crystal residual particles in cirrus clouds and contrails. *Atmos. Res.*
18 *49*, 21-34.
- 19 Plass, G.N., G.W. Kattawar and F.E. Catchings, 1973: Matrix operator theory of radiative
20 transfer. *Appl. Opt.* *12*, 314-329.
- 21 Platt, C.M.R. 1981: The effect of cirrus of varying optical depth on the extraterrestrial net
22 radiative flux. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* *107*, 671-678.
- 23 Poellot, M.R., W.P. Arnott and J. Hallett, 1999: In-situ observations of contrail microphysics
24 and implications for their radiative impact. *J. Geophys. Res.* *104*, 12,077-12,084.
- 25 Ponater, M., S. Brinkop, R. Sausen and U. Schumann, 1996: Simulating the global
26 atmospheric response to aircraft water vapor emissions and contrails: A first approach
27 using a GCM. *Ann. Geophys.* *14*, 941-960.
- 28 Ponater, M., S. Marquart and R. Sausen, 2002: Contrails in a comprehensive global climate
29 model: Parameterization and radiative forcing results. *J. Geophys. Res.*, *107*, 4164,
30 doi:10.1029/2001JD000429.
- 31 Ponater, M., R. Sausen, S. Marquart and U. Schumann, 2005: On contrail climate sensitivity.
32 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* *32*, L10706, doi:10.1029/2005GL022580.
- 33 Rädcl, G. and K. Shine, 2007a: Evaluation of the use of radiosonde humidity data to predict
34 the occurrence of persistent contrails. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* *133*, 1413-1424.
- 35 Rädcl, G. and K. Shine, 2007b: Influence of aircraft cruise altitudes on radiative forcing by
36 persistent contrails. *J. Geophys. Res.* *112*, submitted.
- 37 Read, W.G., et al., 2007: Aura Microwave Limb Sounder upper tropospheric and lower
38 stratospheric H₂O and relative humidity with respect to ice validation. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
39 **112**, D24S35, doi:10.1029/2007JD008752.
- 40 Reinking, R., 1968: Insolation reduction by contrails. *Weather* *23*, 171-173.
- 41 Rind, D., P. Lonergan, and K. Shah, 1996: Climatic effect of water vapor release in the upper
42 troposphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 29395-29405, doi:10.1029/96JD02747.
- 43 Rind, D., P. Lonergan and K. Shah, 2000: Modeled impact of cirrus cloud increases along
44 aircraft flight paths. *J. Geophys. Res.* *105*, 19,927-19,940.

- 1 Sassen, K., 1979: Iridescence in an aircraft contrail. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* 69, 1080-1083.
- 2 Sassen, K., 1997: Contrail-cirrus and their potential for regional climate change. *Bull. Amer.*
3 *Meteorol. Soc.* 78, 1885-1903.
- 4 Sassen, K. and C.-Y. Hsueh, 1998: Contrail properties derived from high-resolution
5 polarization Lidar studies during SUCCESS. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1165-1168.
- 6 Sausen, R., K. Gierens, M. Ponater and U. Schumann, 1998: A diagnostic study of the global
7 distribution of contrails, Part I: Present day climate. *Theor. Appl. Clim.* 61, 127-141.
- 8 Sausen, R., I. Isaksen, V. Grewe, D. Hauglustaine, D.S. Lee, G. Myhre, M.O. Köhler, G.
9 Pitari, U. Schumann, F. Stordal, and C. Zerefos, 2005: Aviation radiative forcing in
10 2000: An update on IPCC (1999). *Meteorol. Z.* 14, 555-561.
- 11 Schlager, H., P. Konopka, P. Schulte, U. Schumann, H. Ziereis, F. Arnold, M. Klemm, D.E.
12 Hagen, P.D. Whitefield, and J. Ovarlez, 1997: In situ observations of air traffic emission
13 signatures in the North Atlantic flight corridor. *J. Geophys. Res.* 102, 10,739-10,750.
