NLWJC - Kagan DPC - Box 006 - Folder 015

Campaign Finance Reform - Spending Limits

compain brance - gending limits

I am writing to commend the City Council of Cincinnati for its continuing efforts on behalf of campaign finance reform. I support the Council's efforts to place controls on campaign expenditures because I believe that true reform requires real limits on both campaign fundraising and spending.

As you know, I have directed the Justice Department to identify a case through which to urge the Supreme Court to clarify or reconsider its decision in *Buckley v. Valeo* which struck down the campaign expenditure limitations of the Federal Elections Campaign Act. The Department is still in the process of reviewing potential test cases, including *Kruse, et. al. v. The City of Cincinnati*. Because of the dramatic changes in the financing of political campaigns that could not have been foreseen when the Court first addressed spending limits, I believe the time has come for the Court to revisit, and uphold, the constituionality of mandatory spending limitations.

Through the efforts of the City Council of Cincinnati, I believe we have a historic opportunity to make our campaign finance system work for the American people.

Again, thank you for your efforts in support of campaign finance reform.

Sincerely,

William Jefferson Clinton

February 13, 1997

TO: John Hilley

Rahm Emmanuel Doug Sosnik Michael Waldman Elena Kagan Martha Foley

Paul Weinstein

FROM: Peter Jacoby

Re: Attached Materials on Campaign Finance Spending Cap Alternatives

Please find attached a packet of research materials that examines the impact of several alternative spending cap plans that have been proposed by Common Cause. These alternatives are being considered in the event aggregate spending caps, such as those contained in McCain-Feingold/Shays-Meehan, cannot win enough Congressional support. We will meet to go over this information on Friday at 3 pm in 472 OEOB.

Table of Contents:

- 1) Summaries of Options
- 2) Overview of Effect of Option #1
- 3) Overview of Effect of Option #4
- 4) Breakdown of Competitive 1996 Senate Elections (10% or less):

Alabama

Arkansas

Georgia

Iowa

Louisiana

Massachusetts

Maine

Minnesota

Montana

North Carolina

New Hampshire

New Jersey

Oregon

South Carolina

South Dakota

Virginia

Senate Options

Option One

Aggregate PAC contributions for all candidates limited to \$0.15 per voting age population with a minimum of \$400,000 and a maximum of \$950,000 per candidate per election cycle. Limits apply for the entire cycle. Individual PAC contributions limited to \$5,000 per cycle.

In-state contributors (or alternatively, contributions) for all candidate must be at least 60% of all contributors (contributions) for the cycle. Rule applies to all candidates.

In return for agreeing to raise no more than \$0.35 per voting age population in contributions over \$200 for the general election, with a minimum of \$800,000 and a maximum of \$5.0 million (no distinction between general and primary), candidates would be entitled to purchase radio and television advertising time for 50% of lowest unit rate, and to receive two free mailings per voter. Candidates receiving broadcast discount could not spend more than \$250,000 of their own money. Candidates agreeing to forego PAC contributions entirely would be permitted to raise their PAC limit in more large donor contributions.

No limit on contributions under \$200.

Candidates in "close" primaries (less than twenty percentage point victory) are permitted to raise an additional \$0.25 per voting age population, with a minimum of \$500,000 and a maximum of \$3.0 million. Such additional funds could be spent only in the primary.

Under this proposal, PACs are restrained for all candidates and there are no voluntary spending limits on any candidates. Instead, candidates who desire to have lighter fundraising burdens and to spend no more \$250,000 of their own money would be able to purchase broadcast time at a cheaper cost.

Option Two

Same as Option One but lower-cost broadcast time is provided in return for agreeing to constrain individual contributions over \$100.

Option Three

Same as Option Two plus a 100% tax credit for individual contributions of \$100 or less provided to candidates who agree to limit their large individual contributions.

Option Four

Spending limits in S. 25 are replaced with an overall fundraising target.

In-state contributors for all candidates must be at least 60% of all contributors.

PAC contributions can be 20% of the fundraising target, but in no case less than \$400,000 or more than \$950,000. Individual PAC contributions limited to \$5,000 per cycle.

Large individual contributions of \$200 or more are limited to 60% of fundraising target.

In return for voluntarily agreeing to the above restrictions, candidates are permitted to purchase broadcast time at 50% of lowest unit rate and such low cost expenditures can be no more than 30% of the total fundraising target. Participating candidates would receive two free mailings.

General Election Spending Limits From S. 25:

"Except as otherwise provided in this title, the aggregate amount of expenditures for a general election by an eligible general election Senate candidate and the candidate's authorized committees shall not exceed the lesser of-- (A) \$5,500,000; or (B) the greater of-- (i) \$950,000; or (ii) \$400,000; plus (I) 30 cents multiplied by the voting age population not in excess of 4,000,000; and (II) 25 cents multiplied by the voting age population in excess of 4,000,000."

Option Five (Feingold Draft)

Sixty percent of campaign funds must be raised from in-state.

Candidates limited to spending \$250,000 of own funds.

PAC contributions may not exceed 20% of total funds raised from both individuals (in-state and out-of-state) and PACs.

In return for agreeing to above, candidates receive 50% broadcast discount, 30 minutes free time and postage discounts.

All of these proposals can obviously be modified by substituting different numbers for the percentage and absolute limits suggested. All of these options assume that the lowest unit rate rules would otherwise be repealed for presidential and congressional candidates who do not enter the voluntary system. This would be done, not to unconstitutionally punish those who don't participate, but to limit the taking from the broadcast industry as an accommodation to their interests.

