

The NRC inspector examined the intermodal containers while they were stored at the site. The amount of U-235 in the intermodals ranged from 75 to 206 grams per intermodal. One of the intermodals contained a sump from Building 10 and had a contact exposure rate of 65 microroentgens/hour. Measurements of the other containers were not significantly above background. On August 14, 2006, UCAR provided copies of the shipping manifests demonstrating that the 15 intermodal containers had been accepted for disposal by EnergySolutions in Utah.

UCAR provided a final radiological status survey and the NRC staff performed an independent dose assessment to demonstrate the site meets the license termination criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20. Based on its reviews of UCAR submittals and its own analyses and assessments, the NRC staff has determined that the site meets the unrestricted release dose criteria in 10 CFR Part 20.1402 and that no further remedial action under the NRC's authority is required at the UCAR site. The staff prepared a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) (ML062580415) to support its determination.

II. Further Information

In accordance with 10 CFR Part 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," details with respect to this action, including the SER, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agency wide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession number for the termination letter and SER, "Safety Evaluation Report to Support the Determination that No Further Action is Required under the Authority of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at the Union Carbide Corporation Facility in Lawrenceburg, TN" (Docket Nos. 070-00784 and 040-07044) is ADAMS No. ML062620512. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing a document located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to: pdr@nrc.gov.

This document may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O-1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at NRC, Rockville, MD, this 22nd day of September, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Keith I. McConnell,

Deputy Director, Decommissioning Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. E6-16014 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, STN 50-456 and STN 50-457]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Byron Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Braidwood Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, Section 50.60(a), for Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-37, NPF-66, NPF-72 and NPF-77, issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Byron Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Byron), and Braidwood Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Braidwood), located in Ogle County, Illinois and Will County, Illinois, respectively. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the use of the methods described in Westinghouse Commercial Atomic Power Report (WCAP)-16143, "Reactor Vessel Closure Head/Vessel Flange Requirements Evaluation for Byron/Braidwood Units 1 and 2," dated November 2003, in calculating the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) pressure-temperature (P-T) limits for Byron and Braidwood, in lieu of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Requirements," paragraph IV.A.2.c as required by 10 CFR 50.60(a).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated October 3, 2005.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because utilization of WCAP-16143 will enhance overall plant safety by widening the P-T operating window, especially in the region of low temperature operations. The primary

two safety benefits that would be realized are the following: (1) A reduction in the potential challenges to the low-temperature overpressure protection system and resultant inadvertent opening of a power operated relief valve, and (2) a reduction in the risk of damaging the reactor coolant pump seals due to pump operation under conditions in which it is difficult to maintain adequate seal differential pressure to ensure proper pump operation.

Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50 contains requirements for P-T limits for the primary system and requirements for metal temperature of the closure head flange and vessel flange regions. The P-T limits are to be determined using the methodology of American Society of Mechanical Engineers *Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code* (ASME Code), Section XI, Appendix G, but the flange temperature requirements are specified in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. This regulation (Table 1 of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G) states that the metal temperature at the closure flange regions must exceed the material unirradiated nil-ductility transition reference temperature (RT_{NDT}) by at least 120 °F for normal operation when the pressure exceeds 20 percent of the pre-service hydrostatic test pressure.

This requirement was originally based on concerns about the fracture margin in the closure flange region. During the boltup process, outside surface stresses in this region typically reach over 70 percent of the steady state stress, without being at steady state temperature. The margin of 120 °F and the pressure limitation of 20 percent of hydrostatic pressure were developed in the mid-1970s using the ASME Code lower bound crack arrest/dynamic test fracture toughness (K_{IC}) to ensure that appropriate margins would be maintained.

Improved knowledge of fracture toughness and other issues that affect the integrity of the reactor vessel have led to the recent change to allow the use of the ASME Code lower bound static crack initiation fracture toughness (K_{IC}) in the development of P-T curves, as contained in ASME Code Case N-640, "Alternative Reference Fracture Toughness for Development of P-T Limit Curves for Section XI, Division 1." ASME Code Case N-640 has been approved for use without conditions by the NRC staff in Regulatory Guide 1.147, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section XI, Division 1," published in August 2005.

However, P-T limit curves can still produce operational constraints by limiting the operational range available

to the operator during heatup and cooldown of the plant, especially when considering requirements in the closure head flange and the vessel flange regions. Implementing the P-T curves that use K_{Ic} material fracture toughness without exempting the flange requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, would place a restricted operating window in the temperature range associated with the closure head flange and reactor vessel flange, without a commensurate increase in plant safety.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the more conservative minimum temperature requirements related to footnote (2) to Table 1 of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G are not necessary to meet the underlying intent of 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G, to protect the Byron and Braidwood RPVs from brittle fracture during normal operation under both core critical and core non-critical conditions and RPV hydrostatic and leak test conditions.

The details of the NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released off site. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed

action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Byron and Braidwood stations, NUREG-0848 dated April 1982, and NUREG-1026 dated June 1984, respectively.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 19, 2006, the NRC staff consulted with the Illinois State official, Mr. Frank Niziolek of the Illinois Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 3, 2005. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of September 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert F. Kuntz,

Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch III-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E6-16015 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Draft Report for Comment: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Standard Review Plan, Section 13.3, "Emergency Planning"

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) and Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR) has issued Section 13.3, Second Draft Revision 3, "Emergency Planning," of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants, LWR Edition," for public comment.

DATES: Comments on this document should be submitted by November 13, 2006. To ensure efficient and complete comment resolution, comments should include references to the section, page, and line numbers of the document to which the comment applies.

ADDRESSES: NUREG-0800, including Section 13.3, Second Draft Revision 3, is available for inspection and copying for a fee at the Commission's Public Document Room, NRC's Headquarters Building, 11555 Rockville Pike (First Floor), Rockville, Maryland. The Public Document Room is open from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays. NUREG-0800, including Section 13.3, Second Draft Revision 3, is also available electronically on the NRC Web site at: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/>, and from the ADAMS Electronic Reading Room on the NRC Web site at: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (ADAMS Accession No. ML062550293).

Members of the public are invited and encouraged to submit written comments. Comments may be accompanied by additional relevant information or supporting data. A number of methods may be used to submit comments. Written comments should be mailed to Chief, Rulemaking, Directives, and Editing Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T6-D59, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Hand-deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., Federal workdays. Comments may be submitted electronically to: nrcprep@nrc.gov. Comments also may be submitted electronically through the comment form available on the NRC Web site at: