AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC			1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE O	F PAGES
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	ATION OF CONTRACT				1	20
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE		EQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PRC	JECT NC	D. (If applicable)
121	See Block 16C		CM003531	00055		
6. ISSUED BY CODE	00601		DMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	0060	)1
Richland Operations Office			chland Operations Office			
U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office			S. Department of Energy chland Operations Office			
P.O. Box 550, MSIN A7-80			O. Box 550, MSIN A7-80			
Richland WA 99352			chland WA 99352			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., stree	t, county, State and ZIP Code)	(x)	A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.			
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATIO	N					
Attn: MIKE GAFFNEY		9	B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
15245 SHADY GROVE ROAD						
SUITE 200			10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER N	0.		
ROCKVILLE MD 208506247		^  I	DE-AC06-04RL14383			
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE 052506212	FACILITY CODE					
CODE 053506312			01/06/2004			
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set for	11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES					
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED I ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) CT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLE HIN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE /	THE CHAI	MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DES NGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN TH ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes TY OF FAR 43.103(b).	HE CON	ITRACT	
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	and authority)					
X Section I.1 - 52.243	3-2 - Changes - Cos	st Rei	mbursement (Alternate II	, Ap	r 198	34)
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor X is not,	is required to sign this documen	t and retur	m copies to the issuing	g office.		
<ul> <li>a. The purpose of this modi</li> <li>Evaluation and Measurement P</li> <li>b. There is no change in th</li> <li>modification does not add ad</li> <li>contract, such as described</li> <li>been allotted to the contract</li> <li>of Funds (Apr 1984).</li> <li>c. There are no other chang</li> <li>FOB: Destination</li> <li>Continued</li> </ul>	lan (PEMP) to the e total contract a ditional funds to herein, must be pe t in accordance wi es to the terms an	contr mount the c rform th Se d con	act. as a result of this mod ontract. Accordingly, we ed within the amount of s ction I.1 - FAR 52.232-23 ditions of the contract.	ific ork fund 2 -	ation under s whi Limit	. This the ch have ation
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of th 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER ( <i>Type or print</i> )	ie aucument referenced in item 9A of		A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFIC			
			ussell D. Walter			
		111	ACCULT D. WAILLY			

		Russerr D. Warter		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED	
		Signature on File	10/21/2010	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	10/21/2010	
NSN 7540-01-152-8070			STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)	
Previous edition unusable			Prescribed by GSA	

	REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
CONTINUATION SHEET	DE-AC06-04RL14383/121

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)		(D)	(E)	(F)
	Period of Performance: 01/06/2004 to 09/30/2013				
	Period of Periormance: 01/06/2004 to 09/30/2013				
		1	1		

PAGE

2

OF

20

### Section J

## Part III -- List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments

#### **Table of Contents**

Section	1	Page
J.1	Hanford Site Map	J-2
J.2	Government Furnished Property	J-2
J.3	Health Care Center Description	J-11
J.3-1	Health Care Center, Figure J.3-1	J-12
J.4	Key Personnel	J-11
J.5	Small, Small Disadvantaged, Veteran, and Women-Owned Small Business Subcontracting Plan	J-11
J.6	List of Applicable Directives	J-11
J.7	Pension Plan	J-16
J.8	Service Contract Act Wage Determination	J-18
J.9	Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan	J-29

#### J.9 Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan

### INTRODUCTION

#### Purpose

To provide procedures and policy, assign responsibilities for evaluating contractor performance, and use as a basis for determining the amount of performance fee earned by AdvanceMed Hanford (AMH).

#### Scope

The provisions of this FY 2011 plan apply to Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383 with AMH and to the unilateral determination of the Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (DOE-RL) Fee Determining Official to evaluate the contractor's performance against the criteria set forth in this plan, and to determine the amount of award fee earned (performance fee). Specific guidance on fee is contained in Clause B.3, Estimated Cost and Maximum Award Fee, Clause H-22, Payment of Fee, and the Statement of Work, Section C.7, Performance Measurement.

#### **Departmental Policy**

DOE-RL expects the contractor to exercise due diligence in the conduct of all contract activities. DOE-RL further expects that management systems will be in place and enforced to ensure that effective procedures are developed and implemented. The contractor's failure to oversee, through acts of commission or omission, the conduct of its operations and all of its employees, which potentially or actually causes property damage or loss, endangers the safety, health, or environment, or compromises the ability of DOE-RL to carry out its mission, will be weighed heavily in the performance ratings. By the same standard, the performance ratings will not be adversely affected if the contractor discloses safety issues in a timely manner, devises plan of action and corrective measures to DOE-RL for resolution. Furthermore, the performance ratings will not be adversely affected if the contractor stops an activity that is deemed unsafe even though the contractor's action may appear to be contrary to DOE-RL direction.

#### **Responsibilities and Process**

The responsibilities and process for evaluating contractor performance are established in this plan.

Matt McCormick, Manager

Richland Operations Office

<u>10/20/10</u> Date

Page J-29

### **Review and Approval of Contractor's Performance Fee**

#### Applicability

This plan establishes process and assigns responsibilities for determining the level of performance of the AdvanceMed Hanford (AMH) in performance of Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383 during the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.

The objective of the performance fee provisions of the contract is to afford the contractor an opportunity to earn fee commensurate with the achievement of outstanding contract performance. In addition to providing special management emphasis to the performance standards identified herein, the contractor is responsible for attaining the highest standards of excellence in executing *all* its responsibilities under the contract. The contractor is required to have a strong self-assessment program to measure and evaluate the effectiveness of its performance. The contractor will receive credit for identifying problems to DOE-RL, for developing and implementing corrective actions, and for demonstrating initiative.

#### **Responsibilities**

Contracting Officer (CO) - The DOE-RL CO is responsible for reviewing, revising, and transmitting the Performance Evaluation and Measurement Plan (PEMP) to the contractor.

Contracting Officer Representative (COR) - The DOE-RL COR is responsible for assisting the CO in executing the above duties and providing technical expertise and advice, utilizing subject matter experts as necessary and appropriate. For this contract period, the DOE-RL Program Manager for Occupational Medicine also serves as the COR.

Fee Determining Official (FDO) – The DOE-RL Manager is the FDO. The FDO is responsible for approving this PEMP. The FDO shall review the performance fee recommendations and make the final determination of the amount of performance fee earned by and payable to the contractor.

Program Manager - The DOE-RL Program Manager (PM) prepares the PEMP, evaluates contractor performance, and provides a recommended rating to the FDO. For this contract period, the PM also serves as the DOE-RL COR.

The PM shall monitor, review, and evaluate the contractor's performance against the criteria included in this plan, the contract, and generally accepted standards of practice and standard operating procedures. The PM shall solicit input from DOE-RL staff, DOE's Office of River Protection (ORP), the DOE Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO), Hanford site contractors who utilize the services provided by the contractor, and from union leadership who represent Hanford Site workers that utilize the contractor's services. Additionally, the PM shall solicit ORP input for ORP specific PEMP items. The PM may also consider the results/conclusions of independent assessments of the contractor's performance (e.g., Defense Contract Audit Agency audits, General Accounting Office audits and Department of Health and Human Services, Federal Occupational Health (FOH) assessments). The PM will prepare a written recommendation for FDO consideration, based on observations, input from others, information provided in the contractor's briefings, self-assessment report(s), and/or other contract deliverables.

#### Performance Evaluation

In accordance with Contract Clause H.20, Total Available Fee: Performance Fee Amount, paragraph e., the contractor is required to complete a written self-assessment report within 7 calendar days after the end of the performance period. The contractor shall provide the self-assessment report to DOE-RL's CO. The report should include an evaluation for each of the performance areas cited in this plan. Information contained in the contractor's self-assessment report will be factored into the contractor's performance rating. The self-assessment shall also contain any other significant items that the contractor believes should be considered in the final evaluation of performance. Based on this information and other performance data accumulated throughout the performance period, the PM will compile a report detailing the contractor's performance. The report shall include a discussion of each of the performance objectives addressed in this plan. Notwithstanding the specific objectives identified in this plan, the report shall address any other areas of exceptional or unsatisfactory performance.

The ratings will be based on performance information (e.g. audits, assessments, self-assessments, etc.), information received from DOE staff members, and information received from Hanford site organizations who utilize the services of the occupational medical services provider. In addition, data maintained by the contractor and verified by DOE-RL will be used as further basis for the evaluation. In many cases the evaluations of contractor performance may be made on the basis of a subjective analysis that reflects the evaluators' informed opinion as to the level of performance achieved. This plan includes criteria for determining a rating.

The FDO shall review the PM's adjective recommendation and discuss the contractor's performance with the PM and others as appropriate. A letter summarizing the FDO's evaluation decision and the amount of performance fee earned shall be furnished to the contractor within 60 calendar days of DOE-RL's receipt of the contractor's self-assessment report. This letter constitutes official issuance of the performance fee determination. The Fee Determination will significantly contribute to the past performance rating in Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS).

## Structure of the Fee

Each individual objective will be given an adjectival rating by the PM based upon the attached "grading table" criteria. Each adjectival rating will, in turn, be converted to a numerical score. The numerical scores will then be combined, using their respective relative weighting factors, to arrive at the overall numerical score. When arriving at an overall numerical score, the PM may add or subtract performance points, as the result of significant events or accomplishments not specifically covered by this plan. The overall numerical rating will be translated into performance fee dollars using the fee conversion table and the amount of performance fee available from Table B-1, within Contract Clause B.3, Estimated Cost and Maximum Award Fee.

The following performance matrix contains a contract reference column which directs the reader to the appropriate section of the contract. The "Factor" is the functional or programmatic area being measured and is defined in Section C of the contract. Following the "Factor" Table are the performance ratings as defined in the Grading Table and Fee Conversion Chart including the associated performance fee, and a defined level of performance under each performance score. This enables both parties to understand specifically what constitutes "Unsatisfactory" versus "Outstanding" performance. The Surveillance Method identifies how performance will be documented for purposes of performance review and fee determination.

The performance factors are:

- Department of Health and Human Services, Federal Occupational Health (FOH) Service semi-annual reviews, including the Year-end Review.
- Benchmarking Evaluative Process
- Enhancement in Customer Satisfaction
- Beryllium Corrective Action Plan
- Support to Energy Employees' Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA) and Workers Compensation programs To incentivize turnaround times and teaming with the Third Party Administrator.
- **Protection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)** To place added emphasis on the protection of PII and reporting of PII incidents.
- **Provide cost-effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford Workforce** To improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site, promote active involvement in the Site-Wide Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program (CBDPP), incentivize delivery of beryllium and drug test results, and effectively perform the Behavioral Health Services Employee Assistance Program.
- Hanford Occupational Health Process/Employee Job Task Analysis (EJTA) system maintenance and improvement
- **Information Technology** Electronic Medical Records and integrated systems, vital records support, alignment with Strategic Planning and Enterprise Architecture.
- Complete The Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care (AAAHC) Consultative Survey – Measure implementation and compliance with 2011 standards.

These performance factors are directly related to the contract scope and represent critical performance areas, both operationally and contractually. The plan also provides a quantitative approach to fee determination while maintaining alignment with FOH, EEOICPA, AAAHC and the DOE program office performance standards.

# Grading Table

	Description	Range of Performance Points
Outstanding	Contractor has exceeded almost all of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period.	96-100
Good	Contractor has exceeded many of the significant award-fee criteria and has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award- fee evaluation period.	76-95
Satisfactory	Contractor has met overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award-fee evaluation period.	50-75
Unsatisfactory	Contractor has failed to meet overall cost, schedule, and technical performance requirements of the contract as defined and measured against the criteria in the award-fee plan for the award- fee evaluation period. Performance at this level in any area mentioned in the PEMP may result in a decision by the FDO to withhold all fee for the period.	0-49

## **Important Note**

DOE-RL encourages the contractor to perform at the highest levels of excellence; however, the standard anticipated rating level of a qualified, competent and successful contractor is satisfactory. This corresponds to a numerical rating of 50 to 75 points on the DOE-RL contractor performance rating scale, and represents level of performance for which performance fee awards can be paid.

Performance ratings above the overall rating of satisfactory will reflect the extent to which the contractor, on its own initiative, is actively involved in performance improvement activities and the extent to which these actions contribute to more efficient, effective, and economical operation, thus forming the basis for earning performance fee. However, a rating above the overall rating of satisfactory (76-100 points) may be justified by a high level of sustained performance where further improvement in performance would not be cost effective.

A performance rating of 0-49 points for total performance is a matter of grave concern to DOE-RL. This is particularly true in the delivery of occupational medical services area where inadequate levels of performance could cause immediate and detrimental impact upon public health and safety, and could result in unacceptable programmatic impacts.

If the contractor's performance is considered unacceptable in any area of contract performance, the FDO may, at his or her discretion, determine the contractor's overall performance to be unacceptable and withhold the entire performance fee for the evaluation period.

# **Fee Conversion Chart**

Performance	% of Available	Overall Rating
Points	Performance Fee	
100	100.0	
99	99.0	
98	98.0	
97	97.0	
96	96.0	
95	95.0	Outstanding
90	90.0	
85	80.0	
80	70.0	
76	60.0	Good
75	50.0	
70	40.0	
65	30.0	
60	20.0	
55	10.0	
50	5.0	Satisfactory
0	0.0	Unsatisfactory

	21
	1
	Modification
Health Services	DE-AC06-04RL14383, M
Occupational	Contract No.

