



Overview of the FGDC Metadata Summit 2011

Viv Hutchison, USGS



Summit Overview

- ◆ Dates: October 26-27, 2011
- ◆ Location: USGS Headquarters (Reston, VA)
- ◆ Purpose: To cooperatively determine a course of action for moving forward with ISO metadata implementation

- ◆ Day 1: ISO Training (optional) – 25 participants
- ◆ Day 2: Metadata Summit – 52 participants



Summit Planning Committee

- ◆ Viv Hutchison, USGS
- ◆ Jennifer Carlino, USGS
- ◆ Lynda Wayne, FGDC Office of the Secretariat
- ◆ Brigitta Urban-Mathieux, FGDC Office of the Secretariat
- ◆ Jacqueline Mize, NOAA

Summit Participant Affiliations

- ◆ BOEM
- ◆ Census
- ◆ DHS
- ◆ DOT
- ◆ EPA
- ◆ FAA
- ◆ FGDC
- ◆ Forest Service
- ◆ HUD
- ◆ Library of Congress
- ◆ NGA
- ◆ NOAA
- ◆ NPS
- ◆ NRCS
- ◆ USACE
- ◆ CIESIN, Columbia University
- ◆ ESRI
- ◆ DISDI Program
- ◆ Innovative Solutions International
- ◆ NJ Office of Information Technology
- ◆ Rahall Transportation Institute
- ◆ The SI Organization
- ◆ Valtus Imagery Services
- ◆ Westat

Overview of Training Agenda (Day 1)

◆ Morning:

- Transitioning 101
- ISO 191** Content and Organization
- XML Basics



◆ Afternoon:

- ISO 191** Content Detailed Review
- Tools for Creating and Editing ISO Metadata
- ISO Creation Methods
- Validation Techniques

Overview of Summit Agenda (Day 2)

◆ Morning: Presentations on Status of ISO...

- **ISO Standards: Status, Tools, Implementations, and Training:** Aleta Vienneau, ESRI
- **Applications and Tools:** Jacqueline Mize, NOAA
- **Geospatial Platform Update - Migration of GOS to Data.gov:** Rob Dollison, USGS
- **ISO Metadata Implementation:** Ted Habermann, NOAA
- **Training and Education:** Jacqueline Mize, NOAA



Overview of Summit Agenda (Day 2)

◆ Afternoon: Breakout Groups

- ISO Implementation
- ISO Applications and Tools
- ISO Education

Purpose:

- Identification of Common Issues
- Recommendations for Common Solutions

Breakout Group Descriptions

◆ ISO Implementation:

Topic - Issues related to the integration of a the ISO metadata standard into the geospatial data management and workflow

Question: *Assuming that the standard is stable and tools and training are available, what workflow and management challenges do you face in transitioning your organization from the CSDGM to ISO metadata?*

Breakout Group 1 - ISO Implementation Recommendations:

◆ Issue 1: Need for a compelling case for ISO metadata implementation

◆ Recommendations:

- Demonstrate benefits to using ISO (e.g. ability to document services)
- Demonstrate opportunity in transitioning (e.g. opportunity to review/clean up metadata holdings)
- Develop and promote comprehensive ISO tools and metadata demonstration projects
- Identify ISO metadata features that can be tied to the general mission of organizations (e.g. data sharing)
- Identify ISO metadata features that can be tied to key initiatives (e.g. Geospatial Platform)

Breakout Group 1 - ISO Implementation Recommendations:

- ◆ **Issue 2: Need to establish ISO metadata implementation directives from above**

- ◆ **Recommendations:**
 - Draft and promote federal language specific to ISO metadata implementation
 - Draft and promote ISO metadata implementation directive model language for use by non-federal organizations

Breakout Group 1 - ISO Implementation Recommendations:

◆ Issue 3: Ability to learn from and build upon one another's implementation efforts

◆ Recommendations:

- Establish an ISO metadata implementation wiki workspace
- Regular webinars that feature ISO implementation efforts & outcomes

Breakout Group Descriptions

◆ ISO Applications/Tools:

Topic - Issues related to the tools needed to effectively create, transform, validate, and publish ISO metadata

Question: *What specific application capabilities are needed to support ISO metadata within your organization?*

Breakout Group 2 – Applications and Tools Recommendations:

◆ Issue 1: Lack of Comprehensive User-Friendly Tools

◆ Recommendations:

- Wait for final draft schema and volunteer to review standards documents
- Provide good guidance for use of tools
- Update FGDC tool review by vendors
- Provide desired requirements list to vendors

◆ Issue 2: **Schema Development not in step with Standard Development**

◆ Recommendations:

- Wait until UML is stable and communicate standards updates to community
- Communicate and provide a better understanding of the standards process
- Create mailing lists to provide updates on ISO status

Breakout Group 2 – Applications and Tools Recommendations:

◆ Issue 3: **ISO 19115-2 records not available for publishing**

◆ Recommendations:

- Have geo.data.gov and data.gov provide ISO 19115-2 support

Breakout Group Descriptions

◆ ISO Education:

Topic - Issues related to outreach and training required to support transition to ISO metadata including: guidance documents, instructional materials, promotional materials, tutorials, websites, and training events

Question: *What training and outreach resources are needed to support the transition from CSDGM to ISO metadata?*

Breakout Group 3 – ISO Education Recommendations:

- ◆ **Issue 1: There is uncertainty in government agencies about which standard to choose right now – FGDC, ISO, etc.**
 - Offer situational "use cases" and workflow solutions (ie: decision tree) for making decisions about immediate standards choices

Breakout Group 3 – ISO Education Recommendations:

◆ Issue 2: Training is expensive and travel budgets are tight.

◆ Recommendations:

- Develop and host webinar training in addition to classroom training
- Offer recorded sessions where users can attend on their own schedule
- Leverage cross-agency resources to support training requests

◆ Issue 3: Existing ISO training is focused on the advanced metadata practitioner

◆ Recommendations:

- Develop a 3-tiered approach to training (Beginner, Intermediate, Advanced)
- Consider a “role-based” approach based on the audience (data manager, scientist, etc)
- Offer Train-the-Trainer for current FGDC metadata trainers to transition to teaching ISO at all levels

Feedback Summary

- ◆ Audience Assessment indicated high satisfaction for:
 - Summit as a good forum to communicate about ISO implementation
 - Quality of presentations (informative and good foundation for discussion)
 - Breakout groups well facilitated
 - Opportunity to express opinions and ask questions
 - Information will aid in job performance

Feedback Summary

- ◆ Overall recognition that Federal agencies should be moving to ISO now
- ◆ Participants saw value in implementation of ISO on a larger scale
- ◆ High interest in seeing another Summit offered within 6 months

Next Steps: Summit Outcomes

◆ Webinar: December 7th

- Geared to participants unable to make it to the Summit
- Overview of the Summit and gather input on breakout group topics

◆ Workshop Report

- Summarizing the discussions, challenges and recommendations

HOW STANDARDS PROLIFERATE: (SEE: A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC)



*Thank you for your
time... Questions?*