

1114 of the Bankruptcy Code as authorized representatives of current and future salaried retirees.

(o) "Salaried Trust" means the trust established under the Trust Agreement between the Salaried Board and the Salaried Trustee, effective May 31, 2004.

(p) "Salaried VEBA" means the Kaiser Aluminum Salaried Retirees VEBA and its associated voluntary employees' beneficiary association trust.

(q) "Shares" or "Stock" refers to shares of common stock of reorganized Kaiser, par value \$.01 per share.

(r) "Stock Transfer Restriction Agreement" means the agreement between Kaiser and National City Bank, acknowledged by the Hourly Independent Fiduciary with respect to management of the Kaiser's Stock held by the Hourly Trust.

(s) "Trusts" means the Salaried Trust and the Hourly Trust.

(t) "USW" means the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union.

(u) "VEBA" means a voluntary employees' beneficiary association.

(v) "VEBAs" refers to the Hourly VEBA and Salaried VEBA.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application for exemption are true and complete and accurately describe all material terms of the transactions. In the case of continuing transactions, if any of the material facts or representations described in the applications change, the exemption will cease to apply as of the date of such change.

In the event of any such change, an application for a new exemption must be made to the Department.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of January 2006.

**Ivan L. Strasfeld,**

*Director of Exemption Determinations,  
Employee Benefits Security Administration,  
U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. E6-20729 Filed 12-6-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-263]

### **Nuclear Management Company, LLC; Monticello Nuclear Generating Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

#### **Introduction**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50 (10 CFR 50), Appendix J, for Facility Operating Licenses No. DPR-22, issued to Nuclear Management Company (NMC) for operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (MNGP), located in Wright County, Minnesota.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

##### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would exempt NMC from requirements to include main steam isolation valve (MSIV) leakage in (1) the overall integrated leakage rate test measurement required by Section III.A of Appendix J, Option B; and (2) the sum of local leak rate test measurements required by Section III.B of Appendix J, Option B.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated September 15, 2005, for exemption and amendment to the operating license (the latter action is not the subject of this notice).

##### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

Section 50.54(o) of 10 CFR Part 50 requires that primary reactor containments for water-cooled power reactors be subject to the requirements of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix J specifies the leakage test requirements, schedules, and acceptance criteria for tests of the leak-tight integrity of the primary reactor containment and systems and components which penetrate the containment. Option B, Section III.A of Appendix J requires that the overall integrated leak rate must not exceed the allowable leakage (La) with margin, as specified in the Technical Specifications (TS). The overall integrated leak rate, as specified in the Appendix J definitions, includes the contribution from MSIV leakage. By letter dated September 15, 2005, the licensee requested an exemption from Option B, Section III.A, requirements to permit exclusion of MSIV leakage from the overall integrated leak rate test measurement.

Option B, Section III.B of Appendix J requires that the sum of the leakage

rates of Type B and Type C local leak rate tests be less than the performance criterion (La) with margin, as specified in the TS. The licensee's September 15, 2005, letter, also requests an exemption from this requirement, to permit exclusion of the MSIV contribution to the sum of the Type B and Type C tests.

The above-cited requirements of Appendix J require that MSIV leakage measurements be grouped with the leakage measurements of other containment penetrations when containment leakage tests are performed. The licensee stated that these requirements are inconsistent with the design of the MNGP facilities and the analytical models used to calculate the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents. At other nuclear plants, the leakage from primary containment penetrations, under accident conditions, is collected and treated by the secondary containment system, or would bypass the secondary containment. However, at MNGP, the leakage from the MSIVs is collected and treated via an alternative leakage treatment (ALT) path having different mitigation characteristics. In performing accident analyses, it is appropriate to group various leakage effluents according to the treatment they receive before being released to the environment, i.e., bypass leakage is grouped, leakage into secondary containment is grouped, and ALT leakage is grouped, with specific limits for each group defined in the TS. The proposed exemption would permit ALT path leakage to be independently grouped with its unique leakage limits.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. The NRC staff has completed its evaluation of the proposed exemption and associated amendment and finds that the calculated total doses remain within the acceptance criteria of 10 CFR 50.67 and General Design Criterion 19, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. The NRC staff thus concludes that granting the proposed exemption would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

The proposed action does not affect non-radiological plant effluents or historical sites, and has no other environmental impact. Therefore there are no significant non-radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental

impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternative to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the “no action” alternative). Denial of the exemption would result in no change in current environmental impacts. Thus, the environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the MNGP Final Environmental Statement dated November 1972, as supplemented on August 31, 2006 (Generic Environmental Impact Statement for Nuclear Plants for License Renewal, Regarding MNGP).

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 5, 2006, the NRC staff consulted with the Minnesota State official, Mr. Steve Rakow, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. Mr. Rakow had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee’s letter dated September 15, 2006. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O-1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of November, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Peter S. Tam,**  
*Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing  
Branch III-1, Division of Operating Reactor  
Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor  
Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E6-20751 Filed 12-6-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-482]

### **Notice of Acceptance for Docketing of the Application, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Conduct the Scoping Process for Facility Operating License No. NPF-42 for an Additional 20-Year Period; Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation; Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering an application for the renewal of operating license NPF-42, which authorizes the Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC), to operate the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS), Unit 1, at 3565 megawatts thermal. The renewed license would authorize the applicant to operate the WCGS, Unit 1, for an additional 20 years beyond the period specified in the current license. WCGS, Unit 1, is located in Burlington, Kansas, and its current operating license expires on March 11, 2025.

On October 4, 2006, the Commission’s staff received an application from WCNOC, to renew operating license NPF-42 for WCGS, Unit 1, pursuant to title 10, part 54, of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 54). A notice of receipt and availability of the license renewal application (LRA) was published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 2006 (71 FR 61512).

The Commission’s staff has reviewed the LRA for its acceptability and has determined that WCNOC has submitted sufficient information in accordance with 10 CFR 54.19, 54.21, 54.22, 54.23, and 51.53(c), and that the application is acceptable for docketing. The Commission will retain the current Docket No. 50-482, for operating license NPF-42. The docketing of the renewal application does not preclude requests for additional information as the review proceeds, nor does it predict whether the Commission will grant or deny the license.

Before issuance of the requested renewed license, the NRC will have made the findings required by the

Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission’s rules and regulations. In accordance with 10 CFR 54.29, the NRC may issue a renewed license on the basis of its review if it finds that actions have been identified and have been or will be taken with respect to: (1) Managing the effects of aging during the period of extended operation on the functionality of structures and components that have been identified as requiring aging management review; and (2) time-limited aging analyses that have been identified as requiring review, such that there is reasonable assurance that the activities authorized by the renewed license will continue to be conducted in accordance with the current licensing basis (CLB), and that any changes made to the plant’s CLB will comply with the Act and the Commission’s regulations. In addition, the Commission must find that applicable requirements of subpart A of 10 CFR part 51 have been satisfied, and that matters raised under 10 CFR 2.335 have been addressed.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this Federal Register notice, any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who desires to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the renewal of the license. Interested parties must file requests for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s “Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings and Issuance of Orders” described in 10 CFR part 2. Those interested should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission’s Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852 and is accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System’s (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room through the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to the Internet or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC’s PDR reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or via e-mail at [PDR@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR@nrc.gov). If a request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the 60-day period, the Commission or a presiding officer designated by the Commission or by the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel will rule on the request and/or petition, and the Secretary or the Chief