	ENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	ATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
					1	21
	NT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE		EQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT N	NO. (If applicable)
132 6. ISSUED BY	CODE	See Block 16C		CM001081 DMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE 006	0.1
		00601			000	UT
	d Operations Office			chland Operations Office S. Department of Energy	9	
	d Operations Office			chland Operations Office	2	
	x 550, MSIN A7-80			0. Box 550, MSIN A7-80		
Richlan	d WA 99352		Ri	chland WA 99352		
8. NAME AND	O ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street	, county, State and ZIP Code)	(x)	0A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		
COMPUTER	R SCIENCES CORPORATION	1				
Attn: MI	IKE GAFFNEY		ę	B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)		
L5245 SH	HADY GROVE ROAD					
SUITE 20				0A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER	NO.	
YOCKVILI	LE MD 208506247			DE-AC06-04RL14383		
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE 05	53506312	FACILITY CODE		01/06/2004		
		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES	TO AMEN	DMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED F ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	URSUANT TO: (Specify authority)	THE CHA	MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS D	THE CONTRACT	-
	appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH			ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as change TY OF FAR 43.103(b). PRITY OF:		
37	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	• •		mbuuggment (7]tourst T	T 7 1 C	0.4.)
X	1	-		mbursement (Alternate I	-	204)
		is required to sign this documer			•	
				g solicitation/contract subject matter where feat ection J.9, FY 2011 Per		
				9 through J-48 are atta		
	and neabarement 1.					
o. Page	e J-1 is revised and a	attached to correc	t pag	e numbers.		
	re are no other change stination	es to the terms an	d con	ditions of the contract		
	of Performance: 01/06	/2004 to 09/30/201	1			
Except as pro	ovided herein, all terms and conditions of th	e document referenced in Item 9A o	r 10A, as l	neretofore changed, remains unchanged and in	full force and effe	ct.

 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)
 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)

 Russell D. Walter
 15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR
 15C. DATE SIGNED
 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 16C. DATE SIGNED

 (Signature of person authorized to sign)
 15C. DATE SIGNED
 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 16C. DATE SIGNED

 NSN 7540-01-152-8070
 STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)

## Part III -- List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments

## **Table of Contents**

Section	n	Page
J.1	Hanford Site Map	J-2
J.2	Government Furnished Property	J-2
J.3	Health Care Center Description	J-11
J.3-1	Health Care Center, Figure J.3-1	J-12
J.4	Key Personnel	J-11
J.5	Small, Small Disadvantaged, Veteran, and Women-Owned Small Business Subcontracting Plan	J-11
J.6	List of Applicable Directives	J-13
J.7	Pension Plan	J-16
J.8	Service Contract Act Wage Determination	J-18
J.9	Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan	J-29

#### J.9 Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### Purpose

To provide procedures and policy, assign responsibilities for evaluating contractor performance, and use as a basis for determining the amount of performance fee earned by AdvanceMed Hanford (AMH).

#### Scope

The provisions of this FY 2011 plan apply to Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383 with AMH and to the unilateral determination of the Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (DOE-RL) Fee Determining Official to evaluate the contractor's performance against the criteria set forth in this plan, and to determine the amount of award fee earned (performance fee). Specific guidance on fee is contained in Clause B.3, Estimated Cost and Maximum Award Fee, Clause H-22, Payment of Fee, and the Statement of Work, Section C.7, Performance Measurement.

#### **Departmental Policy**

DOE-RL expects the contractor to exercise due diligence in the conduct of all contract activities. DOE-RL further expects that management systems will be in place and enforced to ensure that effective procedures are developed and implemented. The contractor's failure to oversee, through acts of commission or omission, the conduct of its operations and all of its employees, which potentially or actually causes property damage or loss, endangers the safety, health, or environment, or compromises the ability of DOE-RL to carry out its mission, will be weighed heavily in the performance ratings. By the same standard, the performance ratings will not be adversely affected if the contractor discloses safety issues in a timely manner, devises plan of action and corrective measures to DOE-RL for resolution. Furthermore, the performance ratings will not be adversely affected if the contractor stops an activity that is deemed unsafe even though the contractor's action may appear to be contrary to DOE-RL direction.

#### **Responsibilities and Process**

The responsibilities and process for evaluating contractor performance are established in this plan.

Matt McCormick, Manager Richland Operations Office

1/24/11

## **Review and Approval of Contractor's Performance Fee**

## Applicability

This plan establishes process and assigns responsibilities for determining the level of performance of the AdvanceMed Hanford (AMH) in performance of Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383 during the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.

The objective of the performance fee provisions of the contract is to afford the contractor an opportunity to earn fee commensurate with the achievement of outstanding contract performance. In addition to providing special management emphasis to the performance standards identified herein, the contractor is responsible for attaining the highest standards of excellence in executing *all* its responsibilities under the contract. The contractor is required to have a strong self-assessment program to measure and evaluate the effectiveness of its performance. The contractor will receive credit for identifying problems to DOE-RL, for developing and implementing corrective actions, and for demonstrating initiative.