Part III Section J

Surveillance Method		FOH Bi-annual review information submitted to DOE for determination.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	96-100%	DOE determined AMH compliance with contract with sustained performance as compared to FY10. FOH identified themes addressed by AMH throughout FY11.	AMH documents completed activities in response to the identified functional areas of interest to ORP and proposes additional supporting activities as appropriate. AMH to perform and deliver WRPS identified Epidemiological Studies to WRPS by July 31 (see AMH letter: 11-AMH-0001). AMH institutes an updated medical surveillance program for Hanford tank farm workers, resulting from best practices identified in benchmarking activities as appropriate. In cooperation with DOE and the tank farm contractor, an improved bio-monitoring protocol is instituted as appropriate.
Good	76-95%	DOE determined AMH compliance with sustained performance as compared to FY10.	AMH documents completed activities in response to the identified functional areas of interest to ORP and proposes additional supporting activities as appropriate. AMH institutes an updated medical surveillance program for Hanford tank farm workers, resulting from best practices identified in benchmarking activities as appropriate.
Satisfactory	50-75%	DOE determined AMH compliance with contract	AMH documents completed activities in response to the identified functional areas of interest to ORP and proposes additional supporting activities as appropriate.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	DOE determined AMH non-compliance with contract.	No follow-up action on FY2010 Benchmarking efforts.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Bi-annual Federal Occupational Health Review and cost analysis study (30 Performance Points)	Benchmarking Evaluative (5 performance points)
Contract Reference		C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Medical Program	C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Program
PEMP Item		~	2.a.

	121
	Modification
<b>Occupational Health Services</b>	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 12.
Occupational	Contract No.

Par

	5
П	и
-	10
11	Ct
2	°.

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	96-100%	Continue hosting semi-annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives. Opportunities for improvement in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented. AMH develops Annual Communication Plan for continuous improvement in communications with stakeholders. Annual Communication Plan activities are implemented.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 90% (or more) of its CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 8/1/11 to meet 10 CFR 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Good	76-95%	Continue hosting semi- annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives Opportunities for improvement in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented. AMH develops Annual Communication Plan for continuous improvement in communications with stakeholders.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 80% of its CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 8/1/11 to meet 10 CFR 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Satisfactory	50-75%	Continue hosting semi- annual Stakeholder Interface meetings with key contractor and DOE representatives Opportunities for improvement in communications with stakeholders are assessed and documented.	Schedule and tracking is produced and maintained for Beryllium corrective action activities. AMH completes 70% of its CAP items scheduled for completion prior to 8/1/11 to meet 10 CFR 850 and Site CBDPP requirements.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	No change in activities related to Stakeholder Interface meetings or communications with stakeholders.	No action on identified Beryllium Corrective Action Plan (CAP) actions.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Enhancement in Customer Satisfaction (4 Performance Points)	Beryllium Corrective Action Plan (7 Performance Points)
Contract Reference		C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Medical Program	C.8.a to C.10 Integrated Occupational Program
PEMP Item		5 P.	2.c.

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and assess and assess and 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	96-100%	Dependent upon adequate funding. AMH shall improve the process for record production beyond the good criteria. AMH shows initiative in working AMH shows initiative in working cooperatively with DOE (and other EEOICPA-related cooperations/contractors) in improving the records process (to include storage, handling, and any conversion concepts – e.g. electronic)	AMH improves on the record response time beyond the Good criteria and average turnaround time for requests is <= 15 days. Upon receipt of an acceptable file from the Third Party Administrator (TPA), AMH will respond with a report listing all of the related AMH referral charges within 5 working days. AMH demonstrates effective teaming with the TPA, helping improve their familiarity with Hanford Site issues, operations, and contractors. This may include such activities as extending an invitation for accompaniment to Site health and safety meetings.
Good	76-95%	Dependent upon adequate funding, AMH respond s to requests for records in a timely manner as required. 95% of requests are responded to within 35 days of receipt.	AMH improves on the record response time beyond Satisfactory criteria and average turnaround time for requests is <= 20 days.
Satisfactory	50-75%	Dependent upon adequate funding, AMH responds to requests for records in a timely manner as required. 90% of requests are responded to within 45 days of receipt.	AMH responds to requests for records in a timely manner as required. Average turmaround time is <=30 days.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	AMH is non-responsive.	AMH is non-responsive.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA) Requirements (5 Performance Points) See Note 1	Support to Worker Compensation Program (5 Performance points) See Note 2
Contract Reference		C.9.q EEOICPA Requirements	C.9.d.2
PEMP Item		e. C	d. E

Part III Section J

Occupational Health Services Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 121

	on 121
	cati
Occupational Health Services	Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modifi