- 14 Schmidt, E., 1941: Die Entstehung von Eisnebel aus den Auspuffgasen von Flugmotoren. In:
15 Schriften der Deutschen Akademie der Luftfahrtforschung, Vol. 44. Verlag R.
16 Oldenbourg, München, Berlin, 1-15.
- 17 Schröder, F.P., B. Kärcher, A. Petzold, R. Baumann, R. Busen, C. Hoell and U. Schumann,
18 1998: Ultrafine aerosol particles in aircraft plumes: In-situ observations. *Geophys. Res.*
19 *Lett.* 25, 2789-2792.
- 20 Schröder, F.P., B. Kärcher, C. Duroure, J. Ström, A. Petzold, J.-F. Gayet, B. Strauss, P.
21 Wendling and S. Borrmann, 2000: The transition of contrails into cirrus clouds. *J.*
22 *Atmos. Sci.* 57, 464-480.
- 23 Schulz, J., 1998: On the effect of cloud inhomogeneity and area averaged radiative properties
24 of contrails. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1427-1431.
- 25 Schumann, U., 1994: On the effect of emissions from aircraft engines on the state of the
26 atmosphere. *Ann. Geophys.* 12, 365-384.
- 27 Schumann, U., 1996: On conditions for contrail formation from aircraft exhausts. *Meteorol. Z.*
28 5, 4-23.
- 29 Schumann, U., A. Dörnbrack, T. Dürbeck and T. Gerz, 1997: Large-eddy simulation of
30 turbulence in the free atmosphere and behind aircraft. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* 20, 1-10.
- 31 Schumann, U., H. Schlager, F. Arnold, R. Baumann, P. Haschberger and O. Klemm, 1998:
32 Dilution of aircraft exhaust plumes at cruise altitudes. *Atmos. Environ.* 32, 3097-3103.
- 33 Schumann, U., R. Busen and M. Plohr, 2000: Experimental test of the influence of propulsion
34 efficiency on contrail formation. *J. Aircr.* 37, 1083-1087.
- 35 Schumann, U., 2000: Influence of propulsion efficiency on contrail formation. *Aerospace Sci.*
36 *Technol.* 4, 391-401.
- 37 Schumann, U., 2002: Contrail Cirrus. In: Cirrus. D.K. Lynch, K. Sassen, D. O'C. Starr and G.
38 Stevens (Eds.), *Oxford University Press*, New York, 231-255.
- 39 Schumann, U., 2005: Formation, properties and climate effects of contrails. *C. R. Physique* 6,
40 549-565.
- 41 Schumann, U., 2006: Climate change impact of air traffic, paper presented at 25th
42 International Congress of the Aeronautical Sciences, DGLR, Hamburg, proceedings
43 available from DGLR, <http://www.icas2006.org/index2.php>, paper number 199, pp. 7.

- 1 Schumann, U. and P. Wendling, 1990: Determination of contrails from satellite data and
2 observational results. In: Air Traffic and the Environment – Background, Tendencies
3 and Potential Global Atmospheric Effects. U. Schumann (Ed.), Lecture Notes in
4 Engineering, *Springer Berlin*, 138-153.
- 5 Schumann, U. and P. Konopka, 1994: A simple estimate of the concentration field in a flight
6 corridor. In: U. Schumann and D. Wurzel (eds.): Impact of Emissions from Aircraft and
7 Spacecraft upon the Atmosphere. Proceed. Intern. Sci. Colloquium, Köln (Cologne),
8 Germany, April 18-20, 1994, DLR-Mitt. 94-06, 354-359.
- 9 Schumann, U., P. Konopka, R. Baumann, R. Busen, T. Gerz, H. Schlager, P. Schulte and H.
10 Volkert, 1995: Estimate of diffusion parameters of aircraft exhaust plumes near the
11 tropopause from nitric oxide and turbulence measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 100,
12 14,147-14,162.
- 13 Schumann, U., J. Ström, R. Busen, R. Baumann, K. Gierens, M. Krautstrunk, F.P. Schröder,
14 and J. Stingl, 1996: In-situ observations of particles in jet aircraft exhausts and contrails
15 for different sulfur containing fuels. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101, 6853-6869.
- 16 Schumann, U. and J. Ström, 2001: Aviation impact on atmospheric composition and climate.
17 In: European research in the atmosphere 1996-2000: Advances in our understanding of
18 the ozone layer during THESEO. European Commission, Brussels, 257-307.
- 19 Schumann, U., F. Arnold, R. Busen, J. Curtius, B. Kärcher, A. Petzold, H. Schlager, F.
20 Schröder, K.-H. Wohlfrom, 2002: Influence of fuel sulfur on the composition of aircraft
21 exhaust plumes: The experiments SULFUR 1-7. *J. Geophys. Res.* 107,
22 doi:10.1029/2001JD000813.
- 23 Shine, K.P., 2005: Comments on “Contrails, cirrus clouds, and climate”. *J. Clim.* 18, 2781-
24 2782.
- 25 Spichtinger, P., K. Gierens and W. Read, 2003a: The global distribution of ice-supersaturated
26 regions as seen by the microwave limb sounder. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 129, 3391-
27 3410.
- 28 Spichtinger, P., K. Gierens, U. Leiterer and H. Dier, 2003b: Ice supersaturation in the
29 tropopause region over Lindenberg, Germany. *Meteorol. Z.* 12, 143-156.
- 30 Spichtinger, P., K. Gierens and H. Wernli, 2005: A case study on the formation and evolution
31 of ice supersaturation in the vicinity of a warm conveyor belt’s outflow region. *Atmos.*
32 *Chem. Phys.* 5, 973-987.
- 33 Stephens, G.L. and P.J. Webster, 1981: Clouds and climate: Sensitivity of simple systems. *J.*
34 *Atmos. Sci.* 38, 236-247.
- 35 Stordal, F., G. Myhre, E.J.G. Stordal, W.B. Rossow, D.S. Lee, D.W. Arlander and
36 T. Svendby, 2005: Is there a trend in cirrus cloud cover due to aircraft traffic? *Atmos.*
37 *Chem. Phys.* 5, 2155-2162.
- 38 Strauss, B., R. Meerkötter, B. Wissinger, P. Wendling and M. Hess, 1997: On the regional
39 climatic impact of contrails: microphysical and radiative properties of contrails and
40 natural cirrus clouds. *Ann. Geophys.* 15, 1457-1467.
- 41 Ström, J. and S. Ohlsson, 1998: In-situ measurements of enhanced crystal number densities in
42 cirrus clouds caused by aircraft exhaust. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 11,355-11,361.
- 43 Ström, L. and K. Gierens, 2002: First simulations of cryoplane contrails. *J. Geophys. Res.*
44 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD000838.
- 45 Stubenrauch, C. and U. Schumann, 2005: Impact of air traffic on cirrus coverage. *Geophys.*
46 *Res. Lett.* 32, L14813, doi:10.1029/2005GL022707.

- 1 Stuber, N., P. Forster, G. Rädcl and K. Shine, 2006: The importance of the diurnal and annual
2 cycle of air traffic for contrail radiative forcing. *Nature* 441, 864-867.
- 3 Stuber, N. and P. Forster, 2007: The impact of diurnal variations of air traffic on contrail
4 radiative forcing. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 3153-3162.
- 5 Stuefer, M., X. Meng and G. Wendler, 2005: MM5 contrail forecasting in Alaska. *Mon. Wea.*
6 *Rev.* 133, 3517-3526.
- 7 Sussmann, R. and K. Gierens, 1999: Lidar and numerical studies on the different evolution of
8 vortex pair and secondary wake in young contrails. *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 2131-2142.
- 9 Sussmann, R. and K. Gierens, 2001: Differences in early contrail evolution of two-engine
10 versus four-engine aircraft: Lidar measurements and numerical simulations. *J. Geophys.*
11 *Res.* 106, 4899-4911.