### Responsibilities

Contracting Officer (CO) - The DOE-RL CO is responsible for reviewing, revising, and transmitting the Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan (PEMP) to the contractor.

Contracting Officer Representative (COR) - The DOE-RL COR is responsible for assisting the CO in executing the above duties and providing technical expertise and advice, utilizing subject matter experts as necessary and appropriate.

Fee Determining Official (FDO) – The DOE-RL Manager is the FDO. The FDO is responsible for approving this PEMP. The FDO shall review the performance fee recommendations and make the final determination of the amount of performance fee earned by and payable to the contractor.

Program Manager - The DOE-RL Program Manager (PM) prepares the PEMP, evaluates contractor performance, and provides a recommended rating to the FDO.

The PM shall monitor, review, and evaluate the contractor's performance against the criteria included in this plan, the contract, and generally accepted standards of practice and standard operating procedures. The PM shall solicit input from DOE-RL staff, DOE's Office of River Protection (ORP), the DOE Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO), Hanford site contractors who utilize the services provided by the contractor, and from union leadership who represent Hanford Site workers that utilize the contractor's services. Additionally, the PM shall solicit ORP input for ORP specific PEMP items. The PM may also consider the results/conclusions of independent assessments of the contractor's performance (e.g., Defense Contract Audit Agency audits, General Accounting Office audits and Department of Health and Human Services, Federal Occupational Health (FOH) assessments). The PM will prepare a written recommendation for FDO consideration, based on observations, input from others, information provided in the contractor's briefings, self-assessment report(s), and/or other contract deliverables.

### Performance Evaluation

In accordance with Contract Clause H.20, Total Available Fee: Performance Fee Amount, paragraph e., the contractor is required to complete a written self-assessment report within 7 calendar days after the end of the performance period. The contractor shall provide the self-assessment report to DOE-RL's CO. The report should include an evaluation for each of the performance areas cited in this plan. Information contained in the contractor's self-assessment report will be factored into the contractor's performance rating. The self-assessment shall also contain any other significant items that the contractor believes should be considered in the final evaluation of performance. Based on this information and other performance data accumulated throughout the performance period, the PM will compile a report detailing the contractor's performance. The report shall include a discussion of each of the performance objectives addressed in this plan. Notwithstanding the specific objectives identified in this plan, the report shall address any other areas of exceptional or unsatisfactory performance.

The ratings will be based on performance information (e.g. audits, assessments, self-assessments, etc.), information received from DOE staff members, and information received from Hanford site organizations who utilize the services of the occupational medical services provider. In addition, data maintained by the contractor and verified by DOE-RL will be used as further basis for the evaluation. In many cases the evaluations of contractor performance may be made on the basis of a subjective analysis that reflects the evaluators' informed opinion as to the level of performance achieved. This plan includes criteria for determining a rating.

The FDO shall review the PM's adjective recommendation and discuss the contractor's performance with the PM and others as appropriate. A CO letter summarizing the FDO's evaluation decision and the amount of performance fee earned shall be furnished to the contractor within 60 calendar days of DOE-RL's receipt of the contractor's self-assessment report. This letter constitutes official issuance of the performance fee determination. The Fee Determination will significantly contribute to the past performance rating in Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS).

# Structure of the Fee

Each individual objective will be given an adjectival rating by the PM based upon the attached "grading table" criteria. Each adjectival rating will, in turn, be converted to a numerical score. The numerical scores will then be combined, using their respective relative weighting factors, to arrive at the overall numerical score. When arriving at an overall numerical score, the PM may add or subtract performance points, as the result of significant events or accomplishments not specifically covered by this plan. The overall numerical rating will be translated into performance fee dollars using the fee conversion table and the amount of performance fee available from Table B-1, within Contract Clause B.3, Estimated Cost and Maximum Award Fee.

The following performance matrix contains a contract reference column which directs the reader to the appropriate section of the contract. The "Factor" is the functional or programmatic area being measured and is defined in Section C of the contract. Following the "Factor" Table are the performance ratings as defined in the Grading Table and Fee Conversion Chart including the associated performance fee, and a defined level of performance under each performance score. This enables both parties to understand specifically what constitutes "Unsatisfactory" versus "Outstanding" performance. The Surveillance Method identifies how performance will be documented for purposes of performance review and fee determination.

The performance factors are:

• Worker Health and Wellbeing – This performance factor is to clarify that the Hanford worker is the primary stakeholder and that AMH is a champion for the worker's health and wellbeing. AMH is expected to modify (if necessary) its business practices and attitude to accomplish this performance factor.

One measure of AMH's success in this performance factor will be through the results of worker questionnaires. AMH will distribute a customer satisfaction form to all Hanford workers who use their services. The evaluation form will be in check off box format to rate the services provided and include an open area for comments. The evaluations form will include instructions on how to mail the completed form to the RL Occupational Medicine Program Manager or direction on where to drop it in an RL drop box at the AMH clinic. The form should allow anonymity and not be reviewed by AMH. RL will provide feedback to AMH on worker reviews on a quarterly basis or sooner, if a critical matters arise. This form should be prepared by AMH and provided to the RL Contracting Officer and Occupational Medicine Program Manager for approval.

Another measure of AMH's success in this performance factor is how quickly worker disputes / concerns / questions are resolved. A standard work week is considered a reasonable period of time for resolution and will serve as the bench mark to measure AMH's performance. The start time for counting days to resolve "Worker Initiated Issues" is when RL contacts AMH Senior Management via e-mail, telephone call and confirms that the message was received. Occasionally an issue will take longer than a one week to resolve. In these instances, AMH would coordinate/notify the RL Occupational Medicine Program Manager with the approximate issue resolution time.

A "worker initiated issue" is a statement made by any worker eligible for AMH services who puts forth a dispute / concern / question /complaint concerning AMH in a questionnaire or via an e-mail, which may require AMH's assistance to address and/or resolve. The issue must be in writing or communicated verbally (by any individual) to RL or ORP Senior Management, the AMH CO, the AMH COR, and/or the RL Occupational Medicine Program Manager.

- Department of Health and Human Services, Federal Occupational Health (FOH) Service semi-annual reviews, including the Year-end Review.
- **Benchmarking Evaluation Process:** The focus of the Benchmark study completed during FY10, recommended best practices to be implemented, as appropriate, during FY11. The ORP seven (7) functional areas of interest for FY10 will be evaluated and revised, as appropriate, based on TOC/ORP/AMH input. [Note: TOC=Tank Operations Contract (WRPS).]
- Enhancement in Customer Satisfaction

- Beryllium Corrective Action Plan
- Support to Energy Employees' Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA) and Workers Compensation programs - To incentivize turnaround times and teaming with the Third Party Administrator.
- **Protection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)** To place added emphasis on the protection of PII and reporting of PII incidents.
- **Provide cost-effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford Workforce** To improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site, promote active involvement in the Site-Wide Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program (CBDPP), incentivize delivery of beryllium and drug test results, and effectively perform the Behavioral Health Services Employee Assistance Program.
- Hanford Occupational Health Process/Employee Job Task Analysis (EJTA) system maintenance and improvement
- Information Technology Electronic Medical Records and integrated systems, vital records support, alignment with Strategic Planning and Enterprise Architecture.
- Complete The Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care (AAAHC) Consultative Survey – Measure implementation and compliance with 2011 standards.
- **Records Management** The focus of this performance factor should be on Quality Control and Quality Assurance, in particular the correction of dose transcription errors, and taking accurate worker exposure history (e.g. chemical and radiological exposures). Emphasis should be on identifying and correcting quality shortcomings / issues prior to their discovery by external audits.

These performance factors are directly related to the contract scope and represent critical performance areas, both operationally and contractually. The plan also provides a quantitative approach to fee determination while maintaining alignment with FOH, EEOICPA, AAAHC and the DOE program office performance standards.

# Grading Table

	Description	Range of Performance Points
Outstanding	Contractor has exceeded almost all of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period.	95-100
Good	Contractor has exceeded many of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award- fee evaluation period.	76-94
Satisfactory	Contractor has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period.	50-75
Unsatisfactory	Contractor has failed to meet overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award- fee evaluation period. Performance at this level in any area mentioned in the PEMP may result in a decision by the FDO to withhold all fees for the period.	0-49

## **Important Note**

DOE-RL encourages the contractor to perform at the highest levels of excellence; however, the standard anticipated rating level of a qualified, competent and successful contractor is satisfactory. This corresponds to a numerical rating of 50 to 75 points on the DOE-RL contractor performance rating scale, and represents level of performance for which performance fee awards can be paid.

Performance ratings above the overall rating of satisfactory will reflect the extent to which the contractor, on its own initiative, is actively involved in performance improvement activities and the extent to which these actions contribute to more efficient, effective, and economical operation, thus forming the basis for earning performance fee. However, a rating above the overall rating of satisfactory (76-100 points) may be justified by a high level of sustained performance where further improvement in performance would not be cost effective.

A performance rating of 0-49 points for total performance is a matter of grave concern to DOE-RL. This is particularly true in the delivery of occupational medical services area where inadequate levels of performance could cause immediate and detrimental impact upon public health and safety, and could result in unacceptable programmatic impacts.

If the contractor's performance is considered unacceptable in any area of contract performance, the FDO may, at his or her discretion, determine the contractor's overall performance to be unacceptable and withhold the entire performance fee for the evaluation period.