Services 6.04R114383 Modification 121

Part III Section J

PEMP	Contract	Factor	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Outstanding	Surveillance
Item	Reference	(Points)					Method
		Performance	0-49%	50-75%	76-95%	96-100%	
	400	Points Factor	AMH taking minimal managed actions	The emotion of fee with	The emotion of fee with	The emerat of fee with second to	
ບໍ <b>່</b>	C.S.N	Protection of	AIMIN TAKING ININIMAL RESPONSE ACTIONS	I he amount of tee with	I he amount of tee with	I he amount of tee with respect to	
	Protected	Personally		respect to this	respect to this performance	this performance item may be	conduct
	Health	Identifiable		performance item may	item may be reduced if it is	reduced if it is determined that a	periodic
	Information	Information		be reduced if it is	determined that a	performance failure occurs,	assessments.
	and	(IIId)		determined that a	performance failure occurs,	warranting a reduction.	AMH will self
	Personally	(3		performance failure	warranting a reduction.		assess and
	Identifiable	performance		occurs, warranting a		Performance as identified under the	submit report to
	Information	Points)		reduction.	Performance as identified	Good criteria, and	DOE by
					under the Satisfactory	AMH is highly proactive in	10/6/11. DOE
		See Note 3		Use the assessment	criteria, and	implementing robust protective	will review and
				process for continuous	AMH responds appropriately	systems and procedures related to	evaluate.
				improvement including	to vulnerabilities and	protected PII as demonstrated by	
				lessons learned and	incidents with effective	aggressive response to identifying	
				tracking actions to	response actions.	vulnerabilities or responding to	
				closure for future		incidents.	
				reportable events of			
				protected PII and			-
	÷			implement effective			-
				response actions if			
				appropriate.			

121
ication
14383, Modifi
ional Health Services t No. DE-AC06-04RL14383,
ial Healt) o. DE-AC
Occupational I Contract No. L

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	
Outstanding	96-100%	Continue AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings to improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. Conduct internal training as appropriate. Communicate beryllium process changes as needed to contractors. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days ≥0% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days ≥0% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.	
Good	76-95%	Continue AMH Beryllium Continue AMH Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. Conduct internal training as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 80 to 89% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 80 to 89% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.	
Satisfactory	50-75%	Continue AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings to improve Beryllium Program delivery to the Hanford Site. AMH actively participates in the Site- Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 70 to 79% of the time as evidenced by the Be- LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial heryllium work recommendations within tracking and reporting.	
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	AMH discontinues the AMH Beryllium Clinic Operations meetings. AMH non- participatory in Site-Wide CBDPP Implementation & Maintenance Committee and related subcommittees as appropriate. AMH will make initial notification by telephone to patients with positive or borderline Be-LPT results within two business days 60 to 69% of the time as evidenced by the Be-LPT positive/borderline initial notification tracking database. AMH will send notifications to employers of initial beryllium work recommendations within two business days 60 to 69% of the time as evidenced by electronic tracking and reporting.	
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points)	
Contract Reference	-	C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical an identified priority in the AMH Strategic Plan	
PEMP Item		4. G	

Page J-40

Part III Section J

	121
	, Modification
Health Services	DE-AC06-04RL14383,
<b>Dccupational</b> H	Contract No.

Surveillance Method		DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.	DOE will conduct periodic assessments. AMH will self assess and submit report to DOE by 10/6/11. DOE will review and evaluate.
Outstanding	96-100%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Outstanding. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results > 90% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Outstanding. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests – initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request ≥ 90% of the time.
Good	76-95%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Good. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results 80 to 89% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Good. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests - initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request 80 - 89% of the time.
Satisfactory	50-75%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Satisfactory. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results 70 to 79% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Satisfactory. Turnaround time for BHS EAP appointment requests - initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request 70 - 79% of the time.
Unsatisfactory	0-49%	AMH's performance in providing drug testing results is Unsatisfactory. During standard operating hours at the main clinic, the average annual reporting of drug testing results to contractor POCs is made available within 24 hours for confirmed positive results <69% of the time.	AMH's performance in providing employee counseling and health promotion services to the Site is Unsatisfactory. Turnaround time for Behavioral Health Services (BHS) Employee Assistance Program (EAP) appointment requests – initial EAP appointment offered within 3 days of the request < 70% of the time.
Factor (Points)	Performance Points Factor	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points) See Note 4	Provide cost- effective integrated clinical services that meet the needs of DOE and the Hanford workforce (4 Performance Points) See Note 5
Contract Reference		C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical Program and an identified priority in the AMH Strategic Plan	C.8.a Integrated Occupational Medical Program and an identified priority in the AMH Strategic Plan
PEMP Item		4. G	4. с.

upational H tract No. D	lealth Services	ct No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 121
2 2	<b>Occupational Health Serv</b>	Contract No. DE-AC06-0-

Part III Section J

Item       Reference       (Points)       0-49%       50-75%       76-         5.       C.9.g       Performance       No change in the Employee Job Task       HOHP committee and       Nide Safety       Task Analysis       ETTAl system       Nide Safety       Committee and Sit       Nide Safety       Committee astabilish intent       In preparation       In preparation <th>Salisiacioi y GOOG</th> <th>Outstanting</th> <th>Surveillance</th>	Salisiacioi y GOOG	Outstanting	Surveillance
Performance     0-49%     50-75%       Points Factor     No change in the Employee Job Task     HOHP committee and       C.9.g     Hanford     No change in the Employee Job Task     HOHP committee and       Occupational     Occupational     Analysis (EJTA) system     Site Wide Safety       Process     Process     Improvement     Site Wide Safety       Improvement     Employee Job     Task Analysis     Committee establish       Improvement     Employee     Employee     Forebaration for EJTA       Imainference     Promotee     Forebaration for EJTA			Method
Points Factor     Points Factor       C.9.g     Hanford     No change in the Employee Job Task       Docupational     Occupational     Analysis (EJTA) system       Docupational     Occupational     Analysis (EJTA) system       Health     Health     Employee Job Task       Process     Process     Site Wide Safety       Improvement     Employee Job     Site Wide Safety       Task Analysis     Committee establish     Interface meetings in       Improvement     Employee Job     Interface meetings in       Improvement     Employee Job     Task Analysis       (EJTA) system     Committee establish     Interface meetings in       Improvement     Employee Job     Fask Analysis     Committee establish       Improvement     Employee Job     Task Analysis     Committee establish       Improvement     Employee Job     Fase Note 9     See Note 9       Points)     See Note 9     Points     Points	50-75% 76-95%	96-100%	
C.9.g       Hanford       No change in the Employee Job Task       HOHP committee and         Occupational       Occupational       Analysis (EJTA) system       Site Wide Safety         Health       Health       Rockss       Site Wide Safety         Process       Process/       Improvement       Employee Job         Improvement       Employee Job       Site Wide Safety         Improvement       Employee Job       Site Wide Safety         Improvement       Employee Job       Interface meetings in         Improvement       Employee Job       Task Analysis         (EJTA) system       maintenance       and         improvement       Employee Job       Safety program         Process       Forthance       Safety program         Improvement       Analysis       Intritatives.			
tional Occupational Analysis (EJTA) system Site Wide Safety Health Process/ Process/ Employee Job Task Analysis (EJTA) system maintenance and improvement (4 Performance Points) See Note 9	HOHP committee and HOHP committee and Site	HOHP committee and Site Wide	DOE will
Health       Committee establish         Process/       Process/         Employee Job       Interface meetings in preparation for EJTA         Task Analysis       EJTA) system         maintenance       and         improvement       (EJTA) system         maintenance       and         improvement       (4         Performance       points)         See Note 9       See Note 9	Site Wide Safety Wide Safety Committee	Safety Committee establish interface	conduct
ment Employee Job Task Analysis (EJTA) system maintenance and improvement (4 Performance Points) See Note 9	Committee establish establish interface meetings	meetings in preparation for EJTA	periodic
Employee Job Task Analysis (EJTA) system maintenance and improvement (4 Performance Points) See Note 9	nterface meetings in in preparation for EJTA	rebuild and Site-wide safety program	assessments.
Analysis rebuild and Site-wide A) system tenance safety program initiatives. Sovement initiatives. Mote 9 Note 9	preparation for EJTA   rebuild and Site-wide safety	initiatives.	AMH will self
A) system safety program tenance initiatives.	ebuild and Site-wide program initiatives.		assess and
tenance initiatives. Svement brance is Note 9	safety program	Using requirements established in	submit report to
ovement ormance Is) Note 9	nitiatives. Using requirements	FY10 for hazard-based medical	DOE by
τ ο	established in FY10 for	program assignment, and feedback	10/6/11. DOE
α	hazard-based medical	from HOHP and Site Wide Safety	will review and
Ο	program assignment, and	committee interface meetings,	evaluate.
	feedback from HOHP and	develop EJTA functional prototype.	
	Site Wide Safety committee		
EJTA function	interface meetings, develop	Participate in requirements gathering	
	EJTA functional prototype.	and EJTA implementation of new	
		Site-Wide EJTA process.	