- 12 Toon, O.B. and R.C. Miake-Lye, 1998: Subsonic aircraft: Contrail and cloud effects special
13 study (SUCCESS). *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1109-1112.
- 14 Tompkins, A., K. Gierens and G. Rädcl, 2007: Ice supersaturation in the ECMWF Integrated
15 Forecast System, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 133, 53-63.
- 16 Travis, D.J., A.M. Carleton and R.G. Lauritsen, 2002: Contrails reduce daily temperature
17 range. *Nature* 418, 601.
- 18 Travis, D.J., A.M. Carleton, J.S. Johnson and J.Q. DeGrand, 2007: US jet contrail frequency
19 changes: influences of jet aircraft flight activity and atmospheric conditions. *Int. J.*
20 *Climatol.* 27, 621-632.
- 21 Treffeisen, R., R. Krejci, J. Ström, A.C. Engvall, A. Herber and L. Thomason, 2007:
22 Humidity observations in the Arctic troposphere over Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, based on
23 15 years of radiosonde data. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 7, 2721-2732.
- 24 Troller, M., A. Geiger, E. Brockmann, J.-M. Bettems, B. Bürki and H.-G. Kahle, 2006:
25 Tomographic determination of the spatial distribution of water vapor using GPS
26 observations. *Adv. Space Res.* 37, 2211-2217.
- 27 Twohy, C.H. and B.W. Gandrud, 1998: Electron microscope analysis of residual particles
28 from aircraft contrails. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1359-1362.
- 29 Unterstrasser, S., K. Gierens and P. Spichtinger, 2008: The evolution of contrail microphysics
30 in the vortex phase. *Meteorol. Z.*, accepted.
- 31 Uthe, E.E., N.B. Nielsen and T.E. Osberg, 1998: Airborne scanning Lidar observations of
32 aircraft contrails and cirrus clouds during SUCCESS. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1339-
33 1342.
- 34 Vatazhin, A.B., V.E. Kozlov, A.M. Starik and E.K. Kholshchevnikova, 2007: Numerical
35 modeling of the formation of aerosol particles in jet engine plumes. *Fluid Dynamics* 42,
36 33-43.
- 37 Wang, W.-C., W. Gong and J.-P. Chen, 2001: SUNYA regional model simulation of radiative
38 forcing and climate impact due to contrails over regions around Taiwan. *TAO* 12, 179-
39 194.
- 40 Wuebbles, D.W. and M. Ko, 2007: Evaluating the impacts of aviation on climate change.
41 *EOS* 88, 157-160. See also: Workshop on the Impacts of Aviation on Climate Change –
42 A Report of Findings and Recommendations, June 7-9, 2006, Boston, MA, NASA/FAA
43 Joint Planning and Development Office, Environmental Integrated Project Team, 58 pp,
44 August 2006.

- 1 Yang, P., K.N. Liou, K. Wyser and D. Mitchell, 2000: Parameterization of the scattering and
2 absorption properties of individual ice crystals. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 4699-4718.
- 3 Yang, P., H. Wei, H.L. Huang, B.A. Baum, Y.X. Hu, G.W. Kattawar, M.I. Mishchenko and
4 Q. Fu, 2005: Scattering and absorption property database for nonspherical ice particles
5 in the near- through far-infrared spectral region. *Appl. Opt.* 44, 5512-5523.
- 6 Yu, F. and R.P. Turco, 1998: Contrail formation and impacts on aerosol properties in aircraft
7 plumes: Effects of fuel sulfur content. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 313-316.
- 8 Zerefos, C.S., K. Eleftheratos, D.S. Balis, P. Zanis, G. Tselioudis and C. Meleti, 2003:
9 Evidence of effect of aviation on cirrus cloud formation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 3, 1633-
10 1644.
- 11 Zhang, Y., A. Macke and F. Albers, 1999: Effect of crystal size spectrum and crystal shape on
12 stratiform cirrus radiative forcing. *Atmos. Res.* 52, 59-75.