

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

***Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee (AVDAC) Meeting***  
FDA White Oak Campus, Building 31, The Great Room (Rm. 1503)  
White Oak Conference Center, Silver Spring, Maryland  
May 10, 2012

**Draft Questions to the Committee**

---

1. Does the current application support a favorable risk-benefit assessment adequate to approve TRUVADA® for a PrEP indication in:
  - a. **VOTE:** HIV-uninfected men who have sex with men (MSM)?
  - b. **VOTE:** HIV-uninfected partners in serodiscordant couples?
  - c. **VOTE:** Other individuals at risk for acquiring HIV through sexual activity?

If no, what additional data are needed to support a favorable risk-benefit assessment adequate to approve TRUVADA for this indication for the populations listed above?

If yes, please address the following topics:

2. **DISCUSSION:** Discuss laboratory testing during administration of TRUVADA for a PrEP indication.
  - a. How frequently should HIV testing be recommended?
  - b. Which safety assessments should be recommended and how frequently?
3. **DISCUSSION:** Please comment on the Applicant's proposed Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS).
  - a. Prescriber education program including appropriate target prescribers.
  - b. What metrics could be considered in the REMS assessment in addition to prescriber and user surveys, number of prescribers trained and drug usage data?
4. **DISCUSSION:** What postmarketing studies should be conducted (e.g. emergence of drug resistance, behavioral changes, patterns of use, safety assessments)?
5. **DISCUSSION:** Please comment on whether the currently available evidence on the efficacy of TRUVADA for a PrEP indication make the conduct of placebo-controlled trials of primary HIV prevention unethical.