submit report to Surveillance will review and 10/6/11. DOE assessments. AMH will self Method assess and DOE will evaluate. DOE by conduct periodic implementation project on October 1, -- to implement OHM by PureSafety, Used by IT and Functional Leads for (Loading of Legacy Data) Complete; coordination. AMH notifies the Vital Management Plan Complete; EMR 2011 AWP, we will accomplish the appointments to maximize records Implementation Process Redesign Conversion, or Replacement Plan proposed Information Technology Records digitization by identifying IOMS/EMR implementation plan individual(s) responsible for vital Records contractor of scheduled Product Licensed, Installed, and Complete; Data Conversion Plan -- there are no reductions in our If AMH is approved to start the If funded, AMH supports Vital records transfer, custody and Data Conversion, Post IOMS Privacy Impact Assessment Hosting, Support, Software Study, and Testing; EJTA Integration, Development, Outstanding 2010, and DOE agrees 96-100% submitted to DOE. availability. complete following: and retired as a result of the EMR If funded, AMH is responsive will accomplish the following: implementation. Create and -- there are no reductions in OMS/EMR implementation responsible for vital records Technology 2011 AWP, we Software inventory that will If AMH is approved to start the implementation project our proposed Information be replaced, modified, or on October 1, 2010, and -- to implement OHM by digitization contractor by. identifying individual(s) Identify applications in transfer, custody and to the Vital Records 76-95% document the plan. Good PureSafety, and plan complete DOE agrees coordination. responsive and supports start the implementation -- to implement OHM by 2010, and DOE agrees Technology 2011 AWP, If AMH is approved to we will accomplish the project on October 1, proposed Information digitization contractor. implementation plan Satisfactory If funded, AMH is the Vital Records reductions in our PureSafety, and 50-75% -- there are no IOMS/EMR following: complete. implementing an Integrated Occupational If funded, AMH is nonresponsive and provides limited support to the Vital Records digitization contractor. Unsatisfactory No further progress made on 0-49% Medical System (IOMS). Performance Points Factor Occupational Performance (Points) Factor See Note 6 Information Technology Integrated Systems Medical Points) 10 Strategic Plan Requirements priority in the Contract Reference Management Occupational Service Area C.3.c, C.4, C.8 & C.9 Integrated Program, Identified Records Medical C.9.h AMH AND PEMP <u>ن</u>

Section J Part III

Page J-43

Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 121 **Occupational Health Services** 

Occupational Health Services Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 121

111	l no
Part	Secti

Reference			Salislaciuly	2000	Outstanding	Surveillance
	(Points)					Method
	Performance Points Factor	0-49%	50-75%	76-95%	96-100%	
C.9.h Records	Information Technology –	AMH maintains no alignment or communication with the DOE RL IT	AMH participates in Hanford IT Strategic	Performance as identified under the Satisfactory	Performance as identified under the Good criteria, and: AMH's IT	DOE will conduct
Management	Strategic	Strategic Planning representative, the	Planning meetings and	criteria, and: AMH Capital	policies, procedures, and practices	periodic
C.3.c, C.4,	Planning and	Hanford It Strategic Plans, and/or	the development of the	Planning Investment Control	demonstrate alignment with the	assessments.
C.8 & C.9	Enterprise	Enterprise Architecture (EA).	Hanford Site IT Strategic	(CPIC) items are aligned with	Hanford Site IT Strategic Plan/EA,	AMH will self
Integrated	Architecture		Plan and EA.	Hanford's IT Portfolio (e.g.,	relative to the implementation of any	assess and
Occupational Medical	(3 Performance			which investments fall into the Records 300_etc.) and	plans created to address gaps in alignment	submit report to
Program,	Points)			are submitted as required;	0	10/6/11. DOE
Service Area	See Notes 7, 8			Mutually agreed upon gaps		will review and
Requirements				in alignment between AMH		evaluate.
AND				IT and the Strategic Plan/EA		Periodic CPIC
ldentified				are identified and plans to		submissions
priority in the				address them are created.		need not be
AMH						assessed or
Strategic Plan						reported
						separately.
C.10 Accreditation Requirements	Complete AAAHC Re- Accreditation Survey (12 Performance Points)	AMH fails to achieve AAAHC reaccreditation prior to 9/30/11.	AAAHC to award AMH with a deferred accreditation decision prior to 9/30/11.	AAAHC to award AMH with a 1 year accreditation decision prior to 9/30/11.	100% is achieved if AAAHC awards AMH with accreditation of 3 years by 9/30/11.	As documented by AAAHC, AMH achieves accreditation and reports to DOE by 10/6/11. Accreditation validated by DOE.

