Section E Nuclear Facility D&D, Remainder of Hanford (RL-0040)





L.T. Blackford Vice President and Project Manager for Decommissioning, Waste, Fuels, and Remediation Services (DWF&RS)

May 2012 CHPRC-2012-05, Rev. 0 Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788 Deliverable C.3.1.3.1 - 1

PROJECT SUMMARY

ARRA

No significant activity.

Base

No significant activity.

EMS Objectives and Target Status

Objective #	Objective	Target	Due Date	Status
12-EMS- DWF&RS- OB2-T1	Reduce the generation and release of toxic and hazardous chemicals and material.	Improve the spill prevention program to reduce the likelihood of spills by using spill prevention techniques, procedures, and surveillances.	9/30/12	50% completed

TARGET ZERO PERFORMANCE

	Current Month	Rolling 12 Month	Comment
Days Away, Restricted or Transferred	0	1	N/A
Total Recordable Injuries	0	3	N/A
First Aid Cases	1	24	5/31/2012: Employee was retrieving tool from back of pickup bed and felt pain in leg. Body part affected: knee (22775)
Near-Misses	0	0	N/A

KEY ACCOMPLISHMENTS

ARRA – U Plant/Other Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D)

• No significant activity.

Base

- Completed 6 operational surveillances.
- Completed 285 Radiological Operations surveillances.
- Completed 18 preventive maintenance (PM) activities.
- Completed annual surveillance of B Plant.

MAJOR ISSUES

No major issues to report this month.



RISK MANAGEMENT STATUS

Unassigned Risk Risk Passed New Risk Change		v	Vorking - N Vorking - C Vorking - C		 Increased Confidence No Change Decreased Confidence
Risk Title	Risk Strategy/Handling	Asses Month	sment Trend	_	Comments
	RL	-040/WBS			
D4-043: Unforeseen Facility Event Impacts Safety or Environment	Unexpected event, including contamination or chemical spread, fire, industrial accident, structural degradation, etc., requires immediate D&D of a small to medium sized facility or requires unplanned facility repairs. Current management of the shutdown facilities includes corrective maintenance based upon historic experience.		+	events encounte	
WSR-047: Unforeseen Waste Site Event	Unforeseen waste site event, including contamination or chemical spread, fire, industrial accident, structural degradation, etc. requires immediate disposition or modification to a waste site. Routine surveillance and maintenance of the waste sites, including herbicide applications, is designed to protect workers and the environment.		+	Continuing wast unplanned event	te site inspections & surveillances. No ts encountered.
WSR-007: More Extensive Contamination Than Expected	Cannot control extent of contamination; no mitigation.		$ \Longleftrightarrow $	No issues at this	s time.
WSR-008: No Action Waste Sites	Using L-8 table data; no mitigation.		$ \clubsuit$	No issues at this	s time.
WSR-028: Unexpected Liquid in Pipelines/Tanks	Anticipate liquids in field work plans; include spill response plans in RD/RAWPs.		$ \Longleftrightarrow $	No issues at this	s time.
PRC-010: Requirements Change	The remediation of asbestos was conducted in accordance with industry accepted techniques and processes. CHPRC is working with DOE-RL and other site contractors to ensure the asbestos abatement and containment procedures are adequate.	•	+	areas could iden asbestos abatem demolished strue	e notification regarding asbestos abatement tify additional requirements regarding ent and remediation from previously ctures. Contract modification received to al scope for abandoned steam lines and ne removal.
PRC-014: Site-Wide Occurrence	The remediation of asbestos was conducted in accordance with industry accepted techniques and processes. All Hanford site Contractors have been requested to assess asbestos abatement and facility conditions.		+	areas identifies t schedule growth	e notification regarding asbestos abatement that as a potential concern for cost and a. Contract modification received to accept e for abandoned steam lines and limited val.
PRC-021A: Workforce Restructuring Caused by Funding Changes	Revise project schedules and work planning documents around workforce restructuring timelines. Work with other contractors to minimize impacts associated with Bump and Roll.		1	Based on FY-13 workforce restru	funding projections, CHPRC is initiating a acturing actions.



PROJECT BASELINE PERFORMANCE Current Month (\$M)

(+)									
WBS 040/ RL-0040 Nuclear Facility D&D	Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed	Actual Cost of Work Performed	Schedule Variance (\$)	Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$)	Cost Variance (%)		
U Plant/Other	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	(0.1)	-0.0		
Outer Zone	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	(0.0)	-0.0		
Asbestos Abatement	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ARRA Total	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	(0.1)	-0.0		
Base	<u>0.9</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>(0.1)</u>	-15.1	<u>(0.5)</u>	-60.0		
Total	0.9	0.8	1.3	(0.1)	-15.1	(0.6)	-75.6		
Numbers are rounded to the nearest \$0.1M									

ARRA

CM Schedule Performance: (\$0.0M/0.0%)

ARRA RL-0040.R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D Variance is within reporting threshold.

ARRA RL-0040.R1.2 Outer Zone Variance is within reporting threshold.