Option 1's effect on races 10% or less

Individual Contributions

		De	emocratic Lost			Re	epublican Lost
BEDFORD	AL	\$	604,290.00	SESSIONS	AL	\$	1,396,189.00
BRYANT	AR	\$	57,432.00	HUTCHINSON	AR	\$	•
CLELAND	GA	\$	20,371.00	MILLNER	GA	\$	743,542.00
HARKIN	IA	\$	1,059,491.00	LIGHTFOOT	IA	\$	6,740.00
LANDRIEU	LA	\$	383,431.00	JENKINS	LA	\$	-
KERRY	MA	\$	2,909,300.00	WELD	MA	\$	4,675,987.00
BRENNAN	MÉ	\$	-	COLLINS	ME	\$	•
WELLSTONE	MN	\$	149,051.00	BOSCHWITZ	MN	\$	757,682.00
BAUCUS	MT	\$	559,026.00	. REHBERG	MT	\$	-
GANTT	NC	\$	814,645.00	HELMS	NC	\$	962,216.00
SWETT	NH	\$	355,495.00	SMITH	NH	\$	-
TORICELLI	NJ	\$	3,371,430.00	ZIMMER	NJ	\$	2,603,518.00
BRUGGERE	OR	\$	140,233.00	G. SMITH	OR	\$	820,628.00
CLOSE	SC	\$	-	THURMOND	SC	\$	88,482.00
JOHNSON	SD	\$	554,083.00	PRESSLER	SD	\$	1,254,171.00
M. WARNER	VA	\$. -	J. WARNER	VA	\$	476,347.00
TOTAL		\$	10,978,278,00	TOTAL		\$	13,785,502.00
AVG,		\$	686,142.00	AVG.		\$	861,593.00
AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	543,346.00	AVG (w/o outlyers	;)	\$	650,679.00
MEDIAN		\$	369,463.00	MEDIAN		\$	609,944.00

Option #1

Option 1's effect on races 10% or less

PAC Contributions

		Dei	nocratic Lost			Re	publican Lost
BEDFORD	ΑL	\$	-	SESSIONS	AL	\$	418,234.00
BRYANT	AR	\$	60,556.00	HUTCHINSON	AR	\$	27,579.00
CLELAND	GA	\$	-	MILLNER	GΑ	\$	•
HARKIN	IΑ	\$	568,698.00	LIGHTFOOT	IA	\$	176,887.00
LANDRIEU	LA	\$	18,076.00	JENKINS	LA	\$	3,399.00
KERRY	MA	\$	-	WELD	MA	\$	119,911.00
BRENNAN	ME	\$	-	COLLINS	ME	\$	199,836.00
WELLSTONE	MN	\$	64,656.00	BOSCHWITZ	MN	\$	524,087.00
BAUCUS	MT	\$	910,272.00	REHBERG	MT	\$	-
GANTT	NC	\$	-	HELMS	NC	\$	173,527.00
SWETT	NH	\$	-	SMITH	NH	\$	474,451.00
TORICELLI	NJ	\$	15,356.00	ZIMMER	NJ	\$	283,038.00
BRUGGERE	OR	\$	-	G. SMITH	OR	\$	320,698.00
CLOSE	SC	\$	-	THURMOND	SC	\$	349,500.00
JOHNSON	SD	\$	392,894.00	PRESSLER	SD	\$	1,080,635.00
M. WARNER	VA	\$	-	J. WARNER	VA	\$	847,810.00
TOTAL		\$	2,030,508.00	TOTAL		\$	4,999,592.00
AVG.		S	126,906.00	AVG.		\$	312,474.00
AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	80,016.00	AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	279,925.00
MEDIAN		\$	-	MEDIAN		\$	241,437.00

Option 1's effect on races 10% or less

Small Contributions

		DE	EMOCRATIC			RE	PUBLICAN
BEDFORD	AL	\$	95,961.00	SESSIONS	AL	\$	296,746.00
BRYANT	AR	\$	152,023.00	HUTCHINSON	AR	\$	286,362.00
CLELAND	GΑ	\$	275,967.00	MILLNER	GΑ	\$	179,179.00
HARKIN	IA	\$	1,599,869.00	LIGHTFOOT	IΑ	\$	924,767.00
LANDRIEU	LA	\$	481,184.00	JENKINS	LA	\$	408,309.00
KERRY	MA	\$	3,274,989.00	WELD	MA	\$	757,562.00
BRENNAN	ME	\$	143,109.00	COLLINS	ME	\$	340,118.00
WELLSTONE	MN	\$	3,952,496.00	BOSCHWITZ	MN	\$	1,325,076.00
BAUCUS	MT	\$	592,579.00	REHBERG	MT	\$	388,187.00
GANTT	NC	\$	4,926,380.00	HELMS	NC	\$	3,652,988.00
SWETT	NH	\$	1 71,191.00	SMITH	NH	\$	205,127.00
TORICELLI	NJ	\$	625,022.00	ZIMMER	NJ	\$	820,966.00
BRUGGERE	OR	\$	395,393.00	G. SMITH	OR	\$	742,559.00
CLOSE	SC	\$	108,834.00	THURMOND	SC	\$	433,907.00
JOHNSON	SD	\$	574,591.00	PRESSLER	SD	\$	362,859.00
M. WARNER	VA	\$	238,705.00	J. WARNER	VA	\$	737,785.00
TOTAL		S	17,608,293.00	TOTAL		\$	11,862,497.00
AVG.		\$	1,100,518.00	AVG.		\$	741,406.00
AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	898,996.00	AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	573,595.00
MEDIAN		\$	438,288.00	MÉDIAN		\$	398,248.00

Option 1

In State Contributors

DEM	OCRATS %		REI	PUBLICANS %
AL	82	SESSIONS	AL	92
AR	81	MILLNER	GA	87
GA	76	HUTCHINSON	AR	85
SC	73	G. SMITH	OR	82
LA	67	THURMOND	SC	82
OR	64	REHBERG	MT	77
ME	60	LIGHTFOOT	IA	76
NJ	58	ZIMMER	NJ	74
MN	51	WELD	MA	73
MA	50	COLLINS	ME `	71
VA	46	J. WARNER	VA	70
MT	39	HELMS	NC	56
NC	33	JENKINS	LA	55
IA	29	SMITH	NH	54
SD	29	BOSCHWITZ	MN	52
NH	1	PRESSLER	SD	21
	AL AR GA SC LA OR NJ MA VA MT NA NC IA SD	AR 81 GA 76 SC 73 LA 67 OR 64 ME 60 NJ 58 MN 51 MA 50 VA 46 MT 39 NC 33 IA 29 SD 29	AL 82 SESSIONS AR 81 MILLNER GA 76 HUTCHINSON SC 73 G. SMITH LA 67 THURMOND OR 64 REHBERG ME 60 LIGHTFOOT NJ 58 ZIMMER MN 51 WELD MA 50 COLLINS VA 46 J. WARNER MT 39 HELMS NC 33 JENKINS IA 29 SMITH SD 29 BOSCHWITZ	AL 82 SESSIONS AL AR 81 MILLNER GA GA 76 HUTCHINSON AR SC 73 G. SMITH OR LA 67 THURMOND SC OR 64 REHBERG MT ME 60 LIGHTFOOT IA NJ 58 ZIMMER NJ MN 51 WELD MA MA 50 COLLINS ME VA 46 J. WARNER VA MT 39 HELMS NC NC 33 JENKINS LA IA 29 SMITH NH SD 29 BOSCHWITZ MN

Option 4's effect on races 10% or less

Individual Contributions

		De	emocratic Lost	•	ž.	Re	publican Lost
BEDFORD	AL	\$	897,750.00	SESSIONS	AL	\$	1,689,649.00
BRYANT	AR	\$	287,432.00	HUTCHINSON	AR	\$	55,401.00
CLELAND	GΑ	\$	692,171.00	MILLNER	GΑ	\$	1,415,342.00
HARKIN	ΙA	\$	1,239,331.00	LIGHTFOOT	ΙA	\$	186,580.00
LANDRIEU	LA	\$	670,431.00	JENK!NS	LA	\$	130,203.00
KERRY	MA	\$	3,462,100.00	WELD	MA	\$	5,228,787.00
BRENNAN	ME	\$	-	COLLINS	ME	\$	141,682.00
WELLSTONE	MN	\$	480,591.00	BOSCHWITZ	MN	\$	1,089,222.00
BAUCUS	MT	\$	789,026.00	REHBERG	MT	\$	-
GANTT	NC	\$	1,527,447.00	HELMS	NC	\$	1,675,016.00
SWETT	NH	\$	585,495.00	SMITH	NH	\$	6,829.00
TORICELLI	NJ	\$	4,206,230.00	ZIMMER	NJ	\$	3,438,318.00
BRUGGERE	OR	\$	293,193.00	G. SMITH	OR	\$	973,498.00
CLOSE	SC	\$	90,323.00	THURMOND	SC	\$	314,282.00
JOHNSON	SD	\$	784,089.00	PRESSLER	SD	\$	1,484,171.00
M. WARNER	VA	\$	-	J. WARNER	VA	\$	1,109,747.00
TOTAL		\$	16,005,609.00	TOTAL		\$	18,938,727,00
AVG,		\$	1,000,350.56	AVG.		\$	1,183,670.44
AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	842,812.79	AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	979,281.43
MEDIAN		\$	681,301.00	MEDIAN		\$	1,031,360.00

Option 4's effect on races 10% or less

PAC Contributions

		Dei	mocratic Lost			Re	publican Lost
BEDFORD	ΑL	\$	46,820.00	SESSIONS	AL	\$	488,934.00
BRYANT	AR	\$	60,556.00	HUTCHINSON	AR	\$	27,579.00
CLELAND	GΑ	\$	275,857.00	MILLNER	GΑ	\$	185,059.00
HARKIN	IA	\$	568,698.00	LIGHTFOOT	IA	\$	176,887.00
LANDRIEU	LA	\$	83,076.00	JENKINS	LA	\$	68,399.00
KERRY	MA	\$	•	WELD	MA	\$	404,511.00
BRENNAN	ME	\$	•	COLLINS	ME	\$	199,836.00
WELLSTONE	MN	\$	168,956.00	BOSCHWITZ	MN	\$	628,387.00
BAUCUS	MT	\$	910,272.00	REHBERG	MT	\$	=
GANNT	NC	\$	54,538.00	HELMS	NC	\$	578,127.00
SWETT	NH	\$	-	SMITH	NH	\$	474,451.00
TORICELLI	NJ	\$	492,756.00	ZIMMER	NJ	\$	760,438.00
BRUGGERE	OR	\$	-	G. SMITH	OR	\$	320,698.00
CLOSE	SC	\$	-	THURMOND	SC	\$	360,500.00
JOHNSON	SD	\$	392,894.00	PRESSLER	SD	\$	1,080,635.00
M. WARNER	VA	\$	-	J. WARNER	VA	\$	1,192,860.00
TOTAL		\$	3,054,423.00	TOTAL		\$	6,947,301.00
AVG,		\$	190,901,44	AVG.		S	434,206.31
AVG (w/o outlyers)		\$	153,153.64	AVG (w/o outlyers)		5	411,031.50
MEDIAN		\$	53,688.00	MEDIAN		\$	382,505.00

Option 4's effect on races 10% or less

In State Contributors

	DEM	OCRATS %		REP	UBLICANS %
BEDFORD	AL.	· 82	SESSIONS	AL	92
BRYANT	AR	81	HUTCHINSON	AR	85
CLELAND	GA	76	MILLNER	GA	87
HARKIN	IA	29	LIGHTFOOT	IA	76
LANDRIEU	LA	67	JENKINS	LA	55
KERRY	MA	· 50	WELD	MA	73
BRENNAN	ME	60	COLLINS	ME	71
WELLSTONE	MN	51	BOSCHWITZ	MN	52
BAUCUS	MT	39	REHBERG	MT	77
GANTT	NC	33	HELMS	NC	56
SWETT	NH	, 1	SMITH	NH	54
TORICELLI	NJ	58	ZIMMER	NJ	74
BRUGGERE	OR	64	G. SMITH	OR	82
CLOSE	SC	73	THURMOND	SC	82
JOHNSON	SD	29	PRESSLER	SD	21
M. WARNER	VA	46	J. WARNER	VA	70

Alabama:

Voting Age Population: 3,138,000

Alabama Election Profile						
	Bedford	Sessions				
Total Disbursements	\$2,697,836	\$3,754,276				
Total Receipts	\$2,840,637	\$3,789,575				
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,798,551	\$2,791,235				
Total PACs	\$446,820	\$888,934				
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$53,752	\$0				

Alabama Option #	/ 1					
	Target	Bedford	Sessions			
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,098,300	\$1,702,590 [55% over target]	\$2,494,489 [127% over target]			
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$95,961	\$296,746			
In-State Contributions	60% of All Individual Contributions	80%, 81%, 82% [>\$200: \$1,410,988]	85%, 87%, 90% [>\$200: \$2,285,789]			
In-State Contributors	60%	82% [>\$200: 1,970]	92% [>\$200: 3,689]			
PAC Contributions	\$470,700	\$446,820 [under target]	\$888,934 [88% over target]			
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$53,752	\$0			
Total Receipts		\$2,840,637	\$3,789,575			

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Alabama Option #4						
	Target	Bedford	Sessions			
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$804,840	\$1,702,590 [112% over target]	\$2,494,489 [209% over target]			
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$95,961	\$296,746			
In-State Contributors	60%	82% [>\$200: 1,970]	92% [>\$200: 3,689]			
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$446,820 [12% over target]	\$888,934 [122% over target]			
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$53,752	\$0			
Total	\$1,341,400	\$2,840,637	\$3,789,575			

Alabama Option #5			
	Target	Bedford	Sessions
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,702,590	\$2,494,489
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$95,961	\$296,746
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	51%, 51%, 52% [>\$200: \$1,410,988]	62%, 64%, 66% [>\$200: \$2,285,789]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$446,820 [16% of funds raised]	\$888,934 [23% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$53,752	\$0
Total		\$2,840,637	\$3,789,575

Arkansas:

Voting Age Population: 1,729,594

	Bryant	Hutchinson
Total Disbursements	\$1,568,350	\$1,582,347
Total Receipts	\$1,605,891	\$1,672,916
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,009,455	\$911,763
Total PACs	\$460,556	\$427,579
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

Arkansas Option	#1		
	Target	Bryant	Hutchinson
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$857,432 [7% over target]	\$625,401 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$152,023	\$286,362
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	70%, 74%, 78%. [>\$200: \$672,054	63%, 71%, 79% [>\$200: \$503,051]
In-State Contributors	60%	81% [>\$200: 1,086]	85% [>\$200: 896]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$460,556 [15% over target]	\$427,579 [7% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$1,605,891	\$1,672,916

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Arkansas Option #4		Territoria	
	Target	Bryant	Hutchinson
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$570,000	\$857,432 [50% over target]	\$625,401 [10% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$152,023	\$286,362
In-State Contributors	60%	81% [>\$200: 1,086]	85% [>\$200: 896]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$460,556 [15% over target]	\$427,579 [7% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total	\$950,000	\$1,605,891	\$1,672,916

Arkansas Option #5			
	Target	Bryant	Hutchinson
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$857,432 [53% of funds raised]	\$625,401 [37% of funds raised]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$152,023	85% [>\$200: 896]
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	56%, 58%, 60% [>\$200: \$657,054]	34%, 38%, 42% [>\$200: \$503,051]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$460,556 [28% of funds raised]	\$427,579 [26% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total		\$1,605,891	\$1,672,916

Georgia:

Voting Age Population: 5,159,000

Georgia Election Profile		
•	Cleland	Millner
Total Disbursements	\$2,187,915	\$9,750,661
Total Receipts	\$2,890,017	\$9,182,264
Total Individual Contributions	\$2,101,988	\$2,728,381
Total PACs	\$675,857	\$585,059
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$6,260,918

Georgia Option#	1		
	Target	Cleland	Millner
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,805,650	\$1,826,021 [1% over target]	\$2,549,192 [41% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$275,967	\$179,179
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	68%, 71%, 75% [>\$200: \$1,369,426]	82%, 84%, 86% [>\$200: \$2,197,792]
In-State Contributors	60%	76% [>\$200: 2,141]	87% [>\$200: 3,294]
PAC Contributions	\$773,850	\$675,857 [under target]	\$585,059 [under target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,260,918 [2404% over limit]
Total Receipts		\$2,890,017	\$9,182,264

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Georgia Option #4			
	Target	Cleland	Millner
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,133,850	\$1,826,021 [61% over target]	\$2,549,192 [125% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$275,967	\$179,179
In-State Contributors	60%	76% [>\$200: 2,141]	87% [>\$200: 3,294]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$675,857 [68% over target]	\$585,059 [46% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,260,918 [2404% over limit]
Total	\$1,889,750	\$2,890,017	\$9,182,264

Georgia Option #5			
	Target	Cleland	Millner
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,826,021	\$2,549,192
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$275,967	\$179,179
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	. 49%, 52%, 55% [>\$200: \$1,369,426]	24%, 25%, 25% [>\$200: \$2,197,792]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$675,857 [23% of funds raised]	\$585,059 [6% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,260,918 [2404% over limit]
Total		\$2,890,017	\$9,182,264

Iowa:

Voting Age Population: 2,112,000

owa Election Profile		
	Harkin	Lightfoot
Total Disbursements	\$5,213,833	\$2,415,439
Total Receipts	\$4,627,733	\$2,471,490
Total Individual Contributions	\$3,459,360	\$1,731,507
Total PACs	\$968,698	\$576,887
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

Iowa Option #1			
	Target	Harkin	Lightfoot
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$1,859,491 [132% over target]	\$806,740 [0.84% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$1,599,869	\$924,767
In-State Contributions*	60% of Individual Contributions	23%, 35%, 47% [>\$200: \$418,191]	46%, 60%, 73% [>\$200: \$573,853]
In-State Contributors	60%	29% [>\$200: 966]	76% [>\$200: 1,081]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$968,698 [142% over target]	\$576,887 [44% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$4,627,733	\$2,471,490

The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Iowa Option #4			
	Target	Harkin	Lightfoot
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$620,160	\$1,859,491 [199% over target]	\$806,740 [30% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$1,599,869	\$924,767
In-State Contributors	60%	29% [>\$200: 966]	76% [>\$200: 1,081]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$968,698 [142% over target]	\$576,887 [44% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total	\$1,033,600	\$4,627,733	\$2,471,490

Iowa Option #5			
	Target	Harkin	Lightfoot
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,859,491	\$806,740
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$1,599,869	\$924,767
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	17%, 26%, 35% [>\$200: \$418,191]	33%, 42%, 51% [>\$200: \$573,853]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$968,698 [21% of funds raised]	\$576,887 [23% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total		\$4,627,733	\$2,471,490

Louisiana:

Voting Age Population: 3,100,000

	Landrieu	Jenkins
Total Disbursements	\$2,543,648	\$1,936,230
Total Receipts	\$2,762,150	\$1,931,213
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,949,615	\$1,336,512
Total PACs	\$483,076	\$468,399
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$182,280	\$100

Louisiana Option	#1		
	Target	Landrieu	Jenkins
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,085,000	\$1,468,431 [35% over target]	\$928,203 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$481,184	\$408,309
In-State Contributions	60% of Individual Contributions	61%, 67%, 74% [>\$200: \$1,075,361]	44%, 52%, 59% [>\$200: \$484,283]
In-State Contributors >\$200	60%	67% [>\$200: 1,776]	55% [> \$200 : 999]
PAC Contributions	\$465,000	\$483,076 [4% over target]	\$468,399 [0.7% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$182,280	\$100
Total Receipts		\$2,762,150	\$1,931,213

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Louisiana Option #4			
	Target	Landrieu	Jenkins
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$798,000	\$1,468,431 [84% over target]	\$928,203 [16% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$481,184	\$408,309
In-State Contributors	60%	67% [>\$200: 1,776]	55% [>\$200: 999]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$483,076 [21% over target]	\$468,399 [17% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$182,280	\$100
Total	\$1,330,000	\$2,762,150	\$1,931,213

Louisiana Option #5				
	Target	Landrieu	Jenkins	
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,468,431	\$928,203	
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$481,184	\$408,309	
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	43%, 47%, 52% [>\$200: \$1,075,361]	30%, 36%, 41% [>\$200: \$484,283]	
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$483,076 [17% of funds raised]	\$468,399 [24% of funds raised]	
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$182,280	\$100	
Total		\$2,762,150	\$1,931,213	

Maine:

Voting Age Population: 931,000

	Brennan	Collins
Total Disbursements	\$957,395	\$1,577,662
Total Receipts	\$962,902	\$1,716,757
Total Individual Contributions	\$595,142	\$1,051,800
Total PACs	\$316,757	\$599,836
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$1,196

Maine Option #1			
	Target	Brennan	Collins
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$452,033 [under target]	\$711,682 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$143,109	\$340,118
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	51%, 57%, 63% [>\$200: \$268,908]	51%, 59%, 67% [>\$200: \$450,184]
In-State Contributors	60%	60% [>\$200: 480]	71% [>\$200: 1,010]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$316,757 [under target]	\$599,836 [49% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$1,196
Total Receipts	·	\$962,902	\$1,716,757

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Maine #4			
	Target	Brennan	Collins
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$570,000	\$452,033 [under target]	\$711,682 [25% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$143,109	\$340,118
In-State Contributors	60%	60% [>\$200: 480]	71% [>\$200: 1,010]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$316,757 [under target]	\$599,836 [50% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$1,196
Total	\$950,000	\$962,902	\$1,716,757

Maine Option #5			
·	Target	Brennan	Collins
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$452,033	\$711,682
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$143,109	\$340,118
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	31%, 35%, 39% [>\$200: \$268,908]	31%, 36%, 41% [>\$200: \$450,184]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$316,757 [33% of funds raised]	\$599,836 [35% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$1,196
Total		\$962,902	\$1,716,757

Massachusetts:

Voting Age Population: 4,564,000

	Kerry	Weld
Total Disbursements	\$10,670,383	\$7,845,652
Total Receipts	\$10,037,970	\$8,041,924
Total Individual Contributions	\$7,781,689	\$7,030,949
Total PACs	\$15,591	\$804,511
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$1,700,000	\$152,000

Massachusetts Op	otion #1		
	Target	Kerry	Weld
Individual	\$1,597,400	\$4,506,700	\$6,273,387
Contributions >\$200		[182% over target]	[293% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,274,989	\$757,562
In-State	60% of All Individual	37%, 47%, 58%	54%, 56%, 59%
Contributions	Contributions	[>\$200: \$2,047,967]	[>\$200: \$2,575,722]
In-State	60%	50%	73%
Contributors		[>\$200: 7,700]	[>\$200: \$5,527]
PAC	\$684,600	\$15,591	\$804,511
Contributions		[under target]	[18% over target]
Candidate	\$250,000	\$1,700,000	\$152,000
Contribution		[580% over target]	[under target]
Total Receipts		\$10,037,970	\$8,041,924

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Massachusetts Option	ı #4		o sa diga da
	Target	Kerry	Weld
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,044,600	\$4,506,700 [331% over target]	\$6,273,387 [501% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,274,989	\$757,562
In-State Contributors	60%	50% [>\$200: \$7,700]	73% [>\$200: \$5,527]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$15,591 [under target]	\$804,511 [101% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$1,700,000 [580% over target]	\$152,000 [under target]
Total	\$1,741,000	\$10,037,970	\$8,041,924

Massachusetts Option #5			
	Target	Kerry	Weld
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$4,506,700	\$6,273,387
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,274,989	\$757,562
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	29%, 37%, 45% [>\$200: \$2,047,967]	47%, 49%, 52% [>\$200: \$3,575,722]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$15,591 [.1% of funds raised]	\$804,511 [10% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$1,700,000 [580% over target]	\$152,000 [under target]
Total		\$10,037,970	\$8,041,924

Minnesota:

Voting Age Population: 3,362,000

Minnesota Election Profile		
	Wellstone	Boschwitz
Total Disbursements	\$5,950,947	\$4,373,337
Total Receipts	\$5,960,925	\$4,416,366
Total Individual Contributions	\$5,278,247	\$3,259,458
Total PACs	\$568,956	\$1,028,387
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$6,000

Minnesota Option	ı #1		
	Target	Wellstone	Boschwitz
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,176,700	\$1,325,751 [12% over target]	\$1,934,382 [64% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,952,496	\$1,325,076
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	31%, 49%, 68% [>\$200: \$623,382]	38%, 48%, 58% [>\$200: \$907,782]
In-State Contributors	60%	51% [>\$200: 1,513]	52% [>\$200: 1,711]
PAC Contributions	\$504,300	\$568,956 [13% over target]	\$1,028,387 [104% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,000
Total Receipts		\$5,960,925	\$4,416,366

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Minnesota Option #4	Section 1985 And Sectio	aggind of the second state of	
<u> </u>	Target	Wellstone	Boschwitz
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$845,160	\$1,325,751 [57% over target]	\$1,934,382 [129% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,952,496	\$1,325,076
In-State Contributors	60%	51% [>\$200: 1,513]	52% [>\$200: 1,711]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$568,956 [42% over target]	\$1,028,387 [157% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,000
Total	\$1,408,600	\$5,960,925	\$4,416,366

Minnesota Option #	5		
	Target	Wellstone	Boschwitz
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,325,751	\$1,934,382
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$3,952,496	\$1,325,076
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	27%, 43%, 60% [>\$200: \$623,382]	28%, 35%, 43% [>\$200: \$907,782]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$568,956 [10% of funds raised]	\$1,028,387 [23% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$6,000
Total		\$5,960,925	\$4,416,366

Montana:

Voting Age Population: 623,000

	Baucus	Rehberg
Total Disbursements	\$3,720,648	\$1,327,280
Total Receipts	\$3,444,438	\$1,345,667
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,951,605	\$835,381
Total PACs	\$1,310,272	\$332,494
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$101,000

Montana Option	¥1		
	Target	Baucus	Rehberg
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$1,359,026 [70% over target]	\$447,194 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$592,579	\$388,187
In-State Contributions	60% of All Individual Contributions	30%, 37%, 44% [>\$200: \$427,911]	49%, 61%, 72% [>\$200: \$313,171]
In-State Contributors	60%	39% [>\$200: 839]	77% [>\$200: 671]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$1,310,272 [228% over target]	\$332,494 [under target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$101,000
Total Receipts		\$3,444,438	\$1,345,667

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Montana Option #4			
	Target	Baucus	Rehberg
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$570,000	\$1,359,026 [138% over target]	\$447,194 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$592,579	\$388,187
In-State Contributors	60%	39% [>\$200: 839]	77% [>\$200: 671]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$1,310,272 [228% over target]	\$332,494 [under target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$101,000
Total	\$950,000	\$3,444,438	\$1,345,667

Montana Option #5				
	Target	Baucus	Rehberg	
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,359,026	\$447,194	
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$592,579	\$388,187	
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	30%, 37%, 44% [>\$200: \$427,911]	30%, 38%, 45% [>\$200: \$313,171]	
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$1,310,272 [38% of funds raised]	\$332,494 [25% of funds raised]	
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$101,000	
Total		\$3,444,438	\$1,345,667	

New Hampshire:

Voting Age Population: 843,000

	Swett	Smith
Total Disbursements	\$1,485,657	\$1,617,195
Total Receipts	\$1,749,215	\$1,703,774
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,326,686	\$781,956
Total PACs	\$324,888	\$874,451
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

New Hampshire Option #1			
	Target	Swett	Smith
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$1,155,495 [44% over target]	\$576,829 [under target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$171,191	\$205,127
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	9%, 12%, 15% [>\$200: \$70,985]	35%, 41%, 48% [>\$200: \$220,518]
In-State Contributors	60%	1% [>\$200: 153]	54% [>\$200: 588]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$324,888 [under target]	\$874,451 [119% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$1,749,215	\$1,703,774

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

New Hampshire Option			
	Target	Swett	Smith
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$570,000	\$1,155,495 [103% over target]	\$576,829 [1% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$171,191	\$205,127
In-State Contributors	60%	1% [>\$200: 153]	54% [>\$200: 588]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$324,888 [under target]	\$874,451 [119% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total	\$950,000	\$1,749,215	\$1,703,774

New Hampshire Option #5				
	Target	Swett	Smith	
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,155,495 [66% of funds raised]	\$576,829 [34% of funds raised]	
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$171,191	\$205,127	
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	7%, 9%, 11% [>\$200: \$70,985]	16%, 19%, 22% [>\$200: \$220,518]	
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$324,888 [19% of funds raised]	\$874,451 [51% of funds raised]	
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	
Total		\$1,749,215	\$1,703,774	

New Jersey:

Voting Age Population: 5,974,000

New Jersey Election Profile		
	Toricelli	Zimmer
Total Disbursements	\$9,052,898	\$8,220,727
Total Receipts	\$9,143,830	\$8,218,597
Total Individual Contributions	\$6,087,352	\$5,515,384
Total PACs	\$911,456	\$1,179,138
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

New Jersey Option #1			
	Target	Toricelli	Zimmer
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$2,090,900	\$5,462,330 [161% over target]	\$4,694,418 [124% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$625,022	\$820,966
In-State Contributions*	60% of Individual Contributions	52%, 55%, 57% [>\$200: \$3,018,763]	63%, 67%, 71%] [>\$200: \$3,312,252]
In-State Contributors	60%	58% [>\$200: 4,489]	74% [>\$200: 5,630]
PAC Contributions	\$896,100	\$911,456 [2% over target]	\$1,179,138 [32% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$9,143,830	\$8,218,597

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

New Jersey Option #4			
	Target	Toricelli	Zimmer
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,256,100	\$5,462,330 [334% over target]	\$4,694,418 [273% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$625,022	\$820,966
In-State Contributors	60%	58% [>\$200: 4,489]	74% [>\$200: 5,630]
PAC Contributions	\$418,700	\$911,456 [117% over target]	\$1,179,138 [182% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total	\$2,093,500.	\$9,143,830	\$8,218,597

New Jersey Option			
	Target	Toricelli	Zimmer
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$5,462,330	\$4,694,418
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$625,022	\$820,966
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	35%, 36%, 38% [>\$200: \$3,018,763]	43%, 45%, 48% [>\$200: 3,312,252]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$911,456 [10% of funds raised]	\$1,179,138 [14% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total		\$9,143,830	\$8,218,597

North Carolina:

Voting Age Population: 5,364,000

	Gantt	Helms
Total Disbursements	\$7,917,298	\$7,672,375
Total Receipts	\$8,122,786	\$7,666,561
Total Individual Contributions	\$7,618,425	\$6,492,604
Total PACs	\$454,538	\$978,127
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

North Carolina O	ption #1		
	Target	Gantt	Helms
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,877,400	\$2,692,045 [43% over target]	\$2,839,616 [51% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$4,926,380	\$3,652,988
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	28%, 44%, 60% [>\$200: \$882,632]	38%, 52%, 67% [>\$200: \$1,585,218]
In-State Contributors	60%	33% [>\$200: 1,809]	56% [>\$200: 3,212]
PAC Contributions	\$804,600	\$454,538 [under target]	\$978,127 [22% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$8,122,786	\$7,666,561

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

North Carolina Option #4				
	Target	Gantt	Helms	
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,164,600	\$2,692,045 [131% over target]	\$2,839,616 [144% over target]	
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$4,926,380	\$3,652,988	
In-State Contributors	60%	33% [>\$200: 1,809]	56% [>\$200: 3,212]	
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$454,538 [14% over target]	\$978,127 [145% over target]	
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	
Total	\$1,941,000	\$8,122,786	\$7,666,561	

North Carolina Opt	tion #5		
	Target	Gantt	Helms
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$2,692,045 [33% of funds raised]	\$2,839,616 [37% of funds raised]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$4,926,380	\$3,652,988
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	26%, 41%, 56% [\$200: \$882,632]	33%, 45%, 56% [>\$200: \$1,585,218]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$454,538 [6% of funds raised]	\$978,127 [13% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total		\$8,122,786	\$7,666,561

Oregon:

Voting Age Population: 2,311,000

Oregon Election Profile		
	Bruggere	G. Smith
Total Disbursements	\$3,237,394	\$3,634,028
Total Receipts	\$3,282,188	\$3,663,366
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,344,476	\$2,372,037
Total PACs	\$399,722	\$720,698
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$1,449,591	\$279,885

Oregon Option #1			
	Target	Bruggere	G. Smith
Individual	. \$808,850	\$949,083	\$1,629,478
Contributions >\$200		[17% over target]	[101% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$395,393	\$742,559
In-State	60% of All Individual	49%, 56%, 64%	64%, 72%, 80%
Contributions*	Contributions	[>\$200: \$558,627]	[>\$200: \$1,343,163]
In-State	60%	64%	82%
Contributors		[>\$200: 984]	[>\$200: 2,309]
PAC	\$400,000	\$399,722	\$720,698
Contributions		[under target]	[80% over target]
Candidate	\$250,000	\$1,449,591	\$279,885
Contribution		[479% over target]	[12% over target]
Total Receipts		\$3,282,188	\$3,663,366

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Oregon Option #4			
	Target	Bruggere	G. Smith
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$655,980	\$949,083 [45% over target]	\$1,629,478 [148% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$395,393	\$742,559
In-State Contributors	60%	64% [>\$200: 984]	82% [>\$200: 2,309]
PAC . Contributions	\$400,000	\$399,722 [under target]	\$720,698 [80% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$1,449,591 [479% over target]	\$279,885 [12% over target]
Total	\$1,093,300	\$3,282,188	\$3,663,366

Oregon Option #5			
	Target	Bruggere	G. Smith
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$949,083	\$1,629,478
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$395,393	\$742,559
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	20%, 23%, 26% [>\$200: \$558,627]	42%, 47%, 52% [>\$200: \$1,343,163]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$399,722 [12% of funds raised]	\$720,698 [20% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$1,449,591 [479% over target]	\$279,885 [12% over target]
Total		\$3,282,188	\$3,663,366

South Carolina:

Voting Age Population: 2,740,000

South Carolina Election Profile		
	Close	Thurmond
Total Disbursements	\$1,895,322	\$2,372,401
Total Receipts	\$1,897,454	\$2,329,611
Total Individual Contributions	\$932,357	\$1,481,389
Total PACs	\$0	\$760,500
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$927,889	\$0

South Carolina O	ption #1		
	Target	Close	Thurmond
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$959,000	\$823,523 [under target]	\$1,047,482 [9% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$108,834	\$433,907
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	63%, 66%, 68% [>\$200: \$556,748]	63%, 71%, 78% [>\$200: \$828,255]
In-State Contributors	60%	73% [>\$200: 1,059]	82% [>\$200: 1,360]
PAC Contributions	\$411,000	\$0 [under target]	\$760,500 [85% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$927,889 [271% over target]	\$0
Total Receipts		\$1,897,454	\$2,329,611

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

South Carolina Option	and the second of the second o		
	Target	Close	Thurmond
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$733,200	\$823,523 [12% over target]	\$1,047,482 [43% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$108,834	\$433,907
In-State Contributors	60%	73% [>\$200: 1,059]	82% [>\$200: 1,360]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$0	\$760,500 [90% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$927,889 [271% over target]	\$0
Total	\$1,222,000	\$1,897,454	\$2,329,611

South Carolina O	ption #5		
	Target	Close	Thurmond
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$823,523 [43% of funds raised]	\$1,047,482 [45% of funds raised]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$108,834	\$433,907
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	31%, 32%, 34% [>\$200: \$556,748]	40%, 45%, 50% [>\$200: \$828,255]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$0	\$760,500 [33% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$927,889 [271% over target]	\$0
Total		\$1,897,454	\$2,329,611

South Dakota:

Voting Age Population: 522,000

South Dakota Election Profile		
	Johnson	Pressler
Total Disbursements	\$2911,398	\$4,381,920
Total Receipts	\$2,789,488	\$4,083,279
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,928,680	\$2,417,030
Total PACs	\$792,894	\$1,480,635
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$0	\$0

South Dakota Opt	tion #1		
	Target	Johnson	Pressler
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$800,000	\$1,354,089 [69% over target]	\$2,054,171 [157% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$574,591	\$362,859
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	24%, 31%, 39% [>\$200: \$312,971]	16%, 20%, 23% [>\$200: \$292,775]
In-State Contributors	60%	29% [>\$200: 714]	21% [>\$200: 616]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$792,894 [98% over target]	\$1,480,635 [270% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total Receipts		\$2,789,488	\$4,083,279

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

South Dakota #4			
	Target	Johnson	Pressler
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$570,000	\$1,354,089 [138% over target]	\$2,054,171 [260% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$574,591	\$362,859
In-State Contributors	60%	29% [>\$200: 714]	21% [>\$200: 616]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$792,894 [98% over target]	\$1,480,635 [270% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total	\$950,000	\$2,789,488	\$4,083,279

South Dakota Optio	n #5		
	Target	Johnson	Pressler
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$1,354,089	\$2,054,171
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$574,591	\$362,859
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	16%, 22%, 27% [>\$200: \$312,971]	9%, 12%, 14% [>\$200: \$292,775]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$792,894 [28% of funds raised]	\$1,480,635 [36% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$0	\$0
Total		\$2,789,488	\$4,083,279

Virginia:

Voting Age Population: 4,967,000

	M. Warner	J. Warner
Total Disbursements	\$11,504,498	\$5,218,958
Total Receipts	\$11,615,182	\$5,046,932
Total Individual Contributions	\$1,091,652	\$2,952,582
Total PACs	\$6,879	\$1,592,860
Total Candidate Contributions and Loans	\$10,345,487	\$200,000

Virginia Option #	1		
	Target	M. Warner	J. Warner
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,738,450	\$852,947 [under target]	\$2,214,797 [27% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$238,705	\$737,785
In-State Contributions*	60% of All Individual Contributions	39%, 45%, 50% [>\$200: \$367,685]	55%, 62%, 68% [>\$200: \$1,453,664]
In-State Contributors	60%	46% [>\$200: 621]	70% [>\$200: 2,632]
PAC Contributions	\$745,050	\$6,879 [under target]	\$1,592,860 [114% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$10,345,487	\$200,000
Total Receipts		\$11,615,182	\$5,046,932

^{*}The three different numbers represent three different assumptions on the percentage of small contributions (under \$200) from in-state. The first number reflects an assumption that 25% of small contributions are from in-state; the second reflects an assumption that 50% of small contributions are from in-state; and the final number is based on the assumption that 75% of small contributions are from in state.

Virginia Option #	4	The state of the s	
	Target	M. Warner	J. Warner
Individual Contributions >\$200	\$1,105,050	\$852,947 [under target]	\$2,214,797 [100% over target]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$238,705	\$737,785
In-State Contributors	60%	46% [>\$200: 621]	70% [>\$200: 2,632]
PAC Contributions	\$400,000	\$6,879 [under target]	\$1,592,860 [298% over target]
Candidate Contribution	\$250,000	\$10,345,487	\$200,000
Total	\$1,841,750	\$11,615,182	\$5,046,932

Virginia Option #5			
	Target	M. Warner	J. Warner
Individual Contributions >\$200	No Target	\$852,947 [7% of funds raised]	\$2,214,797 [44% of funds raised]
Individual Contributions <\$200	No Target	\$238,705	\$737,785
In-State Contributions	60% of Funds Raised	4%, 4%, 5% [>\$200: \$367,685]	32%, 36%, 40% [>\$200: \$1,453,664]
PAC Contributions	20% of Funds Raised	\$6,879 [.1% of funds raised]	\$1,592,860 [32% of funds raised]
Candidate Contributions	\$250,000	\$10,345,487	\$200,000
Total		\$11,615,182	\$5,046,932