# **Fee Conversion Chart**

Performance	% of Available	Overall Rating
Points	Performance Fee	,
100	100.0	
99	99.0	
98	98.0	
97	97.0	
96	96.0	
95	95.0	Outstanding
90	90.0	
85	80.0	
80	70.0	
76	60.0	Good
75	50.0	
70	40.0	
65	30.0	
60	20.0	
55	10.0	
50	5.0	Satisfactory
0	0.0	Unsatisfactory

	1383, Modification 132
Services	lo. DE-AC06-04RL14383, M
cupational Health Ser	Vo. DE-AC
Occupatio	Contract No.

	2
1	и
-	0
11	1
0	. J
D,	S

Surveillance Method		Worker Questionnaires and Tracking Issues Reporting. Definition: The start time for counting days to resolve "Worker Initiated Issues" is when RL contacts AMH Senior Management via e-mail or phone call.	FOH Bi-annual review information submitted to DOE for determination.
Outstanding	95-100%	DOE determines that AMH has responded to 95% of Worker initiated issues within one week and resolved within 30 days to DOE's satisfaction. DOE determines that AMH has not caused, or added to, any major worker care issues. DOE determines via worker questionnaires that AMH is providing outstanding service (90-100% overall favorable response ).	DOE determined AMH compliance with contract with sustained performance as compared to FY10. FOH identified themes addressed by AMH throughout FY11.
Good	76-94%	DOE determines that AMH has responded to 80% of Worker initiated issues within one week and resolved within 30 days to DOE's satisfaction. DOE determines that AMH has not caused, or added to, any major worker care issues. DOE determines via worker questionnaires that AMH is providing good service (80- 89% overall favorable response).	DOE determined AMH compliance with sustained performance as compared to FY10.
Satisfactory	50-75%	DOE determines that AMH has responded to 60% of Worker initiated issues within one week and resolved within 30 days to DOE's satisfaction. AMH has not caused, or added to, any major worker care issues. DOE determines via worker questionnaires that AMH is providing satisfactory service (70-79% overall favorable response).	DOE determined AMH compliance with contract
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	DOE determines that AMH has responded to less than 60% of Worker initiated issues within one week and resolved within 30 days to DOE's satisfaction. DOE determines via worker questionnaires that AMH is providing unsatisfactory service (< 70% overall favorable response).	DOE determined AMH non-compliance with contract.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Worker Health and Wellbeing (15 Performance Points) See Note 10	Bi-annual Federal Occupational Health Review and cost analysis study (20 Performance Points)
Contract Reference		C.4.a.3 to 5 & 7 Desired Objectives C.9.a.1.i.D Desired Objectives C.3.a.4 Desired Outcomes C.3.a.2 Desired Outcomes C.3.a.2 Desired Outcomes C.3.a.4 Desired Satisfaction Surveys	C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Medical Program
PEMP Item		ці.	1. b.

	132
	Modification 1
Occupational Health Services	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Me

Part III	Section J

(Points)	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding	Surveillance Method
Performance Points Factor	0-49%	50-75%	76-94%	95-100%	
Benchmarking	No follow-up action on FY2010	AMH documents	AMH documents completed	AMH documents completed activities	DOE will
Evaluation	Benchmarking efforts.	completed activities in	activities in response to the	in response to the identified	participate in and
Process		response to the	identified functional areas of	functional areas of interest to ORP	conduct periodic
		identified functional	interest to ORP and	and proposes additional supporting	meetings.
performance		areas of interest to ORP	proposes additional	activities as appropriate.	
		and proposes additional	supporting activities as		The functional
		supporting activities as	appropriate.	AMH to perform and deliver WRPS	areas of interest
		appropriate.		identified Epidemiological Studies to	from FY2010
			AMH institutes an updated	WRPS by July 31 (see AMH letter:	may be modified
			medical surveillance program	11-AMH-0001).	through
			for Hanford tank farm		discussions with
			workers, resulting from best	AMH institutes an updated medical	TOC/ORP/AMH.
			practices identified in	surveillance program for Hanford	
			benchmarking activities as	tank farm workers, resulting from	AMH will self
			appropriate.	best practices identified in	assess and
				benchmarking activities as	submit report to
				appropriate.	DOE by 10/6/11.
			×		DOE will review
				In cooperation with DOE and the	and evaluate.
				tank farm contractor, an improved	
				medical monitoring protocol is	TOC=Tank
				instituted as appropriate.	Operations
					Contract
					(WRPS)

	132
	Modification
Health Services	DE-AC06-04RL14383, A
<b>Occupational</b> 1	Contract No. 1

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	95-100%	Continue hosting semi-annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives. Opportunities for improvement in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented. AMH develops Annual Communication Plan for continuous improvement in communications with stakeholders. Annual Communication Plan activities are implemented.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 90% (or more) of its CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 8/1/11 to meet 10 CFR 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Good	76-94%	Continue hosting semi- annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives Opportunities for improvement in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented. AMH develops Annual Communication Plan for continuous improvement in communications with stakeholders.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 80% of its CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 8/1/11 to meet 10 CFR 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Satisfactory	50-75%	Continue hosting semi- annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives Opportunities for improverment in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 70% of the AMH completes 70% of the CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	No change in activities related to Stakeholder Interface meetings or communications with stakeholders.	No action on identified Beryllium Corrective Action Plan (CAP) actions.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Enhancement in Customer Satisfaction (3 Performance Points)	Beryllium Corrective Action Plan (7 Performance Points)
Contract Reference		C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Medical Program	C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Medical Program
PEMP Item			, с. С.

Part III Section J .

	2
	Modification
<b>Occupational Health Services</b>	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 13

lification 132

Part III Section J

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	95-100%	Dependent upon adequate funding, AMH shall improve the process for record production beyond the good criteria. AMH shows initiative in working cooperatively with DOE (and other EEOICPA-related organizations/contractors) in improving the records process (to include storage, handling, and any conversion concepts – e.g. electronic)	AMH improves on the record response time beyond the Good criteria and average turnaround time for requests is <= 15 days. Upon receipt of an acceptable file from the Third Party Administrator (TPA), AMH will respond with a report listing all of the related AMH referral charges within 5 working days. AMH demonstrates effective teaming with the TPA, helping improve their familiarity with Hanford Site issues, operations, and contractors. This may include such activities as extending an invitation for accompaniment to Site health and safety meetings.
Good	76-94%	Dependent upon adequate funding, AMH respond s to requests for records in a timely manner as required. 95% of requests are responded to within 35 days of receipt.	AMH improves on the record response time beyond Satisfactory criteria and average turnaround time for requests is <= 20 days.
Satisfactory	50-75%	Dependent upon adequate funding, AMH responds to requests for records in a timely manner as required. 90% of requests are responded to within 45 days of receipt.	AMH responds to requests for records in a timely manner as required. Average turmaround time is <=30 days.
Unsatisfactory	%67-0	AMH is non-responsive.	AMH is non-responsive.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA) Requirements (5 Performance Points) See Note 1	Support to Worker Compensation Program (5 Performance points) See Note 2
Contract Reference		C.9.q EEOICPA Requirements	C.9.d.2
PEMP Item		3.a.	.d.

	132
	ification
ealth Services	ntract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Mod
Occupational Health	Contract No. D.

CLF CL	0 400V			
		(Points)	Reference	ltem
Satisfactor	Unsatisfactory	Factor	Contract	PEMP
	cation 132	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 132	No. DE-AC06-0-	Contract
		ICES	Occupational Health Services	Occupati

PEMP	Contract	Factor	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding	Surveillance
ltem	Reference	(Points)					Method
		Performance	0-49%	50-75%	76-94%	95-100%	
		Points Factor					
3.c.	C.9.h	Protection of	AMH taking minimal response actions	The amount of fee with	The amount of fee with	The amount of fee with respect to	DOE will conduct
	Protected	Personally		respect to this	respect to this performance	this performance item may be	periodic
	Health	Identifiable		performance item may	item may be reduced if it is	reduced if it is determined that a	assessments.
	Information	Information		be reduced if it is	determined that a	performance failure occurs,	AMH will self
	and	(III)		determined that a	performance failure occurs,	warranting a reduction.	assess and
	Personally	(3		performance failure	warranting a reduction.		submit report to
	Identifiable	performance		occurs, warranting a		Performance as identified under the	DOE by 10/6/11.
	Information	Points)		reduction.	Performance as identified	Good criteria, and	DOE will review
					under the Satisfactory	AMH is highly proactive in	and evaluate.
		See Note 3		Use the assessment	criteria, and	implementing robust protective	
				process for continuous	AMH responds appropriately	systems and procedures related to	
				improvement including	to vulnerabilities and	protect PII as demonstrated by	
				lessons learned and	incidents with effective	aggressive response to identifying	
				tracking actions to	response actions.	vulnerabilities or responding to	
	-			closure for future		incidents.	
				reportable events of			
				protected PII and			
				implement effective			
				response actions if			
				appropriate.			

Part III Section J

	t, Modification 132
Occupational Health Services	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, 1

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	95-100%	Continue AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings to improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. Conduct internal training as appropriate. Communicate beryllium process changes as needed to contractors. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 20% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 20% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.
Good	76-94%	Continue AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings to improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. Conduct internal training as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 80 to 89% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to enployers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 80 to 89% of the time as evidenced by the time as evidenced by the time as evidenced by the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.
Satisfactory	50-75%	Continue AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings to improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site- Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 70 to 79% of the time as evidenced by the Be- LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 70 to 79% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	AMH discontinues the AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings. AMH non- participatory in Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 60 to 69% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 60 to 69% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points)
Contract Reference		C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical Program and an identified AMH Strategic Plan Strategic Plan
PEMP Item		4

	_
	Modification
Occupational Health Services	<ol> <li>DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modifica</li> </ol>
Occupational	Contract No.

4383, Modification 132

Part III Section J

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	95-100%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Outstanding. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results <u>&gt;</u> 90% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Outstanding. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests – initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request ≥ 90% of the time.
Good	76-94%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Good. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results 80 to 89% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Good. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests - initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request 80 - 89% of the time.
Satisfactory	50-75%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Satisfactory. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results 70 to 79% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Satisfactory. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests – initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the time.
Unsatisfactory	%67-0	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Unsatisfactory. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results <u>&lt;69%</u> of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Unsatisfactory. Turnaround time for Behavioral Health Services (BHS) Employee Assistance Program (EAP) appointment requests - initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request < 70% of the time.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points) See Note 4	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points) See Note 5
Contract Reference		C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical Program and an identified priority in the AMH Strategic Plan	C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical Program and an identified priority in the AMH Strategic Plan
PEMP Item		4 <b>b</b>	4.c.

	Modification 132
Occupational Health Services	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, M

Part III Section J

CONTRACT	Factor	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding	Surveillance
Reference	(Points)	:				Method
	Performance Points Factor	0-49%	50-75%	76-94%	95-100%	
	Hanford	No change in the Employee Job Task	HOHP committee and	HOHP committee and Site	HOHP committee and Site Wide	DOE will conduct
Occupational	Occupational	Analysis (EJTA) system	Site Wide Safety	Wide Safety Committee	Safety Committee establish interface	periodic
	Health		Committee establish	establish interface meetings	meetings in preparation for EJTA	assessments.
Process	Process/		interface meetings in	in preparation for EJTA	rebuild and Site-wide safety program	AMH will self
Improvement			preparation for EJTA	rebuild and Site-wide safety	initiatives.	assess and
	Task Analysis		rebuild and Site-wide	program initiatives.		submit report to
	(EJTA) system		safety program		Using requirements established in	DOE by 10/6/11.
	maintenance		initiatives.	Using requirements	FY10 for hazard-based medical	DOE will review
	and			established in FY10 for	program assignment, and feedback	and evaluate.
	improvement			hazard-based medical	from HOHP and Site Wide Safety	
	(4			program assignment, and	committee interface meetings,	
	Performance			feedback from HOHP and	develop EJTA functional prototype.	
	Points)			Site Wide Safety committee		
	See Note 9			<ul> <li>interface meetings, develop</li> </ul>	Participate in requirements gathering	
				EJTA functional prototype.	and EJTA implementation of new	
			·		Site-Wide EJTA process.	
					-	

g Surveillance Method		tart the DOE will conduct	on October 1, periodic	assessments.	y PureSafety, AMH will self	assess and			mplish the DOE will review	and evaluate.		tion plan			s Vital	dentifying	for vital	y and	fes the Vital	cheduled	ze records		lled, and	nal Leads for	OMS	Redesign	, A	ıt,	ment Plan	sion Plan	a) Complete;	are		
Outstanding	95-100%	If AMH is approved to start the	implementation project on October 1,	2010, and DOE agrees	to implement OHM by PureSafety,	and	there are no reductions in our	proposed Information Technology	2011 AWP, we will accomplish the	following:		IOMS/EMK implementation plan	compiete		If tunded, AMH supports Vital	Records digitization by identifying	individual(s) responsible for vital	records transfer, custody and	coordination. AMH notifies the Vital	Records contractor of scheduled	appointments to maximize records	availability.	Product Licensed, Installed, and	Used by IT and Functional Leads for	Data Conversion, Post IOMS	Implementation Process Redesign	Study, and Testing; EJTA	Integration, Development,	Conversion, or Replacement Plan	Complete; Data Conversion Plan	(Loading of Legacy Data) Complete;	Hosting, Support, Software	submitted to DOF	
Good	76-94%	If AMH is approved to start	the implementation project	on October 1, 2010, and	DOE agrees	to implement OHM by	PureSafety, and	there are no reductions in	our proposed Information	Technology 2011 AWP, we	will accomplish the following:			pian complete		It tunded, AMH is responsive	to the Vital Records	digitization contractor by	identifying individual(s)	responsible for vital records	transfer, custody and	coordination.	Identify applications in	Software inventory that will	be replaced, modified, or	retired as a result of the EMR	implementation. Create and	document the plan.						
Satisfactory	50-75%	If AMH is approved to	start the implementation	project on October 1,	2010, and DOE agrees	to implement OHM by	PureSafety, and	there are no	reductions in our	proposed Information	lechnology 2011 AWP,	we will accomplish the	rollowing.			implementation plan	complete.		If funded, AMH is	responsive and supports	the Vital Records	digitization contractor.												
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	No further progress made on	implementing an Integrated Occupational	Medical System (IOMS).		If funded, AMH is nonresponsive and	provides limited support to the Vital	Records digitization contractor.	-																									
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Information	Technology -	Integrated	Occupational	Medical	Systems	(10	Performance	Points)	See Note 6						-																	
Contract Reference		C.9.h	Records	Management	C.3.c, C.4,	C.8 & C.9	Integrated	Occupational	Medical	Program,	Service Area	AND	Identified		priority in the	AMH	Strategic Plan																 	
Item P		6.																																