CM Cost Performance: (-\$0.1M/-0.0%)

ARRA RL-0040.R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D Work is Complete. Variance is result of residual subcontract charges and accounting adjustments.

ARRA RL-0040.R1.2 (-\$0.0M) Variance is within reporting threshold.

Base

CM Schedule Performance: (-\$0.1M/-15.1%)

Variance is within reporting threshold.

CM Cost Performance: (-\$0.5M/-60.0%)

Variance is within reporting threshold.



(\$M)										
WBS 040/ RL-0040 Nuclear Facility D&D	Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed	Actual Cost of Work Performed		Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$)	Cost Variance (%)	Budget at Completion (BAC)	Estimate at Completion (EAC)	Variance at Completion (VAC)
U Plant/Other	199.4	199.4	193.6	(0.0)	-0.0	5.8	2.9	199.4	193.6	5.8
Outer Zone	84.3	84.3	71.6	0.0	0.0	12.6	15.0	84.3	71.7	12.6
Asbestos Abatement	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>(1.8)</u>
ARRA Total	283.7	283.7	265.2	(0.0)	-0.0	18.4	6.5	283.7	266.9	16.6
Base	<u>76.0</u>	<u>75.7</u>	<u>68.9</u>	<u>(0.3)</u>	-0.4	<u>6.7</u>	8.9	<u>363.2</u>	<u>356.0</u>	<u>7.1</u>
Total	359.7	359.3	334.2	(0.3)	-0.1	25.1	7.0	646.9	623.1	23.7

Contract-To-Date

Numbers are rounded to the nearest \$0.1M

ARRA

CTD Schedule Performance: (-\$0.0M/-0.0%)

ARRA RL-0040.R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D Variance is within reporting threshold.

ARRA RL-0040.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D Variance is within reporting threshold.

CTD Cost Performance: (+\$18.4M/+6.5%)

ARRA RL-0040.R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D - The positive cost variance is due to several factors including the favorable performance of the Cold and Dark and Sampling and Characterization/Waste Identification Form teams (D4); overhead allocations, less than anticipated resources for Program Management and C-3 Sampling; lower than planned costs for capital equipment (D4), and less asbestos abatement required for 200W buildings. This is offset by increased material and equipment costs, increased use of masks and respirators due to the unexpected asbestos levels in the ancillary buildings in U Ancillary (D4), coupled with increased insulator staff and the use of overtime to recover schedule, 200E Administration and 209E Project delays, less resources required at U Canyon (D4), and Usage Based Services higher than planned.

ARRA RL-0040.Rl.2 Outer Zone D&D - The favorable cost variance is due to efficiencies in Arid Lands Ecology (ALE), North Slope Facilities, disposition of railcars D&D, and Outer Area waste sites. The waste site favorable cost-to-date variance is primarily due to an O-Zone Remove, Treat, and Dispose (RTD) Waste Sites adjustments (pass back) to ERDF waste disposal costs reflecting the operational efficiencies of the super dump trucks. Within the waste sites area, this favorable cost variance is partially offset by higher than planned costs associated with remediation of pipelines. A negative cost variance is associated with increased costs for the 212N/P/R Project due to the walls of the basins being much thicker than estimated.

Base

CTD Schedule Performance: (-\$0.3M/-0.4%)

Variance is result of the B Plant Filter Change Out postponement (change out is now targeted for start of next FY)

CTD Cost Performance: (+\$6.7M/+8.9%)

Recognized efficiencies for demolition of the Industrial 7 Project (D4) as a result of utilization of existing site equipment and materials, surveillance and maintenance costs (D4) less than expected, completion of



the sampling of Cell 30 with less resources than planned, Program Management utilizing less resources, capital equipment, Usage Base Services, and underrun in overhead allocations.

Contract Performance Report Formats are provided in Appendix A and Appendix A-1. **Estimate at Completion (EAC)**

The BAC and EAC include FY2009 through FY2018.

The changes in EAC from April to May for both ARRA and Base, are within reporting thresholds.

FUNDS vs. SPEND FORECAST (\$M)								
FY2012								
Projected Funding	Spending Forecast	Spend Variance						
9.2	9.2	0.0						
11.6	11.3	0.3						
RL-0040 Total20.820.50.3								
	Projected Funding 9.2 11.6	(\$M) FY2012 Projected Funding Spending Forecast 9.2 9.2 11.6 11.3 20.8 20.5						

Numbers are rounded to the nearest \$0.1M

Funds/Variance Analysis

Funding includes FY2011 carryover and FY2012 new Budget Authority.

Critical Path Schedule

Critical path analysis can be provided upon request.

Baseline Change Requests

BCRA-040-12-004R0 - RL-40 CEIS & Activity Name Wording Correction BCR-040-12-003R0 - RL-40 Surveillance & Maintenance Corrections for PMB Rev3

MILESTONE STATUS

None currently identified.

SELF-PERFORMED WORK

The Section H. clause entitled, Self-Performed Work, is addressed in the Monthly Report Overview.

GOVERNMENT FURNISHED SERVICES AND INFORMATION (GFS/I)

None currently identified.