Note 1	Note 1 Assumes volumes consistent with FY10 and increased volumes as a result of new special cohorts may require additional resources to maintain required turnaround times. Records requests from the Federal Records Center (FRC) normally take 4-6 days. Delays in turnaround not in AMH's control could affect turnaround times adversely and should not be considered as part of AMH turnaround time. If there is inadequate funding, AMH and DOE agree to allocate performance points related to this item to item 1. "Bi-Annual FOH Review."
Note 2	Records requests from the Federal Records Center (FRC) normally take 4-6 days. Delays in turnaround not in AMH's control could affect turnaround times adversely and should not be considered as part of AMH turnaround time.
Note 3	<ul> <li>PHI and PII incidents - event reports will be reviewed by DOE and a determination will be made regarding:</li> <li>CIRC - Cyber Incident Response Capability</li> <li>PCSP - Program Cyber Security Plan, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.</li> <li>Incident - As defined in PCSP, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.</li> </ul>
	Page J-44

Contract No. DE-AC06-04RL14383, Modification 121	
	<ul> <li>Personally Identifiable Information (PII) - As defined in PCSP, March 27, 2008, Version 1.1.</li> <li>Protected PII: PII that requires enhanced protection. This information includes data that if compromised could cause harm to an individual such as identity theft.</li> <li>The payment of earmed fee PEMP item is dependent upon the contractor's or contractor employees' compliance with the terms and conditions of this contract relating to the safeguarding of Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Financial incentives for timely mission accomplishment or cost effectiveness shall never compromise or impede full and effective implementation of personally Identifiable Information (PII). Financial incentives for timely mission accomplishment or cost effectiveness shall never compromise or impede full and effective implementation of protection of PII.</li> <li>In determining the amount of the reduction and the applicability of mitigating factors, the contracting officer must consider the contractor's overall performance against any Site-specific or DOE performance criteria/requirements that provide additional definition, guidance for the amount of the contract. Such consideration must include performance against any Site-specific or DOE performance additional definition, guidance for the applicability of mitigating factors. In all cases, the contractor had made to anticipate and mitigate the possibility of the event in advance. (iii) Contractor self-identification and response to the event or incident. (ii) Efforts the contractor had and absolute performance) of: PII and compliance in related areas; (v) Contractor demonstration that a performance (ivertion) and to support DOE contractor demonstration that a performance measurement system is routinely used to improve and maintain PII berformance (include, but are not limited to, the contractor feetor-making. (iii) Contractor demonstration that a continuous improvement program is functioning that demonstrabily affects continuous financention that a performanc</li></ul>
Note 4	Drug Screen Timeframes/ Parameters: The Medical Review Office function operates from the 1979 Snyder Street Health Care Clinic and processes occur during clinics hours at same. For accountability purposes, the clock will start when all required elements to process drug screen are in-hand (Testing Notification, legible Custody & Control Form, and result from laboratory). The clock will stop when the test is endorsed by the Medical Review Officer. Results released by the lab outside of clinic hours, for example weekends, holidays, facility closure days, are handled when Clinic operations resume (i.e., the Monday following a weekend). Confirmed positive results (post-interview with Medical Review Officer) are immediately reported to contractor points of contact verbally via phone. Electronic communications regarding positive testing endorsements are sent via secure means.
Note 5	Only the initial EAP appointment is considered in EAP metric related to appointment turnaround time. In calculation of the turnaround time, only BHS operational days (usual Site 8X9 schedule with Site Friday off) are counted. Days are counted from the date a patient makes a request to the date of the next available EAP appointment. If the patient refuses the appointment offered and/or requests a specific date, time, or provider, those additional days between request and appointment date are consequently not counted.
Note 6	An Integrated Occupational Medical System (abbreviated here as IOMS) is the equivalent of an Enterprise Resource Planning system, specialized for the clinical/medical industry. An IOMS system would integrate and automate multiple legacy systems and includes the Electronic Medical Records (EMR) system.
Note 7	Currently, OMB (and DOE OCIO, and DOE EM) requirements are that CPIC submissions occur 2-3 times a year for Exhibit 53 items, monthly for Exhibit 300 items.
Note 8	AMH will be invited to participate in Hanford IT Strategic Planning and Enterprise Architecture discussions.
Note 9	AMH's responsibility is to partner with MSA in the implementation of this project with requirements gathering facilitation, communication, and application roll-out being lead by MSA and technical design, integration with existing Medical systems, build, and application hosting being lead by AMH. As comprehensive requirements are not yet known, our ability to deliver a functional prototype is dependent on the success of the associated site-wide safety group meetings being led my MSA.