Part III Section J

Occupational Health Services Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 132

Occupational Health Services Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 132

Part III	Section J

Item R				Jalislaului y	0000	Ouisidiiui	
•	Reference	(Points)				)	Method
		Performance Points Factor	0-49%	50-75%	76-94%	95-100%	
ပ်၊	C.9.h	Information	AMH maintains no alignment or	AMH participates in	Performance as identified	Performance as identified under the	DOE will conduct
Re	Records	Technology –	communication with the DOE RL IT	Hanford IT Strategic	under the Satisfactory	Good criteria, and: AMH's IT	periodic
Š	Management	Strategic	Strategic Planning representative, the	Planning meetings and	criteria, and: AMH Capital	policies, procedures, and practices	assessments.
<u>ວ່</u>	C.3.c, C.4,	Planning and	Hanford It Strategic Plans, and/or	the development of the	Planning Investment Control	demonstrate alignment with the	AMH will self
ີ່ວ່	C.8 & C.9	Enterprise	Enterprise Architecture (EA).	Hanford Site IT Strategic	(CPIC) items are aligned with	Hanford Site IT Strategic Plan/EA,	assess and
Inte	Integrated	Architecture		Plan and EA.	Hanford's IT Portfolio (e.g.,	relative to the implementation of any	submit report to
ő	Occupational	(3			which investments fall into	plans created to address gaps in	DOE by 10/6/11.
Me	Medical	Performance			the Records 300, etc.) and	alignment.	DOE will review
Ę	Program,	Points)			are submitted as required;	1	and evaluate.
Se	Service Area	See Notes 7, 8			Mutually agreed upon gaps		Periodic CPIC
Re	Requirements				in alignment between AMH		submissions
AND	Q				IT and the Strategic Plan/EA		need not be
Ide	dentified				are identified and plans to		assessed or
pri	priority in the				address them are created.		reported
AP	AMH						separately.
Str	Strategic Plan						•
C.10	10	Complete	AMH fails to achieve AAAHC	AAAHC to award AMH	AAAHC to award AMH with a	100% is achieved if AAAHC awards	As documented
AC	Accreditation	AAAHC Re-	reaccreditation prior to 9/30/11.	with a deferred	1 year accreditation decision	AMH with accreditation of 3 years by	by AAAHC, AMH
Re	Requirements	Accreditation		accreditation decision	prior to 9/30/11.	9/30/11.	achieves
		Survey		prior to 9/30/11.			accreditation and
		(5					reports to DOE
		Performance					by 10/6/11.
		Points)					Accreditation
							validated by
							DOE.

Occupational Health Services Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 132

11	l n
11	tio
$P_{ai}$	Sec

т П Л	Contract	Factor	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding	Surveillance
ltem	Reference	(Points)				•	Method
		Performance Points Factor	0-49%	50-75%	76-94%	95-100%	
9.	C.9.h.1.i	Records	AMH will have no more than three (3)	AMH will have no more	AMH will have no unresolved	AMH will have no unresolved	AMH will submit
	Records	Management	unresolved medical records system,	than three (3)	medical records system,	medical records system ,QA/QC	a self
	Management	(3	QA/QC findings or shortcomings identified	unresolved medical	QA/QC findings or	findings or shortcomings identified	assessment on
	C.9.o.2.i	Performance	by DOE initiated external audits AMH	records system , QA/QC	shortcomings identified by	by DOE initiated external audits.	records
	Contractor	Points)	does not correct FY2010 FOH medical	findings or shortcomings	DOE initiated external audits.	AMH will correct all existing FY2010	management
	Quality		records system findings. All internally-	identified by DOE	AMH will correct all existing	FOH medical records system	and a data
	Assurance		identified medical records system,	initiated external audits.	FY2010 FOH medical	findings by 31MAR2011. All	validation report
	Plan		QA/QC issues or shortcomings are not	AMH will correct all	records system findings by	internally identified medical records	for DOE
			corrected within 90 days of self	existing FY2010 FOH	31MAY2011. All internally	system ,QA/QC issues or	review. DOE will
			identification	medical records system	identified medical records	shortcomings are corrected within 30	review
				findings by 31JUL2011.	system, QA/QC issues or	days of self identification.	all external
				All internally- identified	shortcomings are corrected		audits for
				medical records system,	within 60 days of		QA/QC findings
				QA/QC issues or	self identification.		or
				shortcomings are			shortcomings.
				corrected within 90 days			
				of self identification.			

