RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

2013 Colorado River Annual Operating Plan Colorado River Management Work Group (CRMWG) Final Consultation September 12, 2012



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation

2013 Colorado River AOP Final Consultation Meeting

- Welcome and Introductions Larry Walkoviak / Terry Fulp
- Upper Basin Hydrology and Operations *Katrina Grantz*
- Lower Basin Hydrology and Operations *Dan Bunk*
- 2013 AOP Review Process Malcolm Wilson / Steve Hvinden
- Review of Draft 2013 AOP CRMWG
- Conclusion and Wrap-up



Upper Colorado River Basin

Hydrology and Operations



Water Year 2012 Projections August 2012 24-Month Study Most Probable Inflow Scenario

Projected Unregulated Inflow into Powell¹ = 5.15 maf (48% of average)



Lake Powell Unregulated Inflow Scenarios As presented in Annual Operating Plan

| Scenario | 2012 AOP | 2013 AOP |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | VVY 2012 Developed August 2011 | VVY 2013 Developed August 2012 |
| Minimum | 7.00 maf | 5.00 maf |
| Probable | (65 %*) | (46 %) |
| Most | 12.60 maf | 8.85 maf |
| Probable | (116 %) | (82 %) |
| Maximum | 19.50 maf | 16.00 maf |
| Probable | (180 %) | (148 %) |

* Percent of average water year unregulated inflow 1981-2012 (10.83 maf)



Lake Powell & Lake Mead Operational Table Operational Tiers for 2013 based on August 2012 Projections¹

| | Lake Powell | | Lake Mead | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Elevation (feet) | Operation According | Live Storage (mat) ¹ | Elevation (feet) | Operation According | Live Storage (mat) ¹ | |
| 3,700 | Equalization Tier Equalize, avoid spills or release 8.23 maf | 24.3 | 1,220 | Flood Control Surplus or Quantified Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | 25.9 | |
| 3,636 - 3,666 (2008-2026) 3,614.89 | Upper Elevation Balancing Tier ³ | 15.5 - 19.3 (2008-2026) 13.23 | (approx.) ² | Domestic Surplus or ICS Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | (approx.) ² | |
| 1/1/13 Projection | if Lake Mead < 1,075 feet, balance contents with | 1/1/13 Projection | 1,145 1,119.14 | Normal or | ^{15.9} 13.52 | |
| 3,575 | a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.0 maf | 9.5 | 1/1/13 Projection | ICS Surplus Condition Deliver ≥ 7.5 maf | 1/1/13 Projection | |
| | Mid-Elevation Release Tier Release 7.48 maf; if Lake Mead < 1,025 feet, | | 1,075 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.167 ⁴ maf | 9.4 | |
| 3,525 | release 8.23 maf | 5.9 | 1,000 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.083⁵ maf | | |
| | Lower Elevation Balancing Tier | | 1,025 | Shortage Condition | 5.8 | |
| 3,490 | Balance contents with a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.5 maf | 4.0 | 1,000 | Deliver 7.0 ⁶ maf Further measures may be undertaken ⁷ | 4.3 | |
| 3,370 | | 0 | 895 | | 0 | |

Diagram not to scale

Acronym for million acre-feet

This elevation is shown as approximate as it is determined each year by considering several factors including Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage, projected Upper Basin and Lower Basin demands, and an assumed inflow.

Subject to April adjustments which may result in a release according to the Equalization Tier

Of which 2.48 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.287 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.40 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.283 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.32 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.280 maf to Nevada

⁷ Whenever Lake Mead is below elevation 1,025 feet, the Secretary shall consider whether hydrologic conditions together with anticipated deliveries to the Lower Division States and Mexico is likely to cause the elevation at Lake Mead to fall below 1,000 feet. Such consideration, in consultation with the Basin States, may result in the undertaking of further measures, consistent with applicable Federal law.

¹ January 1, 2013, projections are based on the August 2012 24-Month Study.



7

| Water Year | Powell Elevation (feet) |
|------------|----------------------------|
| 2008 | 3,636 |
| 2009 | 3,639 |
| 2010 | 3,642 |
| 2011 | 3,643 |
| 2012 | 3,645 |
| 2013 | 3,646 |
| 2014 | 3,648 |
| 2015 | 3,649 |
| 2016 | 3,651 |
| 2017 | 3,652 |
| 2018 | 3,654 |
| 2019 | 3,655 |
| 2020 | 3,657 |
| 2021 | 3,659 |
| 2022 | 3,660 |
| 2023 | 3,662 |
| 2024 | 3,663 |
| 2025 | 3,664 |
| 2026 | 3,666 |

Lake Powell Equalization Elevation Table

2013 Level – 3,646 feet

WY2013 Lake Powell Operations as projected in August 2012 24-Month Study

| Scenario | Initial Operational Tier | Projected Annual Release Volume |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Minimum Probable | Upper Elevation Balancing | 8.23 maf |
| Most Probable | Upper Elevation Balancing | 8.23 maf |
| Maximum Probable | Upper Elevation Balancing* | 11.21 maf |

* Upper Elevation Balancing with a projected April adjustment to equalization with Lake Powell September 30, 2013 elevation governing.





| Glen Canyon Power Plant Planned Unit Outage Schedule for Water Year 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | <u>(</u> u | pdated | <u>9-10-2</u> | 012) | | 1 | | | |
| Unit Number | Oct 2012 | Nov 2012 | Dec 2012 | Jan 2013 | Feb 2013 | Mar 2013 | Apr 2013 | May 2013 | Jun 2013 | Jul 2013 | Aug 2013 | Sep 2013 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 (3/4 Unit) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Units Available | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| Capacity (cfs) | 19,500 | 26,200 22,600 | 22,600 | 22,600 | 15,500 | 18,800 22,600 | 22,600 | 22,600 | 22,600 | 22,600 | 22,600 | 11,800 |
| Capacity (kaf/month) | 1310 | 1530 | 1390 | 1390 | 960 | 1280 | 1340 | 1390 | 1340 | 1390 | 1390 | 810 |
| Max (kaf) | 491 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 900 | 900 | 1142 | 1300 | 1350 | 1350 | 780 |
| Most (kaf) | 491 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 675 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 800 | 840 | 824 | 600 |
| Min (kaf) | 491 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 675 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 800 | 840 | 824 | 600 |
| RECLAMATION | | | | | | | | | | | | |

J







Lower Colorado River Basin

Hydrology and Operations



Lake Powell & Lake Mead Operational Table Operational Tiers for 2013 based on August 2012 Projections¹

| | Lake Powell | | Lake Mead | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Elevation (feet) | Operation According | Live Storage (mat) ¹ | Elevation (feet) | Operation According | Live Storage (mat) ¹ | |
| 3,700 | Equalization Tier Equalize, avoid spills or release 8.23 maf | 24.3 | 1,220 | Flood Control Surplus or Quantified Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | 25.9 | |
| 3,636 - 3,666 (2008-2026) 3,614.89 | Upper Elevation Balancing Tier ³ | 15.5 - 19.3 (2008-2026) 13.23 | (approx.) ² | Domestic Surplus or ICS Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | (approx.) ² | |
| 1/1/13 Projection | if Lake Mead < 1,075 feet, balance contents with | 1/1/13 Projection | 1,145 1,119.14 | Normal or | ^{15.9} 13.52 | |
| 3,575 | a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.0 maf | 9.5 | 1/1/13 Projection | ICS Surplus Condition Deliver ≥ 7.5 maf | 1/1/13 Projection | |
| | Mid-Elevation Release Tier Release 7.48 maf; if Lake Mead ≤ 1,025 feet, | | 1,075 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.167 ⁴ maf | 9.4 | |
| 3,525 | release 8.23 maf | 5.9 | 1,000 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.083⁵ maf | | |
| | Lower Elevation Balancing Tier | | 1,025 | Shortage Condition | 5.8 | |
| 3,490 | Balance contents with a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.5 maf | 4.0 | 1,000 | Deliver 7.0 ⁶ maf Further measures may be undertaken ⁷ | 4.3 | |
| 3,370 | | 0 | 895 | | 0 | |

Diagram not to scale

Acronym for million acre-feet

This elevation is shown as approximate as it is determined each year by considering several factors including Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage, projected Upper Basin and Lower Basin demands, and an assumed inflow.

Subject to April adjustments which may result in a release according to the Equalization Tier

Of which 2.48 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.287 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.40 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.283 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.32 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.280 maf to Nevada

⁷ Whenever Lake Mead is below elevation 1,025 feet, the Secretary shall consider whether hydrologic conditions together with anticipated deliveries to the Lower Division States and Mexico is likely to cause the elevation at Lake Mead to fall below 1,000 feet. Such consideration, in consultation with the Basin States, may result in the undertaking of further measures, consistent with applicable Federal law.

¹ January 1, 2013, projections are based on the August 2012 24-Month Study.



Projected Lake Mead Elevations Based on August 2012 24-Month Study Inflow Scenarios

| Scenario | CY 2013 (on January 1, 2013) | CY 2014 (on January 1, 2014) | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Probable Minimum | 1,118.2 feet | 1,106.2 feet | | |
| Most Probable | 1,119.1 feet | 1,110.4 feet | | |
| Probable Maximum | 1,120.4 feet | 1,141.9 feet | | |



Lake Mead End of Month Elevation

Projections from August 2012 24-Month Study Inflow Scenarios*



RECLAMA

projected water year 2012 release volumes from Lake Powell were determined.

17

Lake Mead Monthly Release Volumes Projections from August 2012 24-Month Study Inflow Scenarios



Lower Basin Side Inflows Glen Canyon to Hoover in WY/CY 2012^{1,2}

| Month in WY/CY 2012 | | Intervening Flow Glen Canyon to Hoover (KAF) | Intervening Flow Glen Canyon to Hoover (% of Average) | Difference From 5-Year Average (KAF) | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--|---|--|--|
| | October 2011 | 66 | 135% | +17 | |
| | November 2011 | 36 | 78% | -10 | |
| | December 2011 | 84 | 78% | -24 | |
| | January 2012 | 55 | 71% | -23 | |
| H I | February 2012 | 44 | 45% | -54 | |
| S T O R Y | March 2012 | 43 | 55% | -35 | |
| | April 2012 | 46 | 61% | -30 | |
| | May 2012 | 16 | 25% | -48 | |
| | June 2012 | 8 | 21% | -26 | |
| | July 2012 | 70 | 130% | +16 | |
| | August 2012 | 168 | 163% | +65 | |
| F | September 2012 | 74 | | | |
| U T | October 2012 | 49 | | | |
| U R | November 2012 | 46 | | | |
| E | December 2012 | 108 | | | |
| | WY 2012 Totals | 709 | 82% | -152 | |
| | CY 2012 Totals | 726 | 84% | -135 | |

¹ Values were computed with the LC's gain-loss model for the most recent 24-month study.

² Percents of average are based on the 5-year mean from 2007-2011.

19



YAO Operations Update

 Brock and Senator Wash storage year-to-date¹
Brock 96,770 AF
Senator Wash 65,880 AF

 Excess Flows to Mexico year-to-date² 34,750 AF



¹ Provisional values through September 6, 2012
² Provisional value through September 9, 2012

20

2013 Colorado River AOP Final Consultation Meeting

- Welcome and Introductions Larry Walkoviak / Terry Fulp
- Upper Basin Hydrology and Operations *Katrina Grantz*
- Lower Basin Hydrology and Operations *Dan Bunk*
- 2013 AOP Review Process Malcolm Wilson / Steve Hvinden
- Review of Draft 2013 AOP CRMWG
- Conclusion and Wrap-up



2013 Colorado River Annual Operating Plan Colorado River Management Work Group (CRMWG) Final Consultation September 12, 2012