I ADIE	Assumes volumes consistent with FY10 and increased volumes as a result or new special conorts may require additional resources to maintain required turnaround times. Records requests from the Federal Records Center (FRC) normally take 4-6 days. Delays in turnaround not in AMH's control could affect turnaround times adversely and should not be considered as part of AMH turnaround time. If there is inadequate funding, AMH and DOE agree to allocate performance points related to this item to item 1. "Bi-Annual FOH Review."
Note 2	Records requests from the Federal Records Center (FRC) normally take 4-6 days. Delays in turnaround not in AMH's control could affect turnaround times adversely and should not be considered as part of AMH turnaround time.
Note 3	PHI and PII incidents - event reports will be reviewed by DOE and a determination will be made regarding:  CIRC – Oxber Incident Response Capability
	PCSP – Program Cyber Security Plan, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.
	Incident - As defined in PCSP, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.
	Personally Identifiable Information (PII) - As defined in PCSP, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.
	• Protected PII: PII that requires enhanced protection. This information includes data that if compromised could cause harm to an individual such as identity theft.
	• The payment of earned fee PEMP item is dependent upon the contractor's or contractor employees' compliance with the terms and conditions of this contract relating to the safeguarding of
	Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Financial incentives for timely mission accomplishment or cost effectiveness shall never compromise or impede full and effective implementation of
	protection of PII.
	• In determining the amount of the reduction and the applicability of mitigating factors, the contracting officer must consider the contractor's overall performance in meeting the PII requirements of
	the contract. Such consideration must include performance against any Site-specific or DOE performance criteria/requirements that provide additional definition, guidance for the amount of
	reduction, or guidance for the applicability of mitigating factors. In all cases, the contracting officer must consider mitigating factors in determining any reduction. The mitigating factors include, but
	are not limited to, the following:

Occupa Contrac	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 132
	(i) Degree of control the contractor had over the event or incident. (ii) Efforts the contractor had made to anticipate and mitigate the possibility of the event in advance. (iii) Contractor self- identification and response to the event to mitigate impacts and recurrence. (iv) General status (trend and absolute performance) of: PII and compliance in related areas; (v) Contractor demonstration to the contracting officer's satisfaction that the principles of industrial PII standards are routinely practiced. (vi) Event caused by "Good Samaritan" act by the contractor (e.g., offsite emergency response). (vii) Contractor demonstration that a performance measurement system is routinely used to improve and maintain PII performance (including effective resource allocation) and to support DOE corporate decision-making. (viii) Contractor demonstration that a continuous improvement program is functioning that demonstrably affects continuous improvement in PII by use of lessons-learned and best practices.
Note 4	Drug Screen Timeframes/ Parameters: The Medical Review Office function operates from the 1979 Snyder Street Health Care Clinic and processes occur during clinics hours at same. For accountability purposes, the clock will start when all required elements to process drug screen are in-hand (Testing Notification, legible Custody & Control Form, and result from laboratory). The clock will stop when the test is endorsed by the Medical Review Officer. Results released by the lab outside of clinic hours, for example weekends, holidays, facility closure days, are handled when Clinic operations resume (i.e., the Monday following a weekend). Confirmed positive results (post-interview with Medical Review Officer) are immediately reported to contractor points of contact verbally via phone. Electronic communications regarding positive testing endorsements are sent via secure means.
Note 5	Only the initial EAP appointment is considered in EAP metric related to appointment turnaround time. In calculation of the turnaround time, only BHS operational days (usual Site 8X9 schedule with Site Friday off) are counted. Days are counted from the date a patient makes a request to the date of the next available EAP appointment. If the patient refuses the appointment offered and/or requests a specific date, time, or provider, those additional days between request and appointment date are consequently not counted.
Note 6	An Integrated Occupational Medical System (abbreviated here as IOMS) is the equivalent of an Enterprise Resource Planning system, specialized for the clinical/medical indusity. An IOMS system would integrate and automate multiple legacy systems and includes the Electronic Medical Records (EMR) system.
Note 7	Currently, OMB (and DOE OCIO, and DOE EM) requirements are that CPIC submissions occur 2-3 times a year for Exhibit 53 items, monthly for Exhibit 300 items.
Note 8	AMH will be invited to participate in Hanford IT Strategic Planning and Enterprise Architecture discussions.
Note 9	AMH's responsibility is to partner with MSA in the implementation of this project with requirements gathering facilitation, communication, and application roll-out being lead by MSA and technical design, integration with existing Medical systems, build, and application hosting being lead by AMH. As comprehensive requirements are not yet known, our ability to deliver a functional prototype is dependent on the success of the associated site-wide safety group meetings being led my MSA.
Note 10	Press Ganey Patient Survey (or other survey) serves as the worker questionnaire to determine service rating for this item.

a-